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#### Abstract

We give a closed formula for recovering a non-hyperelliptic genus three curve from its period matrix, and derive some identities between Jacobian Nullwerte in dimension three.


## 1. Introduction

It is known that the set of bitangent lines of a non-hyperelliptic genus three curve determines completely the curve, since it admits a unique symplectic structure ([CS 03], [Le 05]). Given this structure, one can recover an equation for the curve following a method of Riemann ([R1876],[Ri 06]): one takes an Aronhold system of bitangent lines, determines some parameters by means of some linear systems and then writes down a Riemann model of the curve. Unfortunately, the parameters involved in this construction are not defined in general over the field of definition of the curve, but over the field of definition of the bitangent lines. This is rather inconvenient for arithmetical applications concerned with rationality questions (cf. [Oy 08] for instance).

We propose an alternative construction, giving a model of the curve directly from a certain set of bitangent lines; this model is already defined over the field of definition of the curve. In the particular case of complex curves, our construction provides a closed solution for the non-hyperelliptic Torelli problem on genus three:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ will be a non-hyperelliptic genus three curve defined over a field $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, and let $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$ be a $K$-basis of $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{C}, \Omega_{/ K}^{1}\right)$, and $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{6}$ a symplectic basis of $H_{1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z})$. We denote by $\Omega=\left(\Omega_{1} \mid \Omega_{2}\right)=\left(\int_{\gamma_{j}} \omega_{k}\right)_{j, k}$ the period matrix of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to this bases and by $Z=\Omega_{1}^{-1} . \Omega_{2}$ the normalized period matrix. A model of $\mathcal{C}$ defined (up to normalization) over $K$ is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{\left[w_{7} w_{2} w_{3}\right]\left[w_{7} w_{2}^{\prime} w_{3}^{\prime}\right]}{\left[w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right]\left[w_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime} w_{3}^{\prime}\right]} X_{1} Y_{1}+\frac{\left[w_{1} w_{7} w_{3}\right]\left[w_{1}^{\prime} w_{7} w_{3}^{\prime}\right]}{\left[w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right]\left[w_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime} w_{3}^{\prime}\right]} X_{2} Y_{2}-\frac{\left[w_{1} w_{2} w_{7}\right]\left[w_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime} w_{7}\right]}{\left[w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right]\left[w_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime} w_{3}^{\prime}\right]} X_{3} Y_{3}\right)^{2} \\
-4 \frac{\left[w_{7} w_{2} w_{3}\right]\left[w_{7} w_{2}^{\prime} w_{3}^{\prime}\right]}{\left[w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right]\left[w_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime} w_{3}^{\prime}\right]} \frac{\left[w_{1} w_{7} w_{3}\right]\left[w_{1}^{\prime} w_{7} w_{3}^{\prime}\right]}{\left[w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right]\left[w_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime} w_{3}^{\prime}\right]} X_{1} Y_{1} X_{2} Y_{2}=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

[^0]where
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{1}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(0,0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z \\
& w_{2}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)+{ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z \\
& w_{3}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \cdot Z \\
& w_{7}={ }^{t}\left(0,0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z . \\
& \quad X_{j}=\operatorname{grad}_{z=0} \theta\left[w_{j}\right](z ; Z) \cdot \Omega_{1}^{-1} \cdot(X, Y, Z)^{t}, \\
& \quad Y_{j}=\operatorname{grad}_{z=0} \theta\left[w_{j}^{\prime}\right](z ; Z) \cdot \Omega_{1}^{-1} \cdot(X, Y, Z)^{t},
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

and $[u, v, w]$ denotes the Jacobian Nullwert given by $u, v, w$.
Of course, one could simplify the denominators in the equation above to obtain a simpler formula. The advantage of writing the fractions is that their values are algebraic over the field of definition of the curve.

The formula above can be interpreted as a universal curve over the moduli space of complex non-hyperelliptic curves of genus three. Moreover, using the Frobenius formula, we can express the equation of the curve in terms of Thetanullwerte instead of Jacobian Nullwerte (cf. section 7).

As it will become clear along the paper, many different choices for the $w_{k}$ are possible. They are only restricted to some geometric conditions expressed in terms of the associated bitangent lines (cf. section 4). Every election of the $w_{k}$ leads to a model for the curve, though all these models agree up to a proportionality constant. The comparison of the models given for different elections provides a number of identities between Jacobian Nullwerte in dimension three. For instance, we prove:

Theorem 1.2. Take $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime}, w_{3}^{\prime}$ as before, and write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{4}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, \quad w_{4}^{\prime}={ }^{t}(0,0,0)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\
& w_{7}^{\prime}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following equalities hold on the space $\mathbb{H}_{3}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[w_{2} w_{3} w_{7}\right](Z)\left[w_{1} w_{3}^{\prime} w_{7}^{\prime}\right](Z)=\left[w_{1} w_{3} w_{7}\right](Z)\left[w_{2} w_{3}^{\prime} w_{7}^{\prime}\right](Z),} \\
{\left[w_{2}^{\prime} w_{3} w_{7}^{\prime}\right](Z)\left[w_{1}^{\prime} w_{3}^{\prime} w_{7}\right](Z)=\left[w_{1}^{\prime} w_{3} w_{7}^{\prime}\right](Z)\left[w_{2}^{\prime} w_{3}^{\prime} w_{7}\right](Z),} \\
{\left[w_{3} w_{1} w_{2}\right](Z)\left[w_{3}^{\prime} w_{1} w_{2}\right](Z)\left[w_{4} w_{1} w_{2}^{\prime}\right](Z)\left[w_{4}^{\prime} w_{1} w_{2}^{\prime}\right](Z)} \\
\text { "I } \\
{\left[w_{4} w_{1} w_{2}\right](Z)\left[w_{4}^{\prime} w_{1} w_{2}\right](Z)\left[w_{3} w_{1} w_{2}^{\prime}\right](Z)\left[w_{3}^{\prime} w_{1} w_{2}^{\prime}\right](Z),}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[w_{3} w_{1} w_{2}\right](Z)\left[w_{3}^{\prime} w_{1} w_{2}\right](Z)\left[w_{4} w_{2} w_{1}^{\prime}\right](Z)\left[w_{4}^{\prime} w_{2} w_{1}^{\prime}\right](Z)} \\
{\left[w_{4} w_{1} w_{2}\right](Z)\left[w_{4}^{\prime} w_{1} w_{2}\right](Z)\left[w_{3} w_{2} w_{1}^{\prime}\right](Z)\left[w_{3}^{\prime} w_{2} w_{1}^{\prime}\right](Z)} \\
{\left[w_{3} w_{1} w_{2}\right](Z)\left[w_{3}^{\prime} w_{1} w_{2}\right](Z)\left[w_{4} w_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}\right](Z)\left[w_{4}^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}\right](Z)} \\
\text { "I } \\
{\left[w_{4} w_{1} w_{2}\right](Z)\left[w_{4}^{\prime} w_{1} w_{2}\right](Z)\left[w_{3} w_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}\right](Z)\left[w_{3}^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime} w_{2}^{\prime}\right](Z)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Again, there are many possible elections of the $w_{k}$, each one leading to a set of identities between Jacobian Nullwerte.

