# **Edith Cowan University**

# Research Online

Theses: Honours **Theses** 

2011

# A study of resilience in West Australian small business owners

Philip Roggio Edith Cowan University

Follow this and additional works at: https://ro.ecu.edu.au/theses\_hons



Part of the Psychology Commons

Research suggests a relationship exists between the resilience of the Small Business Owner (SBO) and the resilience of their business, however the nature of this relationship is unclear. The current study investigated whether the internal resources of the SBO were significant in predicting the resilience of their business. A sample of 108 SBOs in the Perth metropolitan area completed an online questionnaire which measured aspects of individual and business resilience. A series of multiple regression analyses were used to test three hypotheses. The results from all three analyses consistently revealed that the internal resources of the SBO were the most important predictors of business resilience compared to other resilience factors. Furthermore, the resilience of the SBO was related to the resilience of their business through awareness and the SBO's internal perception of self. No other study could be found that has provided scientific evidence of a link between the owner's internal perception and the perception of their business, nor identified specific attributes of individual resilience that are predictive of business resilience. Future research should include studies of resilience which focus on the internal resources of the SBO.

#### **Recommended Citation**

Roggio, P. (2011). A study of resilience in West Australian small business owners. https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ theses hons/3

This Thesis is posted at Research Online. https://ro.ecu.edu.au/theses\_hons/3

# Edith Cowan University Copyright Warning

You may print or download ONE copy of this document for the purpose of your own research or study.

The University does not authorize you to copy, communicate or otherwise make available electronically to any other person any copyright material contained on this site.

You are reminded of the following:

- Copyright owners are entitled to take legal action against persons who infringe their copyright.
- A reproduction of material that is protected by copyright may be a copyright infringement.
- A court may impose penalties and award damages in relation to offences and infringements relating to copyright material. Higher penalties may apply, and higher damages may be awarded, for offences and infringements involving the conversion of material into digital or electronic form.

# **USE OF THESIS**

<b>-</b>		<b>T</b>		•				
INDI	ICA At	Indeie	ctatamant	IC DO	HADHINAN	in thic	VARSIAN	of the thesis.
1115	<b>55 0</b> 1	1110010	Statement	13 110	ı II ICIUU <del>C</del> U	ามา นาเจ	<b>VCISIOII</b>	UI III II

# A Study of Resilience in West Australian Small Business Owners

## Philip Roggio

A report submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Award of Bachelor of Arts (Psychology) Honours, Faculty of Computing, Health and Science,

Edith Cowan University.

Submitted (May, 2011)

I declare that this written assignment is my own work and does not include:

- material from published sources used without proper acknowledgement; or **(i)**
- material copied from the work of other students. (ii)

Signature:	
<b>Date:</b>	

#### A Study of Resilience in West Australian Small Business Owners

#### Abstract

Research suggests a relationship exists between the resilience of the Small Business Owner (SBO) and the resilience of their business, however the nature of this relationship is unclear. The current study investigated whether the internal resources of the SBO were significant in predicting the resilience of their business. A sample of 108 SBOs in the Perth metropolitan area completed an online questionnaire which measured aspects of individual and business resilience. A series of multiple regression analyses were used to test three hypotheses. The results from all three analyses consistently revealed that the internal resources of the SBO were the most important predictors of business resilience compared to other resilience factors. Furthermore, the resilience of the SBO was related to the resilience of their business through awareness and the SBO's internal perception of self. No other study could be found that has provided scientific evidence of a link between the owner's internal perception and the perception of their business, nor identified specific attributes of individual resilience that are predictive of business resilience. Future research should include studies of resilience which focus on the internal resources of the SBO.

> Philip Roggio Supervisor - Dr Cath Ferguson Associate Supervisor - Dr Ken Robinson Field Supervisor - Sharon Faye

# COPYRIGHT AND ACCESS DECLARATION

I certify that this thesis does not, to the best of my knowledge and belie	I	certify	' that	this	thesis	does	not,	to	the	best of	of my	know	ledge	and	bel	ie	f
--	---	---------	--------	------	--------	------	------	----	-----	---------	-------	------	-------	-----	-----	----	---

- (i) incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any institution of higher education;
- (ii) contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text; or
- (iii) contain any defamatory material

Signed	 		
Dated			

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the many small business owners who gave their valuable time to participate in the research and make this project possible. With respect to the recruitment of participants, I would also like to acknowledge the efforts of Michelle Corbett,

Administration Officer Small Business Centre North West Metro, Terry Darby-Smith, Manager Joondalup Business Association, Andrea Gilmore, Communications

Coordinator Master Plumbers & Gasfitters Association of WA, and Glynn Jones, Manager,

Business Growth Centre Joondalup, for promoting my research to their members.

To my supervisors, Dr Cath Ferguson and Dr Ken Robinson who have assisted me on this bumpy journey through honours, I thank them both for hanging in there with me.

To my wife Kathy and two children Emma and Dylan, whose love, support, and patience, have allowed me to follow my heart. Thank you so much.

And finally, I dedicate this thesis to Sharon Faye, in appreciation of her undying commitment.

# Table of Contents

TITLE PAGE	i
ABSTRACT	ii
COPYRIGHT AND ACCESS DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
THESIS INTRODUCTION	1
Small Business Characteristics	2
Owner Characteristics	3
Resilience	7
Business Resilience	9
Research Aims and Hypotheses	14
METHOD	15
Design	15
Participants	16
Materials	16
Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA)	17
Lifespan Resilience Scale-Business (LRS-B)	19
Procedure	20
Data analysis	21
Power Analysis	22
RESULTS	23
Sample Characteristics	23
Descriptive Statistics	23
Multiple regression analysis (MRA)	25

DISCUSSION	31
Limitations	36
Conclusion	37
REFERENCES	40
APPENDICES	48
Appendix A: Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA)-Questionnaire	49
Appendix B: Lifespan Resleince Scale-Business (LRS-B) - Questionnaire	50
Appendix C: Letter of Support –Small Business Centre Northwest Metro	51
Appendix D: Letter of support – Joondalup Business Association	52
Appendix E: Information Letter	53
Appendix F: ECU Media Release	54

A Study of Resilience in West Australian Small Business Owners Michael Gerber (1995), author of the "E-Myth", has studied and worked with thousands of small business owners for more than three decades, in America and across the globe. Over the course of working closely with small businesses, Gerber noticed that most follow a common developmental trajectory characterised by a number of personal challenges and threats to the survival of the business. The current author's experiences as a small business owner (SBO) are consistent with Gerber's, both in the developmental pattern of the business, and the threats and personal challenges faced. Gerber's book is an all too familiar account of the journey that many SBOs experience in small business start up and growth. This study provides an insight into that journey and how through resilience, SBOs can respond productively to the personal challenges which threaten the survival of their enterprise.

The purpose of the current study was to investigate resilience in the context of small business. Small businesses are important for the economy of Australia and provide employment for millions of people (ABS 2010). However, owning and operating a small business can be very challenging and often the SBOs' mental and physical health is affected, along with family and social relationships. These factors impact negatively on the business, as the SBO has a significant influence over business operations, a fact that is often overlooked. Resilience holds the key to valuable resources which enable SBOs to respond productively to the many challenges they face in starting and growing a small business.

This project begins with an overview of the characteristics of small businesses and their owners. A common scenario in a typical small business lifecycle is used to establish

the importance of studying resilience within this context. A brief outline of the theory of resilience will be followed by a sample of the current literature on business resilience before moving to the research aims and hypotheses. The aim of this research was to examine how the owner influences his/her business.

#### **Small Business Characteristics**

Small businesses make a substantial contribution to the global economy (Morrison, Breen, & Ali, 2003; Reijonen, 2008) however their impact on society is often underestimated (Lepoutre & Heene, 2006). For example, in Australia almost 2 million actively trading businesses in the small business category contributed an estimated 46% of Australia's Gross Domestic Product in 2006, and employed almost half of the entire workforce in 2007 (ABS, 2010). The small business sector is responsible for providing 3.6 million jobs, or 47% of private sector, non-agricultural employment ("Council of Small Business of Australia", 2011). In the most recent business counts reported by the Australian Bureau of Statistics as of June 2009, there were 2,051,085 actively trading businesses in Australia.

Of these businesses, the data indicated that the majority were small businesses (95%), and that 1.2 million, or 63% do not employ any staff. Of the remaining 820,803 businesses that employed staff, most employed fewer than 20 employees (89%). This comprised 497,098 (68%) businesses with 1-4 employees and 233,957 (32%) businesses with 5-19 employees. There were also 83,399 (10%) businesses with 20-199 employees and less than one percent (6,349) of businesses with 200 or more employees (ABS, 2010). Western Australia (WA) was one of only three states which contained a higher proportion of businesses than people (ABS, 2010).

The statistics reveal the high proportion of small businesses compared with large organisations, and demonstrate the importance of conducting research in the small business arena. Moreover, small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) are distinctly different to large organisations in the way they operate (Reijonen, 2008). The most distinguishing characteristic of an SME is the importance of the owners' influence over business outcomes (Lepoutre & Heene, 2006; Wijewardena, Nanayakkara, & De Zoysa, 2008). With businesses often thought of as machine-like entities that simply churn out products and services for the economy, there is a tendency to overlook the substantial personal contribution of the SBO in the success of their enterprise (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2010). Despite the significant contribution of small business to the economy (Morrison et al., 2003), relatively few studies have examined the psychological characteristics of the individuals who own and run them.

#### **Owner Characteristics**

SBOs are either directly involved in, or responsible for, all of the functional areas of the business (Hill, 2001). Such areas may include finance, administration, operations, sales, and human resources, as well as the technical aspect of the business (Lepoutre & Heene, 2006). SBOs must make quick and effective decisions in a climate of constant change and uncertainty (Patzelt & Shepherd, 2009). They must provide the vision and values necessary to guide the business through turbulent times (Seville et al., 2006), and display effective leadership to retain valuable employees and maximise organisational performance (Norman, Luthans, & Luthans, 2005).

The challenges of small business ownership for many, can be a source of positive emotional experiences such as passion, excitement, and personal satisfaction (Patzelt & Shepherd, 2009). A number of small business owners surveyed in Perth, Western Australia reported personal satisfaction, pride, and a flexible lifestyle were considered the most important aspects to small business ownership in terms of measuring their business success (Walker & Brown, 2004). However, a great deal of responsibility is bestowed upon a small business owner who must manage multiple tasks simultaneously to keep the business profitable, provide value to its customers, and maintain a safe, healthy, and stimulating working environment for its employees. Some business owners do not manage these expectations as well as others (Schwarz & McRae-Williams, 2009).

The personal challenges SBOs face usually begin early in the businesses' infancy when there is a greater level of risk and uncertainty surrounding the new venture, and the level of entrepreneurial effort is at its peak (Hill, Nancarrow, & Wright, 2002). The high job demands are usually associated with long working hours and high stress loads (Harris, Saltstone, & Fraboni, 1999) which in turn can impact on the quality of family and social relationships (Gumpert & Boyd, 1984; Steensma, Den Heijer, & Stallen, 2006). If the owner is distracted by problems in the family or in their relationships, and stress levels are elevated due to the constant pressure and long working hours, their effectiveness and decision making ability declines and it becomes difficult to maintain successful business operations (Legohérel, Callot, Gallopel, & Peters, 2004).

