Title	Advances in Alstroemeria Biotechnology
Author(s)	Hoshino, Yoichiro
Citation	Floriculture, Ornamental and Plant Biotechnology : Advances and Topical Issues, Vol. 5. pp.540-547, Chapter 51. ISBN: 978-4-903313-12-2
Issue Date	2008-05
Doc URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2115/34118
Туре	bookchapter
File Information	FOPB5-51Hoshi08.pdf





Advances in Alstroemeria Biotechnology

Yoichiro Hoshino^{1,2*}

¹ Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University, Kita 11, Nishi 10, Kita-Ku, Sapporo 060-0811, Japan

Keywords: genetic transformation, interspecific hybridization, tissue culture

ABSTRACT

The genus Alstroemeria belongs to the family Alstroemeriaceae and comprises many ornamental species. This genus, including more than 60 species, is indigenous to South America. Thus far, numerous cultivars, which are used as cut flowers and potted plants worldwide, have been produced by interspecific hybridization and mutation breeding. Recently, biotechnological approaches are being applied in order to improve Alstroemeria strains. Interspecific hybrid plants have been produced by ovule cultures. By improving certain culture techniques, sexual incompatibility was overcome in some cross combinations using ovule cultures. Plant regeneration systems that involved the use of explants, immature ovules, leaves, etc., through callus cultures have been reported. Isolation of protoplasts and cultures resulting in plant regeneration were achieved by using the embryogenic callus. Particle bombardment and Agrobacterium-mediated procedures were applied for genetic transformation, and some transformed plants with marker genes were produced. The procedure of in vitro fertilization using single isolated gametes has been developed to study fertilization and early zygotic embryogenesis in higher plants. This technique will also be utilized as a novel strategy in plant breeding for inducing the fusion of gametes obtained from distantly-related incompatible species and for achieving direct gene transfer into isolated zygotes. In the case of Alstroemeria, isolation of egg cells and zygotes from ovules has been attempted in order to develop an in vitro fertilization technique.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Alstroemeriaceae family has originated from South America and includes 4 genera – *Alstroemeria*, *Bomarea*, *Leontochir* and *Schickendantzia*. *Alstroemeria*, particularly, is an important ornamental crop used for cut flowers and pot flowers throughout the world. According to Hofreiter and Rodríguez (2006) and Hofreiter and Tillich (2002), the first species of *Alstroemeria* and *Bomarea* were discovered by Feuillée (1714) in Chile and were classified as *Hemerocallis*. Linné (1762) then named them *Alstroemeria ligtu* (**Fig. 1A**), *A. pelegrina* (**Fig. 1B**) and *A. salsilla* after his colleague Claes Alstroemer. Among these species, *A. salsilla* was revised as *Bomarea salsilla* (**Fig. 1C**) by Mirbel (1804).

Alstroemeria was initially classified under the family Amaryllidaceae based on the presence of the inferior ovary. Dumortier (1829) proposed the family Alstroemeriaceae. Hutchinson (1964) also described the family Alstroemeriaceae in the taxonomic book 'The genera of flowering plants'. Karyotype analysis by means of chromosome banding techniques supported the separation of Alstroemeriaceae from Amaryllidaceae (Lakshmi 1976). Based on these studies, Alstroemeriaceae is recognized as an independent family comprising Alstroemeria, Bomarea, Leontochir and Schickendantzia.

The distribution of the genus *Alstroemeria* was studied by Bayer (1987) and Aker and Healy (1990). Hofreiter and Rodríguez (2006) summarized the countries in which these species occurred and the number of wild species of *Alstroemeria* based on previous reports by Gereau (1994), Sanso (1996), Assis (2002), Harling and Neuendorf (2003) and Muñoz Schick and Moreira Muñoz (2003). At present, there are 39 species in Brazil; 33 species in Chile; 10 species in Argentina; 2 species in Peru; and 1 species in Bolivia, the Guianas, Paraguay, Uruguay and Venezuela (Hofreiter and Rodríguez 2006). Among the wild species of *Alstroemeria*, *A. ligtu* (Fig. 1A), *A. pelegrina* (Fig. 1B), *A. aurea* (Fig. 1D), *A. magenta* (Fig. 1E), *A. psittacina* (Fig. 1F), *A. inodora*, *A. pulchra*, *A. violacea*, *A. versicolor* and *A. caryophyllaea* are presumed to be the parents of extant cultivars or candidates for further breeding.

An increased production of *Alstroemeria* cultivars worldwide has recently been noted, particularly in the Netherlands, US and Japan since these plants have favourable characteristics such as high ornamental value, long vase life, variation in flower colour and low energy input for cultivation. In order to cultivate *Alstroemeria*, biotechnology-based procedures have been developed in addition to conventional breeding techniques. In this chapter, I overview the advances in biotechnology with regard to *Alstroemeria* cultivation and discuss the prospects for *Alstroemeria* breeding using novel techniques.

² Division of Innovative Research, Creative Research Initiative 'Sousei' (CRIS), Hokkaido University, Kita 21, Nishi 10, Kita-Ku, Sapporo 001-0021, Japan *Correspondence:* *hoshino@exfarm.agr.hokudai.ac.jp



Fig. 1 Representative flowers of the Alstroemeriaceae family. (A) Alstroemeria ligtu; (B) A. pelegrina var. rosea; (C) Bomarea salsilla; (D) A. aurea; (E) A. magenta; (F) A. psittacina.

