1	Running Head: ¹⁵ N in hydrolysable-N pools in arctic soils
2	Article Type: General Research
3	
4	Depleted ¹⁵ N in hydrolysable-N of arctic soils and its implication for mycorrhizal fungi-
5	plant interaction
6	
7	
8	Y. YANO ^{1, 2,} *, G.R. SHAVER ¹ , A.E. GIBLIN ¹ , E.B. RASTETTER ¹
9	
10	¹ The Ecosystems Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, 02543
11	USA;
12	² Current Address: Human and Health Services, Municipality of Anchorage, 825 L St.
13	Anchorage, Alaska, 99501 USA;
14	*Author for correspondence (e-mail: yuriko.yano@gmail.com; phone: +1-907-343-4843)
15	
16	
17	
18	Key Words
19	¹⁵ N; arctic tundra; mycorrhizal fungi; decomposition; hydrolysable amino acids; plant-fungal
20	interaction

1 Abstract

Uptake of nitrogen (N) via root-mycorrhizal associations accounts for a significant portion of 2 total N supply to many vascular plants. Using stable isotope ratios (δ^{15} N) and the mass balance 3 4 among N pools of plants, fungal tissues, and soils, a number of efforts have been made in recent years to quantify the flux of N from mycorrhizal fungi to host plants. Current estimates of this 5 flux for arctic tundra ecosystems rely on the untested assumption that the $\delta^{15}N$ of labile organic 6 N taken up by the fungi is approximately the same as the $\delta^{15}N$ of bulk soil. We report here 7 hydrolysable amino acids are more depleted in ¹⁵N relative to hydrolysable ammonium and 8 amino sugars in arctic tundra soils near Toolik Lake, Alaska, USA. We demonstrate, using a 9 case study, that recognizing the depletion in ¹⁵N for hydrolysable amino acids ($\delta^{15}N = -5.6$ % on 10 11 average) would alter recent estimates of N flux between mycorrhizal fungi and host plants in an 12 arctic tundra ecosystem. 13 14 15 **Key Words** 16 17 ¹⁵N; arctic tundra; decomposition; hydrolysable amino acids; mycorrhizal fungi; nitrogen 18 transfer; plant-fungal interaction 19 20 Abbreviations C, carbon; HAA, hydrolysable amino acids; HAS, hydrolysable amino sugars; HNH₄⁺, 21 hydrolizable ammonium; N, nitrogen; NH_4^+ , ammonium; NO_3^- , nitrate; TDN, total dissolved N 22

Yano et al. p. 3

1 Introduction

2 Traditional approaches to estimate available N to plants have relied on determining the amount and rate of production of inorganic N (NH_4^+ and NO_3^-) in soil. In recent years, however 3 4 increasing evidence suggests that some plant groups directly use organic N, such as amino acids, bypassing the mineralization process especially under strong N limitation (Schimel & Chapin 5 6 1996; Lipson & Monson 1998; McKane et al. 2002). A number of laboratory and field studies 7 indicate that mycorrhizal fungi are important in this direct uptake of organic N not only via 8 expansion of the absorptive surface area of roots but also via enzymatic breakdown of large organic-N polymers into monomers (Smith & Read 1997). Schimel & Bennett (2004) discussed 9 the potential roles of mycorrhizal fungi both as an agent of organic-N depolymerization (by 10 releasing extracellular enzymes) and as a direct conduit between organic-N polymers and plants 11 12 by immediately capturing resulting monomers. Some ericoid mycorrhizal and ectomycorrhizal fungi excrete extracellular enzymes that hydrolyze organic-N polymers (e.g., protein, chitin) and 13 directly take up the resulting monomers and oligomers, such as amino acids (Abuzinadah & 14 Read 1986a), amino sugars (Kerley & Read 1995), and oligopeptides (Hobbie & Wallander 15 2005) as N sources. Additional evidence from laboratory labeling experiments indicates that 16 amino acids taken up by mycorrhizal fungi are subsequently transferred to the host (Taylor et al. 17 18 2004).

To understand the contribution of organic N to the plant's N economy, quantifying the
proportion of plant N that comes via fungi is critical. Efforts to quantify N transfer between
fungi and plants have taken advantage of differences in stable-isotope ratios across plant, fungal,
and soil N. The stable isotope ratio (δ¹⁵N) of plant N varies consistently among species and
plant families in arctic, taiga, and temperate ecosystems. Generally, foliar N is strongly depleted

in ¹⁵N in ericoid and ectomycorrhizal plants ($\delta^{15}N = -8$ to -3 ‰) relative to bulk soil and to 1 inorganic N in pore water (δ^{15} N= -1 to +1 ‰), whereas foliar δ^{15} N of nonmycorrhizal plants (-2 2 to +3 ‰) is similar to that of bulk soil or inorganic N (Nadelhoffer et al. 1996; Michelsen et al. 3 1998; Hobbie et al. 2000; Hobbie & Hobbie 2006). More recently, it has been shown that 4 mycorrhizal fruiting bodies are significantly enriched in ¹⁵N relative to bulk soil and 5 nonmycorrhizal plants in these ecosystems (Taylor et al. 1997; Hobbie et al. 1999; Hobbie et al. 6 2000; Hobbie & Hobbie 2006; Zeller et al. 2007) and that mycelia are more depleted in ¹⁵N than 7 8 their fruiting bodies by as much as 10 ‰ (e.g., Clemmensen et al. 2006, Zeller et al. 2007). In theory, differences in natural abundance of ¹⁵N across available soil N, fungi, and plants 9 10 should allow identification of N sources for fungi and plants, if isotopic fractionation against ¹⁵N among N pools is taken into account. Hobbie et al. (2000) examined δ^{15} N variations of leaves of 11 non-N-fixing plants and extractable ammonium-N (NH₄⁺-N) across the gradient of forest 12 succession in Alaska, USA. Based on the relatively constant δ^{15} N signature of soil NH₄⁺-N at 13 approximately 0 ‰ and a mass balance of ¹⁵N between plants and inorganic N, they 14 hypothesized that the variation in δ^{15} N of foliar N was due to isotopic fractionation upon transfer 15 16 of N from mycorrhizal fungi to host plants. Hobbie & Hobbie (2006) estimated the proportion of plant N that comes from mycorrhizal fungi based on the δ^{15} N of bulk soil, exchangeable 17 18 inorganic N, fungi, plants, and estimated isotopic fractionation for transamination (at least 8 to 10 %; Macko et al. 1986; Werner & Schmidt 2002). These studies assume that $\delta^{15}N$ signatures 19 20 of labile organic N compounds in soil are relatively uniform and resemble those of bulk soil or 21 inorganic N.

