

ISSN 2413-5453 Volume 2 (2015) 158-164 New, Renewable Energy and Energy Conservation Conference and Exhibition (The 3rd Indonesia EBTKE-ConEx, 2014)

# Ecotourism of Tropical Regions with Renewable Energy Perspective in Indonesia

Sindu Daniarta<sup>a</sup>\*, Yose Ardhani Farasi<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Departement of Engineering Physics, Faculty of Engineering, Univeristas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta Jl. Grafika No. 2, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia

#### Abstract

Indonesia is archipelago country with natural resources and population 230 million in 2010. The natural resources areas are mining, forestry, natural tourism. Indonesia has much natural tourism without electricity. At this time, the issues about electricity are growing rapidly. Renewable energy system is most contribution to generate electricity. Photovoltaic and wind turbine are usually for renewable energy system in tropical region. HOMER Energy software system is used to simulate the model of ecotourism with renewable energy system. In future can be used as a reference in the development of renewable energy based Ecotourism Island in Indonesia

Keywords : Ecotourism; HOMER Energy; renewable energy; wind turbine

## 1.Introduction

Indonesia is archipelago country with natural resources. Indonesia has a population of about 230 million in 2010. Wealth of natural resources affects the rate of economic growth and development. Some of resources can be used as an object of nature tourism. The tourism sector which is a multi-sector and multi-affect has the potential to generate a substantial income. Among the island, Java and Bali is the two most populated islands in the country, which have the most extensive electricity system. Electricity needs is very important which used for hospitality, lighting, restaurant and others. Electricity demand is linear with population growth. As other area are less populated, and often the population is located remotely in rural area or isolated in the smaller island, the electrification faces difficulties to provide electricity using conventional grid extension.

In Indonesia, rural area or isolated in a smaller island usually have a great environmental which have a potential of tourism. Based on the Destination Management Organization (DMO), there are several islands that became the superior product such us Sabang, Derawan, Bali, Bunaken, Wakatobi, Komodo and Raja Ampat archipelago. The islands are potential to become ecotourism which have relations in social-environmental-economic. Ecotourism is a method that gets impact for the country. This paper discuss how to improving ecotourism with renewable energy perspective in Indonesia.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +62 857 2577 3452. *E-mail address:* sindu.daniarta@gmail.com

Selection and peer-review under responsibility of the Scientific Committee of The 3rd Indonesia EBTKE ConEx 2014. DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.18502/ken.v2i2.371

Published by KnowledgeE Publishing Services. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0)

## 2.Method

This paper look at the facts about methods that have been used to overcome the problems occurred in the electrification of tourism islands of Indonesia. By designing the system, scenarios, different conditions, this paper want to determine the optimal configuration of electrification system with hybrid renewable energy system in tourism area of Indonesia.

#### 2.1.Ecotourism

Ecotourism concerns travel to a natural area; involving local people; feeding economic profit into local environmental protection; and contributing to the maintenance of local environment and species diversity trough minimizing visitor impact and promoting tourist education [1]. Definitions of ecotourism cohere around three criteria (i) attractions should be predominantly nature-based, (ii) visitor interactions with those attractions should be focused on learning or education, and (iii) experience and product management should follow principles and practices associated with ecological, socio-cultural and economic sustainability. Ecotourism is built with a three element, there are social, economic and environmental. The bottom of social, economic and environmental relations is called elements of triple-bottom-line-sustainability (TBLS) [2].



Figure 1. Elements of triple-bottom-line-sustainability (TBLS) with energy perspective.

Energy is supplied to tourism resort destinations through a series of extraction, conversion, and distribution system. Energy use in tourism destination is normally disproportionately greater than what is typically associated with other similar sized communities. Usually, energy is used for cooking, heating, air conditioning, cooling, cleaning, and lighting. In many tropical or arid regions, energy is also needed for the desalination of seawater. A substantial quantity of energy is also required to construct new infrastructure, accommodations and other facilities.

#### 2.2. Hybrid renewable energy systems

Hybrid power systems usually integrate renewable energy source to provide electrical power. They are generally independent of large centralized electric grids and are used in remote areas. In these systems, it is possible for the individual power sources to provide different percentages of the total load. In Indonesia, hybrid renewable energy system is most effectively because of its potentials. The potential are solar energy and wind energy. Table 1 describes the potential map of solar energy and wind energy in Indonesia. The advantages of using renewable

sources for generating power in remote areas are obvious. Table 1 show the characteristic renewable energy source in Indonesia. Indonesia has 5.34 kWh/m2/d daily solar radiation-horizontal and 4.6 m/s wind speed. This potential can be transformed into the electricity with photovoltaic and wind turbine.