Apart from its intrinsical theoretical interest, these results have different applications. From the computational viewpoint, for instance, theorem 1.1 (or corollary 7.2) can be used to determine equations for modular curves or to present threedimensional factors of modular jacobians as jacobians of curves (thus improving the results in [Oy 08]). In a more theoretical frame, the identities in (1.2) may lead to simplified expressions for the discriminant of genus three curves (cf. [Ri 06]).

The results stated above are the analytic version of the corresponding results in an algebraic context. These results are proved in the first part of the paper. We start recalling Riemann construction for curves of genus three, and the basic concepts regarding the symplectic structure of the set of bitangent lines of these curves. The relation between different Steiner complexes is described explicitly in section 3. In sections 4 and 5 we combine the ideas in previous sections to provide the algebraic versions of our theorems. The second part of the paper contains the results in the analytic context. The proof of theorems 4.1 and 1.2 is given in section 6. Finally, we give the Thetanullwerte version of theorem 4.1 in section 7 , which also contains a geometric description of the fundamental systems appearing in the Frobenius formula.

The author is much indebted to C. Ritzenthaler for pointing his attention to the Torelli problem for non-hyperelliptic genus three curves, and to E. Nart for many fruitful discussions.

Notation and conventions: We will work with a non-singular genus three curve $\mathcal{C}$, defined over a field $K$ with $\operatorname{char}(K) \neq 2$, and given by a quartic equation $Q=0$. We assume that the curve is embedded in the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}(K)$ by its canonical map. Given two polynomials $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$, we will write $Q_{1} \sim Q_{2}$ to express that they agree up to a constant proportionality factor. A number of geometric concepts and facts about genus three curves will be used along the paper. We will take [Do 07] as basic reference, following the notations introduced there.

## Part 1. ALGEBRAIC IDENTITIES

## 2. Riemann model and Steiner complexes

A triplet or tetrad of bitangent lines is called syzygetic whenever their contact points with the curve lie on a conic. Any pair of bitangent lines to $\mathcal{C}$ can be completed in five different ways with another pair to a syzygetic tetrad. Moreover, any two of the six pairs form a syzygetic tetrad. Such a set of of six pairs of bitangent lines is called Steiner complex (cf. [Do 07]).

Riemann showed that, given three pairs of bitangent lines in a Steiner complex, one can determine proper equations $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\},\left\{X_{2}=0, Y_{2}=0\right\},\left\{X_{3}=\right.$ $\left.0, Y_{3}=0\right\}$ of these lines so that the quartic equation $Q=0$ defining the curve $\mathcal{C}$ can be written as:

$$
Q \sim\left(X_{1} Y_{1}+X_{2} Y_{2}-X_{3} Y_{3}\right)^{2}-4 X_{1} Y_{1} X_{2} Y_{2}=0
$$

and moreover they satisfy the relation:

$$
X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}=Y_{1}+Y_{2}+Y_{3}
$$

To find the proper equations of the bitangent lines, one takes arbitrary equations for them and solves certain linear systems to compute scaling factors leading to the well adjusted equations.

Reciprocally, whenever we take three pairs $\left\{X_{1}, Y_{1}\right\},\left\{X_{2}, Y_{2}\right\}\left\{X_{3}, Y_{3}\right\}$ of linear polynomials over $K$, such that no triplet formed by a polynomial in each pair is linearly dependent and $X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}=Y_{1}+Y_{2}+Y_{3}$, the equation above gives a non-singular genus three curve over $K$, with $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\}$, $\left\{X_{2}=0, Y_{2}=0\right\}$, $\left\{X_{3}=0, Y_{3}=0\right\}$ being three pairs of bitangent lines in a common Steiner complex.

Let us define $W=X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}=0, Z_{1}=X_{1}+Y_{2}+Y_{3}, Z_{2}=Y_{1}+X_{2}+Y_{3}$, $Z_{3}=Y_{1}+Y_{2}+X_{3}$. The trivial equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & \sim\left(X_{1} Y_{2}+X_{2} Y_{1}-W Z_{3}\right)^{2}-4 X_{1} X_{2} Y_{1} Y_{2} \\
& =\left(X_{1} Y_{3}+X_{3} Y_{1}-W Z_{2}\right)^{2}-4 X_{1} X_{3} Y_{1} Y_{3} \\
& =\left(X_{2} Y_{3}+X_{3} Y_{2}-W Z_{1}\right)^{2}-4 X_{2} X_{3} Y_{2} Y_{3} \\
& =\left(X_{1} X_{2}+Y_{1} Y_{2}-Z_{1} Z_{2}\right)^{2}-4 X_{1} X_{2} Y_{1} Y_{2} \\
& =\left(X_{1} X_{3}+Y_{1} Y_{3}-Z_{1} Z_{3}\right)^{2}-4 X_{1} X_{3} Y_{1} Y_{3} \\
& =\left(X_{2} X_{3}+Y_{2} Y_{3}-Z_{2} Z_{3}\right)^{2}-4 X_{1} X_{3} Y_{1} Y_{3} \\
& =\left(X_{1} W+Y_{2} Z_{3}-Y_{3} Z_{2}\right)^{2}-4 X_{1} W Y_{2} Z_{3} \\
& =\left(X_{2} W+Y_{1} Z_{3}-Y_{3} Z_{1}\right)^{2}-4 X_{2} W Y_{1} Z_{3} \\
& =\left(X_{3} W+Y_{1} Z_{2}-Y_{2} Z_{1}\right)^{2}-4 X_{3} W Y_{1} Z_{2} \\
& =\left(X_{1} Z_{3}+Y_{2} W-X_{3} Z_{1}\right)^{2}-4 X_{1} Z_{3} Y_{2} W \\
= & \left(X_{1} Z_{2}+Y_{3} W-X_{2} Z_{1}\right)^{2}-4 X_{1} Z_{2} Y_{3} W \\
= & \left(X_{1} Z_{1}+X_{2} Z_{2}-X_{3} Z_{3}\right)^{2}-4 X_{1} Z_{1} X_{2} Z_{2} \\
= & \left(Y_{1} Z_{1}+Y_{2} Z_{2}-Y_{3} Z_{3}\right)^{2}-4 X_{1} Y_{1} Y_{2} Z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