1.1. Development of ovule cultures for interspecific hybridization

Generally, interspecific hybridizations fail due to post-fertilization barriers or inhibition of pollen tube elongation. By using fluorescence microscopy, de Jeu and Jacobsen (1995) observed the entry of pollen tubes into ovules and the subsequent fertilization and embryo development in interspecific diallel crossing among *A. aurea*, *A. hookeri*, *A. pulchra*, *A. ligtu*, *A. pelegrina*, *A inodora* and *A. brasiliensis*. They described the post-fertilization barrier-induced incompatibility in many interspecific hybridizations of *Alstroemeria*. This result indicated that embryo rescue before abortion is effective in obtaining successful interspecific hybridizations. To ensure success of embryo rescue, many culture conditions were examined, such as using various sucrose concentrations, culture temperatures and basal media. **Table 1** lists the manuscripts that have described successful interspecific hybridizations in *Alstroemeria*.

Buitendijk *et al.* (1995) reported that embryo rescue by half-ovule culture was effective in producing interspecific hybrid plants from diallel crosses of *A. aurea*, *A. pelegrina*, *A. magnifica*, *A. inodora* and *A. psittacina*. They reported that germination of hybrid embryos was induced by harvesting ovules before the onset of endosperm degeneration and preparation of the ovules by cutting them into halves. The alternative culture conditions were as follows: harvesting the ovules 14 days after pollination, removing the chalazal region and using a liquid medium containing 1/4× strength Murashige-Skoog (MS) (Murashige and Skoog 1962) macronutrients, full strength MS micronutrients, vitamins, 400 mg I⁻¹ casein hydrolysate and 60 g I⁻¹ sucrose; incubation was carried out on a rotary shaker at 21°C in the dark. By using this procedure, a total of 260 hybrid plants were successfully produced across all cross combinations.

de Jeu and Jacobsen (1995) reported that early post-fertilization ovule culture could overcome barriers to interspecific hybridization. They

Table 1 List of manuscripts describing interspecific hybridizations in Alstroemeria

Cross combination	Days after pollination	Culture condition	Reference
Diallel cross	14	1/4× MS macronutrients	Buitendijk et al. 1995
A. aurea, A. pelegrina, A. magnifica, A. inodora, A. psittacina		MS micronutrients and vitamins	
		400 mg l ⁻¹ casein hydrolyzate	
		60 g l⁻¹ sucrose	
		21°C dark	
		liquid culture on rotary shaker	
Diallel cross	2	MS medium	de Jeu and Jacobsen
A. aurea, A. hookeri, A. pulchra, A. ligtu, A. pelegrina, A. inodora, A. brasiliensis		9% sucrose	1995
		21°C, 12 h photoperiod	
A. violacea × A. pelegrina var. alba	7	MS medium	Lu and Bridgen 1996
A. violacea × A. pelegrina var. rosea		146 mg l-1 glutamine	
A. violacea × A. ligtu ssp. incamata		30 g l⁻¹ sucrose	
A. pelegrina var. alba × A. violacea		6.5 g l⁻¹ agar	
A. violacea × A. 'Rosy Wing'		18°C ± 1°C dark	
A. 'UC12' × A. violacea			
A. gayana × A. violacea			
A. 'ER292' × A. pelegrina var. alba			
A. 'UC12' × A. pelegrina var. alba			
A. ligtu hybrid × A. pelegrina var. rosea	7, 14, 21, 28, 35	MS medium	Ishikawa et al. 1997
		3% sucrose, 2 g l-1 gellan gum	
		20°C, 16 h photoperiod	
A. pelegrina var. rosea × A. magenta	7–14	MS medium	Ishikawa et al. 2001
		3% sucrose, 2 g l-1 gellan gum	
		20°C, 16 h photoperiod	
Diallel cross	14	1/2 MS medium	Shinoda and Murata
A. angustifolia, A. aurea, A. diluta, A. garaventae, A. hookeri, A ligtu, A.		3% sucrose, 2 g l-1 gellan gum	2003
magnifica, A. magenta, A. pelegrina, A. presliana, A. pulchra, A. versicolor, A.		20°C, 16 h photoperiod	
zoellneri, A. inodora, A. psittacina			

provided data on 7 *Alstroemeria* species, 5 from Chile (*A. aurea*, *A. hookeri*, *A. pulchra*, *A. ligtu* and *A. pelegrina*) and 2 from Brazil (*A. inodora* and *A. brasiliensis*). Based on histological observations of developing embryos and endosperm in interspecific crosses, they employed the early post-fertilization ovule culture technique, in which young ovules were cultured *in vitro* 2 days after pollination. This technique produced seeds that were a cross between *A. inodora* and *A. pelegrina*, as well as in reciprocal crosses between *A. inodora* and *A. brasiliensis*.

Lu and Bridgen (1996) provided detailed data on the effect of genotype, developmental stage of an embryo and the culture medium on interspecific hybrids of *Alstroemeria*. They reported that harvesting ovules 7 days after pollination and culturing them on MS medium (Murashige and Skoog 1962) supplemented with 146 mg I⁻¹ glutamine and 30 g I⁻¹ sucrose resulted in a higher rate of embryo germinations (53.3%) in responsive genotypes. Furthermore, Lu and Bridgen (1997) succeeded in producing amphidiploid plants that were crosses between *A. aurea* and *A. caryophyllaea* through interspecific hybridization and chromosome doubling with colchicine treatment. As a result, the fertility of the amphidiploid plants was not restored, although an increased pollen fertility of up to 12% was observed when examined using aniline-blue-lactophenol.

Ovule cultures have been applied for other cross combinations, e.g., *A. ligtu* hybrid × *A. pelegrina* var. *rosea* (Ishikawa *et al.* 1997) and *A. pelegrina* var. *rosea* × *A. magenta* (Ishikawa *et al.* 2001). They harvested the ovules 7-14 days after cross pollination and cultured them under a 16-h photoperiod at 20°C on 2 g l⁻¹ gellan gum-solidified MS medium (1962) supplemented with 30 g l⁻¹ sucrose. In order to restore fertility, amphidiploid plants were produced by colchicine treatment (Ishikawa *et al.* 1999) from interspecific crosses of *A. ligtu* hybrid and *A. pelegrina* var. *rosea*. The results showed that the amphidiploid plants could produce seeds by self-pollination and the progenies revealed amphidiploid characteristics. Moreover, the amphidiploid plants that were crossed with *A. ligtu* hybrid, *A. pelegrina* var. *rosea*, *A. aurea*, *A. paupercula* and *A. psittacina* produced triploid progeny plants.

