

Edith Cowan University
Research Online

ECU Publications Pre. 2011

2008

Association of Alleles Carried at TNFA -850 and BAT1 -22 with Alzheimer's Disease

Anastazija Gnjec
Edith Cowan University

Katarzyna D'Costa
Edith Cowan University

Simon Laws
Edith Cowan University

Ross Hedley
Edith Cowan University

Kelvin Balakrishnan
Edith Cowan University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks>

 Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

10.1186/1742-2094-5-36

Gnjec, A. , D'Costa, K., Laws, S. , Hedley, R., Balakrishnan, K. A., Taddei, K. , Martins, G. S., Paton, A. , Verdile, G. , Gandy, S., Broe, A., Brooks, W., Bennett, H., Piguet, O., Price, P., Miklossy, J., Hallmayer, J., McGeer, P., & Martins, R. N. (2008). Association of alleles carried at TNFA -850 and BAT1 -22 with Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*, 5(36). Available [here](#)

This Journal Article is posted at Research Online.
<https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks/1060>

Authors

Anastazija Gnjec, Katarzyna D'Costa, Simon Laws, Ross Hedley, Kelvin Balakrishnan, Kevin Taddei, Georgia Martins, Athena Paton, Giuseppe Verdile, Samuel Gandy, Anthony Broe, William Brooks, Hayley Bennett, Olivier Piguet, Patricia Price, Judith Miklossy, Joachim Hallmayer, Patrick McGeer, and Ralph Martins

Research

Open Access

Association of alleles carried at *TNFA* -850 and *BATI* -22 with Alzheimer's disease

Anastazija Gnjec^{1,2}, Katarzyna J D'Costa^{1,2}, Simon M Laws^{1,2}, Ross Hedley^{1,2}, Kelvin Balakrishnan^{1,2}, Kevin Taddei^{1,2}, Georgia Martins^{1,2}, Athena Paton^{1,2}, Giuseppe Verdile^{1,2}, Samuel E Gandy³, G Anthony Broe⁴, William S Brooks⁵, Hayley Bennett⁴, Olivier Piguet⁴, Patricia Price^{5,6,7}, Judith Miklossy⁸, Joachim Hallmayer⁹, Patrick L McGeer⁸ and Ralph N Martins*^{1,2}

Address: ¹Centre of Excellence for Alzheimer's Disease Research and Care, Faculty of Computing, Health and Science, School of Exercise, Biomedical and Health Sciences, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup Drive, Joondalup, 6027, WA, Australia, ²Sir James McCusker Alzheimer's Disease Research Unit, School of Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, University of Western Australia, Hollywood Private Hospital, Nedlands, 6009, WA, Australia, ³Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York, 10029, USA, ⁴Prince of Wales Medical Research Institute, UNSW, Barker Street, Randwick, NSW 2031, Australia, ⁵Centre for Education and Research on Aging, University of Sydney and Concord Repatriation General Hospital, Concord, NSW, 2139, Australia, ⁶School of Surgery and Pathology, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Australia, ⁷Department of Clinical Immunology and Biochemical Genetics, Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, WA, 6000, Australia, ⁸Kinsmen Laboratory of Neurological Research, Department of Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, 2255 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z3, Canada and ⁹Department of Genetics, and Center for Narcolepsy, Department of Psychiatry, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 94305, USA

Email: Anastazija Gnjec - agnjec@cyllene.uwa.edu.au; Katarzyna J D'Costa - dcosta@wehi.edu.au; Simon M Laws - simon.laws@lrz.tu-muenchen.de; Ross Hedley - lesley.hedley@bigpond.com; Kelvin Balakrishnan - kelvin.balakrishnan@gmail.com; Kevin Taddei - k.taddei@ecu.edu.au; Georgia Martins - gmartins@cyllene.uwa.edu.au; Athena Paton - apaton@cyllene.uwa.edu.au; Giuseppe Verdile - g.verdile@ecu.edu.au; Samuel E Gandy - samgandy@earthlink.net; G Anthony Broe - broet@sesahs.nsw.gov.au; William S Brooks - w.brooks@unsw.edu.au; Hayley Bennett - hayley.bennett@unsw.edu.au; Olivier Piguet - o.piguet@unsw.edu; Patricia Price - patricia.price@uwa.edu.au; Judith Miklossy - miklossy@astro.tem; Joachim Hallmayer - joachimh@stanford.edu; Patrick L McGeer - mcgeerpl@interchange.ubc.ca; Ralph N Martins* - r.martins@ecu.edu.au

* Corresponding author

Published: 20 August 2008

Received: 26 June 2008

Journal of Neuroinflammation 2008, 5:36 doi:10.1186/1742-2094-5-36

Accepted: 20 August 2008

This article is available from: <http://www.jneuroinflammation.com/content/5/1/36>

© 2008 Gnjec et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Inflammatory changes are a prominent feature of brains affected by Alzheimer's disease (AD). Activated glial cells release inflammatory cytokines which modulate the neurodegenerative process. These cytokines are encoded by genes representing several interleukins and *TNFA*, which are associated with AD. The gene coding for HLA-B associated transcript I (*BATI*) lies adjacent to *TNFA* in the central major histocompatibility complex (MHC). *BATI*, a member of the DEAD-box family of RNA helicases, appears to regulate the production of inflammatory cytokines associated with AD pathology. In the current study *TNFA* and *BATI* promoter polymorphisms were analysed in AD and control cases and *BATI* mRNA levels were investigated in brain tissue from AD and control cases.

Methods: Genotyping was performed for polymorphisms at positions -850 and -308 in the proximal promoter of *TNFA* and position -22 in the promoter of *BATI*. These were investigated singly or in haplotypic association in a cohort of Australian AD patients with AD stratified on the basis of their *APOE* ϵ 4 genotype. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was also performed for *BATI* from RNA isolated from brain tissue from AD and control cases.

Results: *APOE* ϵ 4 was associated with an independent increase in risk for AD in individuals with *TNFA* -850*2, while carriage of *BAT1* -22*2 reduced the risk for AD, independent of *APOE* ϵ 4 genotype. Semi-quantitative mRNA analysis in human brain tissue showed elevated levels of *BAT1* mRNA in frontal cortex of AD cases.

Conclusion: These findings lend support to the application of *TNFA* and *BAT1* polymorphisms in early diagnosis or risk assessment strategies for AD and suggest a potential role for *BAT1* in the regulation of inflammatory reactions in AD pathology.

