



Universitat Politècnica de
Catalunya



Escola Tècnica Superior
d'Enginyeria Industrial de Barcelona

Structural stability of (C, A) -marked and observable subspaces

Albert Compta, Marta Peña

Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada I

Diagonal 647, Barcelona, Spain

ETSEIB, UPC

Regina

2005

Context

- Deformation of f keeping the subspace invariant
- Deformation of invariant subspace keeping f fixed
- Deformation of pairs of f and invariant subspace
- $f \sim A$
- $f \sim (C, A)$

Aim

F.Puerta-X.Puerta-S.Tarragona obtain the implicit form of a miniversal deformation of a (C,A) -invariant subspace with regard to the usual equivalence relation

We obtain for a (C,A) -marked subspace:

- The **explicit form** of its miniversal deformation
- The **dimension** of its orbit
- The **stable** (C,A) -marked subspaces

Notation

$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix}$ observable linear map defined in a subspace
with Brunovsky numbers $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$

$\text{Inv}(k, h)$ manifold of the (C, A) -invariant subspaces
with Brunovsky numbers of the restriction
 $h = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s)$ with regard to the block
equivalence

Differentiable structure of $\text{Inv}(k,h)$

- $\mathbf{M}(k,h)$ set of matrices of full rank with regard to the partition (k,h) formed by the matrices with constant diagonals depending on $k_i - h_j + 1$ parameters

$$X = \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} x_{i,j,1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \\ x_{i,j,2} & x_{i,j,1} & \cdots & 0 & \\ \cdots & x_{i,j,2} & \cdots & x_{i,j,1} & \ddots \\ x_{i,j,k_i-h_j+1} & \cdots & \cdots & x_{i,j,2} & \\ 0 & x_{i,j,k_i-h_j+1} & \cdots & \cdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{i,j,k_i-h_j+1} & \end{array} \right] \in \mathbf{M}(k,h)$$

- Differentiable structure as an orbit space

$$\boxed{\text{Inv}(k,h) \cong \mathbf{M}(k,h)/\mathbf{M}(h,h)}$$

(J.Ferrer-F.Puerta-X.Puerta)

Deformations

Let M be a manifold and G a Lie group acting on it:

$$\begin{aligned} G \times M &\rightarrow M \\ (g, x) &\mapsto g \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

The orbit of the matrix $x \in M$

$$\mathcal{O}_x = \{g \cdot x, g \in G\}$$

is its equivalence class associated to this action

- Deformation

Definition An l -parametrized deformation of $x \in M$ is a differentiable map

$$\phi: U \rightarrow M$$

where

- U is a neighbourhood of the origin
- $\phi(0) = x$

Deformations

• Versal / Miniversal deformation

Definition $\phi: U \rightarrow M$ is called **versal** if for any other deformation

$$\psi: V \rightarrow M , V \subset \mathbb{C}^k$$

there is:

- a neighbourhood of the origin $V' \subset V$, $0 \in V'$
- a differentiable map $\alpha: V' \rightarrow U$, $\alpha(0) = 0$
- a deformation $\beta: V' \rightarrow G$ of $I \in G$

such that

$$\boxed{\psi(v) = \beta(v) \cdot \phi(\alpha(v)) , \forall v \in V'}$$

It is **miniversal** if the number of parameters is the minimum among all the versal deformations

Deformations

- Transversality

Theorem (Arnold) A submanifold $N \subset M$ is a versal deformation of $x \in N$ if and only if N is transversal to \mathcal{O}_x at x :

$$N \text{ versal} \Leftrightarrow T_x(N) + T_x\mathcal{O}_x = T_x(M)$$

$$N \text{ miniversal} \Leftrightarrow T_x(N) \oplus T_x\mathcal{O}_x = T_x(M)$$

If N is a miniversal deformation of $x \in M$, then

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_x = \dim M - \dim N$$

Corollary A supplementary subspace of $T_x\mathcal{O}_x$ determines a miniversal deformation of $x \in N$

Miniversal deformation of (C,A) -invariant subspaces

- (C,A) -invariant subspace

Definition $A(W \cap \text{Ker } C) \subset W$

- Implicit form deformation

Theorem (F.Puerta-X.Puerta-S.Tarragona)

$$X \in \mathbf{M}(k,h), V \in \text{Sp}(X)$$

A miniversal deformation of V in $\text{Inv}(k,h)$ with regard to the group action $\mathbf{M}(k,k)$ is

$$\text{Sp}(X + W)$$

such that $W \in \bar{\mathbf{M}}(k,h)$ and

$$\boxed{\text{tr}(PXW^*) = \text{tr}(XQW^*) = 0}$$

$$\forall P \in \bar{\mathbf{M}}(k,k), \forall Q \in \bar{\mathbf{M}}(h,h)$$

(C,A)-marked subspaces

- **(C,A)-marked subspace**

Definition A (C,A)-invariant subspace is **marked** if there is a Brunovsky basis of the restriction extendible to a Brunovsky basis of the whole space

