A Four-Gene Signature from NCI-60 Cell Line for Survival Prediction in Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer

Yi-Chiung Hsu,^{1,3} Shinsheng Yuan,¹ Hsuan-Yu Chen,¹ Sung-Liang Yu,⁴ Chia-Hsin Liu,¹ Pin-Yen Hsu,³ Guani Wu,¹ Chia-Hung Lin,¹ Gee-Chen Chang,^{6,7} Ker-Chau Li,^{1,8} and Pan-Chyr Yang^{2,3,5}

Abstract Purpose: Metastasis is the main cause of mortality in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients. Genes that can discriminate the invasion ability of cancer cells may become useful candidates for clinical outcome prediction. We identify invasion-associated genes through computational and laboratorial approach that supported this idea in NSCLC.

Experimental Design: We first conducted invasion assay to characterize the invasion abilities of NCI-60 lung cancer cell lines. We then systematically exploited NCI-60 microarray databases to identify invasion-associated genes that showed differential expression between the high and the low invasion cell line groups. Furthermore, using the microarray data of Duke lung cancer cohort (GSE 3141), invasion-associated genes with good survival prediction potentials were obtained. Finally, we validated the findings by conducting quantitative PCR assay on an in-house collected patient group (n = 69) and by using microarray data from two public western cohorts (n = 257 and 186).

Results: The invasion-associated four-gene signature (*ANKRD49, LPHN1, RABAC1*, and *EGLN2*) had significant prediction in three validation cohorts (P = 0.0184, 0.002, and 0.017, log-rank test). Moreover, we showed that four-gene signature was an independent prognostic factor (hazard ratio, 2.354, 1.480, and 1.670; P = 0.028, 0.014, and 0.033), independent of other clinical covariates, such as age, gender, and stage.

Conclusion: The invasion-associated four-gene signature derived from NCI-60 lung cancer cell lines had good survival prediction power for NSCLC patients. (Clin Cancer Res 2009;15(23):7309–15)

Lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer deaths worldwide and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the major dominant cell type (1, 2). For the early-stage lung cancer, surgery remains the treatment of choice. But the widespread metastasis of lung cancer often defeats this treatment and up to 40% of such patients may relapse within 5 years (3, 4). Therefore, identification of patients at the high risk of recurrence or metastasis after resection may help improve the management of NSCLC patients. Migration and invasion ability of cancer cells are the most critical initial events of cancer metastasis (5). It is important to find gene signatures that may distinguish the invasion abilities of cancer cells to invade (6). Many studies on cancer metastasis have identified several molecules participating in tumor cell invasion and metastasis in different types of cancers (7). Microarray data have been widely used in discovering tumor subtypes, finding molecular characterization about tumor stage and metastatic status, and predicting patient survival (8–10). Various

© 2009 American Association for Cancer Research.

doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-1572

Authors' Affiliations: Institutes of ¹Statistical Science and ²Biomedical Sciences, Academia Sinica; ³National Taiwan University Research Center for Medical Excellence-Division of Genomic Medicine; Departments of ⁴Clinical Laboratory Sciences and Medical Biotechnology and ⁵Internal Medicine, National Taiwan University College of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China; ⁶Division of Chest Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital; ⁷Institutes of Biomedical Sciences and Molecular Biology, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan, Republic of China; and ⁸Department of Statistics, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California Received 6/23/09; revised 8/26/09; accepted 8/29/09; published OnlineFirst 11/17/09.

Grant support: NSC97-3112-B-002-033; NSC97-3112-B-002-047-B4 MIB; Institute of Statistical Science, Academia Sinica, Taiwan; NSC97-2627-P-001-003; NSC98-2314-B-001-001-MY3; NSC95-3114-P-002-005-Y;

DOH96-TD-I-111-TM; and National Science Foundation grant DMS-0707160.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Clinical Cancer Research Online (http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/).

Ker-Chau Li, Pan-Chyr Yang and Shinsheng Yuan are co-corresponding authors. The wet lab done in the National Taiwan University and the dry lab done in Academia Sinica contributed equally.

Requests for reprints: Ker-Chau Li, Institute of Statistical Science Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan, Republic of China. Phone: 886-2-2783-5611 ext. 207; Fax: 886-2-2783-1523; E-mail: kcli@stat.sinica.edu.tw.