show that $W=0, Z_{1}=0, Z_{2}=0, Z_{3}=0$ are also bitangent lines to $\mathcal{C}$, and they make apparent a number of different Steiner complexes on $\mathcal{C}$.

On the other hand, one can check easily that in this situation any triplet formed picking a line from each pair $\left\{X_{i}=0, Y_{i}=0\right\}$ is asyzygetic. Analogous conclusions can be derived from each of the equations above. For instance, we mention for our later convenience that $\left\{X_{1}=0, X_{2}=0, W=0\right\},\left\{X_{1}=0, X_{3}=0, W=0\right\}$, $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{2}=0, Z_{3}=0\right\}$ and $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{3}=0, Z_{3}=0\right\}$ are asyzygetic triplets.

## 3. Relations between Steiner complexes

Every Steiner complex $S$ has an associated two-torsion element $O\left(D_{S}\right) \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\mathcal{C})$; a divisor $D_{S}$ defining it is given by the difference of the contact points of the two bitangent lines in any pair in $S$. We will call such a divisor an associated divisor of $S$. Indeed, the map $S \mapsto O\left(D_{S}\right)$ establishes a bijection between the set of Steiner complexes on $\mathcal{C}$ and $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\mathcal{C})[2]$ (cf. [Do 07]).

Two different Steiner complexes share four or six bitangent lines; they are called syzygetic or asyzygetic accordingly. There is a simple criterion to check whether two Steiner complexes are syzygetic:

Lemma 3.1. [Do 07, p. 96] Let $S_{1}, S_{2}$ be two Steiner complexes, and let $D_{1}, D_{2}$ be associated divisors to them. Then $\sharp \overline{S_{1}} \cap \overline{S_{2}}=4$ if $e_{2}\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)=0$ and $\sharp \overline{S_{1}} \cap \overline{S_{2}}=6$ if $e_{2}\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)=1$, where $e_{2}$ denotes the Weil pairing on $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\mathcal{C})[2]$.

We note that the Weil pairing can be computed by means of the RiemannMumford relation ([ACGH 84, p.290]): for any semicanonical divisor $D$, we have
(2) $e_{2}\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)=h^{0}(D)+h^{0}\left(D+D_{1}+D_{2}\right)+h^{0}\left(D+D_{1}\right)+h^{0}\left(D+D_{2}\right) \quad(\bmod 2)$.

Given a pair $\{X=0, Y=0\}$ of bitangent lines, we shall denote by $S_{X Y}$ the Steiner complex determined by them. For any set $S=\left\{\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=\right.\right.$ $\left.0\}, \ldots,\left\{X_{r}=0, Y_{r}=0\right\}\right\}$ of pairs of bitangent lines, the subjacent set of lines will be denoted by $\bar{S}=\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0, \ldots, X_{r}=0, Y_{r}=0\right\}$.

A priori, the bitangent lines which form a pair in a Steiner complex, are completely indistinguishable. But if we consider the Steiner complex with relation to others, there appears a individualization of every line. This idea is made explicit in corollary 3.4 and proposition 3.7 .

It is evident that whenever $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\},\left\{X_{2}=0, Y_{2}=0\right\}$ is a Steiner couple, the pairs $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{2}=0\right\},\left\{X_{2}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\}$ form also a Steiner couple, and also the pairs $\left\{X_{1}=0, X_{2}=0\right\},\left\{Y_{1}=0, Y_{2}=0\right\}$ do. The corresponding Steiner complexes are tightly related:

Proposition 3.2. Let $S_{X_{1} Y_{1}}=\left\{\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\}, \ldots,\left\{X_{6}=0, Y_{6}=0\right\}\right\}$ be a Steiner complex.
a) The Steiner complexes $S_{X_{1} Y_{1}}$ and $S_{X_{1} Y_{j}}$ are syzygetic and they share the four lines $X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0, X_{j}=0, Y_{j}=0$, i.e., $\bar{S}_{X_{1} Y_{1}} \cap \bar{S}_{X_{1} Y_{j}}=\left\{X_{1}=0\right.$, $\left.Y_{1}=0, X_{j}=0, Y_{j}=0\right\}$.
b) The Steiner complexes $S_{X_{1} Y_{1}}$ and $S_{X_{1} X_{2}}$ are syzygetic and $\bar{S}_{X_{1} Y_{1}} \cap \bar{S}_{X_{1} X_{2}}=$ $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0, X_{2}=0, Y_{2}=0\right\}$.
c) The Steiner complexes $S_{X_{1} X_{2}}$ and $S_{X_{1} Y_{2}}$ are syzygetic and $\bar{S}_{X_{1} Y_{1}} \cap \bar{S}_{X_{1} X_{2}}=$ $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0, X_{2}=0, Y_{2}=0\right\}$.
d) For $j \neq k, j, k \neq 1$ the Steiner complexes $S_{X_{1} Y_{j}}$ and $S_{X_{1} Y_{k}}$ are asyzygetic.