Recently, Shinoda and Murata (2003) carried out great number of cross hybridizations through ovule cultures using *A. angustifolia*, *A. aurea*, *A. diluta*, *A. garaventae*, *A. hookeri*, *A. ligtu*, *A. magnifica*, *A. magenta*, *A. pelegrina*, *A. presliana*, *A. pulchra*, *A. versicolor*, *A. zoellneri*, *A. inodora* and *A. psittacina*. The ovule cultures after diallel crossing showed interspecific incompatibility in many combinations; hybrid embryos germinated in 196 out of 210 crossing combinations. In particular, *A. angustifolia*, *A. ligtu*, *A. magenta* and *A. pelegrina* showed high cross-compatibility as ovule parents. Therefore, *Alstroemeria* breeding through interspecific hybridization has been successful. The present detailed study will contribute in producing interspecific hybridization in *Alstroemeria*, resulting in progress in breeding novel cultivars.

Consequently, the most reliable embryo rescue techniques for the production of interspecific hybridizations in *Alstroemeria* are summarized as follows:

- (1) Ovaries are harvested 2-14 days after pollination.
- (2) Ovules are cultured on 2 g l⁻¹ gellan gum-solidified MS medium or 1/2 strength MS medium supplemented with 30-90 g l⁻¹ sucrose.
- (3) The ovules are kept under 12-16-h photoperiod at 20°C.

1.2. Tissue culture techniques

Recent advances in plant regeneration systems in *Alstroemeria* are summarized in **Table 2**. In order to induce callus harbouring regeneration capability, different explants and culture media were examined. In most cases, mature or immature embryos in ovules were used for inducing callus growth, and subsequent plant regeneration was achieved from the embryo-derived callus. Culture conditions differed considerably among the different works. In particular, plant growth regulators used in the initial media for callus induction were different (**Table 2**). However, one