²² Up until now, metabolic fractionation of N isotopes has been presumed to be the single most ²³ important process that causes the observed differences in δ^{15} N signatures across plant and fungal

1 species. Thus, isotopic fractionation across fungal and plant species (Emmerton et al. 2001a, b), 2 across different groups of compounds (e.g., proteins vs. chitins; Werner & Schmidt 2002) and 3 across different parts of mycorrhizal fungi (caps vs. stems; Taylor et al. 1997) has been 4 intensively studied. These studies relied heavily on laboratory experiments under which N 5 concentrations were unrealistically high relative to natural N-limited environment. In contrast, little attention has been paid to the variability in δ^{15} N across various forms of soil N, in spite of 6 the recent recognition of proteins and chitins as the sources of N for uptake by mycorrhizal fungi 7 8 and plants. In the present study, using a combination of acid hydrolysis and sequential diffusion methods we determined pool size and δ^{15} N of labile-N fractions: ammonium, amino sugars 9 10 (building block of chitins), and amino acids (building block of proteins). We report here a large range in δ^{15} N among these hydrolysable-N pools, bulk soil, and dissolved N in arctic tundra 11 soils. We also demonstrate, using hydrolysable amino acids and amino sugars as an index of 12 labile organic N, that using more accurate estimations of δ^{15} N of labile-N pools in soil can lead 13 14 to alternative interpretations of many results that have already been published. To do so, we 15 chose a recently published conceptual model for C and N flux through mycorrhizal symbiosis in 16 arctic tundra ecosystem as an example. We reanalyzed the model after dividing the model's single labile-N pool into three labile-N pools with different δ^{15} N values, and discussed 17 alternative implications. The purpose of this study is to point out differences in implications 18 based on different δ^{15} N values assumed for soil labile-N pools and to provide a revised model as 19 20 a working tool to help us fully understand N pathways in arctic tundra ecosystems. 21

1 Methods

Samples were collected from four sites on a moist, acidic tussock tundra on a west-facing hill
slope of the Imnaviat Creek watershed (2.2km²; 68°37'N, 149° 18' W), near Toolik Lake, on the
North Slope of the Brooks Range, Alaska, USA (Hinzman et al.1996, Walker & Walker 1996).
The four study sites were "watertrack" and "nonwatertrack" at midslope and footslope locations
of the hillside. The "watertracks" are areas of greater soil water flow with greater abundance of
deciduous shrubs and mosses (Chapin et al. 1988). Within each site, three sampling areas were
established (total = 12 sampling areas).

At each sampling area the upper layer of organic soil beneath the live-plant/moss layer 9 (thickness =15 cm) was collected by taking two random cores, one from a tussock mound and 10 the other from inter-tussock mounds within 2 m of each other (total = 24 cores, 12 cores each 11 12 from midslope and footslope locations). The soil samples were immediately weighed, homogenized after removal of live roots, and subsamples were stored frozen for hydrolysis. 13 Foliar samples of common plant species were collected from locations adjacent to the soil cores. 14 Because previous studies conducted near Toolik Lake indicate that δ^{15} N are similar in leaves 15 (Nadelhoffer et al. 1996) and whole plants (Hobbie & Hobbie 2006), we assumed that foliar δ^{15} N 16 in this study represented that of the whole plant. The plants collected were: deciduous shrubs 17 18 (Betula nana, Salix spp., ectomycorrhizal), evergreen shrubs (Vaccinium vitis-idaea, ericoid mycorrhizal), and sedges (Carex spp., Eriophrum vaginatum, nonmycorrhizal). Plant and 19 remaining soil samples were dried at 50 °C, and bulk N and δ^{15} N were determined. 20 In the laboratory, the frozen soil samples were thawed, ground immediately to a paste, and 21 22 hydrolyzed with 6N HCl for 12 hrs under reflux according to Mulvaney & Khan (2001). Hydrolysable ammonium (HNH_4^+) was diffused with MgO, hydrolysable amino sugars (HAS) 23

1	with NaOH, and hydrolysable amino acids (HAA) with NaOH following a sequential diffusion
2	for HNH_4^+ and HAS and conversion of HAA to NH_4^+ by ninhydrin reaction (Mulvaney & Khan
3	2001). For concentration, all the hydrolysates were diffused separately using 4% H ₃ BO ₃ , and the
4	mean concentrations were calculated for each site. For ¹⁵ N analysis, equal volume of
5	hydrolysates from tussock and inter-tussock were combined by sampling area prior to diffusion.
6	The hydrolysate mixture was diffused using an acidified glass fiber filter enclosed in Teflon tape,
7	and the mean concentrations were calculated for each site. The concentrations of diffused N
8	were determined by the indophenol blue method (Keeney & Nelson 1982). Average N
9	recoveries after diffusion (and ninhydrin reaction for amino acids) were: $NH_4^+ = 96.5 \pm 1.8$ (SE),
10	glucosamine = 100.2 ± 1.57 , and glycine = 101.3 ± 2.38 . Because of these high N recoveries,
11	isotopic fractionation associated with the HAA to NH_4^+ conversion was negligible.
12	Studies have shown that a significant portion of the proteinaceous N in soil may not be
13	hydrolyzed by hot 6N HCl because of a physical protection of N compounds by non-
14	hydrolysable soil components such as humic substances (Zang et al. 2000; Friedel & Scheller
15	2002). Some amino acids and amino sugars are known to decompose during acid hydrolysis,
16	while some amino bonds may not be broken. Thus, caution is necessary in interpreting the
17	results, because the incomplete hydrolysis and decomposition of amino acids or amino sugars
18	both may influence N-isotopic ratio of resulting hydrolysates. Taken these into account, it is still
19	reasonable to assume that hydrolysable amino acids determined in this study approximate the
20	fraction of proteinaceous N in soil that is susceptible to hydrolytic degradation by extracellular
21	enzymes.

The δ^{15} N of total dissolved N (TDN) in soil pore water was determined on samples collected using microlysimeters at 10 cm depth on the tussock tundra near the soil-core sampling

1	locations; these microlysimeters were installed two yrs prior to the water sampling. TDN in
2	these samples was converted to NO_3^- by alkaline persulfate oxidation (Cabrera & Beare 1993)
3	and diffused after NaCl amendment (Holmes et al. 1998) for ¹⁵ N analysis following conversion
4	of NO_3^- to NH_4^+ with Devarda's alloy (Sigman et al. 1997).
5	The δ^{15} N of soil ammonium (NH ₄ ⁺) was determined by the deployment of cation-exchange
6	resins (Giblin et al. 1994). To collect enough NH_4^+ , we deployed ten resin bags (five resin bags
7	at each of two soil sampling areas) for one month in the summer of 2003 at each study site (10
8	bags x 4 sites =40 bags). Each resin bag consisted of 8mL of cation-exchange resin (IONAC C-
9	267, IONAC Chemical Company, Birmingham, NJ, USA) in nylon stocking material. Prior to
10	analysis, the five resin bags were pooled by site and extracted with 2N KCl and diffused for 15 N
11	analysis as described in Hobbie & Hobbie (2006).
12	The analysis of ¹⁵ N was conducted at the Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole,
13	Massachusetts, USA, using a PDZ Europa 20-20 continuous-flow isotope ratio mass
14	spectrometer. Total N that is not detected in the hydrolysable labile N fractions (HNH ₄ ⁺ , HAS,
15	and HAA) is by definition non-labile N, which includes hydrolysable-unknown N and non-
16	hydrolysable N. Pool size and δ^{15} N signature of non-labile N was calculated by differences in
17	δ^{15} N and mass of known N pools.
18	Statisical analysis was performed using SYSTAT 11.0 (2004). We tested the effect of
19	tundra types on N pools size using analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by multiple
20	comparisons using a least significant difference (LSD) test.
21	