Background

Inflammation is a prominent pathological feature of the Alzheimer's disease (AD) brain, and might be initiated by the extracellular accumulation of amyloid β (A β) peptide [1]. Activated microglia and astrocytes cluster around the A β deposits and neurofibrillary tangles of AD brains and can release neurotoxic agents, including complement proteins and pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin (IL)-1 β , IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF α) [2]. Polymorphisms in genes encoding IL-1 α , IL-1 β , IL-6 and TNF α correlate with heightened risk of AD [3]. For example, *IL1B* -511 [4], *IL6* -174 [5] and *TNFA* -308 [6,7] associate with increased or reduced risk of AD. We showed that the *IL1A* -889 T/T and *IL1B* +3954 T/T genotypes mark increased risk for late-onset Alzheimer's disease (LOAD) in an Australian cohort [8].

When investigating potential genetic risk factors for AD pathology it is important to include established genetic risk factors. The most widely accepted genetic risk factor for late onset-forms of AD (LOAD) is the ϵ 4 allele of the gene encoding apolipoprotein E (*APOE* ϵ 4) [9,10]. Two recent studies have explored a potential association between *APOE* ϵ 4 and the *TNFA* -850T (*2) promoter polymorphism in Irish [11] and Spanish [12] cohorts with conflicting outcomes. While in the Irish cohort possession of the *TNFA* -850*2 allele significantly increased the risk of dementia associated with *APOE* ϵ 4 [11], no such synergistic effect was detected in the Spanish cohort [12] suggesting that the effect could be population specific or that other genetic or environmental factors may also play a contributing role. The availability of *APOE* genotype data from previous studies conducted by our research group [13,14] enabled us to investigate the potential link between *APOE* ϵ 4 and *TNFA* -850*2 in a well characterized Australian cohort.

TNFA -308*2 (A allele) marks susceptibility to several autoimmune and inflammatory disorders (for a review see [15]) and has higher transcriptional activity than *TNFA* -308*1 (G allele) [16,17]. However *TNFA* -308*2 and linked alleles may mark increased risk [6,18] or protection [7,19] against AD, so we investigated *TNFA* -308 alleles singly or in haplotypic combination with polymorphisms in adjacent candidate genes to elucidate associa-

tions of these polymorphisms or haplotypic combinations of the respective alleles with AD pathology in an Australian cohort.

HLA-B associated transcript 1 (*BAT1*) is implicated in the regulation of several AD-associated cytokines [20,21]. *BAT1* is a member of the DEAD-box family of RNA helicases, encoded in the central major histocompatibility complex (MHC) near to *TNFA* [22]. Members of this family are a group of highly conserved proteins involved in unwinding of RNA secondary structures [23]. DEAD-box proteins have been implicated in a number of different processes involving RNA such as mRNA stabilization [24]. Studies of anti-sense transfectants suggest *BAT1* may act as a negative regulator of pro-inflammatory cytokines, namely IL-1, IL-6 and TNF α [20]. Furthermore, *BAT1* promoter polymorphisms located at positions -22 and -348 can influence transcription through differential binding of transcription factors [21]. The C allele at *BAT1* -22 (*BAT1* -22*2) is found on a conserved ancestral haplotype associated with an increased risk of immunopathology (HLA-A1, B8, *TNFA* -308*2, DR3, DQ2) [21]. Neither *TNFA* -308*2 nor *BAT1* -22*2 are unique to this haplotype, but when carried together form a haplospecific marker of a conserved block of the central MHC [25]. Here we present data from an investigation of associations between AD, the *APOE* ϵ 4 genotype and carriage of *TNFA* -308*2, *TNFA* -850*2 and *BAT1* -22*2 in a well-characterized Australian cohort. In addition, we report on *BAT1* mRNA levels examined in frontal cortex (Fc) brain tissue from AD and control cases in order to investigate whether changes in *BAT1* expression are associated with AD.

Methods

Genotyping

Alleles carried at *BAT1* -22 (G \rightarrow C) and *TNFA* -308 (G \rightarrow A) and *TNFA* -850 (C \rightarrow T) promoter polymorphisms was determined in 631 individuals from a population of Northern European descent (97% Caucasian). There were 359 control donors (45.7% females) with age at venipuncture of 76.7 \pm 13.1 years (mean \pm SD) and 272 AD cases (59.2% females, age: 77.1 \pm 10.5). 391 cases were patients recruited from a memory clinic in Perth, Western Australia (226 AD cases and 165 controls). The remainder of patients were participants in the Sydney Older Persons

Study; a random sample of community-dwelling people aged 75 and over at recruitment. Of these, 46 were classified as having AD at assessment, while 194 had no cognitive impairment and were used as controls for this analysis. All studies were conducted with approval from the institutional ethics committees and with informed consent of the participants. Methods of recruitment, diagnostic criteria and *APOE* genotyping were as described [13,14,26,27].

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral lymphocytes using a standard protocol [28]. *BAT1* -22 alleles were determined by PCR amplification in a total volume of 20 μ L, containing 1.0 U of *Taq* polymerase (Fisher Biotec, Australia), 0.2 mM each dNTP and 3.0 mM $MgCl_2$, on a Mastercycler Gradient thermal cycler (Eppendorf, Germany) as follows: 1 cycle of 95°C for 5 minutes, 44 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 56°C for 35 seconds and 72°C for 40 seconds, followed by 1 cycle of 72°C for 10 minutes. The oligonucleotide primers, (P1) 5'-CAACCGGAAGTGAGTGCA -3' and (P2) 5'-CAGACCATCGCCTGTGAA-3', were purchased from Genset Pacific Pty. Ltd (Lismore, Australia). Amplicons were digested at 37°C using 5 U *Alu44I* (restriction sequence GTGCAC), separated on 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel at 110 V for 1.5 hours and stained with ethidium bromide to reveal DNA fragments with migration patterns specific for each allele (Allele 1 (G) = 170 base pairs (bp); Allele 2 (C) = 152 bp and 18 bp; Figure 1).