Proof: Let us write $\operatorname{div}\left(X_{i}\right):=2 P_{i}+2 Q_{i}, \operatorname{div}\left(Y_{i}\right):=2 R_{i}+2 S_{i}$. We apply the criterion of lemma 3.1, using formula 2 to compute the Weil pairing of divisors $D_{11}$ and $D_{1 j}$ associated to $S_{X_{1} Y_{1}}$ and $S_{X_{1} Y_{j}}$ respectively. We take $D=P_{1}+Q_{1}$ and
find

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{2}\left(D_{11}, D_{1 j}\right) \quad & =h^{0}\left(3 P_{1}+3 Q_{1}-R_{1}-S_{1}-R_{j}-S_{j}\right)-h^{0}\left(2 P_{1}+2 Q_{1}-R_{1}-S_{1}\right) \\
& -h^{0}\left(2 P_{1}+2 Q_{1}-R_{j}-S_{j}\right)+h^{0}\left(P_{1}+Q_{1}\right)= \\
= & h^{0}\left(K_{\mathcal{C}}+P_{1}+Q_{1}-R_{1}-S_{1}-R_{j}-S_{j}\right)-h^{0}\left(K_{\mathcal{C}}-R_{1}-S_{1}\right) \\
& -h^{0}\left(K_{\mathcal{C}}-R_{j}-S_{j}\right)+1= \\
= & h^{0}\left(P_{1}+Q_{1}+R_{1}+S_{1}-R_{j}-S_{j}\right)-h^{0}\left(R_{1}+S_{1}\right)-h^{0}\left(R_{j}+S_{j}\right)+1 \\
= & h^{0}\left(P_{1}+Q_{1}+R_{1}+S_{1}-R_{j}-S_{j}\right)-1=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0, X_{j}=0, Y_{j}=0\right\}$ form a syzygetic tetrad. This proves part $a)$. Remaining parts are proved analogously.

We derive from here a more explicit version of theorem 6.1.8 in [Do 07]:
Corollary 3.3. Let $\left\{\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\},\left\{X_{2}=0, Y_{2}=0\right\}\right\}$ be a syzygetic tetrad of bitangent lines.. The Steiner complexes $S_{X_{1} Y_{1}}, S_{X_{1} Y_{2}}, S_{X_{1} X_{2}}$ satisfy:

$$
\bar{S}_{X_{1} Y_{1}} \cup \bar{S}_{X_{1} Y_{2}} \cup \bar{S}_{X_{1} X_{2}}=\operatorname{Bit}(\mathcal{C})
$$

Some immediate consequences of the above proposition are:
Corollary 3.4. Let $S=\left\{\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\}, \ldots,\left\{X_{6}=0, Y_{6}=0\right\}\right\}$ be a Steiner complex.
a) Any triplet $\left\{X_{i}=0, Y_{i}=0, X_{j}=0\right\}$ is syzygetic.
b) Any triplet $\left\{X_{i}=0, X_{j}=0, X_{k}=0\right\}$ formed picking a line from three different pairs of $S$ is asyzygetic.
c) Let $\{U=0, V=0\}$ be an arbitrary pair in the Steiner complex $S_{X_{1} Y_{2}}$. Then $U=0 \in$ belongs to $S_{X_{1} X_{3}}$ but not to $S_{X_{1} Y_{3}}$ and $V=0$ belongs to $S_{X_{1} Y_{3}}$ but not to $S_{X_{1} X_{3}}$ (or the other way round).

We now derive a geometrical property of asyzygetic triplets of bitangent lines that we will need later.

Corollary 3.5. Every three asyzygetic bitangent lines $X_{1}=0, X_{2}=0, X_{3}=0$ can be paired with other three bitangent lines $Y_{1}=0, Y_{2}=0, Y_{3}=0$ so that the three pairs $\left\{X_{i}=0, Y_{i}=0\right\}$ belong to a common Steiner complex.

Proposition 3.6. Three asyzygetic bitangent lines do not cross in a point.
Proof: Complete the lines to three pairs $\left\{\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\},\left\{X_{2}=0, Y_{2}=\right.\right.$ $\left.0\},\left\{X_{3}=0, Y_{3}=0\right\}\right\}$ in a common Steiner complex, and re-scale the equations to have a Riemann model for $\mathcal{C}:\left(X_{1} Y_{1}+X_{2} Y_{2}-X_{3} Y_{3}\right)^{2}-4 X_{1} Y_{1} X_{2} Y_{2}=0$. If the lines $X_{1}=0, X_{2}=0, X_{3}=0$ crossed in a point, this should be a singular point of $\mathcal{C}$, which is non-singular by hypothesis.

We end this section with a explicit description of triplets of mutually asyzygetic Steiner complexes:

Proposition 3.7. Let $X_{1}=0, X_{2}=0, X_{3}=0$ be three asyzygetic bitangent lines. After a proper labeling of the bitangent lines, the Steiner complexes $S_{X_{1} X_{2}}, S_{X_{2}, X_{3}}$
and $S_{X_{3} X_{1}}$ have the following shape:

$$
S_{X_{1} X_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{1} & X_{2} \\
X_{4} & X_{9} \\
X_{5} & X_{10} \\
X_{6} & X_{11} \\
X_{7} & X_{12} \\
X_{8} & X_{13}
\end{array}\right), \quad S_{X_{2} X_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{2} & X_{3} \\
X_{9} & X_{14} \\
X_{10} & X_{15} \\
X_{11} & X_{16} \\
X_{12} & X_{17} \\
X_{13} & X_{18}
\end{array}\right), \quad S_{X_{3} X_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X_{3} & X_{1} \\
X_{14} & X_{4} \\
X_{15} & X_{5} \\
X_{16} & X_{6} \\
X_{17} & X_{7} \\
X_{18} & X_{8}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In particular, the three complexes are asyzygetic.
Proof: Take a second pair of bitangent lines $\{A=0, B=0\}$ in $S_{X_{1} X_{2}}$. After corollary 3.3, any other bitangent line must lie in exactly one of the Steiner complexes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{X_{1} X_{2}}=\left\{\left\{X_{1}=0, X_{2}=0\right\},\{A=0, B=0\}, \ldots\right\} \\
& S_{X_{1} A}=\left\{\left\{X_{1}=0, A=0\right\},\left\{X_{2}=0, B=0\right\}, \ldots\right\} \\
& S_{X_{1} B}=\left\{\left\{X_{1}=0, B=0\right\},\left\{X_{2}=0, A=0\right\}, \ldots\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $X_{3}=0$ cannot be in $S_{X_{1} X_{2}}$ by hypothesis, we may suppose that we have a pair $\left\{X_{3}=0, C=0\right\}$ in $S_{X_{1} A}$, so that $X_{1}=0, A=0, X_{3}=0, C=0$ is a syzygetic tetrad, and thus $\{A=0, C=0\}$ belongs to $S_{X_{1} X_{3}}$; but also $X_{2}=0, B=0, X_{3}=$ $0, C=0$ is a syzygetic tetrad and hence $\{B=0, C=0\}$ belongs to $S_{X_{2} X_{3}}$.

