Technical Report September 1999



The Horizontal Mooring: A Two-Dimensional Array, Description of the Array, Components, Instrumentation, Deployment and Recovery Operations

by

Richard P. Trask Steven P. Anderson Bryan S. Way William M. Ostrom Walter Paul Mark A. Grosenbaugh Jason I. Gobat Robert A. Weller

September 1999



Upper Ocean Processes Group Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543

UOP Technical Report 99-02

·

WHOI-99-14 UOP-99-02

The Horizontal Mooring: A Two-Dimensional Array, Description of the Array, Components, Instrumentation, Deployment and Recovery Operations

by

Richard P. Trask Steven P. Anderson Bryan S. Way William M. Ostrom Walter Paul Mark A. Grosenbaugh Jason I. Gobat Robert A. Weller

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543

September 1999

Technical Report

Funding was provided by the Office of Naval Research under Grant No. N00014-97-1-0158.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government. This report should be cited as Woods Hole Oceanog. Inst. Tech. Rept., WHOI-99-14.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Approved for Distribution:

Terma M

Terrence M. Joyce, Chair

Department of Physical Oceanography

MBL/WHOI

Abstract

A moored two-dimensional array with instrumentation distributed both horizontally and vertically was deployed for 27 days in August 1998 at an 85 meter deep site in Massachusetts Bay near Stellwagon basin. The horizontal mooring consisted of a 160meter long horizontal element positioned at a depth of 20 meters between two subsurface moorings. Suspended below the horizontal member were five 25-meter long vertical strings. The vertical strings had a horizontal separation of 30 meters and each had instruments at depths of 20, 25, 30, 35, 40 and 45 meters. Instrumentation deployed on the two-dimensional array included acoustic current meters, temperature sensors, conductivity measuring instruments, pressure sensors and motion monitoring packages.

This report includes a detailed description of the two-dimensional array, the anchoring system and the instrumentation that were deployed. Also included is a description of the deployment and recovery techniques that were employed as well as an assessment of the performance of the array. · ·

-

ABSTRACT	2
LIST OF FIGURES	4
LIST OF TABLES	5
SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION	6
SECTION 2: DESCRIPTION OF THE ARRAY	7
 A. MOORING DESCRIPTION	
5. The Selected Anchor	
A. FSI 3D-ACM. B. SEA-BIRD SBE-39. C. SEA-BIRD MICROCAT SBE-37 IM. D. BRANCKER RESEARCH, LTD. XL-200. E. MOTION PACKAGE. F. AXPACKS.	27 27 27 28 28 28
SECTION 4: DEPLOYMENT AND RECOVERY OPERATIONS	29
A. DEPLOYMENT B. RECOVERY OPERATION	29 31
SECTION 5: ARRAY PERFORMANCE	33
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	40
REFERENCES	40
APPENDIX 1: INSTRUMENT LOCATIONS WITHIN THE HORIZONTAL ARRAY	41
APPENDIX 2: TIME MARKS ON TEMPERATURE SENSING INSTRUMENTS	49
APPENDIX 3: PRESSURE TELEMETRY SYSTEM	51

Table of Contents

List of Figures

Figure 1: (a) Side view of the horizontal mooring	8
Figure 1: (b) Schematic of the horizontal mooring ground line	9
Figure 2: Horizontal mooring sphere modifications.	10
Figure 3: (a) Schematics of the horizontal mooring wire rope.	13
Figure 3: (b) Schematics of the horizontal mooring wire rope elements	14
Figure 4: Photograph of the modified cage used with the FSI 3D-ACM	15
Figure 5: Photograph of the clamp used to attach the vertical strings	16
Figure 6: (a) Horizontal mooring wire clamp plates.	18
Figure 6: (b) Horizontal mooring wire clamp backing plates	19
Figure 7: Photograph of the clamp attaching the temperature recorder to the vertical	
instrument string.	20
Figure 8: Schematic of temperature recorder wire clamps.	21
Figure 9: Photograph of attachment of Panther Plast floats.	23
Figure 10: Clamp used to attach 17-inch glass balls to wire rope for supplemental buoyancy	23
Figure 11: Anchors tested for the horizontal mooring	24
Figure 12: Photo of three DorMor® anchors.	24
Figure 13: A schematic of the configuration of the anchor holding tests	24
Figure 14: Pressure telemetry tether	32
Figure 15: Anchor locations for instrumented platforms at mooring site.	34
Figure 16: Range, mean and quartile of the currents	36
Figure 17: (a) Location of FSI instruments.	37
Figure 17: (b) Sensor locations at bottom of instrument string	38
Figure 18: (a) Mooring tilt during flood.	38
Figure 18: (b) Mooring tilt during ebb	38
Figure 19: Maximum mooring tilt	39
Figure 20: Horizontal mooring data at 25 meters.	39
Figure A1-1: Vertical string numbers.	42
Figure A1-2: x, z, coordinate system.	46
Figure A3-1: Tattle IV drawing.	53
Figure A3-2: Mooring schematic	54

List of Tables

.

Table 1: Sphere buoyancy test results	11
Table 2: Anchor holding test results.	25
Table A1-1: Instrument type and serial number.	43
Table A1-2: Coordinates of deployed instrumentation using x , z coordinate system	47
Table A3-1: Program used to query MicroCAT	52

Section 1: Introduction

In 1997 preliminary work began toward developing a three-dimensional moored array for studying the upper ocean from the very near surface down through the permanent thermocline in shallow and deep water. As a first step in its development, a two-dimensional array with the capability of making measurements in the vertical along one horizontal axis was designed and tested. The design of such an array poses the same engineering challenges as the more complex three-dimensional array, and is, therefore, a necessary first step. This report documents the work completed to date (mid-1999) on the two-dimensional moored array project, with emphasis on the 1998 effort.

A numerical model of a subsurface horizontal mooring was developed in 1997 to aid in the evaluation of horizontal mooring designs. The numerical simulation and study of the performance of the horizontal mooring were performed using a general purpose numerical code, developed at WHOI, for calculating statistics and dynamic response of moored and towed oceanographic systems (Gobat *et al.*, 1997). The simulation is built around a mathematical model of cable dynamics that includes the effects of geometric nonlinearities, material nonlinearities, material bending stiffness, and material torsion. This permits accurate threedimensional modeling of systems in which the cable goes slack. The nonlinear, one-sided boundary condition at the seabed is modeled as an elastic foundation for systems with cable lying on the bottom. The numerical implementation includes an adaptive time-stepping algorithm to speed the solution of problems with high nonlinearity.

The simulation was used to model the behavior of the subsurface horizontal mooring under sea-state and current forcing up to the worst hurricane scenario. Line tensions, tension fluctuations, motion of the corner buoys and component accelerations were so established. The results allow the detail mooring design to be within acceptable safe working load levels of all components.

On 19 August 1997, the first horizontal array was deployed off Provincetown, Massachusetts, in 100 meters of water. An instrumented horizontal element, 100 meters long, was tensioned between two subsurface moorings at 20 meters depth. Three current meters and five temperature/pressure recorders were deployed along the horizontal element recording data every one and two minutes respectively. In addition to these instruments, a motion-measuring package was deployed in one of the two subsurface mooring spheres. Three surface buoy guard moorings were deployed around the array to protect it from any damage due to fishing activities. One of the three surface buoys was deployed with an internally recording wind speed and direction sensor to monitor the surface forcing. A significant storm passed through the area two days after deployment, testing the holding power of the anchors and the integrity of the system under rough weather conditions. The array was successfully recovered on 27 August 1997. All instrumentation deployed along the horizontal element collected data for the entire deployment.

Experience gained from the first deployment led to the design of a new, modified, twodimensional array, which had sensors distributed both horizontally and vertically. To evaluate the unique capability of this two-dimensional array, a joint engineering and scientific deployment was planned. The scientific focus was to explore the coherence at short horizontal and temporal scales of the internal waves on the continental shelf, specifically targeting the effect of internal solitons on sediment transport. Working in conjunction with the United States Geological Survey (USGS), a site was selected in Massachusetts Bay near Stellwagon basin in 85 meters of water.

A two-dimensional mooring was deployed on 6 August 1998 from the R/V *Argo Maine*. The horizontal mooring consisted of a 160-meter long horizontal element positioned at a depth of 20 meters between two subsurface moorings. Suspended below the horizontal member were five, 25-meter long vertical strings. The vertical strings had a horizontal separation of 30 meters and each had instruments at depth of 20, 25, 30, 35, 40 and 45 meters. The central vertical string was instrumented with an acoustic current meter, five temperature- and conductivity-measuring instruments, and one acceleration-sensing package. The other four vertical strings were each instrumented with six temperature recorders. The instruments at the bottom of the vertical strings also measured pressure. Two additional acoustic current meters were deployed along the horizontal member. Pressure sensors and motion monitoring packages were deployed at the ends of the horizontal member. The two-dimensional array was successfully recovered on 1 September 1998 after 27 days on station.