22 **Results and Discussion**

23 $\delta^{15}N$ of soil and plant N

1	Of the total hydrolysable labile N, hydrolysable amino acids (HAA) were the largest pool,
2	contributing on average $>14\%$ of total soil N and with a pool size $4-6$ times larger than
3	hydrolysable NH_4^+ (HNH $_4^+$) and amino sugars (HAS, Table 1).
4	(Table 1)
5	The δ^{15} N of the HAA pool (-3.9 to -8.7 ‰) was depleted in 15 N relative to other soil N pools
6	(Tables 1 and 2). This low δ^{15} N signature for the HAA pool (average -5.6 ‰) is within the range
7	of values previously predicted (-6 to -4 ‰) for the available N source for mycorrhizal plants
8	calculated by mass balance between fungal and plant-N pools of known size and $\delta^{15}N$ signatures
9	(Taylor et al. 1997).
10	The ¹⁵ N depleted HAA pool may indicate that relatively intact proteins from plants rather
11	than microbes are the major source of HAA-N. Studies found that soil microbial-N is generally
12	¹⁵ N enriched relative to likely sources and its host plants (if mycorrhizal). Across a wide range
13	of vegetation (grassland, shrub, forest), climate (semi-arid to sub-tropical), and soil types (sandy
14	to clay-loam), Dijkstra et al. (2006) found that chloroform-extractable fractions in the A-horizon
15	soils were enriched in 15 N relative to bulk soil and extractable-N by 3 to 4 $\%$. Mycorrhizal
16	fungi are also found to be enriched in 15 N relative to their host plants by as much as 5 to 10 ‰
17	(Michelsen et al. 1998; Hobbie et al. 1999) perhaps because of high ¹⁵ N-enrichment of fungal
18	amino acids and proteins (Taylor et al. 1997; Zeller et al. 2007). Given the general trend of ¹⁵ N-
19	enrichment in soil microbial biomass, mycorrhizal plant (Table 2) is likely the only source for
20	¹⁵ N-depleted proteins in the soil.
21	Because primary sources of amino sugars in soils are fungal (chitin) and bacterial

22 (peptidoglycan) cell walls (Kerley & Read 1997), the relative enrichment of the HAS pool

23 observed in this study would suggest ¹⁵N enrichment in microbial biomass. This idea is

1	consistent with the general ¹⁵ N enrichment in soil microbial biomass (Dijkstra et al. 2006), but is
2	inconsistent with the opposite pattern (i.e., ¹⁵ N was enriched in proteins and depleted in chitin)
3	found for ectomycorrhizal fungal fruiting bodies in boreal forests (Taylor et al. 1997). This
4	discrepancy may be explained by strong isotopic fractionation between chitins in mycelia and
5	fruiting bodies. For example, Clemmensen et al. (2006) found that ¹⁵ N of mycelia in arctic
6	tussock tundra soils was depleted by about 2-10 $\%$ relative to fruiting bodies. The ¹⁵ N-
7	enrichment of the HAS relative to HAA may also be a result of relatively fast turnover of the
8	HAS pool, resulting in greater ¹⁵ N enrichment of remaining HAS. One recent study found a
9	decline only in HAS on native grassland after > 80 yrs of cultivation, suggesting faster turnover
10	of the HAS pool relative to other N-compounds in the soil (Zhang et al. 1999). Fractionation
11	during amino sugar metabolism may also contribute to enrichment of ¹⁵ N of microbial HAS in
12	soil. Bacteria metabolize the amino sugar by first cleaving off the acetyl group, then
13	deaminating the sugar (Macko 1984). This two-step metabolic process might fractionate ^{15}N
14	further than the metabolic pathway for amino acids, which can directly enter metabolic pathway
15	or require one transamination step, contributing to ¹⁵ N enrichment of remaining HAS.
16	In this study, non-labile N pool explained >75 % of total N (Table 1) and was slightly 15 N
17	enriched relative to bulk N (by 1.3 ‰, on average, Table 1). This is consistent with findings of
18	previous studies: Knicker (2004) observed the formation of recalcitrant soil organic N through
19	microbial reworking of organic matter (i.e., humification), and Kramer et al. (2003) found that
20	humification is associated with ¹⁵ N enrichment of soil N.
21	The δ^{15} N range for the HAA pool relative to bulk soil observed in this study was lower than
22	previously reported for grassland and arable soils (1.9-5.9 ‰; Ostle et al. 1999, 6.5 – 8.1 ‰; Bol

et al. 2008). Our lower range may be specific to arctic tundra ecosystems, where decomposition

1 is extremely slow because of the ambient cold and wet conditions (Chapin et al. 1995; Shaver et 2 al. 2000). In an in situ incubation experiment of marsh plant materials, Fogel & Truoss (1999) 3 found that $\delta^{15}N$ of degraded plant material was altered in most amino acids by up to -15 ‰ and 4 that degree and direction of the changes were influenced by plant material types and 5 environmental conditions (e.g., oxic status, temperature). Thus, ¹⁵N enrichment of HAA may 6 vary greatly across a wide range of ecosystems.

The δ^{15} N of non-mycorrhizal *Carex* and *E. vaginatum* fell within the range of δ^{15} N for NH₄⁺ 7 and NO_3^{-1} in pore water (Table 2). We attribute this to high reliance of these plants on uptake of 8 inorganic N, assuming that fractionation against ¹⁵N was negligible upon N uptake. Although a 9 laboratory study showed discrimination against ¹⁵N when inorganic N was taken up by some 10 non-mycorrhizal plants (Emmerton et al. 2001a), this could have been caused by the high N 11 concentration $(2 - 4 \text{ mmol } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_4^+)$ and by the closed-system incubation in which the 12 13 availability of N in the culture media changes over time as it is taken up by mycorrhizal fungi and plants (Emmerton et al. 2001a). In the field under strong N limitation such as at our study 14 15 site (average total inorganic N in pore water on the tussock tundra collected by lysimeters at the depths of 10 and 20 cm were 1.3 µmol L⁻¹, Yano et al. unpublished data) fractionation on uptake 16 would be negligible, unless N-transport mechanisms across cell membranes are significantly 17 different between microbes and plants, because most or all of the available pool is taken up 18 19 (Hobbie & Hobbie 2006).