As the business grows into the adolescent phase and requires more resources, the owner realises the need for extra skills and knowledge, and a further set of crisis points arise. The SBO faces the realisation that his/her technical skills alone are insufficient to successfully run the business and they must increase their knowledge of small business management, or bring in external expertise (Gerber, 1995). However, the limited financial resources available to SBOs can be a barrier for seeking external assistance (Hankinson, 2000), and unlike large organisations, the SBO does not have the support of peers or

colleagues to turn to for assistance (Tetrick, Slack, Da Silva, & Sinclair, 2000). Entrepreneurs and SBOs often report feelings of loneliness and social isolation as a result of these circumstances (Gumpert & Boyd, 1984), which often leads to high anxiety and depression (Featherstone, 2011).

Depression and anxiety are common outcomes in small business contexts (Liossis, Shochet, Millear, & Biggs, 2009) which may lead to further negative health consequences for SBOs. For example, coronary heart disease is linked to negative emotions such as anxiety, depression, and stress, through the pathways of risky health behaviours (e.g., smoking, alcohol consumption, poor food choices) and biological mechanisms such as increased levels of circulating stress hormones and suppressed immune function (Gallo, Ghaed, & Bracken, 2004).

Other chronic physical disorders such as diabetes, stroke, cancer, and arthritis are all associated with an increased prevalence of depression when compared to the general population (Clarke & Currie, 2009). Higher incidence of depression and illness in turn leads to a decline in self care (Donohue & Pincus, 2007) and positive social interactions with immediate others (Steger & Kashdan, 2009). If the mental health and well being of the owner declines, it impacts directly on the business (Berman, 2009; Norman et al., 2005; Tiuraniemi, 2008) and the financial performance of the business may suffer, placing the business at risk of failure (Wijewardena et al., 2008).

However, with a great deal of determination and effort on the part of the SBO, some small businesses will eventually reach maturity (Gerber, 1995) although not before experiencing a cycle of success to failure, and then back to success again (Hamel & Välikangas, 2003). From this point on, the business stabilises and takes on a aura of sophistication and professionalism with diminished threat to its survival (Hill et al., 2002).

Although this lifecycle appears to be a dramatic representation of the experience of small business owners, and while many do live healthy and productive lives, clinical observations by organisational psychologists working with SBOs report this is a common scenario.

Owners who present with business problems usually present with a similar set of personal and relationship problems. The SBO does not realise how these personal problems are related to the problems in the business (S. Faye, personal communication, March 11, 2011). For example, Berman (2009), a consultant psychologist from Rhode Island, reported that during the credit crisis in America in 2008, business owners often felt the stress of keeping their company afloat while sales decreased. Stress was further impacted as owners were unable to obtain finance to keep the company solvent. In response, the SBO became pessimistic, adopted a 'downtrodden, forlorn demeanour', and showed a lack of vitality, which resulted in decreased staff productivity and morale. Through lack of self awareness, SBOs were unable to see how their mood was impacting on business performance (Berman, 2009),

In times of stress or crisis, SBOs may respond in a number of different ways (Coutu, 2002). While some may struggle helplessly in business for years under stressful circumstances, others will succumb to the adversity and the challenges they face, ending in bankruptcy, or by simply closing the doors and walking away (Gerber, 1995). SBOs who respond to challenges with confidence, flexibility and awareness will increase the business's capacity to survive and the owner and their business will become stronger for the experience (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2010). These individuals and their businesses are said to be "resilient" (Coutu, 2002).

Studies of resilience in the context of small business are however sparse (Luthans, Norman, Avolio, & Avey, 2008). Even fewer, are studies of resilience that involve the SBO

(Ferguson, Cohen, Pooley, & Harms, 2010). One that showed the benefit of promoting adult resilience in the workplace was the intervention study by Liossis et al, however this was with employees and not the owner of the business (2009). The employees from a Brisbane based government organisation found they were better able to manage the demands of their work and home lives. Participants of the program reported reduced levels of depression and stress, and an increase in coping self efficacy post test, and these gains held constant at six month follow up (Liossis et al., 2009). Although, just how resilience manifests within the individual has been the topic of discussion for more than forty years (Luthar, Cicchetti, & Becker, 2000).

#### Resilience

Among the many definitions of resilience, a recent interpretation by Pooley and Cohen (2010) was chosen for the current study as it highlights the multidimensional and contextual nature of resilience. They propose that resilience is; "the potential to exhibit resourcefulness by using available internal and external resources in response to different contextual and developmental challenges" (Pooley & Cohen, 2010, p. 34). Resourcefulness is a key factor of resilient individuals, who have a unique ability to improvise or acquire whatever they need to survive (Atkinson, Martin, & Rankin, 2009).

Internal and external resources refer to the combination of individual attributes, family, and social factors, identified as essential elements for healthy adjustment under challenging circumstances (Friborg, Hjemdal, Rosenvinge, & Martinussen, 2003). Developmental challenges generally refer to those experienced in childhood (Luthar et al., 2000), and there are many contexts in which risk and adversity occur over the lifespan. The literature on resilience contains a vast array of concepts, ideas, and controversies (Masten, 2001) and it is beyond the scope of this paper to cover them all. As such, a brief outline will establish the key points necessary to understand resilience and how it is placed within the context of business.

Longitudinal studies of resilience, such as the Kauai study by Werner (1993), observed that children with healthy adaption profiles despite their "at risk" environment, were able to draw upon favourable dispositional attributes, as well as family, and external support systems, to overcome their disadvantage in life (Luthar et al., 2000). Resilient children were found to be more flexible, with an internal locus of control (Skodol, 2010). They were resourceful, and adaptable, and had at least one person in their lives to whom they could turn for support (Masten & O'Dougherty Wright, 2010). These resourceful internal and external characteristics enabled children to develop strengths which allowed them to respond to future negative life events and long term stress more functionally than their maladaptive peers (Werner, 1993).

Resilience researchers found that in later life adults draw upon the same internal resources and external support structures to navigate successfully through the challenges they face (Masten & O'Dougherty Wright, 2010). According to Coutu (2002), there are core characteristics which are consistent among resilient adults. Resilient adults are guided by a strong set of values, with a deep belief that life is meaningful. They accept their reality and have a unique ability to improvise (Coutu, 2002, p. 48). It has been argued that these characteristics develop through the process of learning to deal with hardship (Gillespie, Chaboyer, & Wallis, 2007). However some theorists disagree and view these characteristics as personality traits rather than a developmental process, or the outcome of experiencing hardship (Block & Kremen, 1996; Connor & Davidson, 2003).

For example, Ego-Resilience refers to personality traits that facilitate adaptive responses to life stressors and does not pre-suppose exposure to adversity (Block &

Kremen, 1996). Ego-Resilience as a trait also has connotations of being fixed and stable and therefore not easily developed. Whereas resilience is considered a dynamic developmental process which by definition assumes the experience of considerable adversity, and availability for development throughout the lifespan (Luthar et al., 2000). Masten and Obradović (2006) caution against adopting a particular view, and instead argue that resilience "is not a single trait or process but a complex family of concepts" (p. 22). The complexities of resilience manifest in many contexts (Pooley & Cohen, 2010), and recently the concept has been extended to include the context of business (Tompkins, 2007).

#### **Business Resilience**

The idea of resilient businesses is relatively new (Tompkins, 2007), however the contemporary view of organisations operating in today's turbulent business environment, is that the ability to survive and prosper will depend largely on its resilience capacity (Coutu, 2002; Parsons, 2010). There are several definitions emerging, however business resilience broadly refers to the capacity of the organisation to perform well, irrespective of the economic climate or the organisational environment it operates in. This can occur through the implementation of risk management strategies (Tompkins, 2007), or by adopting innovative management practices which prepare the organisation for the inevitable challenges they will face (Hamel & Välikangas, 2003).

In a recent New Zealand study benchmarking business resilience, Stephenson, Seville, Vargo, and Roger (2010) identified two predominant dimensions which included thirteen indicators of organisational resilience. The two dimensions were 'planning' and 'adaptive capacity' and these accurately reflect the two current areas of focus in the business resilience literature (Hamel & Välikangas, 2003; Tompkins, 2007). Planning

involves risk management strategies, scenario planning exercises, and building strength through bolstering external resources in anticipation of a crisis (Stephenson et al., 2010).

An organisation's adaptive capacity is evidenced through several indicators which focus on the capability and capacity of internal resources such as; strong leadership, effective management, devolved and responsive decision making, an organisation free from cultural and behavioural barriers, and one where innovation, creativity, and situational awareness are recognised as key to the organisations' future performance (Stephenson et al., 2010). In the study by Stephenson and colleagues, 68 organisations took part in an online survey with the majority scoring more highly on adaptive capacity than planning (73% compared to 58%). Particular strengths were situational awareness (e.g., the ability to monitor the internal and external environments) and effective decision making. Risk management appeared to be less important to New Zealand businesses (Stephenson et al., 2010).

Various other models said to constitute a resilient organisation have been proposed (Hamel & Välikangas, 2003; Parsons, 2010; Tompkins, 2007). Parsons (2010) cites eight key attributes of resilient organisations, situational awareness, agility and flexibility, change readiness, interdependency, teamwork, culture, leadership, and communication. Tompkins (2007) proposes that a four step action plan is necessary in building resilience to impending business disruptions; develop a comprehensive resilience plan, set goals, create strategies, and, evaluation and re evaluation. Whereas Hamel and Välikangas (2003) state that for an organization to become resilient, they must address four challenges.

The cognitive challenge involves being free of denial and facing reality. The strategic challenge requires awarenss of opportunities and alternatives. The political challenge involves innovation of ideas, diverting resources from old programs to new innovations. The ideological challenge is about optimization of the business model through continuous renewal and reinvention (Hamel & Välikangas, 2003). Whilst beneficial to any organisation, it could be argued that these models are simply a reflection of the changing business environment and heightened need for effective business management strategies. The majority of these concepts can be found in contemporary management and leadership publications (e.g., DuBrin, Dalglish, & Miller, 2006; Samson & Daft, 2009).

The significant impact of the owner/manager on determining the resilience of the organisation has not been considered in many of these business resilience models. The relationship between individual resilience and organisational resilience reflects an interaction of organisational capabilities, and the actions of the individual (Lengnick-Hall, Beck, & Lengnick-Hall, in press). The complex social network in which resilience is enacted alters both the development and realisation of the business' capacity for resilience (Lengnick-Hall et al., in press). It is argued that the ultimate focus should be on how the internal resources and perspective of the owner enable the organisation to be resilient.

For example, a study by Reijonen (2008) examined how SBOs' perception of success influences the growth of their business through their goal-oriented behaviour. The study based in Finland, used questionnaires distributed to a total of 181 small business owners from two different industry sectors. The results were analysed using basic statistical methods, such as frequencies and cross tabs, with chi-square used to test for significant differences. A small sample (n = 59) within this group also participated in semi structured interviews, which together with the statistical results, identified the motives and goals of SBOs based on their perception of success.

Small business owners perceived success both from a financial and non financial perspective (Reijonen, 2008; Walker & Brown, 2004). Owners with a financial orientation measured success in terms of increased revenue, profitability, and the accumulation of personal wealth. In contrast, owners who perceived success in terms of non financial rewards measured success by the fulfilment of personal goals such as, work life balance, professional development, and freedom of choice. Dependent upon their perception of success, the SBOs' motivation, attention, and behaviours were directed in different ways within the business in order to achieve their goals (Reijonen, 2008).