This report will include a detailed description of the two-dimensional moored array, the instrumentation that was deployed, the technique used to deploy and recover the array, as well as an assessment of its performance.

Section 2: Description of the Array

A. Mooring Description

The two-dimensional array consisted of two subsurface moorings with a taut horizontal member stretched between them (Figure 1a). Figure 1b shows the details of the ground line that was deployed as a means of properly tensioning the array. A description of the subsurface moorings will be followed by a detailed description of the horizontal member with its associated vertical strings.

1. End Buoys (Steel Spheres)

Each of the two subsurface moorings had a 48-inch diameter steel sphere as their primary buoyancy. The spheres were modified with new bales to accommodate the two points of attachment required for the array (Figure 2). These same spheres had been used during the first horizontal mooring deployment in 1997. Analysis of the pressure data collected from that mooring revealed that there was a persistent slope along the array with one side approximately six meters deeper than the other. Both spheres were assumed to be identical, and the manufacturer's buoyancy specifications were used in the design process. The numerical model suggested that one way for the observed slope to persist throughout all the variable tidal current forcing was to have one of the subsurface spheres with 20% less buoyancy than the other.



Figure 1: (a) Side view of the horizontal mooring.



Figure 1: (b) Schematic of the horizontal mooring ground line deployed with the twodimensional array.



Figure 2: Horizontal mooring sphere modifications.

The buoyancy of the two spheres was determined experimentally at the WHOI dock. An anchor of known weight in water was attached below each sphere, and the spheres were lowered into the water with a crane until the spheres were completely submerged. The weight in water of the sphere-anchor assembly was measured using a load cell. The results of the buoyancy tests appear in Table 1.

	Test date: 17 March 1 Anchor Wet Weight: 205	1998 0 pounds
<u>Sphere #</u>	Weight in water with anchor	<u>Net buoyancy</u>
17	570 pounds	1480 pounds
8	880 pounds	1170 pounds

Table 1: Sphere buoyancy test results

Although the spheres appeared identical, there was considerable difference in their available buoyancy. These buoyancy differences were taken into consideration in the design of the two-dimensional array deployed in 1998.

2. End Buoy Mooring Details

A two-meter shot of 1/2-inch trawler chain was attached directly below the sphere for ease in handling during deployment and recovery. Below the two-meter shot of chain was 3/8-inch diameter 3x19 jacketed wire rope. The length of each wire rope was adapted to lead to a horizontal long-line positioning despite the differences in buoyancy of the two corner buoys.

One of the two subsurface moorings had an acoustic release as a means of back-up recovery. Above and below the acoustic release were two lengths of 1/2-inch trawler chain. The longer length (15 meters) above the release was intended as an adjustable shot had there been a discrepancy between the design water depth and the actual depth. Since there was no acoustic release on the other subsurface mooring, a single 15-meter length of 1/2-inch trawler chain was deployed below the 3/8-inch diameter wire rope with a 5-ton swivel between the two.

Below the 1/2-inch chain on each mooring was a length of 1.25-inch steamer chain. The steamer chain weighed, on average, 46 pounds (21kg) per meter. It was included in the design to reduce the angle between the bottom and the direction of the force exerted on the anchor. A pair of DorMor® inverted pyramid-shaped mooring anchors were used to secure each subsurface mooring leg. According to the manufacturer, the DorMor® style anchor has the greatest holding capacity when used in conjunction with large scope moorings. The steamer chain was used to replicate the low angle of pull characteristic of a large (3:1) scope mooring. The horizontal mooring anchor system consisted of a 2000-pound and a 1000-pound Dor-Mor® anchor connected by a 10-meter length of 3/4-inch chain. The primary anchor was the larger of the two. The smaller anchor was added due to uncertainties about the holding capacity of the DorMor® anchors in the expected bottom conditions and in this particular application. The DorMor® anchor was chosen after testing several anchor types. The results of those tests are described in Section 2C.

The DorMor® anchors were modified with additional bales so that two anchors could be used in series as shown in Figure 1, as well as tensioned during the deployment operations as described in Section 4 of this report.

3. Horizontal Mooring Member

Stretched between the two 48-inch diameter spheres was a horizontal member consisting of four lengths of 3/8-inch diameter 3x19 jacketed wire rope. The details of the wire shots are shown in Figure 3(a) and (b). Instrumentation was either clamped to the horizontal wire or placed in line with the wire. Three Falmouth Scientific, Inc. (FSI), 3D acoustic current meters (3D-ACM) were deployed in line with the horizontal wire. The FSI 3D-ACM cages were modified with additional bales so that the current meter could be deployed in-line with the horizontal wire and still maintain the vertical orientation required for proper operation of the compass (Figure 4).

Every effort was made to reduce the loading along the horizontal member. Typical wire rope terminations consist of a closed swage socket and a strain relief boot. To connect instrumentation in-line, the normal complement of mooring hardware consists of a shackle-link-shackle combination. To reduce the localized loading, the horizontal mooring wire shots were terminated with an open swage socket. The open socket was placed over an instrument bale and connected by means of a single bolt. The open swage sockets used in this application were Crosby S-501 sockets for 7/16-inch or 1/2-inch diameter wire rope.

This configuration reduced the loading; however, because links were not used, there was no readily available place where the mooring could be stopped off either during deployment or recovery. A light-weight solution to this problem was the addition of Yale grips® to the wire at selected locations. The Yale grip® works on the same principle as a Chinese finger. It has an eye with four long tails of Kevlar flat braid that are spirally wrapped around the wire. The Yale grip® provides an eye at which the mooring can be stopped off. As tension is applied to the eye the spiraled Kevlar material tightens around the wire. The ends of the wire shots that were connected to the two 48-inch diameter spheres had conventional closed swage sockets and strain relief boots. The common hardware complement of shackle-link-shackle was used.

4. Instrumentation Attachment to Horizontal Member

Instrumentation that was not placed in line with the horizontal member was clamped to the wire. Four of the five vertical strings shown in Figure 1 were clamped to the horizontal member by means of a pair of PVC plates. Figure 5 is a photograph of the upper part of a



Shot A Quantity Required: 1

24 meter long shot of 3/8" diameter 3x19 TB Wire Rope

Marked 19.1 meters from the closed eye with Duct tape indicating "Temperature String". End with closed eye should be labelled "Marked 19.1 m from this end". Other end should be labelled "Marked 4.9 m from this end".

Yale Grip eye located 2 meters from closed swage socket, oriented as shown



Shot B Quantity Required: 1

24 meter long shot of 3/8" diameter 3x19 TB Wire Rope

Marked 19.1 meters from the closed eye with Duct tape indicating "Temperature String". End with closed eye should be labelled "Marked 19.1 m from this end". Other end should be labelled "Marked 4.9 m from this end".

Yale Grip eye located 2 meters from open swage socket, oriented as shown



Spare Shot for A or B Quantity Required: 1

24 meter long shot of 3/8" diameter 3x19 TB Wire Rope

Marked 19.1 meters from the closed eye with Duct tape indicating "Temperature String". End with closed eye should be labelled "Marked 19.1 m from this end". Other end should be labelled "Marked 4.9 m from this end".

Two Yale Grips with eyes located 2 meters from each end, oriented as shown

Figure 3: (a) Schematics of the horizontal mooring wire rope.

Open swage socket w/boot



Shot C Quantity Required: 1

55 meter long shot of 3/8" diameter 3x19 TB Wire Rope

Marked 25 meters in from one end with Duct tape indicating

"Temperature String". End from which measurement is made should be labelled "Marked 25 m from this end".

Other end should be labelled "Marked 30 m from this end".

Yale grip eye located 2 meters in on 30 m segment oriented as shown.



Shot D Quantity Required: 1

55 meter long shot of 3/8" diameter 3x19 TB Wire Rope Marked 25 meters in from one end with Duct tape indicating "Temperature String". End from which measurement is made should be labelled "Marked 25 m from this end". Other end should be labelled "Marked 30 m from this end".

Other end should be labelled Warked 30 m from this end .

Yale grip eye located 2 meters in on 25 m segment oriented as shown.



