Edith Cowan University Research Online

ECU Publications 2012

1-1-2012

Genome sequence of strain HIMB624, a cultured representative from the OM43 clade of marine Betaproteobacteria

Megan Huggett

Darren Hayakawa

Michael Rappe

Follow this and additional works at: https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks2012

Part of the Genetics and Genomics Commons

10.4056/sigs.2305090

Huggett, M. J., Hayakawa, D., & Rappe, M. (2012). Genome sequence of strain HIMB624, a cultured representative from the OM43 clade of marine Betaproteobacteria . Standards in Genomic Sciences, 6(1), 1-15. This Journal Article is posted at Research Online. https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworks2012/685

Genome sequence of strain HIMB624, a cultured representative from the OM43 clade of marine *Betaproteobacteria*

Megan J. Huggett¹, Darin H. Hayakawa^{1,2} and Michael S. Rappé^{1*}

¹ Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology, SOEST, University of Hawaii, Kaneohe, Hawaii, USA

² Department of Microbiology, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA

*Corresponding author: Michael S. Rappé (<u>rappe@hawaii.edu</u>)

Keywords: methylotroph, methanol, OM43, marine, bacterioplankton, Methylophilaceae

Strain HIMB624 is a planktonic marine bacterium within the family *Methylophilaceae* of the class *Betaproteobacteria* isolated from coastal seawater of Oahu, Hawaii. This strain is of interest because it is one of few known isolates from an abundant clade of *Betaproteobacteria* found in cultivation-independent studies of coastal seawater and freshwater environments around the globe, known as OM43. Here we describe some preliminary features of the organism, draft genome sequence and annotation, and comparative genomic analysis with one other sequenced member of this clade (strain HTCC2181). The 1,333,209 bp genome of strain HIMB624 is arranged in a single scaffold containing four contigs, and contains 1,381 protein encoding genes and 39 RNA genes.

Introduction

Strain HIMB624 was isolated from surface seawater of Kaneohe Bay, a subtropical bay on the northeastern shore of Oahu, Hawaii, via dilution to extinction culturing methods [1,2]. This strain is of interest because it belongs to a globally ubiquitous clade of aquatic bacterioplankton known as OM43, within the obligately methylotrophic family Methylophilaceae of the class Betaproteobacteria. The OM43 lineage was first described in 1997 from a 16S rRNA gene survey of coastal bacterioplankton from the Atlantic coast of the United States [3], and the first published report describing the isolation of OM43 strains via modified extinction to dilution culturing methods was reported in 2002 [1]. Recently, the genome sequence of a member of the OM43 lineage was reported for a strain isolated from the Pacific coast of the United States (HTCC2181) [4]. Here we present a preliminary set of features for strain HIMB624 (Table 1), together with a description of the genomic sequencing and annotation, as well as a preliminary comparative analysis with the genome of strain HTCC2181.

Classification and features

Strain HIMB624 was isolated from seawater collected off of the coast of Hawaii, USA, in the subtropical North Pacific Ocean by a high throughput, dilution-to-extinction approach [1,2]. The strain was re-grown in seawater that was sterilized by tangential flow filtration and by autoclaving. Attempts to cultivate cells on solidified seawater media or artificial seawater media (liquid or solidified) failed. However, amendment of sterile seawater with either methanol or formaldehyde increased the maximum cell density from ca. 1×10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ to ca. 1×10⁷ cells ml⁻¹.

Phylogenetic analyses based on 16S rRNA gene sequence comparisons revealed strain HIMB624 to be closely related to a large number of environmental gene clones obtained predominantly from seawater. Alignment of the HIMB624 16S rRNA gene sequence with the Silva release 104 reference database containing only high quality, aligned 16S rRNA sequences with a minimum length of 1,200 bases for *Bacteria* released in October 2010 (n=512,037 entries) [13], revealed 350 entries that belong to the same phylogenetic lineage within the *Betaproteobacteria*. Of these, only the entries from HTCC2181, HIMB624 and one other strain

(AB022337) originated from cultivated isolates and all entries in the lineage were derived either from seawater, freshwater, or the marine environment. In phylogenetic analyses with taxonomically described members of the *Betaproteobacteria*, strains HIMB624 and HTCC2181 formed a monophyletic lineage within the family *Methylophilaceae* (Figure 1; 96.5% sequence similarity). The 16S rRNA gene of strain HIMB624 was most similar to the type strains of *Methylophilus luteus* strain Mim (94.4%) and *Methylophilus flavus* strain Ship (94.3%), both isolated from plants [18]; *Methylophilus methylotrophus* strain NCIMB 10515 (93.7%), isolated from activated sludge [19]; *Methylotenera mobilis* strain JLW8 (93.7%), isolated from freshwater sediment [20]; *Methylobacillus flagellatus* strain KT (93.5%) isolated from sewage [21]; *Methylovorus mays* strain C isolated from maize phyllosphere (92.5%) [22]; and *Methylobacillus pratensis* strain F31 (91.8%), isolated from meadow grass [23].

