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## Executive Summary

1 This report analyses participation and success rates of apprentices and adult learners in 2008/09, 2009/10 and 2010/11, by ethnicity, gender, learning difficulties and/or disabilities and age, using data from the Individualised Learner Record.
2 The summary chart below shows participation in 2010/11 by Black, Asian or Minority Ethnic (BAME) learners, female learners, and learners with learning difficulties and/or disabilities, broken down by funding stream, and compared against the English population. Comparable population figures for learning difficulties and/or disabilities are not available, so are not shown in this chart.
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## Summary Chart: Equality and Diversity Proportions for Learners, 2010/11 and the English Population ${ }^{1}$
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## Ethnicity

3 In 2010/11:

- 19.2 per cent of all adult learners were from a Black, Asian or Minority Ethnic (BAME) background. This was higher than the estimated proportion of BAME people in the general English population ( 12.7 per cent) and higher than the proportion of BAME learners in 2008/09 (17.6 per cent).
- 8.7 per cent of apprentices were from a BAME background. This is lower than the proportion of BAME people in the general English population, but it is higher than the proportion of BAME apprentices in 2008/09 ( 6.7 per cent).
- Apprenticeship success rates were higher for all ethnic groups than in either of the previous two years. Success rates ranged from 71.1 per cent for Black or Black British learners to 76.6 per cent for White learners, a gap of 5.5 percentage points.


## Gender

4 In 2010/11:

- 57.1 per cent of all adult learners were female. This is higher than the proportion in the general English population (50.2 per cent), but lower than the proportions in 2008/09 (58.1 per cent) and 2009/10 (57.4 per cent).
- 50.4 per cent of apprentices were female. This is slightly higher than the proportion in the general English population, and higher than the proportion of female apprentices in 2008/09 (45.8 per cent).
5 In 2010/11, in the five largest Apprenticeship Sector Subject Areas by number of starts:
- 65.3 per cent of starts in Business, Administration and Law are by females
- 61.8 per cent of starts in Retail and Commercial Enterprise are by females
- 81.7 per cent of starts in Health, Public Services and Care are by females
- 6.1 per cent of starts in Engineering and Manufacturing Technologies apprentices are by females
- 1.6 per cent of starts in Construction, Planning and the Built Environment apprentices are by females.

6 Success rates rose for both female and male apprentices between 2008/09 and 2010/11. In 2010/11, Females had a success rate of 77.2 per cent, 1.5 percentage points higher than males.

## Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities

7 Among all adult learners in 2010/11:

- 11.2 per cent declared learning difficulties and/or disabilities (LDD). This is higher than the proportion in 2008/09 (10.3 per cent).
- 0.9 per cent declared a mental health difficulty
- 0.8 per cent declared a disability affecting mobility
- 0.7 per cent declared a hearing impairment
- 0.7 per cent declared multiple disabilities
- 2.4 per cent declared dyslexia
- 1.5 per cent declared a moderate learning difficulty.

8 In Apprenticeships in 2010/11:

- 8.4 per cent of apprentices declared learning difficulties and/or disabilities. This is lower than the proportion in 2008/09 (10.1 per cent).
- 7.1 per cent of BAME apprentices declared an LDD, compared to 8.6 per cent of White apprentices.
- Apprentices with LDD had a success rate of 72.6 per cent, 4.3 percentage points lower than that for apprentices who did not have an LDD.
- Success rates by disability impairment type ranged from 67.8 per cent for apprentices with emotional/behavioural difficulties, to 77.0 per cent for those with visual impairments compared to 76.5 per cent for those who did not declare a disability impairment.
- Success rates by learning difficulty ranged from 59.6 per cent for apprentices with multiple learning difficulties, to 73.5 per cent for learners with dyscalculia, compared to 76.8 per cent for learners without a learning difficulty.
9 In Education and Training provision in 2010/11,
- Learners who declared an LDD had a success rate of 81.8 per cent, 0.2 percentage points higher than learners who did not declare an LDD.
- 10.4 per cent of BAME learners declared an LDD, compared to 17.2 per cent of White learners


## Age

10 In Apprenticeships between 2008/09 and 2010/11, learners over 25 consistently had higher success rates than learners aged 19-24 and learners under 19.

11 In Education and Training provision between 2008/09 and 2010/11, success rates were consistently highest for learners aged 60+, and lowest for learners aged 19-24.
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## Introduction

12 In January 2012, we published a learner equality data report covering 2008/09 and 2009/10. The figures from that report have not changed, but this report additionally includes:

- data from 2010/11
- further breakdowns, for example by disability impairment type and age
- funding streams updated in accordance with the new headings in the Post 16 Education \& Skills: Learner Participation, Outcomes and Level of Highest Qualification Held Statistical First Release. University for Industry figures have been added to Learner Responsive to show the new Education and Training funding stream figures. Other Employer Responsive (non-Apprenticeships) figures have been added to Train to Gain to give the new Workplace Learning funding stream figures. Overall FE and Skills (all funding streams) figures and Apprenticeship figures are unaffected.
- charts and graphs.

13 This report forms part of a range of equality information published by the Skills Funding Agency, including:

- our Single Equality Scheme, published in April 2012
- a report on equality and diversity in the Skills Funding Agency workforce published in January 2012
- research on the experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual and trans learners, published in August 2011
- a summary of progress made against our draft Single Equality Scheme, published in September 2011.

14 This report is accompanied by a spreadsheet which includes more detailed breakdowns, for example Apprenticeship data broken down by age, and full ethnicity breakdowns. Where indicated, some tables and charts in this report are extracts of these more detailed tables.
15 The next update of this report is due in early 2013, when final 2011/12 data becomes available. Additionally, an evaluation of learners' experiences of religion and belief equality is expected to be published later in 2012.
16 The purposes of these reports are to:

- enable communities to hold the FE sector to account for its equality outcomes
- inform learners
- enable colleges, providers and other stakeholders to understand the impact of their activities on equality, and develop objectives to advance equality
- help meet one of the specific duties under the Equality Act, to publish equality information.


## Notes on Data

17 All learner data are drawn from the Individualised Learner Record (ILR). Full details are available in the Excel spreadsheets which accompany this report, and the table numbers correspond to those in the spreadsheets.
18 Demographic comparisons for gender and ethnicity are based on the Office for National Statistics Quarter 42010 Labour Force Survey, ages 19 to 64 in England.
19 Volumes are rounded to the nearest ten except Grand Totals which are rounded to the nearest hundred; percentages are calculated based on pre-rounded data.
20 In participation and starts tables, '-' Indicates a base value of less than five or a percentage of less than $0.5 \%$. In success rate tables, '-' Indicates when the number of related cohorts is less than 30 or the number of achievers is under 5 .

21 To allow for comparison between different ILR data sources, learner data tables use age as at 31 August of the academic year for all provision except Table 8 (Apprenticeships starts) which uses age at the start of the programme. The tables include learners aged 19 and over only, except Apprenticeship tables, which include under 19s.
22 Full-year numbers are a count of the number of learners that participated at any point during the year. Learners undertaking more than one course will appear only once. However, learners that are included in different data collections, whether that relates to different years or different funding streams, will be counted more than once.
23 Success rates are calculated as the number of learning aims achieved divided by the number of starts, excluding any learners who transferred onto another qualification within the same organisation. Learners who withdrew within the first 6 weeks of the qualification are excluded.

24 Age, gender, learning difficulties and/or disabilities and ethnicity are based upon self-declaration by the learner.
25 'Total BAME' is the sum of learners from a Black, Asian or Minority Ethnic group.

## Participation

## Participation by Ethnicity

26 In 2010/11, 19.2 per cent of adult learners were from a Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) background. This was higher than the estimated proportion in the general English population (12.7 per cent) and higher than the proportions in 2008/09 (17.6 per cent) and 2009/10 (18.1 per cent). All BAME groups had a higher representation in FE and Skills than in the general population [Table 1A].

Table 1A: Adult (19+) FE and Skills Participation by Ethnicity (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  | English population ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  | 2010 |
| Ethnicity | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |  |
| Asian or Asian British | 282,890 | 7.5\% | 267,900 | 7.6\% | 257,380 | 8.1\% | 6.3\% |
| Black or Black British | 223,790 | 5.9\% | 215,770 | 6.1\% | 205,830 | 6.5\% | 2.9\% |
| Mixed | 62,030 | 1.6\% | 62,520 | 1.8\% | 60,830 | 1.9\% | 0.9\% |
| Chinese or Other Ethnic Group | 95,430 | 2.5\% | 93,830 | 2.7\% | 83,940 | 2.7\% | 2.5\% |
| Total BAME | 664,140 | 17.6\% | 640,020 | 18.1\% | 607,980 | 19.2\% | 12.7\% |
| White | 2,991,750 | 79.3\% | 2,807,040 | 79.3\% | 2,481,450 | 78.4\% | 87.2\% |
| Not Known | 115,350 | 3.1\% | 93,430 | 2.6\% | 73,770 | 2.3\% | 0.1\% |
| Grand Total | 3,771,200 | 100.0\% | 3,540,500 | 100.0\% | 3,163,200 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

In 2010/11, 8.7 per cent of apprentices of all ages were from a BAME background. This was lower than the proportion of BAME people in the English population (ages 19-64) as a whole. However, it is higher than the proportions of BAME apprentices in $2008 / 09$ ( 6.7 per cent) and 2009/10 (7.1 per cent).
In 2010/11, compared to the population at large, there was a lower proportion of apprentices from Asian or Asian British; Black or Black British; and Chinese or Other Ethnic Group backgrounds; and a higher proportion from Mixed and White backgrounds [Table 1B].