20

(Table 2)

Fractionation against ¹⁵N upon uptake of amino acids and amino sugars into hyphae is also likely to be negligible because of their larger mass compared with inorganic N (Hobbie & Hobbie 2006). The laboratory study by Emmerton et al. (2001b) supported this idea, showing

little change in δ^{15} N between N source and fungal hyphae when amino acids were the only N 1 source. Additionally, extremely low concentrations of water-extractable amino acids in our 2 samples (0.21 μ mol g⁻¹ soil, Yano et al., unpublished data) in contrast with HAA (200 μ mol g⁻¹ 3 4 soil) also suggests that amino acids do not exist in pore water in excess, but production and 5 uptake are fairly well balanced so that concentrations are maintained at low levels (also, free amino acids dissolved in pore water would be a much smaller fraction than the water-extractable 6 7 fraction, which includes amino acids that were adsorbed on surface of soil particles). The concentrations of water-extractable amino acids observed in this study site were comparable to 8 the concentrations of amino acids extracted with 0.5 M K₂SO₄ for a taiga ecosystem in central 9 Alaska (0.20 – 1.72 µmol g⁻¹ soil) reported by Kielland et al. (2006). Thus δ^{15} N in hyphae or 10 plants in this N-limited natural tundra ecosystem should be determined mostly by: 1) δ^{15} N of the 11 12 source(s) such as amino acids released during hydrolysis of proteinaceous N by extracellular 13 enzymes, 2) metabolic fractionation within hyphae, and 3) the proportion of N absorbed by fungi 14 that is transported to host plant.

Among the plant species collected, V. vitis-idaea was most depleted in ${}^{15}N$ (mean $\delta^{15}N = -6.0$ 15 ‰), and its ¹⁵N level fell within the range of δ^{15} N of the HAA pool. This species is not only 16 capable of using organic-N (amino acids) as a N source on its own when grown aseptically 17 18 without mycorrhizal fungi in the laboratory (Emmerton et al. 2001a), but under natural conditions it is also associated with ericoid fungi known as "protein fungi" for their high 19 capacity to use proteins as a nitrogen source (Read & Perez-Moreno 2003) as well as chitin 20 (Kerley & Read, 1995). Some ectomycorrhizal fungi can also use proteins (Abuzinadah & Read 21 1986a, b). Because plant production in the studied watershed is strongly N-limited despite a 22 large accumulation of organic N in the soil (Shaver et al. 2001), enzymatic decomposition of 23

1	proteins and chitin followed by uptake of resulting monomers by fungi can be one of the major
2	pathways for N acquisition by ericoid and ectomycorrhizal plants. Thus, the strong ¹⁵ N-
3	depletion of ericoid (V. vitis-idaea), and ectomycorrhizal plants (B. nana and Salix sp.) relative
4	to non-mycorrhizal plants (Carex and E. vaginatum.) can be explained not only by fractionation
5	within hyphae during the synthesis of transfer compounds as suggested earlier (Macko et al.
6	1986; Hobbie et al. 2000; Hobbie & Hobbie 2006), but also by extensive exploitation of 15 N-
7	depleted protein-N (i.e., HAA) by mycorrhizal fungi-plant associations or direct uptake of amino
8	acids by those plants. The higher usage of amino compounds over inorganic N (Emmerton et
9	al. 2001a; McKane et al. 2002) of ericoid mycorrhizal plants relative to ectomycorrhizal plants
10	is also consistent with the lower foliar δ^{15} N for the former plants.

11 Pathways of N in arctic tundra ecosystems

We have revised the conceptual model of Hobbie & Hobbie (2006) (Hobbie-Hobbie model, hereafter) to reflect the different uptake pathways of N from soil to mycorrhizal fungi and plants (Figure 1-a). In the Hobbie-Hobbie model, δ^{15} N of all available N, inorganic or organic, is considered to be at around 0 ±2 ‰, a range commonly observed in inorganic N and bulk N of upper organic soils of temperate forests (Hobbie et al. 1999) and boreal and arctic ecosystems (Michelsen et al. 1998; Hobbie & Hobbie 2006). In contrast, in our revised model (Figure 1-b), δ^{15} N differs for various soil-N compartments.

19

(Figure 1)

In both models, plant N derives both from direct uptake of available inorganic N (N_{inorg} , NH_4^+ and NO_3^-) as well as transfer of N to plants through mycorrhizal fungi. This assumption is reasonable, because rates of mycorrhizal fungal colonization reported for a nearby arctic tundra ecosystem are ~40 % of for ericoid mycorrhizal fungi (Urcelay et al. 2003) and up to 60 % for ectomycorrhizal fungi (Clemmensen & Michaelsen 2006) and because colonization rates of
 ectomycorrhizal fungi fluctuate widely across seasons (Clemmensen & Michaelsen 2006). The
 main difference between the two models is in the pathways between soil and mycorrhizal fungi.
 In the revised model, δ¹⁵N of fungal N is determined largely by the proportion of N entering
 fungi from the HAA pool (Figure 1-b).

6 Amino acids (and amino sugars) entering hyphae may be transferred directly to plants or may go through deamination and transamination followed by biosynthesis of new compounds (e.g. 7 8 glutamine), most of which will be subsequently transferred to plants. Laboratory experiments suggest that fractionation against ¹⁵N during transamination and deamination processes is at least 9 10 8 to 10 ‰ (Macko et al. 1986; Werner & Schmidt 2002). There is no comprehensive 11 understanding among ecologists on how much of the amino acids (and amino sugars) that enter hyphae go through these transformation processes, and on the proportion of these newly 12 13 synthesized compounds that are transferred to plants. Taylor et al. (1997), however, suggested 14 that most of the N taken up by plants of northern Sweden boreal forests must have passed through fungi, judging from the fact that most fine root tips (98%) were mycorrhizal. In 15 contrast, Clemmensen et al. (2008) observed in their isotope tracer experiment in the field that 16 87-99 % of added ¹⁵N was immediately incorporated into microbial biomass and that half of the 17 biomass turned over to form soil N during a 26-day period, whereas accumulation of ¹⁵N in 18 ectomycorrhizal plant was slow (5-14 % of added ¹⁵N) during the same period. Based on this 19 20 and a poor relationship between ¹⁵N uptake by mycelia and host plants (*Betula nana*), they 21 concluded that N transfer between fungi and host plants would be determined by the strength of 22 N sinks (plant vs. fungi).