Translational Relevance

Metastasis is the most life-threatening factor of malignant cancers. The migration and invasion ability of cancer cells is the first critical factor of metastasis. Because most treatment failure and death in cancer patients are due to the highly metastatic potential of the neoplasm, finding biomarkers that may distinguish the invasive ability of different cancer cells can lead to the discovery of new gene signature to improve the prediction of patient survival. We identify novel invasion-associated genes from the nine lung cancer cell lines in the NCI-60 panel and validate the results thrice: twice with large-scale western lung cancer cohorts and once by our own oriental data. Our study may provide clues to the mechanism of cancer metastasis and pave ways for effective clinical outcome prediction of lung cancer.

platforms of microarray have been applied to profile the gene expression patterns of the panel of NCI-60 cell lines (11).

We focused on the subset of the nine lung cancer cell lines in NCI-60 gene expression data set and used one set of public microarray data of western lung cancer patients to derive an invasion-associated gene signature for survival prediction. We validated the findings by three independent NSCLC cohorts. Our computational approach integrated both invasion profiles of lung cancer cell lines and gene expression profiles, and our results provided clues to interpret the mechanism of cancer metastasis.

Materials and Methods

Taiwanese cohort: patients and tissue specimen collections. A cohort that consisted of 69 patients from Taiwan was used for quantitative PCR (qPCR) validation conducted in our lab. Frozen specimens of lung cancer tissue came from 69 patients who underwent surgical resection of NSCLC at the Taichung Veterans General Hospital (VGHTC) between December 1999 and December 2003. The cohort included 27 adenocarcinomas, 36 squamous cell carcinomas, and 6 other types of cancer. The patients had not received adjuvant chemotherapy. The study was approved by the institutional review board of the hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Cell culture. The nine NCI-60 lung cancer cell lines (A549/ATCC, NCI-H460, NCI-H23, NCI-H322M, EKVX, NCI-H226, NCI-H522, HOP-62, and HOP-92) were purchased from the National Cancer Institute. The cells were grown in tissue culture flasks at 37° C in 5% CO₂ in RPMI 1640 with 2 mmol/L L-glutamine and 10% fetal bovine serum.

Matrigel invasion assay. The invasion capacities for cell lines were examined by using membrane invasion culture system to confirm their invasion ability, respectively. A HTS FluoroBlok insert containing 8-µm pores (Falcon, Becton Dickinson) was coated with 30 µg Matrigel (BD). The cells were suspended in RPMI 1640 containing 10% fetal bovine serum and seeded into the upper wells of the chamber (2.5×10^4 per well). After incubating for 24 h at 37°C, the membrane of the Transwell will be fixed for 10 min at room temperature with methanol and stained for 30 min with 50 µg/mL propidium iodide (Sigma) and the cell number in each blot was

counted under a microscope with Analytical Imaging Station system (Imaging Research, Inc.).

Cell line gene expression data. We used two microarray gene expression profiling data sets for NCI-60 cell lines generated by Gene Logic using Affymetrix U95 and U133, respectively. The mapping of probes between U133 and U95 platforms was provided by Affymetrix.⁹ The data sets were downloaded from the National Cancer Institute's Developmental Therapeutics Program Web site.¹⁰

Public NSCLC cohorts. The NSCLC cohort we used to derive gene signature consisted of lung cancer patients from the Duke University. Gene expression of 111 lung tumor tissues was profiled by using HU133plus2 Affymetrix chip (12). We retrieved the data from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE 3141). Intensity values were log transformed to a base 2 scale.

The two western cohort data sets used for validation came from Shedden et al. (13). The patients were from four institutions, University of Michigan Cancer Center (UM), Moffitt Cancer Center (HLM), Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSK), and the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (CAN/DF), and divided into two cohorts, UM+HLM and MSK+CAN/DF, as in Shedden et al. We preprocessed the microarray data by normal score transformation.

