## On perfect and quasiperfect domination in graphs \*

- J. Cáceres<sup>1</sup>, C. Hernando<sup>2</sup>, M. Mora<sup>2</sup>, I. M. Pelayo<sup>2</sup>, and <u>M. L. Puertas</u><sup>1</sup>
- <sup>1</sup> University of Almería {jcaceres, mpuertas}@ual.es
- <sup>2</sup> Polytechnical University of Cataluña {carmen.hernando,merce.mora,ignacio.m.pelayo}@upc.edu

**Abstract.** Given a graph G, a set  $D \subset V(G)$  is a dominating set of G if every vertex not in D is adjacent to at least one vertex of D. The domination number  $\gamma(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of G.

If moreover, every vertex not in D is adjacent to exactly one vertex of D, then D is called a perfect dominating set of G. The perfect domination number  $\gamma_{11}(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a perfect dominating set of G. In general, for every integer  $k \geq 1$ , a dominating set D is called a k-quasiperfect dominating set if every vertex not in D is adjacent to at most k vertices of D. The k-quasiperfect domination number  $\gamma_{1k}(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a k-quasiperfect dominating set of G. These parameters are related in the following general way ( $\Delta$  the maximum degree of G and by n the number of vertices):  $\gamma(G) = \gamma_{1\Delta}(G) \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_{12}(G) \leq \gamma_{11}(G) \leq n$ .

In this work we study the perfect domination number, with the help of this decreasing chain of domination parameters, in the following graph families: graphs with extremal maximum degree, that is, graphs with  $\Delta \geq n-3$  or  $\Delta=3$ , and also in cographs, claw-free graphs and trees. We also study the behavior of these parameters under some usual product operations.

**Key words:** Perfect domination, quasiperfect domination, claw-free graphs, cographs.

#### 1 Introduction

All the graphs considered are finite, undirected, simple, and connected. Given a graph G = (V, E), the open neighborhood of a vertex  $v \in V$  is  $N(v) = \{u \in V | uv \in E\}$  and the closed neighborhood is  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . The degree deg(v) of a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is the number of neighbors of v, i.e., deg(v) = |N(v)|. The maximum degree of G, denoted by  $\Delta(G)$ , is the largest degree among all vertices of G. For undefined basic concepts we refer the reader to introductory graph theoretical literature, e.g., [3].

<sup>\*</sup> Research supported by projects MTM2012-30951/FEDER, MTM2011-28800-C02-01, ESF EUROCORES programme EUROGIGA-ComPoSe IP04-MICINN, Gen. Cat. DGR 2009SGR1040, Gen. Cat. DGR 2009SGR1387, Junta de Andalucía FQM305.

176

Given a graph G, a set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  is a dominating set of G if every vertex v not in D is adjacent to at least one vertex of D, i.e., if  $N(v) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . The domination number  $\gamma(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of G. A dominating set of cardinality  $\gamma(G)$  is called a  $\gamma$ -code [5].

If moreover, every vertex not in D is adjacent to exactly one vertex of D, then D is called a *perfect dominating set* of G [1,7]. The *perfect domination number*  $\gamma_{11}(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a perfect dominating set of G. A dominating set of cardinality  $\gamma_{11}(G)$  is called a  $\gamma_{11}$ -code. This definition can be generalized in the following way.

**Definition 1 ([4]).** For  $k \geq 1$ , we define a dominating subset  $S \subseteq V$  in a graph G = (V, E) to be a k-quasiperfect dominating set if every vertex not in D is adjacent to at most k vertices of D.

**Definition 2 ([4]).** For  $k \geq 1$ , The k-quasiperfect domination number  $\gamma_{1k}(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a k-quasiperfect dominating set of G. A dominating set of cardinality  $\gamma_{1k}(G)$  is called a  $\gamma_{1k}$ -code.

Certainly, 1-quasiperfect dominating sets and  $\Delta$ -quasiperfect dominating sets are precisely the perfect dominating sets and dominating sets, respectively. There is an obvious relationship among these domination parameters. If G is a graph of order n and maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then

$$\gamma(G) = \gamma_{1\Delta}(G) \leq \dots \gamma_{12}(G) \leq \gamma_{11}(G) \leq n$$

In this work we study this decreasing chain of domination parameters. We present our main contributions when restricting ourselves to the following graph families:

- Graphs with maximum degree  $\Delta \geq n-3$  or  $\Delta=3$ .
- Cographs.
- Claw-free graphs.
- Trees.

We also study the behavior of these parameters under product operations.