TNFA -308 alleles were determined via PCR amplification in a total volume of 20 μ L, containing 0.6 U *TAQti* (Fisher Biotec, Australia), 0.2 mM each dNTP, 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$ and 0.5 mg/ml BSA amplified as follows: 1 cycle of 94°C for 2




Figure 1
***BAT1* -22 G/C promoter polymorphism genotyping.** A representation of a typical -22 C/G genotyping gel produced after digested PCR product was run on an 8% non-denaturing PAGE gel. M = Marker (100 base pair marker – arrows represent 400, 300 and 200 bp fragments). Black arrowshead correspond to allele fragments: -22 C = 152 bp & 18 bp, and -22 G = 170 bp. Lane 1 = -22 CC genotype. Lanes 2,4,5,7,8,9,10 and 11 = -22 CG genotype. Lanes 3 and 6 = -22 GG genotype.

minutes, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 63°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 30 seconds, followed by 1 cycle of 72°C for 5 minutes. Primers, (P1) 5'-AGGCAATAGGTTTTGAGGGCCAT-3' (underline denotes mismatch) and (P2) 5'-TCCTCCCTGCTCCGATTCCG-3', were purchased from Proligo Pty. Ltd (Lismore, Australia). Amplicons were digested at 37°C using 3 U *NcoI* (restriction sequence C▲CATGG), separated on 5% high resolution agarose gels at 280 V (12 minutes) and stained with ethidium bromide to reveal fragments with migration patterns specific for each allele (Allele 1 (G) = 88 bp and 19 bp; Allele 2 (A) = 107 bp).

TNFA -850 alleles were determined via PCR amplification in a total volume of 20 μ L, containing 0.6 U of *TAQti* polymerase (Fisher Biotec, Australia), 0.2 mM each dNTP, 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$ and 0.5 mg/ml BSA as follows: 1 cycle of 94°C for 3 minutes, 35 cycles of 94°C for 45 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 45 seconds, followed by 1 cycle of 72°C for 5 minutes. Primers were modified from those initially published [27]. (P1) 5'-TCGAGTATCGGGGACCCCCGTT-3' (underline denotes mismatch) and (P2) 5'-CCAGTGTGTGGCCATATCTTCTT-3' were purchased from Proligo Pty. Ltd (Lismore, Australia). Amplicons were digested at 37°C using 3 U *HincII* (restriction sequence GTT▲AAC), separated on a 5% high resolution agarose gels at 280 V (12 minutes) and stained with ethidium bromide to reveal DNA fragments with migration patterns specific for each allele (Allele 1 (C) = 105 bp and 23 bp; Allele 2 (T) = 128 bp) [29].

Brain tissue samples

Total RNA and protein was isolated from brain tissue (frontal cortex) samples from subjects with histopathologically confirmed definite AD and control cases without any AD pathology. Autopsy was performed within 48 hours after death. Subjects with PS1 mutations and a number of familial AD cases with *APOE* ϵ 4 genotypes were from local pedigrees and from the brain tissue bank of Drexel University College of Medicine (Philadelphia, PA, USA). Control brain tissue was obtained locally (Western Australia) and tissues were also received from the New South Wales (NSW) Tissue Resource Centre (Sydney, NSW, Australia), which is supported by The University of Sydney, Neuroscience Institute of Schizophrenia and Allied Disorders, National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism and NSW Department of Health.

RNA extraction and semi-quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated using Trizol® (Gibco BRL, Grand Island, New York, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. RNA was extracted from 100 mg of frontal cortex brain tissue from 12 cases with familial AD either with PS1 mutations or linked to inheritance of the *APOE*- ϵ 4 allele (mean age at time of death: 63 years, range: 50 –

77) and from 16 control cases without AD pathology (mean age at time of death: 50.25 years, range: 18 – 74 years). RNA concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically and 1 µg aliquots were reverse transcribed using the Omniscript™ Reverse Transcriptase Kit (QIAGEN; Victoria, Australia).

Primers required to assess the expression of *BAT1* and *β-ACTIN* mRNA were purchased from Genset Pacific Pty. Ltd (Lismore, Australia): *BAT1*(F): 5'-AGAGGCTCTCTCGGTATCA-3', *BAT1*(R): 5'-GCTGATGTTGACCTCGAAA-3', *BACTIN*(F): 5'-TGGAATCCTGTGTCATCCATGAAAC-3', *BACTIN*(R): 5'-TAAAACGCAGCTCAGTAACAGTCCG-3'. Primers for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) were as previously described [30]. 5 µL cDNA was amplified in a 20 µL reaction on a LightCycler™ (Roche, USA). Each 20 µL PCR reaction contained 1.25 mM dNTP, 20 pmol each primer, 0.25 mg/mL BSA, 1.5 units *Taq* Platinum polymerase and 0.5 × SYBR Green (Invitrogen, USA). Amplifications of cDNA were performed as follows: Denaturation at 95°C for 5 minutes, followed by amplification with 44 cycles at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing (62°C for *BAT1*, 64°C for *β-ACTIN*, and 65°C for *GAPDH*) for 15 seconds and 72°C for 40 seconds. Amplicons were separated on 1% TBE agarose gels and visualised by ethidium bromide staining. The quantification of cDNA was achieved with SYBR Green I dye (Sigma, USA).

Standard curves were generated using 10-fold dilutions of a previously purified bulk cDNA PCR product (stored at a concentration of 1 ng/µL) and analysed using a 'fit points' method with the LightCycler™ run software, version 4.0. Melting curve analyses were used to confirm the generation of a single product. This was further confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The amplified *BAT1* PCR products were sequenced using big-dye terminator chemistry on an ABI automated DNA sequencer (ABI, USA) to confirm the specific amplification of *BAT1*. The house keeping genes *β-ACTIN* and *GAPDH* were used for normalization of *BAT1* mRNA expression. Statistical significance analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney U test.

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 11.5; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used to establish genotype and allele frequencies and to check for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). Initial data comparison involved Pearson's χ^2 and odds ratio (OR) analysis of two by two contingency tables to compare the relative genotype frequencies in AD and control groups. SPSS was further employed to perform Cochran Armitage testing for trends where assumptions of HWE were not met. The same programme was also used to perform direct logistic regression analysis, where all variables were entered into

the equation simultaneously to determine the overall contribution of each genotype on AD in this cohort, whilst controlling for established AD risk factors (age and gender). Estimation of linkage disequilibrium and analysis of haplotypes was performed using Thesias [31].

GenBank codes for genes investigated in this study include *APOE* (MIM: 107741, GeneID: 348), *TNFA* (MIM: 191160, GeneID: 7124) and *BAT1* (MIM: 142560, GeneID: 7919).

