EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS OF THE BUBBLE-SLUG TRANSITION IN A MINICHANNEL IN MICROGRAVITY CONDITIONS

Student: Mr. Santiago Arias* Advisor: Dr. Ricard González-Cinca

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Castelldefels (Barcelona), Spain

Keywords: Two-phase flow; Microgravity; Flow patterns; Bubbly-slug transition; Minichannel; Minibubble.

Extended abstract

Three main different flow patterns were found for twophase gas-liquid flow in microgravity conditions: bubble, slug and annular flow [1,3]. Each of these patterns presents unique features that made it interesting for variety both scientific and technological applications. And even if several method have been proposed in the past to define the two-phase flow patterns [4,7], a better understanding of it is still required. To such end, further studies regarding the mechanisms of transition between regimes are essential.

This work is focused in the experimental study of the bubble-slug transition in minitubes on ground in microgravity conditions. A previously presented injector [8], where water and air are injected in a 1 mm capillary T-junction, was used. The generation and detachment of the minibubbles is provided by the liquid cross-flow (Fig. 1-a). In nominal conditions small Bond number and small Weber number are achieved for an air/water mixture flow. Therefore, capillary forces dominate over buoyancy and inertial forces [9].

We performed experiments at several water volumetric flow rates values ranging from $Q_l = 2$ up to 80 ml/min. For each value of Q_l a large number of values of air volumetric flow rates Q_g , ranging typically from 0.25 to 80 ml/min, were employed. For each chosen couple of values Q_l , Q_g images were taken by the high velocity camera. Analysis of the films permitted to measure the gas velocity, U_G , and additionally to classify the obtained flows in bubble or slug type. Churn and annular flows were also observed, but are not considered here.

The bubble-slug transition is very susceptible to the investigator subjectivity. In order to overcome this difficulty, we considered that the bubble-slug transition occurs when the minibubble diameter is larger than 1 capillary diameters, according with the classification proposed by Dukler et al [1]. Fig. 1-a and 1-b show

representative photographs of slug and bubbly flows. No pattern with simultaneously the characteristics of bubbly and slug flow was observed in our experiments in any case.

Fig. 2 shows the gas velocity, U_G , with respect to the mixture velocity, $U_{SG}+U_{SL}$, being U_{SG} and U_{SL} the superficial gas and liquid velocities, respectively. A simple linear relation holds in its dependence on the mixture velocity and therefore a drift-flux relationship can be assumed [3]:

$$U_G = C_0 \left(U_{SG} + U_{SL} \right) \tag{1}$$

where C_0 , the distribution coefficient, fits to the value 1.22. A consequence of the previous conclusion is that the transition may be expressed as

$$U_{SL} = U_{SG} \frac{1 - C_0 \alpha_c}{C_0 \alpha_c}$$
(2)

being α_c the required critical value of the void fraction for the bubble-slug transition to occur. A two-phase flow bubble/slug patterns map based on the gas/liquid superficial velocities is plotted in Fig. 3 by using our experimental data. As shown, the data fit well for the bubbly and slug regions of the map for $\alpha_c = 0.2$.

Finally, with U_{SG} and U_G known, the mean void fraction can be determined as follows:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{U_{SG}}{U_G} \tag{3}$$

Fig. 4 shows the void fraction calculated with Eq. 3 vs. the gas/liquid superficial velocities ratio, U_{SG}/U_{SL} . Again, the data corresponding to the bubble and slug flow fit well to the plotted 0.2 void fraction line.

Further details and comparison of the experimental data with nowadays data and models will be provided at the congress.

*Corresponding author: Tel: (+34) 934 134 129. E-mail: Santiago.arias@upc.edu



Figure 1. Representative photographs of flow patterns in the 1 mm circular diameter tube: a) slug flow; b) bubbly flow.



Figure 2. Gas velocity vs. mixture velocity. *Symbols:* experimental results, *line:* linear fit.



Figure 3. Bubbly and slug flow patterns. *Symbols:* experimental results, *line:* transition line corresponding to void fraction equal to 0.2.



Figure 4. Void fraction vs. gas/liquid superficial velocities ratio, U_{SG}/U_{SL} . Symbols: experimental results, *line:* void fraction corresponding to 0.2.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support by Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Projects number ESP2006-28459-E, FIS2006-03525 and FIS2006-11452-C03-02, Spain).

References

[1] A.E. Dukler, J. A. Fabre, J. B. McQuillen, R. Vernon, Gasliquid flow at microgravity conditions: flow patterns and their transitions, International Journal of Multiphase Flow 14 (1988) 389–400.

[2] Zhao, J.F., A review of two-phase gas-liquid flow patterns under microgravity conditions. Adv. In Mech. (Chinese) 29 (1999) 369-382.

[3] J. McQuillen, C. Colin and J. Fabre, Ground-based gas-liquid flow research in microgravity conditions: state of knowledge, Space Forum 3 (1998) 165-203.

[4] C. Colin, J. Fabre, A. E. Dukler, Gas–liquid flow at microgravity condition – I (dispersed bubble and slug flow), International Journal of Multiphase Flow 17 (1991) 533–544.

[5] L. Zhao, K. S. Rezkallah, Gas–liquid flow patterns at microgravity conditions, International Journal of Multiphase Flow 19 (1993) 751–763.

[6] W. S. Bousman, J. B. McQuillen, L. C. Witte, Gas–liquid flow patterns in microgravity: effects of tube diameter, liquid viscosity and surface tension, International Journal of Multiphase Flow 22 (1996) 1035–1053.

[7] S. S. Jayawardena, V. Balakotaiah, L. C. Witte, Flow pattern transition maps for microgravity two-phase flows, AIChE Journal 43 (1997) 1637–1640.

[8] S. Arias, X. Ruiz, L. Ramírez-Piscina, J. Casademunt and R. González-Cinca, Experimental study of a microchannel bubble injector for microgravity applications, Microgravity - Science and Technology 21 (2009) 107-111.

[9] J. Carrera, X. Ruiz, L. Ramírez-Piscina, J. Casademunt and M. Dreyer, Generation of a monodisperse microbubble jet in microgravity, AIAA J 46 (2008), 2010-2019.