In a qualitative study involving Australian small businesses operating in drought affected agricultural communities, the capacities which made up individual resilience were identified as important factors influencing the resilience of the business (Schwarz & McRae-Williams, 2009). Ten small businesses took part in the research, which used a case study approach. Positive attitudes, life experience, and willingness to change, were some of the person-level attributes identified. Business level attributes included changing the core business focus, creating a niche business for the town, and selling products and services beyond the local market. The importance of community support and small business knowledge were also identified as important to the survival of SMEs during drought (Schwarz & McRae-Williams, 2009).

In other examples, successful SBOs were found to have an internal locus of control, high social awareness and involvement, and confidence in their ability to deal with the social environment (Lepoutre & Heene, 2006). Many claimed to also have a stable happy family life despite the relatively little time they seemed to spend with the family due to company demands impinging on their private life (Hankinson, 2000). However, while these studies are useful for identifying aspects of the SBO's characteristics important to the operation of their business, the research methods adopted do not establish any real scientific relationship between the SBO and their business.

Furthermore, the conceptualisation of business resilience is far from reaching theoretical consistency, as can be seen by these examples. This presents a problem in terms of assessment and measurement of business resilience in both small and large organisations. In a recent pilot study in the South West of WA, members of the Lifespan Resilience Research Group (LRRG) Ferguson, Cohen, Pooley, and Harms (2010), examined dimensions of business resilience using a newly developed scale for use in small business, which they named the Lifespan Resilience Scale – Business (LRS-B).

The LRS-B was based on an extensive review of the current literature on business and individual resilience. Members of the LRRG combined their expertise in questionnaire development, small business management, and extensive knowledge of resilience, to develop a series of questions to assess and measure resilience in small business. The scale reflected three aspects of individual resilience (e.g., personal, family, and community resources) and a further two aspects specific to business (e.g., risk management, and the ability to be innovative, seek out opportunity, and be adaptable/flexible in challenging circumstances). Perceived business resilience (measured by a single question "how resilient is your business") was used as a proxy for the LRS-B measure in testing the scale's effectiveness for the first time. The authors did not provide a rationale for using this single item variable.

To compare the owners' resilience to that of their business, Ferguson and her colleagues also assessed SBOs' resilience using the Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA; Friborg et al., 2003). In terms of the relationship between individual and business resilience, the variables most predictive of the SBOs' perceived business resilience (PBR) were the ability to be flexible, innovative, and seek out opportunity (r = .57), as measured by the LRS-B, and the individual resilience of the owner as measured by the RSA (r = .60). It was claimed that due to a strong positive correlation (r = .60) between the RSA score and PBR, a link existed between the resilience of the owner and the resilience of the business. A potential limitation of the findings was the low number of participants (n = 50), which was reported by the authors (Ferguson et al., 2010). The results are therefore tentative.

The current research extends the investigation of both individual and business resilience in the context of small business ownership in Perth, Western Australia. Previous researchers have argued that resilience is important in the context of business (Coutu, 2002; Lengnick-Hall et al., in press), and that there is a relationship between the resilience of the SBO and the resilience of their business (Ferguson et al., 2010). Research has established the considerable influence of the SBO on business operations and outcomes (Gerber, 1995), and that the resilient capacities of the SBO were important (Schwarz & McRae-Williams, 2009). However, very few studies have investigated how the resilience of the SBO is related to the resilience of their business.

#### **Research Aims and Hypotheses**

The aim of the current study was to examine how the business owner influences his or her business. In order to achieve this aim, three hypotheses were developed. In the first hypothesis, the five variables forming subscales of the LRS-B were examined using the single item criterion adopted by Ferguson et al (2010), "perceived business resilience" (PBR). Based on the research discussed, it was anticipated that internal resources would predict business resilience. The internal resources variable reflects characteristics of individual resilience, such as resourcefulness, clear sense of purpose and being in control of one's own destiny.

In the second hypothesis, the nature of the relationship between the SBOs' perception of their business, and the LRS-B measure was examined. The aim was to establish the accuracy of the SBOs' perception in determining business resilience as measured by the LRS-B. The criterion was the mean LRS-B total score, and the predictor was the mean PBR score.

In the third hypothesis, the five dimensions forming subscales of the RSA were examined using the mean LRS-B total score as the criterion. From the research presented so far, that the personal attributes of the SBO influence business outcomes, it was anticipated that personal competence would predict business resilience as measured by the LRS-B. Personal Competence measures dispositional attributes such as self esteem, self efficacy, self liking, hope, determination, and a realistic orientation to life.

**Hypothesis 1.** Internal resources will significantly predict perceived business resilience. **Hypothesis 2.** Perceived business resilience will significantly predict business resilience. **Hypothesis 3.** Personal competence will significantly predict business resilience.

#### Method

#### **Design**

The current study was of cross-sectional design and used an online questionnaire survey method to record participants' responses. The current study is a variable-focussed study of resilience in that it uses multiple regression to examine the relationship among a set of predictors, and measures their independent or combined contribution to the variability in the criterion (Masten, 2001). In this instance, the predictors are the factors that make up the RSA, and the variables within the LRS-B. The criterion variables are perceived business resilience (PBR), and business resilience as measured by the LRS-B.

# **Participants**

Participants (n = 108) were owners of SMEs based in the Perth metropolitan area employing between 0 and 80 staff (M = 5.62, SD = 11.20). Further inspection of the data revealed that the majority of respondents were sole operators, or employing only two staff members. This was consistent with Australian Business Counts data (ABS, 2010) which reported 84% of businesses employed less than five employees. The mean age of business owners was 44.7 years, ranging from 23 to 72 years of age. There were 51 male and 57 female respondents.

This purposive sample of Small Business Owners (SBOs) was targeted through the Small Business Centre North West Metro (SBCNWM) and Joondalup Business Association (JBA), which collectively provided over 50% of the final sample. The remainder of the sample were recruited through various other business organisations and networks. The sample represented a diverse range of industry, for example; Trades Services, Building and Construction, Mining, Manufacturing, Wholesale and Retail Trade, Finance and Insurance, Hospitality, Communication and Information Technology, Health and Community Services, Law, Advertising, and others. Of the business owners who responded, most (69%) reported their business had been established for more than five years (M = 12.05, SD = 9.60) and only a small percentage (7%) were new businesses in their first year of operation.

#### **Materials**

A questionnaire designed to assess both individual and business resilience was presented to participants via an on-line survey. The first section assessed personal resilience using the Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA; Friborg et al., 2003). The second section assessed business resilience using the Lifespan Resilience Scale-Business (LRS-B)

designed by Ferguson et al. (2010). A number of qualitative questions were included in the questionnaire to further qualify certain quantitative responses, (for example, "Please tell us briefly why you rated your business as you did in the above question") and to collect demographic information (such as; age, gender, length of time in business, number of employees, and business sector). The demographic information was collected in order to ascertain if the sample was representative of the general population of small business owners, and may provide information for further analysis in future studies to be conducted by the LRRG.

#### Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA).

The RSA is a multidimensional scale designed to assess characteristics of resilience in adults. It was developed primarily to measure empirically derived factors that promote adult resilience. The questionnaire is comprised of 33 questions that account for five aspects of resilience (personal competence, social competence, family cohesion, social resources, and structured style) (See Appendix A).

The RSA has demonstrated good internal consistency and reliability with the respective dimensions having Cronbach's alphas of 0.90, 0.83, 0.87, 0.83 and 0.67, and four-month test-retest correlations of 0.79, 0.84, 0.77, 0.69 and 0.74 (Friborg et al., 2003). The resilience items have been examined for correlations with indices of social desirability, acquiescence, and nay-saying bias and were found to be small, ranging between 0.11 to 0.27 (Friborg et al., 2003).

Construct validity was confirmed with positive correlations with the Sense of Coherence scale (SOC; Antonovsky, 1993) and negative correlations with the Hopkins Symptom Checklist (HSCL; Derogatis, Lipman, Rickels, Uhlenhuth, & Covi, 1974).

Discriminant validity was indicated by differential positive correlations between RSA subscales and the SOC scale (Friborg et al., 2003).

In the current study, the 33-item RSA was presented using a 9-point Likert scale with positive and negative semantic phrases at each endpoint. A higher total sum score of the responses on the Likert scale indicates a higher level of individual resilience. Sixteen items are reverse scored to control for response bias. Individual scores are further calculated for each of the five dimensions comprising resilience (e.g. personal competence, social competence, family cohesion, social resources, and structured style). The scale authors state that "the five dimensions should be regarded as subscales measuring different, but all various and positive aspects of the concept of resilience" (Friborg et al., 2003, p. 72). However, there appears to inconsistencies in the use of total sum scores and the individual subscale scores.

For example, in the RSA validation study, Friborg, Hjemdal, Rosenvinge, and Martinussen (2003) emphasise the use of the subscales to determine age and gender effects, and to examine construct validity against a variety of other measures. Whereas, Friborg, Hjemdal, Rosenvinge, Martinussen, Aslaken and Flaten (2006) specifically chose to use the total sum score to measure resilience in relation to pain and stress, citing methodological and statistical considerations specific to the research. In cross-cultural validation of the RSA, both the total, and subscale sum scores were used in a series of t-tests to indentify significant differences between a group of at risk females to those from a stable psychosocial environment (Jowkar, Friborg, & Hjemdal, 2010).

Examination of several other studies using the RSA as a measure of resilience, revealed the predominant use of total sum scores (e.g., Baldwin, Kennedy, & Armata, 2008; Franklin & Doran, 2009; Munro & Pooley, 2009; Narayanan, 2008). However

Mikolajczak, Roy, Luminet, and De Timary (2008) while not specific, appeared to total the RSA scores and provide a mean across all the factors, reporting a mean of 5.13 (SD 0.71) in their sample of young men. Davydov, Stewart, Ritchie, and Chaudieu (2010) suggested that the comparison of subscale scores between at risk and control groups may be useful for indentifying potential intervention points for increasing resilience and positive mental health.

Based on the above literature findings, it would appear that both the total sum score and subscale sum scores are used interchangeably and for different purposes dependent upon the context or statistical methods adopted by the researcher. The RSA scoring methods are therefore left to the researcher's discretion and this presents a problem in terms of the consistency of interpretation across studies which use the RSA. The lack of scientific rigour in resilience research is an issue that has been raised before by sceptics, and proponents as well (Luthar et al., 2000).

# Lifespan Resilience Scale-Business (LRS-B).

The second questionnaire, which investigates business resilience, is in the early stages of development and has been designed by Ferguson et al. (2010). The questionnaire was based on a comprehensive review of the current literature on both individual and business resilience. Research is continuing on the validation of the LRS-B measure which includes the contribution of the current study. The LRS-B includes 35 questions grouped into five specific themes which are based on aspects of individual resilience (e.g., personal, family, and community resources) with two other themes that include risk management, and the use of innovation, the seeking out of opportunities, and adaptability/flexibility in the face of challenging situations. The themes are therefore (1) internal resources, (2)

family support for the business, (3) external resources including community relationships, (4) innovation/opportunity/ adaptability and, (5) risk management (Ferguson et al., 2010)

The LRS-B is scored similarly to the RSA, using a 5-point Likert scale with positive and negative semantic phrases at each endpoint. A higher total sum score of the responses on the Likert scale indicates a higher level of business resilience. Subscale scores are also calculated for each of the five themes comprising business resilience. The questions and the themes are shown in Appendix B.