Plant material	Explant	Initial culture	Regeneration medium	Reference
cv. 'Butterfly'	Mature embryo	MS medium	MS medium	Gonzalez-Benito and
		2 or 4 mg l ⁻¹ 2,4-D	4 mg I-1 BAP	Alderson 1992
		2 or 4 mg l ⁻¹ picloram	0.7% agar	
etraploid cultivar	Mature zygotic embryo	0.7% agar MS salts	MS salts	Hutchinson et al. 1994
A. pelegrina × A. psittacina)	Matare 2ygotte embrye	B5 vitamins	B5 vitamins	riatoriiloori et al. 1004
(t. pologrina × 7 t. politacina)		10 or 20 µM NAA	3% sucrose	
		20 μM kinetin	0.3% Gelrite	
		3% sucrose	0.070 001110	
		0.3% Gelrite		
A. inodora accession P007	Immature embryo in	MS medium	MS medium	Van Schaik et al. 1996
etraploid cultivar '118'	half-ovule	50 g l ⁻¹ sucrose	30 g l ⁻¹ sucrose	
		0.4 g l ⁻¹ casein hydrolysate	0.4 g l ⁻¹ casein hydrolysate	
		1 mg l-1 2,4-D	2 mg I-1 BAP	
		0.5 mg I ⁻¹ BAP	2 g l ⁻¹ Gelrite	
		2 g l-1 Gelrite		
etraploid cultivar	Mature zygotic embryo	MS salts	1/2 MS medium	Hutchinson et al. 1997
(A. pelegrina × A. psittacina)		B5 vitamins	250 mg l-1 casein hydrolysate	
		40 μM NAA	0.15% Gelrite	
		20 μM kinetin		
		3% sucrose		
		0.3% Gelrite		
etraploid cultivar genotype	Leaf	MS medium	MS medium	Lin et al. 1997
V024		10 μM TDZ	2.2 μM BAP	
		0.5 μM IBA	3% sucrose	
		3% sucrose	0.7% micro agar	
		0.74% Daishin agar		
Tetraploid cultivar genotype VV026	Leaf axil	MS medium	MS medium	Lin <i>et al</i> . 1998
		10 μM TDZ	2.2 µM BAP	
		0.5 μM IBA	3% sucrose	
		3% sucrose		
etraploid cultivar genotype	Leaf axil	MS medium	MS medium	Lin <i>et al</i> . 2000a
V024, VV2410, VV2434,		10 μM TDZ	2.2 µM BAP	
V2435, VV2452, VV2454		0.5 μM IBA	3% sucrose	
		3% sucrose	0.7% micro agar	
		0.74% Daishin agar		
etraploid cultivar genotype	Stem segment	MS medium	MS medium	Lin <i>et al</i> . 2000b
V024, BT207		4 mg I ⁻¹ 2,4-D	0.1 mg I ⁻¹ BAP	
		0.5–1.0 mg/l BAP	30 g l-1 sucrose	
		30 g l⁻¹ sucrose	3 g l-1 Gelrite	
		7 g/l micro agar		
		V		
		MS medium		
		0.5 mg I ⁻¹ BAP		
		30 g l-1 sucrose		
		3 g l-1 Gelrite		
		MC madisum (DCA madisum in arining) and a		
		MS medium (PCA medium in original paper)		
		10 mg l-1 picloram		
		20 g l ⁻¹ sucrose		
. (0	Dhiasas asittias	3 % Gelrite	MC direct	Ohiani and Daidasan 200
cv. 'Sweet Laura' Butterfly-type 'A30'	Rhizome splitting	MS medium	MS medium	Chiari and Bridgen 200
		8.9 µM BA	1.1 µM NAA	
		3% sucrose	3% sucrose	
		0.25% Phytagel	0.25% Phytagel	
'allau Kina'	Floral anions	1/2 MC madium	(for rooting)	Dadraza Cantas at al
Yellow King'	Floral apices	1/2 MS medium	MS medium	Padraza-Santos et al.
· ·		2.5 mg I ⁻¹ kinetin 1.5 mg I ⁻¹ BA	1.0 mg 1-1 BA	2006
· ·		1 5 mα I= 1 RΔ	30 g l ⁻¹ sucrose	
v				
Š		1.0 mg 1-1 NAA	(for shoot multiplication)	
ŭ		1.0 mg 1-1 NAA 30 g l-1 sucrose	(for shoot multiplication)	
•	Nedes with our tiesus	1.0 mg 1-1 NAA 30 g I-1 sucrose filter paper to support		Vim at al 2006
·	Nodes with axil tissue	1.0 mg 1-1 NAA 30 g I-1 sucrose filter paper to support MS medium	MS medium	Kim <i>et al.</i> 2006
•	Nodes with axil tissue	1.0 mg 1-1 NAA 30 g I-1 sucrose filter paper to support MS medium 10 µM TDZ	MS medium 2.2 µM BA	Kim <i>et al.</i> 2006
·	Nodes with axil tissue	1.0 mg 1-1 NAA 30 g I-1 sucrose filter paper to support MS medium 10 µM TDZ 0.5 µM IBA	MS medium 2.2 µM BA 40 g I ⁻¹ sucrose	Kim <i>et al.</i> 2006
•	Nodes with axil tissue	1.0 mg 1-1 NAA 30 g I-1 sucrose filter paper to support MS medium 10 µM TDZ 0.5 µM IBA 30 g I-1 sucrose	MS medium 2.2 µM BA	Kim <i>et al.</i> 2006
•	Nodes with axil tissue	1.0 mg 1-1 NAA 30 g I-1 sucrose filter paper to support MS medium 10 µM TDZ 0.5 µM IBA 30 g I-1 sucrose 7.5 g I-1 Microagar	MS medium 2.2 µM BA 40 g I ⁻¹ sucrose	Kim <i>et al.</i> 2006
-	Nodes with axil tissue	1.0 mg 1-¹ NAA 30 g I-¹ sucrose filter paper to support MS medium 10 μM TDZ 0.5 μM IBA 30 g I-¹ sucrose 7.5 g I-¹ Microagar ↓	MS medium 2.2 µM BA 40 g I ⁻¹ sucrose	Kim <i>et al.</i> 2006
•	Nodes with axil tissue	1.0 mg 1-¹ NAA 30 g I-¹ sucrose filter paper to support MS medium 10 µM TDZ 0.5 µM IBA 30 g I-¹ sucrose 7.5 g I-¹ Microagar ↓ Schenk and Hildebrandt medium (SH medium)	MS medium 2.2 µM BA 40 g I ⁻¹ sucrose	Kim <i>et al.</i> 2006
•	Nodes with axil tissue	1.0 mg 1-¹ NAA 30 g I-¹ sucrose filter paper to support MS medium 10 µM TDZ 0.5 µM IBA 30 g I-¹ sucrose 7.5 g I-¹ Microagar ↓ Schenk and Hildebrandt medium (SH medium) 9.1 µM 2,4-D	MS medium 2.2 µM BA 40 g I ⁻¹ sucrose	Kim <i>et al.</i> 2006
	Nodes with axil tissue	1.0 mg 1-¹ NAA 30 g I-¹ sucrose filter paper to support MS medium 10 µM TDZ 0.5 µM IBA 30 g I-¹ sucrose 7.5 g I-¹ Microagar ↓ Schenk and Hildebrandt medium (SH medium) 9.1 µM 2,4-D 2.2 µM BA	MS medium 2.2 µM BA 40 g I ⁻¹ sucrose	Kim <i>et al.</i> 2006
	Nodes with axil tissue	1.0 mg 1-1 NAA 30 g I-1 sucrose filter paper to support MS medium 10 µM TDZ 0.5 µM IBA 30 g I-1 sucrose 7.5 g I-1 Microagar Schenk and Hildebrandt medium (SH medium) 9.1 µM 2,4-D 2.2 µM BA 30 g I-1 sucrose	MS medium 2.2 µM BA 40 g I ⁻¹ sucrose	Kim <i>et al.</i> 2006
/V024-6	Nodes with axil tissue	1.0 mg 1-¹ NAA 30 g I-¹ sucrose filter paper to support MS medium 10 µM TDZ 0.5 µM IBA 30 g I-¹ sucrose 7.5 g I-¹ Microagar ↓ Schenk and Hildebrandt medium (SH medium) 9.1 µM 2,4-D 2.2 µM BA	MS medium 2.2 µM BA 40 g I ⁻¹ sucrose	Kim <i>et al.</i> 2006
	Nodes with axil tissue	1.0 mg 1-1 NAA 30 g I-1 sucrose filter paper to support MS medium 10 µM TDZ 0.5 µM IBA 30 g I-1 sucrose 7.5 g I-1 Microagar Schenk and Hildebrandt medium (SH medium) 9.1 µM 2,4-D 2.2 µM BA 30 g I-1 sucrose	MS medium 2.2 µM BA 40 g I ⁻¹ sucrose	Kim <i>et al.</i> 2006

condition was maintained across most works, i.e., the use of benzylaminopurine (BAP), which was effective in regenerating plantlets. Plant growth regulators can influence callus induction by affecting the genotype-dependence and the possible cultivars or species that can be used are limited. New culture systems need to be developed for further progress in *Alstroemeria* biotechnology.

