



Title	ON CERTAIN PROPERTIES OF MODULAR CONVERGENCE
Author(s)	Sasaki, Masahumi
Citation	Journal of the Faculty of Science Hokkaido University. Ser. 1 Mathematics, 14(1), 37-49
Issue Date	1958
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/58082
Type	bulletin (article)
File Information	JFS_HU_v14n1-37.pdf



[Instructions for use](#)

ON CERTAIN PROPERTIES OF MODULAR CONVERGENCE

By

Masahumi SASAKI

Let R be a universally continuous semi-ordered linear space. A functional $m(a)$ ($a \in R$) is said to be a modular on R if it satisfies the following modular conditions:

- (1) $0 \leq m(a) \leq +\infty$ for all $a \in R$;
- (2) if $m(\xi a) = 0$ for all $\xi \geq 0$, then $a = 0$;
- (3) for any $a \in R$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $m(\alpha a) < +\infty$;
- (4) for every $a \in R$, $m(\xi a)$ is a convex function of ξ ;
- (5) $|a| \leq |b|$ implies $m(a) \leq m(b)$;
- (6) $a \wedge b = 0$ implies $m(a+b) = m(a) + m(b)$;
- (7) $0 \leq a_\lambda \uparrow_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a$ implies $m(a) = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m(a_\lambda)$.

Throughout the paper we use the notations and terminologies used in [2]. Here $|w|-\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ or $w-\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ for $a, a_\nu \in R$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) means $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |\bar{a}|(|a_\nu - a|) = 0$ or $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \bar{a}(a_\nu - a) = 0$ respectively for any $\bar{a} \in \bar{R}^{m \ 1)}$.

If $\Phi(u)$ is a real convex function, defined for $u \geq 0$, such that $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(u) \geq 0$ for $u > 0$, but $\Phi(u)$ not identically zero or infinity for $u > 0$, then $\Phi(u)$ is called a YOUNG function.

We assume that Δ is a point set, and that a countably additive non-negative measure $\mu(E)$ ($E \in \Delta$) is defined for the σ -ring Δ of subsets of Δ . We suppose furthermore that the measure μ is complete (i.e. $\mu(E_1) = 0$, $E_2 \subset E_1$ implies $E_2 \in \Delta$, so $\mu(E_2) = 0$), totally σ -finite (i.e. Δ is a countable union of sets of finite measure) and $\mu(\Delta) > 0$.

If $f(x)$ is an arbitrary real-valued μ -measurable function on Δ , and $\Phi(u)$ is a YOUNG function, the space

$$L_\Phi = \left\{ f : \int_\Delta \Phi(\alpha |f(x)|) d\mu(x) < +\infty \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 0 \right\}$$

is called ORLICZ space.

1) \bar{R}^m be the modular conjugate space of R , i.e. \bar{R}^m is the space of the modular bounded universally continuous linear functionals on R . The conjugate modular \bar{m} of m is defined as $\bar{m}(\bar{a}) = \sup_{x \in R} \{\bar{a}(x) - m(x)\}$ for every $\bar{a} \in \bar{R}^m$.

If $f(x)$, $g(x)$ are arbitrary real-valued μ -measurable functions on Δ , we define $f \geq g$ to mean that we have $f(x) \geq g(x)$ almost everywhere on Δ . For a YOUNG function $\Phi(u)$, putting

$$m_{\Phi}(f) = \int_{\Delta} \Phi(|f(x)|) d\mu(x),$$

we obtain a modular $m_{\Phi}(f)$ on L_{Φ} , where considering L_{Φ} as a semi-ordered linear space. Then L_{Φ} is a modularized semi-ordered linear space.²⁾

W. A. J. LUXEMBURG and A. C. ZAAANEN (cf. [1] §8) have proved about the ORLICZ space L_{Φ}

Theorem A₁. $w\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_{\nu} = a$ for $a, a_{\nu} \in L_{\Phi}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m_{\Phi}(\xi a_{\nu}) \geq m_{\Phi}(\xi a)$ for all $\xi \geq 0$.