Table 1B (extract ${ }^{2}$ ): Apprenticeship Participation by Ethnicity (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  | English population ${ }^{1}$$2010$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |  |
|  | All Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethnicity | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |  |
| Asian or Asian British | 13,370 | 3.0\% | 14,070 | 2.9\% | 24,020 | 3.6\% | 6.3\% |
| Black or Black British | 7,840 | 1.8\% | 10,010 | 2.0\% | 17,950 | 2.7\% | 2.9\% |
| Mixed | 6,430 | 1.4\% | 7,890 | 1.6\% | 11,380 | 1.7\% | 0.9\% |
| Chinese or Other Ethnic Group | 2,340 | 0.5\% | 2,700 | 0.5\% | 4,660 | 0.7\% | 2.5\% |
| Total BAME | 29,970 | 6.7\% | 34,680 | 7.1\% | 58,020 | 8.7\% | 12.7\% |
| White | 410,960 | 92.4\% | 452,680 | 92.1\% | 602,420 | 90.5\% | 87.2\% |
| Not Known | 3,830 | 0.9\% | 3,920 | 0.8\% | 5,460 | 0.8\% | 0.1\% |
| Grand Total | 444,800 | 100.0\% | 491,300 | 100.0\% | 665,900 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

[^1]29 In adult Workplace Learning provision in 2010/11, 17.0 per cent of learners were from a BAME background, higher than the proportion in the population at large (12.7 per cent) and higher than the proportions in 2008/09 (16.6 per cent) and 2009/10 (15.7 per cent) [Table 1C].
Table 1C: Adult (19+) Workplace Learning Participation by Ethnicity (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { English population }^{1} \\ \hline 2010 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |  |
| Ethnicity | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |  |
| Asian or Asian British | 77,950 | 7.6\% | 70,040 | 7.2\% | 60,700 | 8.1\% | 6.3\% |
| Black or Black British | 59,940 | 5.8\% | 52,390 | 5.4\% | 42,650 | 5.7\% | 2.9\% |
| Mixed | 13,210 | 1.3\% | 12,790 | 1.3\% | 10,590 | 1.4\% | 0.9\% |
| Chinese or Other Ethnic Group | 19,310 | 1.9\% | 17,890 | 1.8\% | 13,770 | 1.8\% | 2.5\% |
| Total BAME | 170,420 | 16.6\% | 153,110 | 15.7\% | 127,700 | 17.0\% | 12.7\% |
| White | 837,180 | 81.3\% | 803,500 | 82.6\% | 614,260 | 81.5\% | 87.2\% |
| Not Known | 21,830 | 2.1\% | 15,600 | 1.6\% | 11,290 | 1.5\% | 0.1\% |
| Grand Total | 1,029,400 | 100.0\% | 972,200 | 100.0\% | 753,200 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

In adult Education and Training provision in 2010/11, 26.3 per cent of learners were from a BAME background. This was higher than the estimated proportion in the population as a whole (12.7 per cent) and higher than the proportions in 2008/09 (21.6 per cent) and 2009/10 (23.2 per cent).

Table 1D: Adult (19+) Education and Training Participation by Ethnicity (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  | English population ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | $2010 / 11$ |  | 2010 |
| Ethnicity | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |  |
| Asian or Asian British | 145,020 | 8.6\% | 134,390 | 8.9\% | 124,030 | 10.2\% | 6.3\% |
| Black or Black British | 129,300 | 7.6\% | 124,970 | 8.3\% | 115,610 | 9.5\% | 2.9\% |
| Mixed | 35,700 | 2.1\% | 35,220 | 2.3\% | 32,630 | 2.7\% | 0.9\% |
| Chinese or Other Ethnic Group | 55,930 | 3.3\% | 54,080 | 3.6\% | 46,320 | 3.8\% | 2.5\% |
| Total BAME | 365,950 | 21.6\% | 348,660 | 23.2\% | 318,590 | 26.3\% | 12.7\% |
| White | 1,274,350 | 75.2\% | 1,113,190 | 73.9\% | 863,550 | 71.2\% | 87.2\% |
| Not Known | 53,810 | 3.2\% | 43,970 | 2.9\% | 31,270 | 2.6\% | 0.1\% |
| Grand Total | 1,694,100 | 100.0\% | 1,505,800 | 100.0\% | 1,213,400 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

In adult Skills for Life provision in 2010/11, 27.6 per cent of learners were from a BAME background, higher than the proportion in England as a whole (12.7 per cent), but lower than the proportions in 2008/09 (28.4 per cent) and 2009/10 (28.6 per cent) [Table 1E].

Table 1E: Adult (19+) FE and Skills - Skills for Life Participation by Ethnicity (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  | English population ${ }^{1}$$2010$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |  |
| Ethnicity | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |  |
| Asian or Asian British | 118,670 | 12.6\% | 111,100 | 12.2\% | 113,340 | 11.8\% | 6.3\% |
| Black or Black British | 84,190 | 8.9\% | 83,160 | 9.1\% | 88,030 | 9.2\% | 2.9\% |
| Mixed | 20,530 | 2.2\% | 20,640 | 2.3\% | 22,470 | 2.3\% | 0.9\% |
| Chinese or Other Ethnic Group | 44,580 | 4.7\% | 44,730 | 4.9\% | 41,590 | 4.3\% | 2.5\% |
| Total BAME | 267,970 | 28.4\% | 259,630 | 28.6\% | 265,420 | 27.6\% | 12.7\% |
| White | 655,290 | 69.3\% | 629,450 | 69.2\% | 679,400 | 70.6\% | 87.2\% |
| Not Known | 21,820 | 2.3\% | 20,060 | 2.2\% | 17,030 | 1.8\% | 0.1\% |
| Grand Total | 945,100 | 100.0\% | 909,100 | 100.0\% | 961,800 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Participation by Gender

32 In 2010/11, 57.1 per cent of adult learners were female. This is higher than the proportion in the general English population (50.2 per cent), but lower than the proportions in 2008/09 (58.1 per cent) and 2009/10 (57.4 per cent) [Table 2A].

Table 2A: Adult (19+) FE and Skills Participation by Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  | English population ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  | 2010 |
| Gender | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |  |
| Female | 2,191,890 | 58.1\% | 2,033,770 | 57.4\% | 1,807,390 | 57.1\% | 50.2\% |
| Male | 1,579,350 | 41.9\% | 1,506,730 | 42.6\% | 1,355,800 | 42.9\% | 49.8\% |
| Grand Total | 3,771,200 | 100.0\% | 3,540,500 | 100.0\% | 3,163,200 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

In 2010/11, 50.4 per cent of apprentices were female. This is slightly higher than the English population estimate of 50.2 per cent, and higher than the proportions in 2008/09 (45.8 per cent) and 2009/10 (46.9 per cent) [Table 2B].

Table 2B (extract ${ }^{3}$ ): Apprenticeship Participation by Age and Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { English population }^{1} \\ \hline 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |  |
| Gender | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |  |
| Female | 203,800 | 45.8\% | 230,320 | 46.9\% | 335,530 | 50.4\% | 50.2\% |
| Male | 240,970 | 54.2\% | 260,960 | 53.1\% | 330,360 | 49.6\% | 49.8\% |
| Grand Total | 444,800 | 100.0\% | 491,300 | 100.0\% | 665,900 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

34 In 2010/11, 43.5 per cent of learners in Workplace Learning provision were female. This is lower than the English population estimate of 50.2 per cent, and lower than the proportions of female learners in 2008/09 (46.8 per cent) and 2009/10 (47.0 per cent) [Table 2C].

Table 2C: Adult (19+) Workplace Learning Participation by Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { English population }^{1} \\ \hline 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |  |
| Gender | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |  |
| Female | 481,960 | 46.8\% | 456,840 | 47.0\% | 327,390 | 43.5\% | 50.2\% |
| Male | 547,460 | 53.2\% | 515,360 | 53.0\% | 425,860 | 56.5\% | 49.8\% |
| Grand Total | 1,029,400 | 100.0\% | 972,200 | 100.0\% | 753,200 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

[^2]In 2010/11, 56.6 per cent of adult learners in Education and Training provision were female. This is higher than the estimated proportion of females in the English population, but lower than the proportions of female learners in 2008/09 (58.6 per cent) and 2009/10 (57.3 per cent) [Table 2D].

Table 2D: Adult (19+) Education and Training Participation by Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  | English population ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  | 2010 |
| Gender | 2008/09 | \% of Total | 2009/10 | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |  |
| Female | 992,650 | 58.6\% | 862,110 | 57.3\% | 687,100 | 56.6\% | 50.2\% |
| Male | 701,460 | 41.4\% | 643,710 | 42.7\% | 526,310 | 43.4\% | 49.8\% |
| Grand Total | 1,694,100 | 100.0\% | 1,505,800 | 100.0\% | 1,213,400 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

36 In 2010/11, 59.3 per cent of adult Skills for Life learners were female. This is higher than the estimated proportion in the general English population (50.2 per cent) and higher than the proportions in 2008/09 (58.5 per cent) and 2009/10 (58.1 per cent) [Table 2E].

