

# On the Central Limit Theorem on IFS-events

J. Petrovičová<sup>1</sup> and B. Riečan<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Medical Informatics, Faculty of Medicine,  
P.J. Šafárik University, Trieda SNP 1, 040 66 Košice, Slovakia  
*jpetrov@central.medic.upjs.sk*

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Sciences,  
Matej Bel University, 974 01 Banská Bystrica, Slovakia  
*riecan@fpv.umb.sk*

<sup>3</sup> Math. Inst. SAS, Štefánikova 49, 814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia  
*riecan@mat.savba.sk*

## Abstract

A probability theory on IFS-events has been constructed in [3], and axiomatically characterized in [4]. Here using a general system of axioms it is shown that any probability on IFS-events can be decomposed onto two probabilities on a Lukaszewicz tribe, hence some known results from [5], [6] can be used also for IFS-sets. As an application of the approach a variant of Central limit theorem is presented.

**Keywords.** Probability theory, IFS-events

## 1 Introduction

An IFS-set  $A$  on a space  $\Omega$  as a couple  $(\mu_A, \nu_A)$  is understood,  $\mu_A : \Omega \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ ,  $\nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  such that  $\mu_A(\omega) + \nu_A(\omega) \leq 1$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega$  (see[1]). The function  $\mu_A$  is called the membership function, the function  $\nu_A$  is called the non membership function. An IFS-set  $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$  is called IFS-event if  $\mu_A, \nu_A$  are  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable with respect to a given  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ .

In [3] P. Grzegorzewski and E. Mrowka considered a classical probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P})$  and they suggested to define a probability measure on the set  $\mathcal{G}$  of all IFS events as an interval valued function  $\mathcal{P}$  by the following way. Probability  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  of an event  $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$  is the interval

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = [\int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP, 1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP]. \quad (*)$$

If  $\nu_A = 1 - \mu_A$ , then the interval is the singleton  $\int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP$ , hence the Grzegorzewski and Mrowka definition is an extension of the Zadeh definition. The probability  $\mathcal{P}$

---

This research has been supported by grant VEGA 1/9056/02.

is the function  $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the family of all compact subintervals of the unit interval  $I = [0, 1]$ . In [3] many properties of the mapping  $\mathcal{P}$  were discovered. Then in [4] it was proved that any function  $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  satisfying some properties (as continuity, some kind of additivity etc.) has the form (\*).

Special attention should be devoted to the notion of additivity of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Namely in fuzzy sets theory there are many possibilities how to define the intersection and the union of fuzzy sets. Recall that the representation theorem works with the Lukasiewicz connectives  $\oplus$ ,  $\odot$ , hence the additivity has the form

$$A \odot B = (0, 1) \implies \mathcal{P}(A \oplus B) = \mathcal{P}(A) + \mathcal{P}(B)$$

In the paper we shall use a more general situation. Instead of the set of all measurable functions with values in  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$  we shall consider any Lukasiewicz tribe  $\mathcal{T}$ . Instead of IFS-events we consider the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of all couples  $(f, g)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that  $f + g \leq 1$ . Then we define axiomatically the notion of a probability as a function from  $\mathcal{F}$  to the family  $\mathcal{J}$  of all compact subintervals of the unit interval. Moreover, we define the notion of an observable, that is an analogue of the notion of a random variable in the Kolmogorov theory. This notion is introduced here for the first time with regard to IFS-events. The main result of the paper are the representation theorems representing probabilities and observables in  $\mathcal{F}$  by the corresponding notions in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Consequently it is possible to transpose some known theorems from the probability theory on tribes to the more general case of IFS-events. As an illustration of the developed method the central limit theorem is presented.

In Section 2 we give the definitions of basic notions and some examples. Section 3 contains the representation theorems. In Section 4 and Section 5 a version of the central limit theorems is presented.

## 2 Probabilities and observables

Recall that a tribe is a non-empty family  $\mathcal{T}$  of functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $f \in \mathcal{T} \implies 1 - f \in \mathcal{T}$ ;
- (ii)  $f, g \in \mathcal{T} \implies f \oplus g = \min(f + g, 1) \in \mathcal{T}$ ;
- (iii)  $f_n \in \mathcal{T}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $f_n \nearrow f \implies f \in \mathcal{T}$ .