Theorem A₂. For $a, a_{\nu} \in L_{\Phi}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), if $a_{\nu}(x)$ converges in measure on every set of finite measure to $a(x)$, and

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m_{\Phi}(a_{\nu}) = m_{\Phi}(a) < +\infty,$$

then $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m_{\Phi}\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_{\nu} - a)\right) = 0$.

Theorem A₃. For $a, a_{\nu} \in L_{\Phi}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), if $a_{\nu}(x)$ converges in measure to $a(x)$ on every set of finite measure, and m_{Φ} is simple³⁾ and

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m_{\Phi}(aa_{\nu}) = m_{\Phi}(aa) < +\infty \text{ for some } a > 0,$$

then $|w\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_{\nu} = a$.

On the other hand, H. NAKANO (cf. [2] §47) had proved:

Theorem B. If R is semi-regular⁴⁾, then $w\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_{\nu} = a$, $m(a) < \infty$ implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(aa_{\nu}) \geq m(aa)$ for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

But this fact can be generalized as follows:

Theorem B₁. If R is semi-regular, then $w\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_{\nu} = a$ implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi a_{\nu}) \geq m(\xi a)$ for all $\xi \geq 0$.

Proof. By the formula (0) in §38 [2] we have

$$\bar{a}(\xi a_{\nu}) - \bar{m}(\bar{a}) \leq m(\xi a_{\nu}) \quad (\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \quad \text{for any } \bar{a} \in \bar{R}^m, \xi \geq 0.$$

We obtain hence

- 2) The ORLICZ space L_{Φ} is the BANACH space having the modular norm as its norm. L_{Φ} is an example of the modularized semi-ordered linear space with a constant modular.
- 3) A modular m is said to be simple, if $m(a) = 0$ implies $a = 0$.
- 4) R is said to be semi-regular, if $\bar{\sigma}[p] = 0$ for all $\bar{a} \in \bar{R}$ implies $p = 0$.

$$\bar{a}(\xi a) - \bar{m}(\bar{a}) \leq \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi a_\nu)$$

by assumption. Since $\sup_{a \in \bar{R}^m} \{\bar{a}(\xi a) - \bar{m}(\bar{a})\} = m(\xi a)$ by Theorem 39.3 [2], we conclude that $m(\xi a) \leq \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi a_\nu)$. Q. E. D.

The above Theorem B₁ is an extension of Theorem A₁ to the modularized semi-ordered linear space.

Theorem B₂. *If R is semi-regular, then $|w|$ - $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$, $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu) = m(a)$ for a domestic⁵⁾ $a \in R$ implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_\nu - a)\right) = 0$.*

We can replace the domesticness of a by $m(a) < +\infty$, as is seen in Corollary 1 of Theorem D.

By this Theorem B₂ we obtain immediately:

Theorem B₃ ([2] Theorem 47.9). *If R is semi-regular, then $|w|$ - $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$, $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi a_\nu) = m(\xi a) < +\infty$ for all $\xi \geq 0$ implies m - $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ ⁶⁾.*

This Theorem B₃ is an extension of Corollary 1 in [1] to the modularized semi-ordered linear space.

The main purpose of this paper is to give the extensions of Theorems A₂ and A₃ to the modularized semi-ordered linear space, and to consider its relations to Theorems B₂ and B₃.

KANTOROVITCH [4] introduced *star convergence*, i. e., we write s - $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ if every partial sequence from $a_\nu \in R$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) contains a partial sequence which is order convergent to a .

We write ind - $\overline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$, if $\overline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (a_\nu \wedge p) = a \wedge p$ for all $p \in R$; and we write ind - $\underline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$, if $\underline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (a_\nu \vee p) = a \vee p$ for all $p \in R$.

If ind - $\overline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = ind$ - $\underline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$, then a_ν ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) is said to be *individually convergent* to the individual limit a , and we write ind - $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$.

We define that a sequence $a_\nu \in R$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) is *star individually convergent* to a and write s - ind - $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$, if, for any partial sequence of a_ν ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), we can select a partial sequence which is individually convergent to a (cf. [2], p. 112).

Lemma 1. (cf. [2] Theorem 27.10) *If R is semi-regular and super-*

5) An element $a \in R$ is said to be domestic, if $m(a) < +\infty$ for some $a > 1$.