Table 2E: Adult (19+) FE and Skills - Skills for Life Participation by Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  | English population ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  | 2010 |
| Gender | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |  |
| Female | 552,690 | 58.5\% | 528,220 | 58.1\% | 570,240 | 59.3\% | 50.2\% |
| Male | 392,390 | 41.5\% | 380,930 | 41.9\% | 391,610 | 40.7\% | 49.8\% |
| Grand Total | 945,100 | 100.0\% | 909,100 | 100.0\% | 961,800 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Participation by Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities

37 The Office for Disability Issues estimates that in 2009/10, there were 10.9 million disabled people in Great Britain ${ }^{4}$, which equates to 17.3 per cent of the total population. However, learner figures and population estimates cannot be directly compared as they are collected in different ways. In particular, impairments are defined differently; learner data are based on self-declaration, and there was a relatively large proportion of 'not knowns' in the learner data.
38 In 2010/11, 11.2 per cent of all adult learners declared learning difficulties and/or disabilities. This is higher than the proportions in 2008/09 (10.3 per cent) and in 2009/10 (10.7 per cent) [Table 3A.1].

Table 3A.1: Adult (19+) FE and Skills Participation by Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |
| Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |
| Learning Difficulty/Disability | 387,810 | 10.3\% | 378,160 | 10.7\% | 354,000 | 11.2\% |
| No Learning Difficulty/Disability | 3,104,720 | 82.3\% | 2,942,580 | 83.1\% | 2,616,490 | 82.7\% |
| Not Known | 278,710 | 7.4\% | 219,760 | 6.2\% | 192,700 | 6.1\% |
| Grand Total | 3,771,200 | 100.0\% | 3,540,500 | 100.0\% | 3,163,200 | 100.0\% |

[^3]Report issued on 2 May 2012
NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED
Equality and Diversity data report, 2008/09 to 2010/11

In 2010/11:

- 0.9 per cent of all adult learners declared a mental health difficulty
- 0.8 per cent declared a disability affecting mobility
- 0.7 per cent declared a hearing impairment
- 0.7 per cent declared multiple disabilities
- 1.6 per cent declared another medical condition [Table 3A.2].

Table 3A.2: Adult (19+) FE and Skills Participation by Disability (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |
| Disability | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |
| Visual Impairment | 17,630 | - | 17,360 | - | 14,900 |  |
| Hearing Impairment | 24,070 | 0.6\% | 24,690 | 0.7\% | 22,170 | 0.7\% |
| Disability Affecting Mobility | 27,810 | 0.7\% | 27,720 | 0.8\% | 24,910 | 0.8\% |
| Other Physical Disability | 13,480 | - | 13,210 | - | 12,520 | - |
| Other Medical Condition (For Example Epilepsy, Asthma, Diabetes | 49,590 | 1.3\% | 51,610 | 1.5\% | 49,150 | 1.6\% |
| Emotional/Behavioural Difficulties | 6,130 | - | 6,500 | - | 6,700 | - |
| Mental Health Difficulty | 27,590 | 0.7\% | 28,510 | 0.8\% | 28,440 | 0.9\% |
| Temporary Disability After Illness (For Example Post-Viral) or Accident | 2,190 | - | 2,030 | - | 1,820 | - |
| Profound Complex Disabilities | 1,590 | - | 1,570 | - | 1,820 | - |
| Asperger's Syndrome | 1,320 | - | 2,390 | - | 2,980 | - |
| Multiple Disabilities | 19,690 | 0.5\% | 19,900 | 0.6\% | 20,860 | 0.7\% |
| Other | 41,650 | 1.1\% | 42,320 | 1.2\% | 36,490 | 1.2\% |
| No Disability | 3,201,900 | 84.9\% | 3,042,130 | 85.9\% | 2,708,150 | 85.6\% |
| Not Known | 336,600 | 8.9\% | 260,550 | 7.4\% | 232,300 | 7.3\% |
| Grand Total | 3,771,200 | 100.0\% | 3,540,500 | 100.0\% | 3,163,200 | 100.0\% |

In 2010/11:

- 2.4 per cent of all adult learners declared they have dyslexia
- 1.5 per cent declared a moderate learning difficulty [Table 3A.3].

Table 3A.3: Adult (19+) FE and Skills Participation by Learning Difficulty (2008/09 to 2010/11)


41 In 2010/11, 8.4 per cent of apprentices declared learning difficulties and/or disabilities. This is lower than the proportions in 2008/09 (10.1 per cent) and 2009/10 ( 9.7 per cent) [Table 3b.1]. ${ }^{5}$

Table 3B. 1 (extract): Apprenticeship Participation by Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | $2010 / 11$ |  |
| Learners with Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities | All Age |  | All Age |  | All Age |  |
|  | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |
| Learning Difficulty/Disability | 44,950 | 10.1\% | 47,620 | 9.7\% | 55,950 | 8.4\% |
| No Learning Difficulty/Disability | 396,540 | 89.2\% | 439,530 | 89.5\% | 604,750 | 90.8\% |
| Not Known | 3,280 | 0.7\% | 4,130 | 0.8\% | 5,190 | 0.8\% |
| Grand Total | 444,800 | 100.0\% | 491,300 | 100.0\% | 665,900 | 100.0\% |

42 In 2010/11, 6.0 per cent of learners in Workplace Learning provision declared learning difficulties and/or disabilities. This was a higher proportion than in 2008/09 ( 5.6 per cent) and the same proportion as in 2009/10 [Table 3C.1] ${ }^{6}$.

Table 3C.1: Adult (19+) Workplace Learning Participation by Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | /10 |  |  |
| Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |
| Learning Difficulty/Disability | 57,690 | 5.6\% | 58,770 | 6.0\% | 45,100 | 6.0\% |
| No Learning Difficulty/Disability | 935,840 | 90.9\% | 892,120 | 91.8\% | 692,560 | 91.9\% |
| Not Known | 35,890 | 3.5\% | 21,310 | 2.2\% | 15,590 | 2.1\% |
| Grand Total | 1,029,400 | 100.0\% | 972,200 | 100.0\% | 753,200 | 100.0\% |

[^4]In 2010/11, 15.2 per cent of learners in Education and Training provision declared learning difficulties and/or disabilities. This was a higher proportion than in 2008/09 (13.0 per cent) and 2009/10 (13.7 per cent) [Table 3D.1]. ${ }^{7}$

Table 3D.1: Adult (19+) Education and Training Participation by Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |
| Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |
| Learning Difficulty/Disability | 220,120 | 13.0\% | 205,830 | 13.7\% | 184,220 | 15.2\% |
| No Learning Difficulty/Disability | 1,340,280 | 79.1\% | 1,196,240 | 79.4\% | 932,920 | 76.9\% |
| Not Known | 133,710 | 7.9\% | 103,750 | 6.9\% | 96,260 | 7.9\% |
| Grand Total | 1,694,100 | 100.0\% | 1,505,800 | 100.0\% | 1,213,400 | 100.0\% |

44 In 2010/11, 12.0 per cent of Skills for Life learners declared learning difficulties and/or disabilities. This was a lower proportion than in 2008/09 (12.7 per cent) and in 2009/10 (13.0 per cent) [Table 3E.1].

Table 3E.1: Adult (19+) FE and Skills - Skills for Life Participation by Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities (2008/09 to 2009/10)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 109 |  |  |  |  |
| Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |
| Learning Difficulty/Disability | 120,430 | 12.7\% | 117,980 | 13.0\% | 115,400 | 12.0\% |
| No Learning Difficulty/Disability | 770,680 | 81.5\% | 746,180 | 82.1\% | 794,320 | 82.6\% |
| Not Known | 53,970 | 5.7\% | 44,990 | 4.9\% | 52,120 | 5.4\% |
| Grand Total | 945,100 | 100.0\% | 909,100 | 100.0\% | 961,800 | 100.0\% |

[^5]
## Participation by Age

45 Among all adult learners in 2010/11:

- 23.5 per cent were aged 19-24, a higher proportion than in 2008/09 (20.3 per cent) and 2009/10 (21.8 per cent)
- 24.7 per cent were aged 25-34, a higher proportion than in 2008/09 ( 24.2 per cent) and 2009/10 ( 24.5 per cent)
- 22.1 per cent were aged 35-44, a lower proportion than in 2008/09 (24.1 per cent) and 2009/10 (23.1 per cent)
- 20.5 per cent were aged 45-59, a lower proportion than in 2008/09 ( 21.7 per cent) and 2009/10 ( 21.3 per cent)
- 8.8 per cent were aged 60+, a slightly lower proportion than in 2008/09 ( 9.1 per cent) and the same as in 2009/10 [Table 4A].

