# PLOS ONE



## 

**Citation:** Aninanya GA, Otupiri E, Howard N (2021) Effects of combined decision-support and performance-based incentives on reported client satisfaction with maternal health services in primary facilities: A quasi-experimental study in the Upper East Region of Ghana. PLoS ONE 16(4): e0249778. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0249778

Editor: Astrid M. Kamperman, Erasmus Medical Center, NETHERLANDS

Received: June 15, 2020

Accepted: March 24, 2021

Published: April 20, 2021

**Copyright:** © 2021 Aninanya et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the manuscript.

**Funding:** The QUALMAT Study was funded by the European Union (reference 22982).

**Competing interests:** No authors have competing interest.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Effects of combined decision-support and performance-based incentives on reported client satisfaction with maternal health services in primary facilities: A quasiexperimental study in the Upper East Region of Ghana

## Gifty Apiung Aninanya 1°\*\*, Easmon Otupiri<sup>2</sup>, Natasha Howard<sup>3,4</sup>°

1 Department of Health Services Policy, Planning, Management and Economics, School of Public Health, University for Development Studies, Tamale, Ghana, 2 College of Health Sciences, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana, 3 National University of Singapore, Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, Singapore, Singapore, 4 London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Department of Global Health and Development, London, United Kingdom

So These authors contributed equally to this work.

\* ganinanya@uds.edu.gh

## Abstract

## Background

Computerized decision-support systems (CDSS) and performance-based incentives (PBIs) have potential to contribute to client satisfaction with health services. However, rigorous evidence is lacking on the effectiveness of these strategies in lower-income countries such as Ghana. This study aimed to determine the effect of a combined CDSS-PBI intervention on client satisfaction with maternal health services in primary facilities in the Upper East Region of Ghana.

## Methods

We employed a quasi-experimental controlled baseline and endline design to assess the effect of the combined interventions on client satisfaction with maternal health services, measured by quantitative pre/post-test client satisfaction survey. Our analysis used difference-in-difference logistic regression, controlling for potential covariates, to compare variables across intervention and comparison facilities at baseline and endline.

## Results

The combined CDSS-PBI intervention was associated with increased or unchanged client satisfaction with all maternal health services compared at endline. Antenatal client difference-in-difference of mean satisfaction scores were significant at endline for intervention (n = 378) and comparison (n = 362) healthcare facilities for overall satisfaction (DiD 0.058, p = 0.014), perception of providers' technical performance (DiD = 0.142; p = 0.006), client-

brought to you by 🗓 CORE

provided by LSHTM Resear

provider interaction (DiD = 0.152; p = 0.001), and provider availability (DiD = 0.173; p = 0.001). Delivery client difference-in-difference of satisfaction scores were significant at endline for intervention (n = 318) and comparison (n = 240) healthcare facilities for overall satisfaction with delivery services (DiD = 0.072; p = 0.02) and client-provider interaction (DiD = 0.146; p = 0.02). However, mean overall satisfaction actually reduced slightly in intervention facilities, while DiD for technical performance and provider availability were not significant.

#### Conclusion

This combined CDSS-PBI intervention was associated with greater antenatal and delivery client satisfaction with some aspects of maternity services within two years of implementation. It could be expanded elsewhere if funds allow, though further research is still required to assess cost-effectiveness and long-term effects on client satisfaction and maternal health outcomes.

## Introduction

#### Maternal health services, quality, and client satisfaction

Maternal and neonatal mortality statistics have improved globally, but remain poor. Globally, the annual neonatal mortality rate is approximately 18/1,000 live births, while the maternal mortality ratio is 216 deaths per 100,000 live births [1]. An estimated 99% of maternal deaths occur in low and middle-income countries (LMICs), more than half in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. In Ghana, the maternal mortality ratio is 319 deaths per 100,000 live births and the neonatal mortality rate is 25 deaths per 1,000 live births [2]. The 2014 Ghana Demographic and Health Survey estimated that 73% of births occurred in health facilities, with lower rates in poorer regions [3]. Similarly, skilled birth attendance rates differed between urban and rural areas in Ghana, averaging 74% and 43% respectively [4].

Poor uptake of maternal and neonatal health services contributes to these outcomes, implying some level of dissatisfaction among antenatal and postnatal clients [5]. Client satisfaction refers to how well women's perceived healthcare needs and expectations are met [6, 7] and is an important component, along with provision quality at facilities (e.g. service readiness, adherence to guideline-based care), of antenatal and postnatal service quality [8–11] WHO's definition of quality of care includes 'people-centred' (i.e. accounting for 'preferences and aspirations of individual service-users' and community cultures) with 'safe, effective, timely, efficient, and equitable' characteristics (12). Thus, the WHO framework for the quality of maternal and newborn healthcare links dimensions of provision and experience of care (12). Clients who are satisfied with their experience of maternal services are more likely to attend services and follow advice, contributing to better outcomes [12]. In LMICs such as Ghana, health system impediments affecting client satisfaction and care provision include insufficient competent and motivated health professionals, incorrect diagnoses and treatment, insufficient essential physical resources, and poor referral systems (10, 12, 14-[13]).

Improving satisfaction with maternal healthcare thus requires ensuring access to and provision of good-quality antenatal, delivery, and postnatal services along with effective communication, respect and dignity, and emotional support [10, 14]. Providers are key to client satisfaction with maternal healthcare, and if they provide poor or disrespectful care, clients are unlikely to be satisfied with services [15]. For example, Ghanaian antenatal and postnatal clients expressed dissatisfaction [16, 17] due to poor staff attitudes, long waiting times, insufficient healthcare staff, frequent hospital referrals, and lack of ambulances [18]. Quality assessment of 86 health facilities in former Brong-Ahafo region revealed that quality of routine and emergency intrapartum and postnatal care was generally low [10]. Nesbit et al described poor quality of care at Ghanaian health facilities using a service readiness assessment but did not assess perceived quality [10]. Diamond-Smith et al describe the disconnect between clinicallyassessed and client perceived quality of care in Kenya and Namibia [13].

Several interventions have been implemented in Ghana to improve the quality of maternal health services and to reduce maternal deaths. This includes the 1995 National Safe Motherhood Programme, Community-based Planning and Services concept, a national approach to essential community-based health services provision involving health planning and service delivery with communities, targeting of deprived areas, and bringing health services closer to communities [19–23], and free antenatal care to all pregnant women [20, 24, 25]. Despite these, poor quality-of-care and dissatisfaction with maternal health services remain the main reason reported for services under usage. Poor maternal health staff attitudes reportedly discourage women, who instead prefer traditional birth attendants [26–28]. Thus, improving maternal health outcomes in Ghana requires improving women's satisfaction with maternal health services [29–31].