## 4. From bitangent lines to equations

The basic tool for the proof of theorem 1.1 is an algebraic version of it, giving a general equation for a curve of genus three in terms of some of its bitangent lines:

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{C}: Q=0$ be a non-singular genus three plane curve defined over a field $K$ with $\operatorname{char}(K) \neq$. Let $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\}$, $\left\{X_{2}=0, Y_{2}=0\right\}$, $\left\{X_{3}=0, Y_{3}=0\right\}$ be three pairs of bitangent lines from a given Steiner complex. Let $\left\{X_{7}=0, Y_{7}=0\right\}$ be a fourth pair of bitangent lines from the Steiner complex given by the pairs $\left\{\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{2}=0\right\},\left\{X_{2}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\}\right\}$, ordered so that $\left\{X_{1}=\right.$ $\left.0, X_{3}=0, X_{7}=0\right\}$ and $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{3}=0, Y_{7}=0\right\}$ are asyzygetic.

The equation of $\mathcal{C}$ can be presented as

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q \sim\left(\frac{\left(X_{7} X_{2} X_{3}\right)\left(X_{7} Y_{2} Y_{3}\right)}{\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}\right)\left(Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}\right)} X_{1} Y_{1}+\frac{\left(X_{1} X_{7} X_{3}\right)\left(Y_{1} X_{7} Y_{3}\right)}{\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}\right)\left(Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}\right)} X_{2} Y_{2}-\frac{\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{7}\right)\left(Y_{1} Y_{2} X_{7}\right)}{\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}\right)\left(Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}\right)} X_{3} Y_{3}\right)^{2}  \tag{3}\\
-4 \frac{\left(X_{7} X_{2} X_{3}\right)\left(X_{7} Y_{2} Y_{3}\right)}{\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}\right)\left(Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}\right)} \frac{\left(X_{1} X_{7} X_{3}\right)\left(Y_{1} X_{7} Y_{3}\right)}{\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}\right)\left(Y_{1} Y_{2} Y_{3}\right)} X_{1} Y_{1} X_{2} Y_{2}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $(A B C)$ denotes the determinant of the matrix formed by the coefficients of the homogeneous linear polynomials $A, B, C$.

Remark: All the determinants appearing in the theorem are non-zero, since they are formed with asyzygetic triplets of bitangent lines, which are non-concurrent by proposition 3.6.
Proof: We know that for a proper re-scaling $\overline{X_{i}}=\alpha_{i} X_{i}, \overline{Y_{i}}=\beta_{i} X_{i}$, we will have the following Riemann model for $\mathcal{C}$ :

$$
Q=\left(\bar{X}_{1} Y_{1}+\bar{X}_{2} Y_{2}-\bar{X}_{3} Y_{3}\right)^{2}-4 \bar{X}_{1} Y_{1} \bar{X}_{2} Y_{2}=0
$$

with $\bar{X}_{7}=\bar{X}_{1}+\bar{X}_{2}+\bar{X}_{3}=-\bar{Y}_{1}-\bar{Y}_{2}-\bar{Y}_{3}=0$. We look at these two equalities as equations in the scaling factors $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ to determine them. We find:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{7} \frac{\left(X_{7} X_{2} X_{3}\right)}{\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}\right)}, & \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{7} \frac{\left(X_{1} X_{7} X_{3}\right)}{\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}\right)},
\end{array} \alpha_{3}=\alpha_{7} \frac{\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{7}\right)}{\left(X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}\right)},
$$

We substitute the $\bar{X}_{i}, \bar{Y}_{i}$ on the Riemann model by his values, and simplify the constant $\alpha_{7}$ to get the desired equation.

## 5. Determinants of bitangent lines

We have seen in theorem 4.1 how to construct a presentation of the curve $\mathcal{C}$ from certain set of bitangent lines, which we can choose in many different ways. If we make different elections, the comparison of the corresponding presentations, which all agree up to a constant, leads us to a number of equalities between the involved determinants. It turns out that the identities obtained in this way can be easily proved independently of their deduction.

### 5.1. Relations between pairs in the same Steiner complex.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\}, \ldots,\left\{X_{4}=0, Y_{4}=0\right\}$ be four different pairs in the Steiner complex $S=S_{X_{i} Y_{i}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(X_{3} X_{1} X_{2}\right)\left(Y_{3} X_{1} X_{2}\right)}{\left(X_{4} X_{1} X_{2}\right)\left(Y_{4} X_{1} X_{2}\right)} & =\frac{\left(X_{3} X_{1} Y_{2}\right)\left(Y_{3} X_{1} Y_{2}\right)}{\left(X_{4} X_{1} Y_{2}\right)\left(Y_{4} X_{1} Y_{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\left(X_{3} X_{2} Y_{1}\right)\left(Y_{3} X_{2} Y_{1}\right)}{\left(X_{4} X_{2} Y_{1}\right)\left(Y_{4} X_{2} Y_{1}\right)}=\frac{\left(X_{3} Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)\left(Y_{3} Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)}{\left(X_{4} Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)\left(Y_{4} Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: It is known (cf. [Do 07, p. 94]) that all the pairs in a Steiner complex can be seen as one of the degenerate conics on a fixed pencil of conics. In particular, we must have a relation

$$
\lambda^{2} X_{1} Y_{1}+X_{2} Y_{2}+\lambda X_{3} Y_{3}=X_{4} Y_{4}
$$

for certain $\lambda \in \bar{K}^{*}$. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ be the points of intersection of the pairs of lines $\left\{X_{1}=X_{2}=0\right\},\left\{X_{1}=Y_{2}=0\right\},\left\{Y_{1}=X_{2}=0\right\},\left\{Y_{1}=Y_{2}=0\right\}$. If we substitute these points in the equality above we find:

$$
\lambda X_{3}\left(P_{j}\right) Y_{3}\left(P_{j}\right)=X_{4}\left(P_{j}\right) Y_{4}\left(P_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, 4
$$

so that for $j \neq k$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{X_{3}\left(P_{j}\right) Y_{3}\left(P_{j}\right)}{X_{4}\left(P_{j}\right) Y_{4}\left(P_{j}\right)}=\frac{X_{3}\left(P_{k}\right) Y_{3}\left(P_{k}\right)}{X_{4}\left(P_{k}\right) Y_{4}\left(P_{k}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