(2)

1 We demonstrate below the significant effect that separating the HAA pool from bulk N might 2 have on the estimation of plant N that comes through fungi. The calculations based on the 3 Hobbie-Hobbie model and detailed methods for the calculations are described in Hobbie & Hobbie (2006). For simplicity, we assumed that fractionation against ¹⁵N was similar during 4 transamination regardless of the form of N entering hyphae, and we omitted the pathway from 5 HAS in our demonstration below. Because the δ^{15} N of HAS (average 2.3 ‰) is similar to that of 6 inorganic N (Table 1), inclusion of the pathway from the HAS pool would not have as strong an 7 effect as the pathway from HAA, unless metabolic fractionation against ¹⁵N is significantly 8 different between pathways from HAS versus the inorganic N pathways. 9 10 For direct comparison, we kept all parameters used in Hobbie-Hobbie model (Figure 1-a). These are: $\delta^{15}N$ of plant N (N_{pl}, -5 ‰); fungal N ($\delta^{15}N_{fun}$, +7 ‰); a range of $\delta^{15}N$ for 11 exchangeable inorganic N (N_{inorg}, +1 to +2 %); fractionation during transamination (Δ , +8 to +10 12 ‰). Available N (N_{av}) in the Hobbie-Hobbie model is separated into inorganic N (N_{inorg}) and 13 hydrolysable amino acids (N_{aa}) in the revised version with $\delta^{15}N$ signatures for N_{inorg} ($\delta^{15}N_{inorg}$) 14 ranging from +1 to +2 % and for N_{aa} (δ^{15} N_{aa}) from --3 to -9 %. Because the N entering hyphae 15 (N_{mix}) is a mixture of fractions coming from available inorganic N (f_{inorg}) and the rest (1- f_{inorg}) 16 17 coming from amino acids, an isotope ratio of N_{mix} (R_{mix}) is expressed as: $R_{\text{mix}} = R_{\text{inorg}} * f_{\text{inorg}} + R_{aa} * (1 - f_{\text{inorg}})$ (1) 18

19
$$\delta^{15} N_{mix} = (R_{mix}/R_{std} - 1) * 1000$$

where R_{std} is the isotope ratio of the standard (atmospheric N₂) and R_{inorg} is the isotopic ratio of N_{inorg}. Limited information is available for the range of f_{inorg} , i.e., it is not clear how much N that enters hyphae is coming from N_{inorg} relative to N_{aa}. Recent studies suggest that mycorrhizal fungi prefer NH₄⁺ and/or amino acids over NO₃⁻, but the degree of preference appear to vary

1 across fungal types and environmental conditions (e.g., Emmerton at al. 2001b, Clemmensen et 2 al. 2008). Because of strong N limitation in the study area and uncertainty in fungal preference of N forms, we assumed for simplicity that f_{inorg} : $(1-f_{\text{inorg}})$ ratio is approximated by the ratio of 3 4 extractable inorganic N to extractable amino acids in the soil. For the soils studied, overall mean of f_{inorg} : (1- f_{inorg}) was 0.18:0.82 (inorganic N= 0.05 µmol g⁻¹ soil, amino acids = 0.23 µmol g⁻¹ 5 soil, data not shown), and the means by tundra type ranged from 0.09:0.91 to 0.29:0.71. For a 6 7 watershed near our study site, the ratio of net N-mineralization to plant-uptake requirement that 8 could not be accounted for by the net N-mineralization (i.e., N presumably derived from organic 9 N) was approximately 1:2 for all tundra sites except those that were P-limited or had very 10 shallow soil (Shaver et al. 1991). Thus, a most likely range for f_{inorg} would be 0.1 to 0.3. In the following example we used the range 0.1 to 0.5 for f_{inorg} to include cases of extremely high 11 12 availability of inorganic N, although unlikely, to mycorrhizal plants.

Mass balance of ¹⁵N between soil N and fungal hyphae and between fungal hyphae and plants 13 14 was calculated using the following equations:

15
$$100^* f_{\text{inorg}} * \delta^{15} N_{\text{inorg}} + 100^* (1 - f_{\text{inorg}}) * \delta^{15} N_{aa} = \delta^{15} N_{\text{fun}} * (100 - T) + \delta^{15} N_{\text{tr}} * T$$
 (3)

16
$$\delta^{15} N_{pl} * 100 = \delta^{15} N_{tr} * F_f + \delta^{15} N_{inorg} * (100 - F_f)$$
 (4)
17 $\Delta = \delta^{15} N_{mix} - \delta^{15} N_{tr}$, (5)

. .

where $(100*f_{inorg})$ is the percentage of N entering hyphae that comes from N_{inorg} and (100*(1-18 19 f_{inorg}) is that coming from N_{aa}, T is the percentage of N entering hyphae that is transferred to the plants, $F_{\rm f}$ is the percentage of N entering plants that is coming from fungi, $\delta^{15}N_{\rm tr}$ is the isotope 20 ratio of transfer compounds synthesized within hyphae, and $\delta^{15}N_{pl}$ is the isotope ratio of plant N. 21 In equation (3), total N entering hyphae is shown both as the sum of N coming from N_{inorg} and 22 N_{aa} and as the sum of N transferred to plants and that remains in hyphae. Similarly, in equation 23

1 (4) total plant N is expressed as the sum of N coming from fungi and from N_{inorg} pool.

Fractionation against ¹⁵N (Δ) during biosynthesis of transfer compounds is shown in equation (5)
as the difference between δ¹⁵N signatures of substances and products synthesized.

4 We found several significant differences in the parameters estimated using our model relative 5 to those estimated using the Hobbie-Hobbie model (Table 3). Our revised model suggests that, in the ecosystem studied, approximately 30-60 % of plant N comes from fungi for a wide range 6 of inorganic N availability relative to organic N ($f_{inorg} = 0.1 - 0.5$). A fraction of N taken up by 7 8 fungi that is transferred to plants (T) varied somewhat depending on f_{inorg} assumed, but it ranged 9 approximately 40 - 65% in this system. When compared with the Hobbie-Hobbie model, 10 estimated range for T was somewhat higher in the revised model, whereas the percentage of plant N coming from fungi (F_f) estimated by the revised model was 30 – 50 % lower (Table 3). Our 11 12 model estimates (Table 3) are consistent with the hypothesis by Hobbie et al. (2000) that mycorrhizal fungi pass an increasingly larger fraction of the N they absorb to plants as 13 availability of inorganic N decreases. 14

15

(Table 3)

16 Because the Hobbie-Hobbie model relies solely on metabolic fractionation in hyphae for the low δ^{15} N of mycorrhizal plant ($\delta^{15}N_{pl}$ = -5 ‰), a larger percentage of the plant N must come via 17 fungi in their model. In contrast, in our revised model mycorrhizal plants maintain their low 18 δ^{15} N with less reliance on fungal N-transfer because of the low δ^{15} N of soil proteins (-6 ‰). 19 The models presented here use some assumptions that are critical to parameter estimates. 20 For example, the model assumes specific amino acids, whose δ^{15} N is similar to that of bulk 21 HAA, for N transfer between fungi and host plants. This needs to be examined because δ^{15} N of 22 individual HAA in soil and decomposing plants can vary (e.g., Ostle et al. 1999, Fogel & Truoss 23