MIGS ID	Property	Term	Evidence code
		Domain Bacteria	TAS [6]
		Phylum Proteobacteria	TAS [7]
		Class Betaproteobacteria	TAS [8,9]
	Current classification	Order Methylophilales	TAS [8,10]
		Family Methylophilaceae	TAS [8,11]
		Genus not assigned	IDA
		Species not assigned	IDA
		Strain HIMB624	IDA
	Gram stain	negative	IDA
	Cell shape	rod-shaped	IDA
	Motility	unknown	
	Sporulation	non-sporulating	NAS
	Temperature range	mesophilic	IDA
	Optimum temperature	27-29°C	IDA
	Carbon source	methanol, formaldehyde	IDA
	Energy source	chemoorganoheterotrophic	IDA
MIGS-6	Habitat	sea water	IDA
MIGS-6.3	Salinity	~35.0 ‰	NAS
MIGS-22	Oxygen	aerobic	IDA
MIGS-15	Biotic relationship	free-living	IDA
MIGS-14	Pathogenicity	none	NAS
MIGS-4	Geographic location	Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii, subtropical Pacific Ocean	IDA
MIGS-5	Sample collection time	15 March 2004	IDA
MIGS-4.1	Latitude	21.44	IDA
MIGS-4.2	Longitude	-157.78	IDA
MIGS-4.3	Depth	~1 m	IDA

Table 1. Classification and general features of strain HIMB624 according to the MIGS recommendations [5].

Evidence codes - IDA: Inferred from Direct Assay (first time in publication); TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). These evidence codes are from the Gene Ontology project [12]. If the evidence code is IDA, then the property was directly observed by one of the authors or an expert mentioned in the acknowledgements.



Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree based comparisons between 16S rRNA gene sequences from strain HIMB624, strain HTCC2181, type strains of related species within the family *Methylophilaceae*, and more distantly related *Betaproteobacteria*. Several *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Alphaproteobacteria* strains were used as outgroups. Sequence selection and alignment improvements were carried out using the 'All-Species Living Tree' project database [14] and the ARB software package [15]. The tree was inferred from 1,223 alignment positions using the RAxML maximum likelihood method [16]. Bootstrap support values, determined by RAxML [17], are displayed above branches if larger than 60% from 1000 replicates. The scale bar indicates substitutions per site.

In actively growing cultures, cells of strain HIMB624 are long, thin slightly curved rods between 0.1-0.3 μ m wide and 0.6-1.8 μ m long (Figure 2). Cells in stationary phase are spherical and approximately 0.2 μ m in diameter. Strain HIMB624 can replicate in sterile unamended seawater, reaching cell densities of approximately 1×10⁶ cells ml⁻¹. However, in the presence of either methanol or formaldehyde, HIMB624 can achieve a significantly higher growth rate and cellular abundance, similar to the phylogenetically related strain HTCC2181 [4].

Chemotaxonomy

The fatty acid profile of strain HIMB624 was dominated by anteiso- $C_{17:1}$, $C_{14:0}$ and $C_{16:0}$. This is similar to known obligate and restricted facultative methylotrophs within the *Betaproteobacteria*, which are typically dominated by anteiso- $C_{17:1}$ and $C_{16:0}$ [20]. All of the fatty acids detected in strain HIMB624 are either found in closely related strains or in strains isolated from marine environments. $C_{13:02-0H}$ was detected in HIMB624 but not in HTCC2181, and $C_{15:1}$ iso G was only found in strain HTCC2181.

Genome sequencing and annotation Genome project history

Strain HIMB624 was selected for whole genome sequencing because of its phylogenetic affiliation with a lineage (OM43) of coastal marine bacterioplankton that is common in 16S rRNA gene surveys of coastal and estuarine systems [24], but is underrepresented in culture collections [1,4]. In addition, a sister lineage is common in freshwater systems [24]. The respective genome project is deposited in the Genomes OnLine Database (GOLD) as project Gi02451, and in GenBank under the accession number ABXG00000000. A summary of the main project is given in Table 2.