An elementary exercise in linear algebra shows that for any two lines $U=0, V=0$ we have the equalities

$$
\frac{U\left(P_{1}\right)}{V\left(P_{1}\right)}=\frac{\left(U X_{1} X_{2}\right)}{\left(V X_{1} X_{2}\right)}, \frac{U\left(P_{2}\right)}{V\left(P_{2}\right)}=\frac{\left(U X_{1} Y_{2}\right)}{\left(V X_{1} Y_{2}\right)}, \frac{U\left(P_{3}\right)}{V\left(P_{3}\right)}=\frac{\left(U Y_{1} X_{2}\right)}{\left(V Y_{1} X_{2}\right)}, \frac{U\left(P_{4}\right)}{V\left(P_{4}\right)}=\frac{\left(U Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)}{\left(V Y_{1} Y_{2}\right)}
$$

The theorem follows if we apply these relations to the two pairs of lines $X_{3}=$ $0, X_{4}=0$ and $Y_{3}=0, Y_{4}=0$ and substitute the resulting relations in (4).

### 5.2. Relations between pairs in syzygetic Steiner complexes.

Theorem 5.2. Let $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\}$, $\left\{X_{2}=0, Y_{2}=0\right\},\left\{X_{3}=0, Y_{3}=0\right\}$ be three pairs of bitangent lines from a given Steiner complex. Let $\left\{X_{7}=0, Y_{7}=0\right\}$ be a fourth pair of bitangent lines from the Steiner complex given by the pairs $\left\{\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{2}=0\right\},\left\{X_{2}=0, Y_{1}=0\right\}\right\}$, ordered so that $\left\{X_{1}=0, X_{3}=0, X_{7}=0\right\}$ and $\left\{X_{1}=0, Y_{3}=0, Y_{7}=0\right\}$ are asyzygetic. The following relations hold:

$$
\frac{\left(X_{2} X_{3} X_{7}\right)}{\left(X_{1} X_{3} X_{7}\right)}=\frac{\left(X_{2} Y_{3} Y_{7}\right)}{\left(X_{1} Y_{3} Y_{7}\right)}, \quad \frac{\left(Y_{2} X_{3} Y_{7}\right)}{\left(Y_{1} X_{3} Y_{7}\right)}=\frac{\left(Y_{2} Y_{3} X_{7}\right)}{\left(Y_{1} Y_{3} X_{7}\right)}
$$

Proof: The validity of the equalities is not affected by a re-scaling of the involved lines, so that we can assume that $X_{7}=X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}, Y_{7}=Y_{1}+Y_{2}+X_{3}=$ $-X_{1}-X_{2}-Y_{3}$. The result follows from the very elementary properties of the determinants.

## Part 2. ANALYTIC IDENTITIES

We now prove the theorems stated in the introduction, which turn out to be the translation of the results in the first part of the paper to the context of complex geometry.

From now on, we suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a non-hyperelliptic plane curve of genus three defined over a field $K \subset \mathbb{C}$. We choose the basis $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3} \in H^{0}\left(\mathcal{C}, \Omega_{/ K}^{1}\right)$ of holomorphic differential forms such that the we can identify $\mathcal{C}$ with the image of the associated canonical map $\iota: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}(K)$. We also fix a symplectic basis $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{6}$ of the singular homology $H_{1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z})$. We denote by $\Omega=\left(\Omega_{1} \mid \Omega_{2}\right)=\left(\int_{\gamma_{j}} \omega_{k}\right)_{j, k}$ the period matrix of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to these bases, and by $Z=\Omega_{1}^{-1} . \Omega_{2}$ the normalized period matrix. We consider the Jacobian variety $J_{\mathcal{C}}$, represented by the complex torus $\mathbb{C}^{3} /(1 \mid Z)$, with the Abel-Jacobi map:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathcal{C}^{2} & \longrightarrow & J_{\mathcal{C}}  \tag{5}\\
D & \longrightarrow & \int_{\kappa}^{D}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right),
\end{array}
$$

where $\kappa$ is the Riemann vector, which guarantees that $\Theta=\Pi\left(\mathcal{C}^{2}\right)$ is the divisor cut by the Riemann theta function $\theta(z ; Z)$, and that $\Pi\left(K_{\mathcal{C}}-D\right)=-\Pi(D)$.

We shall describe, as customary, the elements of $J_{\mathcal{C}}[2]$ by means of characteristics: every $w \in J_{\mathcal{C}}[2]$ is determined uniquely by a six-dimensional vector $\epsilon=\left(\epsilon^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime \prime}\right) \in$ $\{0,1 / 2\}^{6}$ by the relation $w=\epsilon+Z \epsilon^{\prime \prime}$. With this notation, the Weil pairing on $J_{\mathcal{C}}[2]$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{e}_{2}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right):=\tilde{e}_{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}\right):=\epsilon_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \epsilon_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\epsilon_{1}^{\prime \prime} \epsilon_{2}^{\prime} \quad(\bmod 2) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every $w \in J_{\mathcal{C}}[2]$ defines a translate of the Riemann theta function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta[w](z ; Z) & :=e^{\pi i^{t} \epsilon^{\prime} \cdot Z \cdot \epsilon^{\prime}+2 \pi i^{t} \epsilon^{\prime} \cdot\left(z+\epsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)} \theta\left(z+Z \epsilon^{\prime}+\epsilon^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{g}} e^{\pi i^{t}\left(n+\epsilon^{\prime}\right) \cdot Z \cdot\left(n+\epsilon^{\prime}\right)+2 \pi i^{t}\left(n+\epsilon^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(z+\epsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The values $\theta[w](0, Z)$ are usually called Thetanullwerte, and denoted shortly by $\theta[w](Z)$. For a sequence of three points $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3} \in J_{\mathcal{C}}[2]$ the modified determinant
$\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right](Z):=\pi^{3} \operatorname{det} J\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right](Z)$ of the matrix