The real-time reverse transcription-qPCR analysis. The expression of four signature genes and two control genes (*TBP* for cell lines and *GAPDH* for tissue specimens) was measured by reverse transcriptionqPCR (RT-qPCR) with specific Taqman probes and primer sets; the transcripts were amplified with Taqman One-Step RT-PCR Master Mix Reagent (Applied Biosystems) and a detection system (ABI Prism 7900HT, Applied Biosystems). Gene expression was quantified in relation to the expression of the respective control gene with the use of sequence detector software and the relative quantification method (Applied Biosystems). The choice of *TBP* and *GAPDH* as the internal control for real-time RT-PCR is based on their invariance of expression in cancer cell lines and clinical cancer specimens (14, 15).

Survival analysis. The association between survival and each gene expression profile from microarray is evaluated by univariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis. A linear combination of the gene expression levels weighted by the regression coefficients was used to calculate the risk score for each patient.

More specifically, a patient's risk score was calculated as the sum of the levels of expression of each gene measured by microarray multiplied by the corresponding Cox regression coefficients. Patients were classified as having a high-risk signature or a low-risk gene signature, with the median of risk score as the threshold value. Survival curves for both groups were obtained by the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. Multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis with stepwise selection was used to evaluate independent prognostic factors associated with patient survivals, taking the gene signature, age, sex, stage, and histology as the covariates.

Except for the two places noted below, all tests were two sided, and a P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. One-sided tests were used in showing the consistency between qPCR data and the microarray data ([‡]P values; Table 1), and in one-sided log-rank test (P values of UM+HLM and MSK+CAN/DF cohorts) where the hypothesis of interest is the one-sided hypothesis that the survival time is longer for patients with the low-risk gene signature than that for patients with the high-risk signature, note the two-sided hypothesis that the survival time is different between the low-risk signature and the high-risk signature (16, 17).

⁹ http://www.affymetrix.com/support/technical/comparison_spreadsheets. affx

¹⁰ http://dtp.nci.nih.gov/mtargets/download.html

Gene symbol	UniGene ID	Probe ID (<i>P</i>)*	Correlation coefficient $(P)^{\dagger}$	HR [‡] (<i>P</i>) [§]	95% CI of HR
ANKRD49	Hs.29052	219069 at (0.015)	0.571 (0.050)	0.578 (0.002)	0.410-0.818
RABAC1	Hs.11417	203136 at (0.005)	0.806 (0.004)	1.510 (0.020)	1.067-2.137
LPHN1	Hs.654658	219145 at (0.029)	0.728 (0.013)	0.775 (0.033)	0.614-0.980
EGLN2	Hs.515417	220956 s at (0.048)	0.711 (0.016)	1.910 (0.041)	1.027-3.552

*P value was based on Student's t test for differential expression between the high and the low invasion cell lines at the given probe ID used in the gene; U133plus2 microarray data were used.

⁺*P* values for the correlation coefficients were given to test if qPCR and the microarray data for the lung cancer cell lines have positive correlation or not.

⁺The HRs are reported for the high-risk signature versus the low-risk signature, as determined by training Duke cohort.

[§]Estimated by univariate Cox regression analysis in training Duke cohort.

Results

Strategy of in silico microarray approach to derive invasionassociated gene signature for outcome prediction of NSCLC patients. The strategy of our approach is illustrated in Fig. 1. Based on invasion ability of the nine lung cancer cell lines, we divided them into two groups. We identified the invasion-associated genes from two Affymetrix NCI-60 microarray gene expression data sets by finding the genes with differential expression between the high and the low invasion groups. To reduce effect of microarray noises, we filtered out 50% of the probes with low average expression readings in data preprocessing.







Fig. 2. A, in vitro invasion activity profile of nine lung cancer cell lines in NCI-60. Columns, mean of the data (n = 3); bars, SD. B, heat map of 110 gene expression profiles showing the differential expression between lowly invasive (group I) and highly invasive (group II) lung cancer cell lines.

To examine which genes from our cell line-based search may lead to useful clinical biomarkers, we obtained a large-scale microarray data set for tumor samples of western NSCLC patients together with patients' survival data from Gene Omnibus (GSE 3141), referred to as the Duke cohort (n = 111; ref. 12). We applied the univariate Cox proportional hazard method to each of the invasion-associated genes obtained from cell line assay for finding genes whose expressions across patients correlate well with patient survival. Because genes with higher (lower) expression levels for high invasion cell lines are expected to be risk (protective) genes, we further inspect the direction of correlation with survival to identify genes consistent with their invasion abilities. For the genes that bypassed the consistency checking, we confirmed their expression pattern on nine cell lines by qPCR assay on the nine cell lines. Finally, we validate this in silico-derived gene signature in three independent cohorts: two new U133plus2 microarray data of western NSCLC cohort (CAN/DF+MSK, *n* = 186; UM+HLM, *n* = 257; ref. 13) and a group of 69 Taiwanese NSCLC samples (VGHTC, n = 69) by qPCR assay.