Of course, a tribe is a special case of a  $\sigma$ -MV-algebra.

In the preceding definition (instead of  $\max(a, b)$ ) we have used the first Lukasiewicz operation  $\oplus : \langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ ,  $a \oplus b = \min(a + b, 1)$ . The second binary operation  $\odot$  is defined by the equality  $a \odot b = \max(a + b - 1, 0)$ . It is easy to see that  $\chi_A \oplus \chi_B = \chi_{A \cup B}$ ,  $\chi_A \odot \chi_B = \chi_{A \cap B}$ . Recall [5,6] that probability (= a state) on a Lukasiewicz tribe  $\mathcal{T}$  is any mapping  $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $p(1_\Omega) = 1$ ;

(ii) if  $f \odot g = 0_\Omega$ , then  $p(f \oplus g) = p(f) + p(g)$ ;

(iii) if  $f_n \nearrow f$ , then  $p(f_n) \nearrow p(f)$ .

*Example 1.* Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a set  $\Omega$ ,  $P : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  be a probability measure.  $\chi_A$  be the characteristic function of a set  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ . Put  $\mathcal{T} = \{\chi_A; A \in \mathcal{S}\}$ ,  $p(\chi_A) = P(A)$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a tribe and  $p$  is a probability on  $\mathcal{T}$ .

*Example 2.* Again let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P})$  be a probability space,  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of all  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ ,  $p(f) = \int_\Omega f dP$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a tribe and  $p$  is a probability on  $\mathcal{T}$  defined by Zadeh [7].

During the whole text we fix the tribe  $\mathcal{T}$  and the generated family  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 1.** By an IFS-event we understand any element of the family

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(\mu_A, \nu_A); \mu_A, \nu_A \in \mathcal{T}, \mu_A + \nu_A \leq 1\}$$

To define the notion of probability on IFS-events we need to introduce operations on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ ,  $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B)$ . Then we define

$$A \oplus B = (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B, \nu_A \odot \nu_B),$$

$$A \odot B = (\mu_A \odot \mu_B, \nu_A \oplus \nu_B).$$

If  $A_n = (\mu_{A_n}, \nu_{A_n})$ , then we write

$$A_n \nearrow A \iff \mu_{A_n} \nearrow \mu_A, \quad \nu_{A_n} \searrow \nu_A.$$

If  $\nu_A = 1 - \mu_A$ ,  $\nu_B = 1 - \mu_B$ , then

$$A \oplus B = (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B, (1 - \mu_A) \odot (1 - \mu_B)) = (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B, 1 - \mu_A \oplus \mu_B),$$

and similarly  $A \odot B = (\mu_A \odot \mu_B, 1 - \mu_A \odot \mu_B)$ .

A probability  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  is a mapping from  $\mathcal{F}$  to the family  $\mathcal{J}$  of all closed intervals  $\langle a, b \rangle$  such that  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$ . Here we define

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a, b \rangle + \langle c, d \rangle &= \langle a + c, b + d \rangle, \\ \langle a_n, b_n \rangle \nearrow \langle a, b \rangle &\iff a_n \nearrow a, \quad b_n \nearrow b. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.** By an IFS-probability on  $\mathcal{F}$  we understand any function  $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  satisfying the following properties :

(i)  $\mathcal{P}((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle = \{1\}$ ;  $\mathcal{P}((0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle = \{0\}$ ;

(ii)  $\mathcal{P}(A \oplus B) + \mathcal{P}(A \odot B) = \mathcal{P}(A) + \mathcal{P}(B)$  for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ ;

(iii) if  $A_n \nearrow A$ , then  $\mathcal{P}(A_n) \nearrow \mathcal{P}(A)$ .

$\mathcal{P}$  is called separating, if  $\mathcal{P}((f, g)) = \langle p(f), 1 - q(g) \rangle$  for some  $p, q : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ .

*Example 3.* ([3]). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P)$  be a probability space  $\mathcal{T} = \{f; f : \Omega \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle, f \text{ is } \mathcal{S} \text{ measurable}\}$ , and for  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ , put

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \left\langle \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP, 1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP \right\rangle.$$

Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is probability with respect to Definition 2. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(1_{\Omega}, 0_{\Omega}) &= \left\langle \int_{\Omega} 1_{\Omega} dP, 1 - \int_{\Omega} 0_{\Omega} dP \right\rangle = \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \\ \mathcal{P}(0_{\Omega}, 1_{\Omega}) &= \left\langle \int_{\Omega} 0_{\Omega} dP, 1 - \int_{\Omega} 1_{\Omega} dP \right\rangle = \langle 0, 0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The property (iii) has been proved in [3], we shall prove (ii). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(A \oplus B) + \mathcal{P}(A \odot B) &= \\ &= \mathcal{P}((\mu_A \oplus \mu_B, \nu_A \odot \nu_B)) + \mathcal{P}(\mu_A \odot \mu_B, \nu_A \oplus \nu_B) \\ &= \left\langle \int_{\Omega} (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B) dP, 1 - \int_{\Omega} (\nu_A \odot \nu_B) dP \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \int_{\Omega} (\mu_A \odot \mu_B) dP, 1 - \int_{\Omega} (\nu_A \oplus \nu_B) dP \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \int_{\Omega} (\mu_A \oplus \mu_B + \mu_A \odot \mu_B) dP, 2 - \int_{\Omega} (\nu_A \odot \nu_B + \nu_A \oplus \nu_B) dP \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \int_{\Omega} \mu_A dP + \int_{\Omega} \mu_B dP, 1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_A dP + 1 - \int_{\Omega} \nu_B dP \right\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{P}(A) + \mathcal{P}(B). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, in [4] it has been proved that under two additional conditions any IFS-probability  $\mathcal{P}$  on the family  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{S}$ , has the above form.

More generally, if  $p, q : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ ,  $p \leq q$  are probabilities, then  $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  defined by  $\mathcal{P}((f, g)) = \langle p(f), 1 - q(g) \rangle$ , is a probability. In the special case  $\mathcal{P}((f, 1 - f)) = \langle p(f), q(f) \rangle$ .

The second important notion in the probability theory is the notion of a random variable. According to the terminology used in quantum structures we shall speak about observables instead of random variables. Recall that an observable with values in  $\mathcal{T}$  is a mapping  $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  ( $\mathcal{B}(R)$  being the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $R$ ) satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $x(R) = 1_{\Omega}$ ;
- (ii) if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $x(A) \odot x(B) = 0_{\Omega}$ , and  $x(A \cup B) = x(A) + x(B)$ ;
- (iii) if  $A_n \nearrow A$  then  $x(A_n) \nearrow x(A)$ .

**Definition 3.** A mapping  $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is called an IFS-observable, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $x(R) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$ ;
- (ii) if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $x(A) \odot x(B) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ , and  $x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B)$ ;
- (iii) if  $A_n \nearrow A$ , then  $x(A_n) \nearrow x(A)$ .

### 3 Representation theorems

**Theorem 1.**  $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  is a separating IFS-probability if and only if  $p, q : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  are probabilities.

*Proof.* Let  $A = (f_1, f_2)$ ,  $B = (g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A \oplus B &= (f_1 \oplus g_1, f_2 \odot g_2), \\
A \odot B &= (f_1 \odot g_1, f_2 \oplus g_2), \\
\mathcal{P}(A \oplus B) &= \langle p(f_1 \oplus g_1), 1 - q(f_2 \odot g_2) \rangle, \\
\mathcal{P}(A \odot B) &= \langle p(f_1 \odot g_1), 1 - q(f_2 \oplus g_2) \rangle, \\
\mathcal{P}(A \oplus B) + \mathcal{P}(A \odot B) &= \langle p(f_1 \oplus g_1) + p(f_1 \odot g_1), 2 - q(f_2 \odot g_2) - q(f_2 \oplus g_2) \rangle, \\
\mathcal{P}(A) + \mathcal{P}(B) &= \langle p(f_1), 1 - q(g_1) \rangle + \langle p(g_1), 1 - q(g_2) \rangle \\
&= \langle p(f_1) + p(g_1), 2 - q(g_1) - q(g_2) \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
p(f_1 \oplus g_1) + p(f_1 \odot g_1) &= p(f_1) + p(g_1), \\
q(f_2 \odot g_2) + q(f_2 \oplus g_2) &= q(f_2) + q(g_2),
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ .

By these two equalities the additivity of  $p$  and  $q$  follows. If  $I = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$ , then

$$\langle p(1_\Omega), 1 - q(0_\Omega) \rangle = \mathcal{P}(I) = \{1\},$$

hence  $p(1_\Omega) = 1$ .

On the other hand, if  $O = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ , then

$$\langle p(0_\Omega), 1 - q(1_\Omega) \rangle = \mathcal{P}(O) = \{0\},$$

hence  $1 - q(1_\Omega) = 0$ ,  $q(1_\Omega) = 1$ .

Now we prove the continuity of  $p$  and  $q$ . First let  $f_n \in \mathcal{T}$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $f_n \nearrow f$ . Put  $F_n = (f_n, 1 - f_n)$ . Then  $F_n \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $F_n \nearrow F = (f, 1 - f)$ . Therefore

$$\langle p(f_n), 1 - q(f_n) \rangle = \mathcal{P}(F_n) \nearrow \mathcal{P}(F) = \langle p(f), 1 - q(f) \rangle,$$

hence  $p(f_n) \nearrow p(f)$ ,  $1 - q(f_n) \searrow 1 - q(f)$ ,  $q(f_n) \nearrow q(f)$ . □

**Theorem 2.** Let  $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . For any  $A \in \mathcal{B}(R)$  denote  $x(A) = (x^b(A), 1 - x^\sharp(A))$ . Then  $x$  is IFS-observable if and only if  $x^b : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ ,  $x^\sharp : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  are observables.

*Proof.* Since

$$(1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) = x(R) = (x^b(R), 1 - x^\sharp(R)),$$

we obtain

$$x^b(R) = 1_\Omega, x^\sharp(R) = 1_\Omega.$$

Let  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega) &= x(A) \odot x(B) \\ &= (x^b(A), 1 - x^\sharp(A)) \odot (x^b(B), 1 - x^\sharp(B)) \\ &= (x^b(A) \odot x^b(B), (1 - x^\sharp(A)) \oplus (1 - x^\sharp(B))), \end{aligned}$$

hence  $0_\Omega = x^b(A) \odot x^b(B)$ . Further

$$1_\Omega = (1 - x^\sharp(A)) \oplus (1 - x^\sharp(B)) = (1 - x^\sharp(A) + 1 - x^\sharp(B)) \wedge 1,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - x^\sharp(A) + 1 - x^\sharp(B) &\geq 1, \\ 1 &\geq x^\sharp(A) + x^\sharp(B), \\ x^\sharp(A) \odot x^\sharp(B) &= (x^\sharp(A) + x^\sharp(B) - 1) \vee 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (x^b(A \cup B), 1 - x^\sharp(A \cup B)) &= \\ &= x(A \cup B) = x(A) \oplus x(B) \\ &= (x^b(A), 1 - x^\sharp(A)) \oplus (x^b(B), 1 - x^\sharp(B)) \\ &= (x^b(A) \oplus x^b(B), (1 - x^\sharp(A)) \odot (1 - x^\sharp(B))) \\ &= (x^b(A) + x^b(B), (1 - x^\sharp(A) + 1 - x^\sharp(B) - 1) \vee 0) \\ &= (x^b(A) + x^b(B), 1 - (x^\sharp(A) + x^\sharp(B))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x^b(A \cup B) &= x^b(A) + x^b(B), \\ x^\sharp(A \cup B) &= x^\sharp(A) + x^\sharp(B). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let  $A_n \nearrow A$ . Then

$$(x^b(A_n), 1 - x^\sharp(A_n)) = x(A_n) \nearrow x(A) = (x^b(A), 1 - x^\sharp(A)),$$

hence

$$x^b(A_n) \nearrow x^b(A), \quad 1 - x^\sharp(A_n) \searrow 1 - x^\sharp(A), \quad \text{i. e. } x^\sharp(A_n) \nearrow x^\sharp(A). \quad \square$$