#### Computerised decision-support and performance-based incentives

Evidence suggests that computerized decision-support systems (CDSS) and performancebased incentives (PBIs) can improve health service provision and thus client satisfaction with care [32–40]. CDSS are designed to support clinical decisions during diagnostic or therapeutic care [41]. Common CDSS include drug-interaction checking, preventive care reminders, and adverse drug event detection [42-44]. CDSS, when well designed and used, can be effective tools for reducing the 'know-do gap' and enhancing quality-of-care, though they are not without challenges as shown in the literature [32, 45, 46]. An assessment of the effect of electronic medical records-based clinical decision-support on HIV care in resource-constrained settings reported reductions in documentation errors, missed appointments, missed CD4 results, and waiting times [47]. An Italian study reported that introducing CDSS was associated with a 16% reduction in the number of inappropriate laboratory tests requested, which also reduced costs [48, 49]. A cross-sectional study in northern Ghana showed that CDSS implementation was associated with reduced proportions of delivery complications and fewer maternal deaths [50]. Another study in northern Ghana indicated CDSS increased detection of pregnancy complications during antenatal care [32]. A study of the effects of CDSS on provider workflow in health facilities in northern Ghana showed history taking for women who had subsequent examinations increased from 58% to 95% [36].

PBIs refer to "both monetary and non-monetary incentives to encourage health-related actions or achievement of performance targets" [51], and can be supply-side and demand-side. Supply-side PBIs are used to improve the quality and availability of services through awards to staff for attaining organisational goals or thresholds related to health outcomes, service delivery, quality of care, or service use. Demand-side PBIs encourage use of essential health services through gifts to clients to change specified health-related behaviours [51]. Research suggests PBIs contribute to improvements in the provision of maternal health services and client satisfaction with care, though the literature also includes negative consequences [52–58]. For example, studies in Nigeria and Ghana showed that health-workers who received PBIs were more motivated to complete tasks than their counterparts who did not receive incentives [59]. A study of pay-for-performance (P4P) on use and quality of antenatal and delivery care in

Rwanda showed it had a positive impact on the quality of maternal and child health services [60]. PBIs have been credited with improving antenatal, skilled birth attendance, and contraception coverage in Burundi [54, 61]. Although evidence suggests that PBIs can improve maternal and neonatal health, they had no significant effects on maternal or neonatal deaths suggesting information on how quality was measured was inadequate and further research needed [56, 62].

Despite significant evidence on the individual effects of CDSS and PBIs on provider performance and client satisfaction in LMICs, authors are not aware of research on the combined effects of CDSS and PBIs on client satisfaction. We found no published studies of the effects of a combined intervention on maternal health services satisfaction in LMICs. Additionally, existing studies focused on tertiary-level facilities rather than the primary-level facilities serving most antenatal and postnatal clients.

#### Objectives

This study aimed to investigate the effect of a combined CDSS-PBI intervention on client satisfaction with maternal health services in twelve primary facilities in the Upper East Region of Ghana. Objectives were to assess: (i) combined effects of CDSS-PBI interventions on antenatal clients' satisfaction with care; and (ii) combined effects of CDSS-PBI interventions on delivery clients' satisfaction with care.

## Methods

## Study sites

The study was conducted in twelve health facilities in Kassena-Nankana (KND) and Builsa districts in the Upper East Region of Ghana. Maternal and child health services are considered particularly poor in the Upper East Region of Ghana, due to insufficient and poorly motivated staff, inadequate use of computers, poor access to reproductive health guidance, staff non-adherence to protocols, poor diagnosis, and inadequate referrals [4, 63–65]. Institutional MMR in the Upper East Region in 2010 was 352 per 100,000 live births. In Kassena-Nankana and Builsa districts in 2010, MMR was estimated at 367 and 259 per 100,000 live births respectively [66]. A cross-sectional study of 400 new mothers indicated 93% had delivered in a health facility and 97% had received antenatal care with 75% having four or more ANC visits [67]. An estimated 207 and 100 health-workers serve health facilities in KND and Builsa Districts, respectively. Nurses and midwives are responsible for the provision of maternal and neonatal health services in health facilities. In these districts in the Upper East Region of Ghana, inadequate health personnel, heavy workload and poor motivation (36, 52) challenge health facilities in the provision of care.

KND, the intervention district, covers a total approximate area of 1,675 square kilometres with an estimated population of 152,000 [68]. It is served by one hospital, located in the district capital Navrongo, six health centres, one private clinic, and twenty-seven Community-based Health Planning and Services (CHPS) compounds.

Builsa, the comparison district, covers a 2,220 square-kilometre area southwest of KND with an estimated population of 95,800. It is served by the district hospital, located in the district capital Sandema and serving as referral centre for all district health facilities. Additionally, Builsa has six main health centres and thirteen CHPS compounds.

#### Study design

A quasi-experimental intervention study was conducted, with data collection at baseline and endline in 6 intervention and 6 comparison primary healthcare facilities in KND and Builsa

districts respectively. This design was chosen because it can help establish causal impact of an intervention on a target population without random assignment [69].

**Intervention.** As part of the European Union funded Quality of maternal and neonatal health (QUALMAT) study in KND, CDSS and PBIs were implemented in six intervention facilities for two years from April 2012 to April 2014 [32, 36, 40]. The CDSS component consisted of computerized guidance and clinical support for antenatal and delivery care up to 24 hours after delivery. To improve maternal health services [64, 70], 35 purposively-selected maternal healthcare providers (i.e. midwives, community health nurses, health facility managers) completed six trainings on use of computer software to guide implementation of WHO *'Pregnancy, Childbirth, Postpartum and Newborn Care: a guide for essential practice'* guidelines [71–73]. One laptop, with the CDSS installed and IT support included, was given per intervention facility for three trained midwives and nurses to share during the two-year study period [32, 36, 71]. The rest of the trained health staff were mangers tasked to supervise the use of the CDSS at the facility level.

The PBI component, rewarding best-performing midwives and facilities with domestic appliances (e.g. fans, stoves, blenders, freezers, fridges, television sets, blenders, saucepans, cloths, tea kettles, microwaves) and certificates of recognition [40], was implemented from July 2012 to March 2014 to improve CDSS users' morale. Key performance indicators were defined through meetings between healthcare providers and regional and district health directorates. Performance indicators, focusing on process and outcomes, included proportion of ANC visits recorded in CDSS; proportion of pregnant women who received tetanus vaccination; proportion of pregnant women who received iron supplementation; proportion of pregnant women who received counselling for safe sex; proportion of pregnant women who received counselling for HIV; proportion of births attended by skilled personnel; proportion of partographs completed in CDSS; partograph usage rate; proportion of women referred based on CDSS recommendation; and newborn immunization coverage. Three awards ceremonies (held December 2012, September 2013, and February 2014) additionally acknowledged best-performing midwives and facilities. additional ongoing incentives included regular supervision, verbal appreciation, and provision of furniture and small monthly allowances [40, 64, 74].

**Outcomes.** Binary outcomes were client satisfaction (yes/no) with overall care and specifically with provider technical performance, interaction, and availability.