6) m - $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ for $a, a_\nu \in R$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) means $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi(a_\nu - a)) = 0$ for all $\xi \geq 0$.

universally continuous⁷⁾, then

$$|w|-\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a \quad \text{implies} \quad s\text{-ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a.$$

Theorem C. *If Δ is a countable union of sets of finite measure, then in order that $a_\nu \in L_\Phi$ ($\nu=1,2,3,\dots$) converges in measure to $a \in L_\Phi$ on every set of finite measure, it is necessary and sufficient that a_ν ($\nu=1,2,3,\dots$) is star individually convergent to a , considering L_Φ as a modularized semi-ordered linear space, i. e., $s\text{-ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$.*

Proof. We prove first: in order that $a_\nu(x)$ ($\nu=1,2,3,\dots$) converges in measure to $a(x)$ on every set of finite measure, it is necessary and sufficient that any subsequence of $\{a_\nu(x)\}$ contains a subsequence which converges to $a(x)$ almost everywhere.

For some measurable sets E_ρ ($\rho=1,2,3,\dots$) of finite measure, if $\Delta = \bigcup_{\rho=1}^{\infty} E_\rho$, and $a_\nu(x)$ ($\nu=1,2,3,\dots$) converges in measure to $a(x)$ on E_ρ ($\rho=1,2,3,\dots$), then, by induction we can find integers $\nu(\kappa, \rho)$ ($\kappa, \rho=1,2,3,\dots$) such that

$$\mu\left(\left\{x: |a_\nu(x) - a(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^\kappa}\right\} \cap E_\rho\right) < \frac{1}{2^\kappa} \quad \text{for } \nu \geq \nu(\kappa, \rho).$$

For such a double sequence $\nu(\kappa, \rho)$ ($\kappa, \rho=1,2,3,\dots$) we obtain another double sequence $\nu_\kappa(\rho)$ ($\kappa, \rho=1,2,3,\dots$) such that $\nu_\kappa(\rho+1)$ ($\kappa=1,2,3,\dots$) is a subsequence of $\nu_\kappa(\rho)$ ($\kappa=1,2,3,\dots$) for every ρ and $\nu_\kappa(\rho) < \nu_{\kappa+1}(\rho)$ ($\kappa=1,2,3,\dots$) for every ρ .

For such a sequence $\nu_\kappa(\rho)$ ($\kappa, \rho=1,2,3,\dots$) we obtain

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} a_{\nu_\kappa(\rho)}(x) = a(x) \quad \text{almost everywhere on } E_\rho \quad (\rho=1,2,3,\dots),$$

because, putting $F_{\kappa, \rho} = \left\{x: |a_{\nu_\kappa(\rho)}(x) - a(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2^\kappa}\right\} \cap E_\rho$ ($\kappa, \rho=1,2,3,\dots$),

for $\kappa \leq \xi$ and $x \in E_\rho - \bigcup_{\gamma=\kappa}^{\infty} F_{\gamma, \rho}$ ($\kappa, \rho=1,2,3,\dots$), we have

$$|a_{\nu_\xi(\rho)}(x) - a(x)| < \frac{1}{2^\xi} \quad (\rho, \xi=1,2,3,\dots).$$

Since $\mu\left(\bigcup_{\gamma=\kappa}^{\infty} F_{\gamma, \rho}\right) \leq \sum_{\gamma=\kappa}^{\infty} \mu(F_{\gamma, \rho}) < \frac{1}{2^{\kappa-1}}$ ($\kappa, \rho=1,2,3,\dots$),

7) R is said to be superuniversally continuous, if for any system $a_\lambda \geq 0$ ($\lambda \in A$) there exist countable $a_{\lambda_\nu}, \lambda_\nu \in A$ ($\nu=1,2,3,\dots$) for which $\bigcap_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\lambda_\nu} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in A} a_\lambda$.

putting
$$F_\rho = \bigcap_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\gamma=\kappa}^{\infty} F_{\gamma, \rho} \quad (\rho=1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

we obtain
$$\mu(F_\rho) = 0 \quad (\rho=1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Moreover we obtain by diagonal process

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} a_{\nu_{\kappa(\kappa)}}(x) = a(x) \quad \text{almost everywhere.}$$

Conversely, if $\{a_\nu(x)\}$ is not convergent in measure to $a(x)$ on some set E of finite measure, then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a partial sequence $\{a_{\nu_\kappa}(x)\}$ of $\{a_\nu(x)\}$ such that

$$\mu(\{x : |a_{\nu_\kappa}(x) - a(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \cap E) > 0 \quad (\kappa=1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Thus we can not select any partial sequence from $\{a_\nu(x)\}$ which converges to $a(x)$ almost everywhere on E . Contradicting the assumption. Thus we obtain our conclusion.