#### 2 Results

**Theorem 1 ([4]).** If G is a graph of order n that satisfies some of the following conditions, then  $\gamma(G) = \gamma_{12}(G)$ :

- $\bullet \quad \Delta(G) \ge n 3.$
- $\Delta(G) \leq 2$ .
- G is a  $P_4$ -free graph (cograph).
- G is a  $K_{1,3}$ -free graph (claw-free graph).
- Every vertex of G is either a support vertex or has degree at most 2.

As a result of Theorem above, in graphs that satisfy some of its conditions the chain of quasiperfect domination parameters is shorter that in the general case:  $\gamma(G) = \gamma_{12}(G) \leq \gamma_{11}(G) \leq n$ , and it is interesting to consider what happen with the parameter  $\gamma_{11}$ . We have obtained the following results.

# 2.1 Graphs with maximum degree $\Delta(G) \ge n-3$

In this case we have obtained realization results for the parameter  $\gamma_{11}$ , that show that it can achieve all values in the interval between 2 and n, with a small number of exceptions.

**Theorem 2.** Let k, n be integers such that  $n \geq 4$ ,  $2 \leq k \leq n$  and  $(n, k) \not\in \{(5,5), (5,4), (4,4), (4,3)\}$ . Then, there exists a graph G = (V, E) of order n such that  $\Delta(G) = n - 2$  and  $\gamma_{11}(G) = k$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let k, n be positive integers such that  $n \geq 8$  and  $2 \leq k \leq n$ . Then, there exists a graph G of order n such that  $\Delta(G) = n - 3$  that satisfies  $\gamma_{11}(G) = k$ .

## 2.2 Graphs with small maximum degree

The family of connected graphs with maximum degree  $\Delta=2$  contains just paths and cycles, and in both cases parameter  $\gamma_{11}$  is completely determined:  $\gamma_{11}(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$  and  $\gamma_{11}(C_n) = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ . So we focus on graphs with maximum degree  $\Delta=3$  and we have obtained the following result that provide an upper bound for  $\gamma_{11}$ .

**Theorem 4.** If  $\Delta(G) = 3$  and G is other than the bull graph, then  $\gamma_{11}(G) \leq n-3$ . Note also that the bull graph H has 5 vertices and  $\gamma_{11}(H) = 3 = n-2$ 

## 2.3 Cographs

In the family of  $P_4$ -free graphs, we have calculated the exact values of  $\gamma_{11}$ , depending on the value of de domination parameter  $\gamma$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let G be a cograph of order n. Then:

- If  $\gamma(G) = 2$ , then  $\gamma_{11}(G) \in \{2, n\}$ .
- Cographs such that  $\gamma(G) = \gamma_{11}(G) = 2$  are completely characterized.
- If  $\gamma(G) \geq 3$ , then  $\gamma_{11}(G) = n$ .

## 2.4 Claw-free graphs

In this family of graphs, we have also studied the values of  $\gamma_{11}$  in relationship with the values of  $\gamma$ . But in contrast with the case above, the family of cographs, in this occasion a wider range of values can be achieved.

**Theorem 6.** Let h, k, n be integers such that  $2 \le h \le k < n$  and  $h + k \le n$ . Then, there exists a claw-free graph G of order n such that  $\gamma(G) = h$  and  $\gamma_{11}(G) = k$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let n be an integer such that  $n \geq 6$ . Then,

- there exists a claw-free graph G of order n and such that  $\gamma(G) = 2$  and  $\gamma_{11}(G) = n 1$ ,
- there exists a claw-free graph G of order n and such that  $\gamma(G) = 2$  and  $\gamma_{11}(G) = n$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let h, n be integers such that  $n \geq 7$ ,  $2 \leq h \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{3} \rfloor$ . Then, there exists a claw-free graph G of order n such that  $\gamma(G) = h$  and  $\gamma_{11}(G) = n$ .

## 3 Trees

The following result about trees is known.

#### Theorem 7([2]).

Let T be a tree of order  $n \geq 3$  with k leaves. Then,

- Every [1, 1]-set contains all its strong support vertices.
- $\bullet \quad \gamma_{11}(T) \leq \frac{n}{2}.$
- $\gamma_{11}(T) = \frac{n}{2}$  if and only if  $T = T' \odot K_1$ , for some tree T'.
- $\gamma_{11}(T) \leq n k$ .
- $\gamma_{11}(T) = n k$  if and only if T contains a [1,1]-code D such that  $V \setminus D$  induces a coclique.

So we focus our attention on the relationship between  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_{11}$ . We have obtained a complete result in the particular case of caterpillars and a general inequality between both parameters that is satisfied for any tree.

Proposition 3. Let T be a caterpillar. Then

$$\gamma(T) = \gamma_{12}(T) \leq \gamma_{11}(T) < 2\gamma(T)$$

**Proposition 4.** Let  $\{h, k, n\}$  be integers with  $1 \le h \le k \le \frac{n}{2}$  and h < 2k. Then there exists a caterpillar T of order n such that  $\gamma_{12}(T) = h$ ,  $\gamma_{11}(T) = k$ .