Table 4A: Adult (19+) FE and Skills Participation by Age (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2009 |  | 201 |  |
| Age | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |
| 19-24 | 764,150 | 20.3\% | 770,620 | 21.8\% | 744,220 | 23.5\% |
| 25-34 | 914,150 | 24.2\% | 868,030 | 24.5\% | 782,870 | 24.7\% |
| 35-44 | 907,100 | 24.1\% | 819,050 | 23.1\% | 698,520 | 22.1\% |
| 45-59 | 819,250 | 21.7\% | 754,790 | 21.3\% | 649,720 | 20.5\% |
| 60+ | 342,760 | 9.1\% | 310,690 | 8.8\% | 277,700 | 8.8\% |
| Not Known | 23,820 | 0.6\% | 17,330 | - | 10,170 | - |
| Grand Total | 3,771,200 | 100.0\% | 3,540,500 | 100.0\% | 3,163,200 | 100.0\% |

In Apprenticeships in 2010/11:

- 37.8 per cent of apprentices were aged 19-24, a lower proportion than in 2008/09 (43.1 per cent) and 2009/10 (42.9 per cent)
- 13.7 per cent of apprentices were aged 25-34, a higher proportion than in 2008/09 (9.4 per cent) and 2009/10 (10.0 per cent)
- 9.4 per cent of apprentices were aged 35-44, a higher proportion than in 2008/09 ( 5.0 per cent) and 2009/10 (5.3 per cent)
- 8.0 per cent of apprentices were aged 45-59, a higher proportion than in 2008/09 (3.2 per cent) and 2009/10 (3.7 per cent) [Table 4B].

Table 4B: Apprenticeship Participation by Age (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |
| Age | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |
| Under 16 | 2,710 | 0.6\% | 4,130 | 0.8\% | 4,150 | 0.6\% |
| 16 | 38,220 | 8.6\% | 39,100 | 8.0\% | 42,610 | 6.4\% |
| 17 | 64,780 | 14.6\% | 67,620 | 13.8\% | 71,300 | 10.7\% |
| 18 | 68,200 | 15.3\% | 75,580 | 15.4\% | 85,070 | 12.8\% |
| 19-24 | 191,760 | 43.1\% | 210,930 | 42.9\% | 251,900 | 37.8\% |
| 25-34 | 42,000 | 9.4\% | 48,930 | 10.0\% | 91,440 | 13.7\% |
| 35-44 | 22,370 | 5.0\% | 26,270 | 5.3\% | 62,490 | 9.4\% |
| 45-59 | 14,190 | 3.2\% | 17,970 | 3.7\% | 53,450 | 8.0\% |
| 60+ | 550 | - | 740 | - | 3,490 | 0.5\% |
| Not Known | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grand Total | 444,800 | 100.0\% | 491,300 | 100.0\% | 665,900 | 100.0\% |

47 In Adult Workplace Learning provision in 2010/11:

- 17.8 per cent of learners were aged 19-24
- 26.3 per cent of learners were aged 25-34
- 26.8 per cent of learners were aged 35-44
- 26.6 per cent of learners were aged 45-59, and
- 2.6 per cent of learners were aged 60+ [Table 4C].

Table 4C: Adult (19+) Workplace Learning Participation by Age (2008/09 to 2010/11)


In Adult Education and Training provision in 2010/11:

- 25.0 per cent of learners were aged 19-24, a higher proportion than in 2008/09 (21.1 per cent) and 2009/10 (22.9 per cent)
- 28.1 per cent of learners were aged 25-34, a higher proportion than in 2008/09 (26.5 per cent) and 2009/10 (27.2 per cent)
- 22.7 per cent of learners were aged 35-44, a lower proportion than in 2008/09 (24.0 per cent) and 2009/10 (23.3. per cent)
- 18.6 per cent of learners were aged 45-59, a lower proportion than in 2008/09 (20.6 per cent) and 2009/10 (19.5 per cent)
- 5.5 per cent of learners were aged 60+, a lower proportion than in 2008/09 ( 7.4 per cent) and 2009/10 ( 6.7 per cent) [Table 4D].

Table 4D: Adult (19+) Education and Training Participation by Age (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | Funded Learners |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008/09 |  | 2009/10 |  | 2010/11 |  |
| Age | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total | Number | \% of Total |
| 19-24 | 357,740 | 21.1\% | 345,580 | 22.9\% | 303,900 | 25.0\% |
| 25-34 | 448,490 | 26.5\% | 409,580 | 27.2\% | 341,140 | 28.1\% |
| 35-44 | 407,110 | 24.0\% | 350,530 | 23.3\% | 275,140 | 22.7\% |
| 45-59 | 348,610 | 20.6\% | 294,140 | 19.5\% | 225,890 | 18.6\% |
| 60+ | 125,210 | 7.4\% | 101,580 | 6.7\% | 66,900 | 5.5\% |
| Not Known | 6,930 | - | 4,410 | - | 440 | - |
| Grand Total | 1,694,100 | 100.0\% | 1,505,800 | 100.0\% | 1,213,400 | 100.0\% |

## Participation by Ethnicity and Gender

49 In 2010/11, 49.5 per cent of BAME apprentices were female. This was lower than the proportion of White apprentices who were female ( 50.4 per cent) and lower than the proportion of BAME apprentices who were female in 2008/09 ( 52.1 per cent) [Chart 5A] ${ }^{8}$.

Chart 5A: Proportion of Learners (All Ages) Participating in Apprenticeships that were Female, by Ethnicity (2008/09-2010/11)


[^6]50 In adult Workplace Learning in 2010/11, 40.0 per cent of BAME learners were female, lower than the proportion of White learners who were female ( 44.2 per cent). It was also lower than the proportion of BAME learners who were female in 2008/09 (44.0 per cent). Participation by females was lowest among Asian or Asian British learners, among whom 33.2 per cent were female in 2010/11 [Chart $5 \mathrm{~B}]^{9}$.

Chart 5B: Proportion of Adult (19+) Learners Participating in Workplace Learning that were Female, by Ethnicity (2008/09-2010/11)


[^7]In adult Education and Training provision in 2010/11, 59.1 per cent of BAME learners were female. This was higher than the proportion of White learners who were female ( 56.2 per cent), but lower than the proportion of BAME learners who were female in 2008/09 ( 59.7 per cent) [Chart 5C] ${ }^{10}$.

Chart 5C Proportion of Adult (19+) Learners Participating in Education and Training Provision that were Female, by Ethnicity (2008/09-2010/11)


[^8]
## Participation by Ethnicity and Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities

52 In 2010/11, 7.1 per cent of BAME apprentices declared an LDD, compared to 8.6 per cent of White apprentices. In 2010/11, LDD declarations were highest among apprentices of Mixed ethnicity ( 9.8 per cent) and lowest among apprentices from Chinese or Other Ethnic Groups ( 5.2 per cent) [Chart 6A] ${ }^{11}$.

Chart 6A: Proportion of Learners (All Ages) Participating in Apprenticeships that had LDD, by Ethnicity (2008/09-2010/11)


[^9]53 In adult Workplace Learning in 2010/11, 4.0 per cent of BAME learners declared an LDD, compared to 6.4 per cent of White learners [Chart 6B]. ${ }^{12}$

Chart 6B: Proportion of Adult (19+) Learners Participating in Workplace Learning that had LDD, by Ethnicity (2008/09-2010/11)


[^10]54 In adult Education and Training provision in 2010/11, 10.4 per cent of BAME learners declared an LDD, compared to 17.2 per cent of White learners [Chart 6C]. ${ }^{13}$

Chart 6C: Proportion of Adult (19+) Learners Participating in Education and Training that had LDD, by Ethnicity (2008/09-2010/11)


[^11]
## Participation by Gender and Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities

55 In 2010/11, 8.7 per cent of female apprentices declared an LDD, compared to 8.1 per cent of male learners [Chart 7A]. ${ }^{14}$

Chart 7A: Proportion of Learners (All Ages) Participating in Apprenticeships that had LDD, by Gender (2008/09-2010/11)


[^12]56 In adult Workplace Learning in 2010/11, 6.1 per cent of female learners declared an LDD, compared to 5.9 per cent of male learners [Chart 7B]. ${ }^{15}$

Chart 7B: Proportion of Adult Learners Participating in Workplace Learning that had LDD, by Gender (2008/09-2010/11)


[^13]57 In adult Education and Training provision in 2010/11, 13.2 per cent of female learners declared an LDD, compared to 17.7 per cent of male learners [Chart 7C]. ${ }^{16}$

Chart 7C: Proportion of Adult Learners Participating in Education and Training that had LDD, by Gender (2008/09-2010/11)


[^14]
## Apprenticeship starts by Sector Subject Area and Gender

58
In 2010/11, in the five largest Apprenticeship Sector Subject Areas by number of starts:

- 65.3 per cent of Apprenticeship starts in Business, Administration and Law are by females
- 61.8 per cent of Apprenticeship starts in Retail and Commercial Enterprise are by females
- 81.7 per cent of Apprenticeship starts in Health, Public Services and Care are by females
- 6.1 per cent of Apprenticeship starts in Engineering and Manufacturing Technologies apprentices are by females
- 1.6 per cent of Apprenticeship starts in Construction, Planning and the Built Environment apprentices are by females [Table 8] ${ }^{17}$.