Growth conditions and DNA isolation

Strain HIMB624 was grown at 27°C in 100 L of coastal Hawaii seawater sterilized by tangential flow filtration and autoclaving. Cells from liquid culture were collected on a 0.1 μ m pore-sized polyethersulfone membrane filter, and DNA was isolated from the microbial biomass using a standard phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol extraction protocol. A total of 74 μ g of DNA was obtained.



Figure 2. Scanning electron micrograph of strain HIMB624 during exponential phase of growth. Scale bar corresponds to $0.5 \ \mu m$.

MIGS ID	Property	Term
MIGS-31	Finishing quality	Final draft
MIGS-28	Libraries used	Sanger (one each of 1-4 and 10-12 kbp inserts)
MIGS-29	Sequencing platforms	ABI 3730XL
MIGS-31.2	Sequencing coverage	19.78×
MIGS-30	Assemblers	Celera Assembler30
MIGS-32	Gene calling method	Glimmer
	INSDC ID	13602
	Genbank Date of Release	17 March 2008
	GOLD ID	Gi02451
	NCBI taxon ID	314607
	Database: IMG	2503283018
MIGS-13	Source material identifier	HIMB624
	Project relevance	environmental

 Table 2. Genome sequencing project information

Genome sequencing and assembly

The genome of strain HIMB624 was sequenced by the J. Craig Venter Institute (Rockville, MD) as part of the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation Marine Microbial Genome Sequencing Project. Two genomic libraries of insert sizes of 1-4 and 10-12 kb were constructed [25]. Clones were sequenced from both ends on ABI 3730XL DNA sequencers (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA) at the JCVI Joint Technology Center to provide paired-end reads. A total of 27,957 reads with average read length of 943 bp were assembled using the Celera Assembler30, resulting in four contigs of 1,272; 146,687; 709,553 and 474,927 bp in length. Sequencing provided 19.78× coverage of the genome.

Genome annotation

The whole genome sequence was automatically annotated using the genome annotation pipeline in the Integrated Microbial Genomes Expert Review (IMG-ER) system [26]. Genes were identified using Glimmer [27]. The predicted CDSs were translated and used to search the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nonredundant database, UniProt, TIGRFam, Pfam, PRIAM, KEGG, COG, and InterPro databases. The tRNAScanSE tool [28] was used to find tRNA genes, whereas ribosomal RNAs were found by using the tool RNAmmer [29]. Other non-coding RNAs were identified by searching the genome for the Rfam profiles using INFERNAL (v0.81) [30]. Additional gene prediction analysis and manual functional annotation was performed within IMG-ER.

Genome properties

The genome is 1,333,209 bp long and comprises four contigs in a single scaffold, with an overall GC content of 35.37% (Table 3 and Figure 3). Of the 1.420 genes predicted, 1.381 were protein-coding genes and 39 were RNAs. The majority (83.59%) of the protein coding genes was assigned with a putative function, while the remaining genes were annotated as hypothetical proteins. The distribution of genes into COGS functional categories is presented in Table 4.

Insights from the Genome

Of 1,381 protein encoding genes in the genome of HIMB624. 1.135 are shared with HTCC2181. representing 82-84% of the two genomes (Figure

4). Pathways for the synthesis of all twenty amino acids are present in both strains, as well as for the synthesis of all major vitamins except B12. The family Methylophilaceae consists of obligate methylotrophs and, while HIMB624 and HTCC2181 lack genes coding for either the large (mxaF) or small (*mxal*) subunit of a confirmed methanol dehydrogenase, both organisms appear to have genes coding for a related analog of *mxaF*, known as xoxF. Methanol dehydrogenase activity of this paralog has been questioned for some time (see [4] and references therein), but current evidence suggests that the *xoxF* genes in these organisms code for a large subunit having methanol dehydrogenase activity [4]. The xoxF gene in HIMB624 is 87.4% similar in protein sequence to the xoxF gene in HTCC2181. Strains HTCC2181 and HIMB624 also have many of the other subunits required to form a methanol dehydrogenase holoenzyme including *mxaA,C,D,E,G,J,K,R,L* and *S*, and operons *pqqBCDEFG*. Neither strain possesses genes coding for the E1 subunit (sucA, EC:1.2.4.2) of α -ketoglutarate dehvdrogenase complex, the though they do appear to possess the E2 subunit (sucB, EC: 2.3.1.61). Both subunits are required to complete the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, and the absence of the E1 subunit suggests that these strains are obligate methylotrophs.