Invasion profile and in silico microarray analysis in lung cancer panel of NCI-60 cell lines. We determined the invasion ability of nine human NSCLC cell lines in NCI-60 (Fig. 2A) and found three cell lines, A549, HOP-62, and HOP-92, with much higher invasion ability than the other six cell lines (Fig. 2A). To find invasion-associated genes

from the U133plus2 NCI-60 microarray data set, gene expression profiles for the high and the low invasion groups were compared by two-tailed t tests and confirmed with the U95 NCI-60 microarray data set. A total of 110 genes were found differentially expressed and their expression profiles formed two clusters (see Fig. 2B, wherein the top panel showed the expression profiles of 72 genes that correlated negatively with the invasive ability of lung cancer cell lines, whereas the bottom panel gave the expression profiles of 38 genes that correlated positively).

Survival-associated gene signatures. The 110 genes associated with the invasion activity were identified by in vitro invasion assay on NSCLC cell lines and in silico microarray analysis. How do they affect the clinical outcome of lung cancer patients? To investigate this issue, we take advantage of the availability of the Duke lung cancer cohort (12) and evaluated the correlation between the expression of each gene and the patient survival by univariate Cox proportional hazard regression. This analysis was done to determine which genes may be associated with patient death. Protective genes have hazard ratio (HR) for death of <1, whereas risk genes have HR for death of >1. Of the 110 invasion-associated genes, 9 genes were found statistically significant. We further checked if the protective/risk gene assignment was consistent with the direction of the invasion ability of the gene and found five genes consistent. One gene probe was located at a pseudogene site, which was not considered further.

For the remaining four genes, *ANKRD49* and *LPHN1* are protective and *RABAC1* and *EGLN2* are risk genes (Table 1).

Risk scores were calculated by using the above four genes. Risk prediction was calculated as the sum of the levels of expression of each gene measured by microarray, multiplied by the corresponding Cox regression coefficients. Patients in high- or low-risk group were classified by using the 50th percentile (median) of the risk score distribution (median, 3.84; range, 2.5-6.68) as the cut point.

The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate overall survival distribution for each group. Patients with high-risk gene signature as predicted by the four-gene signature had shortened median overall survival compared with patients with low-risk gene signature (24.33 versus 36.59 months; P = 0.001, log-rank test; Fig. 3A). The expression levels of these four candidate genes in the nine lung cancer cell lines were validated by doing the RTqPCR assay and calculating the Pearson correlation between the qPCR data with the U133plus2 microarray data (Table 1).

Clinical characteristics of the NSCLC cohort are summarized according to their high or low risk of the four-gene signature (Supplementary Table S1). Multivariate Cox regression analysis with stepwise selection was used to search for independent prognostic factors associated with survival. The risk score by



Fig. 3. Survival distributions of 111 patients in the Duke NSCLC cohort (A) and 69 patients in the VGHTC NSCLC cohort (B).

Table 2. Multivariate Cox regression analysis

Variable	HR (95% CI)	P		
A		,		
Original cohort ($n = 111$)				
Four-gene signature	2.346 (1.367-4.025)	0.002		
Stage	1.466 (1.128-1.905)	0.004		
VGHTC cohort ($n = 69$)				
Four-gene signature	2.354 (1.098-5.046)	0.028		
Age	1.065 (1.014-1.119)	0.013		
Stage	1.943 (1.332-2.835)	<0.001		
В				
UM and HLM cohort ($n = 257$	')			
Four-gene signature	1.480 (1.083-2.024)	0.014		
Age	1.024 (1.008-1.041)	0.003		
Stage	2.140 (1.775-2.58)	<0.001		
CAN/DF and MSK cohort ($n = 186$)				
Four-gene signature	1.670 (1.042-2.678)	0.033		
Age	1.029 (1.004-1.056)	0.025		
Stage	2.161 (1.625-2.874)	< 0.001		
	1 000			
Low risk in both signatures	1.000	0.020		
High risk in any signatures	5.140(1.091-24.203)	0.038		
	7.547 (1.599-55.625)	0.011		
Stage	2.423 (1.388-4.229)	0.002		
Age	1.081 (1.013-1.153)	0.019		
NOTE: Four-gene signature f +HLM and CAN/DF+MSK NSC gression of concomitant fou prognostic predictors in over	or (A) Duke and VGHTC an CLC patients. (C) Multivariat r-gene and five-gene sign all survival of VGHTC natie	d (B) UM e Cox re- atures as		