#### **Procedure**

Following clearance from the Faculty Research Ethics Committee, business owners listed in the data base of the Small Business Centre North West Metro and Joondalup Business Association were invited via email notification to participate in the research. The researcher had previously sought the support of both the SBCNWM and JBA in recruiting participants for the current study (see Appendix C and D) and provided all recruitment information to be included in the email sent to SBOs (see Appendix E). Those who wished to participate followed a link contained in the email which provided direct access the questionnaire hosted on line by Qualtrics Software. Consent was assumed if participants completed and submitted the questionnaire. Anonymity was preserved by the absence of any identifiers in the response and only the researcher and his supervisor had access to the online data through individual passwords.

To enhance the response rate, prior to the study going live on Qualtrics, the researcher gave a short presentation of the study to members of the local business community at a JBA breakfast in Joondalup, and notified them of the impending email seeking their participation. Of the combined SBCNWM and JBA database, 52 responses were received in the first 48 hours after which response rates fell to almost zero. A follow up email was sent four weeks later to increase participation (e.g., Newby, Watson, & Woodliff, 2003) however very few businesses responded. To recruit more participants, the researcher spoke personally to many other business owners, business enterprise centers, and industry groups, over the course of the data collection period. Media publications promoting the study were arranged with Edith Cowan University (Appendix F) and circulated through the Master Plumbers and Gasfitters Association of WA, and Community Newspaper Group.

Recruitment of participants for research in small business can require considerable effort on the part of the researcher as most SBOs report they are too busy or do not see any value for the business in participating (Stephenson et al., 2010). However it was observed in the current study, that when the researcher spoke personally to business owners and explained the potential benefits to their organisation of studying resilience, more than 90% completed the survey upon receiving the online email link to Qualtrics. The data collection period went from the 23<sup>rd</sup> November 2010 to the 18<sup>th</sup> March 2011 after which time all responses were downloaded from Qualtrics and the survey closed.

#### **Data analysis**

Prior to analysis, data screening was conducted. There were no missing values for the RSA or LRS-B questionnaires as the electronic survey database Qualtrics would not submit the response unless all questions were completed. Nine participants were identified as starting but not completing the survey and therefore could not be included in the final analysis. Not all respondents answered the optional demographic questions however this was not considered detrimental to the overall analysis.

Analysis of the data was performed using PASW version 18 for Windows (PASW Statistics, 2009) to test the three hypotheses. The raw data was imported directly into

PASW from the electronic survey data base and transformed into the respective RSA and LRS-B subscales after first saving the original data set. Reverse score items were recomputed and the total sum scores of each subscale were divided by the number of questions in each to provide a mean score.

A total RSA or LRS-B score represented the mean of the subscale mean scores, which facilitated a comparison of scores with their respective Likert scale values. For the RSA, the scale anchors were one to nine, and for the LRS-B one to five, where a low numeric value equalled a low score and a high numeric value equalled a high score. This particular scoring method was based on guidance from the LRS-B authors (C. Ferguson, personal communication, March 18, 2011), and the RSA was similarly treated for the purposes of comparison. No normative data were available to compare RSA scores with the current sample.

Prior to conducting the analysis to test each of the three hypotheses, descriptive statistics were presented. Means, standard deviations, and Cronbach's alpha were calculated for each of the five factors comprising the respective measures. The bivariate correlations between the RSA and LRS-B factors were calculated as part of the series of forced entry Multiple Regression Analyses (MRA) used to examine each hypothesis. Prior to conducting the MRA, the assumptions of normality, linearity and homoscedastiscity were tested. No violations were found.

#### **Power Analysis**

The sample size (n = 108) was considered adequate for regression analysis based on the formula (50 + 8m) where m = the number of predictors (Tabachnick & Fidel, 2007, p. 123). Based on this formula, the minimum number of participants required for the current study was 90.

#### **Results**

# **Sample Characteristics**

Table 1 presents an overview of the sample characteristics. The number of employees and number of years in business both showed an uneven distribution of cases across the range of scores. Although skewed, the size of business was representative of the normal distribution of businesses in Australia according to the ABS statistics reported earlier. The anomalies in sample distribution were however noted and further investigation was conducted to determine their significance to the regression analysis.

Sample Characteristics

Table 1

Characteristic	n	M	Median	Mode	SD	
Age	107	44.8	45	45	10.41	
Number of Employees	107	5.6	2	0	11.20	
Years in Business	106	12.0	10	6	9.60	
Participants	108	Male (n = 51)		Female $(n = 57)$		

## **Descriptive Statistics**

The resilience of SBOs was assessed using the RSA (Friborg et al., 2003). Table 2 shows the summary statistics and reliability coefficients for this sample. In the original RSA validation study (Friborg et al., 2003), the reported Cronbach's alphas of 0.90, 0.83, 0.87, 0.83 and 0.67 respectively, were comparable with those calculated in the current study with exception of structured style. Structured Style was considerably lower ( $\alpha = 0.54$ ) than the original reliability coefficient. Reliabilities of .80 and above are generally recommended for commonly used scales cited within the organisational literature (Lance,

Butts, & Michels, 2006). The overall alpha for the RSA was considered acceptable for the purposes of this research.

Table 2 Descriptive statistics for RSA total and subscales

-	M	SD	Cronbach's α
RSA Total	6.9	1.03	.90
Personal Competence	7.0	1.36	.90
Social Competence	6.7	1.56	.84
Family Cohesion	6.9	1.39	.79
Social Resources	7.3	1.44	.86
Structured Style	6.5	1.34	.54

Note: Mean scores represent the average score on a scale of 1 to 9.

Inspection of table 2 revealed the mean subscale scores used to assess the various dimensions of resilience were consistent across the five factors and therefore did not reveal any deficiencies in SBOs' personal, family, or social resources.

Business resilience was assessed using the LRS-B (Ferguson et al., 2010). As the LRS-B business resilience measure has not been formally validated, a reliability analysis was conducted using Cronbach's alpha to assess the internal consistency of the variables. Cronbach's alpha for the five item LRS-B questionnaire was .91. Four out the five variables of the LRS-B demonstrated acceptable internal consistency indicating they were all measuring the same underlying construct.

However, the variable 'external resources' was below the recommended level of reliability. A coefficient alpha of .70 is considered acceptable in early stage exploratory measures (Nunnally, 1976., cited by Lance et al., 2006). Scanning of the Corrected ItemTotal Correlations for the 'external resources' variable revealed that question 72 was below 0.3, and deleting the question would raise the alpha level from .61 to .65. This may indicate poor internal consistency. Table 3 shows the means, standard deviations, and reliability coefficients for this sample.

Table 3 Descriptive statistics for LRS-B total and sub themes

	M	SD	Cronbach's α
LRS-B total	3.9	.53	.91
Internal Resources	4.0	.54	.84
External Resources	3.8	.54	.61
Innovation, Opportunity/ Adaptability	4.1	.46	.73
Family Resources	3.7	.84	.86
Risk Management	3.9	1.01	.85

Note: Mean scores represent the average score on a scale of 0 to 5.

#### **Multiple Regression Analysis (MRA)**

MRA was used to test all three hypotheses. However the results of hypothesis one and three were the main focus. Prior to interpreting the results, the assumptions of multiple regression were evaluated according to the recommendations of Field (2009). Inspection of the normal probability plot of standardised residuals as well as the scatter plot of standardised residuals against standardised predicted values indicated the assumptions of normality, linearity, and homoscedastiscity of residuals were met. The data were also examined for multivariate outliers. Mahalanobis distance did not exceed the critical  $\chi^2$  for df = 5 ( $\alpha = .001$ ) of 20.51 indicating that no multivariate outliers were present. Collinearity statistics revealed acceptable tolerances (TOL > 0.2) for all predictors in the regression

model indicating that multicollinearity was not of concern to the interpretation of the MRA (Field, 2009). The correlations between predictors were all significant and below 0.8 indicating that while they are correlated with each other, they are not so highly correlated as to suggest multicollinearity (Field, 2009). Inspection of the correlations of LRS-B business resilience variables against the criterion PBR indicated that all five factors were related. Inspection of the correlations of RSA factors against the criterion LRS-B also indicated that all five factors of the RSA were related. However, inspection of Table 5 indicated that Structured Style was not significantly correlated with Family Cohesion. The remaining four predictors of the RSA were significant and below 0.8 and therefore did not indicate multicollinearity (Field, 2009). Table 4 displays the correlation tables for hypothesis one and table 5 shows the correlations for hypothesis three.

Correlations between Perceived Business Resilience and Business Resilience variables

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. PBR	1.000	.51**	.39**	.47**	.30**	.41**
2. Internal Resources		1.000	.49**	.69**	.68**	.68**
3. Family Resources			1.000	.46**	.38**	.46**
4. Innovation- opp/Adapt.				1.000	.37**	.60**
5. Risk Management					1.000	.44**
6. External Resources						1.000

<sup>\*\*</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.001 level (1-tailed).

Table 4

Table 5 Correlations between Rusiness Resilience and the RSA factors

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. LRS-B Total	1.000	.49**	.38**	.19*	.40**	.51**
2. Personal Competence		1.000	.67**	.29**	.32**	.68**
3. Social Competence			1.000	.19*	.28*	.61**
4. Structured Style				1.000	.14	.27*
5. Family Cohesion					1.000	.48**
6. Social Resources						1.000

<sup>\*\*</sup> Correlation is significant at the 0.001 level. \*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (1-tailed).

The results from the MRA conducted for hypothesis one indicated the five variables, internal resources, external resources, innovation/opportunity adaptability, family resources, and risk management, accounted for 31% of the variability in perceived business resilience,  $R^2 = .31$ , adjusted  $R^2 = .28$ , F(5,102) = 9.15, p < .001. The level of internal resources was the only predictor of perceived business resilience that was significant at the 0.05 level, t(102) = 2.19, p = .03. The bivariate correlation between internal resources and perceived business resilience represents a large effect size, r(106) = .514, p < .001 (Cohen, 1992). Internal resources accounted for 3.2% of the unique variance in participants perceived business resilience rating.

The results from the MRA conducted for hypothesis one are shown in table 6 The unstandardised (B) and standardised ( $\beta$ ) regression coefficients, t values, and significance levels (p) for each predictor in the regression model are displayed.

Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis for LRS-B variables predicting PBR

Table 6

В	SE of B	β	t	p.	
.17	.58		.29	.77	
.49	.22	.35	2.19	.03*	
.05	.16	.04	.31	.75	
.28	.20	.17	1.42	.16	
.13	.09	.15	1.49	.14	
05	.09	07	61	.54	
	.17 .49 .05 .28	.17 .58 .49 .22 .05 .16 .28 .20 .13 .09	.17 .58 .49 .22 .35 .05 .16 .04 .28 .20 .17 .13 .09 .15	.17       .58       .29         .49       .22       .35       2.19         .05       .16       .04       .31         .28       .20       .17       1.42         .13       .09       .15       1.49	.17       .58       .29       .77         .49       .22       .35       2.19       .03*         .05       .16       .04       .31       .75         .28       .20       .17       1.42       .16         .13       .09       .15       1.49       .14

Note: \* indicates p < .05. Criterion Variable: Perceived Business Resilience

The unstandardised regression coefficient (B) value indicates that as SBOs rate their level of internal resources one point higher on the scale, their PBR score would increase by 0.49. The standardised (β) regression coefficients, or Beta weights, indicate the predicted change (in standard deviations, SD) in the criterion that is associated with a 1 SD change in the predictor. Therefore, after controlling for the effects of the other variables, a 1 SD increase in internal resources would result in a .35 SD increase in PBR. These values are true only if the effects of the other variables are held constant (Field, 2009).