$$
J\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right](Z):=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial \theta\left[w_{1}\right]}{\partial z_{1}}(0 ; Z) & \frac{\partial \theta\left[w_{1}\right]}{\partial z_{2}}(0 ; Z) & \frac{\partial \theta\left[w_{1}\right]}{\partial z_{3}}(0 ; Z) \\
\frac{\partial \theta\left[w_{2}\right]}{\partial z_{1}}(0 ; Z) & \frac{\partial \theta\left[w_{2}\right]}{\partial z_{2}}(0 ; Z) & \frac{\partial \theta\left[w_{2}\right]}{\partial z_{3}}(0 ; Z) \\
\frac{\partial \theta\left[w_{3}\right]}{\partial z_{1}}(0 ; Z) & \frac{\partial \theta\left[w_{3}\right]}{\partial z_{2}}(0 ; Z) & \frac{\partial \theta\left[w_{3}\right]}{\partial z_{3}}(0 ; Z)
\end{array}\right)
$$

is called Jacobian Nullwert. (These definitions can be given for a $g$-dimensional complex torus abelian varieties, but we restrict them to our case of dimension three for brevity).

## 6. Proof of main results

It is well-known that the Abel-Jacobi map establishes a bijection between semicanonical divisors on $\mathcal{C}$ and the set $J_{\mathcal{C}}[2]$. In our particular case of a non-hyperelliptic genus three curve, the odd semicanonical divisors are given by the bitangent lines: given a bitangent $X=0$, then $\operatorname{div}(X)=2 D$ with $D$ a semicanonical divisor which goes to a $w:=\Pi(D) \in J_{\mathcal{C}}[2]^{\text {odd }}$. Reciprocally, given $w \in J_{\mathcal{C}}[2]^{\text {odd }}$, the line

$$
H_{w}:=\left(\frac{\partial \theta[w]}{\partial z_{1}}(0 ; Z), \frac{\partial \theta[w]}{\partial z_{2}}(0 ; Z), \frac{\partial \theta[w]}{\partial z_{3}}(0 ; Z)\right) \cdot \Omega_{1}^{-1} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
X \\
Y \\
Z
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

is a bitangent line to $\mathcal{C}$, whose corresponding semicanonical divisor $D$ satisfies $\Pi(D)=w$. Moreover, we have

$$
e_{2}\left(\Pi\left(w_{1}\right), \Pi\left(w_{2}\right)\right)=\tilde{e}_{2}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)
$$

Note also that, given $w_{i} \in J_{\mathcal{C}}[2]^{\text {odd }}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right](Z)}{\left[w_{4}, w_{5}, w_{6}\right](Z)}=\frac{\left(H_{w_{1}} H_{w_{2}} H_{w_{3}}\right)}{\left(H_{w_{4}} H_{w_{5}} H_{w_{6}}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The theorems stated in the introduction are the translation to the analytic context of theorems 4.1, 5.1 and 5.2. In order to proof them, we have only to check that the bitangent lines associated to the odd two-torsion points $w_{k} \in J_{\mathcal{C}}[2]^{\text {odd }}$ appearing in the theorems satisfy the hypothesis of the mentioned theorems. But this is immediate, using the analytic description (6) of the Weil pairing.

## 7. Some geometry around the Frobenius formula

While the closed solution to the Torelli problem given by theorem 1.1 is satisfactory from the geometrical viewpoint, it would be desirable to have also a formula involving only Thetanullwerte instead of Jacobian Nullwerte. We can derive this formula from our theorem just taking into account Frobenius formula, which we recall briefly.

Both the Thetanullwerte and the Jacobian Nullwerte can be interpreted as functions defined on the Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{H}_{3}$ : the idea consists in fixing the necessary characteristics and letting $Z$ run through $\mathbb{H}_{3}$. Frobenius formula relates certain Jacobian Nullwerte with products of Thetanullwerte.

Three characteristics $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{3}$ are called asyzygetic if $e_{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{3}\right)=-1$. A sequence of characteristics is called asyzygetic if every triplet contained in it is asyzygetic. A fundamental system of characteristics is an asyzygetic sequence $S=\left\{\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{8}\right\}$ of characteristics, with $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{3}$ odd and $\epsilon_{4}, \ldots, \epsilon_{8}$ even.

Theorem 7.1 (Frobenius-Igusa, [Fr 1885], [ $\operatorname{Ig} 81])$. Let $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{3}$ be three odd characteristics, and take $w_{i}:=w_{i}(Z):=\epsilon_{i}^{\prime}+Z . \epsilon_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. There is an equality on $\mathbb{H}_{3}$ of the form

$$
\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right](Z)= \pm \pi^{3} \theta\left[w_{4}\right](Z) \cdots \theta\left[w_{8}\right](Z)
$$

if and only if $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{3}$ are asyzygetic, and in this case the characteristics $\epsilon_{4}, \ldots, \epsilon_{8}$ corresponding to $w_{4}, \ldots, w_{8}$ are the unique completion of $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{3}$ to a fundamental system.

The sign in the equality above can be determined for every particular fundamental system.