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & A_3 \\ \hline C_1 & C_3 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(i) $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ C_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} A_2 \\ C_2 \end{pmatrix}$ Brunovsky matrices

(ii) $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix}$ similar by a permutation to a Brunovsky matrix

Remark

$$h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \dots \geq h_s > h_{s+1} = \dots = h_r = 0$$

If $V \in \text{Inv}(k, h)$ is a (C,A)-marked subspace we can suppose: $k_i \geq h_i$ and $k_i \geq k_{i+1}$ if $h_i = h_{i+1}$

(C,A)-marked subspaces

- Canonical matrix representation

Definition

(C,A) observable pair with Brunovsky numbers k

$V \in \text{Inv}(k, h)$ (C,A)-marked subspace, $X \in M(k, h)$

X such that $\text{Sp}(X) \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ is a **canonical matrix representation** of $\mathcal{O}(V)$ if it is

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} X_{i,i} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{h_i} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq s \\ X_{i,j} &= 0 \text{ in other case} \end{aligned}}$$

Example

$$k = (4, 2), h = (3, 1)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Miniversal deformation of (C,A) -marked subspaces

- Explicit form deformation

Proposition

(C,A) observable pair with Brunovsky numbers k

$V = \text{Sp}(X) \in \text{Inv}(k, h)$ (C,A) -marked subspace

$X \in \mathbf{M}(k, h)$ canonical matrix representation of $\mathcal{O}(V)$

$W \in \bar{\mathbf{M}}(k, h)$ is a solution of

$$\text{tr}(PXW^*) = 0 \quad \forall P \in \bar{\mathbf{M}}(k, k)$$

$$\text{tr}(XQW^*) = 0 \quad \forall Q \in \bar{\mathbf{M}}(h, h)$$

if and only if

| | | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| $w_{i,j,l} = 0$ | $k_j - h_j < l \leq k_i - h_j + 1$ | $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s, k_i \geq k_j$ |
| $w_{i,j,l} = 0$ | $k_i - h_i < l \leq k_i - h_j + 1$ | $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s, h_i \geq h_j$ |

Miniversal deformation of (C,A) -marked subspaces

- Explicit form deformation

Theorem

(C,A) observable pair with Brunovsky numbers k

$V = \text{Sp}(X) \in \text{Inv}(k, h)$ (C,A) -marked subspace

$X \in \mathbf{M}(k, h)$ canonical matrix representation of $\mathcal{O}(V)$

A miniversal deformation of V is

$$\text{Sp}(X + W)$$

such that $W \in \bar{\mathbf{M}}(k, h)$ and

$$w_{i,j,l} = 0 \quad \text{if } \min(k_i - h_i, k_j - h_j) < l \leq k_i - h_j + 1, \\ k_i \geq k_j \text{ or } h_i \geq h_j$$

Miniversal deformation of (C,A) -marked subspaces

- Explicit form deformation

Examples

$$k = (4, 2), h = (3, 1)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} w_1 & 0 & 0 & w_3 \\ 1 & w_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & w_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & w_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$k = (4, 2), h = (2, 1)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} w_1 & 0 & w_3 & \\ w_2 & w_1 & 0 & \\ 1 & w_2 & 0 & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \\ \hline w_4 & 0 & w_5 & \\ 0 & w_4 & 1 & \end{array} \right]$$

Miniversal deformation of (C,A) -marked subspaces

- Dimension of the orbit

Corollary

(C,A) observable pair with Brunovsky numbers k

$V \in \text{Inv}(k, h)$ (C,A) -marked subspace

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim}\mathcal{O}(V) = & \sum_{\substack{i \leq r, j \leq s \\ k_i \geq k_j \text{ or } h_i \geq h_j}} \min(k_i - h_i, k_j - h_j) + \\ & + \sum_{\substack{i \leq r, j \leq s \\ k_j > k_i \geq h_j > h_i}} (k_i - h_j + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Miniversal deformation of (C,A) -marked subspaces

- Structural stability

Definition

Characterization structurally stable elements:

$$\text{codim } \mathcal{O}(V) = 0$$

Corollary

(C,A) observable pair with Brunovsky numbers k

$V \in \text{Inv}(k, h)$ (C,A) -marked subspace is **structurally stable** with regard to the considered equivalence relation if and only if

$$k_j = h_j \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq s$$

References

- [1] V.I. Arnold, *On Matrices Depending on Parametres*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., 26 (1971), p. 101-114.
- [2] A. Compta; J. Ferrer; M. Peña, *Dimension of the Orbit of Marked Subspaces*, Linear Algebra Appl., 379 (2004), p. 239-248.
- [3] J. Ferrer; F. Puerta; X. Puerta, *Differentiable Structure of the Set of Controllable (A,B) -invariant Subspaces*, Linear Algebra Appl., 275-276 (1998), p. 161-177.
- [4] I. Gohberg; P. Lancaster; L. Rodman, *Invariant subspaces of Matrices with Applications*, Wiley, New York (1986).
- [5] F. Puerta; X. Puerta; S. Tarragona, *Versal Deformations in Orbit Spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl., 379 (2004), p. 329-343.