**Sampling.** Sample sizes were calculated to detect a difference of 10% in satisfaction between intervention and comparison arms and between pre and post intervention time-periods, with a two-sided test at a significance level of 5% and a power of 80%, for quality-of-care assuming a baseline of 60% and independence between interviews. This gave us a minimum total sample of 752 women, 376 in intervention facilities and 376 in comparison facilities for both antenatal and delivery objectives. Thus, interviewers aimed to include approximately 63 women per facility who attended an antenatal consultation and 63 per facility who recently gave birth. As we did not have the resources to follow client cohorts prospectively, all ANC and delivery clients visiting study facilities during baseline and endline data collection periods were eligible for inclusion.

#### Data collection

Quantitative data were collected by client exit-survey interviews lasting approximately 15 minutes. Questions included socio-demographic characteristics, technical performance (i.e. reception of client, compassion, respect shown to client, adequacy of drugs and diagnosis), clientprovider interaction, and provider availability–common components of client satisfaction used to assess health staff performance. The questionnaires for antenatal and delivery clients (S1 File) were developed based on similar tools in the literature [75–78] and expert advice, and pretested with 30 ANC clients at the War Memorial Hospital and 30 delivery clients at Sandema Hospital. After tool finalisation, baseline satisfaction survey data were collected in 2010 and endline in 2014.

Twelve research assistants were trained at the Navrongo Health Research Center for three days to conduct exit interviews with pregnant and postpartum women leaving health facilities after receiving maternal healthcare from providers. Data collectors attended facilities daily to conduct as many interviews as possible with antenatal and delivery clients. Most interviews in KND were conducted in Kassem and Nankam languages and in Builsa language in Builsa District. Written informed consent was obtained from all women before participation.

#### Analysis

Data were entered in Microsoft Excel and transferred to Stata version 13 for analysis. Descriptive statistics were summarised, including age, weeks of gestation, parity, number of antenatal visits, educational level, and type of delivery. Antenatal and delivery care satisfaction response categories were recoded as binary (1 for satisfied, 0 for unsatisfied) from the original five categories, to reduce irrelevant detail and increase cell sizes.

Exploratory Factor Analysis was used for data reduction. Groups of variables were defined and mean scores calculated for all selected facilities in intervention and comparison areas, per variable and group (i.e. intervention or comparison). Principal Component Analysis was used to extract key factors, followed by a Varimax rotation with Kaiser normalisation. The number of factors retained was based on eigenvalues  $\geq 1$ . Statistical analysis using difference in difference logistic regression has the potential to account for some initial differences that might have existed between the intervention and comparison health facilities. Controlling for some covariates was also appropriate.

Difference-in-Difference (DiD) analysis was performed, using a logit model and controlling for *a priori* confounders, to compare changes in outcomes between intervention and comparison groups over time (i.e. DiD results are based on differences in means between intervention and comparison groups at baseline compared to endline for each of the four outcome scores measured). Linear regression was used to estimate means, with p<0.05 used as the threshold for statistical significance. Potential confounders adjusted for in analyses as continuous variables were age, gravidity, gestational weeks, and number of ANC visits, while education level was categorical (i.e. never attended, attended primary, attended secondary, attended tertiary). Results were reported using significant digits (82).

#### Ethics

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the Navrongo Health Research Centre, Ghana (reference NHRCIRB116). Written informed consent was obtained from all women before enrolment in the study. To guarantee confidentiality, study tools and outcomes did not include patient identifiers.

#### Results

#### **Demographic characteristics**

Antenatal clients. <u>Table 1</u> shows demographic characteristics of antenatal participants at baseline (n = 709) and endline (n = 740). At baseline, 58% and 48% of intervention and comparison antenatal clients respectively were aged 20–29 years compared to 58% and 52%

Antenatal clients	Baseline		Endline			
Variable	Intervention n (%)	Comparison n (%)	Intervention n (%) Comparison n (%)			
Total participants	341 (100)	368 (100)	378 (100)	362 (100)		
Age						
10–19	54 (15.8)	66 (17.9)	53 (14.6)			
20–29	198 (58.1)	66 (17.9)         54 (14.2)           175 (47.6)         220 (58.2)		188 (51.6)		
30–39	83 (24.3)	105 (28.4)	98 (25.9)	112 (30.8)		
40-49	3 (0.9)	19 (5.1)	6 (1.6)	9 (2.5)		
50+	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		
Missing data	2 (0.6)	3 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.5)		
Education						
Never attended school	149 (43.7)	181 (49.2)	142 (37.6)	196 (53.8)		
Primary/Junior High School	78 (22.9)	126 (34.2)	93 (24.6)	94 (25.8)		
Senior High School	101 (29.6)	40 (10.9)	119 (31.5)	66 (18.1)		
Tertiary	9 (2.6)	2 (0.5)	23 (6.1)	7 (1.9)		
Missing data	4 (1.2)	19 (5.2)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)		
Mean gestational weeks (range)	25.4 (5; 38)	25.3 (8; 39)	25.7 (6; 40)	23.4 (6; 40)		
Antenatal visits						
Visit 1	57 (16.7)	78 (21.2)	82 (21.7)	138 (37.9)		
Visit 2	79 (23.2)	85 (23.1)	65 (17.2)	66 (18.1)		
Visit 3	47 (13.8)	73 (19.8)	53 (14.0)	47 (12.9)		
Visit 4	64 (18.8)	51 (13.9)	42 (11.1)	51 (14.0)		
Additional visits	87 (25.5)	80 (21.7)	136 (36.0)	61 (16.8)		
Missing data	7 (2.1)	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.3)		
Gravidity						
Primigravida (1)	113 (33.1)	83 (22.6)	97 (25.7)	72 (19.8)		
Multigravida (2)	79 (23.2)	75 (20.4)	101 (26.7)	80 (22.0)		
Multigravida (3)	47 (13.8)	66 (17.9)	70 (18.5)	72 (19.8)		
Multigravida (4)	56 (16.4)	71 (19.3)	50 (13.3)	69 (19.0)		
Multigravida (5)	31 (9.1)	41 (11.2)	39 (10.3)	32 (8.9)		
Grand multigravida (6+)	15 (4.4)	29 (7.9)	19 (5.0)	34 (9.3)		
Missing data	0 (0.0)	3 (0.8)	2 (0.5)	5 (1.4)		
Delivery clients	Baseline		Endline			
Variable	Intervention n (%)	Comparison n (%)	Intervention n (%)	Comparison n (%)		
Total participants	328 (100)	376 (100)	318 (100)	240 (100)		
Age						
10–19	52 (15.9)	59 (15.7)	61 (19.2)	39 (16.2)		
20–29	180 (54.9)	201 (53.5)	183 (57.5)	135 (56.0)		
30-39	79 (24.1)	105 (27.9)	63 (19.8)	56 (23.2)		
40-49	12 (3.7)	11 (2.9)	9 (2.8)	10 (4.2)		
50+	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)		
Missing data	5 (1.52)	0 (0.0)	(0.0) 1 (0.3)			
Educational level						
Never attended school	143 (43.6)	174 (46.3)	124 (39.0)	98 (40.7)		
Primary/Junior High School	88 (26.8)	121 (32.2)	78 (24.5)	82 (34.0)		
Senior High School	91 (27.7)	74 (19.7)	104 (32.7)	56 (23.2)		
Tertiary	5 (1.5)	5 (1.3)	4 (1.3)	3 (1.2)		
Missing data	1 (0.3)	2 (0.5)	8 (2.5)	2 (0.8)		

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of antenatal and delivery clients at baseline and endline.