the four-gene signature was evaluated along with age, gender, tumor stage, and cell type. Patients with the high-risk signature had a higher risk for poor survival with adjusted HR of 2.35 [95% confidence interval (95% CI), 1.367-4.025; P = 0.002]. The four-gene signature was still a significant survival predictor after adjusting for tumor stage (Table 2A).

ables were selected through stepwise selection method.

Independent validation of gene signature from Taiwanese data set by RT-aPCR and public western data set. To investigate to what extent the four genes were also valuable predictors for the Asian patients, we investigated the VGHTC cohort. We took lung cancer specimens from each patient and quantified the gene expression of four candidate genes by RT-qPCR to compute the patient risk scores for each patient and then divided patients into high- and low-risk groups in the same way as was done in the Duke cohort. We found that Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the high- and low-risk groups in the VGHTC cohort were separable and the result was statistically significant (P = 0.0184, log-rank test; Fig. 3B). To show that inclusion of the four-gene signature in the clinical model had useful survival prediction performance independent of cancer stage, we conducted a multivariate Cox regression with backward deletion. From the initial set of five variables (age, gender, stage, cell type, and four-gene signature), the final model contains only age, stage, and four-gene signature (Table 2A).

Moreover, we validated the four-gene signature in two new western cohorts (UM+HLM and CAN/DF+MSK). Kaplan-Meier survival curves were significantly separable (UM+HLM: P = 0.002, log-rank test; CAN/DF+MSK: P = 0.017, log-rank test; Fig. 4A and B), and four-gene signature was significant by multivariate Cox regression in both cohorts (Table 2B).



Fig. 4. Survival distributions of 257 patients in the UM+HLM cohort (*A*) and 186 patients in the CAN/DF+MSK cohort (*B*). *C*, 69 patients in the VGHTC NSCLC cohort using the concomitant four-gene signature and five-gene signature.

This reassures the clinical usefulness of our finding. The four invasion-associated genes might be potential molecular targets for lung cancer therapy.

Discussion

Many studies have developed useful gene signatures by examining only the gene profiling data of the patient specimens that they can access to (18-20). Differing from these works, our approach started with an in silico exploitation of the public domain microarray databases to derive the gene signature and concluded with the validation by our own clinical data as well as two public western clinical data. The public data we used in this report included two sets of NCI-60 cell line microarray gene expression data and three microarray gene expression data sets from a western patient cohort. We also conducted qPCR in our lab. As the genomic research gets deeper and broader, it has become more and more difficult for any research team to generate all sorts of data on their own. Consequently, it is worth investigating methods of how to use the public data such as Gene Expression Omnibus, which is growing at an astonishingly fast pace. More and more such in silico microarray and bioinformatic approaches are anticipated to foster the area of clinical genomics.

Previously, a five-gene signature (9), motivated by different cell line invasion assay and microarray platform, was also obtained for NSCLC. Although there is no overlap between the four genes identified in this article and the five-gene signature, both achieve the same goal. Both signatures had significant prediction power in multivariate Cox regression. Using both signatures, we stratified the VGHTC cohort into three groups and generated Kaplan-Meier plots to show their survival differences (Fig. 4C). The combined signature is significant under multivariate Cox regression with stage, gender, and age (Table 2B).