Spare for Shot C or D

Quantity Required: 1

55 meter long shot of 3/8" diameter 3x19 TB Wire Rope

Marked 25 meters in from one end with Duct tape indicating

"Temperature String". End from which measurement is made should be labelled "Marked 25 m from this end".

Other end should be labelled "Marked 30 m from this end".

Two Yale grip eyes located 2 meters from each end, oriented as shown.

Figure 3: (b) Schematics of the horizontal mooring wire rope elements.







Figure 5: Photograph of the clamp used to attach the vertical strings to the horizontal wire.

vertical string showing the PVC clamping plates and a temperature recorder. Figure 6 (a) and (b) are schematics of the PVC clamping plates used to attach a vertical string to the horizontal member. A short (5-inch) piece of 1/2-inch tygon tubing slit lengthwise was placed over the horizontal wire at the desired clamping location. The horizontal wire was then laid in the machined groove and four 1/4x20 bolts compressed the plates, clamping the PVC blocks to the wire. The use of four 1/4x20 bolts with associated flat and lock washers and nut that had to be assembled on deck did not present a problem during the August 1998 deployment due to the calm seas; however, it would have been a challenge had the sea state been higher. This clamping assembly provided mid-wire attachment points. It was from these sites that the vertical strings were hung.

The vertical strings were made of 3/16-inch diameter 3x19 jacketed wire rope. They were mated to the PVC clamp in advance by passing the wire through a hole in the clamp plate and forming an eye by nicopressing the wire back onto itself. No thimble was used in the eye. Chafe protection to the wire was provided around the eye by a length of tygon tubing that had been threaded onto the wire prior to forming the eye.

Each vertical string had five Sea-Bird SBE-39 temperature recording instruments and one Brancker Research, Ltd., pressure and temperature instrument. The instruments were clamped to the vertical wire using the assembly shown in Figure 7. Figure 8 is a schematic of one half of the clamp used with the temperature recorders. As before, two PVC plates were machined with a groove and clamped around the wire using four 1/4x20 bolts. One vertical end of the PVC blocks was radiused to accept the cylindrical shaped instruments. The instruments were secured to the PVC blocks with two hose clamps that passed around the instrument and through two machined slots in the blocks. At the bottom of each vertical string was a cast iron sash weight that, on average, weighed 78 pounds (dry). The weight was used to minimize the horizontal excursion of the bottom of the vertical string.

The central vertical string was different from the other four described above. Unlike the others, it was shackled directly to the bottom bale of the FSI 3D ACM located in the center of the horizontal member. Not only was it attached differently but it also had a different complement of instruments, which had their own clamping mechanism. The central vertical string was made from 3/16-inch diameter 3x19 jacketed wire rope. The instrumentation deployed on this vertical string included five Sea-Bird MicroCATs (model SBE-37IM). The MicroCATs were clamped to the wire using the clamping mechanism supplied by the manufacturer provided with instruments with inductive modems. Although the data from these instruments was not transmitted inductively up the wire, the clamping mechanism was utilized. A 61-pound (dry) cast iron sash weight was shackled into an eye at the bottom of the vertical string.

17



:

	Woods Ho Upj	ple Oces per Oce	anographic Ins an Processes	titutio	1	
Title: Horizontal mooring wire clamp plates						
By: W.C	W. Ostrom Date: 6/16/98 Scale: half					half
Material:	1 " thk. PCV j	plate				
Drawing #:			Version : 1		Checke	=d
5 plates required						

.

Figure 6: (a) Horizontal mooring wire clamp plates.

۰.

۰.



Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Upper Ocean Processes						
Title: Horizontal mooring wire clamp backing plate						
By: W.O	strom	Dats: 6/16/98 Scale: half				half
Material:	1 * thk. PCV]	plate				
Drawing #:	•		Version : 1		Checks	÷đ
23 plates required						

Figure 6: (b) Horizontal mooring wire clamp backing plate.

·.

19



Figure 7: Photograph of the clamp attaching the temperature recorder to the vertical instrument string.



Figure 8: Schematic of temperature recorder wire clamps.

B. Supplemental Buoyancy

Supplemental buoyancy was provided at each element deployed along the horizontal member to offset their weight. The two FSI current meters at either end of the horizontal array each had two plastic Panther Plast floats (product number 629) which were 9 inches in diameter and provided 8.8 pounds of buoyancy each. A short length of nylon line was passed through

the molded lugs in the floats as shown in Figure 9 and shackled to the top bale of the current meter cage.

The FSI 3D-ACM deployed in the center of the horizontal member required a pair of 17-inch diameter glass balls for supplemental buoyancy. The glass balls were attached to a two meter-long shot of wire that was shackled into the top bale of the current meter cage. The wire was terminated with a nicopressed eye with thimble. The clamp used with the glass balls is shown in Figure 10. It consists of two backing plates from the clamps used to attach the vertical strings to the horizontal member as shown in Figure 6. The two mating PVC plates were bolted to the plastic hard hat of the glass ball using a single 3/8-inch diameter bolt and large washers on one side of a wire groove in the PVC. Two 1/4x 20 bolts were used on the other side of the wire groove to compress the plates against the wire. One clamp was used per glass ball.

The other four vertical strings also had two 17-inch diameter glass balls that were clamped to a short length of wire (2 meters). The wire was terminated with a nicopressed eye and shackled into the top of the PVC clamp on the horizontal wire.

C. Anchoring System

Maintaining the position of the horizontal mooring anchors after deployment is a critical requirement. Dragging anchors would shorten the distance between the two anchors and position the horizontal array at less water depth or bring it to the sea surface. The exposure to surface waves would most likely damage the instrumentation and compromise the recorded data.

1. Anchoring Tests

Several field tests were conducted in 1997 to determine the holding capability of three types of anchors prior to the horizontal mooring deployment. A cast iron Dome-topped cylindrical anchor was tested along with a Mace anchor (deadweight cast iron cylinder with steel skiffs on the bottom) (Figure 11). A new anchor type from DorMor®, Inc., was the third design tested. This is a pyramid-shaped cast iron unit with a holding stem (Figure 12). The anchors were towed along the bottom with the towline pulling at 45 degrees and at 30 degrees relative to the sandy floor of Vineyard Sound (Figure 13). The anchors responded with a typical slip-stick response to applied anchor line loads. At the higher slip force the anchor breaks out of the sand and is dragged towards the towing vessel, until the anchor line load is low enough so that the anchor buries itself again and is holding. Once the line load has increased to the slip tension, the anchor breaks out of the sandy ground again in a self-repeating process. The holding tensions did not change when the angle between the anchor line and the seafloor was decreased from 45 to 20 degrees. The line tensions were monitored with a load cell. Table 2 shows the results from the anchor pull tests.



Figure 9: Photograph of attachment of Panther Plast floats.



Figure 10: Clamp used to attach 17-inch glass balls to wire rope for supplemental buoyancy.



Figure 11: Anchors tested for the horizontal mooring. Figure 12: Photo of three DorMor® anchors.



Figure 13: A schematic showing the configuration of the anchor holding tests.

والمنافعة المحمومة والماري والمحمولة وا		Notes	Anchor covered w/sand on one side	Slip-stick between 1,000 and 2,000 lbs.	Repeat of test D-2 allowing anchor to dig in for ~40 min.	Dragging at 3,500 lbs.	D-4 after 5 min. settlement	2 : 1 scope, starts slipping at 3,000 lbs.	slips at 2,000 lbs.	slips at 2,000 lbs.	slips at 1,600 lbs.	Results unchanged after settling	Looser sand at deeper site	Anchor surfaced clean	
	Time	of Test	10:45	11:40	12:40		13:00	13:25	13:30				15:00	15:50	
	J Power	Peak (lbs)	4,000	2,000	2,000	3,500	4,000	3,000	2,000	2,000	1,600	1,200	2,000	3,000-3,500	
	Holding	Static [lbs]	4,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	1,500	1,800	1,200	1,000	1,000	2,000	
	Cable	Paid Out	73	73	73	73	73	103	73	103	73	156	142	142	
	Water	Depth"	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	100	100	
	Weight	In SeaWater [Ibs]	1,890	800	008	1,890	1,890	1,890	2,270	2,270	2,010	008	800	1,890	
	Anchor	In Air [lbs]	2,200	1,050	1,050	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,640	2,640	2,360	1,050	1,050	2,200	
	Anchor	Type*	D-2000	D-1000	D-1000	D-2000	D-2000	D-2000	MACE	MACE	DOME	D-1000	D-1000	D-2000	
		TEST ID	D-1	D-2	D-3	D-4	D-5	D-6	M-1	M-2	DOME-1	D-7	D-8	D-9	

ANCHOR HOLDING TESTS, M/V DIANE G IN VINEYARD SOUND ON 7/21/97

П

ACCEL MADE APOLICE A

7

** Anchor tests were performed at two sites in Vineyard Sound near Menemsha, Martha's Vineyard, MA. The bottom consisted of medium hard sand at the 52 ft deep sile, of softer sand at the 100 ft deep sile.