Results

Pearson's chi-square (χ^2) and Odds ratio (OR) analysis of the *BAT1* -22 1/1 and 1/2 genotypes revealed a significant association between a complete absence of the *BAT1* -22*2 allele and AD (Table 1). However, this apparent level of protection afforded by the *BAT1* -22*2 allele revealed no gene dosage effect and was limited to homozygosity of this allele (Table 1). Pearson's χ^2 and OR analysis of the *TNFA* -308 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) revealed a weak yet mildly significant trend whereby possession of the -308*2 allele conferred protection from the development of AD. However, this was only significant when allele frequencies were analysed (Table 1). No significant protective effect was observed when genotype frequencies were analysed. Pearson's χ^2 and OR analysis of genotype and allele frequencies from data generated through the genotyping of the *TNFA* -850 SNP revealed a strong association of the *TNFA* -850*2/2 genotype and the *TNFA* -850*2 allele with an increased risk for AD (Table 1).

By convention Pearson's χ^2 and OR analysis are commonly used to evaluate data generated from large genotyping studies and explore frequency distributions. However, in order for such analysis to produce meaningful outcomes strict conditions of HWE must be met. In the current study the distributions of *APOE* and *BAT1* -22 alleles were in HWE (χ^2 , $P = .54$ and $p = .97$, respectively) within the control populations. However significant deviation from HWE within the control group populations was observed for *TNFA* -850 and *TNFA* -308 (χ^2 test, $P < .005$). Therefore, subsequent analyses employed Armitage's trend test (rather than Pearson's χ^2 analysis), to correct for potential type I errors associated with departure from HWE [32].

Armitage's testing for trends revealed a significant association between *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ and AD ($\chi^2 = 108.91$, $P < 0.0001$). *TNFA* -850*2 was also significantly associated with increased risk for AD while a significant protective trend was observed for *BAT1* -22*2 (Table 2). The protective effect initially observed for *TNFA* -308*2 in the genotype and allele frequency distribution analysis (Table 1) did not reach significance using Armitage's test for trend

Table 1: Analysis of Genotype and Allele frequencies of the *BAT1* -22, *TNFA* -308 and *TNFA* -850 polymorphisms

Marker	Genotype or allele	Ctrl numbers (%)	AD numbers (%)
<i>BAT1</i> -22	1/1	144 (40.1)	117 (43.0)
	1/2	167 (46.5)	138 (50.7)
	2/2	48 (13.4)	17 (6.3) ^a
	1	455 (63.4)	372 (68.4)
	2	263 (36.6)	172 (31.6)
<i>TNFA</i> -308	1/1	226 (63.0)	188 (69.1)
	1/2	104 (29.0)	70 (25.7)
	2/2	29 (8.0)	14 (5.1)
	1	556 (77.4)	446 (82.0)
	2	162 (22.6)	98 (18.0) ^b
<i>TNFA</i> -850	1/1	287 (79.9)	183 (67.3)
	1/2	61 (17.0)	70 (25.7)
	2/2	11 (3.1)	19 (7.0) ^c
	1	635 (88.4)	436 (80.1)
	2	83 (11.6)	108 (19.9) ^d

Ctrl = Control cases without AD pathology

AD = Alzheimer's disease cases

^a *BAT1* -22*2/2 versus non-2/2 in AD, $P < .005$ (Pearson $\chi^2 = 8.49$) OR = 0.43 (95% CI = 0.24 – 0.77).

^b *TNFA* -308*2 allele in AD, $P = .048$ (Pearson $\chi^2 = 3.91$) OR = 0.75 (95% CI = 0.57 – 1.00).

^c *TNFA* -850*(2/2, 1/2) versus 1/1 in AD, $P < .001$ (Pearson $\chi^2 = 13.06$) OR = 1.94 (95% CI = 1.35 – 2.78.0).

^d *TNFA* -850*2 allele in AD, $P < .001$ (Pearson $\chi^2 = 16.57$) OR = 1.90 (95% CI = 1.39 – 2.59).

(Table 2). This may reflect a haplotypic association with *BAT1* -22*2 since the alleles are in linkage disequilibrium (LD) in the West Australian population [25].

Logistic regression analysis including age and gender associated *BAT1* -22*2/2 with protection against AD, while *TNFA* -850*1/2 and *TNFA* -850*2/2 conferred risk (Table 3). These findings support Armitage's test for trend results and suggest a possible gene dosage effect for the presence of the *TNFA* -850*2 allele.

Additional logistic regressions analysis of interaction terms between *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ and the *TNFA* and *BAT1* SNPs showed no interactions between the effects marked by *APOE* $\epsilon 4$, and *BAT1* -22*2/2, *TNFA* -850*1/2 or *TNFA* -850*2/2. Furthermore, a stratified analysis based on *APOE* genotype using the Mantel-Haenszel technique showed no significant differences in Odds ratios when estimating effects on AD risk of individual SNPs versus a combination of these SNPs with *APOE* $\epsilon 4$. This suggests that the observed protective effect of *BAT1* -22*2/2 and the increased risk associated with *TNFA* -850*2 are independent of *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ genotype.

Table 2: Armitage test for trend for *BAT1* and *TNFA* genotypes

Marker	Genotype trend	χ^2 -value	P-value
<i>BAT1</i> -22	1/1 < 1/2 < 2/2	7.26	<.05
<i>TNFA</i> -308	1/1 < 1/2 < 2/2	5.28	.07
<i>TNFA</i> -850	1/1 < 1/2 < 2/2	20.17	<.00005

BAT1 and *TNFA* are located in close proximity within the MHC [21,22] and their alleles are in marked LD [25]. Therefore, the computer programme Thesias [31] was used to generate LD matrices for analysis of LD and for haplotype analysis. *BAT1* -22, *TNFA* -308 and *TNFA* -850 were all in LD, so haplotype frequencies were estimated under LD for all three markers and combinations of two markers. The only significant result was obtained for *BAT1* -22*1 in combination with *TNFA* -850*2 (OR = 1.54, $P < 0.05$). However, the individual Odds ratios for *TNFA* -850*1/2 and *TNFA* -850*2/2 were higher than for the above haplotype (i.e. individual OR for *TNFA* -850*1/2 = 1.8 and for *TNFA* -850*2/2 = 2.7). This indicates that the presence of *BAT1* -22*1 in haplotypic association with *TNFA* -850*2 cannot explain the risk effects conferred by *TNFA* -850*2. Therefore, both the protective effect associated with *BAT1* -22*2 and the increased risk associated with *TNFA* -850*2 are more likely due to the individual

Table 3: Direct logistic regression analysis

Variable	Odds ratio	P-value	95.0% C.I.
<i>BAT1</i> -22*2/2 ^a	0.436	<.01	0.238 – 0.798
<i>TNFA</i> -850*1/2 ^b	1.8	<.005	1.218 – 2.669
<i>TNFA</i> -850*2/2 ^c	2.709	<.05	1.260 – 5.824

Direct logistic regression model with Odds ratios representing risk assessment for AD.