Table 8: Apprenticeship Starts by Sector Subject Area (SSA) and Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11)

|  | 2008/09 |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sector Subject Area (SSA) | Female \% of SSA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ \% \text { of SSA } \end{gathered}$ | All <br> Number | Female \% of SSA | Male $\%$ of SSA | All <br> Number | Female \% of SSA | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ \% \text { of SSA } \end{gathered}$ | All <br> Number |
| Agriculture, Horticulture and Animal Care | 48.9\% | 51.1\% | 5,210 | 43.7\% | 56.3\% | 5,690 | 41.6\% | 58.4\% | 7,380 |
| Arts, Media and Publishing | 41.1\% | 58.9\% | 230 | 34.1\% | 65.9\% | 440 | 31.7\% | 68.3\% | 1,030 |
| Business, Administration and Law | 69.7\% | 30.3\% | 64,060 | 67.3\% | 32.7\% | 76,590 | 65.3\% | 34.7\% | 133,820 |
| Construction, Planning and the Built Environment | 1.7\% | 98.3\% | 29,220 | 1.6\% | 98.4\% | 25,210 | 1.6\% | 98.4\% | 28,090 |
| Education and Training | 90.3\% | 9.7\% | 1,160 | 85.7\% | 14.3\% | 860 | 87.9\% | 12.1\% | 4,070 |
| Engineering and Manufacturing Technologies | 3.9\% | 96.1\% | 36,990 | 4.0\% | 96.0\% | 37,860 | 6.1\% | 93.9\% | 48,970 |
| Health, Public Services and Care | 85.7\% | 14.3\% | 34,890 | 84.1\% | 15.9\% | 44,150 | 81.7\% | 18.3\% | 89,970 |
| Information and Communication Technology | 21.1\% | 78.9\% | 8,820 | 18.9\% | 81.1\% | 12,570 | 25.2\% | 74.8\% | 19,520 |
| Languages, Literature and Culture | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Leisure, Travel and Tourism | 37.9\% | 62.1\% | 11,330 | 30.7\% | 69.3\% | 14,690 | 29.2\% | 70.8\% | 21,590 |
| Preparation for Life and Work | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Retail and Commercial Enterprise | 68.5\% | 31.5\% | 48,030 | 61.3\% | 38.7\% | 61,620 | 61.8\% | 38.2\% | 102,770 |
| Science and Mathematics | - | - | - | - | - | - | 37.5\% | 62.5\% | 10 |
| Unknown | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grand Total | 49.7\% | 50.3\% | 239,900 | 49.6\% | 50.4\% | 279,700 | 53.8\% | 46.2\% | 457,200 |

[^15]Chart 8: All Age Apprenticeship Starts by Sector Subject Area and Gender, five largest SSAs (2010/11)
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## Success Rates

## Success Rates by Ethnicity

59 In 2010/11, Apprenticeship success rates were higher for all ethnic groups than in either of the previous two years. Success rates ranged from 71.1 per cent for Black or Black British learners to 76.6 per cent for White learners, a gap of 5.5 percentage points. This is a wider gap than the equivalent 3.4 percentage point gap in 2009/10 [Chart 9A].

Chart 9A: All Age Apprenticeship Success Rates by Ethnicity (2008/09 to 2010/11)


60 In Train to Gain provision, success rates increased among all ethnic groups between 2008/09 and 2010/11. In 2010/11, they ranged from 87.8 per cent for Black or Black British learners to 92.1 per cent for Asian or Asian British learners, a gap of 4.3 percentage points. This gap is narrower than the equivalent gap in 2008/09 (8.8 percentage points) but wider than the equivalent gap in 2009/10 (3.8 percentage points) [Chart 9B].

Chart 9B: Adult (19+) Train to Gain Success Rates by Ethnicity (2008/09 to 2010/11)


Equality and Diversity data report, 2008/09 to 2010/11

61 Education and Training success rates rose across all ethnic groups between 2008/09 and 2010/11. In 2010/11, they ranged from 77.4 per cent for Black or Black British learners to 82.4 per cent for White learners, a gap of 5.0 percentage points. This is a narrower gap than the equivalent gap of 7.1 percentage points in 2008/09 (Chart 9C).

Chart 9C: Adult (19+) Education and Training Success Rates by Ethnicity (2008/09 to 2010/11)


## Success Rates by Gender

62 When all age groups are combined, success rates rose for both female and male apprentices between 2008/09 and 2010/11. In 2010/11, Females had a success rate of 77.2 per cent, 1.5 percentage points higher than males.
63 Between 2008/09 and 2010/11, Apprenticeship success rates rose for all age and gender groups, with the exception of 25+ males, whose success rate was 77.9 per cent in 2008/09, fell to 73.8 per cent in 2009/10 and rose back to 77.9 per cent in 2010/11 [Table 10A].

Table 10A: Apprenticeship Success Rates by Age and Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

|  | 2008/09 |  |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Under 19 | 19-24 | 25+ | All Age | Under 19 | 19-24 | 25+ | All Age | Under 19 | 19-24 | 25+ | All Age |
| Female | 70.1\% | 70.4\% | 74.7\% | 70.8\% | 72.8\% | 74.5\% | 75.7\% | 74.1\% | 75.1\% | 77.8\% | 79.4\% | 77.2\% |
| Male | 69.2\% | 72.3\% | 77.9\% | 71.0\% | 72.1\% | 75.4\% | 73.8\% | 73.5\% | 73.2\% | 77.9\% | 77.9\% | 75.7\% |
| Grand Total | 69.6\% | 71.3\% | 75.9\% | 70.9\% | 72.4\% | 74.9\% | 75.1\% | 73.8\% | 74.0\% | 77.8\% | 78.8\% | 76.4\% |

64 In Train to Gain provision, success rates rose for both female and male learners between 2008/09 and 2010/11. In 2010/11, males had a success rate of 90.9 per cent, which was 2.1 percentage points higher than that of females. This gap is narrower than the equivalent gap of 4.5 percentage points in 2008/09, but wider than the equivalent gap of 1.6 percentage points in 2009/10 [Table 10B].

Table 10B: Adult (19+) Train to Gain Success Rates by Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Gender | $2008 / 09$ |  | $2009 / 10$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Female | $71.0 \%$ |  | $85.6 \%$ | $88.8 \%$ |
| Male | $75.5 \%$ |  | $87.3 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $73.4 \%$ |  | $86.5 \%$ | $89.9 \%$ |

65 In Education and Training provision, success rates increased for both females and males between 2008/09 and 2010/11, although with a slight dip in 2009/10. In 2010/11, females had a success rate of 81.9 per cent, which was 1.2 percentage points higher than that for males [Table 10C].
Table 10C: Adult (19+) Education and Training Success Rates by Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Gender | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Female | $80.9 \%$ |  | $80.7 \%$ | $81.9 \%$ |
| Male | $80.2 \%$ |  | $79.4 \%$ | $80.7 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{8 0 . 6 \%}$ |  | $\mathbf{8 0 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 4 \%}$ |

## Success Rates by Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities

66 In Apprenticeships, success rates for learners with learning difficulties and/or disabilities (LDD) increased from 66.5 per cent in 2008/09 to 72.6 per cent in 2010/11. In 2010/11, this success rate was 4.3 percentage points lower than that for apprentices who did not have an LDD. This gap was narrower than the equivalent 5.0 percentage point gap in 2008/09 [Table 11A.1].

Table 11A.1: Apprenticeship Success Rates by Age and Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

|  | 2008/09 |  |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities | Under 19 | 19-24 | 25+ | All Age | Under 19 | 19-24 | 25+ | All Age | Under 19 | 19-24 | 25+ | All Age |
| Learning Difficulty/Disability | 63.4\% | 68.9\% | 73.0\% | 66.5\% | 67.1\% | 71.1\% | 75.0\% | 69.9\% | 70.3\% | 74.1\% | 76.3\% | 72.6\% |
| No Learning Difficulty/Disability | 70.4\% | 71.6\% | 76.3\% | 71.4\% | 73.1\% | 75.4\% | 75.1\% | 74.3\% | 74.6\% | 78.2\% | 79.0\% | 76.8\% |
| Not Known | 66.2\% | 70.9\% | 75.6\% | 68.6\% | 68.9\% | 69.4\% | 73.3\% | 69.9\% | 67.3\% | 71.6\% | 86.1\% | 73.5\% |
| Grand Total | 69.6\% | 71.3\% | 75.9\% | 70.9\% | 72.4\% | 74.9\% | 75.1\% | 73.8\% | 74.0\% | 77.8\% | 78.8\% | 76.4\% |

In 2010/11, Apprenticeship success rates by disability impairment type ranged from 67.8 per cent for apprentices with emotional/behavioural difficulties, to 77.0 per cent for those with visual impairments [Table 11A.2].