Among the four genes in our invasion-associated signature, the expression of RABAC1 and EGLN2 was found higher in high invasion lung cell lines, whereas that of LPHN1 and ANKRD49 was found higher in low invasion lung cell lines. RABAC1 (Rab acceptor 1) is a transmembrane protein, localized to the late Golgi, which also called PRA1 (21). It was involved with T-cell factor/β-catenin signaling and dephosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (22). RABAC1 gene was highly expressed in breast, colon, lung, and ovary cancers (23). Interestingly, RABAC1 activated NF-KB signaling through latent membrane protein 1, which is an EBV-encoded oncoprotein (24). Moreover, infection of EBV was associated with several cancers (25), consistent with risk effect of RABAC1 in our signature. The EGLN2 was known as prolyl-hydroxylase-1, which acted like an oxygen sensor to inhibit hypoxia-induced activation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α (26, 27). EGLN2 was also characterized as estrogeninduced tag-6, which has been reported to promote cell growth in breast cancer (28). It is likely that the function of EGLN2 in tumor tissues may be dependent on the oxygen level. LPHN1 encoded a member of the latrophilin 1, which was a member of G protein-coupled receptors associated with activation of neurons (29). Latrophilin 1 regulated cell adhesion and neurotoxin secretion (30) and brain-specific angiogenesis inhibitor 1. Brain-specific angiogenesis inhibitor 1 was widely expressed in both glial cells and neurons but has low expression in glioma cell lines (31). The function of ANKRD49 (ankyrin repeat domain 49) is little known. However, ankyrin repeat, a motif of 30- to 34-amino acid residues (32), was first identified in the sequence of yeast Swi6p, Cdc10p, and Notch (33), and Notch signaling is one important pathway in tumorigenic processes. Families of ankyrin repeat proteins mediating protein-protein interaction have been associated with development of human

cancer (34). The tumor suppressor gene p16 (*INK4A*) was an ankyrin repeat protein that inhibited cell cycle progression to reduce proliferation of cancer cells (35).

We concluded that an invasion-associated gene signature derived from NCI-60 lung cancer cell lines by our *in silico* microarray approach had good survival prediction power in NSCLC patients. The signature requires only four genes. For clinical applications, the smaller number of genes in the signature may have the greater potential of translating into practical methods of lung cancer patient risk stratification and developing personalized therapy.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

We thank Hao Ho for contribution in data assembly.

References

- 1. Parkin DM. Global cancer statistics in the year 2000. Lancet Oncol 2001;2:533–43.
- Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al. Cancer statistics, 2008. CA Cancer J Clin 2008;58:71–96.
- 3. Hoffman PC, Mauer AM, Vokes EE. Lung cancer. Lancet 2000;355:479–85.
- Mountain CF. Revisions in the International System for Staging Lung Cancer. Chest 1997;111: 1710–7.
- Chambers AF, Groom AC, MacDonald IC. Dissemination and growth of cancer cells in metastatic sites. Nat Rev Cancer 2002;2:563–72.
- Steeg PS. Tumor metastasis: mechanistic insights and clinical challenges. Nat Med 2006; 12:895–904.
- Steeg PS, Bevilacqua G, Kopper L, et al. Evidence for a novel gene associated with low tumor metastatic potential. J Natl Cancer Inst 1988;80:200–4.
- Potti A, Mukherjee S, Petersen R, et al. A genomic strategy to refine prognosis in early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2006; 355:570–80.
- 9. Chen HY, Yu SL, Chen CH, et al. A five-gene signature and clinical outcome in non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2007;356:11–20.
- **10.** Roepman P, Jassem J, Smit EF, et al. An immune response enriched 72-gene prognostic profile for early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2009;15:284–90.
- 11. Shoemaker RH. The NCI60 human tumour cell line anticancer drug screen. Nat Rev Cancer 2006;6:813–23.
- **12.** Bild AH, Yao G, Chang JT, et al. Oncogenic pathway signatures in human cancers as a guide to targeted therapies. Nature 2006;439:353–7.
- Shedden K, Taylor JM, Enkemann SA, et al. Gene expression-based survival prediction in lung adenocarcinoma: a multi-site, blinded validation study. Nat Med 2008;14:822–7.
- **14.** Shih JY, Yang SC, Hong TM, et al. Collapsin response mediator protein-1 and the invasion and metastasis of cancer cells. J Natl Cancer Inst 2001;93:1392–400.