Therefore we need only prove, by definition of star individually convergence, the following fact: in order that $a_\nu(x) \geq 0$ ($\nu=1, 2, 3, \dots$) converges to 0 almost everywhere, it is necessary and sufficient that a_ν ($\nu=1, 2, 3, \dots$) is individually convergent to 0, considering L_ϕ as a semi-ordered linear space.

If $a_\nu(x)$ ($\nu=1, 2, 3, \dots$) converges to 0 almost everywhere, then, putting $b_\nu(x) = \inf(a_\nu(x), p(x))$ ($\nu=1, 2, 3, \dots$) for any $0 \leq p \in L_\phi$, we have

$$0 \leq b_\nu(x) \leq p(x) \quad \text{everywhere,}$$

and hence there exist
$$\sup_{\nu \geq 1} b_\nu \in L_\phi.$$

Since
$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} b_\nu(x) = 0 \quad \text{almost everywhere,}$$
 we have then

$$\inf_{\mu \geq 1} \sup_{\nu \geq \mu} b_\nu(x) = 0 \quad \text{almost everywhere.}$$

Therefore we obtain, considering L_ϕ as a semi-ordered linear space,

$$\bigcap_{\mu \geq 1} \bigcup_{\nu \geq \mu} b_\nu = 0. \quad \text{Thus we have } \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} b_\nu = 0 \text{ for any } 0 \leq p \in L_\phi.$$

Therefore we conclude $\text{ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = 0$ by definition.

Conversely, we prove that

$$\text{ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = 0, \quad 0 \leq a_\nu \in L_\phi \quad (\nu=1, 2, 3, \dots) \quad \text{implies} \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu(x) = 0$$

almost everywhere.

Let χ_E be the characteristic function of E , where E is an arbitrary set of finite measure.

Since $\chi_E \in L_\emptyset$, we have by assumption $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (a_\nu \wedge \chi_E) = 0$.

Therefore we conclude easily

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu(x) = 0 \quad \text{almost everywhere on } E.$$

Since the measure μ is totally σ -finite, we obtain

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu(x) = 0 \quad \text{almost everywhere.} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Lemma 2. $s\text{-ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi a_\nu) \geq m(\xi a)$ for all $\xi \geq 0$.

Proof. If there exists a partial sequence a_{μ_ν} ($\nu=1,2,3,\dots$) such that $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi a_{\mu_\nu}) < m(\xi a)$ for $\xi > 0$, $\text{ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_{\mu_\nu} = a$,

then putting $b_\nu = \bigcap_{\rho \geq \nu} |a_{\mu_\rho}|$, we have

$$b_\nu \wedge p = \bigcap_{\rho \geq \nu} (|a_{\mu_\rho}| \wedge p) \uparrow_{\nu=1}^\infty |a| \wedge p \quad \text{for any } p \geq 0, \quad \text{since } \text{ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |a_{\mu_\nu}| = |a|$$

by Theorem 15.4 [2]. Therefore, putting $p = |a|$ we have

$$m(\xi a) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi (b_\nu \wedge |a|)) \leq \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi a_{\mu_\nu})$$

contradicting the assumption.

Q.E.D.

Theorem D. $s\text{-ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$, $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu) = m(a) < +\infty$ for $a, a_\nu \in R$ ($\nu=1,2,3,\dots$) implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a(a_\nu - a)) = 0$ for $0 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. We may assume that $a \neq 0$ is a simple element and $\text{ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$.