Table 11A.2: Apprenticeship Success Rates by Age and Disability (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

|  | 2008/09 |  |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability | Under 19 | 19-24 | 25+ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Under } \\ 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 19-24 | 25+ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Under } \\ 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 19-24 | 25+ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ |
| Visual Impairment | 67.5\% | 74.4\% | 71.4\% | 71.3\% | 77.5\% | 78.3\% | 80.2\% | 79.1\% | 74.1\% | 74.5\% | 80.9\% | 77.0\% |
| Hearing Impairment | 58.5\% | 62.6\% | 75.0\% | 62.1\% | 67.1\% | 69.3\% | 77.4\% | 70.5\% | 68.8\% | 77.5\% | 77.1\% | 74.3\% |
| Disability Affecting Mobility | 73.0\% | 73.3\% | 80.9\% | 75.0\% | 72.9\% | 64.5\% | 83.0\% | 75.0\% | 67.1\% | 77.5\% | 76.8\% | 74.4\% |
| Other Physical Disability Other Medical Condition (For Example | 59.6\% | 66.0\% | 81.3\% | 65.5\% | 66.1\% | 74.1\% | 70.0\% | 70.1\% | 76.7\% | 81.3\% | 72.0\% | 76.9\% |
| Epilepsy, Asthma, Diabetes | 66.6\% | 70.3\% | 76.2\% | 68.9\% | 69.6\% | 75.5\% | 74.3\% | 72.4\% | 74.8\% | 78.1\% | 78.3\% | 76.4\% |
| Emotional/Behavioural Difficulties | 48.0\% | 58.7\% | - | 51.0\% | 63.6\% | 66.2\% | 75.6\% | 65.8\% | 64.6\% | 74.6\% | 77.4\% | 67.8\% |
| Mental Health Difficulty Temporary Disability After Illness (For | 54.0\% | 68.2\% | 70.0\% | 64.9\% | 59.3\% | 63.4\% | 71.8\% | 66.7\% | 64.4\% | 69.7\% | 74.2\% | 69.6\% |
| Example Post-Viral) or Accident | - | - | - | 58.7\% | - | - | - | 76.2\% | 76.5\% | - | - | 70.6\% |
| Profound Complex Disabilities | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Asperger's Syndrome | - | - | - | - | 68.0\% | - | - | 71.6\% | 71.4\% | 68.5\% | - | 70.4\% |
| Multiple Disabilities | 63.9\% | 80.1\% | 76.0\% | 75.7\% | 67.7\% | 76.6\% | 73.7\% | 73.3\% | 70.5\% | 78.4\% | 78.6\% | 76.2\% |
| Other | 62.3\% | 67.6\% | 75.9\% | 65.6\% | 67.7\% | 72.8\% | 74.5\% | 70.5\% | 70.3\% | 71.7\% | 74.7\% | 71.6\% |
| No Disability | 69.9\% | 71.4\% | 76.1\% | 71.1\% | 72.6\% | 75.0\% | 74.9\% | 73.9\% | 74.2\% | 78.0\% | 78.8\% | 76.5\% |
| Not Known | 66.0\% | 70.9\% | 73.1\% | 68.8\% | 68.9\% | 71.5\% | 75.6\% | 71.2\% | 69.7\% | 73.7\% | 84.5\% | 74.3\% |
| Grand Total | 69.6\% | 71.3\% | 75.9\% | 70.9\% | 72.4\% | 74.9\% | 75.1\% | 73.8\% | 74.0\% | 77.8\% | 78.8\% | 76.4\% |
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In 2010/11, Apprenticeship success rates by learning difficulty ranged from 59.6 per cent for apprentices with multiple learning difficulties, to 73.5 per cent for learners with dyscalculia.
69 Between 2008/09 and 2010/11, when all age groups are combined, Apprenticeship success rates rose across all learning difficulties except multiple learning difficulties, among whom the success rate fell from 65.3 per cent to 59.6 per cent [Table 11A.3].

Table 11A.3: Apprenticeship Success Rates by Age and Learning Difficulty (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Learning Difficulty | 2008/09 |  |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 19 | 19-24 | 25+ | All Ages | Under 19 | 19-24 | 25+ | All Ages | Under 19 | 19-24 | 25+ | All Ages |
| Moderate Learning Difficulty | 58.7\% | 65.3\% | 71.4\% | 61.4\% | 61.6\% | 66.9\% | 68.6\% | 63.7\% | 65.0\% | 68.6\% | 70.8\% | 66.4\% |
| Severe Learning Difficulty | 59.3\% | - | - | 63.5\% | 55.8\% | 78.1\% | - | 64.2\% | 65.8\% | - | - | 63.7\% |
| Dyslexia | 66.5\% | 67.6\% | 71.1\% | 67.2\% | 70.2\% | 69.7\% | 71.5\% | 70.1\% | 71.9\% | 73.4\% | 72.6\% | 72.6\% |
| Dyscalculia | 67.9\% | 71.8\% | - | 69.9\% | 70.4\% | 66.2\% | 71.9\% | 69.0\% | 75.0\% | 76.5\% | 63.6\% | 73.5\% |
| Other Specific Learning Difficulty | 62.5\% | 65.7\% | - | 63.5\% | 62.9\% | 70.4\% | - | 67.6\% | 62.4\% | 67.7\% | - | 67.2\% |
| Autism Spectrum Disorder | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 62.5\% | - | - | 71.0\% |
| Multiple Learning Difficulties | 62.6\% | 69.1\% | 61.1\% | 65.3\% | 60.8\% | 58.5\% | 67.2\% | 60.7\% | 57.5\% | 58.3\% | 77.4\% | 59.6\% |
| Other | 61.5\% | 62.5\% | 64.0\% | 62.2\% | 64.7\% | 69.5\% | 75.2\% | 68.2\% | 66.8\% | 76.2\% | 68.2\% | 70.1\% |
| No Learning Difficulty | 70.2\% | 71.6\% | 76.2\% | 71.4\% | 73.0\% | 75.4\% | 75.2\% | 74.3\% | 74.5\% | 78.2\% | 78.9\% | 76.8\% |
| Not Known | 66.4\% | 71.3\% | 72.2\% | 68.8\% | 70.6\% | 71.1\% | 75.9\% | 71.9\% | 69.6\% | 73.4\% | 85.9\% | 75.0\% |
| Grand Total | 69.6\% | 71.3\% | 75.9\% | 70.9\% | 72.4\% | 74.9\% | 75.1\% | 73.8\% | 74.0\% | 77.8\% | 78.8\% | 76.4\% |

70 In 2010/11, Train to Gain learners who declared an LDD had a success rate of 88.0 per cent, 2.0 percentage points lower than for learners who did not declare an LDD. This gap is narrower than the equivalent 4.2 percentage point gap in 2008/09 [Table 11B.1].

Table 11B.1: Adult (19+) Train to Gain Success Rates by Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Learning Difficulty/Disability | $69.4 \%$ | $85.5 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ |
| No Learning Difficulty/Disability | $73.6 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |
| Not Known | $72.4 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{7 3 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 9 \%}$ |

71 In Education and Training provision in 2010/11, learners who declared an LDD had a success rate of 81.8 per cent, 0.2 percentage points higher than learners who did not declare an LDD [Table 11C.1].

Table 11C.1: Adult (19+) Education and Training Success Rates by Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Learning Difficulty/Disability | $80.7 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ |
| No Learning Difficulty/Disability | $80.6 \%$ | $80.3 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ |
| Not Known | $80.5 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 7 \%}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{8 0 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 4 \%}$ |

72 In Education and Training provision in 2010/11, the success rate by disability ranged from 79.3 for learners with temporary disability after illness, to 89.3 per cent for learners with profound and complex disabilities. Those with no disability had a success rate of 81.5 per cent [Table 11C.2].

Table 11C.2: Adult (19+) Education and Training Success Rates by Disability (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Disability | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Visual Impairment | $82.2 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ |
| Hearing Impairment | $83.5 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ |
| Disability Affecting Mobility | $82.9 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ |
| Other Physical Disability | $81.3 \%$ | $81.2 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ |
| Other Medical Condition (For Example Epilepsy, Asthma, Diabetes | $80.1 \%$ | $80.3 \%$ | $81.9 \%$ |
| Emotional/Behavioural Difficulties | $83.6 \%$ | $81.8 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ |
| Mental Health Difficulty | $78.4 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ |
| Temporary Disability After Illness (For Example Post-Viral) or Accident | $76.5 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ | $79.3 \%$ |
| Profound Complex Disabilities | $88.8 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ | $89.3 \%$ |
| Asperger's Syndrome | $84.2 \%$ | $82.1 \%$ | $84.6 \%$ |
| Multiple Disabilities | $83.8 \%$ | $84.0 \%$ | $86.0 \%$ |
| Other | $80.7 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ |
| No Disability | $80.5 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ | $81.5 \%$ |
| Not Known | $80.7 \%$ | $80.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 4 \%}$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{8 0 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 4 \%}$ |

73 In Education and Training provision in 2010/11, the success rate by learning difficulty ranged from 77.5 per cent for dyslexic learners, to 91.6 per cent for learners with a severe learning difficulty. Learners who did not declare any learning difficulties had a success rate of 81.4 per cent [Table 11C.3].

Table 11C.3: Adult (19+) Education and Training Success Rates by Learning Difficulty (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Learning Difficulty | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Moderate Learning Difficulty | $85.0 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ | $85.9 \%$ |
| Severe Learning Difficulty | $89.5 \%$ | $90.4 \%$ | $91.6 \%$ |
| Dyslexia | $75.8 \%$ | $75.4 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ |
| Dyscalculia | $79.0 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ | $77.6 \%$ |
| Other Specific Learning Difficulty | $82.9 \%$ | $81.4 \%$ | $82.7 \%$ |
| Autism Spectrum Disorder | $88.0 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ |
| Multiple Learning Difficulties | $85.0 \%$ | $84.3 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ |
| Other | $78.2 \%$ | $77.7 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ |
| No Learning Difficulty | $80.5 \%$ | $80.1 \%$ | $81.4 \%$ |
| Not Known | $81.5 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{8 0 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 3} \%$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 4 \%}$ |

## Success Rates by Age

74 In Apprenticeships between 2008/09 and 2010/11, learners over 25 consistently had higher success rates than learners under 19. In $2010 / 11,25+$ apprentices had a success rate of 78.8 per cent, 4.8 percentage points higher than apprentices under 19. This is narrower than the equivalent gap of 6.3 percentage points in 2008/09.