* Anchors tested (all cast iron dead weight): D-1000, D-2000 = quadratic base inverted pyramid with shank, produced by DorMor. Mace = flat cylinder with bottom skiffs to increase resistance to horizontal pull. Dome = flat cylinder with rounded top to reduce

tangling.

Table 2: Anchor holding test results

2. Test Results

The anchor holding power expressed as a fraction of the anchor weight was determined as the minimum anchor arresting force (stick force) measured. The DorMor® holding power was equal to its submerged weight, the Mace anchor holding tension was 66 to 79 percent of its weight, and the Dome anchor was 59 percent of its submerged weight. The higher slip forces were 1.58 to 2.2 times the weight of the DorMor®, equal to its weight for the Mace anchor and 80 percent of its weight for the Dome anchor. The DorMor® anchor was selected to secure the horizontal mooring. The proper sizing of anchors was most important in order not to lose the horizontal arrays in severe seas.

An additional drag test with a DorMor® anchor was performed in March 1998 at a site with a soft muddy sea floor. The slip-stick response of the anchor was not observed. The anchor could be dragged smoothly at a tension equal to its weight without any jerking and sudden locking into the sea floor. This test triggered a search for optimized anchoring of a horizontal mooring with its significant vertical tension component in the anchor rope.

The offshore oil exploration industry is facing a similar threat in particular with anchoring the new deep water floating platforms and tanker-based Floating Production, Storage, and Off-loading (FPSO) units. These platform moorings are no longer relying on traditional drag embedment anchors with catenary moorings (Huang and Lee, 1998) (Chimisso et al., 1998) (Wanvik et al., 1998), but use a taut mooring with a anchor rope angle of 35° to 45° with the sea floor instead (similar to the horizontal mooring). The catenary moorings are much longer and, therefore, more costly. The significant vertical component of the anchor line tension requires a new anchoring method. The industry is now using suction pile anchors and Vertical Loaded Anchors (VLAs). VLAs are manufactured by Bruce in England and Vryhoff in The Netherlands and have very high holding power after being dragged for often 10 to 20 meters vertically into soft mud and clay. Large diameter polyester ropes with chain segments near the anchor and at the platform are used to provide the needed strength and compliance. Extensive geotechnical tests are performed to determine the undrained shear strength of the ocean floor at a future anchor site which is needed to design the size of suction pile anchors or VLAs. The VLAs require embedment drag loads of 40 to 50 percent of their ultimate holding power. The significant extra cost to secure anchors at a given location assure that the platforms stay on station under all weather and sea-state conditions.

3. The Selected Anchor

The DorMor® anchor is a compromise candidate. Due to its concentrated steel mass, it may dig significantly into soft mud with time, thereby increasing its resistance to vertical lift. The local sea floor firmness at shallow-water, open-ocean sites needs to be tested under the effects of large surface waves. Large ripples can form in sandy sea bottoms due to wave action, which dislodge the upper sea floor layer, thereby destabilizing the anchor embedment. This effect should be somewhat limited at 100-meter water depths (Traykovski *et al.*, submitted). The anchor holding force is critically dependent on the sea floor in which it is placed. Some of the procedures developed by the oil industry may need to be considered for long-term reliable station keeping of horizontal moorings.

Section 3: Instrumentation

There were a total of 38 instruments deployed on the horizontal mooring. They included three current meters, one sphere-mounted motion sensing package, two acceleration recording instruments, eight instruments for measuring conductivity and temperature (three of which also measured pressure), 24 temperature recorders (four of which also measured pressure) and one acoustic release.

Appendix 1 lists all of the instruments that were deployed on the horizontal mooring. Within a particular instrument type, the instruments are sorted by serial number, vertical string, and depth (relative to the horizontal member) in Table A1-1. Table A1-2 also has the nominal x and z coordinates assigned to each instrument.

With the exception of the current meters, all the temperature sensing instruments were submerged in a cold bath at a known time before their deployment and after recovery in order to place a time mark in the data file. Appendix 2 lists the instruments and the times that they were placed in and removed from the cold bath prior to the deployment and following the recovery.

A. FSI 3D-ACM

Three FSI acoustic current meters (3D-ACM, s/n 1467, 1468, and 1469) were deployed along the horizontal member at a nominal depth of 20 meters. See Appendix 1 for grid location of the FSI current meters. The FSI current meters "measure velocity along four acoustic paths, three orthogonal magnetic vectors and two orthogonal gravity vectors (tilt) from which it calculates velocity relative to the earth", (FSI 3D-ACM Operating Instructions). The FSI current meters sampled vector-averaged north component of velocity, vector-averaged east component of velocity, vector-averaged up velocity component, vector-averaged tilt, instrument heading, instantaneous x and y tilt, instantaneous temperature and instantaneous pressure. The averaging interval was set for 90 seconds. No pressure data was obtained from s/n 1468 since the pressure sensor was inadvertently disconnected.

B. Sea-Bird SBE-39

The Sea-Bird SBE-39 temperature logger is a high-accuracy temperature recorder with internal battery and memory. The SBE-39s used on the horizontal mooring had sheathed thermistors, which, according to the manufacturer, have a time constant of approximately 0.7 seconds. The instruments were powered by a lithium 9-volt battery, and they recorded instantaneous temperature data every 15 seconds. See Appendix 1 for the grid locations of the SBE-39 temperature loggers.

C. Sea-Bird MicroCAT SBE-37 IM

The SBE-37-IM MicroCAT is a high-accuracy, self-contained conductivity and temperature sensor (pressure optional) with an integral inductive modem for communication. The central vertical string of the horizontal mooring had four MicroCATs measuring conductivity and temperature; a fifth instrument measured conductivity, temperature and pressure at the bottom of the vertical string. The pressure sensor had a range of 0 to 100 psi. Instruments deployed on the central string did not utilize the inductive modem capability. However, the inductive modem clamping mechanism was used to secure the MicroCATs to the vertical wire. The MicroCATs recorded data every 15 seconds.

A MicroCAT measuring temperature, conductivity and pressure was mounted on each of the 48-inch diameter steel spheres to monitor sphere depth. A 30-meter long shot of 3/16-inch diameter jacketed wire rope connected the MicroCAT to a surface float and was used to transmit data and receive commands. The float housed a Sea-Bird Electronics Inductive Modem Controller (IMC); an Onset Computer Corporation, Tattletale IV data controller / logger; and a Free Wave Technologies, Inc., wireless data transceiver along with the necessary battery power. The Tattletale IV would send a command to request a data sample from the MicroCAT through the IMC. Having received the pressure data from the MicroCAT, it was then sent to the wireless data transceiver for transmission in real time to a shipboard mounted transceiver. The depth of the spheres was monitored in real time as the ship tensioned the mooring. Details of the pressure telemetry system can be found in Appendix 3. See Appendix 1 for the grid locations of the SBE-37 instruments.

D. Brancker Research Ltd XL-200

Brancker Research, Ltd., temperature and pressure loggers (model XL-200) were deployed at the bottom of the four outermost vertical strings. The temperature range was -20° C to 50° C and the pressure range was 0 to 100 psi. The sample interval of the Brancker XL-200s was 4 minutes.

E. Motion Package

The end buoy contained a Tattletale Model 6 controlled motion package consisting of three Systron Donner GyroChips, and a Columbia Triaxial accelerometer, model SA-307. The sensors were sampled for twenty minutes at the top of every hour. The sample rate was 12.5 Hz. Data was written to an 800-MB hard disk at the conclusion of each sampling period. This instrument ran without problems during the 1998 Horizontal Mooring deployment.

F. AxPacks

The AxPacks, which were deployed near the opposite corner, at the bottom of the central string, and on one of the guard buoys, were small (approximately 8 inches long and 3 inches in diameter), light (air weight 1 kg, near neutral in water), self-contained acceleration measuring instruments. The controller is an Onset Tattletale Model 8 mated to a Peripheral Issues Persistor CF8 compact flash device. The sensor is a Summit Instruments triaxial accelerometer (Model 34103A). The advantages of the 34103A accelerometers are its power supply requirements: a single +5V supply, drawing approximately 30 mA, onboard anti-aliasing filters and ouput amplifiers, and a direct 0-5V output signal, all in a cube smaller than one inch on a side. The combination of the Tattletale Model 8 and the Persistor CF8 allows large amounts of storage capacity (24-MB in the case of the AxPacks), low power consumption (~750 uA in hybernation mode, ~30 mA while sampling), small form factor ($2" \times 3" \times 1"$), and easy data retrieval via the compact flash cards.