- 15. Kurahashi T, Hara I, Oka N, Kamidono S, Eto H, Miyake H. Detection of micrometastases in pelvic lymph nodes in patients undergoing radical cystectomy for locally invasive bladder cancer by real-time reverse transcriptase-PCR for cytokeratin 19 and uroplakin II. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11:3773–7.
- Green S, Benedetti J, Crowley J. Interdisciplinary statistics: clinical trials in oncology. 2nd ed. Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall/CRC; 2003.
- Koch GG, Gillings DB. Encyclopedia of statistical sciences. New York: Wiley; 1988.
- **18.** Raponi M, Zhang Y, Yu J, et al. Gene expression signatures for predicting prognosis of squamous cell and adenocarcinomas of the lung. Cancer Res 2006;66:7466–72.
- **19.** Beer DG, Kardia SL, Huang CC, et al. Geneexpression profiles predict survival of patients with lung adenocarcinoma. Nat Med 2002;8:816–24.
- 20. Lu Y, Lemon W, Liu PY, et al. A gene expression signature predicts survival of patients with stage I non-small cell lung cancer. PLoS Med 2006;3:e467.
- Abdul-Ghani M, Gougeon PY, Prosser DC, Da-Silva LF, Ngsee JK. PRA isoforms are targeted to distinct membrane compartments. J Biol Chem 2001;276:6225–33.
- 22. Kim JT, Cho MY, Choi SC, et al. Prenylated Rab acceptor 1 (PRA1) inhibits TCF/β-catenin signaling by binding to β-catenin. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2006;349:200–8.
- 23. Fo CS, Coleman CS, Wallick CJ, Vine AL, Bachmann AS. Genomic organization, expression profile, and characterization of the new protein PRA1 domain family, member 2 (PRAF2). Gene 2006;371:154–65.
- 24. Liu HP, Wu CC, Chang YS. PRA1 promotes the intracellular trafficking and NF-kB signaling of EBV latent membrane protein 1. EMBO J 2006; 25:4120–30.
- Thompson MP, Kurzrock R. Epstein-Barr virus and cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2004;10:803–21.
- 26. Kaelin WG. Proline hydroxylation and gene expression. Annu Rev Biochem 2005;74:115–28.

- **27.** Erez N, Milyavsky M, Eilam R, Shats I, Goldfinger N, Rotter V. Expression of prolyl-hydroxylase-1 (PHD1/EGLN2) suppresses hypoxia inducible factor-1α activation and inhibits tumor growth. Cancer Res 2003;63:8777–83.
- 28. Seth P, Krop I, Porter D, Polyak K. Novel estrogen and tamoxifen induced genes identified by SAGE (Serial Analysis of Gene Expression). Oncogene 2002;21:836–43.
- 29. Krasnoperov V, Lu Y, Buryanovsky L, Neubert TA, Ichtchenko K, Petrenko AG. Posttranslational proteolytic processing of the calcium-independent receptor of α-latrotoxin (CIRL), a natural chimera of the cell adhesion protein and the G protein-coupled receptor. Role of the G protein-coupled receptor proteolysis site (GPS) motif. J Biol Chem 2002;277: 46518-26.
- **30.** Bjarnadottir TK, Fredriksson R, Schioth HB. The adhesion GPCRs: a unique family of G protein-coupled receptors with important roles in both central and peripheral tissues. Cell Mol Life Sci 2007;64:2104–19.
- **31.** Kaur B, Brat DJ, Calkins CC, Van Meir EG. Brain angiogenesis inhibitor 1 is differentially expressed in normal brain and glioblastoma independently of p53 expression. Am J Pathol 2003;162:19–27.
- **32.** Sedgwick SG, Smerdon SJ. The ankyrin repeat: a diversity of interactions on a common structural framework. Trends Biochem Sci 1999; 24:311–6.
- **33.** Breeden L, Nasmyth K. Similarity between cell-cycle genes of budding yeast and fission yeast and the Notch gene of *Drosophila*. Nature 1987;329:651–4.
- **34.** Li J, Mahajan A, Tsai MD. Ankyrin repeat: a unique motif mediating protein-protein interactions. Biochemistry 2006;45:15168–78.
- **35.** Lin YC, Diccianni MB, Kim Y, et al. Human p16γ, a novel transcriptional variant of p16(INK4A), coexpresses with p16(INK4A) in cancer cells and inhibits cell-cycle progression. Oncogene 2007;26: 7017–27.