1999). Furthermore, if a direct transport of NH_4^+ from fungi to host plant that bypasses 1 transamination process (Selle et al. 2005, Chalot et al. 2006) were significant at our study site, 2 the current estimate of δ^{15} N values for transfer compounds (N_{tr}) would be altered. Fungal and 3 plant δ^{15} N values used here are those of fruiting bodies and leaves. However, mycelia are most 4 likely the majority of fungal biomass and are significantly depleted in ¹⁵N relative to their 5 fruiting bodies (e.g., Clemmensen et al. 2006, Zeller et al. 2007). While foliar δ^{15} N was similar 6 to that of whole plants near our study watershed, a comprehensive understanding of tissue-level 7 variation in δ^{15} N for host plants does not exist. Thus, in addition to various N sources in soil, a 8 9 better understanding of metabolic pathways, isotopic fractionation during metabolic processes, and isotopic composition of fungal and plant N are important for better understanding of N 10 11 transfer within fungi-plant symbiosis.

12 Implications

We found that the HAA pool was an important component of soil N and that the pool is 13 depleted in ¹⁵N relative to other soil N pools in the arctic tundra soils studied. Slow 14 decomposition of plant materials that are depleted in ¹⁵N (i.e., ericoid and ectomycorrhizal 15 plants) is the likely reason for the large pool size and ¹⁵N depletion of this pool. Because these 16 mycorrhizal plants are the only species in the tundra currently known to be significantly ¹⁵N-17 depleted (Nadelhoffer et al. 1996; Michelsen et al. 1998), ecosystems without these mycorrhizal 18 fungal-plant associations may not produce a soil-N pool that is ¹⁵N-depleted. Thus, we 19 hypothesize that the HAA pool is relatively large and its ¹⁵N depleted in ecosystems where the 20 21 ericoid- or ectomycorrhizal plant is a significant component of the plant community and decomposition is slow (e.g., arctic ecosystems). In contrast, the HAA pool may be smaller and 22 relatively rich in ¹⁵N in ecosystems where decomposition is fast (e.g., temperate ecosystems). In 23

systems where there are few ericoid or ectomycorrhizal plants (e.g., grasslands), the pool size of
HAA may be large but relatively rich in ¹⁵N. This idea is partially supported by findings by
Ostle et al. (1999) who found that acid-hydrolysable amino acids in grassland that had not been
fertilized accounted for 27 % of total N, whereas δ¹⁵N of this pool was similar to that of bulk soil
N (+1.9 ‰).

We revised a recent conceptual model linking soil N, fungal N, and plant N, by separating soil
N into hydrolysable amino acids, amino sugars, and extractable inorganic N. The revised model
allows us to evaluate current understanding of linkages among soil N, fungi, and plant and helps
to identify critical elements necessary for better understanding of N cycling via fungal-plant
associates.

11

12 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Erik Hobbie and John Hobbie for their insightful comments on the
manuscript; Marshall Otter for isotope analyses; Christie Haupert, Jim Laundre, and Carrie
McCalley for their field and laboratory assistance. We acknowledge Northland College for the
use of facility. This study was funded by NSF-DEB-0423385and NSF-DEB 0444592.
Additional support was provided by Arctic Long Term Ecological Research program, funded by
National Science Foundation, Division of Environmental Biology. The final publication is
available at www.springerlink.com.

1	Literature Cited
2	Abuzinadah RA, Read DJ (1986a) The role of proteins in the nitrogen nutrition of
3	ectomycorrhizal plants – I. Utilization of peptides and proteins by ectomycorrhizal fungi.
4	New Phytol 103:481-493.
5	Abuzinadah RA, Read DJ (1986b) The role of proteins in the nitrogen nutrition of
6	ectomycorrhizal plants – III. Protein utilization by Betula, Picea and Pinus in mycorrhizal
7	association with Hebeloma crustuliniforme. New Phytol 103:507-514.
8	Bol R, Ostle NJ, Petzke KJ, Chenu CC & Balesdent J (2008) Amino acid ¹⁵ N in long-term bare
9	fallow soils: influence of annual N fertilizer and manure applications. Euro J Soil Sci 59:
10	617–629.
11	Cabrera ML, Beare MH (1993) Alkaline persulfate oxidation for determining total nitrogen in
12	microbial biomass extracts. Soil Sci Soc Am J 57:1007-1012.
13	Chalot M, Blaudez D & Brun A (2006) Ammonia: a candidate for nitrogen transfer at the
14	mycorrhizal interface. Trends Plant Sci 11: 263-266.
15	Chapin FS III, Fetcher N, Kielland K et al (1988) Productivity and nutrient cycling of Alaskan
16	tundra: enhancement by flowing soil water. Ecology 69:693-702.
17	Chapin FS III, Shaver GR, Giblin AE et al (1995) Response of arctic tundra to experimental and
18	observed changes in climate. Ecology 76:694-711.
19	Clemmensen KE, Michelsen A, Jonasson S et al (2006) Increased ectomycorrhizal fungal
20	abundance after long-term fertilization and warming of two arctic tundra ecosystems. New
21	Phytol 171:391-404.
22	Clemmensen KE, Sorensen PL, Michelsen A et al (2008) Site-dependent N uptake from N-form
23	mixtures by arctic plants, soil microbes and ectomycorrhizal fungi. Oecologia 155:771-

2	Dijkstra P, Ishizu A, Doucett R et al (2006) ¹³ C and ¹⁵ N natural abundance of the soil microbial
3	biomass. Soil Biol Biochem 38:3257-3266.
4	Emmerton KS, Callaghan TV, Jones HE et al (2001a) Assimilation and isotopic fractionation of
5	nitrogen by mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal subarctic plants. New Phytol 151:513-524.
6	Emmerton KS, Callaghan TV, Jones HE et al (2001b) Assimilation and isotopic fractionation of
7	nitrogen by mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytol 151:503-511.
8	Friedel JK, Scheller E (2002) Composition of hydrolysable amino acids in soil organic matter
9	and soil microbial biomass. Soil Biol Biochem 34:315-325.
10	Fogel ML and Tuross N (1999) Transformation of plant biochemicals to geological
11	macromolecules during early diagenesis. Oecologia 120: 336-346
12	Giblin AE, Laundre JA, Nadelhoffer KJ et al (1994) Measuring nutrient availability in arctic
13	soils using ion exchange resins: a field test. Soil Sci Soc Am J 58:1154-1162.
14	Hinzman LD, Kane DL, Benson CS et al (1996) Energy balance and hydrological processes in
15	an arctic watershed. In: Reynolds JF, Tenhunen JD (eds) Landscape function and
16	disturbance in arctic tundra, Ecological Studies 120. Springer, Berlin.
17	Hobbie EA, Macko SA, Shugart, HH (1999) Insights into nitrogen and carbon dynamics of
18	ectomycorrhizal and saprotrophic fungi from isotopic evidence. Oecologia 118:353-360.
19	Hobbie EA, Macko SA, Williams M (2000) Correlations between foliar δ^{15} N and nitrogen
20	concentrations may indicate plant-mycorrhizal interactions. Oecologia 122:273-283.
21	Hobbie JE, Hobbie EA (2006) ¹⁵ N in symbiotic fungi and plants estimates nitrogen and carbon
22	flux rates in arctic tundra. Ecology 87:816-822.
23	Hobbie EA, Wallander H (2005) Integrating ectomycorrhizal fungi into quantitative frameworks

1

783.