The AxPacks used the same sampling scheme as the larger motion packages, 20 minutes at the top of each hour. The AxPack sampling rate is 10 Hz. All three AxPacks experienced premature failures during the deployment. Unit 1 ran for 91 hours, unit 2 for 33 hours, and unit 3 for 15 hours. These failures have since been traced to a problem with the hybernation routines in the Tattletale operating system. In laboratory testing, the failures were reproducable. After an upgrade to the operating system (which fixed unspecified hybernation bugs) the AxPacks successfully ran through a 24-day deployment cycle.

Section 4: Deployment and Recovery Operations

A. Deployment

In preparation for the deployment, the 44-meter shot of 3/8-inch wire rope was prewound onto the deployment winch drum. The first leg of the horizontal mooring to be deployed was that which contained the acoustic release. The 1000-pound DorMor® anchor was the first component to enter the water followed by the 3/4" chain and the 2000-pound Dor-Mor® anchor. The steamer chain, 1/2-inch trawler chain, the acoustic release and the 15-meter shot of 1/2-inch trawler chain above it were lowered to the bottom using the 44-meter shot of 3/8-inch wire rope. During the lowering the jacket of the 3/8-inch wire rope was damaged while on the winch drum when the heavy anchor load caused the wire to bury into the drum, tearing the plastic jacket.

Once the anchors had been lowered to the bottom the four 3/8-inch diameter wire rope shots that made up the horizontal element were pre-wound onto the winch drum. The first 48inch diameter steel sphere was attached to the mooring leg that had just been deployed and to the first wire shot (B) of the horizontal member. The winch was used to lower the sphere into the water.

Just prior to deploying the sphere the surlyn pressure telemetry float was placed in the water and allowed to drift aft. The float housed the pressure telemetry electronics and was connected to the sphere by means of a 30-meter long shot of 3/16-inch diameter wire rope that passed through the inductive modem of a MicroCAT mounted to the top of the sphere. The ship slowly began to steam forward (ship speed approximately 1 knot) as the horizontal element was paid out.

Each of the vertical strings were pre-assembled with all instrumentation clamped to the wire and ready for deployment. At the first pre-marked vertical string location the PVC clamp was secured to the horizontal wire. The supplemental buoyancy provided by the two 17-inch diameter glass balls were attached to the top of the PVC clamp. The vertical string was deployed by hand, bottom end first. The 77-pound weight at the bottom of the string made it

difficult to get a firm hand grasp of the small diameter wire without it slipping. The best holding points were the instrument attachments. The deployment procedure that eventually developed involved stretching the vertical string out on deck and protecting each instrument by having someone carry it to the stern where it was passed over the side. Each person shared the load of the vertical string as they walked the instruments aft.

With the first vertical string in the water, payout of the horizontal member continued. An FSI current meter was placed in line with the horizontal element between shots B and C. The mooring was stopped off using the Yale grip at the end of shot B while the current meter was attached in line. The current meter and supplemental buoyancy (two Panther Plast floats) were eased over the side and payout of shot C continued. The second vertical string was deployed in much the same manner as the first. The second current meter located in the center of the horizontal member had a vertical string attached to the bottom bale of the current meter cage. The current meter was placed in line between shots C and D. (The central vertical string had five MicroCAT instruments that measured temperature and conductivity, with the deepest instrument also measuring pressure. An acceleration sensing instrument [Ax Pack] was clamped to the deepest MicroCAT.) The vertical string was lowered into the water by hand using the technique described above. The current meter and supplemental buoyancy, consisting of two 17-inch diameter glass balls, were eased over the side and payout of wire shot D resumed. The remaining two vertical strings and current meter were deployed in the same manner as the others.

The surlyn foam float housing the second pressure data transmitter was lowered into the water from the starboard side just prior to deploying the second 48-inch diameter steel sphere. Once the steel sphere was deployed the surlyn float was cast off. The second sphere housed a motion package, which caused the sphere to be top heavy. In response to the weight of the motion package the sphere rolled so that the motion package and MicroCAT mounted to the top of the sphere ended up pointing downward while the sphere was on the surface. This created a potential problem involving the wire tether to the pressure data transmitter in the surlyn float. During the deployment, if the sphere had made a complete rotation, the wire tether would have become fouled on the underside of the sphere. Fortunately, as the mooring was tensioned, the sphere rotated back so that the wire did not become entangled. In the future some supplemental buoyancy may be needed on the sphere to keep it in the preferred orientation. Even if it is deployed without the motion package, the weight of the MicroCAT may be enough to cause the sphere to turn upside down if it is not outfitted with some supplemental buoyancy.

Following the deployment of the steel sphere the chain and wire rope of the second leg were deployed up to and including the 2000-pound DorMor® anchor. Before proceeding, a 120-meter long 3/8-inch diameter wire rope ground line was wound onto the winch drum along with a second 120-meter shot. These shots of wire were used to lower the pair of DorMor® anchors to the bottom and would be used to tension the mooring. When the wire took the load of the two DorMor® anchors, the same problem that was experienced earlier with the wire burying into the drum occurred again. The winch also had difficulty holding the load even when the brake was applied. Once a lay of wire became buried the winch experienced snap loads each time the wire became freed, causing the winch to lurch on its mountings. The plastic jacket on the wire was damaged as it came off the winch but due to the high tensions the payout continued without stopping until the anchors were on the bottom. A 500-pound depressor weight was placed between the two 120-meter shots and lowered to the bottom with the second wire shot.

With all the mooring components in the water, the mooring had to be tensioned in order to pull both spheres underwater to the appropriate depth. The tensioning was accomplished by having the ship pull on the ground line while monitoring the pressure data that was transmitted to the ship from the pressure telemetry floats. Pressure data from sphere number 17 could not be received due to a problem with the MicroCAT. The pressure data from sphere number 8 was, therefore, used to tension the mooring. While monitoring the pressure data the ship slowly steamed ahead. Due to the relatively slow sampling scheme of the MicroCAT, the pressure data was only updated every 15 seconds. The relatively slow output from the pressure instrument coupled with the momentum of the ship, which made it nearly impossible to quickly stop pulling on the mooring, resulted in overshooting the target depth of 20 meters. The pressure obtained at the conclusion of tensioning the mooring was 24.87 dbars. Satisfied with both the orientation of the array and the depth of the horizontal member as indicated by the pressure data from sphere number 8, a marker can buoy was attached to the end of the tensioning wire shot and cast off.

The ship then recovered the pressure telemetry floats tethered to both spheres. The float was hauled on board and as much of the 3/16-inch wire tether was recovered before cutting the wire. Each tether (Figure 14) had an attached float located 12-meters from the Micro-CAT in order to prevent the tether from fouling with the other instrumentation on the mooring following removal of the pressure telemetry floats.

The original plan was to recover the array backwards by hauling back on the wire rope used to tension the mooring. This would permit the recovery of the entire mooring including the DorMor® anchors. The acoustic release deployed on the first leg of the array was intended as a backup recovery aid should the surface expression of the tensioning line be lost. A consequence of firing the acoustic release is that the anchors from that leg of the mooring would be left behind on the bottom.

B. Recovery Operation

Following the deployment cruise, alternatives were explored for using the same winch for recovery as had been used during the deployment. It was felt that the ship's winch would not be able to recover the anchors as had been originally planned, based on its performance during the deployment cruise. For a variety of reasons, including the availability of an alternate winch and the lack of adequate tie downs on the ship, a decision was made to use the ship's existing winch but to alter the recovery plan in order to utilize the winch's capabilities.

The recovery began by firing the acoustic release, which permitted both steel spheres to come to the surface. The ship then steamed to the sphere that was still connected to the anchors on the bottom and the sphere was recovered. The wire rope leading to the anchors was cut, freeing the horizontal mooring from the bottom. The ship could then maneuver in whatever direction was necessary, and the remainder of the mooring could be recovered easily.



Figure 14: Pressure telemetry tether.

The recovery of the horizontal member began from the sphere number 8 end and was the reverse operation of the deployment. The vertical strings were hauled out of the water by hand. Loops (approximately 12 inches in diameter) of dacron line (that was tied off on itself with a square knot) were looped around the vertical string instrument clamps. These provided a firm hold of the vertical strings that were a bit slimy after the month deployment. Each person had a loop and would wrap it around a clamp and walk the instrument forward. When the next instrument surfaced another person would loop their line around its clamp and walk it forward until all the instruments and depressor weight were out of the water. It may sound like stone-age oceanography but the loads were small, there were plenty of people to assist, and it worked well.