It is easy to see that the mappings

$$p_{x^\flat} = p \circ x^\flat : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \quad q_{x^\sharp} = q \circ x^\sharp : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$$

are probability measures. Therefore we define

$$E(x^\flat) = \int_R t dp_{x^\flat}(t), \quad E(x^\sharp) = \int_R t dq_{x^\sharp}(t)$$

if these integrals exist. In this case we say that  $x$  is integrable. Further we define

$$\sigma^2(x^\flat) = \int_R (t - E(x^\flat))^2 dp_{x^\flat}(t), \quad \sigma^2(x^\sharp) = \int_R (t - E(x^\sharp))^2 dq_{x^\sharp}(t)$$

if these integral exists. In this case we say that  $x$  belongs to  $L^2$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  be a separating IFS-probability given by  $\mathcal{P}((f, g)) = \langle p(f), 1 - q(g) \rangle$ ,  $x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be an IFS-observable given by  $x(A) = (x^\flat(A), 1 - x^\sharp(A))$ . Then  $\mathcal{P} \circ x : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{P} \circ x(A) = \langle p(x^\flat(A)), q(x^\sharp(A)) \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Evidently

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} \circ x(A) &= \mathcal{P}(x(A)) = \mathcal{P}((x^\flat(A), 1 - x^\sharp(A))) \\ &= \langle p(x^\flat(A)), 1 - q(1 - x^\sharp(A)) \rangle \\ &= \langle p(x^\flat(A)), q(x^\sharp(A)) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

## 4 Independence

**Definition 4.** An  $n$ -dimensional IFS-observable is a mapping  $h : \mathcal{B}(R^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $h(R^n) = (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$ ;
- (ii) if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $h(A) \odot h(B) = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ , and  $h(A \cup B) = h(A) + h(B)$ ;
- (iii) if  $A_n \nearrow A$ , then  $h(A_n) \nearrow h(A)$ .

Recall that observables  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  are called independent if there exists  $n$ -dimensional observable  $h : \mathcal{B}(R^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that

$$p(h(A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n)) = p(x_1(A_1)) \cdot p(x_2(A_2)) \cdot \dots \cdot p(x_n(A_n))$$

for any  $(A_1, \dots, A_n) \in \mathcal{B}(R)$ .

**Definition 5.** IFS-observables  $x_1, \dots, x_n : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  are called independent with respect to an IFS-probability  $\mathcal{P}$ , if there exists  $n$ -dimensional observable  $h : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\mathcal{P}(h(A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n)) = \mathcal{P}(x_1(A_1)) \otimes \mathcal{P}(x_2(A_2)) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}(x_n(A_n))$$

for any  $(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) \in \mathcal{B}(R)$ . Here

$$\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \otimes \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes \langle a_n, b_n \rangle = \langle a_1 a_2 \dots a_n, b_1 b_2 \dots b_n \rangle$$

for any  $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle \in \mathcal{J} (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  be a separating probability. Then IFS-observables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  are independent if and only if the corresponding observables  $x_1^b, x_2^b, \dots, x_n^b : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  are independent as well as  $x_1^\sharp, x_2^\sharp, \dots, x_n^\sharp : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(R)$ . Then by Theorem 3

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle p(h^b(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n)), q(h^\sharp(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n)) \rangle = \\ & = \mathcal{P}(h(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n)) = \mathcal{P}(x_1(A_1)) \otimes \mathcal{P}(x_2(A_2)) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}(x_n(A_n)) \\ & = \langle p(x_1^b(A_1)), q(x_1^\sharp(A_1)) \rangle \otimes \dots \otimes \langle p(x_n^b(A_n)), q(x_n^\sharp(A_n)) \rangle \\ & = \langle p(x_1^b(A_1)) \cdot p(x_2^b(A_2)) \cdot \dots \cdot p(x_n^b(A_n)), q(x_1^\sharp(A_1)) \cdot q(x_2^\sharp(A_2)) \cdot \dots \cdot q(x_n^\sharp(A_n)) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} p(h^b(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n)) &= p(x_1^b(A_1)) \cdot p(x_2^b(A_2)) \cdot \dots \cdot p(x_n^b(A_n)), \\ q(h^\sharp(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n)) &= q(x_1^\sharp(A_1)) \cdot q(x_2^\sharp(A_2)) \cdot \dots \cdot q(x_n^\sharp(A_n)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