^a Homozygosity of *BAT1* -22*2 allele (with absence of allele as reference).

^b Heterozygosity of *TNFA* -850*2 allele (with absence of allele as reference).

^c Homozygosity of *TNFA* -850*2 allele (with absence of allele as reference).

SNPs themselves or a potential haplotypic association with other genes.

In order to test whether transcription of *BAT1* and the homologous gene *DDXL* was altered in AD, mRNA levels of both *BAT1* and *DDXL* were examined in brain frontal cortex tissue of AD and control cases. Analysis of *BAT1* mRNA levels (Figure 2) revealed significantly elevated mRNA levels for *BAT1* normalized against β -*ACTIN* (a) while normalization with *GAPDH* (b) showed marginal significance for increased *BAT1* mRNA levels in the AD brains (Mann-Whitney U test: $P = .037$ and $P = .057$ respectively).

Discussion

AD is a multifactorial disorder with a number of alterations in the immune profile occurring during disease progression in both the brain [33] and the periphery [34,35]. Recently studies have reported links between risk for AD and polymorphisms in the promoter regions of *TNFA* at positions -308 [6,18] and -850 [11]. The current study utilized a well characterised sample to investigate these potential associations in an Australian cohort. In addition, *BAT1* has been implicated in modulation of inflammatory cytokines [20]. Therefore, the current study investigated alleles of the *BAT1* -22 promoter polymorphism as a potential risk factor for AD, singly or in haplotypic association with the *TNFA* promoter polymorphisms.

Analysis of individual SNPs revealed no significant association between AD and *TNFA* -308*2. This contrasts with reports in the literature that associate the *TNFA* -308*2 allele with either increased risk for AD [6,18] or protection against this disorder [7,19]. While data from the current study appears to be more supportive of a potential protective role for *TNFA* -308*2 against AD (Table 1), no conclusions can be drawn solely based on genotype and allele frequency analysis due to control group deviations from HWE that might affect the rate of type I error. However, it is possible that the inconclusive result obtained for *TNFA* -308*2 may be due to haplotypic associations of this polymorphism with other MHC markers such as the *BAT1*-22*2 allele.

In contrast to the ambiguous result obtained for *TNFA* -308*2, analysis of individual SNPs revealed that *TNFA* -850*2 was clearly significantly associated with increased risk for AD. The literature shows association of the *TNFA* -850*2 with vascular dementia [11] and individuals at high risk for dementia, such as those with Down's Syndrome [36]. However, a clear association of *TNFA* -850*2 with AD has only previously been reported as a synergistic effect in combination with *APOE* ϵ 4 in a Northern Irish population [11], while a similar study in a population




Figure 2
Semi-quantitative RT-PCR of *BAT1* and *DDXL* mRNA in frontal cortex of AD (n = 12) and control cases (n = 16). Data is represented as Box-plots showing median values and quartiles. (A) *BAT1* mRNA levels normalized against β -*ACTIN* (Mann-Whitney U test: * $P = .037$), (B) *BAT1* mRNA levels normalized against *GAPDH* (Mann-Whitney U test: ** $P = .057$).

from Northern Spain failed to produce evidence in support of a synergistic effect between *TNFA* -850*2 and *APOE* ϵ 4 [12]. The authors suggested that this discrepancy might reflect true genetic differences between the populations and pointed out that differences in allele frequency distributions between the two different European popula-

tions might indicate linkage disequilibrium between the *TNFA* -850 and another marker that might represent the true disease causing gene [12].

The current study presents data in support of the notion that *TNFA* -850*2 contributes to the risk of AD independently of the *APOE* ϵ 4 allele. Furthermore, logistic regression analysis revealed a possible gene dosage effect with increase in copy numbers of the *TNFA* -850*2 allele leading to higher Odds ratios. It is, however, possible that a gene linkage with *TNFA* -850*2 would show a parallel OR pattern, and might account for the apparent gene dosage effect attributed to the *TNFA* -850*2 allele. Since all three markers investigated exerted their effects independently of *APOE* ϵ 4 but were found to be in LD with one another, haplotype frequencies, taking into account LD between markers, were estimated for all three MHC markers and also for combinations of two markers in order to investigate whether an AD risk or protection associated haplotype could be responsible for the effects observed.

Only one haplotype (*BAT1* -22*1 in combination with *TNFA* -850*2) appeared to be significantly associated with risk for AD, but the observed Odds ratio was lower for this haplotype (OR = 1.54) than the OR for the single polymorphisms associated with AD risk (*TNFA* -850*1/2, OR = 1.8 and *TNFA* -850*2/2, OR = 2.7). This indicates that, although in LD with the other two markers *TNFA* -850*2 did not exert its risk for AD through a haplotypic association with these polymorphisms. While it cannot be entirely ruled out that linkage disequilibrium with other as yet not identified markers may be responsible for the effect observed in this investigation, the current study identifies the *TNFA* -850*2 allele as a candidate marker that may confer risk for AD in the Australian population. Further investigation with larger participant numbers and in other populations is clearly warranted.

While the polymorphisms in the promoter regions of *TNFA* are likely to directly affect transcription of the *TNFA* gene, ultimate levels of TNF α protein in tissues can also be influenced by other regulating factors such as *BAT1*. In the current study *BAT1*-22*2/2 was significantly associated with protection against the development of AD. Similar to the association between increased risk for AD and the presence of the *TNFA* -850*2 allele, the protective effect of *BAT1*-22*2/2 was found to be independent of *APOE* ϵ 4 status. Furthermore, none of the estimated haplotypic associations with the two *TNFA* markers that are in linkage disequilibrium with *BAT1* have provided evidence to suggest that the effect observed for *BAT1*-22*2/2 is due to a haplotypic association with these markers. While the possibility remains that the protective *BAT1* effect might be due to LD with another gene as yet not investigated, it

is also possible that *BAT1* might assert an independent effect on AD risk.