Of the two pairs of anchors that were left behind, one set still had the tensioning cable and marker can buoy attached. This left open the option of recovery at a later date should a ship be available with adequate hauling capability. The other set of anchors that were left on the bottom after firing the acoustic release would have to be recovered with a dragging operation, which is more time consuming and a more difficult task. The cost of recovery must be weighed with the replacement cost of the anchors. Recovery only becomes attractive when a ship is in the area for another project and there is time in the schedule to conduct the operation without adversely impacting the other project.

Section 5: Array Performance

In this section we present some preliminary results on the performance of the horizontal submerged array.

The array specifications called for the array to be aligned so that it was perpendicular to the crests of the solitons that transit across Stellwagen Basin from Stellwagen Bank. The specification was for the mooring to lie along a 60° -240° line, true. Thus, from leg 2 of the mooring, leg 1 would lie 60° east of north. Figure 15 shows the anchor locations for the various instrumented platforms at the mooring site. The leg 1 anchor location was determined using GPS. A GPS position was obtained when the anchor was lowered to the bottom. The leg 2 position indicated is the ship's position as tension was released from the ground line following tensioning the moored array. This would not be the actual anchor location, but should be inline with the array at that time. The alignment with leg 1 determined from these positions is 60.8° east of north. This alignment was confirmed by visual inspection of the telemetry floats remaining on the surface and from the ship's compass to within approximately 10°. We conservatively conclude that the array was aligned within $\pm 10^{\circ}$ of the desired location. A more accurate survey could have been done if we had recorded the GPS location and alignment of each telemetry float individually prior to its removal from the array. The 1.5 scope of the telemetry float tether would, however, still have introduced a degree of uncertainty.

The design goal was to have the instruments displaced by no more than ± 2 meters from their design target depths. The design maximum current for this specification was





100 cm/s. The survival current was specified as 300 cm/s. Figure 16 shows the statistics of the observed currents during the deployment. The data are from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) ADCP located on a tripod positioned approximately 200 meters south of the array. The ADCP provided a vertical profile of the horizontal currents every 2 minutes. Figure 16 shows the range, mean, and the quartiles (in gray boxes) of the current for each depth bin. The quartiles indicate that 50% of the time, the currents were located within the gray box indicated. The maximum observed currents were located in the depth range of the submerged array but did not exceed 100 cm/s. The east component of the current was larger and had more variability than the northern component. This is because the tidal currents were located mostly east-west.

The sensor locations were determined using the pressure measurements from the Brancker, SBE-39 and FSI instruments. The pressure record from a Sea-Bird tide gauge located on the anchor at a depth of 84-meters of a nearby subsurface mooring, monitored the local tidal elevation. This was subtracted from the sensor pressures as was an atmospheric bias determined from the time series just prior to the deployment of the instruments. This processing yielded a sensor position referenced to the bottom. Figure 17 shows the mean, range and quartiles for the sensor locations. The top figure (Figure 17a) shows the FSI instruments S/N 1467 and S/N 1469 located on the horizontal member and the Sea-Bird MicroCAT SBE-37 instrument, S/N 670, on sphere number 8. The bottom figure (Figure 17b) shows the sensor locations at the bottom of each instrument string relative to the bottom.

The target depth for the top of the array was 20 meters (or 64 meters above the bottom.) The bottom of each string should be located 39 meters above the bottom. There appears to be a slight tilt or sag in the array with the eastern end approximately one meter deeper than the western side. The bottoms of the strings did not vary by much more than one meter from their mean locations. A larger range and variance is observed in the shallower pressure records. This is likely not due to mooring motion, but rather from aliasing of the pressure signal of surface gravity waves, which cannot be directly accounted for in this analysis. This signal decays exponentially and will have a much smaller affect on the lower sensors.

Figures 18a and 18b show the locations of the bottom of the strings during the flood and ebb tides relative to their average positions. The flood tide was located nearly directly along the array while the ebb tide had some cross array component. The dominant motion appears to be that the motion of the ends of the array are incoherent. The depth of the upstream side of the array increases while the downstream side shoals. However, the range of motion associated with the tides does not appear to account for most of the variance in depth observed in Figure 17.

Figure 19 shows the locations of the bottom of the strings, relative to their average location, during a single soliton event on August 22. This single event lasted less than 15 minutes. However, the instruments were displaced by more than a meter from their nominal locations. The length of the array is well matched to the horizontal wavelength of the solitons we observed. The currents observed with these solitons were nearly 50 cm/s and would reverse in direction over its wavelength. This can be seen in Figure 20, which shows the temperature and velocity records from the top of the array during the passage of several solitons. One leg of the array is encountering currents and drags that are incoherent from the other. This is resulting in



ADCP Current Statistics

Figure 16: Range, mean and quartile of the currents.



Figure 17: (a) Location of FSI instruments.



Figure 17: (b) Sensor locations at bottom of instrument strings.





Mooring Tilt During Ebb



Figure 18: (b) Mooring tilt during ebb.



Maximum Mooring Tilt During Soliton on 22 August





Figure 20: Horizontal mooring data at 25 meters.

a dynamical mooring motion we had not encountered in our modeling studies that assumed that the currents were horizontally homogeneous across the array.

In conclusion, the submerged array was successfully deployed in the specified orientation and depth. There was an across-array tilt of approximately one meter over 120 meters. The tidal currents did not lead to significant mooring motion; however, further modeling is required to understand the motion of the array in response to solitons.

Acknowledgments

The captain and crew of the R/V *Argo Maine* deserve special mention for their assistance with the deployment and recovery of this unique mooring system. We also wish to thank Brad Butman, Jon Borden, Mark Baumgartner, Kent Bradshaw, Larry Costello and Jim MacConnell for their help at sea during the deployment and recovery operations. The guard moorings which surrounded and protected the horizontal mooring during its 27-day deployment were designed by George Tupper. Special thanks are extended to Dave Simoneau and the WHOI Mooring and Rigging Shop for their careful preparation of the complicated elements of the horizontal mooring. We sincerely thank Penny Foster for all her help in preparing this report. This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research Grant No. N00014-97-1-0158.

References

- Chimisso, G., H. Tagher, N. C. Bliss, and G. Stan, 1998. Deepwater FPSO for Aquila Field Development in the Adriatic, OTC 1998 Proceedings, Vol. 2, OTC #8808, Houston, Texas, May 1998, pp 259-267.
- Falmouth Scientific, Inc., no date. Operating Instructions. 3-D Acoustic Current Meter, 3D-ACM, Version 6.0, Firmware Version 2.18. Falmouth Scientific, Inc., Cataumet, Massachusetts 02534, 126 pp.
- Gobat, J. I., M. A. Grosenbaugh, and M. S. Triantafyllou, 1997. WHOI Cable: Time Domain Numerical Simulation of Moored and Towed Oceanographic Systems, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Technical Report No. WHOI-97-15, 103 pp.
- Huang, K., and M-Y Lee, 1998. Experiences in Classification of Deepwater Mooring Systems for Floating Structures", OTC 1998 Proceedings, Vol. 2, OTC #8772, Houston, Texas, May 1998, pp 227-236.
- Traykovski, P., J. D. Irish, and J. F. Lynch, Geometry, Migration, and Evolution of Wave Orbital Ripples at LEO-15, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, submitted.
- Wanvik, L., L. Poldervaart, and H. Mong, 1998. FPSO with Turret Based Drilling System (FPDSO): An Approach to a Cost-Effective Deepwater Field Development, OTC 1998 Proceedings, Vol. 2, OTC #8811, Houston, Texas, May 1998, pp 289-303.

Appendix 1: Instrument Locations within the Horizontal Array

Two techniques have been employed to describe the location of the instrumentation deployed on the horizontal mooring. The first references the vertical string number and depth with respect to the horizontal member. Figure A1-1 identifies the vertical string numbers and, for each instrument type and serial number, Table A1-1 identifies the vertical string on which it was deployed. This technique, though convenient, did not adequately describe the location of instruments that were not part of a vertical string.

The second technique utilizes a coordinate system whereby the reference for the horizontal axis (x axis) is sphere number 17 and the reference for the vertical axis (z) is the sea surface. Instruments are located by their vertical and horizontal distances (in meters) from those reference points. Using this convention the coordinates of sphere number 8 are (160.9,20). This indicates that sphere number 8 is nominally 160.9 meters from sphere number 17 and 20 meters below the sea surface. Figure A1-2 shows the coordinate system and Table A1-2 lists the coordinates of all instruments deployed on the two dimensional array.