(Continued)

Antenatal clients	Baseline		Endline		
Variable	Intervention n (%)	Comparison n (%)	Intervention n (%)	Comparison n (%)	
Mean days at facility (range)	4.2 (1; 48)	7.7 (1; 28)	1.1 (1; 5)	1.2 (1; 5)	
Neonatal health at delivery					
Good health	318 (97.0)	369 (98.1)	310 (97.5)	236 (97.9)	
Health concerns at birth	6 (1.8)	0 (0.0)	7 (2.2)	2 (0.8)	
Stillbirth	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	
Missing data	3 (0.9)	6 (1.6)	0 (0.0)	3 (1.2)	
Mean parity (range)	2.6 (1; 10)	2.6 (1; 9)	2.8 (1; 16)	2.7 (1; 8)	
Type of delivery					
Planned caesarean-section	0 (0.0)	2 (0.5)	1 (0.3)	3 (1.2)	
Emergency caesarean-section	4 (1.2)	9 (2.4)	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	
Vaginal (assisted)	4 (1.2)	4 (1.1)	2 (0.6)	4 (1.7)	
Vaginal (normal)	318 (97.0)	339 (95.5)	314 (98.7)	234 (97.1)	
Missing data	2 (0.6)	2 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	

Table 1. (Continued)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249778.t001

respectively at endline. At baseline, 44% and 49% of intervention and comparison clients respectively never attended school, compared to 38% and 54% respectively at endline. At baseline, mean gestational weeks were similar at 25.4 for intervention and 25.3 for comparison, shifting to 25.7 and 23.4 respectively at endline. At baseline, 33% and 23% of intervention and comparison clients respectively were primigravida, while 27% and 22% were on their second pregnancy at endline.

**Delivery clients.** 704 delivery clients participated at baseline and 558 at endline. At baseline, 55% and 54% of intervention and comparison delivery clients respectively were aged 20– 29 years compared to 58% and 56% respectively at endline. At baseline, 44% and 47% of intervention and comparison delivery clients respectively never attended school, compared to 39% and 41% respectively at endline. At baseline, intervention and comparison delivery clients spent a mean of 4.2 days and 7.7 days respectively at facilities for delivery, compared to a mean of 1.1 days and 1.2 days respectively at endline. At baseline, 2% and 0% of intervention and comparison delivery clients respectively reported neonatal health issues at birth compared to 2% and 1% respectively at endline. At baseline, 1.2% and 2.4% of intervention and comparison delivery clients respectively had an emergency caesarean-section compared to 0.3% and 1.7% respectively at endline (Table 1).

## Adjusted effects of the CDSS-PBI intervention on client satisfaction

Antenatal clients. Table 2 shows multivariable logistic regression analysis of client satisfaction with antenatal and delivery services, comparing the difference-in-difference of mean numbers of satisfied clients in intervention and comparison areas at baseline and endline, indicating improved satisfaction in three of four elements measured. In total, 709 antenatal clients (341 intervention, 368 comparison) were included in baseline analyses and 740 (378 intervention, 362 comparison) in endline analyses. At baseline, the mean number of antenatal clients reporting satisfaction with provider technical performance was similar at 0.560 and 0.580 (p = 0.59) in intervention and comparison areas respectively, compared to significantly higher mean satisfaction in comparison areas (0.521 versus 0.643 respectively; p = 0.001) at endline. The difference-in-difference of 0.142, statistically significant at p = 0.006, showed a significantly greater increase in mean satisfaction with technical performance in comparison than in

Antenatal outcomes	Baseline				Endline			DiD		
	Intervention (n 341)	Comparison (n 368)	Diff	P- value	Intervention (n 378)	Comparison (n 362)	Diff	P- value	Diff- Diff	P- value
	Mean	Mean			Mean	Mean				
Technical Performance	0.560	0.580	0.020	0.592	0.521	0.643	0.122	0.001	0.142	0.006
Client-provider interaction	0.648	0.607	0.041	0.214	0.889	0.696	0.193	0.000	0.152	0.001
Provider availability	0.592	0.634	0.042	0.251	0.688	0.556	0.132	0.000	0.173	0.001
General satisfaction	0.919	0.965	0.046	0.006	0.947	0.959	0.012	0.472	0.058	0.014
Delivery outcomes	Baseline				Endline				DiD	
	Intervention (n 325)	Comparison (n 379)	Diff	P- value	Intervention (n 318)	Comparison (n 240)	Diff	P- value	Diff- Diff	P- value
	Mean	Mean			Mean	Mean				
Technical Performance	0.979	0.864	0.115	0.000	0.966	0.885	0.081	0.074	0.034	0.528
Client-provider interaction	0.767	0.792	0.025	0.463	0.994	0.872	0.122	0.017	0.146	0.017
Provider availability	0.653	0.716	0.064	0.097	0.378	0.432	0.054	0.347	0.118	0.088
General satisfaction	0.990	0.919	0.071	0.000	0.980	0.980	0.001	0.000	0.072	0.017

Table 2. Multivariable logistic reg	ression of antenatal and deliver	rv client satisfaction with services.	comparing intervention to con	nparison at baseline and endline.

NB: Potential confounders included in analysis were age, education level, days at facility, gestation weeks, gravidity (number of pregnancies), and parity (number of deliveries).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249778.t002

intervention facilities at endline. At baseline, the mean number of clients reporting satisfactory interactions with providers was similar 0.648 and 0.607 (p = 0.21) in intervention and comparison areas respectively, compared to significantly higher satisfaction in intervention areas (0.889 versus 0.696 respectively; p < 0.001) at endline. The difference-in-difference of 0.152, significant at p = 0.001, showed a significantly greater increase in satisfaction with provider interaction in intervention than in comparison facilities at endline. At baseline, the mean number of clients reporting satisfactory provider availability was similar at 0.592 and 0.634 (p = 0.25) in intervention and comparison areas respectively, compared to significantly higher mean satisfaction in intervention areas (0.688 versus 0.556 respectively; p < 0.001) at endline. The difference-in-difference of 0.173, significant at p = 0.001, showed a significantly greater increase in satisfaction with provider availability in intervention than in comparison facilities at endline. At baseline, the mean number of clients reporting general satisfaction was significantly lower in intervention than comparison areas (0.919 and 0.965 respectively; p = 0.006), compared to increased satisfaction in intervention facilities and reduced satisfaction in comparison facilities (0.947 versus 0.959 respectively) at endline, though the difference in means of 0.012 was not significant at p = 0.47. However, the difference-in-difference of 0.058 was significant at p = 0.014, showing a significantly greater increase in mean overall satisfaction in intervention than in comparison facilities at endline.