A potential independent role for *BAT1* in AD pathology is supported by the notion that the *BAT1* -22 polymorphism may not only have the potential to affect transcription of *BAT1* but, through the role *BAT1* plays in mRNA stabilization, this protein may also affect translation of a number of inflammatory cytokines linked to AD pathology, including *TNFA*. It has previously been reported that *BAT1* plays a potential role in the regulation of inflammatory cytokines, including *TNFA* [20,21] and the *BAT1* -22 allele has been associated with certain autoimmune disease susceptible ancestral haplotypes such as the 8.1 MHC AH amongst others [21]. Since *BAT1* appears to regulate a number of inflammatory cytokines for which alterations are observed in AD pathology the current study is the first to provide evidence to show that a *BAT1* promoter polymorphism is significantly associated with AD pathology.

It is of interest to note that for the *TNFA* -850 polymorphism the less frequent allele conferred risk for AD while the opposite was found for the less frequent allele (C) of the *BAT1* -22 polymorphism which was associated with a decreased risk for AD. This finding that the *BAT1* -22*2 (C) allele is associated with protection against AD is in contrast to the findings for autoimmune disorders where the less common number 2 allele is implicated with ancestral haplotypes that confer increased risk [20,21]. In order to explain this phenomenon it is important to gain a better understanding of the function of *BAT1*. The yeast homolog of *BAT1*, Sub2p, has been shown to be required for mRNA export through nuclear pores [37,38]. Previous findings have shown that the -22 C *BAT1* allele, associated with the autoimmune disease susceptible 8.1 MHC ancestral haplotype, may result in reduced *BAT1* transcription [21]. However, it has also been demonstrated that both injection of excess UAP56 (*BAT1*) into *Xenopus* oocytes as well as depletion of HEL, the *Drosophila* homologue of UAP56, by RNAi resulted in defects in mRNA export from the nucleus [39,40]. This indicates that both excess levels of *BAT1* and a lack of this protein can lead to abnormalities in mRNA export and splicing. Hence, the presence of different alleles of *BAT1* -22 may potentially lead to a range of different aberrations in mRNA processing resulting in a variety of different phenotypic manifestations of pathology. It is, therefore, possible that the *BAT1*-22*2 allele *per se* may be protective against AD but still also be part of an array of SNPs that may confer risk for certain autoimmune disorders. The complexity of potential phenotypic effects as well as possible haplotypic associations of *BAT1* -22 with other genes indicate that further studies are warranted to explore whether the *BAT1*-22*1 allele may confer an independent risk for AD other than

just in haplotypic combination with *TNFA* -850*2 as observed in the current study.

Therefore, while the possibility of LD with other genes cannot be ruled out the current study provides evidence in support for a potential role for *BAT1* in AD pathology. *BAT1* -22 and *TNFA* -850 in combination with other biochemical and cognitive markers might serve as genetic markers for diagnostic purposes or AD risk assessment strategies. Moreover, in light of current international drug development research in the AD field, establishment of genetic profiles may help to identify individuals more likely to experience benefits from certain treatments or may prevent individuals genetically unfavourably predisposed from receiving costly, yet ineffective treatment. Since the SNPs investigated could also lead to functional differences it is of great importance to investigate phenotypical characteristics conferred by these polymorphisms.

Considering that *BAT1* has a potential regulatory role for inflammatory cytokines [20,21] analysis of *BAT1* mRNA and protein levels in AD brain tissue may reveal a functional role for the *BAT1* protein in AD pathology. To investigate whether transcription of *BAT1* was affected in AD, levels of *BAT1* mRNA were determined in brain tissue from confirmed AD and control cases. This revealed significantly elevated levels of *BAT1* and *DDXL* mRNA in Fc of AD cases and suggests a potential functional role for *BAT1* in AD pathogenesis. It is not implausible to suggest that levels of *BAT1* may rise as a response mechanism to counteract the inflammatory reactions that occur in regions of AD pathology. However, a repetition of this study with a larger sample size to enable parametric analysis of results may help to confirm the significance of these findings.

These data are of particular interest in light of recent findings that oligonucleotides spanning the promoter polymorphism -22 to -348 region of *BAT1* autoimmune disease resistant 7.1 AH bind DNA/protein complexes as shown by electrophoretic mobility shift assays [41]. At position -22 these complexes appear to include the octamer binding protein family member, transcription factor Oct1 [39]. Oct1 has been shown to bind *TNFA* at position -857T and can interact with the pro-inflammatory NF- κ B transcription factor p65 subunit [42]. As TNF α has been implicated in inflammation observed in AD brains [2] the above studies together with the current findings suggest an important association between *BAT1* expression and regulation of inflammatory cytokines in the AD brain. The exact mechanisms of this link between *BAT1* -22 promoter polymorphism and inflammatory reactions in the AD brain remain to be explored in future studies.

To establish the role of *BAT1* in AD pathology it is imperative to examine levels of *BAT1* in AD affected tissues in a larger number of cases. Apart from its presence in brain tissue, *BAT1* mRNA transcripts have been detected in pancreas, kidney, skeletal muscle, liver, lung and heart [43]. The presence of *BAT1* in hematopoietic cells [20] makes this protein a potential biomarker in early diagnosis or monitoring of progression of disorders with inflammatory responses, such as AD.

Conclusion

The current study has revealed an *APOE* ϵ 4 independent association of *TNFA* -850*2 with increased risk for AD, and an *APOE* ϵ 4 independent association of *BAT1* -22*2/2 with decreased risk for AD. These findings were not enhanced by haplotype analysis of polymorphisms in linkage disequilibrium suggesting that the observed effects may have resulted from the single SNPs. Hence, these SNPs may represent valuable markers in risk assessment, prognosis and therapeutic approaches for AD. In addition, the current study has provided evidence for a novel role for *BAT1* in AD pathogenesis. *BAT1* may play a role in regulating the inflammatory response in AD through influencing mRNA export and translation. Investigations of *BAT1* promoter polymorphisms and mRNA and protein levels in other populations are clearly warranted to confirm this initial finding. Inflammatory processes form important underlying mechanisms in AD pathology. Elucidating the role of the currently investigated SNPs in AD pathology may contribute towards an understanding of the regulatory mechanisms of these events, and may provide new targets for drug development to combat AD.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AG has isolated RNA from AD and control brain tissue and has been drafting and writing the manuscript, has performed data analysis for the mRNA work, and has been involved in interpretation of data and revising the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. KD has performed the semi-quantitative RT-PCR and data analysis and has made substantial contributions towards drafting the manuscript. SML has made substantial contributions towards genotyping, data analysis and interpretation and drafting of the manuscript. RH, KB and KT contributed towards the genotyping process. GM and AP have been involved in the sample acquisition and/or the DNA extraction process. GV and SEG have made substantial intellectual contributions towards the manuscript. GAB, WSB, HB and OP were involved in sample acquisition and processing. PP has made substantial contributions to the concept and design of the study and the