Table 1. Meteorology and solar energy: RET screen data in Indonesia.											
Month	Air temperature	Relative humidity	Daily solar radiation – horizontal	Wind speed	Earth temperature						
	°C	%	kWh/m²/d	m/s	°C						
January	25.8	80.4 %	5.20	7.0	26.0						
February	25.8	81.0 %	5.96	5.5	26.3						
March	26.4	80.4 %	6.59	4.7	27.5						
April	27.3	79.8 %	6.68	3.2	29.1						
May	27.8	80.1 %	5.76	2.8	29.9						
June	27.8	79.6 %	4.97	4.4	29.8						
July	27.6	79.2 %	4.78	4.3	29.4						
August	27.6	79.2 %	4.99	4.7	29.2						
September	27.4	79.3 %	4.96	4.0	29.2						
October	27.0	81.9 %	4.76	3.4	29.1						
November	26.6	82.6 %	4.81	4.9	28.0						
December	26.2	80.6 %	4.57	7.0	26.6						
Annual	26.9	80.3 %	5.34	4.6	28.3						

## 2.3.Design systems

Systems of hybrid renewable energy system are designed as shown Figure 2.



Figure 2.Design system hybrid renewable energy system.

#### 2.3.1. Primary load

Agriculture and fisherman is the main economic activity in remote rural village. They also are in the small island which has a tourism area. In the night, electricity is available from 18:00 to 06:00 for household sector and tourism.





Loading profile in rural area or small island has specifications in baseline (160 kWh/d, 6.67 W, 39.7kW of peak, and 0.168 of load factor) and scaled (.0.200 kWh/d, 0.00833 W, 0.0400 W of peak, and 0.208 of load factor).

#### 2.3.2. Wind turbine

Overall wind energy potential of Indonesia is not great. Based on surveying and measurement of wind data, it has been done since 1979. Many prospective areas for wind speeds annual (3.4 to 4.5) m/s (200 kWh/m to 1 000 kWh/m). This potential can already be used for small-scale electricity generation to 10 kW. The characteristic of generic type 10 kW can be seen from table B. Capital cost is USD 1 200. Replacement cost is USD 1 100. Operational and maintenance cost is USD 20.

## 2.3.3.Photovoltaic

Photovoltaic (1 kW) has a capital cost and replacement cost. The capital cost is USD 8 000. The replacement cost is USD 7 000. Operational cost and maintenance cost can be assumed to be zero because the PV does not require specific maintenance. Figure 4 shows PV inputs.