**Delivery clients.** In total, 704 delivery clients (328 intervention, 376 comparison) were included in baseline analyses and 558 (318 intervention, 240 comparison) in endline analyses. Table 2 shows improved satisfaction in one of four elements measured (i.e. client-provider interactions). At baseline, the mean number of clients reporting satisfactory interactions with providers was similar at 0.767 and 0.792 (p = 0.46) in intervention and comparison areas respectively, compared to significantly higher satisfaction in intervention areas (0.994 versus 0.872 respectively; p = 0.02) at endline. The difference-in-difference of 0.146, significant at p = 0.01, showed a significantly greater increase in satisfaction with provider interactions in

intervention than in comparison facilities at endline. However, overall satisfaction changed quite differently. At baseline, the mean number of delivery clients reporting general satisfaction was significantly higher in intervention than comparison areas (0.990 versus 0.919 respectively; p<0.001), compared with lower satisfaction in intervention facilities and higher in comparison facilities (0.980 versus 0.980 respectively) at endline, with small but a significant difference in means of 0.001 (p<0.001). The difference-in-difference of 0.072, also significant at p = 0.02, showed a slight but significantly greater increase in overall satisfaction in comparison facilities versus intervention facilities at endline (Table 2).

Other delivery outcomes in Table 2 did not show significant changes. At baseline, the mean number of delivery clients reporting satisfaction with provider technical performance was significantly higher in intervention than comparison areas (0.979 and 0.864 respectively; p<0.001), compared to reduced satisfaction in intervention facilities and increased satisfaction in comparison facilities (0.966 and 0.885 respectively) at endline, though the difference in means of 0.081 was not significant at p = 0.07. The difference-in-difference was also not significant (p = 0.53). At baseline, the mean number of clients reporting satisfaction with provider availability was similar at 0.653 and 0.716 (p = 0.10) in intervention and comparison areas respectively, compared to lower satisfaction in both (0.378 versus 0.432 respectively) at endline, though the difference in means of 0.054 was not significant at p = 0.35. Similarly, the difference-in-difference was not significant (p = 0.09).

## Discussion

### **Primary findings**

The primary contribution of this study is in showing that a combined CDSS and PBI intervention can improve maternity clients' satisfaction in a resource-constrained setting. Results indicate that the combined intervention was associated with increased client satisfaction with elements of maternal health services, though this was not consistent across all elements or types of clients. Among antenatal clients, the intervention was associated with significantly increased satisfaction in three of the four elements measured, namely provider interactions, provider availability, and overall satisfaction. Among delivery clients, however, the intervention was associated with significantly increased satisfaction in only one of the four elements, namely provider interactions. It remains unclear why delivery clients in intervention facilities expressed reduced satisfaction at endline and why delivery clients appeared less satisfied than antenatal clients across multiple elements. However, anecdotal evidence suggested women avoided hospital delivery in northern Ghana due to expectations of poor treatment, while Adjei et al found that women with middle-school education or above had half the odds of being satisfied with delivery services than women with no education [79]. Among both client types in this study, the intervention was associated with somewhat decreased satisfaction with provider technical performance, suggesting it either did not improve technical performance or created excessive expectations among clients. Improvements in satisfaction in comparison groups may also relate to parallel maternal and child health initiatives implemented by other projects during this intervention that likely reduced observable differences between intervention and comparison groups [20].

Further facility audits or research data are needed to determine some differences found, for example why endline emergency caesarean-section rates were so low in both intervention and comparison facilities (i.e. 0.3% and 0% respectively, while WHO recommends 10–15%) [80]. Similarly, there was no clear explanation why delivery clients appeared to have much longer average facility stays at baseline versus endline (i.e. 6 days versus 1 day respectively). Thirteen clients with lengthy stays of over three weeks caused this high baseline mean (i.e. 7 in

intervention, 6 in comparison facilities), though this did not explicitly relate to worse health outcomes and may instead have related to socioeconomic circumstances for which data were not collected (e.g. distance from or problems at home).

Authors found no published studies examining the combined effects of CDSS and PBI interventions on client satisfaction with maternal health services. However, individual effects of these two interventions on client satisfaction have been reported globally. For example, a study on whether CDSS could increase healthcare use and quality in primary facilities in India showed it was associated with significant improvements in a Global Patient Assessment of Care Index (mean DiD 7.9, p<0.001), with largest gains made in patient communication, technical quality, and general satisfaction with care [81]. A Bangladesh study of the effects of PBI on maternal health services quality showed facility quality scores increased from 55% to 78% during the 14-month intervention with significant improvements in antenatal care, postnatal counselling, institutional delivery, and client satisfaction [82]. Global evidence indicates that in addition to client satisfaction, routine usage of CDSS has potential to improve maternal health outcomes, including reducing maternal mortality [36, 50]. Similarly, though evidence is not as robust, PBIs can improve motivation, technology usage, and work performance among health-workers contributing to improved maternal outcomes [51].

#### Implications

Findings highlight the potential of a combination of CDSS and PBI interventions to improve health service-users satisfaction in a resource-constrained setting such as northern Ghana. Evidence from other settings supports the need for health-workers using CDSS for patient care to receive intensive training [83]. Thus, findings could have national implications, as policy-makers look for innovative ways to improve midwives performance so as to achieve Sustainable Development Goal 3.

Further research is still needed to determine whether CDSS and PBI interventions are sustainable either together or separately, more cost-effective together or as individual components, and can yield similar or better gains in primary facilities than in other settings. Further qualitative research is needed to interpret the meaning of antenatal and delivery service satisfaction in this and other resource-constrained settings. For example, on how reported satisfaction was influenced by women's awareness of additional CDSS equipment or efforts made by staff to achieve PBIs, as compared to the intended contributory effects of CDSS or PBIs on staff performance per se. However, in the meantime, these results can help inform national and regional decision-makers and policy influencers in their support of the Ministry of Health and Ghana Health Service (GHS) to strengthen maternal health services provision within WHO standards for improving quality of maternal and newborn care in health facilities (12). Collaborative development of national and sub-national health-worker incentives and decision-support policies, or policy components within broader strategic planning, is likely to have a positive influence. For example, MOH and GHS could introduce policy on the use of CDSS by nurses and midwives to facilitate adherence to WHO reproductive health guidelines. Such guidance should use lessons from this intervention to motivate midwives and other staff to improve health service quality in Ghana.