For, there exists a projector $[p]$ ⁸⁾ such that $[p]|a|$ is simple and $m((1-[p])a) = 0$ by Theorem 35.4 [2]. We obtain by Lemma 2

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([p]a_\nu) \geq m([p]a), \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m((1-[p])a_\nu) \geq m((1-[p])a).$$

But we have by assumption

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \{m([p]a_\nu) + m((1-[p])a_\nu)\} = m(a) = m([p]a) + m((1-[p])a).$$

Consequently we obtain

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([p]a_\nu) = m([p]a), \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m((1-[p])a_\nu) = m((1-[p])a).$$

8) $[p]$ is a projection operator to the normal manifold generated by p : $[p]a = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^\infty (a \wedge \nu |p|)$ for $0 \leq a \in R$.

Since $m((1-[p])a) = 0$ and

$$m\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-[p])(a_\nu - a)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}m((1-[p])a_\nu) + \frac{1}{2}m((1-[p])a),$$

we have thus $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-[p])(a_\nu - a)\right) = 0$.

Now let a be simple. Since $\text{ind-lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ by assumption, there exists by Theorem 15.3 [2] $[s_\rho] \uparrow_{\rho=1}^\infty [a]$ such that $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} [s_\rho] |a_\nu - a| = 0$ ($\rho = 1, 2, 3, \dots$).

Putting $b_{\rho, \kappa} = \bigcup_{\nu \geq \kappa} [s_\rho] |a_\nu - a|$ ($\rho, \kappa = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), we obtain by definition

$$b_{\rho, \kappa} \downarrow_{\kappa=1}^\infty 0 \quad (\rho = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Since a normal manifold $[a]R$ is totally continuous⁹⁾ as a space by Theorem 36.2 [2], there exist $[q_{\rho, \lambda}] \uparrow_{\lambda=1}^\infty [a]$ ($\rho = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) and positive numbers $\varepsilon_{\rho, \lambda, \kappa} \downarrow_{\kappa=1}^\infty 0$ ($\rho, \lambda = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) such that

$$[q_{\rho, \lambda}] b_{\rho, \kappa} \leq \varepsilon_{\rho, \lambda, \kappa} |a| \quad (\rho, \lambda, \kappa = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \text{ by Theorem 14.2 [2].}$$

Therefore there exists a sequence $[q_\mu] \uparrow_{\mu=1}^\infty [a]$ and $\lambda_{\rho, \mu}$ ($\rho, \mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) such that $[q_\mu] \leq [q_{\rho, \lambda_{\rho, \mu}}]$ ($\rho, \mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) by definition of total continuity. We have then

$$[q_\mu][s_\mu] |a_\kappa - a| \leq [q_{\mu, \lambda_{\mu, \mu}}][s_\mu] |a_\kappa - a| \leq [q_{\mu, \lambda_{\mu, \mu}}] b_{\mu, \kappa} \leq \varepsilon_{\mu, \lambda_{\mu, \mu}, \kappa} |a| \quad (\mu, \kappa = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Therefore, putting $[p_\mu] = [q_\mu][s_\mu]$ ($\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), there exists κ_0 such that $[p_\mu] |a_\kappa - a| \leq |a|$ for every $\kappa \geq \kappa_0$, and we obtain

$$[p_\mu] \uparrow_{\mu=1}^\infty [a], \quad \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} [p_\mu] |a_\kappa - a| = 0 \quad (\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

We have then by Theorem 35.1 [2]

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([p_\mu](a_\nu - a)) = 0 \quad (\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

If for some $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([a] - [p_\mu] a_\nu) > \varepsilon \quad (\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

then, since $\overline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([a] a_\nu) \geq \overline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([a] - [p_\mu] a_\nu) + \overline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([p_\mu] a_\nu)$

9) R is said to be totally continuous, if for any double sequence of projectors $[p_{\nu, \mu}] \uparrow_{\mu=1}^\infty [p]$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) there exist a sequence $[p_\rho] \uparrow_{\rho=1}^\infty [p]$ and $\mu_{\nu, \rho}$ ($\nu, \rho = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) such that $[p_\rho] \leq [p_{\nu, \mu_{\nu, \rho}}]$ ($\nu, \rho = 1, 2, 3, \dots$).

we have hence $\overline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([a]a_\nu) > \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([p_\mu]a_\nu) + \varepsilon$

and hence, as $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu) = m(a)$ by assumption,

$$m(a) > m([p_\mu]a) + \varepsilon \quad (\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

by lemma 2, contradicting $m([p_\mu]a) \uparrow_{\mu=1}^{\infty} m(a)$.