Costs    Sizes to consider      Size (k/w)    Capital (\$)    Replacement (\$)    D&M (\$/yr)      1.000    8000    7000    0      (.)    (.)    (.)    0.000      (.)    (.)    (.)    0.000      0.050    0.000    0.050      0.100    0.150    0.200      0.250    0.200    0.0      0.250    0.300    Capital is      Detating factor (\$2)    80    (.)    Tracking system      Slope (degrees)    6.2    (.)    Consider effect of temperature      Azimuth (degrees W of \$)    0    (.)    Temperature coeff. of power (\$/7C)    0.5      Ground reflectance (\$2)    20    (.)    Nominal operating cell temp. (*C)    47      Efficiency at std. test conditions (\$2)    13    (.)    13    (.)	Enter at (photovy HOMEF Note tha Hold the	least one siz oltaic) system I considers e at by default, pointer over	e and capital cost n, including modules ach PV array capa HOMER sets the s r an element or clici	value in the Cost s, mounting hard sity in the Sizes t lope value equa < Help for more i	s table. Include ai ware, and installati o Consider table. I to the latitude froi nformation.	II costs a ion. As it m the Sc	associated w searches fo olar Resource	ith the PV r the optimal system, e Inputs window.
Size (kW)    Capital (\$)    Replacement (\$)    D&M (\$/yr)      1.000    80000    7000    0      (.)    (.)    (.)    (.)      (.)    (.)    (.)    (.)      (.)    (.)    (.)    (.)      (.)    (.)    (.)    (.)      (.)    (.)    (.)    (.)      (.)    (.)    (.)    (.)      (.)    (.)    (.)    (.)      (.)    (.)    (.)    (.)      (.)    (.)    (.)    (.)      (.)    (.)    (.)    (.)      (.)    (.)    (.)    (.)      Toperties    0.200    0.4    0.6      0.200    0.2    0.4    0.6    0.8      0.200    0.2    0.4    0.6    0.8      0.300    Capital    Replacement    Capital    Replacement      Lifetime (years)    25    (.)    Tracking system No Tracking    Tracking system	Costs				Sizes to conside	er —		121 1221
1.000  8000  7000  0  0.000  0.000  0.050  0.100  0.050  0.100  0.050  0.100  0.050  0.100  0.020  0.000  0.020  0.000	Size (kW)	Capital (\$)	Replacement (\$)	0&M (\$/yr)	Size (kW)	-	8	Cost Curve
Image: constraint of the second se	1.000	8000	7000	0	0.000	)	<b>₩</b> 8-	
(.)  (.)  (.)    topetties  0.100  0.150    0.150  0.250  0.000    0.250  0.000  0.200    0.100  0.250  0.000    0.250  0.200  0.200    0.250  0.300  - Capital    Derating factor (%)  80  (.)    Slope (degrees)  6.2  (.)    Consider effect of temperature  Azimuth (degrees W of S)  0    0   Tremperature coeff. of power (%/*C)  0.5    Ground reflectance (%)  20   Nominal operating cell temp. (*C)  47    Efficiency at std. test conditions (%)  13  ()	-				0.050	)	00 4	
(.)  (.)  (.)  0.150  0.200    0.200  0.200  0.200  0.0 0.2  0.4 0.6 0.8    Output current  C C DC  0.300 v  - Capital - Replacement    Lifetime (years)  25 (.)  Advanced  - Capital - Replacement    Derating factor (%)  80 (.)  Tracking system No Tracking  v    Slope (degrees)  6.2 (.)  Consider effect of temperature    Azimuth (degrees W of S)  0 (.)  Temperature coeff. of power (%/°C)  -0.5 (.)    Ground reflectance (%)  20 (.)  Nominal operating cell temp. (°C)  47 (.)    Efficiency at std. test conditions (%)  13 (.)					0.100	)	TT I	
Image: constraint of the second se		{}	{}	{}	0.150		02-	ALC: NO
Output current  C C DC  0.200  0.0 0.2 0.4 0.8 Size (kW)    Dutput current  C C DC  0.300  Capital  Replacement    Lifetime (years)  25 ()  Advanced  Replacement  Replacement    Derating factor (%)  80 ()  Tracking system  No Tracking  Image: Consider effect of temperature    Slope (degrees)  6.2 ()  Image: Consider effect of temperature  Consider effect of power (%/*C)  -0.5 ()    Ground reflectance (%)  20 ()  Nominal operating cell temp. (*C)  47 ()    Efficiency at std. test conditions (%)  13 ()	roperties				0.200		0	
Lifetime (years)  25  (.)  Advanced    Lifetime (years)  25  (.)  Advanced    Derating factor (%)  80  (.)  Tracking system No Tracking    Slope (degrees)  6.2  (.)  Consider effect of temperature    Azimuth (degrees W of S)  0  (.)  Temperature coeff. of power (%/*C)  -0.5  (.)    Ground reflectance (%)  20  (.)  Nominal operating cell temp. (*C)  47  (.)    Efficiency at std. test conditions (%)  13  (.)	Out-ut-summer		0.00		0.250		0.0 0.	Size (kW)
Lifetime (years)  25 )    Derating factor (%)  80  ()  Tracking system No Tracking    Slope (degrees)  6.2  ()  Consider effect of temperature    Azimuth (degrees W of S)  0  ()  Temperature coeff. of power (%/*C)  -0.5  ()    Ground reflectance (%)  20  ()  Nominal operating cell temp. (*C)  47  ()    Efficiency at std. test conditions (%)  13  ()	output cuffer	i ( AL	(• DC		0.000		- Capit	al — Replacemen
Derating factor (%)  80  (.)  Tracking system  No Tracking    Slope (degrees)  6.2  (.)  Consider effect of temperature    Azimuth (degrees W of S)  0  (.)  Temperature coeff. of power (%/*C)  -0.5  (.)    Ground reflectance (%)  20  (.)  Nominal operating cell temp. (*C)  47  (.)    Efficiency at std. test conditions (%)  13  (.)	Lifetime (year:	s)	25 {}	Advan	ced			
Slope (degrees)  6.2  (.)  Consider effect of temperature    Azimuth (degrees W of S)  0  (.)  Temperature coeff. of power (%/*C)  -0.5  (.)    Ground reflectance (%)  20  (.)  Nominal operating cell temp. (*C)  47  (.)    Efficiency at std. test conditions (%)  13  (.)	Derating facto	or (%)	80 {}	Tra	acking system No	Trackir	ng	
Azimuth (degrees W of S)    0    ()    Temperature coeff. of power (%/*C)    -0.5    ()      Ground reflectance (%)    20    ()    Nominal operating cell temp. (*C)    47    ()      Efficiency at std. test conditions (%)    13    ()    13    ()	Slope (degree	es)	6.2 {}	<b></b>	Consider effect of	f temper	ature	
Ground reflectance (%)    20    (.)    47    (.)      Efficiency at std. test conditions (%)    13    (.)	Azimuth (degrees W of S)		Temperature coeff. of power (%/*C) -0.5 {}					
Efficiency at std. test conditions (%)	Ground reflec	tance (%)	20 {}		Nominal operating	g cell ter	mp. (°C)	47 ()
					Efficiency at std.	test con	ditions (%)	13 {.}