#### Limitations

As there is no empirical evidence on the combined effects of CDSS and PBI strategies on client satisfaction, this study helps fill this gap. However, study limitations must be considered. First, clients in both intervention and comparison facilities reporting improved general satisfaction could have been because study districts were in the Navrongo Health Research Center

catchment area and thus exposed to other maternal and child health initiatives (e.g. the Ghana Essential Health Study to improve maternal and newborn care implemented in Builsa District) that could have improved overall satisfaction [20]. However, these external influences would have affected intervention and comparison facilities similarly and not the inter-group differences found. Relatedly, there may have been some sampling bias, due to differing endline sample sizes as surveyors had more difficulty identifying eligible women in comparison facilities. Second, this study focused on maternal healthcare clients rather than the general healthcare client population in KND and Builsa districts. However, future research could examine the effects of CDSS and PBI on satisfaction among other sub-sets or all health service clients. Third, quantitative research cannot provide insight into how interventions affect outcomes and qualitative research is needed to provide additional nuance. Finally, a facility *readiness and adherence to guideline-based care* assessment would have provided useful information on overall quality-of-care but was not done (12).

## Conclusions

This combined CDSS-PBI intervention significantly improved elements of antenatal and delivery client satisfaction with maternal health services within two years of implementation in resource-constrained facilities in northern Ghana. This shows promise for other settings, though further research is still required to assess cost-effectiveness and sustainability, including the long-term effects of these interventions on client satisfaction and maternal health outcomes.

## Supporting information

**S1 File.** (DOCX)

## Acknowledgments

We thank all the women and maternal health staff who participated. This paper was developed from GAA's PhD research, and thus we thank supervisors and supporters of that effort and the Ghana QUALMAT study team, particularly Dr John E Williams and Mrs Efua Williams who contributed scientifically to the QUALMAT study. Special thanks to Rowena Yap Kah Sin, of Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health for statistical support. Finally, we want to acknowledge the anonymous reviewers, whose comments helped us strengthen this manuscript.

## **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Easmon Otupiri, Natasha Howard.
Data curation: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Easmon Otupiri, Natasha Howard.
Formal analysis: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Easmon Otupiri, Natasha Howard.
Investigation: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Easmon Otupiri, Natasha Howard.
Methodology: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Easmon Otupiri, Natasha Howard.
Project administration: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Easmon Otupiri, Natasha Howard.
Resources: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Easmon Otupiri, Natasha Howard.
Software: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Natasha Howard.
Supervision: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Easmon Otupiri, Natasha Howard.

Validation: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Easmon Otupiri, Natasha Howard.

Visualization: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Natasha Howard.

Writing - original draft: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Easmon Otupiri, Natasha Howard.

Writing - review & editing: Gifty Apiung Aninanya, Easmon Otupiri, Natasha Howard.

#### References

- WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA WBG and the UNPD. NoTrends in maternal mortality: 2000 to 2017: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and the United Nations Population Division. Title [Internet]. Report. 2019 [cited 2020 Mar 21]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/maternal-mortality
- 2. Ghana Statistical Service (GSS), Ghana Health Service (GHS) I. Ghana Maternal Health Survey 2017. Accra, Ghana: GSS, GHS, and ICF. 2018.
- Ghana Statistical Service, Ghana Health Service II. Ghana Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Int J Heal Policy Manag. 2015;
- 4. Apanga PA, Awoonor-Williams JK. Maternal Death in Rural Ghana: A Case Study in the Upper East Region of Ghana. Front Public Heal. 2018; https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00101 PMID: 29686982
- 5. World B. Reproductive, Maternal, Newborn, Child and Adolescent Healthtle. 2019.
- 6. Srivastava A, Avan BI, Rajbangshi P, Bhattacharyya S. Determinants of women's satisfaction with maternal health care: A review of literature from developing countries. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2015; https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-015-0525-0 PMID: 25928085
- Timane AJ, Oche OM, Umar KA, Constance SE, Raji IA. Clients ' satisfaction with maternal and child health services in primary health care centers in Sokoto metropolis, Nigeria. Edorium J Matern Child Heal. 2017;
- Matejić B, Milićević MT, Vasić V, Djikanović B. Maternal satisfaction with organized perinatal care in Serbian public hospitals. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2014; https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-14-14 PMID: 24410839
- Georgsson Öhman S, Grunewald C, Waldenström U. Perception of risk in relation to ultrasound screening for Down's syndrome during pregnancy. Midwifery. 2009; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2007.04. 007 PMID: 17920172
- Nesbitt RC, Lohela TJ, Manu A, Vesel L, Okyere E, Edmond K, et al. Quality along the Continuum: A Health Facility Assessment of Intrapartum and Postnatal Care in Ghana. 2013; 8(11):1–10.
- Camgöz-Akdağ H, Zineldin M. Quality of health care and patient satisfaction: An exploratory investigation of the 5Qs model at Turkey. Clin Gov. 2010;
- Yaya S, Bishwajit G, Ekholuenetale M, Shah V, Kadio B, Udenigwe O. Urban-rural difference in satisfaction with primary healthcare services in Ghana. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017; <u>https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12913-017-2745-7</u> PMID: 29178876
- Diamond-smith N, Sudhinaraset M, Montagu D. Clinical and perceived quality of care for maternal, neonatal and antenatal care in Kenya and Namibia: the service provision assessment. Reprod Health [Internet]. 2016;1–13. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-015-0112-x PMID: 26728505
- 14. Guliani H, Sepehri A, Serieux J. What impact does contact with the prenatal care system have on women's use of facility delivery? Evidence from low-income countries. Soc Sci Med. 2012; https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.socscimed.2012.02.008 PMID: 22483706
- Etsey PM. Towards The Millennium Development Goal 5: Assessing the Quality of Supervised Delivery in the Ga West District, Ghana. 2008; (December): 90.
- Melese T, Gebrehiwot Y, Bisetegne D, Habte D. Assessment of client satisfaction in labor and delivery services at a maternity referral hospital in Ethiopia. Pan Afr Med J. 2014;
- Alhassan RK, Nketiah-Amponsah E, Arhinful DK. A review of the national health insurance scheme in Ghana: What are the sustainability threats and prospects? PLoS One. 2016; <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165151</u> PMID: 27832082
- 18. Turkson P. Perceived quality of healthcare delivery in a rural District of Ghana. Ghana Med J. 2010;
- Sakeah E, McCloskey L, Bernstein J, Yeboah-Antwi K, Mills S, Doctor H V. Is there any role for community involvement in the community-based health planning and services skilled delivery program in rural Ghana? BMC Health Serv Res. 2014; https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-14-340 PMID: 25113017