In the final regression model internal resources on its own accounted for 26% of the variance in PBR scores,  $R^2 = .26$ , adjusted  $R^2 = .26$ , F(1,106) = 38.04, p < .001. Further investigation was carried out to determine the potential effect of the uneven distribution of businesses by size, and years in business. Both were analysed for their influence on the final regression model. Years in business was found to have a non significant influence on the criterion so it was decided to disregard this aspect of the skewed distribution. Similarly, a further regression analysis was conducted removing the two most extreme cases of size of business (Field, 2009). No improvement to the predictive utility of the regression model was evident and therefore no action was taken to remove outliers, and all cases were retained for the analysis. Age and gender were also considered, however these variables were not significant, nor did they alter the predictive utility of internal resources in the final regression model.

In relation to the second hypothesis, the predictive validity of perceived business resilience (PBR) on actual business resilience (LRS-B) was examined using MRA. Prior to interpreting the results, assumptions of normality, linearity and homoscedastiscity were evaluated according to the recommendations of Field (2009). All assumptions were met as previously reported. PBR significantly predicted business resilience as measured by the LRS-B total score (t(106) = 6.09, p < .001) and accounted for 26% of the variability in business resilience scores,  $R^2 = .26$ , adjusted  $R^2 = .25$ , F(1,106) = 37.14, p < .001. The bivariate correlation between PBR and the LRS-B represents a large effect size, r(106) =.51, p < .001 (Cohen, 1992). Table 7 displays the unstandardised (B) and standardised ( $\beta$ ) regression coefficients, t values, and significance levels (p) for PBR in a regression model with LRS-B scores.

Table 7 Summary of Multiple Regression analysis for PBR and LRS-B

	В	SE of B	β	t	p.
(Constant)	2.59	.29		11.59	.00**
Perceived Business Resilience	.35	.04	.51	6.09	.00**

Note: \*\* indicates p < .001. Criterion Variable: LRS-B total

In relation to the third hypothesis, the predictive validity of personal competence on business resilience (LRS-B) was examined using a standard MRA. Prior to interpreting the results, assumptions of normality, linearity and homoscedastiscity were evaluated according to the recommendations of Field (2009). All assumptions were met as previously reported. The results of the MRA are shown in table 8 which displays the unstandardised (B) and standardised ( $\beta$ ) regression coefficients, t values, and significance levels (p) for each predictor in the regression model.

Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis for RSA factors and business resilience (LRS-B)

	В	SE of B	β	t	p.	
(Constant)	2.0	.30		6.56	.00	
Personal Competence	.10	.05	.25	2.06	.04*	
Social Competence	.00	.04	.00	.06	.95	
Structured Style	.01	.03	.03	.31	.76	
Family Cohesion	.07	.03	.19	2.07	.04*	
Social Resources	.09	.05	.24	1.92	.06	

Note: \* indicates p < .05. Criterion Variable: LRS-B Total Score

Table 8

The results from the MRA indicated the five factors, personal competence, social competence, structured style, family cohesion, and social resources accounted for 33% of the variability in business resilience,  $R^2 = .33$ , adjusted  $R^2 = .30$ , F(5,102) = 10.18, p < .001. Inspection of the significance values indicated that personal competence (t(102) = 2.06, p < 0.06.05) and family cohesion (t(102) = 2.07, p < .05) both significantly predicted business resilience. The bivariate correlations between business resilience and both personal competence (r(102) = .49, p < .001) and family cohesion were positive and strong, r(102) =

.40, p < .001 (Cohen, 1992). In a final regression model, 'personal competence' and 'family cohesion' together accounted for 30% of the variance in LRS-B scores,  $R^2 = .30$ , adjusted  $R^2 = .29$ , F(2,105) = 22.99, p < .001.

In a further analysis to test the relationship between hypotheses one and three, a correlation between perceived business resilience and the RSA total score was conducted. The bivariate correlation between PBR and the RSA was significant (r = .29, p < .001), although this was a moderate correlation according to Cohen (1992). Inspection of the correlations between the significant RSA factors and PBR, revealed that only personal competence was significantly correlated with PBR (r = .34, p < .001). The correlation between family cohesion and PBR was not significant (r = .14, p = .07).

### Discussion

The aim of this study was to examine the relationship between the resilience of the business owner and the resilience of the business. Three hypotheses were developed to test this relationship. It was expected based on the study rationale, that the internal resources of the owner would be mirrored in the business. All three hypotheses supported this notion. Furthermore, it was found that the perception of the owner's internal resilience was mirrored in the perception of their business. The three hypotheses are discussed in more detail in the following paragraphs.

In hypothesis one, all five of the LRS-B variables considered to be aspects of business resilience (e.g., internal resources, external resources, innovation/opportunity adaptability, family resources, and risk management) were entered into a regression model. Internal resources was the only significant predictor of perceived business resilience (PBR). Furthermore internal resources and PBR were positively and strongly correlated, and in the final regression model, internal resources accounted for 26% of the variance in PBR. An  $r^2$ 

of .26 is considered a large effect size by Cohen (1992). Age, gender, size and length of time in business, were not significant and therefore had no effect on the final regression model.

These findings do not support that of Ferguson et al (2010), although were partially consistent with Stephenson et al (2010) and Schwarz and McRae-Williams (2009). Ferguson et al found that the ability to be innovative, seek out opportunity, and be flexible and adaptable, were most significant in predicting business resilience in rural WA. Stephenson found businesses scored more highly on adaptive capacity. Adaptive capacity was evidenced by several aspects of resilience, which included internal resources and innovation. Innovation through refocusing the business, and creativity of niche markets, were themes also identified by Schwarz and McRae-Williams (2009) in rural Australia. However, Schwarz and McRae-Williams also indicated a need for person level attributes. The current study indentified only internal resources as significant to business resilience.

The variable 'internal resources' reflects characteristics of the business owner such as resourcefulness, clear sense of purpose, and being in control of one's own destiny. In this study, 'internal resources' predicted the owner's perception of the business. The strong correlation between them means that as the business owner builds his/her internal resources, his/her perception of the business improves. Unless the owner has these internal characteristics, it will be difficult to build adaptive capacity in the business, or be innovative, adaptable, or flexible.

This is also consistent with the current author's experience, in that building internal resources facilitated the resilience of the business. For example, a decline in physical and mental health resulted in a decline in the business. A low perception of self made it difficult to make the necessary changes in the business that would enable it to grow. For instance,

every attempt to improve the business through implementation of business strategies failed. It was only through building internal resilience first that the strategies were successfully implemented and the business began to grow. Interestingly, relationships with staff, as well as family, improved at the same time.

The second hypothesis that perceived business resilience (PBR) would significantly predict actual business resilience (LRS-B) was supported. The owners' perception of business resilience and their actual score on the LRS-B were significantly and strongly correlated (r = .51, p < .001). PBR accounted for 26% of the variance in the LRS-B total score, which is considered a large effect size by Cohen (1992). However there is still a large proportion of unexplained variance in the LRS-B. Therefore further investigation is required by the scale's authors in order to firmly establish the psychometric properties of the LRS-B.

The rationale for using the owner's perception of resilience as a single item proxy measure in a regression analysis with the LRS-B was to test the scale's usefulness as a measure of business resilience. The results suggest that the LRS-B is useful for measuring business resilience, as the scale accurately captured the SBOs' perception of their business. Those who rated their business one point higher in perceived resilience would increase their LRS-B score by .35 on the scale. On the other hand, it could also be argued that the owner's perception of the business was accurate.

In the third hypothesis, the results of the regression analysis provided partial support for the hypothesis that personal competence would predict business resilience. All five factors comprising the RSA were entered into a regression model (e.g., personal competence, social competence, family cohesion, social resources, and structured style) which accounted for 33% of the variance in business resilience. Personal competence and

family cohesion were the only significant predictors of business resilience. In a final regression model, personal competence and family cohesion together accounted for 30% of the variability in LRS-B scores.

However further correlational analysis of the two significant RSA predictors established that while personal competence was significantly correlated with perceived business resilience, family cohesion was not. Although both perceived business resilience and family cohesion predicted scores on the LRS-B, only personal competence was related to perceived business resilience.

From this finding, it is concluded that the owners' perception of his/her business is based on how personally competent he/she feels. Therefore personal competence is more important than family cohesion in predicting business resilience. This would make sense in terms of building the internal resources of the business, as the variable 'internal resources' also reflects characteristics of the owner. This isn't to say that the many other factors that comprise resilience are not important.

Rather the current study findings highlight that building resilience from within the person first, will facilitate the building of other resilient resources. For example, the importance of family and social relationships has been fundamental to many studies of resilience (Luthar et al., 2000) and family cohesion predicted business resilience in the current study. However, in fostering these relationships, it has been suggested that favourable dispositional attributes of the person such as self-esteem, self-efficacy, and selfliking, in turn promote successful relationships with others (Friborg et al., 2003; Werner, 1993). In the context of small business this would include family, staff, clients, suppliers, and members of the wider business environment.

Self-efficacy is linked to self-confidence (Skodol, 2010). If SBOs feel confident, they will feel confident about the business and their own ability to make effective decisions to ensure the business maintains profitability during difficult times. In contrast, if the SBO perceives him/herself to be lacking in business skills and knowledge, or is preoccupied with personal problems within the family or relationship, then his/her attention will not be focused on the business. The subsequent behaviors will manifest in the business through feelings of inadequacy to deal with personal and business problems, and as a consequence, poor decisions are likely to be made which do not favor the business in terms of its resilience capacity.

Research presented earlier also demonstrated how perceptions of success direct the attention and behaviors of the SBOs toward different goals (Reijonen, 2008). The current research further identified a relationship between the SBOs' perceptions and business resilience. The SBOs' perceptions were found to be significant in two different scales measuring resilience (e.g., the RSA and LRS-B). However without awareness, the business owner does not know what their internal perceptions are or how they impact on the business (Berman, 2009).

Awareness is particularly critical in small business where the owner has a major influence on business outcomes (Norman et al., 2005; Wijewardena et al., 2008). 'Situational awareness' was identified as one of several attributes of resilient organisations (Parsons, 2010; Stephenson et al., 2010). According to Parsons, awareness facilitates the anticipation of emerging organisational threats, and enables the SBO to recognise their own and others limitations, prompting early response to situations which may otherwise go unnoticed. Awareness is therefore regarded as an important variable for resilience and should be considered in future research.

The current study is believed to be the first that links the owner's internal perception with the perception of their business. As such these findings have important implications for SBOs, as the research now indicates that to be resilient in business one should focus on building internal resources. This is consistent with the clinical observations and outcomes reported by Faye in personal communications, and validate the experiences of the author in starting and growing a small business. According to Faye, in ten years of working with businesses in decline, focusing attention on the SBOs' internal resources provides the most significant and sustainable outcomes of any other strategic business intervention available.