Table 12A: Apprenticeship Success Rates by Age (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Gender | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 19 | 69.6\% | 72.4\% | 74.0\% |
| 19-24 | 71.3\% | 74.9\% | 77.8\% |
| 25+ | 75.9\% | 75.1\% | 78.8\% |
| Grand Total | 70.9\% | 73.8\% | 76.4\% |

In Train to Gain provision between 2008/09 and 2010/11, success rates were consistently highest for 45-59 year old learners, and lowest for 19-24 year old learners. In 2010/11, 45-59 year old learners had a success rate of 91.4 per cent, 4.8 percentage points higher than the success rate for 19-24 year old learners (86.6 per cent) [Table 12B].

## Table 12B: Adult (19+) Train to Gain Success Rates by Age (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Age | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $19-24$ | $70.5 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ |  |
| $25-34$ | $72.5 \%$ | $85.6 \%$ | $89.2 \%$ |  |
| $35-44$ | $74.6 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ | $90.7 \%$ |  |
| $45-59$ | $75.2 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ | $91.4 \%$ |  |
| $60+$ | $74.5 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ | $91.1 \%$ |  |
| Not Known | $60.1 \%$ | - | - |  |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{7 3 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 9 \%}$ |  |

76 In Education and Training provision between 2008/09 and 2010/11, success rates were consistently highest for learners aged 60+, and lowest for learners aged 19-24. In 2010/11, 60+ learners had a success rate of 88.9 per cent, and learners aged 19-24 had a success rate of 79.0 percent, a gap of 9.9 percentage points. This gap is narrower than the equivalent gap of 11.2 percentage points in 2008/09 [Table 12C].

Table 12C: Adult (19+) Education and Training Success Rates by Age (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Age | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19-24 | 76.9\% | 76.5\% | 79.0\% |
| 25-34 | 79.5\% | 79.2\% | 80.9\% |
| 35-44 | 81.1\% | 80.7\% | 81.9\% |
| 45-59 | 82.3\% | 82.0\% | 82.8\% |
| 60+ | 88.1\% | 88.8\% | 88.9\% |
| Not Known | 84.7\% | 87.6\% | 83.8\% |
| Grand Total | 80.6\% | 80.3\% | 81.4\% |

## Success Rates by Ethnicity and Gender

77 In Apprenticeships in 2010/11:

- Female Asian or Asian British apprentices had a success rate of 76.9 per cent, 1.1 percentage points higher than male Asian or Asian British apprentices ( 75.7 per cent). This gap is narrower than the equivalent gap of 4.1 percentage points in 2008/09.
- Female Black or Black apprentices had a success rate of 70.6 per cent, 1.0 percentage points lower than male Black or Black British apprentices ( 71.5 per cent). This gap is narrower than the equivalent gap of 3.7 percentage points in 2008/09.
- Female apprentices of Mixed ethnicity had a success rate 2.3 percentage points lower than male Mixed apprentices.
- Female White apprentices had a success rate 1.5 percentage points higher than male White apprentices.
- Female Chinese or Other Ethnic Group learners had a success rate 4.1 percentage points higher than male Chinese or Other Ethnic Group learners [Table 13A].

Table 13A (extract) ${ }^{18}$ : Apprenticeship Success Rates by Ethnicity and Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ |  |  | 2010/11 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | All | Female | Male | All | Female | Male | All |
|  | $71.4 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $72.4 \%$ | $76.9 \%$ | $75.7 \%$ | $76.3 \%$ |
| Black or Black British | $64.9 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | $71.5 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ |
| Mixed | $66.1 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ | $67.1 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ | $73.9 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ | $71.9 \%$ |
| White | $71.0 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $74.4 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ | $77.4 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $76.6 \%$ |
| Chinese or Other Ethnic Group | $69.1 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ |
| Not Known | $71.5 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | $71.7 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $76.0 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{7 0 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 4 \%}$ |

[^16]In Train to Gain provision between 2008/09 and 2010/11, females had lower success rates than their male counterparts across all ethnic groups. In 2010/11, this gap was widest among Asian or Asian British learners, where females had a success rate 5.2 percentage points lower than males [Table 13B].

Table 13B: Adult (19+) Train to Gain Success Rates by Ethnicity and Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

|  | 2008/09 |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | Female | Male | All | Female | Male | All | Female | Male | All |
| Asian or Asian British | 70.9\% | 77.1\% | 74.9\% | 85.2\% | 89.1\% | 87.7\% | 88.5\% | 93.8\% | 92.1\% |
| Black or Black British | 64.7\% | 67.9\% | 66.1\% | 83.1\% | 84.7\% | 83.9\% | 86.8\% | 88.9\% | 87.8\% |
| Mixed | 66.1\% | 70.8\% | 68.3\% | 82.5\% | 83.4\% | 82.9\% | 87.0\% | 88.8\% | 87.9\% |
| White | 72.0\% | 76.0\% | 74.1\% | 85.9\% | 87.4\% | 86.6\% | 88.9\% | 90.7\% | 89.9\% |
| Chinese or Other Ethnic Group | 66.0\% | 68.6\% | 67.2\% | 84.4\% | 85.4\% | 84.9\% | 88.1\% | 88.9\% | 88.5\% |
| Not Known | 62.6\% | 72.1\% | 67.6\% | 83.4\% | 85.0\% | 84.2\% | 89.1\% | 91.0\% | 90.1\% |
| Grand Total | 71.0\% | 75.5\% | 73.4\% | 85.6\% | 87.3\% | 86.5\% | 88.8\% | 90.9\% | 89.9\% |

79 In Education and Training provision between 2008/09 and 2010/11, females consistently had higher success rates than their male counterparts across all ethnic groups. In 2010/11, this gap was widest among Asian or Asian British learners, where females had a success rate 4.0 percentage points higher than males [Table 13C].

Table 13C: Adult (19+) Education and Training Success Rates by Ethnicity and Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

|  | 2008/09 |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | Female | Male | All | Female | Male | All | Female | Male | All |
| Asian or Asian British | 79.9\% | 76.0\% | 78.7\% | 79.4\% | 75.3\% | 78.1\% | 82.0\% | 78.0\% | 80.6\% |
| Black or Black British | 74.9\% | 74.0\% | 74.6\% | 75.2\% | 73.3\% | 74.5\% | 77.8\% | 76.7\% | 77.4\% |
| Mixed | 75.8\% | 74.9\% | 75.5\% | 75.3\% | 74.4\% | 74.9\% | 78.0\% | 77.8\% | 77.9\% |
| White | 81.8\% | 81.6\% | 81.7\% | 81.8\% | 81.0\% | 81.5\% | 82.7\% | 81.9\% | 82.4\% |
| Chinese or Other Ethnic Group | 78.6\% | 75.4\% | 77.5\% | 78.6\% | 74.7\% | 77.3\% | 80.6\% | 77.2\% | 79.4\% |
| Not Known | 81.4\% | 81.5\% | 81.4\% | 81.9\% | 80.2\% | 81.2\% | 82.8\% | 81.4\% | 82.2\% |
| Grand Total | 80.9\% | 80.2\% | 80.6\% | 80.7\% | 79.4\% | 80.3\% | 81.9\% | 80.7\% | 81.4\% |
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## Success Rates by Ethnicity and Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities

80 In Apprenticeships in 2010/11, apprentices declaring LDD had lower success rates than their non-LDD counterparts across all ethnic groups. This gap was widest among Chinese or Other Ethnic Group learners, among whom apprentices declaring an LDD had a success rate 13.6 percentage points lower than those who did not. Among Black or Black British apprentices, those declaring an LDD had a success rate 6.9 percentage points lower than those who did not [Table 14A].