**Theorem 8.** For every tree T,  $\gamma(T) \leq \gamma_{11}(T) \leq 2\gamma(T) - 1$ . Moreover, both bounds are tight.

## 4 Product graphs

Finally we present some results on the behavior of the quasiperfect domination parameters with standard product operations.

We begin with the cartesian product [6] of two connected graphs G and H, denoted by  $G \square H$ , which is the graph with the vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$ in which vertices (g,h) and (g',h') are adjacent whenever  $gg' \in E(G)$  and  $h = h' \in E(H)$  or  $g = g' \in E(G)$  and  $hh' \in E(H)$ . The following result is

**Proposition 5 ([4]).** For every grid graph  $G = P_h \square P_k$ ,  $\gamma_{13}(G) = \gamma(G)$ .

We have obtained a general upper bound for this product-type operation.

**Theorem 9.** Let G and H be two graphs and let r be an integer. Then,  $\gamma_{1r}(G\Box H) \leq \min\{\gamma_{1r}(G)|V(H)|,|V(G)|\gamma_{1r}(H)\}.$  Moreover, this bound is tight.

On the other hand, the strong product [6] of graphs two connected G and H, denoted by  $G \boxtimes H$ , is the graph such that  $V(G \boxtimes H) = (V(G) \times V(H))$ and  $E(G \boxtimes H) = E(G \times H) \cup E(G \square H)$ . In this case, the following result is proved.

**Proposition 6.** Let G be a graph and let k be an integer such that  $\gamma_{1k}(G) =$ |V(G)|. Then,  $\gamma_{1k}(G \boxtimes H) = |V(G \boxtimes H)|$ , for any graph H.

Finally we have calculated exact values of parameters  $\gamma_{11}$  and  $\gamma_{12}$  for strong product of paths, cycles and complete graphs.

**Proposition 7.**  $\gamma_{11}(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \gamma(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \gamma(P_r) \cdot \gamma(P_s)$ 

## Proposition 8.

- $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & \gamma_{12}(C_r \boxtimes C_s) = \gamma(C_r \boxtimes C_s) = \gamma(C_r)\gamma(C_s) = \lceil \frac{r}{3} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil. \\ \bullet & \gamma_{11}(C_r \boxtimes C_s) = \gamma(C_r \boxtimes C_s), \ if \ r = 3a \ and \ s = 3b. \\ \bullet & \gamma_{11}(C_r \boxtimes C_s) = rs = n, \ if \ r \neq 3a \ or \ s \neq 3b. \end{array}$

**Proposition 9.**  $\gamma_{11}(K_r \boxtimes P_s) = \gamma(K_r \boxtimes P_s) = \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$ 

#### Proposition 10.

- $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & \gamma_{12}(K_r \boxtimes C_s) = \gamma(K_r \boxtimes C_s) = \gamma(C_s) = \left\lceil \frac{s}{3} \right\rceil. \\ \bullet & \gamma_{11}(K_r \boxtimes C_s) = \gamma(K_r \boxtimes C_s), \ if \ s = 3a. \end{array}$
- $\gamma_{11}(K_r \boxtimes C_s) = rs = n$ , if  $s \neq 3a$ .

#### Proposition 11.

- $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & \gamma_{12}(C_r\boxtimes P_s) = \gamma(C_r\boxtimes P_s) = \gamma(C_r)\gamma(P_s) = \lceil\frac{r}{3}\rceil\lceil\frac{s}{3}\rceil.\\ \bullet & \gamma_{11}(C_r\boxtimes P_s) = \gamma(C_r\boxtimes P_s), \ if \ r=3a.\\ \bullet & \gamma_{11}(C_r\boxtimes P_s) = rs = n, \ if \ r\neq 3a. \end{array}$

## References

- [1] N. Biggs, Perfect codes in graphs, J. Comb. Theory (B), 15:289-296, 1973.
- [2] Y. Caro, A. Hansberg, M. Henning, Fair domination in graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, 312(19):2905–2914, 2012.
- [3] G. Chartrand, L. Lesniak, P. Zhang, *Graphs and Digraphs*, (5th edition). CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 2011.
- [4] M. Chellali, T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, A. McRae, [1, 2]-sets in graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 161(18):2885–2893, 2013.
- [5] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of domination in graphs. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [6] W. Imrich, S. Klavzar, *Product graphs. Structure and recognition.* John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [7] M. Livingston, Q.F. Stouty, Perfect dominating sets, *Congressus Numerantium*, 79:187-203, 1990.