Lin et al. developed a plant regeneration system from leaf and stem explants (Lin et al. 1997, 1998, 2000a, 2000b). Kim et al. (2006) also reported on somatic embryogenesis from nodes with axil tissue. Organogenesis from leaf and stem has not been considered possible in monocotyledons. The development of culture system from leaf and stem in Alstroemeria is valuable, although successful organogenesis from leaf and stem is strongly genotype-dependent at the present. These results could expand the possibilities of use of culture systems for Alstroemeria.

By utilizing the embryogenic callus culture in *Alstroemeria*, isolation of protoplasts, subsequent protoplast culture and regeneration of plants from protoplasts were achieved (Kim *et al.* 2005). Such techniques will promote research on somatic hybridization between cross-incompatible species as well as on direct gene transfer with electroporation.

1.3. Genetic transformation

Particle bombardment and *Agrobacterium*-mediated procedures were applied for genetic transformation, resulting in the generation of transformed plants containing the marker genes. The first attempt at genetic transformation in *Alstroemeria* was reported by van Schaik *et al.* (2000). They confirmed transient gene expression in embryogenic callus after particle bombardment. Foreign gene expression examined with a β-glucuronidase (GUS) assay indicated the reducible transformation conditions in which the *GUS* gene was driven by the maize ubiquitin

promoter; suitable parameters for the assay equipment have been reported. Although stable transformed calluses were obtained after visual selection based on luciferase activity, no plant regeneration was observed during the 2 years of maintenance.

Subsequently, Lin et al. (2000c) succeeded in producing transgenic plants by particle bombardment. They used a luciferase gene from a firefly as the reporter gene under the control of the maize ubiquitin promoter (Ubi1) and attempted transferring this gene into friable embryogenic calluses and proembryos. Following visual selection, transgenic plantlets were regenerated through embryogenesis. Moreover, another selection by phosphinothricin (PPT) helped in obtaining bar and GUS gene-incorporated plants after particle bombardment. The successful transformation obtained by Lin et al. (2000c) appears to be due to the selection of elite embryogenic callus lines with a high regeneration potential and maintaining these cells till abiolistic procedure was performed.

Hoshino et al. (2000) reported on the genetic transformation of Alstroemeria via co-cultivation of embryogenic suspension cells with Agrobacterium tumefaciens. The process was illustrated in Figure 2. Embryogenic cell suspension cultures were established via ovule culture of an interspecific cross between A. pelegrina and A. magenta. Cell suspension cultures could be initiated from the callus using liquid MS media containing picloram. An Agrobacteriummediated transformation system was developed by using the embryogenic suspension cells. The suspension cells were co-cultivated with A. tumefaciens strain EHA101/pIG121Hm or LBA4404/pTOK233, both of which harboured the binary vector carrying the neomycin phosphotransferase II (NPTII), hygromycin phosphotransferase (HPT) and the introncontaining β -glucuronidase (intron-GUS) genes in the T-DNA region. Transgenic plants were obtained from co-cultivated cells after selection on a hygromycin-containing medium.

Further research is needed before useful genes can be successfully incorporated into *Alstroemeria* and the safety of genetically modified plants can be evaluated. Subsequently, transgenic techniques will contribute in improving the plant species.




Fig. 2 Regeneration of transgenic *Alstroemeria* **plant from cell suspension culture after co-cultivation with** *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. **(A)** Ovules 14 days after pollination (*Alstroemeria pelegrina* × *A. magenta*) were cultured for callus induction. Bar = 2 mm. **(B)** Cell suspension culture induced from ovule materials. Bar = 3 cm. **(C)** GUS expression of *Alstroemeria* suspension cells 7 days after co-cultivation with *A. tumefaciens*. Bar = 1 cm. **(D)** Shoot regeneration showing hygromycin-resistance after infection of *Agrobacterium*. Bar = 1 cm. **(E)** GUS expression of regenerated *Alstroemeria* shoot. Bar = 0.5 cm. **(F)** Regeneration of transgenic *Alstroemeria* plant. Bar = 3 cm.

1.4. Attempts to develop novel procedures for Alstroemeria improvement

The ovules of *A. aurea* were used in an attempt to develop a reliable protocol for isolating living egg cells and zygotes involved (Hoshino *et al.* 2006). Fresh ovule sections were prepared with a surgical blade and treated with an enzyme solution. Subsequently, these ovule sections were dissected using a hand-made glass needle with fine tip under an inverted microscope. The egg cells successfully isolated by this procedure were collected using microcapillaries connected to a computer-controlled micropump. For zygote isolation, ovules were excised from the ovaries 24 h after self-pollination. By treating the excised ovules with an enzyme solution and subsequently dissecting them by using a glass needle, the zygotes were successfully isolated from the ovules and collected with a microcapillary. Egg cells and zygotes were viable for up to 2 h following isolation, as determined by fluorescein diacetate staining. These procedures may be utilized for *in vitro* fertilization, enabling artificial fusion between a single isolated egg cell and sperm cell. This concept can be applied for obtaining crosses of sexually incompatible *Alstroemeria* species through *in vitro* fertilization.

2. CONCLUSIONS

Evaluation of the genetic diversity of wild species of Alstroemeriaceae and *Alstroemeria* cultivars has been attempted using chromosomal research and molecular markers. Karyotype analysis has been carried out and applied to taxonomic research. The chromosome numbers of wild *Alstroemeria* species have been determined (Strasburger 1882; Taylor 1926; Hang and Tsuchiya 1988; Stephens *et al.* 1993). According to these studies, the somatic chromosome number is 2n = 2X = 16. Sanso and Hunziker (1998) revealed that the basic chromosome number of *Alstroemeria* (n = 8) and *Bomarea* (n = 9) is critical for discriminating between the genera *Alstroemeria* and *Bomarea* that have similar morphological features.