Fave's observations are comparable to the intervention studies of Liossis et al (2009). Although Liossis et al conducted the intervention with employees and not business owners, promoting the internal resilience of the individual significantly improved levels of coping self efficacy from pre to post test. At six month follow up, these gains were maintained. Furthermore, employees reported the internal skills they developed during the trial improved their family relationships, as well as relationships with co-workers (Liossis et al., 2009).

### Limitations

In providing a balanced assessment of the current study, the following limitations are noted. Firstly, the LRS-B is in the early stages of development as a measure of business resilience. Although it had good overall reliability, only 26% of the variability was accounted for by the proxy measure PBR. This indicates that a large proportion of the LRS-B is yet to be accounted for. Further validation studies with larger samples would be required in order that the structure of the LRS-B could be examined in more detail using the appropriate statistical analyses.

A second limitation worthy of mention is the potential for response bias. Although there appeared to be a reasonable cross section of industry categories, the sample was purposively selected through small business centres and business associations. Members of such organisations tend to join because they are interested in the support and networking opportunities available to them through membership. This could be considered an aspect of resilient behaviour in itself.

As such, the bias is toward those SBOs who are interested in business improvement and therefore may fail to capture others who may not see the benefits of such research to their business. Furthermore, as the researcher was known to some of the participants, this may have enhanced the level of social desirability in these cases even though the responses were anonymous. Although the RSA has been examined for indices of social desirability, the LRS-B has not. Furthermore, the purpose of this study was not to show direct cause and effect relationships, but to establish a significant correlational relationship between the SBO and their business such that further studies would be warranted. This aim has been achieved in the current study.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, 108 small business owners from the Perth, metropolitan area took part in the current study. Aspects of individual and personal resilience were measured via an online survey questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of a well-known measure of individual resilience, and a novel measure of business resilience. Three hypotheses were proposed to investigate the relationship between the resilience of the business owner and the resilience of their business. The study aim was to examine how the owner influences their business. Responses were analysed using standard multiple regression analysis.

The results of the analysis supported all three hypotheses. 'Internal resources', as defined by the LRS-B, was the only significant predictor of perceived business resilience. 'Perceived business resilience' also predicted scores on the LRS-B and both were strongly correlated. Throughout these findings, it was the owner's perception that was constant. The RSA factors 'personal competence' and 'family cohesion' were the only significant predictors of business resilience, however it was established that 'personal competence' was more important in terms of building resilience in the business.

Together, these findings pave the way for the development of strengths based interventions which can assist SBOs in overcoming the daily stressors and major challenges which are likely to be encountered in the process of small business ownership. This study also provides the catalyst for a number of future enquiries, which include validation of the LRS-B, and future studies which determine the impact of the SBOs' internal perception on business performance, using indicators such as profitability and cash flow. Pre and post test intervention studies which involve the SBO, would also further validate the current study's findings and establish a valuable resource for small business once proved successful.

The implications of these findings suggest that small business owners may wish to focus on building their internal resources and personal competence first and foremost. Maintaining a healthy lifestyle, spending time with family and friends, and engaging in pleasurable activities outside of the business, are some of the ways the current author suggests will help SBOs strengthen their internal resources, and ability to deal effectively with business stressors. A mentally and physically healthy owner will have the capacity and awareness to make effective decisions in the business regarding strategies for building organisational resilience.

Organisational psychologists, business consultants, and coaches, can provide assistance both in developing resilient business strategies, and in building personal competencies. Local business associations and small business development centres are also a valuable resource, and can provide cost effective assistance to owners who are struggling, or unsure of where to turn for support. They provide business development programs and information to assist small business owners, along with opportunities for networking and building supportive relationships with other business owners.

In terms of the business, it would be helpful for the owner to focus on those factors which make up the 'internal resources' variable. For example, creating a vision and set of values for the business will help guide the SBO in times of uncertainty by providing a clear sense of direction. It is also important for the SBO to be in control of their business and learn about every aspect of their enterprise. Developing a business plan will help identify the strengths and weaknesses of the business so that the owner can be confident in making decisions about the future direction of the company. In turn, the above strategies will not only help build the internal resources of the business, but also increase the owner's perception of his/her personal competence, and therefore overall resilience.

### References

- Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2010). Counts of Australian Businesses, including Entries and Exits, Jun 2007 to Jun 2009, 8165.0. Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics.
- Antonovsky, A. (1993). The structure and properties of the sense of coherence scale. *Social Science & Medicine*, *36*, 725-733.
- Atkinson, P. A., Martin, C. R., & Rankin, J. (2009). Resileince revisted. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 16, 137-145.
- Baldwin, D. R., Kennedy, D. L., & Armata, P. (2008). Short Communication: De-stressing mommy: ameliorative association with dispositional optimism and resiliency. *Stress and Health*, 24, 393 400 doi: 310.1002/smi.1189.
- Berman, E. L. (2009). Small Business Resilience. Industrial Management, 51(1), 6.
- Block, J., & Kremen, A. M. (1996). IQ and ego-resiliency: Conceptual and empirical connections and separateness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 70, 349 361.
- Clarke, D. M., & Currie, K. C. (2009). Depression, anxiety and their realtionship with chronic diseases: A review of the epidemiology, risk and treatment eveidence.

  Medical Journal of Australia, 190(7), 54-60.
- Cohen, J. (1992). Quantitative methods in psychology: A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112(1), 155-159.
- Connor, K. M., & Davidson, J. R. T. (2003). Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor–Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC). *Depression and Anxiety*, 18, 76-82.

- Council of Small Business of Australia (2011). Small Business Statistics Retrieved from <a href="https://www.cosboa.org/webs/cosboa/cosboaweb.nsf/.../Statistics">www.cosboa.org/webs/cosboa/cosboaweb.nsf/.../Statistics</a> Downloaded 26th April 2011
- Coutu, D. L. (2002). How resilience works. Harvard Business Review, 80(5), 46-55.
- Davydov, D. M., Stewart, R., Ritchie, K., & Chaudieu, I. (2010). Resilience and mental health. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *30*(5), 479-495. doi: DOI: 10.1016/j.cpr.2010.03.003
- Denhardt, J., & Denhardt, R. (2010). Building organisational resilience and adaptive management. In J. W. Reich, A. J. Zautra & J. S. Hall (Eds.), *The handbook of adult resilience*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Derogatis, L. R., Lipman, R., Rickels, K., Uhlenhuth, E. H., & Covi, L. (1974). The Hopkins Symptom Checklist (HSCL): A self-report symptom inventory.

  \*Behavioural Science, 19, 1-15.\*
- Donohue, J. M., & Pincus, H. A. (2007). Reducing the societal burden of depression: a review of economic costs, quality of care and effects of treatment.

  \*Pharmacoeconomics\*, 25(1), 7-24.
- DuBrin, A., Dalglish, C., & Miller, P. (2006). *Leadership* (2nd Asia-Pacific ed.). Qld, Australia: John Wiley & Sons.
- Featherstone, T. (Producer). (2011). Sydney Morning Herald, 21. 02.2011: How entrepreneurs can deal with isolation. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.smh.com.au/small-business/managing/blogs/the-venture/dealing-with-isolation/20110220-1b0pv.html">http://www.smh.com.au/small-business/managing/blogs/the-venture/dealing-with-isolation/20110220-1b0pv.html</a>
- Ferguson, C., Cohen, L., Pooley, J.-A., & Harms, C. (2010). *Resilience in small business owners*. Unpublished manuscript, Edith Cowan University. Perth, WA.

- Field, A. (2009). Discovering Statistics Using SPSS (3rd ed.). London: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Franklin, J., & Doran, J. (2009). Does all coaching enhance objective performance independently evaluated by blind assessors? The importance of the coaching model and content. International Coaching Psychology Review, 4(2), 128 - 144.
- Friborg, O., Hjemdal, O., Rosenvinge, J. H., & Martinussen, M. (2003). A new rating scale for adult resilience: what are the central protective resources behind healthy adjustment? International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research, 12(2), 65-76. doi: 10.1002/mpr.143
- Friborg, O., Hjemdal, O., Rosenvinge, J. H., Martinussen, M., Aslaksen, P., & Flaten, M. (2006). Resilience as a moderator or pain and stress. *Journal of Psychosomatic* Research, 61(2), 213 - 219.
- Gallo, L. C., Ghaed, S. G., & Bracken, W. S. (2004). Emotions and Cognitions in Coronary Heart Disease: Risk, Resilience, and Social Context. Cognitive Therapy and Research, 28(5), 669.
- Gerber, M., E. (1995). The E Myth Revisted: Why Most Small Businesses Don't Work and What to Do About It. New York: HarperCollins.
- Gillespie, B., Chaboyer, W., & Wallis, M. (2007). Development of a theoretically derived model of resilience through concept analysis. Contemporary Nurse 25, 124-135.
- Gumpert, D. E., & Boyd, D. P. (1984). The loneliness of the small-business owner. Harvard Business Review, 62(6), 18-24.
- Hamel, G., & Välikangas, L. (2003). The quest for resilience. *Harvard Business Review*, September 1st, 2003, 1-15.

- Hankinson, A. (2000). The key factors in the profiles of small firm owner-managers that influence business performance. The South Coast Small Firms Survey, 1997-2000. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 32(3), 94-98.
- Harris, J. A., Saltstone, R., & Fraboni, M. (1999). An evaluation of the job stress questionnaire with a sample of entrepreneurs. Journal of Business and Psychology, *13*(3).
- Hill, J. (2001). A multidimensional study of the key determinants of effective SME marketing activity: part 1. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research, 7(5), 171-204.
- Hill, J., Nancarrow, C., & Wright, L. T. (2002). Lifecycles and crisis points in SME's: a case approach. Marketing Intelligence & Planning, 20(6), 361-369.
- Jowkar, B., Friborg, O., & Hjemdal, O. (2010). Cross-cultural validation of the Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA) in Iran. Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 51(5), 418-425.
- Lance, C. E., Butts, M. M., & Michels, L. C. (2006). The sources of four commonly reported cutoff criteria: What did they really say? *Organizational Research* Methods, 9(2), 202-220.
- Legohérel, P., Callot, P., Gallopel, K., & Peters, M. (2004). Personality Characteristics, Attitude Toward Risk, and Decisional Orientation of the Small Business Entrepreneur: A Study of Hospitality Managers. Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research, 28(1), 109-120. doi: 10.1177/1096348003257330
- Lengnick-Hall, C. A., Beck, T. E., & Lengnick-Hall, M. L. (in press). Developing a capacity for organizational resilience through strategic human resource