- Awoonor-Williams JK, Bawah AA, Nyonator FK, Asuru R, Oduro A, Ofosu A, et al. The Ghana essential health interventions program: A plausibility trial of the impact of health systems strengthening on maternal & child survival. BMC Health Serv Res. 2013; https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-13-S2-S3 PMID: 23819518
- Assan A, Takian A, Aikins M, Akbarisari A. Challenges to achieving universal health coverage through community-based health planning and services delivery approach: A qualitative study in Ghana. BMJ Open. 2019; https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-024845 PMID: 30798313
- 22. Ghana Health Service. The Community-Based Health Planning and Services (CHPS) initiative: A programme for bringing services closer to the clients. Ppme Div Minist Heal. 2002;
- 23. CHPS-Operational-Policy-2005.pdf.
- 24. Survey MH, Indicators K. Ghana Maternal Health Survey Key Indicators 2017. 2017;
- 25. Awoonor Williams JK, Phillips JF, Bawah AA. Scaling down to scale-up: a strategy for accelerating Community-based Health Service Coverage in Ghana. J Glob Heal Sci. 2019; 1(1):1–14.
- Aberese-Ako M, Agyepong IA, Gerrits T, Van Dijk H. I used to fight with them but now I have stopped!: Conflict and doctor-nurse-anaesthetists' motivation in maternal and neonatal care provision in a specialist referral hospital. PLoS One. 2015;
- Aberese-Ako M, Agyepong IA, Van Dljk H. Leadership styles in two Ghanaian hospitals in a challenging environment. Health Policy Plan. 2018;
- Yidana A, Issahaku M. Contextualising Women Decision Making during Delivery: Socio-Cultural Determinant of Choice of Delivery Sites in. 2014; 4(3):92–7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/419508 PMID: 24772021
- 29. Iliyasu Z, Abubakar IS, Abubakar S, Lawan UM, Gajida AU. Patients' satisfaction with services obtained from aminu kano teaching hospital, kano, northern nigeria. Niger J Clin Pract. 2010;
- Clever SL, Jin L, Levinson W, Meltzer DO. Does doctor-patient communication affect patient satisfaction with hospital care? Results of an analysis with a novel instrumental variable. Health Serv Res. 2008; https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2008.00849.x PMID: 18459954
- Abioye Kuteyi EA, Bello IS, Olaleye TM, Ayeni IO, Amedi MI. Determinants of patient satisfaction with physician interaction: A cross-sectional survey at the Obafemi Awolowo University Health Centre, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. South African Fam Pract. 2010;
- Dalaba MA, Akweongo P, Aborigo RA. Cost-Effectiveness of Clinical Decision Support System in Improving Maternal Health Care in Ghana. 2015; 58:1–12.
- Cresswell K, Majeed A, Bates DW, Sheikh A. Computerised decision support systems for healthcare professionals: An interpretative review. Informatics in Primary Care. 2012.
- Eden R, Burton-Jones A, Scott I, Staib A, Sullivan C. Effects of eHealth on hospital practice: Synthesis of the current literature. Aust Heal Rev. 2018; https://doi.org/10.1071/AH17255 PMID: 29986809
- **35.** Sukums F, Mensah N, Mpembeni R, Massawe S, Duysburgh E, Williams A, et al. Promising adoption of an electronic clinical decision support system for antenatal and intrapartum care in rural primary health-care facilities in sub-Saharan Africa: The QUALMAT experience. Int J Med Inform. 2014;
- Mensah N, Sukums F, Awine T, Meid A, Williams J, Akweongo P, et al. Impact of an electronic clinical decision support system on workflow in antenatal care: The QUALMAT eCDSS in rural health care facilities in Ghana and Tanzania. Glob Health Action. 2015; <u>https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v8.25756</u> PMID: 25630707
- Garbers Y, Konradt U. The effect of financial incentives on performance: A quantitative review of individual and team-based financial incentives. J Occup Organ Psychol. 2014; <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.</u> 12084 PMID: 29962664
- Petersen LA, Woodard LCD, Urech T, Daw C, Sookanan S. Does pay-for-performance improve the quality of health care? Ann Intern Med. 2006; <u>https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-145-4-200608150-00006</u> PMID: 16908917
- Richter G, Raban DR, Rafaeli S. Studying gamification: The effect of rewards and incentives on motivation. In: Gamification in Education and Business. 2015.
- 40. Aninanya GA, Howard N, Williams JE, Apam B, Prytherch H, Loukanova S, et al. Can performancebased incentives improve motivation of nurses and midwives in primary facilities in northern Ghana? A quasi-experimental study. Glob Health Action. 2016; 9(1):1–10.
- Bates DW, Kuperman GJ, Rittenberg E, Teich JM, Fiskio J, Ma'luf N, et al. A randomized trial of a computer-based intervention to reduce utilization of redundant laboratory tests. Am J Med. 1999; <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9343(98)00410-0 PMID: 10230742</u>