Buitendijk and Ramanna (1996) showed that Giemsa C-banding and Feulgen staining could be used to identify *A. angustifolia*, *A. aurea*, *A. ligtu*, *A. magnifica*, *A. pelegrina*, *A. philippii* and *A. psittacina*. Furthermore, many individual chromosomes in the interspecific hybrids of these plants were differentiated on the basis of chromosome morphology and C-banding pattern.

de Jeu *et al.* (1997) characterized the repetitive DNA sequences of *A. aurea* and identified the species-specific clones A001-I and A001-II. Analysis of *A. aurea*-specific repeats using fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) revealed distinct localization patterns in the heterochromatic regions of all *A. aurea* chromosomes. FISH was also applied to the chromosomes of *Alstroemeria* species by Kamstra *et al.* (1997). Species-specific repetitive DNA and highly conserved rDNA sequences were localized on metaphase chromosomes of the Chilean species *A. aurea* and the Brazilian species *A. inodora*. The FISH patterns showed obvious differences between these 2 species. Furthermore, Kamstra *et al.* (1999) revealed that FISH performed using species-specific probes could detect homoeologous chromosome pairing in the distant hybrid *A. aurea* × *A. inodora* and the genome constitution of its BC₁ progeny plants. Additionally, genomic *in situ* hybridization (GISH) enabled the identification of parental genomes in the following interspecific hybrids: *A. magnifica* × *A. inodora*, *A. inodora*, *A. inodora*, *A. pelegrina* × *A. aurea* (Kuipers *et al.* 1997).

Kuipers *et al.* (1998) detected Ty1-*copia*-like retrotransposons in some *Alstroemeria* chromosomes by *in situ* hybridization and discussed the contributions of these retrotransposons to genome evolution and diversity. Kuipers *et al.* (2002) also reported the molecular cloning of highly repetitive DNA sequences from the Brazilian species *A. psittacina* and *A. inodora*. *In situ* hybridization using the repetitive DNA sequences revealed specific localization patterns in the chromosomes of *A. psittacina* and *A. inodora*.

Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis was utilized to analyse the genetic relationships among *Alstroemeria* cultivars (Dubouzet *et al.* 1997) and between wild *Alstroemeria* species and cultivars (Dubouzet *et al.* 1998). Aros *et al.* (2006) used RAPD markers in combination with morphological descriptors and demonstrated the genetic diversity between commercial cultivars and wild *Alstroemeria* species. Molecular markers have also been developed for amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) by Han *et al.* (1999). By using AFLP markers, their group constructed a linkage map for *A. aurea* (Han *et al.* 2002) and demonstrated the differences between the Chiliean and Brazilian *Alstroemeria* species (Han *et al.* 2000). The sequences of the *rps16* and *rbcL* introns were analyzed to investigate the phylogeny of the Alstroemeriaceae family, including 23 *Alstroemeria*, 3 *Bomarea* and 1 *Leontochir* species (Aagesen and Sanso 2003). Based on the results, the *Alstroemeria* species were roughly divided into 3 subclades according to their geographical area of occurrence, i.e. northern Chile, central Chile and Brazil.

As mentioned above, taxonomic and phylogentic analyses using molecular markers and karyotype analysis have yielded accurate data regarding the genetic relationships and diversity in Alstroemeriaceae. In addition, the nuclear content in the *Alstroemeria* species and some of their hybrids was estimated by flow cytometry using PI and DAPI (Buitendijk et al. 1997). These results are useful for further breeding of *Alstroemeria* through interspecific cross combination.

In this chapter, many attempts to produce interspecific hybrids in *Alstroemeria* have been described. Novel cross combinations using related species are necessary for further cultivation. Recently, intergeneric hybrids have been produced in the Colchicaceae by crossing *Sandersonia aurantiaca* and *Gloriosa rothschildiana* (Nakamura *et al.* 2005). The hybrid plants are expected to have an increased ornamental value. With regard to *Alstroemeria*, the related genus *Bomarea* is one of the candidates for hybridization because of its ornamental value. Phylogenetically, *Bomarea* is related to *Alstroemeria* (Sanso and Xifreda 2001). Future studies should focus on the production of hybrids by cross combinations between distantly related genera such as *Alstroemeria* and *Bomarea*. Several barriers need to be overcome before hybrid varieties of *Alstroemeria* species can be obtained. In order to overcome post-fertilization barriers, further improvements in hybridization techniques are necessary.

Recently, *in vitro* fertilization studies have been carried out in higher plants (Kranz *et al.* 2004). This technique can be utilized as a novel strategy in plant breeding for inducing the fusion of gametes between distantly related incompatible species and for achieving direct gene transfer into isolated zygotes. In *Alstroemeria*, *in vitro* fertilization using isolated egg cells and zygotes from ovules has been attempted (Hoshino *et al.* 2006). In breeding programs for *Alstroemeria*, it is expected that the hybrid plants will possess traits such as virus and disease resistance, attractive flower colours, novel flower shapes, flower longevity, dwarfness and attractive scent. Furthermore, flowering control is important for

commercial production. Further studies combining recent biotechnological techniques are necessary to produce valuable cultivars Alstroemeria with the above-mentioned traits.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. K. Shinoda (National Agricultural Research Center for Hokkaido Region) for helpful suggestions and providing plant materials. Technical supports from Y. Kashihara and members of my laboratory are greatly appreciated.