- management. *Human Resource Management Review, In Press, Corrected Proof.* doi: DOI: 10.1016/i.hrmr.2010.07.001
- Lepoutre, J., & Heene, A. (2006). Investigating the impact of firm size on small business social responsibility: A critical review. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 67. doi: DOI 10.1007/s10551-006-9183-5
- Liossis, P. L., Shochet, I. M., Millear, P. M., & Biggs, H. (2009). The Promoting Adult Resilience (PAR) program: The effectiveness of the second, shorter pilot of a workplace prevention program. *Behaviour Change*, 26(2), 97-112. doi: 10.1375/bech.26.2.97
- Luthans, F., Norman, S. M., Avolio, B. J., & Avey, J. B. (2008). The mediating role of psychological capital in the supportive organizational climate—employee performance relationship. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 29(2), 219-238. doi: 10.1002/job.507
- Luthar, S., Cicchetti, D., & Becker, B. (2000). The construct of resilience: A critical evaluation and guidelines for future work. *Child Development*, 71(3), 543-562.
- Masten, A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 227-238. doi: 10.1037/0003-066x.56.3.227
- Masten, A. S., & O'Dougherty Wright, M. (2010). Resilience over the Lifespan:

  Developmental Perspectives on Resistance, Recovery, and Transformation. In J. W. Reich, A. J. Zautra & J. S. Hall (Eds.), *Handbook of Adult Resilience*. New York:

  The Guilford Press.
- Masten, A. S., & ObradoviĆ, J. (2006). Competence and Resilience in Development. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1094*(1), 13-27. doi: 10.1196/annals.1376.003

- Mikolajczak, M., Roy, E., Luminet, O., & De Timary, P. (2008). Resilience and hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal axis reactivity under acute stress in young men. Stress, 11(16), 477 - 482. doi: 410.1080/10253890701850262
- Morrison, A., Breen, J., & Ali, S. (2003). Small business growth: Intention, ability and opportunity. Journal of Small Business Management, 41(4), 417-425.
- Munro, B., & Pooley, J. A. (2009). Differences in resilience and university adjustment between school leaver and mature entry university students. The Australian Community Psychologist, 21(1), 50 -61.
- Narayanan, A. (2008). The Resilient Individual: A Personality Analysis. *Journal of the Indian Academy of Applied Psychology, 34(special Issue),* 110 - 118.
- Newby, R., Watson, J., & Woodliff, D. (2003). SME Survey Methodology: Response Rates, Data Quality, and Cost Effectiveness. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 28(2), 163-172. doi: 10.1046/j.1540-6520.2003.00037.x
- Norman, S., Luthans, B., & Luthans, K. (2005). The proposed contagion effect of hopefull leaders on the resiliency of employees and organisations. Journal of Leadership and *Organisational Studies*, 12(2), 55-64.
- Parsons, D. (2010). Organisational resilience. The Australian Journal of Emergency *Management 25(2), 18-20.*
- Patzelt, H., & Shepherd, D. A. (2009). Negative emotions of an entrepreneurial career: Self-employment and regulatory coping behaviors. *Journal of Business Venturing*, In Press, Corrected Proof. doi: DOI: 10.1016/j.jbusvent.2009.08.002
- Pooley, J. A., & Cohen, L. (2010). Resilience: A Definition in Context. Australian Community Psychologist., 22 (1).

- Reijonen, H. (2008). Understanding the small business owner: what they really aim at and how this relates to firm performance. *Management Research News*, 31(8), 616-629.
- Samson, D., & Daft, R. L. (2009). *Management* (3rd Asia-Pacific ed.). Melbourne, Vic: Cengage Learning Australia.
- Schwarz, I., & McRae-Williams, P. (2009). Water reform and the resilience of small business people in drought-affected agricultural communities. *Rural Society*, 19(3), 199-210.
- Seville, E., Brunsdon, D., Dantas, A., Masurier, J. L., Wilkinson, S., Vargo, J., et al. (2006). Building Organisational Resilience: A summary of key research findings (Vol. 2006/04, pp. 1-16). Auckland, NZ.
- Skodol, A. E. (2010). The Resilient Personality. In J. W. Reich, A. J. Zautra & J. S. Hall (Eds.), *The handbook of adult resilience*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Steensma, H., Den Heijer, M., & Stallen, V. (2006). Research note: Effects of resilience training on the reduction of stress and depression among Dutch workers.

  \*\*International Quarterly of Community Health Education, 27(2), 145-159. doi: 10.2190/IQ.27.2.e
- Steger, M. F., & Kashdan, T. B. (2009). Depression and everyday social activity, belonging, and well-being. *Journal of Counseling Psychology* 56(2), 289–300. doi: 10.1037/a0015416
- Stephenson, A., Seville, E., Vargo, J., & Roger, D. (2010). Benchmark Resileince: A study of the resileince of organisations in the Auckland region (Vol. 2010/03b, pp. 7-39): Resilient Organisations Research Programme.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidel, L. S. (2007). *Using Multivariate Statistics*. Boston: Pearson Education Inc.

- Tetrick, L. E., Slack, K. J., Da Silva, N., & Sinclair, R. R. (2000). A comparison of the stress–strain process for business owners and nonowners: Differences in job demands, emotional exhaustion, satisfaction, and social support. *Journal of* Occupational Health Psychology, 5(4), 464-476. doi: 10.1037/1076-8998.5.4.464
- Tiuraniemi, J. (2008). Leader Self-Awareness and Its Relationship to Subordinate Assessment of Organizational Atmosphere in the Social Welfare Sector. Administration in Social Work, 32(4), 23 - 38.
- Tompkins, J. A. (2007). 4 steps to business resilience. *Industrial Management*, 49(4), 14-18.
- Walker, E., & Brown, A. (2004). What success factors are important to small business owners? International Small Business Journal, 22(6), 577-594.
- Werner, E. (1993). Risk, resilience, and recovery: Perspectives from the Kauai Longitudinal Study. Development and psychopathology (0954-5794), 5(4), 503.
- Wijewardena, H., Nanayakkara, G., & De Zoysa, A. (2008). The owner/manager's mentality and the financial performance of SMEs. Journal of Small Business and *Enterprise Development, 15*(1), 150-161.

## **APPENDICES**

## Appendix A

## Resilience Scale for Adults (RSA) - Questionnaire, Subscales and Scoring

### Themes

Social competency (6 items)	8*, 14, 19*, 22, 23*, 26
Social resources (7 items)	6, 9*, 10, 12*, 15*, 20, 27*
Family cohesion (6 items)	3, 7*, 13, 16*, 25, 29
Personal competence (10 items)	1, 2*, 4*, 5*, 11, 17*, 18, 31, 32, 33*
Structured Style (4 items)	21*, 24, 28*, 30

<sup>\*</sup>indicates a question that should be reverse scored.

	Subscale	Question		
Soc	ial Competenc	y (6)		
8	SC	I enjoy being :	Together with other people	By Myself
14	SC	To be flexible in social settings:	Is not important to me	Is really important to me
19	SC	New friendships are something:	I make easily	I have difficulty making
22	SC	Meeting new people is:	Difficult for me	Something I am good at
23	SC	When I am with Others:	I easily laugh	I seldom laugh
26	SC	For me thinking of good topics	-	
		of conversation is:	Difficult	easy
Soci	ial Resources (	(7)		
	T ==	T		
6	SR	I can discuss personal issues with:	No one	Friends/Family members
9	SR	Those who are good at encouraging me are:	Some close friends/family n	nembers No one
10	SR	The bonds among my friends are:	Weak	Strong
12	SR	When a family member experiences		
		crisis / emergency:		takes quite awhile before I am told
15	SR	I get support from:	Friends/Family members	No one
20	SR	When needed I have		Always someone who can help me
27	SR	My close Friends/Family members:	Appreciate my qualities	Dislike my qualities
Fam	nily Cohesion (	მ)		
	L = 0	T		
3	FC	My families understanding of what is		
	F0	important in life is:	Quiet different than mine	Very similar to mine
7	FC	I feel:	Very happy with my family	Very unhappy with my family
13	FC	My family is characterised by: In difficult periods my family: Keep	Disconnection os a positive outlook on the fut	Healthy coherence
16 25	FC FC			ture Views the future as gloomy Loyal towards one another
29	FC	Facing other people our family acts: In my family we like to:	do things on our own	Do things together
	sonal Compete		do things on our own	Do triings together
reis				
	Jonai Gompete	ence (10)		
1			Difficult to accomplish	Possible to accomplish
1 2	PC	My plans for the future are:	Difficult to accomplish	Possible to accomplish
2	PC PC	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens	s: I always find a solution	I often feel bewildered
2	PC PC PC	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks:	s: I always find a solution  Very Promising	I often feel bewildered Uncertain
2 4 5	PC PC PC	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks: My future goals:	s: I always find a solution  Very Promising  I know how to accomplish	I often feel bewildered Uncertain I am unsure how to accomplish
2 4 5 11	PC PC PC PC	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks: My future goals: My personal problems:	S: I always find a solution Very Promising I know how to accomplish Are unsolvable	I often feel bewildered Uncertain I am unsure how to accomplish I know how to solve
2 4 5 11 17	PC PC PC PC PC PC	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks: My future goals: My personal problems: My abilities:	SE I always find a solution  Very Promising  I know how to accomplish  Are unsolvable  I strongly believe in	I often feel bewildered Uncertain I am unsure how to accomplish I know how to solve I am uncertain about
2 4 5 11 17 18	PC PC PC PC PC PC PC	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks: My future goals: My personal problems: My abilities: My Judgement and decisions:	S: I always find a solution Very Promising I know how to accomplish Are unsolvable	I often feel bewildered Uncertain I am unsure how to accomplish I know how to solve
2 4 5 11 17	PC PC PC PC PC PC	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks: My future goals: My personal problems: My abilities: My Judgement and decisions: In difficult periods I have a	s: I always find a solution Very Promising I know how to accomplish Are unsolvable I strongly believe in I often doubt	I often feel bewildered Uncertain I am unsure how to accomplish I know how to solve I am uncertain about I trust completely
2 4 5 11 17 18	PC PC PC PC PC PC PC	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks: My future goals: My personal problems: My abilities: My Judgement and decisions: In difficult periods I have a tendency to: View everything gl	s: I always find a solution Very Promising I know how to accomplish Are unsolvable I strongly believe in I often doubt	I often feel bewildered Uncertain I am unsure how to accomplish I know how to solve I am uncertain about I trust completely g good that helps me thrive/prosper
2 4 5 11 17 18 31	PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks: My future goals: My personal problems: My abilities: My Judgement and decisions: In difficult periods I have a tendency to: View everything gl	s: I always find a solution Very Promising I know how to accomplish Are unsolvable I strongly believe in I often doubt  loomy Find something Unclear	I often feel bewildered Uncertain I am unsure how to accomplish I know how to solve I am uncertain about I trust completely g good that helps me thrive/prosper Well thought through
2 4 5 11 17 18 31	PC	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks: My future goals: My personal problems: My abilities: My Judgement and decisions: In difficult periods I have a tendency to: View everything gl	s: I always find a solution Very Promising I know how to accomplish Are unsolvable I strongly believe in I often doubt  loomy Find something Unclear	I often feel bewildered Uncertain I am unsure how to accomplish I know how to solve I am uncertain about I trust completely g good that helps me thrive/prosper Well thought through
2 4 5 11 17 18 31 32 33	PC	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks: My future goals: My personal problems: My abilities: My Judgement and decisions: In difficult periods I have a tendency to: View everything gl My goals for the future are: Events in my life that I cannot influe	s: I always find a solution Very Promising I know how to accomplish Are unsolvable I strongly believe in I often doubt  loomy Find something Unclear	I often feel bewildered Uncertain I am unsure how to accomplish I know how to solve I am uncertain about I trust completely g good that helps me thrive/prosper Well thought through rms with Are a constant
2 4 5 11 17 18 31 32 33 Stru	PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC Ctured Style (4	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks: My future goals: My personal problems: My abilities: My Judgement and decisions: In difficult periods I have a tendency to: View everything gl My goals for the future are: Events in my life that I cannot influe	s: I always find a solution Very Promising I know how to accomplish Are unsolvable I strongly believe in I often doubt  loomy Find something Unclear ence: I manage to come to tel	I often feel bewildered Uncertain I am unsure how to accomplish I know how to solve I am uncertain about I trust completely g good that helps me thrive/prosper Well thought through rms with Are a constant source of worry/concern
2 4 5 11 17 18 31 32 33 Stru	PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC Ctured Style (4	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks: My future goals: My personal problems: My abilities: My Judgement and decisions: In difficult periods I have a tendency to: View everything gl My goals for the future are: Events in my life that I cannot influe	s: I always find a solution Very Promising I know how to accomplish Are unsolvable I strongly believe in I often doubt  loomy Find something Unclear ence: I manage to come to tel	I often feel bewildered Uncertain I am unsure how to accomplish I know how to solve I am uncertain about I trust completely g good that helps me thrive/prosper Well thought through rms with Are a constant source of worry/concern  Can take one day at a time
2 4 5 11 17 18 31 32 33 Stru	PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC Ctured Style (4	My plans for the future are: When something unforseen happens I feel that my future looks: My future goals: My personal problems: My abilities: My Judgement and decisions: In difficult periods I have a tendency to: View everything gl My goals for the future are: Events in my life that I cannot influe	s: I always find a solution Very Promising I know how to accomplish Are unsolvable I strongly believe in I often doubt  loomy Find something Unclear ence: I manage to come to tel	I often feel bewildered Uncertain I am unsure how to accomplish I know how to solve I am uncertain about I trust completely g good that helps me thrive/prosper Well thought through rms with Are a constant source of worry/concern