Figure A1-1: Vertical string numbers.

42

Table A1-1: Instrument type and serial number

Horizontal Mooring, August 1998 Instrumentation

SBE-39 Sorted by String Number Sorted by Depth Sorted by Serial Number Depth** Instr String Depth** Instr String String Depth** Instr No. No. No. No. No. No. . 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 53* 0.2 53* 53* 0.2

* = did not log data

**Depth Shown is nominal depth relative to horizontal element Horizontal Element at approximately 20 meters depth

Horizontal Mooring, August 1998 Instrumentation (continued)

MicroCat SBE-37

Sorted by Serial Number		Sorted	Sorted by String Number			Sorted by Depth		
Instr No.	String No.	Depth**	Instr No.	String No.	Depth**	instr No.	String No.	Depth**
669	3	20	669†	3	20	683	3	5
670†	Sphere 8	0	683	3	5	686	3	-10
671†*	Sphere 17	0	684	3	25	685	3	15
683	3	5	685	3	15	669	3	20
684†	3	25	686	3	10	684	3	25
685	3	15	671†*	Sphere 17	0	671+*	Sphere 17	0
686	3	10	670†	Sphere 8	0	670	Sphere 8	0

Brancker Temperature Loggers

XL 200

Instr	String	Depth**
No.	No.	

8093	1	25
8094	2	25
8091	4	25
8090	5	25

t= With pressure

* = did not collect data

**Depth Shown is nominal depth relative to horizontal element Horizontal Element at approximately 20 meters depth Table A1-1: Instrument type and serial number (continued)

Horizontal Mooring, August 1998 Instrumentation (continued)

FSI 3D ACM

Instr	Location	Depth**
No.		(m)

1467†	Horiz	20
1468	String 3	20
1469†	Horiz	20

Motion Package

Instr	Location	Depth**
No.		(m)
001	Sphere 8	20

Ax Pack

Instr	Location	Depth**
No.		(m)
1	String 3	25
2	Horiz	0

t= With pressure

* = did not collect data

**Depth Shown is nominal depth relative to horizontal element Horizontal Element at approximately 20 meters depth



Figure A1-2: x, z, coordinate system.

Table A1-2: Coordinates of deployed instrumentation using x, z coordinate system

Horizontal Mooring, August 1998

Instrumentation

SBE 39								
Sorted by	Serial N	lo.	Sorted	by X pos	ition	Sorted I	oy Z pos	ition
Instr	Х	Z	Instr	Х	Z	Instr	Х	Z
No.	Coord	Coord	No.	Coord	Coord	No.	Coord	Coord
-	50.00	0.5	0.5		~~ ~			
/	50.28	25	35	20	20.2	35	20	20.2
35	20	20.2	52	20	25	40	50.28	20.2
38	140.9	25	45	20	30	49	110.7	20.2
39	110.7	35	48	20	35	41	140.9	20.2
40	50.28	20.2	44	20	40	52	20	25
41	140.9	20.2	40	50.28	20.2	7	50.28	25
44	20	40	. 7	50.28	25	51	110.7	25
45	20	30	54	50.28	30	38	140.9	25
46	50.28	35	46	50.28	-35	45	20	30
47	140.9	30	50	50.28	40	54	50.28	30
48	20	35	49	110.7	20.2	53*	110.7	30
49	110.7	20.2	51	110.7	25	47	140.9	30
50	50.28	40	53*	110.7	30	48	20	35
51	.110.7	25	39	110.7	35	46	50.28	35
52	20	25	101	110.7	40	39	110.7	35
53*	110.7	30	41	140.9	20.2	103	140.9	35
54	50.28	30	38	140.9	25	44	20	40
101	110.7	40	47	140.9	30	50	50.28	40
102	140.9	40	103	140.9	35	101	110.7	40
103	140.9	35	102	140.9	40	102	140.9	40

X and Z coordinates are nominal locations

* = did not log data

Table A1-2: Coordinates of deployed instrumentation using x, z coordinate system (continued)

Horizontal Mooring, August 1998 Instrumentation (continued)

MicroCat SBE-37

Sorted by Serial Number		Sorted I	Sorted by X Position			Sorted by Z Position		
Instr	х	Z	Instr	x	z	Instr	х	z
No.	Coord	Coord	No.	Coord	Coord	No.	Coord	Coord
	(m)	(m)		(m)	(m)		(m)	(m)
669	80.47	40	671†*	0	19.4	671†*	0 0	19.4
670†	160.9	19.4	683	80.47	25	670†	160.9	19.4
671‡*	0	19.4	686	80.47	30	683	80.47	25
683	80.47	25	685	80.47	35	686	80.47	30
684†	80.47	45	669	80.47	40	685	80.47	35
685	80.47	35	684†	80.47	45	669	80.47	,40
686	80.47	30	670†	160.9	19.4	684†	80.47	45

Brancker Temperature Loggers

XL 200	-			•	
Instr	Х	Z	Instr	х	z
No.	Coord	Coord	No.	Coord	Coord
	(m)	(m)		(m)	(m)
8090	140.9	45	8093	20	45
8091	110.7	45	8094	50.28	45
8093	20	45	8091	110.7	45
8094	50.28	45	8090	140.9	45

t= With pressure

* = did not collect data

Appendix 2: Time Marks on Temperature Sensing Instruments

•

Horizontal Mooring Pre-deployment cold bath times. Date: 4 August 98

Instrument	Time In (UTC)	Time Out (UTC)
Seacats 143A 994 (spare) 928 993 991 929	2238:31 2239:17 2239:31 2242:10 2242:15 2242:10	2240:19 2241:30 2241:14 2244:10 2244:10 2244:10
Brancker's (XX105) 4483 4494 3699 4491 4487 (spare) 3662	2245 2245 2245 2245 2245 2250:15 2250:15	2248:18 2248:18 2248:18 2248:18 2248:18 2255:13 2255:13
XL200 8092 (spare) 8089 (spare)	2250:15 2250:15	2255:13 2255:13
Wadar 274 (spare) 062	2250:15 2250:15	2255:13 2255:13
SBE 39 101 102 103	2257:18 2257:08 2257:08	2258:05 2258:05 2258:05
String 1 String 2 String 4 String 4 (repeat) String 5	2300:20 2302:20 2307:07 2315:37 2320:50	2301:19 2303:23 2308:09 2316:37 2321:50
MicroCats 671 670 String 3 461(USGS) 465(USGS)	2257:08 2307:07 2325:10 2309:24 2309:25	2258:05 2308:09 2326:10 2313:30 2313:30

Horizontal Mooring Post-deployment cold bath times Date: 3 September 98

Ľ

Instrument	Time In (UTC)		Time Out (UTC)	
Seacats 143A 928 993 991 929	1702 1644 1645 1702 1646	2:30 4:15 5:15 2:30 5:15	1718:30 1701:15 1701:15 1718:45 1701:20	
Branckers 4483 4494 3699 4491 3662	1559 1559 1559 1602 1559	9:20 9:15 9:15 2:15 9:20	1604:30 1604:30 1604:30 1606:30 1604:30	
MicroCat 671 670	1542 1542	2:30 2:50	1548:20 1546:35	
String 3 683 686 685 669 684	1543:20 1543:35 1543:25 1543:15 1542:40		1546:45 1546:20 1546:15 1546:25 1548:15	
Seagauge 046	1643	3:15	1701:35	
Wadar 062	1706	5:30	1719:30	
String 1 String 2 String 4 String 5	1533:15 1532:15 1531:15 1538:15		1535:15 1536:15 1537:15 1540:15	
	String 1	String 2	String 4	String 5
SBE 39 SBE 39 SBE 39 SBE 39 SBE 39 XL200	035 052 045 048 044 8093	040 007 054 046 050 8094	049 051 053 039 101 8091	041 038 047 103 102 8090

Appendix 3: Pressure Telemetry System

A system was developed to transmit real-time pressure data to the deployment vessel using a Sea-Bird Electronics, Inc., MicroCAT (SBE 37IM) conductivity, temperature, and pressure data logger. A Sea-Bird Electronics Inductive Modem Controller (IMC) was required for communications with the MicroCAT. The IMC is supplied with DC power in the range of 7 to 25 volts and an operating current of about 60 milliamps when the modem is active. The main computer or buoy controller can be interfaced via an RS232 serial port to the IMC; the standard interface protocol between the computer / controller and the IMC is 9600 baud, 8 bits, no parity, RS-232C; with echoing of characters. The IMC (a modem is a modulator / demodulator") impresses ("modulates") the mooring cable with a Differential-Phase-Shift-Keyed (DPSK) signal that is encoded with the commands received from the computer/controller. These encoded signals are "demodulated" by the MicroCATs coupled to the mooring cable. Replies from MicroCATs are similarly coupled to the mooring cable and "demodulated" by the IMC. The DPSK communication link between the IMC and MicroCAT is half duplex, meaning that talking and listening is sequential only. Even though the data link between the IMC and the computer /controller is established at 9600 baud, the DSPK modem communication between the IMC and MicroCAT operate at 1200 baud.