Therefore for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists μ_0 for which

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([a] - [p_\mu]a_\nu) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \mu \geq \mu_0.$$

Since we have obviously

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_\nu - a)\right) &\leq m\left(\frac{1}{2}[p_\mu](a_\nu - a)\right) + \frac{1}{2}m([a] - [p_\mu]a) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}m([a] - [p_\mu]a_\nu), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain hence for $\mu \geq \mu_0$

$$\overline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_\nu - a)\right) \leq \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}m([a] - [p_\mu]a)$$

and consequently for $\mu \rightarrow \infty$

$$\overline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_\nu - a)\right) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0,$$

that is, $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_\nu - a)\right) = 0$. Q.E.D.

Remark 1. The above Theorem D is not true if $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ ¹⁰⁾.

For example, consider the modulated space $l^{\infty 11)}$.

Putting $a = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$, $a_\nu = (1, 1, \dots, -1, 1, 1, \dots)$,

we have $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$, $m(a) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu) = 0$,

and $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a(a - a_\nu)) = +\infty$ for $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$.

10) Mr. T. SHIMOGAKI remarked this fact.

11) We define the modular by the formula

$$m(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sup_{\nu \geq 1} |\xi_\nu| \leq 1 \\ +\infty & \text{if } \sup_{\nu \geq 1} |\xi_\nu| > 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{for } a = (\xi_\nu).$$

Remark 2. Since for $0 \leq a, b \in R$ we have (cf. [2], Theorem 36.8)

$$m(a) + m(b) \geq m(a-b),$$

we obtain in the same manner used in the proof of the above Theorem D:

$$s\text{-ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a, \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu) = m(a) < +\infty \quad \text{for } 0 \leq a, a_\nu \in R$$

($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu - a) = 0$.

Remark 3. If $m(\xi a) < +\infty$ for every $\xi > 0$, then

$$s\text{-ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a, \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu) = m(a) \quad \text{for } a, a_\nu \in R (\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\alpha(a_\nu - a)) = 0$ for $0 \leq \alpha < 1^{(10)}$.

Because, for any projectors $[p_\mu] \uparrow_{\mu=1}^\infty [a]$, we have obviously

$$\begin{aligned} m(\alpha(a_\nu - a)) &\leq \alpha m([p_\mu](a_\nu - a)) + \alpha m([a] - [p_\mu]a_\nu) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha) m\left(\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} ([a] - [p_\mu]a)\right) \end{aligned}$$

($\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Therefore we conclude easily in the same manner we proved the above Theorem D

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\alpha(a_\nu - a)) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha < 1.$$

The above Theorem D is an extension of Theorem A₂ to the modular semi-ordered linear space.

In the following we shall explain the relations of the above Theorem D to Theorems B₂ and B₃.

Corollary 1 of Theorem D. If R is semi-regular, then

$$|w|\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a, \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu) = m(a) < +\infty$$

implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\alpha(a_\nu - a)) = 0$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. From $|w|\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ we obtain by Theorem 27.7 [2]

$$|w|\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} [p]a_\nu = [p]a, \quad |w|\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (1 - [p])a_\nu = (1 - [p])a \quad \text{for any } p \in R,$$

and hence by Theorem B₁

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m([p]a_\nu) \geq m([p]a), \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m((1 - [p])a_\nu) \geq m((1 - [p])a).$$

Therefore by the method applied to Theorem D we need only prove the case where $a \neq 0$ is simple.

If a is simple, then we have by Theorem 35.2 [2] the normal

manifold $[a]R$ is superuniversally continuous as a space, and hence by Theorem D and Lemma 1 we obtain immediately our conclusion. Q.E.D.

Remark. The following fact may be found in the proof of Theorem 47.8 [2]. If R is semi-regular, then

$$|w|-\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a, \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu) = m(a) < +\infty \quad \text{for } 0 \leq a, a_\nu \in R \ (\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

implies
$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu - a) = 0.$$

The above Corollary 1 is the generalization of Theorem B₂.