Table 14A (Extract): Apprenticeship Success Rates by Ethnicity and Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities (2008/09 to 2010/11) Percentages

|  | 2008/09 |  |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | Learning Difficulty I Disability | No Learning Difficulty $I$ Disability | Not Known | All | Learning Difficulty I Disability | No Learning Difficulty $I$ Disability | Not Known | All | Learning Difficulty I Disability | No Learning Difficulty I Disability | Not Known | All |
| Asian or Asian British | 71.0\% | 69.2\% | 71.9\% | 69.4\% | 71.8\% | 71.0\% | 65.1\% | 72.4\% | 72.4\% | 76.7\% | 63.5\% | 76.3\% |
| Black or Black British | 66.5\% | 66.9\% | 68.8\% | 66.8\% | 67.6\% | 71.6\% | 60.5\% | 70.6\% | 64.9\% | 71.8\% | 52.1\% | 71.1\% |
| Mixed | 62.2\% | 67.9\% | - | 67.1\% | 66.2\% | 71.6\% | - | 70.9\% | 69.5\% | 72.3\% | - | 71.9\% |
| White | 66.5\% | 71.7\% | 68.7\% | 71.1\% | 70.0\% | 74.5\% | 69.4\% | 74.0\% | 72.9\% | 77.1\% | 69.9\% | 76.6\% |
| Chinese or Other Ethnic Group | 62.4\% | 70.0\% | - | 69.4\% | 61.8\% | 73.1\% | - | 72.0\% | 62.1\% | 75.7\% | - | 74.6\% |
| Not Known | 63.2\% | 70.5\% | 69.6\% | 69.8\% | 72.7\% | 70.7\% | 82.5\% | 71.7\% | 72.5\% | 75.9\% | 90.7\% | 78.5\% |
| Grand Total | 66.5\% | 71.4\% | 68.6\% | 70.9\% | 69.9\% | 74.3\% | 69.9\% | 73.8\% | 72.6\% | 76.8\% | 73.5\% | 76.4\% |

81 In Adult Train to Gain provision in 2010/11, across all ethnic groups learners who declared an LDD had lower success rates than those who did not. The gap was widest among Black or Black British learners, among whom those who declared an LDD had a success rate 4.4 percentage points lower than those who did not.

|  | 2008/09 |  |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | Learning Difficulty I Disability | No Learning Difficulty I Disability | Not Known | All | Learning Difficulty I Disability | No Learning Difficulty I Disability | Not Known | All | Learning Difficulty I Disability | No Learning Difficulty I Disability | Not Known | All |
| Asian or Asian British | 67.3\% | 75.2\% | 72.4\% | 74.9\% | 85.4\% | 87.9\% | 84.3\% | 87.7\% | 89.8\% | 92.2\% | 88.5\% | 92.1\% |
| Black or Black British | 63.4\% | 66.9\% | 50.1\% | 66.1\% | 82.1\% | 84.0\% | 83.3\% | 83.9\% | 83.6\% | 88.0\% | 88.3\% | 87.8\% |
| Mixed | 61.4\% | 68.8\% | 68.7\% | 68.3\% | 81.0\% | 83.0\% | 83.5\% | 82.9\% | 84.0\% | 88.2\% | 83.0\% | 87.9\% |
| White | 70.3\% | 74.2\% | 76.1\% | 74.1\% | 85.7\% | 86.7\% | 86.1\% | 86.6\% | 88.1\% | 90.0\% | 87.7\% | 89.9\% |
| Chinese or Other Ethnic Group | 55.2\% | 67.4\% | 74.6\% | 67.2\% | 84.5\% | 84.9\% | 83.3\% | 84.9\% | 86.7\% | 88.6\% | 88.9\% | 88.5\% |
| Not Known | 60.3\% | 70.4\% | 56.9\% | 67.6\% | 82.4\% | 84.1\% | 85.5\% | 84.2\% | 88.0\% | 89.7\% | 93.0\% | 90.1\% |
| Grand Total | 69.4\% | 73.6\% | 72.4\% | 73.4\% | 85.5\% | 86.6\% | 85.7\% | 86.5\% | 88.0\% | 90.0\% | 88.3\% | 89.9\% |

82 In Adult Education and Training provision in 2010/2011, across most ethnic groups, there was less than 1.0 percentage point difference between the success rate of learners who declared an LDD and those who did not. The gap was widest among Asian or Asian British learners: those who declared an LDD had a success rate 1.4 percentage points higher than those who did not.
Table 14C: Adult (19+) Education and Training Success Rates by Ethnicity and Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities (2008/09 to 2009/10) Percentages

|  | 2008/09 |  |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | Learning <br> Difficulty I <br> Disability | No Learning Difficulty I Disability | Not Known | All | Learning Difficultyl Disability | No Learning Difficultyl Disability | Not Known | All | Learning Difficultyl Disability | No Learning Difficultyl Disability | Not Known | All |
| Asian or Asian British | 79.7\% | 78.5\% | 78.5\% | 78.7\% | 79.5\% | 78.1\% | 74.7\% | 78.1\% | 82.1\% | 80.7\% | 76.9\% | 80.6\% |
| Black or Black British | 75.2\% | 74.7\% | 72.0\% | 74.6\% | 74.7\% | 74.8\% | 70.6\% | 74.5\% | 76.9\% | 77.9\% | 73.0\% | 77.4\% |
| Mixed | 75.4\% | 75.6\% | 73.6\% | 75.5\% | 74.8\% | 75.1\% | 73.0\% | 74.9\% | 78.3\% | 78.0\% | 74.9\% | 77.9\% |
| White | 81.5\% | 81.8\% | 82.1\% | 81.7\% | 81.1\% | 81.5\% | 82.2\% | 81.5\% | 82.4\% | 82.6\% | 79.6\% | 82.4\% |
| Chinese or Other Ethnic Group | 75.2\% | 77.9\% | 75.9\% | 77.5\% | 77.0\% | 77.6\% | 73.1\% | 77.3\% | 79.4\% | 79.6\% | 77.5\% | 79.4\% |
| Not Known | 82.4\% | 81.3\% | 81.4\% | 81.4\% | 82.8\% | 80.5\% | 82.3\% | 81.2\% | 82.2\% | 81.4\% | 84.3\% | 82.2\% |
| Grand Total | 80.7\% | 80.6\% | 80.5\% | 80.6\% | 80.4\% | 80.3\% | 79.9\% | 80.3\% | 81.8\% | 81.6\% | 78.7\% | 81.4\% |

## Success Rates by Gender and Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities

83 In Apprenticeships in 2010/11, female apprentices who declared an LDD had a success rate that was:

- 1.1 percentage point higher than male apprentices who declared an LDD
- 4.5 percentage points lower than female apprentices who did not declare an LDD
- 3.0 percentage points lower than male apprentices who did not declare an LDD [Table 15A].

Table 15A: Apprenticeship Success Rates by Age and Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities and Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities | 2008/09 |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | All | Female | Male | All | Female | Male | All |
| Learning Difficulty/Disability | 67.8\% | 64.9\% | 66.5\% | 70.2\% | 69.5\% | 69.9\% | 73.1\% | 72.0\% | 72.6\% |
| No Learning Difficulty/Disability | 71.2\% | 71.6\% | 71.4\% | 74.7\% | 74.0\% | 74.3\% | 77.6\% | 76.1\% | 76.8\% |
| Not Known | 70.0\% | 67.8\% | 68.6\% | 70.5\% | 69.4\% | 69.9\% | 77.3\% | 69.8\% | 73.5\% |
| Grand Total | 70.8\% | 71.0\% | 70.9\% | 74.1\% | 73.5\% | 73.8\% | 77.2\% | 75.7\% | 76.4\% |

84 In Train to Gain provision in 2010/11, female learners who declared an LDD had a success rate that was:

- 1.6 percentage points lower than male learners who declared an LDD
- 1.8 percentage points lower than female learners who did not declare an LDD, and
- 3.9 percentage points lower than male learners who did not declare an LDD [Table 15B].

Table 15B: Adult (19+) Train to Gain Success Rates by Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities and Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11) - Percentages

| Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities | 2008/09 |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | All | Female | Male | All | Female | Male | All |
| Learning Difficulty/Disability | 67.8\% | 71.4\% | 69.4\% | 84.3\% | 86.6\% | 85.5\% | 87.1\% | 88.7\% | 88.0\% |
| No Learning Difficulty/Disability | 71.3\% | 75.7\% | 73.6\% | 85.7\% | 87.3\% | 86.6\% | 88.9\% | 91.0\% | 90.0\% |
| Not Known | 69.6\% | 74.0\% | 72.4\% | 84.8\% | 86.6\% | 85.7\% | 87.7\% | 89.1\% | 88.3\% |
| Grand Total | 71.0\% | 75.5\% | 73.4\% | 85.6\% | 87.3\% | 86.5\% | 88.8\% | 90.9\% | 89.9\% |

85 In Adult Education and Training, female learners who declared an LDD had a success rate that was:

- 0.1 percentage point lower than male learners who declared an LDD
- 0.4 percentage points lower than female learners who did not declare an LDD, and
- 1.1 percentage points higher than male learners who did not declare an LDD [Table 15C].

Table 15C: Adult (19+) Education and Training Success Rates by Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities and Gender (2008/09 to 2010/11) Percentages

| Learning Difficulties and/or Disabilities | 2008/09 |  |  | 2009/10 |  |  | 2010/11 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | All | Female | Male | All | Female | Male | All |
| Learning Difficulty/Disability | 80.5\% | 81.1\% | 80.7\% | 80.4\% | 80.4\% | 80.4\% | 81.8\% | 81.9\% | 81.8\% |
| No Learning Difficulty/Disability | 81.0\% | 80.0\% | 80.6\% | 80.8\% | 79.2\% | 80.3\% | 82.2\% | 80.6\% | 81.6\% |
| Not Known | 80.4\% | 80.7\% | 80.5\% | 80.2\% | 79.3\% | 79.9\% | 78.9\% | 78.3\% | 78.7\% |
| Grand Total | 80.9\% | 80.2\% | 80.6\% | 80.7\% | 79.4\% | 80.3\% | 81.9\% | 80.7\% | 81.4\% |

## Support

Should you have any questions about the data analysis please contact the Data Service Service Desk on servicedesk@thedataservice.org.uk or telephone 08702670001.

Should you have any queries on the Skills Funding Agency's equality activities, please contact equality@skillfundingagency.bis.gov.uk.
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