# Appendix B

# Lifespan Resilience Scale-Business (LRS-B) - Questionnaire, Themes and Scoring

Internal Resources [12]	37, 38, 39, 41, 45, 55, 56, 59, 60, 68, 69, 70
External Resources/ Community [6]	46, 48, 64, 65, 71, 72
Innovation/opportunity/adaptability [5]	40, 42, 44, 61, 66
Family Resources [5]	43, 47, 62, 67,73
Risk Management [7]	50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 57,58

	Theme	Question
35	General	How resilient is your business?
36	Qualitative	Please tell us briefly, why you have rated your business as you did in the above question
Inter	nal Resources	1, 1,
37	IR	Business planning is important for the success of a business?
38	IR	It is important for a business to have a vision and/or mission statement
39	IR	The business can source expert assistance in times of need
41	IR	There is a clear sense of direction in the business
45	IR	The business is confident in its future
55	IR	A workplace health and safety plan?
56	IR	A financial management plan? (This could be part of your business plan)
59	IR	A plan to strengthen your business? (This could be part of your business plan or a plan that addresses
		any or all of the above risks, or any other risks that you can foresee for your business)
60	IR	A plan to address governance and compliance issues
68	IR	The business is good at finding help when it is required
69	IR	There is a sense of control about what happens to the business
70	IR	There is a culture of putting in the best effort in the business
Exte	rnal Resource	s [6]
46	ER	The business belongs in the community
48	ER	The business has strong relationships with its customers/clients
64	ER	The business is well supported by the local community
65	ER	Strong relationships with suppliers have been developed by the business
71	ER	The business has a lot of contacts
72	ER	The business has a mentor or someone with whom the owners can freely discuss business matters
Fam	ily Resources	[5]
43	Family	The business has family support
47	Family	My family likes to be informed about what is happening in the business
62	Family	My family is involved in the business on a daily basis
67	Family	My family supports the business
73	Family	My family is proud of the business
Risk	Management	[7] The business would benefit from developing the following plans?
50	RM	A business continuity plan for your absence from the business?
51	RM	A plan to accommodate fluctuations in the economy? (Increased or decreased activity)
52	RM	A disaster plan?
53	RM	A plan to accommodate climate change or a plan that addresses environmental issues?
54	RM	A plan of action if there is a pandemic?
57	RM	A security plan (in relation to records, computer records, building security?
58	RM	A plan to ensure that your supply of materials will continue to meet your own business needs?
		unity/ adaptability [5]
40	IOA	The business seeks out new opportunities
42	IOA	When usual resources are not available, the business can improvise
44	IOA	The business provides solutions to my customers needs and problems
61	IOA	The business adapts well to differing conditions
66	IOA	The business can deal with whatever challenges come its way
74	Qualitative	The following assistance would improve the resilience of my business

## Appendix C

## Letter of Support –Small Business Centre Northwest Metro

**From:** Bruce Thomas [mailto:Bruce.Thomas@sbcnorthwestmetro.com.au]

Sent: Thursday, 19 August 2010 5:11 PM

To: Phil Roggio

**Subject:** RE: ECU Research Project

Hi Phil

I'm very happy to assist you in your project.

We have a client database of approx 2,500 business clients and I am more than happy to send a questionnaire or link to a questionnaire to the entire database.

Let me know the details as and when you are ready

Thanks and regards

### **Bruce Thomas | Manager**

**Small Business Centre** 4/189 Lakeside Drive Joondalup WA 6027



Phone: +61 8 9300 1418 | Fax: +61 8 9300 9239 | Mobile: 0430 117 567 |

**Email:** Bruce.Thomas@sbcnorthwestmetro.com.au

**Web:** www.sbcnorthwestmetro.com.au

The Small Business Centre North West Metro is a **free** service to **new** and established **businesses** in the North West metropolitan corridor of Perth, from Girrawheen to Yanchep.

We are here to assist you to develop your business idea and/or improve your business success. Located in Joondalup and Wanneroo, we provide free, confidential and practical assistance on a wide range of business related issues including:

- Practical business coaching
- Reference to specialist advisers
- Help through the maze of government departments and regulations
- Problem solving
- Marketing
- Financial management
- Legal structures
- Business licenses
- Customer service
- Staffing matters
- · Business planning
- · Govt. regulations
- Finance

## Appendix D

## Letter of support – Joondalup Business Association

**From:** Joondalup Business Association [mailto:terry@jba.asn.au]

Sent: Tuesday, 23 November 2010 4:50 PM

To: terry@iba.asn.au

Subject: JBA - BUSINESS RESILIENCE PROJECT

Importance: High

Hi everyone

Phil Roggio is a JBA member and the proprietor of Lakeside Plumbing and Gas and is investigating resilience in small business owners in conjunction with the Lifespan Resilience Research Group at Edith Cowan **University**. Phil was a guest speaker at our recent Business Breakfast where he sought the assistance of JBA members in completing a survey which is designed to provide a better understanding of what is required to enhance personal and business resilience.

See the attached flyer for details and a link to the survey which should only take around 15 minutes to complete - it can also be completed in part (your previous responses will be automatically saved). The questionnaire is anonymous and the results are collated directly by the researchers. Please take the time to participate ~ you may find you will get something out of it by simply completing the survey  $\odot$ .

Cheers

Terry

## **Terry Darby-Smith JP**

Manager

Tel: 9300 1414 Fax: 9300 1858 Mob: 0412 264 653

PO Box 24 Joondalup 6919

www.jba.asn.au



One of the many benefits of networking with the jba is to receive regular communications from us to keep you informed on the activities of the Association and issues relating to small business. Should you no longer wish to receive such communication please reply to this message with unsubscribe in the subject line. No implied permission for such communication is granted for any person using the jba member list and none is to be inferred. The information contained in this communication may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient you must not copy this email, disclose its contents to any other party, or take any action on it. Please delete and destroy all copies and immediately notify the sender on 9300 1414 or by reply message.





## Appendix E

## Information Letter

Researcher Contact Details:

Phil Roggio

Mobile No: 0430 355 320

Email: proggio@bigpond.net.au

## A Study of Resilience in West Australian Small Business Owners

#### Information letter

The aim of this research is to investigate personal resilience in small business owners and contribute to the development of a new business resilience measure. The outcomes of this research will provide valuable information that could lead to greater success in your business and a healthier work-life balance.

The questionnaire should take about 15 minutes to complete (in total) and you can complete it in parts if you wish (your previous responses will be automatically saved). The questionnaire is anonymous and the results are collated directly by the researchers. There will be no negative impact to you or your business if you do not wish to participate in this research.

Should you wish to participate, please click on the link below. This will take you directly to the questionnaire. The first section relates to personal resilience, and the second relates to your business. Consent will be assumed if you complete and submit the questionnaire.

## http://ecupsych.qualtrics.com/SE/?SID=SV\_5tHL5gAcAeK30fq

This research project is being undertaken as a requirement of an Honours degree in the School of Psychology and Social Science at Edith Cowan University and has been approved by the ECU Human Research Ethics Committee. If you have any questions or require any further information about the research project please contact me using the above contact details. Alternatively, you can contact my supervisors:

Dr Cath Ferguson Dr Ken Robinson Phone: 6304 5834 Phone: 6304 5526

Email: c.ferguson@ecu.edu.au Email: k.robinson@ecu.edu.au

If you would like to raise any concerns regarding this research with a person independent of the researchers directly involved, you can contact the 4<sup>th</sup> year coordinator:

Dr Andrew M Guilfoyle

Tel: 6304 5192; Fax: 6304 5834 E-Mail: a.guilfoyle@ecu.edu.au

## Appendix F

### ECU Media Release

14 December 2010

#### Small Business Research at ECU

Small businesses make up a vital part in Western Australia's economy, accounting for 96 per cent of all businesses in WA and employing an estimated third of the state's labour force.

Successful businessman and Edith Cowan University (ECU) Psychology Honours student, Mr Philip Roggio is working with the Joondalup Business Association (JBA) and Small Business Centre (SBC) North West Metro to research personal and business resilience in small businesses, with the aim to help small businesses thrive during stressful times.

The research will consist of a 15 minute-questionnaire completed by small to medium business owners in the Perth metropolitan area with the aim to understand the psyche behind small businesses and how they can remain successful during conditions of enormous stress and change.

Mr Roggio believes the research will help businesses understand how to successfully negotiate the workplace and provide valuable information that could lead to greater business success and sustainability.

"Growing a business from start up 21 years ago, navigating through the boom and bust cycles of the WA economy, skills shortages, partnership dissolutions, family commitments, and full time university study, to present day employing over 20 staff and being acknowledged for excellence in the industry, has not been without its challenges," said Mr Roggio.

"I believe that to successfully negotiate these challenges requires a level of mental and emotional strength, and the ability to be resilient, and it is my intention that this research be used to assist business owners understand the concept of resilience and how it may be useful in maintaining a successful business during troubled times."

Mr Roggio is the owner of Lakeside Plumbing and Gas, which recently won the 2010 Master Plumbers and Gasfitters of WA and Plumbing Licensing Board Award for Excellence: Best Workplace Health and Safety Practice in a Business Award.

If you are a small-medium size business owner and wish to complete the questionnaire, visit the School of Psychology and Social Science webpage.

For more information on the research, contact Mr Roggio on proggio@bigpond.net.au.

#### - ends -

Media contact: Natasha Richards, Corporate Communications Officer, 6304 2208 or 0422 326 745