Corollary 2 of Theorem D. If R is semi-regular, then

$$|w|-\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a, \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi a_\nu) = m(\xi a) < +\infty \quad \text{for all } \xi \geq 0$$

implies
$$s\text{-ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a.$$

Proof. By assumption and Corollary 1 we have

$$m\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a.$$

Therefore we obtain by Theorem 47.4 [2]

$n\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ for the first (or second) norm¹²⁾ by m . Since the first (or second) norm is semi-continuous by definition, we obtain immediately our conclusion by Theorem 33.1 [2]. Q.E.D.

By Theorem D (or Corollary 2 of Theorem D) and Theorem 48.1 [2] we have obviously:

Corollary 3 of Theorem D. If m is uniformly simple¹³⁾, then

$$s\text{-ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a \quad (\text{or } |w|-\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu) = m(a) < +\infty$$

implies
$$m\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a.$$

Corollary 4 of Theorem D. If m is finite¹⁴⁾ and monotone complete¹⁵⁾,

12) On R we can define two norms as follows (cf. [3], p. 213 and p. 218):

$$\|x\| = \inf_{\xi > 0} \frac{1+m(\xi x)}{\xi}, \quad \|\|x\|\| = \inf_{m(\xi x) \leq 1} \frac{1}{|\xi|} \quad (x \in R).$$

$\|x\|$ is said to be the first norm by m and $\|\|x\|\|$ is said to be the second norm or the modular norm by m .

13) A modular m is said to be uniformly simple, if

$$\inf_{m(x) \geq 1} m(\xi x) > 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi > 0.$$

14) An element $a \in R$ is said to be finite, if

$$m(\xi a) < +\infty \quad \text{for all } \xi \geq 0. \quad \text{A modular } m \text{ on } R \text{ is said to be finite, if all elements are finite.}$$

15) A modular m on R is said to be monotone complete, if for

$$0 \leq a_\lambda \uparrow_{\lambda \in A}, \quad \sup_{\lambda \in A} m(a_\lambda) < +\infty \quad \text{there exists } a \in R \text{ for which } a_\lambda \uparrow_{\lambda \in A} a.$$

then $s\text{-ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$, $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu) = m(a)$ for $a, a_\nu \in R$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$)

implies $m\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$.

Proof. Since by Theorem D

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_\nu - a)\right) = 0,$$

there exists a subsequence a_{ν_μ} ($\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) of a_ν ($\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) such that

$$m\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_{\nu_\mu} - a)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^\mu} \quad (\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Putting $b_\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \bigcup_{\mu=1}^{\kappa} |a_{\nu_\mu} - a|$ ($\kappa = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), we have

$$0 \leq b_\kappa \uparrow_{\kappa=1}^{\infty}, \quad \sup_{\kappa \geq 1} m(b_\kappa) \leq \sup_{\kappa \geq 1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\kappa} m\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_{\nu_\mu} - a)\right) \leq 1.$$

Since m is monoton complete, there exists $b \in R$ for which $b = \bigcup_{\mu=1}^{\infty} |a_{\nu_\mu} - a|$. Thus we obtain $|a_{\nu_\mu} - a| \leq b$ ($\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), and hence $|a_{\nu_\mu}| \leq |a| + b$ ($\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$).

Therefore we have by assumption

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} |a_{\nu_\mu} - a| = 0,$$

and hence $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \xi |a_{\nu_\mu} - a| = 0$ for any $\xi > 0$.

Since m is finite by assumption, we obtain by Theorem 35.1 [2]

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi(a_{\nu_\mu} - a)) = 0 \quad \text{for any } \xi > 0,$$

and hence $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi(a_\nu - a)) = 0$ for any $\xi > 0$,

that is, $m\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$. Q.E.D.

Theorem E. *If R is semi-regular, then*

$$s\text{-ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a, \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu) = m(a) < +\infty$$

implies $|w|\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$.

Proof. We need only prove that

$$\text{ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a, \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(a_\nu) = m(a) < +\infty$$

implies $|w|\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$.

