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#### Abstract

This letter deals with the relationship between the total number of $k$-walks in a graph, and the sum of the $k$-th powers of its vertex degrees. In particular, it is shown that the sum of all $k$-walks is upper bounded by the sum of the $k$-th powers of the degrees.


Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices, $V=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, with adjacency matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. For any integer $k \geq 1$, let $a_{i j}^{(k)}$ denote the $(i, j)$ entry of the power matrix $\boldsymbol{A}^{k}$. Let $\boldsymbol{D}$ be the diagonal matrix with elements $(\boldsymbol{D})_{i i}=d_{i}$ (the degree of vertex $i$ ). Here we study the relationship between the sum of all walks of length $k$ in $G$ and the sum of the $k$-th powers of its degrees. As a main result, and answering in the affirmative a conjecture of Marc Noy [8], we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}^{(k)} \leq \sum_{i} d_{i}^{k}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $G$ is regular or $k \leq 2$. In the case $k=3$ we also provide an exact value of the difference between the above sums in (1). In other line of research, some upper bounds for $\sum_{i} d_{i}^{k}$ have been given by several authors. See, for instance, $[7,9,6,4]$ (for general graphs) and [3, 2] (for graphs not containing a prescribed subgraph).

Let us first begin with the small values of $k$. The case $k=0$ is trivial since the number of walks of length 0 equals the number of vertices. Similarly, if $k=1$, the sum $\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}$ is just the sum of the degrees $d_{1}+d_{2}+\cdots+d_{n}$. If $k=2$, we can use that $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{j}=\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{j}$ (where $j$ is the all- 1 vector) and the symmetry of the involved matrices to obtain:

$$
\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}^{(2)}=\left\langle\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{A}^{2} \boldsymbol{j}\right\rangle=\langle\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{j}\rangle=\|\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{j}\|^{2}=\|\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{j}\|^{2}=d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}+\cdots+d_{n}^{2} .
$$

[^0]Assume now that $G$ is regular of degree, say, $d$. Then, $\boldsymbol{j}$ is the positive eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $d$ and we get

$$
\left\langle\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{A}^{k} \boldsymbol{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\boldsymbol{j}, d^{k} \boldsymbol{j}\right\rangle=d^{k}\|\boldsymbol{j}\|^{2}=n d^{k}
$$

A similar reasoning shows that, for a general (non-regular) graph, the inequality in (1) always holds for $k$ large enough. Indeed, let $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ be the positive eigenvector of $G$, normalized in such a way that $\min _{i \in V} \nu_{i}=1$. Let $\lambda$ be its corresponding (positive) eigenvalue, which is known to be smaller than the maximum degree $\Delta$ of $G$ (see $[1,5]$ ). Then,

$$
\left\langle\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{A}^{k} \boldsymbol{\nu}\right\rangle=\left\langle\boldsymbol{\nu}, \lambda^{k} \boldsymbol{\nu}\right\rangle=\|\boldsymbol{\nu}\|^{2} \lambda^{k}
$$

which, for $k$ large enough, is smaller than the single $k$-power $\Delta^{k}$.
To deal with the case $k=3$, it is more convenient to work with the Laplacian matrix of $G$; that is, $\boldsymbol{L}:=\boldsymbol{D}-\boldsymbol{A}$. Then, recall that, given any real function defined on $V, f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and with $\boldsymbol{f}$ being the (column) vector with components the values of $f$ on $V$, we have

$$
\langle\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{f}\rangle=\sum_{i \sim j}(f(i)-f(j))^{2}
$$

where the sum is extended over all edges of $G$ (see, for instance, [1]). We are interested in the case when the above function is just the degree of the corresponding vertex: $f(i)=d_{i}$. In this case, we denote by $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ its corresponding vector. Then, the difference between the two sums in (1) is just

$$
\langle\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{\delta}\rangle=\sum_{i \sim j}\left[d_{i}-d_{j}\right]^{2} \geq 0
$$

To prove the inequality in the general case, note first that, for any two positive numbers $a, b$ with, say, $a \geq b$,

$$
a^{r} b+a b^{r}=a^{r+1}+b^{r+1}-\left(a^{r}-b^{r}\right)(a-b) \leq a^{r+1}+b^{r+1}
$$

with equality if and only if $a=b$. (The same conclusion is reached when we apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the vectors $\left(a^{r}, a\right)$ and $\left(b, b^{r}\right)$.)

Also, notice that all walks of a given length, say $k \geq 1$, can be obtained by considering, for any vertex $i$, all $(i, j)$-walks of length $k-1$ "extended" by each of the $d_{i}$ edges incident to $i$. Thus, $\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}^{(k)}=\sum_{i, j} d_{i} a_{i j}^{(k-1)}$ or, equivalently,

$$
\left\langle\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{A}^{k} \boldsymbol{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{A}^{k-1} \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{A}^{k-1} \boldsymbol{j}\right\rangle .
$$

Keeping all this in mind, we are now ready to prove (1). Indeed, assuming that $k \geq 3$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}^{(k)} & =\sum_{i, j} d_{i} a_{i j}^{(k-2)} d_{j}=\sum_{i} a_{i i}^{(k-2)} d_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i<j} 2 a_{i j}^{(k-2)} d_{i} d_{j} \\
& \leq \sum_{i} a_{i i}^{(k-2)} d_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i<j} a_{i j}^{(k-2)}\left(d_{i}^{2}+d_{j}^{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}^{(k-2)} d_{j}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i, j} d_{i} a_{i j}^{(k-3)} d_{j}^{2}=\sum_{i} a_{i i}^{(k-3)} d_{i}^{3}+\sum_{i<j} a_{i j}^{(k-3)}\left(d_{i} d_{j}^{2}+d_{i}^{2} d_{j}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i} a_{i i}^{(k-3)} d_{i}^{3}+\sum_{i<j} a_{i j}^{(k-3)}\left(d_{i}^{3}+d_{j}^{3}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j} a_{i j}^{(k-3)} d_{j}^{3} \leq \cdots \leq \sum_{i, j} a_{i j} d_{j}^{k-1}=\sum_{j} d_{j}^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, notice that all the above inequalities become equalities if and only if $G$ is regular, as claimed.
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