manuscript as expert adviser, and has contributed towards data interpretation. JM contributed towards analysing brain tissue from a substantial proportion of the cases for histopathological diagnosis. JH has been critically involved in statistical analyses and interpretation of data, including genotype and haplotype analyses. PM has provided substantial expert advice with regard to analysis and interpretation of data and manuscript drafting. RNM has made the most substantial contributions towards the conception and design of the study and has given final approval of the version to be published. All of the authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the McCusker Foundation for Alzheimer's Disease Research, Edith Cowan University and Hollywood Private Hospital, Department of Veteran Affairs and the NHMRC. The authors would also like to acknowledge the excellent help in form of statistical analysis contributed by Dr Karen Josebury. Furthermore, the authors would like to acknowledge the Sir Zelman Cowen Universities' Fund which provided funding for collection of blood samples. We thank Dr Noel Tan for dissection and histopathological examination of brains. We also extend our thanks to Dr Clive Cooke (Queen Elizabeth Medical Centre, Perth, WA, Australia) for dissection and macroscopic examination of brains. Furthermore, we would like to thank Professor Glenda Halliday (Prince of Wales Medical Research Institute, Randwick, NSW, Australia) for valuable discussion with regard to the brain samples used.

References

- McGeer EG, McGeer PL: **The importance of inflammatory mechanisms in Alzheimer disease.** *Exp Gerontol* 1998, **33**:371-378.
- Gonzalez-Scarano F, Baltuch G: **Microglia as mediators of inflammatory and degenerative diseases.** *Annu Rev Neurosci* 1999, **22**:219-240.
- McGeer PL, McGeer EG: **Polymorphisms in inflammatory genes and the risk of Alzheimer disease.** *Arch Neurol* 2001, **58**:1790-1792.
- Grimaldi LM, Casadei VM, Ferri C, Veglia F, Licastro F, Annoni G, Biunno I, De Bellis G, Sorbi S, Mariani C, Canal N, Griffin WS, Franceschi M: **Association of early-onset Alzheimer's disease with an interleukin-1alpha gene polymorphism.** *Ann Neurol* 2000, **47**:361-365.
- Faltraco F, Burger K, Zill P, Teipel SJ, Moller HJ, Hampel H, Bondy B, Ackenheil M: **Interleukin-6-174 G/C promoter gene polymorphism C allele reduces Alzheimer's disease risk.** *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003, **51**:578-579.
- Alvarez V, Mata IF, Gonzalez P, Lahoz CH, Martinez C, Pena J, Guisasaola LM, Coto E: **Association between the TNFalpha-308 A/G polymorphism and the onset-age of Alzheimer disease.** *Am J Med Genet* 2002, **114**:574-577.
- Culpan D, MacGowan SH, Ford JM, Nicoll JA, Griffin WS, Dewar D, Cairns NJ, Hughes A, Kehoe PG, Wilcock GK: **Tumour necrosis factor-alpha gene polymorphisms and Alzheimer's disease.** *Neurosci Lett* 2003, **350**:61-65.
- Hedley R, Hallmayer J, Groth DM, Brooks WS, Gandy SE, Martins RN: **Association of interleukin-1 polymorphisms with Alzheimer's disease in Australia.** *Ann Neurol* 2002, **51**:795-797.
- Corder EH, Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel DE, Gaskell PC, Small GW, Roses AD, Haines JL, Pericak-Vance MA: **Gene dose of apolipoprotein E type 4 allele and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in late onset families.** *Science* 1993, **261**:921-923.
- Craft S, Teri L, Edland SD, Kukull WA, Schellenberg G, McCormick WC, Bowen JD, Larson EB: **Accelerated decline in apolipoprotein E-epsilon4 homozygotes with Alzheimer's disease.** *Neurology* 1998, **51**:149-153.
- McCusker SM, Curran MD, Dynan KB, McCullagh CD, Urquhart DD, Middleton D, Patterson CC, McIlroy SP, Passmore AP: **Association between polymorphism in regulatory region of gene encoding tumour necrosis factor alpha and risk of Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia: a case-control study.** *Lancet* 2001, **357**:436-439.
- Infante J, Llorca J, Berciano J, Combarros O: **No synergistic effect between -850 tumor necrosis factor-alpha promoter polymorphism and apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 allele in Alzheimer's disease.** *Neurosci Lett* 2002, **328**:71-73.
- Martins RN, Clarnette R, Fisher C, Broe GA, Brooks WS, Montgomery P, Gandy SE: **ApoE genotypes in Australia: roles in early and late onset Alzheimer's disease and Down's syndrome.** *Neuroreport* 1995, **6**:1513-1516.
- Laws SM, Taddei K, Fisher C, Small D, Clarnette R, Hallmayer J, Brooks WS, Kwok JB, Schofield PR, Gandy SE, Martins RN: **Evidence that the butyrylcholinesterase K variant can protect against late-onset Alzheimer's Disease.** *Alzheimer's Reports* 1999, **2**:219-223.
- Wilson AG, di Giovine FS, Duff GW: **Genetics of tumour necrosis factor-alpha in autoimmune, infectious, and neoplastic diseases.** *J Inflamm* 1995, **45**:1-12.
- Wilson AG, de Vries N, Pociot F, di Giovine FS, Putte LB van der, Duff GW: **An allelic polymorphism within the human tumor necrosis factor alpha promoter region is strongly associated with HLA A1, B8, and DR3 alleles.** *J Exp Med* 1993, **177**:557-560.
- Wilson AG, Symons JA, McDowell TL, McDevitt HO, Duff GW: **Effects of a polymorphism in the human tumor necrosis factor alpha promoter on transcriptional activation.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1997, **94**:3195-3199.
- Collins JS, Perry RT, Watson B Jr, Harrell LE, Acton RT, Blacker D, Albert MS, Tanzi RE, Bassett SS, McInnis MG, Campbell RD, Go RC: **Association of a haplotype for tumor necrosis factor in siblings with late-onset Alzheimer disease: the NIMH Alzheimer Disease Genetics Initiative.** *Am J Med Genet* 2000, **96**:823-830.
- Perry RT, Collins JS, Harrell LE, Acton RT, Go RC: **Investigation of association of 13 polymorphisms in eight genes in southeastern African American Alzheimer disease patients as compared to age-matched controls.** *Am J Med Genet* 2001, **105**:332-342.
- Allcock RJ, Williams JH, Price P: **The central MHC gene, BAT1, may encode a protein that down-regulates cytokine production.** *Genes Cells* 2001, **6**:487-494.
- Wong AM, Allcock RJ, Cheong KY, Christiansen FT, Price P: **Alleles of the proximal promoter of BAT1, a putative anti-inflammatory gene adjacent to the TNF cluster, reduce transcription on a disease-associated MHC haplotype.** *Genes Cells* 2003, **8**:403-412.
- Spies T, Bresnahan M, Strominger JL: **Human major histocompatibility complex contains a minimum of 19 genes between the complement cluster and HLA-B.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1989, **86**:8955-8958.
- de la Cruz J, Kressler D, Linder P: **Unwinding RNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: DEAD-box proteins and related families.** *Trends Biochem Sci* 1999, **24**:192-198.
- Pause A, Sonenberg N: **Mutational analysis of a DEAD box RNA helicase: the mammalian translation initiation factor eIF-4A.** *Embo J* 1992, **11**:2643-2654.
- Allcock RJ, Windsor L, Gut IG, Kucharzak R, Sobre L, Lechner D, Garnier JG, Baltic S, Christiansen FT, Price P: **High-Density SNP genotyping defines 17 distinct haplotypes of the TNF block in the Caucasian population: implications for haplotype tagging.** *Hum Mutat* 2004, **24**:517-525.
- Waite LM, Broe GA, Creasey H, Grayson D, Edelbrock D, O'Toole B: **Neurological signs, aging, and the neurodegenerative syndromes.** *Arch Neurol* 1996, **53**:498-502.
- Waite LM, Broe GA, Creasey H, Grayson DA, Cullen JS, O'Toole B, Edelbrock D, Dobson M: **Neurodegenerative and other chronic disorders among people aged 75 years and over in the community.** *Med J Aust* 1997, **167**:429-432.
- Hixson JE, Borenstein S, Cox LA, Rainwater DL, VandeBerg JL: **The baboon gene for apolipoprotein A-I: characterization of a cDNA clone and identification of DNA polymorphisms for genetic studies of cholesterol metabolism.** *Gene* 1988, **74**:483-490.