For any $0 \leq p \in R$ there exist $[p_\mu] \uparrow_{\mu=1}^\infty [p]$ such that $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} [p_\nu] |a_\nu - a| = 0$ ($\mu=1, 2, 3, \dots$) by Theorem 15.3 [2]. We have then obviously

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |\bar{a}| ([p_\nu] |a_\nu - a|) = 0 \quad (\mu=1, 2, 3, \dots) \text{ for any } \bar{a} \in \bar{R}^m.$$

By the formula (3) in §38 [2] there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\bar{m}(\alpha \bar{a}) < +\infty$ for any $\bar{a} \in \bar{R}^m$.

We obtain by the formula (0) in §38 [2]

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} |\bar{a}| (([p] - [p_\mu]) |a_\nu - a|) \leq \bar{m}(\alpha |\bar{a}| ([p] - [p_\mu])) + m\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_\nu - a)\right) \\ (\nu, \mu=1, 2, 3, \dots) \text{ for any } \bar{a} \in \bar{R}^m.$$

By assumption, Theorem D and Theorem 35.1 [2], for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist ν_0 and μ_0 for which

$$m\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_\nu - a)\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } \nu \geq \nu_0,$$

$$\bar{m}(\alpha |\bar{a}| ([p] - [p_\mu])) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } \mu \geq \mu_0,$$

and hence $|\bar{a}| (([p] - [p_\mu]) |a_\nu - a|) \leq \varepsilon$ for $\nu \geq \nu_0$ and $\mu \geq \mu_0$.

Therefore we obtain $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |\bar{a}| ([p] |a_\nu - a|) = 0$ for any $0 \leq p \in R$ and $\bar{a} \in \bar{R}^m$.

On the other hand, we have by the formula (0) in §38 [2]

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} |\bar{a}| ((1 - [p]) |a_\nu - a|) \leq \bar{m}(\alpha |\bar{a}| (1 - [p])) + m\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_\nu - a)\right) \\ (\nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

for any $p \in R$ and $\bar{a} \in \bar{R}^m$.

Since $(1 - [p]) \downarrow_{p \in R} 0$ by Theorem 5.35 [2], we obtain in the same manner proved above

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |\bar{a}| (|a_\nu - a|) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \bar{a} \in \bar{R}^m, \text{ that is,}$$

$$|w| - \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Remark. The above Theorem E is the extension of Theorem A₃ to the modular semi-ordered linear space without any condition of simpleness.

By Theorem D we obtain immediately:

Corollary 5 of Theorem D. If $s\text{-ind-lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$, $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi a_\nu) = m(\xi a) < +\infty$ for all $\xi \geq 0$, then we have $m\text{-lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$.

Remark. If R is semi-regular, then we obtain immediately by Theorem E and Corollary 2 that the assumptions of Theorem B₃ and that of Corollary 5 of Theorem D are equivalent.

By Corollary 5 of Theorem D (or Theorem B₃) and Theorem 47.4 [2] we obtain immediately:

Corollary 6 of Theorem D. *If $s\text{-ind-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ (or R is semi-regular and $|w|\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$), $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m(\xi a_\nu) = m(\xi a) < +\infty$ for all $\xi \geq 0$ implies $n\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ for the first (or second) norm by m .*

Corollary 6 is an extension of Corollary 2 in §8 [1] (i. e., if $|w|\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ for $a, a_\nu \in L_\phi$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$), and if $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} m_\phi(\xi a_\nu) = m_\phi(\xi a) < +\infty$ for all $\xi \geq 0$, then $n\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ for the norms by m_ϕ) to the modularized semi-ordered linear space.

Finally I wish to express my gratitude to professor H. NAKANO and professor S. YAMAMURO for their kind guidances, encouragements and criticisms.

References

- [1] W. A. J. LUXEMBURG and A. C. ZAAANEN: Conjugate Spaces of Orlicz Spaces, Proc. Akad. Wet. Amsterdam, ser. A, 59, No. 2, 1956, pp. 217-228.
- [2] H. NAKANO: Modularized Semi-ordered Linear Spaces, Tokyo, 1950.
- [3] H. NAKANO: Topology and Linear Topological Spaces, Tokyo, 1951.
- [4] L. KANTOROVITCH: Lineare halbgeordnete Räume, Math. Sbornik, vol. 2 (44) 1937, pp. 121-168.