

The world in the horizon. Jørn Utzon and Sverre Fehn

Jaime J. Ferrer Forés

This article aims to examine the work of Jørn Utzon (1918-2008) and Sverre Fehn (1924-2009). A passion for Ancient cultures and an interest in construction shaped the personality of Jørn Utzon. Utzon's approach is extremely sensitive in assimilating and fusing already existing techniques or formal inventions into his personal synthesis. Utzon's career has been distinguished by the establishment of an innovative and a singular voice whose spirit of his work inspires the work of many contemporary architects. This essay point out Utzon's formal creativity, his intuition as a builder, his sensibility to the quality of materials and his capacity to understand the context in his works and projects.

Sverre Fehn's work professes an obstinate devoutness to the essence and authenticity, combining tradition and timelessness. The poetic intensity of his legacy is reflected in a lyrical work, abstract in its geometrical rigor, tactile in its constructive refinement and vernacular in its connection with place and memory.

Utzon and Fehn filtered the natural forms, structures and detailing derived from vernacular buildings and constructive tradition as sources of inspiration. In their trip to Morocco, they discovered the fundamental wisdom of common people, vernacular detailing and the material oneness of the landscape in anonymous architecture. Utzon and Fehn have created an architecture which reconciles the wisdom of vernacular building methods with the architectures of Antiquity. Fehn summarizes: "In my friendship with Utzon I met a constructor. He thought in constructions. I think more in stories, in content. Utzon goes directly into construction, immediately."

Jaime J. Ferrer Forés is Lecturer Teacher in Barcelona School of Architecture

The Siesby house
Designed by Arne Jacobsen. Bet
and the modern

Designed by Arne Jacobsen. Bet and the modern

Berta Bardí i Milà

The concept of work expresses the nature of his architecture. The domes from the most primitive remote and least with the success there is a progression to space in the living room opens outside, formulated a persistent evolution dream house through areas that start with the transition to the concavity) and end and visually open tends towards the Jacobsen is understood resulting from the established itself these two poles.

The enclosure courtyard, while more transparent room and the fragmented entity showing itself as Thus, in one project types of patio and of Jacobsen's period Siesby house, built years earlier in the

Berta Bardí i Milà Vallés School of Architecture

in Arne Jacobsen's work is a progression from home) to the most nature). Thus, he projects the definition of the access closure, while the living more to the garden as a pavilion. In this Jacobsen pursues his parent consecutive areas that regulates the which tends towards the space physically a landscape (which). So, the house for as the interior space ss and the garden, diaphragm between

As to the typology of of the house, much connects the living his part becomes a rest of the house, on inside the house. combines the archetypal. The prototype house culminates in s to take shape two It house.

Berta Bardí i Milà Associate Teacher in Architecture

The director plan of Säynätsalo
Strategies in the urban architecture of
Alvar Aalto

Daniel García Escudero

The clarity, transparency and weightlessness of modern architecture is also evident at the urban level. The modern city tends towards expansion and dynamism. Free space changes from the neutral background of the plot to become one of the active figures in the definition of the urban structure, becoming a factor of cohesion and public identity. This set of voids tends to form a continuous system that is intertwined with other systems that make up the city. Definitively, traditional concavity gives way to modern convexity, and to the understanding of urban planning from isolated figures that punctuate public space.

As a member of the modern movement in architecture, Alvar Aalto also attached special importance to public and urban spaces in his work. However, in contrast to the topological and formal qualities of modern orthodoxy, Aalto found his own strategies for his projects. Regardless of the scale, in the different areas in which he worked there is to be found the tangential composition and the perimeter route, enveloped spaces and non-frontal architectural objects, and the public place as a whole originating from the addition of autonomous elements not resigned to establishing their own place.

The aim of this article is to show, precisely, some of those strategies. To develop this I have chosen a particular case, the plan for the island of Säynätsalo (1942-52). This small Finnish town has occupied many pages in the books about Aalto due to its famous town hall, designed and built between 1949 and 1952. During those years, Aalto dealt with the regional scale (master plan), the intermediate scale (the town centre) and the architectural scale (the town council building). The detailed analysis of each scale highlights the interaction between them and, above all, helps us to better understand the working process of the famous Finnish architect.

Daniel García Escudero is PhD Researcher in Barcelona School of Architecture