REFERENCES

Aagesen L, Sanso AM (2003) The phylogeny of the Alstroemeriaceae, based on morphology, rps16, intron, and rbcL sequence data. Systematic Botany 28, 47-69

Aker S, Healy W (1990) The phytogeography of the genus Alstroemeria. Herbertia 46, 76-87

Aros D, Meneses C, Infante R (2006) Genetic diversity of wild species and cultivated varieties of alstroemeria estimated through morphological descriptors and RAPD markers. Scientia Horticulturae 108, 86-90

Assis CM (2002) Novas espécies de Alstroemeria L. (Alstroemeriaceae) de Minas Gerais, Brasil. Revista Brasileira de Botânica 25, 177-182

Bayer E (1987) Die Gattung Alstroemeria in Chile. Mitteilungen der Botanischen Staatssammlung München 24, 1-362

Buitendilk JH, Boon EJ, Ramanna MS (1997) Nuclear DNA content in twelve species of Alstroemeria L. and some of their hybrids. Annals of Botany 79, 343-353

Buitendijk JH, Pinsonneaux N, van Donk AC, Ramanna MS, van Lammeren AAM (1995) Embryo rescue by half-ovule culture for the production of interspecific hybrids in Alstroemeria. Scientia Horticulturae 64, 65-75

Buitendijk JH, Ramanna MS (1996) Giemsa C-banded karyotypes of eight species of Alstroemeria L. and some of their hybrids. Annals of Botany 78, 449-457

Chiari A, Bridgen MP (2000) Rhizome splitting: a new micropropagation technique to increase in vitro propagule yield in Alstroemeria. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 62, 39-46 de Jeu MJ, Lasschuit J, Kuipers AGJ, Kamstra SA, Visser RGF (1997) Characterization and localization of repetitive DNA sequences in the ornamental Alstroemeria aurea Graham. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 94, 982-990

de Jeu MJ, Jacobsen E (1995) Early postfertilization ovule culture in Alstroemeria L. and barriers to interspecific hybridization. Euphytica 86, 15-23

Dubouzet JG, Murata N, Shinoda K (1997) RAPD analysis of genetic relationships among Alstroemeria L. cultivars. Scientia Horticulturae 68, 181-189

Dubouzet JG, Murata N, Shinoda K (1998) Relationships among some cultivated species and varieties of Alstroemeria L. based on RAPD analysis. Scientia Horticulturae 73, 37-44 Dumortier CB (1829) Analyse des Familles des Plantes, Imprimerie de J. Casterman, Tournay, 58 pp

Gereau RE (1994) Alstroemeriaceae. In: Davidse G, Sousa SM, Chater OA (Eds) Flora Mesoamericana 6, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Missouri Botanical Garden and The Natural History Museum, London, England, pp 48-51

Gonzalez-Benito ME, Alderson PG (1992) Callus induction and plant regeneration in Alstroemeria. Journal of Experimental Botany 43, 205-211

Han TH, van Eck HJ, de Jeu MJ, Jacobsen E (1999) Optimization of AFLP fingerprinting of organisms with large-sized genome: a study on Alstroemeria spp. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 98, 465-471

Han TH, van Eck HJ, de Jeu MJ, Jacobsen E (2000) Genetic diversity of Chilean and Brazilian Alstroemeria species assessed by AFLP analysis. Heredity 84, 564-569

Han TH, van Eck HJ, de Jeu MJ, Jacobsen E (2002) The construction of a linage map of Alstroemeria aurea by AFLP markers. Euphytica 128, 153-164

Hang A, Tsuchiya T (1988) Chromosome studies in the genus Alstroemeria. II. Chromosome constitutions of eleven additional cultivars. Plant Breeding 100, 273-279

Harling G, Neuendorf M (2003) Alstroemeriaceae. In Harling G, Andersson L (Eds) Flora of Ecuador 71, Göteborg University, Göteborg, Sweden, pp 3-108

Hofreiter A, Rodríguez EF (2006) The Alstroemeriaceae in Peru and neighbouring areas. Revista Peruana Biología 13, 5-69

Hofreiter A, Tillich HJ (2002) The delimitation, infrageneric subdivision, ecology and distribution of Bomarea Mirbel (Alstroemeriaceae). Feddes Repertorium 113, 528-544

Hoshino Y, Murata N, Shinoda K (2006) Isolation of individual egg cells and zygotes in Alstroemeria followed by manual selection with a microcapillary-connected micropump. Annals

Hoshino Y, Sato H, Murata N, Shinoda K (2000) Genetic transformation of the interspecific hybrid of Alstroemeria via co-cultivation of embryogenic suspension cells with Agrobacterium tumefaciens. The Asia Pacific Conference on Plant Tissue Culture and Agribiotechnology, 93 pp

Hutchinson J (1964) The Genera of Flowering Plants, Oxford University Press, England, 42 pp

Hutchinson MJ, Senaratna T, Tsujita JM, Saxena PK (1997) Somatic embryogenesis in liquid cultures of a tetraploid Alstroemeria. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 47, 293-297 Hutchinson MJ, Tsujita JM, Saxena PK (1994) Callus induction and plant regeneration from mature zygotic embryos of a tetraploid Alstroemeria (A. pelegrina × A. psittacina). Plant Cell Reports 14, 184-187

Ishikawa T, Takayama T, Ishizaka H (1999) Amphidiploids between Alstroemeria ligtu L. hybrid and A. pelegrina L. var. rosea induced through colchicine treatment and their reproductive characteristics. Scientia Horticulturae 80, 235-246

Ishikawa T, Takayama T, Ishizaka H, Ishikawa K, Mii M (1997) Production of interspecific hybrids between Alstroemeria ligtu L. hybrid and A. pelegrina L. var. rosea by ovule culture. Breeding Science 47, 15-20

Ishikawa T, Takayama T, Ishizaka H, Ishikawa K, Mii M (2001) Production of interspecific hybrids between Alstroemeria pelegrina L. var. rosea and A. magenta Bayer by ovule culture, Euphytica 118, 19-27

Kamstra SA, Kuipers AGJ, de Jeu MJ, Ramanna MS, Jacobsen E (1997) Physical localisation of repetitive DNA sequences in Alstroemeria: karyotyping of two species with

species-specific and ribosomal DNA. *Genome* 40, 652-658

Kamstra SA, Ramanna MS, de Jeu MJ, Kuipers AGJ, Jacobsen E (1999) Homoeologous chromosome pairing in the distant hybrid Alstroemeria aurea × A. inodora and the genome composition of its backcross derivatives determined by fluorescence in situ hybridization with species-specific probes. Heredity 82, 69-78