## 5 Central limit theorem

A sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of IFS-observables is called independent, if  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  are independent for any  $n$ . They are equally distributed if

$$x_n((-\infty, t)) = x_1((-\infty, t))$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in R$ .

If  $k : R^n \rightarrow R$  is any Borel function and  $x_1^b, \dots, x_n^b : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  are observable, and  $h^b$  their joint observable we define  $k(x_1^b, \dots, x_n^b)$  by the formula

$$k(x_1^b, \dots, x_n^b)(A) = h^b(k^{-1}(A))$$

for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}(R)$ . E.g.

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma_1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^b - a_1 \right) : \mathcal{B}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

is defined by the formula

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma_1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^b - a_1 \right) (A) = h^b(k^{-1}(A)),$$

where

$$k(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma_1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i - a_1 \right).$$



**Theorem 5.** Let  $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$  be a separating probability. Let  $(x_n)_1^\infty$  be a sequence of independent equally distributed IFS-observables from  $L^2$ ,  $E(x_1^b) = a_1$ ,  $E(x_1^\sharp) = a_2$ ,  $\sigma^2(x_1^b) = \sigma_1^2$ ,  $\sigma^2(x_1^\sharp) = \sigma_2^2$ ,  $y_n^b = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma_1}(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^b - a_1)$ ,  $y_n^\sharp = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma_2}(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\sharp - a_2)$ ,  $y_n = (y_n^b, 1 - y_n^\sharp)$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}(y_n((-\infty, t))) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2}\right) du \right\}$$

for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 4  $(x_n^b)_1^\infty$ ,  $(x_n^\sharp)_1^\infty$  are independent and evidently equally distributed. Let  $h_n^b$  be the joint observable of  $x_1^b, \dots, x_n^b$ ,

$$k_n(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma_1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i - a_1 \right), \quad y_n^b = h_n^b \circ k_n^{-1}.$$

Then by [5], Theorem 3.12

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(y_n^b((-\infty, t))) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(\frac{-u^2}{2}\right) du.$$

Similarly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(y_n^\sharp((-\infty, t))) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(\frac{-u^2}{2}\right) du. \quad \square$$

## 6 Conclusions

The paper is concerned in the probability theory on IFS-events. The main result of the paper is an original method of achieving new results of the probability theory on IFS-events by the corresponding results holding for fuzzy events. The method can be developed in two directions. First instead of a tribe of fuzzy sets one could try to consider any MV-algebra. Secondly, instead of independency of observables a kind of compatibility could be introduced and then conditional probabilities could be considered.

## References

- [1] Atanassov, K., *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Applications*. Physica Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [2] Dvurečenskij, A. and Pulmannová, S., *Trends in Quantum Structures*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [3] Grzegorzewski, P. and Mrowka, E., *Probability of intuitionistic fuzzy events*. In: *Soft Methods in Probability, Statistic and Data Analysis*, (P. Grzegorzewski et al. eds.), Physica Verlag, New York, 2002, pp. 105–115.

- [4] Riečan, B., *A descriptive definition of the probability on intuitionistic fuzzy sets*. In: EUSFLAT 2003 (M. Wagenacht, R. Hampet eds.), Zittau-Goerlitz Univ. Appl. Sci. 2003, pp. 263–266.
- [5] Riečan, B. and Mundici, D., *Probability in MV-algebras*. In: Handbook on Measure Theory (E. Pap ed.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 869–909.
- [6] Riečan, B. and Neubrunn T., *Integral, Measure, and Ordering*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, and Ister Science, Bratislava 1997.
- [7] Zadeh, L. A. *Probability measures of fuzzy events*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **123** (1968), 421–427.