The MicroCAT was set to sample every 15 seconds, with this data being stored internally. Sampling of the MicroCAT telemetry data was controlled separately by an Onset Computer Corporation, Tattletale IV data controller/logger. The Tattletale IV, which was programmed in TT Basic would send a command to request a data sample from the MicroCAT, through the IMC (Table A3-1), every 15 seconds. This data would then be sent to a FreeWave Technologies, Inc., wireless data transceiver for transmission in real time to a shipboard mounted transceiver. The IMC, Tattletale IV, and wireless transceiver were mounted on a small surface float that was tethered to the sphere-mounted MicroCAT with a 30 meter long shot of 3/16" diameter plastic jacketed wire rope. The surface float system was powered by three internal batteries, which operated at +10.5 volts DC. The shipboard transceiver was powered by AC.

The FreeWave transceiver required an input in the range of +9.5 to +14 volts DC with an average current consumption of 180 milliamps. FreeWave allows the user to tune several parameters to optimize its performance for a particular application. All adjustments are done through the FreeWave setup program, a user interface which eliminates the need for setup diskettes, DIP switches settings, or custom software. The setup program is invoked by connecting the FreeWave to any terminal program, setting the baud rate for that terminal to 19200 baud, and using a small pointed object to press the Setup buttom on the front panel of the transceiver. This procedure allowed setup of the shipboard transceiver. The transceiver used in the surface float system (OEM module) model DGRO-115, is invoked by grounding pin 2 on the main connector of that board. The output rate of the transmitted pressure data was set to 9600 baud. The transceivers were setup to run in Point to Multipoint Master mode, which allows one master (shipboard system) to simultaneously be in communication with numerous slaves (surface float systems). Both of the horizontal mooring subsurface spheres had a MicroCAT and associated pressure telemetry system.

Table A3-1: Program used to query MicroCAT.

MicroCAT Tattletale IV/Inductive Modem Controller Program - MicroCAT I.D. #01 200 REM ***** SEND " #01TS" TO MICROCAT 220 FOR X=1T0100:NEXT X 222 REM USEND 9600, "", \10;:FOR X=1T030:NEXT X 224 REM USEND 9600, "", \13;:FOR X=1T030:NEXT X 230 USEND 9600, "", \10;:FOR X=1T030:NEXT X 235 USEND 9600, "", \13;:FOR X=1T030:NEXT X 240 USEND 9600, "", \10;:FOR X=1T030:NEXT X 247 USEND 9600, "", \13;: FOR X=1T030:NEXT X 250 USEND 9600, "#";:FOR X=1T030:NEXT X 260 USEND 9600, "0";:FOR X=1T030:NEXT X 270 USEND 9600, "1";:FOR X=1T030:NEXT X 280 USEND 9600, "T";:FOR X=1T030:NEXT X 290 USEND 9600, "S";:FOR X=1T030:NEXT X 292 USEND 9600, "", \13;: FOR X=1T030:NEXT X 300 SLEEP 1500 310 GOTO 220

The 3/16-inch diameter wire rope that connected the sphere-mounted MicroCAT to the telemetry surface float, which housed the IMC package, was used to transmit data and receive commands. The pressure data received from the MicroCATs in real time was monitored as the ship tensioned the mooring. Tensioning was halted when the sphere reached the design depths. The TT Basic programs used in the Tattletale IV controller, interconnection drawing (Figure A3-1) and mooring schematic (Figure A3-2) are provided.



Figure A3-1: Tattle IV drawing.



Figure A3-2: Mooring schematic.

DOCUMENT LIBRARY

Distribution List for Technical Report Exchange – July 1998

University of California, San Diego SIO Library 0175C 9500 Gilman Drive La Jolla, CA 92093-0175

Hancock Library of Biology & Oceanography Alan Hancock Laboratory University of Southern California University Park Los Angeles, CA 90089-0371

Gifts & Exchanges Library Bedford Institute of Oceanography P.O. Box 1006 Dartmouth, NS, B2Y 4A2, CANADA

NOAA/EDIS Miami Library Center 4301 Rickenbacker Causeway Miami, FL 33149

Research Library U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station 3909 Halls Ferry Road Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199

Marine Resources Information Center Building E38-320 MIT Cambridge, MA 02139

Library Lamont-Doherty Geological Observatory Columbia University Palisades, NY 10964

Library Serials Department Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331

Pell Marine Science Library University of Rhode Island Narragansett Bay Campus Narragansett, RI 02882

Working Collection Texas A&M University Dept. of Oceanography College Station, TX 77843 Fisheries-Oceanography Library 151 Oceanography Teaching Bldg. University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195

Library R.S.M.A.S. University of Miami 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway Miami, FL 33149

Maury Oceanographic Library Naval Oceanographic Office Building 1003 South 1002 Balch Blvd. Stennis Space Center, MS, 39522-5001

Library Institute of Ocean Sciences P.O. Box 6000 Sidney, B.C. V8L 4B2 CANADA

National Oceanographic Library Southampton Oceanography Centre European Way Southampton SO14 3ZH UK

The Librarian CSIRO Marine Laboratories G.P.O. Box 1538 Hobart, Tasmania AUSTRALIA 7001

Library Proudman Oceanographic Laboratory Bidston Observatory Birkenhead Merseyside L43 7 RA UNITED KINGDOM

IFREMER Centre de Brest Service Documentation - Publications BP 70 29280 PLOUZANE FRANCE . -**.**

50272-101				
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	1. REPORT NO. WHOI-99-14	2. UOP-9	99-02 3. Recipient's A	ccession No.
4. Title and Subtitle The Horizontal Mooring: Components, Instrumen	ray, 5. Report Date Septem 6.	aber 1999		
7. Author(s) Richard P. Trask,	Steven P. Anderson, Bryan S. Way,	William M. Ostron	1 8. Performing C WHOI	Organization Rept. No. -99-14
9. Performing Organization Name and	Address	beit A. wener	10. Project/Tasl	k/Work Unit No.
Woods Hole Oceanographic Woods Hole, Massachusetts	Institution 02543		11. Contract(C) (C) N00014 (G)	or Grant(G) No. -97-1-0158
12. Sponsoring Organization Name ar	nd Address		13. Type of Rep	oort & Period Covered
Office of Naval Research			Techni	cal Report
			14.	
15. Supplementary Notes This report should be cited a	as: Woods Hole Oceanog. Inst. Tech.	Rept., WHOI-99-	14.	
 16. Abstract (Limit: 200 words) A moored two-dimensional August 1998 at an 85-meter 160-meter long horizontal ethorizontal member were five each had instruments at depincluded acoustic current memonitoring packages. This report includes a detail were deployed. Also include assessment of the performance. 	array with instrumentation distributed deep site in Massachusetts Bay near lement positioned at a depth of 20 me e 25-meter long vertical strings. The v ths of 20, 25, 30, 35, 40 and 45 meters eters, temperature sensors, conductivity ed description of the two-dimensional ed is a description of the deployment nce of the array.	both horizontally a Stellwagon basin. T ters between two s ertical strings had s. Instrumentation of y measuring instrum array, the anchorin and recovery techn	nd vertically was de The horizontal moor ubsurface moorings a horizontal separat deployed on the two ments, pressure sens ng system and the in iques that were em	eployed for 27 days in ring consisted of a . Suspended below the ion of 30 meters and o-dimensional array sors and motion nstrumentation that ployed, as well as an
17. Document Analysis a. Descript	tors			
anchor systems				
two-dimensional moored as	тау			
b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms				
c. COSATI Field/Group				
18. Availability Statement		19. Security Class UNCLAS	s (This Report) SIFIED	21. No. of Pages 58
Approved for public re	itease; distribution unimited.	20. Security Class	s (This Page)	22. Price
(See ANSI-Z39.18)	e ANSI-Z39.18) Let			OPTIONAL FORM 272 (4-77

.

.

a T