1	of forest carbon and nitrogen cycling. In: Gadd G (ed) Fungi in Biogeochemical Cycles.
2	Cambridge University Press, New York.
3	Holmes RM, McClelland JW, Sigman DM et al (1998) Measuring 15 N- NH ₄ ⁺ in marine,
4	estuarine and fresh waters: An adaptation of the ammonia diffusion method for samples
5	with low ammonium concentrations. Mar Chem 60:235-243.
6	Keeney DR, Nelson DW (1982) Nitrogen in organic forms. In: Page AL et al (eds) Methods of
7	soil analysis, Part 2. Agronomy No. 9, Am Soc Ag, Madison.
8	Kerley SJ, Read DJ (1997) The biology of mycorrhiza in the ericaceae. XIX. Fungal mycelium
9	as a nitrogen source for the ericoid mycorrhizal fungus Hymenoscyphus ericae and its host
10	plants. New Phytol 136:691-701.
11	Kerley SJ, Read DJ (1995) The biology of mycorrhiza in the ericaceae. XVIII. Chitin
12	degradation by Hymenoscyphus ericae and transfer of chitin-nitrogen to the host plant.
13	New Phytol 131:369-375.
14	Kielland K, McFarland J, Olson K (2006) Amino acid uptake in deciduous and coniferous taiga
15	ecosystems. Plant Soil 288:297-307.
16	Knicker H (2004) Stabilization of N-compounds in soil and organic-matter-rich sediments - what
17	is the difference? Mar Chem 92:167-195.
18	Kramer MG, Sollins P, Sletten RS et al (2003) N isotope fractionation and measures of organic
19	matter alteration during decomposition. Ecology 84:2021-2025.
20	Lipson DA, Monson RK (1998) Plant-microbe competition for soil amino acids in the alpine
21	tundra: effects of freeze-thaw and dry-rewet events. Oecologia 113:406-414.
22	Macko SA & Estep MLF (1984) Microbial alteration of stable nitrogen and carbon isotopic
23	composition of organic matter. Organic Geochemistry 6: 787-790

1	Macko SA, Fogel Estep KL, Engel MH et al (1986) Kinetic fractionation of stable nitrogen
2	isotopes during amino acid transamination. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 50:2143-2146.
3	McKane RB, Johnson LC, Shaver GR et al (2002) Resource-based niches provide a basis for
4	plant species diversity and dominance in arctic tundra. Nature 415:68-71.
5	Michelsen A, Quarmby C, Sleep S et al (1998) Vascular plant ¹⁵ N natural abundance in heath
6	and forest tundra ecosystems is closely correlated with presence and type of mycorrhizal
7	fungi in roots. Oecologia 115:406-418.
8	Mulvaney RL, Khan SA (2001) Diffusion methods to determine different forms of nitrogen in
9	soil hydrolysates. Soil Sci Soc Am J 65:1284-1292.
10	Nadelhoffer K, Shaver G, Fry B et al (1996) ¹⁵ N natural abundances and N use by tundra plants.
11	Oecologia 107:386-394.
12	Ostle NJ, Bol R, Petzke KJ et al (1999) Compound specific $\delta^{15}N^{\circ}\%$ values: amino acids in
13	grassland and arable soils. Soil Biol Biochem 31:1751-1755.
14	Read DJ, Perez-Moreno J (2003) Mycorrhizas and nutrient cycling in ecosystems - a journey
15	towards relevance? New Phytol 157:475-492.
16	Schimel JP, Bennett J (2004) Nitrogen mineralization: challenges of a changing paradigm.
17	Ecology 85:591-602.
18	Schimel JP, Chapin FS III (1996) Tundra plant uptake of amino acid and NH_4^+ nitrogen in situ:
19	plants compete well for amino acid N. Ecology 77:2142-2147.
20	Selle A, Willmann M, Grunze N, Geßler A, Weiß M & Nehls U (2005) The high-affinity poplar
21	ammonium importer PttAMT1.2 and its role in ectomycorrhizal symbiosis. New Phytol
22	168:697–706

23 Shaver GR, Candell J, Chapin FS III et al (2000) Global warming and terrestrial ecosystmes: a

1	conceptual framework for analysis. BioSci 50:871-882.
2	Shaver GR, Nadelhoffer KJ, Giblin AE (1991) Biogeochemical diversity and element transport
3	in a heterogeneous landscape, the North Slope of Alaska. In:Turner MG, Gardner RH (eds)
4	Quantitative Methods in Landscape Ecology. Springer, New York.
5	Shaver GR, Bret-Harte SM, Jones MH et al (2001) Species composition interacts with fertilizer
6	to control long-term change in tundra productivity. Ecology 82:3163-3181.
7	Sigman DM, Altabet MA, Michener R et al (1997) Natural abundance-level measurement of the
8	nitrogen isotopic composition of oceanic nitrate: an adaptation of the ammonia diffusion
9	method. Mar Chem 57:277-242.
10	Smith S, Read D (1997) Mycorrhizal symbiosis. 2nd edn. Academic Press, San Diego.
11	Taylor AFS, Gebauer G, Read DJ (2004) Uptake of nitrogen and carbon from double-labelled
12	(¹⁵ N and ¹³ C) glycine by mycorrhizal pine seedlings. New Phytol 164:383-388.
13	Taylor AFS, Högbom L, Högberg M et al (1997) Natural ¹⁵ N abundance in fruit bodies of
14	ectomycorrhizal fungi from boreal forests. New Phytol 136:713-720.
15	Urcelay C, Bret-Harte MS, Daz S et al (2003) Mycorrhizal colonization mediated by species
16	interactions in arctic tundra. Oecologia 137:399-404.
17	Walker DA, Walker MD (1996) Terrain and vegetation of the Imnavait Creek watershed. In:
18	Reynolds JF, Tenhunen JD (eds) Landscape function and disturbance in arctic tundra,
19	Ecological Studies 120. Springer, Berlin
20	Werner RA, Schmidt HL (2002) The in vivo nitrogen isotope discrimination among organic
21	plant compounds. Phytochem 61:465-484.
22	Zang X, van Heemst JDH, Dria KJ et al (2000) Encapsulation of protein in humic acid from a
23	histosol as an explanation for the occurrence of organic nitrogen in soil and sediment. Org

- 1 Geochem 31:679-695.
- 2 Zeller B, Brechet C, Maurice JP et al (2007) ¹³C and ¹⁵N isotopic fractionation in trees, soils and
- 3 fungi in a natural forest stand and a Norway spruce plantation. Annal For Sci 64:419-429.