Kim JB, Bergervoet JEM, Raemakers CJJM, Jacobsen E, Visser RGF (2005) Isolation of protoplasts, and culture and regeneration into plants in Alstroemeria. In Vitro Cellular Developmental Biology – Plant 41, 505-510

Kim JB, Raemakers CJJM, Jacobsen E, Visser RGF (2006) Efficient somatic embryogenesis in Alstroemeria. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 86, 233-238

Kranz E, Hoshino Y, Okamoto T, Scholten S (2004) Double fertilization in vitro and transgene technology. In: Srivastava PS, Narula A, Srivastava S (Eds) Plant Biotechnology and Molecular Markers, Anamaya Publisher, New Delhi, India, pp 31-42

Kuipers AGJ, Heslop-Harrison JS, Jacobsen E (1998) Characterisation and physical localisation of Ty1-copia-like retrotransposons in four Alstroemeria species. Genome 41, 357-

Kuipers AG, Kamstra SA, de Jeu MJ, Visser RGF (2002) Molecular characterization and physical localization of highly repetitive DNA sequences from Brazilian Alstroemeria species. Chromosome Research 10, 389-398

Kuipers AGJ, van Os DPM, de Jong JH, Ramanna MS (1997) Molecular cytogenetics of Alstroemeria: identification of parental genomes in interspecific hybrids and characterization of repetitive DNA families in constitutive heterochromatin. Chromosome Research 5, 31-39

Lakshmi N (1976) Cytotaxonomic investigations in the genus Alstroemeria L. Chromosome Information Service 20, 20-21

Lin HS, de Jeu MJ, Jacobsen E (1997) Direct shoot regeneration from excised leaf explants of in vitro grown seedlings of Alstroemeria L. Plant Cell Reports 16, 770-774

Lin HS, de Jeu MJ, Jacobsen E (1998) Formation of shoots from leaf axils of Alstroemeria: The effect of the position on the stem. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 52, 165-169 Lin H-S, de Jeu MJ, Jacobsen E (2000a) The application of leafy explant micropropagation protocol in enhancing the multiplication efficiency of Alstroemeria. Scientia Horticulturae

Lin H-S, van der Toorn C, Raemakers KJJM, Visser RGF, de Jeu MJ, Jacobsen E (2000b) Development of a plant regeneration system based on friable embryogenic callus in the ornamental Alstroemeria. Plant Cell Reports 19, 529-534

Lin H-S, van der Toorn C, Raemakers KJJM, Visser RGF, de Jeu MJ, Jacobsen E (2000c) Genetic transformation of Alstroemeria using particle bombardment. Molecular Breeding 6, 369-377

Linné C (1762) Planta Alströemeria. Amoenitates Academicae 6, 247-262

Lu C, Bridgen MP (1996) Effects of genotype, culture medium and embryo developmental stage on the in vitro responses from ovule cultures of interspecific hybrids of Alstroemeria. Plant Science 116, 205-212

Lu C, Bridgen M (1997) Chromosome doubling and fertility study of Alstroemeria aurea x A. caryophyllacea. Euphytica 94, 75-81

Mirbel CFB (1804) Les bomares, Bomarea. In: Buffon GLL (Ed) Histoire Naturelle, Génerale et Particulière des Plantes (Vol 9), De L'Imprimerie de F. Dufart, Paris, pp 71-72

Muñoz Schick M, Moreira Muñoz A (2003) Alstroemerias de Chile, Taller La Era, Santiago, Chile, pp 13-15

Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassasys with tobbacco cell cultures. Physiologia Plantarum 15, 473-497

Nakamura T, Kuwayama S, Tanaka S, Oomiya T, Saito H, Nakano M (2005) Production of intergeneric hybrid plants between Sandersonia aurantiaca and Gloriosa rothschildiana via ovule culture (Colchicaceae). Euphytica 142, 283-289

Pedraza-Santos ME, López-Peralta, MC, González-Hernández VA, Engleman-Clark EM, Sánchez-García P (2006) In vitro regeneration of Alstroemeria cv. 'Yellow King' by direct organogenesis. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 84, 189-198

Sanso AM (1996) El género Alstroemeria (Alstroemeriaceae) en Argentina. Darwiniana 34, 349-382

Sanso AM, Hunziker JH (1998) Karyological studies in Alstroemeria and Bomarea. Hereditas 129, 67-74

Sanso AM, Xifreda CC (2001) Genetic delimitation between Alstroemeria and Bomarea (Alstroemeriaceae). Annals of Botany 88, 1057-1069

Shinoda K, Murata N (2003) Cross-compatibility in interspecific hybridization of fifteen Alstroemeria species. Journal of Japanese Society for Horticultural Science 72, 557-561 (in Japanese with English summary)

Stephens JL, Tsuchiya T, Hughes H (1993) Chromosome studies in Alstroemeria pelegrina L. International Journal of Plant Sciences 154, 565-571

Strasburger E (1882) Über den Teilunsvorgang der Zellkerne und das Verhältnis der Kernteilung zur Zeleteilung. Archiv für Mikroskopische Anatomie 21, 476-590

Taylor WR (1926) Chromosome morphology in Fritillaria, Alstroemeria, Silphium and other genera. American Journal of Botany 13, 179-193
van Schale CE, Posthuma A, de Jeu MJ, Jacobsen E (1996) Plant regeneration through somatic embryogenesis from callus induced on immature embryos of Alstroemeria spp. L. Plant Cell Reports 15, 377-380

van Schaik CE, van der Toorn, C, de Jeu MJ, Raemakers CJJM, Visser RGF (2000) Towards genetic transformation in the monocot Alstroemeria L. Euphytica 115, 17-26