As we have mentioned after theorem 4.1, all the triplets of bitangent lines involved in the expression above are asyzygetic. This implies them that we can express the corresponding Jacobian Nullwerte as products of Thetanullwerte. We have the following identities:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right]=\pi^{3} \prod_{k=1}^{5} \theta\left[a_{k}\right](Z),} & {\left[w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime}, w_{3}^{\prime}\right]=-\pi^{3} \prod_{k=1}^{5} \theta\left[b_{k}\right](Z),} \\
{\left[w_{7}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right]=\pi^{3} \prod_{k=1}^{5} \theta\left[c_{k}\right](Z),} & {\left[w_{7}, w_{2}^{\prime}, w_{3}^{\prime}\right]=\pi^{3} \prod_{k=1}^{5} \theta\left[d_{k}\right](Z),} \\
{\left[w_{1}, w_{7}, w_{3}\right]=-\pi^{3} \prod_{k=1}^{5} \theta\left[e_{k}\right](Z),} & {\left[w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{7}, w_{3}^{\prime}\right]=-\pi^{3} \prod_{k=1}^{5} \theta\left[f_{k}\right](Z),} \\
{\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{7}\right]=\pi^{3} \prod_{k=1}^{5} \theta\left[g_{k}\right](Z),} & {\left[w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime}, w_{7}\right]=\pi^{3} \prod_{k=1}^{5} \theta\left[h_{k}\right](Z),}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
{ }^{t} a_{1}={ }^{t}(0,0,0)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} a_{2}={ }^{t}(0,0,0)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} a_{3}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, \\
{ }^{t} a_{4}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} a_{5}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, & \\
{ }^{t} b_{1}={ }^{t}(0,0,0)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} b_{2}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} b_{3}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, \\
{ }^{t} b_{4}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} b_{5}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, & \\
{ }^{t} c_{1}={ }^{t}(0,0,0)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} c_{2}={ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} c_{3}={ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, \\
{ }^{t} c_{4}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right)+{ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} c_{5}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, & \\
{ }^{t} d_{1}={ }^{t}(0,0,0)+{ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} d_{2}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} d_{3}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, \\
{ }^{t} d_{4}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} d_{5}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, & \\
{ }^{t} e_{1}={ }^{t}(0,0,0)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} e_{2}={ }^{t}(0,0,0)+{ }^{t}\left(0,0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} e_{3}={ }^{t}\left(0,0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \cdot Z, \\
{ }^{t} e_{4}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} e_{5}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, & \\
& & & \\
{ }^{t} f_{1}={ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} f_{2}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} f_{3}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right)+{ }^{t}\left(0,0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, \\
{ }^{t} f_{4}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} f_{5}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, & \\
& & & \\
{ }^{t} g_{1}={ }^{t}(0,0,0)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z,, & { }^{t} g_{2}={ }^{t}(0,0,0)+{ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} g_{3}={ }^{t}\left(0,0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, \\
{ }^{t} g_{4}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} g_{5}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)+{ }^{t}\left(0,0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, & \\
{ }^{t} h_{1}={ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)+{ }^{t}\left(0,0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} h_{2}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} h_{3}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right)+{ }^{t}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z, \\
{ }^{t} h_{4}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}(0,0,0) \cdot Z, & { }^{t} h_{5}={ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)+{ }^{t}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot Z . &
\end{array}
$$

Observe that there are some coincidences between the fundamental systems giving these identities: for instance, $c_{1}=a_{1}$ and $c_{5}=a_{3}$. In fact, every two share two of their even characteristics. Thus, when we plug the equalities above into theorem 1.1, we can make some simplifications. We obtain

Corollary 7.2. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\theta\left[c_{2}\right](Z) \theta\left[c_{3}\right](Z) \theta\left[c_{4}\right](Z) \theta\left[d_{1}\right](Z) \theta\left[d_{4}\right](Z) \theta\left[d_{5}\right](Z), \\
& A_{2}=\theta\left[e_{2}\right](Z) \theta\left[e_{3}\right](Z) \theta\left[e_{5}\right](Z) \theta\left[f_{1}\right](Z) \theta\left[f_{3}\right](Z) \theta\left[f_{4}\right](Z), \\
& A_{3}=\theta\left[g_{2}\right](Z) \theta\left[g_{3}\right](Z) \theta\left[g_{5}\right](Z) \theta\left[h_{1}\right](Z) \theta\left[h_{3}\right](Z) \theta\left[h_{4}\right](Z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

An equation for $\mathcal{C}$, defined over $K$ up to a normalization, is:

$$
\mathcal{C}:\left(A_{1} X_{1} Y_{1}+A_{2} X_{2} Y_{2}-A_{3} X_{3} Y_{3}\right)^{2}-4 A_{1} A_{2} X_{1} X_{2} Y_{1} Y_{2}=0
$$

Remarks: We could have written a presentation of $\mathcal{C}$ using quotients of Thetanullwerte, so that the coefficients could be interpreted as modular functions for certain level congruence subgroups, as is the case for the coefficients of the formula in theorem 1.1. The advantage of the formula we have written is that it involves only 18 values of the Riemann theta function. Hence, from the computational point of view, this is a more satisfactory formula, since the convergence of $\theta$ is faster than the convergence of its derivatives, and moreover we need less evaluations.

We finish with a geometrical description of the fundamental systems appearing in the Frobenius formula. We have considered the general hyperelliptic case in [Gu 07], and we now explain the situation for non-hyperelliptic genus three curves:

Theorem 7.3. Let $X_{1}=0, X_{2}=0, X_{3}=0$ be three asyzygetic bitangent lines. Write

$$
S_{X_{1} X_{2}} \cap S_{X_{1}, X_{3}}=\left\{X_{1}=0, X_{4}=0, \ldots, X_{8}=0\right\}
$$

according to proposition 3.7). Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{j}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}\left(X_{j}\right), \quad j=1,2,3 \\
& W_{j}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{X_{2} X_{3}}{X_{j}}\right)=W_{2}+W_{3}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}\left(X_{j}\right), \quad j=4, \ldots, 8
\end{aligned}
$$

The odd two-torsion points $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{8} \in J_{\mathcal{C}}$ corresponding to these semicanonical divisors through the Abel-Jacobi map (5) form a fundamental system.

Proof: We must show that $e_{2}\left(w_{1}-w_{i}, w_{1}-w_{j}\right)=1$ for all pairs $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, 8\}$. By the construction of the $W_{i}$, it is enough to see that $e_{2}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}, w_{1}-w_{3}\right)=1$, $e_{2}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}, w_{1}-w_{4}\right)=1$ and $e_{2}\left(w_{1}-w_{4}, w_{1}-w_{5}\right)=1$. The first equality is immediate, since $X_{1}=0, X_{2}=0, X_{3}=0$ are asyzygetic. The remaining two are derived easily: we compute the Weil pairing applying the Riemann-Mumford relation 2 with $D=W_{1}$. We have, for instance:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{2}\left(w_{1}-w_{2}, w_{1}-w_{4}\right)=e_{2}\left(W_{1}-W_{2}, W_{1}-W_{4}\right) \\
& \quad=h^{0}\left(W_{1}\right)+h^{0}\left(4 W_{1}-W_{2}-W_{4}\right)+h^{0}\left(2 W_{1}-W_{2}\right)+h^{0}\left(2 W_{1}-W_{4}\right) \\
& \quad=1+h^{0}\left(2 K-W_{2}-W_{4}\right)+h^{0}\left(W_{2}\right)+h^{0}\left(W_{4}\right) \\
& \quad=3+h^{0}\left(W_{2}+W_{4}\right)=1 \quad(\bmod 2)
\end{aligned}
$$
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