S, Daniarta and Y.A. Farasi / Proc. Indo EBTKE-ConEx 2014

Figure 4. PV Inputs.

## 2.3.4. Battery

Assuming constant properties and affected by external factors such as temperature, battery work 24 hours. Batteries are used Trojan L16P with the characteristic of having a voltage of 6 V, 360 Ah at the 20 hour rate and 2.16 kWh. The price of battery is estimated USD 130, USD 117 replacement cost, and USD 4 O & M costs.

## **3.Result and discussion**

This paper use PV array (0.1 kW), wind turbine (1 generic 10 kW), and battery (2 trojan L16P). Figure 5 shows montly average electric production using PV and wind turbine.



Figure 5. Montly average electric production.



Figure 6 shows the PV output in monthly. Figure 7 shows the wind turbine output in monthly. Each device has an characteristic of device output because of the potential. PV can generate electrical energy around 0.428 kW/d. Wind turbine can generate energy output of 0.668 kW/d. So, the total of generating electrical energy is 1.096 kW/d. This is in conformity with the case sensitivity of the proposed system with the primary load average scaled 1 kWh/d. The design of the system optimization of this system is showed in Figure 6.



It can be seen from the area in the Figure 5 where the system works with combination PV and wind turbine. This case needs to be considered in term of funding. Where the system with PV or battery has the lowest cost compared to other systems. The total net present cost of USD 1 787 followed by wind or battery USD 2 142. For system of wind, PV, and battery have a total net present cost of USD 2 520. Using PV, wind turbine, and batter can

reduce the greenhouse effect of conventional fuels. Utilizing this technology can eliminate pollutant such as carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, unburned hydrocarbons, sulfur dioxide, and nitrogen oxides.

## 4.Conclusion

Environmental, economic, and social can be achieved through the provision of hybrid renewable energy system. This systems allow to convert fossil energy consumption into renewable energy. Doing modeling hybrid systems obtained optimization system is a hybrid system in which the model is obtained with PC and wind turbine. Energy and ecotourism has a relations that has three indicators. The indicators are social, environmental and economic. The role of energy in field of social, community using renewable energy is to increase the value of their existing local resources.

In the environmental field already known that this system doesn't use conventional fuels. So, there are no emission from the systems. In the field of business, the hybrid renewable energy system is suitable for the development of ecotourism areas. So, the application of this plant is a sustainable business model. Using a hybrid renewable energy system can make a sustainable ecotourism for public especially in archipelago or small island.

### References

- Blum NU, Sryantoro, Wakeling R, Schmidt T. Rural electrification through village grids Assessing the cost competitiveness of isolated renewable energy technologies in Indonesia. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2013; 22, 482-496.
- [2] Girona, Moner. A new scheme for the promotion of renewable energies in developing countries: the renewable energy regulated purchase tariff. JRC European Commission 2008.
- [3] Hill J, Gale T. Ecotourism and environmental sustainability. Burlington: Ashgate Publising Company. 2009
- [4] Kazem, HA, Khatib T, Sopian K. Sizing of a standalone photovoltaic/battery system at minimum cost for remote housing electrification in sohar, Oman. Energy and Buildings 2013; 61, 108-115
- [5] Lilienthal P. Getting started guide for HOMER Version 2.1 National Renewable Energy Laboratory. 2005
- [6] Ma T, Yang H, Lu L. A feasibility study of stand-alone hybrid solar-wind-battery system for a remote island. Applied Energy 2014; 121:149-159.