1 Figure Legend

2

Figure 1. Conceptual models of fluxes of ¹⁵N across soil, mycorrhizal fungi, and plants by 3 4 Hobbie-Hobbie model (a) and by revised Hobbie-Hobbie model in this study (b). Hydrolysable amino acid N and amino sugar N that are available for uptake are shown as N_{aa} and 5 N_{as}, respectively; N_{inorg} is exchangeable NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻; f_{inorg} is a fraction of N absorbed by 6 7 hyphae that is coming from N_{inorg} ; T is the percentage of N absorbed by hyphae that is transferred to plant; 100-T is the percentage of N absorbed by hyphae that remains in fungal biomass; $F_{\rm f}$ is 8 the percentage of N entered plant that is coming from $N_{\text{inorg}}; \varDelta$ is a fractionation factor against 9 15 N during the formation of transfer compounds (N_{tr}). 10 11

1 **Table 1.** Mean pool size (molar% of total soil N) and natural δ^{15} N levels of various soil N pools on tussock tundra with and without

	N pool [†] size \pm SE(%)				δ^{15} N of various N pools in soil ± SE (‰)				
Tundra type*	$\mathrm{HNH_4}^+$	HAS	HAA	Non-LN	$\mathrm{HNH_4}^+$	HAS	HAA	Non-LN	Bulk N
Midslope_NWT	5.1 ± 2.7	2.2 ± 1.2^{ab}	17.0 ± 4.7^{ab}	$75.7\pm10~^{ab}$	-1.2 ± 0.5	6.6 ± 5.3	-3.9 ± 1.0	-1.0 ± 2.5	-0.81 ± 1.1
Midslope_WT	3.5 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.9^{ab}	14.3 ± 1.4^{ab}	79.4 ± 6.1^{ab}	1.0 ± 0.7	0.9 ± 0.0	-4.4 ± 0.2	2.2 ± 0.2	0.71 ± 0.7
Footslope_NWT	1.7 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.2 $^{\rm b}$	8.7 ± 1.9 ^b	88.1 ± 4.9 ^b	-0.2 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.1	-8.7 ± 1.0	2.2 ± 0.1	0.62 ± 0.6
Footslope_WT	3.4 ± 0.6	$2.8\pm0.1~^{a}$	17.5 ± 1.8 ^a	76.3 ± 1.4^{ab}	0.6 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.0	-5.6 ± 2.8	3.2 ± 0.8	0.94 ± 0.3

2 watertrack at Imnavait Creek watershed, Alaska, USA.

3

4 Data for pool size are means of 2 samples, each was composite of 4 soil cores. Data for δ^{15} N are means of 3 soil samples. Superscript

5 letters indicate significant difference (p < 0.05) across tundra types detected by ANOVA, followed by multiple comparisons using a

6 LSD test.

7 *Tundra type: Crest= heath tundra at crest, Midslope and Footslope = tussock tundra, Riparian= wet-sedge tundra at valley bottom,

8 NWT=non-water track, WT= water track. Water track had high density of deciduous shrub species (*B. nana* and *Salix*).

9 [†] N pools: HNH_4^+ =hydrolysable NH_4^+ , HAS= hydrolysable amino sugars, HAA= hydrolysable amino acids, non-LN= non-labile N

10 that include hydrolysable-unknown N and non-hydrolysable N.

- Table 2. Mean natural δ¹⁵N of plant, soil water, and resin-exchangeable inorganic N on
 tussock tundra at Imnavait Creek watershed, Alaska, USA.
- 3

Source			$s^{15}N + SE(0/)$
Source			$0 N \pm SE(\%)$
Plants	Ericoid mycorrhizal	Vaccinium vitis-idaea,	-6.0 ± 0.32
	Ectomycorrhizal	Betula nana	-4.9 ± 0.47
		Salix spp.	-2.4 ± 0.27
	Arbuscular mycorrhizal	Rubus chamaemorus	1.9 ± 0.21
	Non-mycorrhizal	Carex spp.	1.6
		Eriophrum vaginatum	1.2 ± 1.09
Soil pore water*		TDN	3.0 ± 0.40
		$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	4.4 ± 0.90
		NO ₃ -	1.0^{\dagger}

4

5 Plant δ^{15} N values are means of 6 - 8 samples, except for *Carex*, which was n=1. *TDN = 6 total dissolved N in soil pore water collected by lysimeter at 10 cm (n=8), NH₄⁺ = resin 7 bags (n=4), NO₃⁻ = resin bags deployed in a moist acidic tussock tundra near Imnavait 8 Creek watershed (source: Hobbie & Hobbie, 2006). [†] Sample size n=1. 1 Table 3. Summary of parameter ranges estimated by conventional and revised N

	Parameters*		Source	
Models	$f_{ m inorg}$	T (%)	$F_{\rm f}$ (%)	-
Hobbie-Hobbie	NA	33 - 43	61 - 86	Hobbie & Hobbie (2006)
Revised	0.1	38 - 47	31 - 55	This study
	0.3	46 - 62	35 - 61	
	0.5	49 - 65	32 - 56	

2 pathway models for arctic tundra ecosystems.

3

4 Estimates by Hobbie-Hobbie and revised models. Both models use the following $\delta^{15}N$

5 values: plant N (N_{pl}), -5 ‰; fungal N (δ^{15} N_{fun}), +7 ‰; and fractionation during

6 transamination (Δ) +8 to +10 ‰. In the Hobbie-Hobbie model, a range of δ^{15} N for

7 available N ($\delta^{15}N_{av}$) is +1 to +2 ‰, whereas in the revised model, N_{av} was separated into

8 exchangeable inorganic N (δ^{15} N_{inorg} = +1 to +2 ‰) and δ^{15} N for hydrolysable amino acids

9 ($\delta^{15}N_{aa} = -3$ to -9 ‰), and N entering hyphae is coming from N_{inorg} and N_{aa} at a ratio of

10
$$f_{\text{inorg}}$$
: (1- f_{inorg}).

11 * T(%) = the percentage of N taken up by hyphae that is transferred to plants, $F_f(\%)$ =

12 the percentage of plant N that comes from fungi. NA= not applicable in this model.

<u>a) Hobbie-Hobbie model</u>

* Transamination $\Delta = 8 - 10 \%$



b) Revised model



Figure 1.