- 42. Paterno MD, Maviglia SM, Gorman PN, Seger DL, Yoshida E, Seger AC, et al. Tiering Drug-Drug Interaction Alerts by Severity Increases Compliance Rates. J Am Med Informatics Assoc. 2009; 16(1):40–6. https://doi.org/10.1197/jamia.M2808 PMID: 18952941
- Saleem JJ, Patterson ES, Militello L, Render ML, Orshansky G, Asch SM. Exploring barriers and facilitators to the use of computerized clinical reminders. J Am Med Informatics Assoc. 2005; 12(4):438–47. https://doi.org/10.1197/jamia.M1777 PMID: 15802482
- 44. Morimoto T, Gandhi TK, Seger AC, Hsieh TC, Bates DW. Adverse durg events and medication errors: Detection and classification methods. Qual Saf Heal Care. 2004; 13(4):306–14.
- Wright A, Sittig DF, Ash JS, Sharma S, Pang JE, Middleton B. Clinical Decision Support Capabilities of Commercially-available Clinical Information Systems. J Am Med Informatics Assoc. 2009; https://doi. org/10.1197/jamia.M3111 PMID: 19567796
- Haynes RB, Wilczynski NL. Effects of computerized clinical decision support systems on practitioner performance and patient outcomes: Methods of a decision-maker- researcher partnership systematic review. 2010;1–8.
- Oluoch T, Katana A, Kwaro D, Santas X, Langat P, Mwalili S, et al. Effect of a clinical decision support system on early action on immunological treatment failure in patients with HIV in Kenya: A cluster randomised controlled trial. Lancet HIV. 2016; <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018(15)00242-8</u> PMID: 26847229
- **48.** Bellodi E, Vagnoni E, Bonvento B, Lamma E. Economic and organizational impact of a clinical decision support system on laboratory test ordering. 2017;1–9.
- 49. Porat T, Delaney B, Kostopoulou O. The impact of a diagnostic decision support system on the consultation: perceptions of GPs and patients. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2017; <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-017-0477-6 PMID: 28576145</u>
- 50. Dalaba MA, Akweongo P, Williams J, Saronga HP, Tonchev P, Sauerborn R, et al. Costs associated with implementation of computer-assisted clinical decision support system for antenatal and delivery care: Case study of Kassena-Nankana district of northern Ghana. PLoS One. 2014; https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0106416 PMID: 25180831
- 51. Toolkit IM. Improvement Methods Toolkit. 2020;1–15.
- Uwaliraye P, Puoane T, Binagwaho A, Basinga P. Factors influencing the job performance of nurses and midwives in postpartum units in two district hospitals in Rwanda. Afr J Nurs Midwifery. 2013; 15 (2):59–69.
- Shen GC, Nguyen HTH, Das A, Sachingongu N, Chansa C, Qamruddin J, et al. Incentives to change: Effects of performance-based financing on health workers in Zambia. Hum Resour Health. 2017; https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-017-0179-2 PMID: 28245877
- 54. Bonfrer I, Van de Poel E, Van Doorslaer E. The effects of performance incentives on the utilization and quality of maternal and child care in Burundi. Soc Sci Med. 2014; <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.</u> 2014.11.004 PMID: 25462610
- 55. Brenner S, Muula AS, Robyn PJ, Bärnighausen T, Sarker M, Mathanga DP, et al. Design of an impact evaluation using a mixed methods model—An explanatory assessment of the effects of results-based financing mechanisms on maternal healthcare services in Malawi. BMC Health Serv Res. 2014; <u>https:// doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-14-180 PMID: 24751213</u>
- Morgan L, Stanton ME, Higgs ES, Balster RL, Bellows BW, Brandes N, et al. Financial incentives and maternal health: Where do we go from here? J Heal Popul Nutr. 2013;
- 57. Schuster RC, de Sousa O, Reme AK, Vopelak C, Pelletier DL, Johnson LM, et al. Performance-based financing empowers health workers delivering prevention of vertical transmission of HIV services and decreases desire to leave in Mozambique. Int J Heal Policy Manag. 2018; <u>https://doi.org/10.15171/</u> ijhpm.2017.137 PMID: 29996583
- Zaidi S, Riaz A, Rabbani F, Azam SI, Imran SN, Pradhan NA, et al. Can contracted out health facilities improve access, equity, and quality of maternal and newborn health services? Evidence from Pakistan. Heal Res Policy Syst. 2015;
- 59. Bhatnagar A, George AS. Motivating health workers up to a limit: partial effects of performance-based financing on working environments in Nigeria. 2016;(March):868–77.
- Basinga P, Gertler PJ, Binagwaho A, Soucat AL, Sturdy J, Vermeersch CM. Effect on maternal and child health services in Rwanda of payment to primary health-care providers for performance: An impact evaluation. Lancet. 2011;
- **61.** Soe-lin S, Kim TM, Thomas M, Schweitzer J, Hetcht R. How to close the gap on MDGs 4 & 5 in Africa: Evidencce to inform policy options. 2014;(March). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-012-1042-7 PMID: 22618489

- 62. Das A, Gopalan SS, Chandramohan D. Effect of pay for performance to improve quality of maternal and child care in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2016;1–11. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-2639-8 PMID: 26728978
- Ganle JK, Parker M, Fitzpatrick R, Otupiri E. Inequities in accessibility to and utilisation of maternal health services in Ghana after user-fee exemption: A descriptive study. Int J Equity Health. 2014; https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-014-0089-z PMID: 25388288
- Prytherch H, Marx M, Sauerborn R, Kagoné M, Yé M, Aninanya GA, et al. Motivation and incentives of rural maternal and neonatal health care providers: A comparison of qualitative findings from Burkina Faso, Ghana and Tanzania. BMC Health Serv Res. 2013; 13(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-13-149 PMID: 23617375
- Sakeah E, McCloskey L, Bernstein J, Yeboah-Antwi K, Mills S, Doctor H V. Can community health officer-midwives effectively integrate skilled birth attendance in the community-based health planning and services program in rural Ghana? Reprod Health. 2014; https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-4755-11-90 PMID: 25518900
- 66. Ghana Health Service. Annual Report 2010. Upper East Region; 2012.
- **67.** Gudu W, Addo B. Factors associated with utilization of skilled service delivery among women in rural Northern Ghana: a cross sectional study. 2017;1–10.
- Oduro AR, G W, D A, C D, P W, Kondayire F et al. Profile of the Navrongo Health and Demographic Surveillance System. Int J Epidemiol. 2012; 41:968–976. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys111</u> PMID: 22933645
- 69. Thyer BA. Quasi-Experimental Research Designs. Quasi-Experimental Research Designs. 2012.
- Williams A, Massawe S, Williams J. Quality of antenatal and childbirth care in rural health facilities in Burkina Faso, Ghana and Tanzania: an intervention study. 2016; 21(1):70–83.
- Blank A, Prytherch H, Kaltschmidt J, Krings A, Sukums F, Mensah N, et al. "quality of prenatal and maternal care: Bridging the know-do gap" (QUALMAT study): An electronic clinical decision support system for rural Sub-Saharan Africa. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2013;
- Okello DRO, Gilson L. Exploring the influence of trust relationships on motivation in the health sector: A systematic review. Hum Resour Health. 2015; <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-015-0007-5</u> PMID: 25889952
- 73. World Health Organization. Working together for Health: World Health Report 2006. World Health. 2006.
- 74. Ye' M, Aninanya GA, Sie' A, Kakoko DCV, Chatio S, Kagone' M, et al. Establishing sustainable performance-based incentive schemes: Views of rural health workers from qualitative research in three sub-Saharan African countries. Rural Remote Health. 2014; 14(3):1–12. PMID: 25217978
- **75.** Tehewy M AI, Habil I. Evaluation of accreditation program in non-governmental organizations ' health units in Egypt: short-term outcomes. 2009;(August 2015).
- Baltussen R, Haddad S, Sauerborn RS. Perceived quality of care of primary health care services in. 2002; 17(1):42–8.
- 77. Haddad S, Fournier P, Potvin L. Measuring lay people 's perceptions of the quality of primary health care services in developing countries. Validation of a 20-item scale. 1998; 10(2):93–104.
- Duong DATVAN, Binns CW, Lee AH, Hipgrave DB. Measuring client-perceived quality of maternity services in rural Vietnam. 2004; 16(6):447–52.
- Adjei KK, Kikuchi K, Owusu-Agyei S, Enuameh Y, Shibanuma A, Ansah EK, et al. Women's overall satisfaction with health facility delivery services in Ghana: A mixed-methods study. Trop Med Health. 2019; 47(1):1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-019-0172-7 PMID: 31320830
- 80. Wagman H. Caesarean section rates. Lancet. 1993; 342(8885):1490. PMID: 7902507
- Peters DH, Kohli M, Mascarenhas M, Rao K. Can computers improve patient care by primary health care workers in India? Int J Qual Heal Care. 2006; <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzl053</u> PMID: 17041232
- 82. Rob U, Alam MM. Performance-based incentive for improving quality of maternal health services in Bangladesh. Int Q Community Health Educ. 2014;
- Koposov R, Fossum S, Frodl T, Nytrø Ø, Leventhal B, Sourander A, et al. Clinical decision support systems in child and adolescent psychiatry: a systematic review. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-017-0992-0 PMID: 28455596