29. Kato T, Honda M, Kuwata S, Juji T, Kunugi H, Nanko S, Fukuda M, Honda Y: **Novel polymorphism in the promoter region of the tumor necrosis factor alpha gene: No association with narcolepsy.** *Am J Med Genet* 1999, **88**:301-304.
30. Allcock RJ, Baluchova K, Cheong KY, Price P: **Haplotypic single nucleotide polymorphisms in the central MHC gene IKBL, a potential regulator of NF-kappaB function.** *Immunogenetics* 2001, **52(3-4)**:289-293.
31. Tregouet DA, Escolano S, Tiret L, Mallet A, Golmard JL: **A new algorithm for haplotype-based association analysis: the Stochastic-EM algorithm.** *Ann Hum Genet* 2004, **68**:165-177.
32. Schaid DJ, Jacobsen SJ: **Biased tests of association: comparisons of allele frequencies when departing from Hardy-Weinberg proportions.** *Am J Epidemiol* 1999, **149**:706-711.
33. Gupta A, Pansari K: **Inflammation and Alzheimer's disease.** *Int J Clin Pract* 2003, **57**:36-39.
34. De Luigi A, Pizzimenti S, Quadri P, Lucca U, Tettamanti M, Fragiaco C, De Simoni MG: **Peripheral inflammatory response in Alzheimer's disease and multiinfarct dementia.** *Neurobiol Dis* 2002, **11**:308-314.
35. Sala G, Galimberti G, Canevari C, Raggi ME, Isella V, Facheris M, Appollonio I, Ferrarese C: **Peripheral cytokine release in Alzheimer patients: correlation with disease severity.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2003, **24**:909-914.
36. Lucarelli P, Piciullo A, Verdecchia M, Palmarino M, Arpino C, Curatolo P: **The role of -850 tumor necrosis factor-alpha and apolipoprotein E genetic polymorphism in patients with Down's syndrome-related dementia.** *Neurosci Lett* 2003, **352**:29-32.
37. Jensen TH, Boulay J, Rosbash M, Libri D: **The DECD box putative ATPase Sub2p is an early mRNA export factor.** *Curr Biol* 2001, **11**:1711-1715.
38. Strasser K, Hurt E: **Splicing factor Sub2p is required for nuclear mRNA export through its interaction with Yra1p.** *Nature* 2001, **413**:648-652.
39. Gatfield D, Le Hir H, Schmitt C, Braun IC, Kocher T, Wilm M, Izaurralde E: **The DEXH/D protein HEL/UAP56 is essential for mRNA nuclear export in Drosophila.** *Curr Biol* 2001, **11**:1716-1721.
40. Luo ML, Zhou Z, Magni K, Christoforides C, Rappsilber J, Mann M, Reed R: **Pre-mRNA splicing and mRNA export linked by direct interactions between UAP56 and Aly.** *Nature* 2001, **413**:644-647.
41. Price P, Wong AM, Williamson D, Voon D, Baltic S, Allcock RJ, Boodhoo A, Christiansen FT: **Polymorphisms at positions -22 and -348 in the promoter of the BAT1 gene affect transcription and the binding of nuclear factors.** *Hum Mol Genet* 2004, **13**:967-974.
42. van Heel DA, Udalova IA, De Silva AP, McGovern DP, Kinouchi Y, Hull J, Lench NJ, Cardon LR, Carey AH, Jewell DP, Kwiatkowski D: **Inflammatory bowel disease is associated with a TNF polymorphism that affects an interaction between the OCT1 and NF(-kappa)B transcription factors.** *Hum Mol Genet* 2002, **11**:1281-1289.
43. Allcock RJ, Price P, Gaudieri S, Leelayuwat C, Witt CS, Dawkins RL: **Characterisation of the human central MHC gene, BAT1: genomic structure and expression.** *Exp Clin Immunogenet* 1999, **16**:98-106.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