Table 3. Genome Statistics				
Attribute	Value	% of total [®]		
Genome size (bp)	1,333,209	100.00		
DNA coding region (bp)	1,284,895	96.38		
DNA G+C content (bp)	471,303	35.37		
Total genes	1,420	100.00		
RNA genes	39	2.75		
Protein-coding genes	1,381	97.25		
Genes with function prediction	1,187	83.59		
Genes assigned to COGs	1,174	82.68		
Genes assigned to Pfam domains	1,195	824.15		
Genes with signal peptides	229	16.13		
Genes with transmembrane helices	315	22.18		

a) The total is based on either the size of the genome in base pairs or the total number of protein coding genes in the annotated genome.



Figure 3. Graphic circular map of the HIMB624 genome. From outside to the center: Genes on forward strand (colored by COG categories), Genes on reverse strand (colored by COG categories), RNA genes (tRNAs green, rRNAs red, other RNAs black), GC content, GC skew.

The genomes of HIMB624 and HTCC2181 were compared to two closely related species within the family *Methylophilaceae* whose whole genomes are publicly available: *Methylotenera mobilis* (NC_012968) and *Methylovorus glucosotrophus* SIP3-4 (NC_012969, NC_012970, NC_012972). For this comparison only, the four strains were automatically annotated using the RAST annotation server [31] and protein sequences were compared using the sequence based analysis tool in order to identify all shared and unique gene combinations

(Figure 4). In addition to a single large chromosome, *Methylovorus glucosotrophus* SIP3-4 has 2 plasmids, while the remaining three genomes are all single chromosomes only. Strain HIMB624 contains one gene for a Type 4 fimbrial assembly/ATPase PilB that shares 43.44% protein identity with a gene located on one of the plasmids of *Methylovorus glucosotrophus* SIP3-4, and strain HTCC2181 contains a single DNA methylase gene that shares 31.1% protein identity with the same plasmid. Other than these, all genes located on the plasmids are

exclusive to Methylovorus glucosotrophus SIP3-4, and the large majority of the genes on the plasmids hypothetical proteins. The genomes of are Methylotenera mobilis and Methylovorus alucosotrophus SIP3-4 share over 100 genes associated with motility (twitching, flagella related, pili), along with 13 genes for chemotaxis and 13 genes for secretion that are absent from the genomes of HIMB624 and HTCC2181, while the two smaller genomes have a higher percentage of their genomes (9.13% and 9.19%) dedicated to amino acid transport and metabolism than Methylovorus glucosotrophus SIP3-4 (6.76%) and Methylotenera mobilis (5.81%); and a higher percentage of translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis genes (11.08% and 11.47%) than *Methylovorus glucosotrophus* SIP3-4 (6.12%) and *Methylotenera mobilis* (7.16%). Due to the small size of the two OM43 lineage genomes, the higher percentages result in a similar total number of genes between all genomes in these categories, at approximately 120 genes for amino acid transport and metabolism and approximately 140 genes for translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis. The general distribution of genes in all other predicted COG categories are comparable between the four strains, resulting in smaller numbers of total genes in each COG category for the two members of the OM43 lineage due to their comparatively smaller genome sizes.

 Table 4. Number of genes associated with the 25 general COG functional categories

Code	Value	%age ^a	Description
J	142	11.1	Translation
А	1	0.1	RNA processing and modification
К	47	3.7	Transcription
L	67	5.2	Replication, recombination and repair
В	1	0.1	Chromatin structure and dynamics
D	22	1.7	Cell cycle control, mitosis and meiosis
Y	0	0	Nuclear structure
V	8	0.6	Defense mechanisms
Т	27	2.1	Signal transduction mechanisms
М	110	8.6	Cell wall/membrane biogenesis
Ν	13	1.0	Cell motility
Ζ	0	0	Cytoskeleton
W	0	0	Extracellular structures
U	40	3.1	Intracellular trafficking and secretion
Ο	78	6.1	Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones
С	91	7.1	Energy production and conversion
G	63	4.9	Carbohydrate transport and metabolism
Е	117	9.1	Amino acid transport and metabolism
F	44	3.4	Nucleotide transport and metabolism
Н	92	7.2	Coenzyme transport and metabolism
I	40	3.1	Lipid transport and metabolism
Р	45	3.5	Inorganic ion transport and metabolism
Q	16	1.3	Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism
R	123	9.6	General function prediction only
S	95	7.4	Function unknown
-	246	17.3	Not in COGs

a) The total is based on the total number of protein coding genes in the annotated genome.



Figure 4. Proportional Venn diagram depicting the shared and unique gene fractions between HIMB624, HTCC2181, and two closely related strains from within the family Methylophilaceae, Methylotenera mobilis and Methylovorus glucosotrophus SIP3-4.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Cornelia Schmidt for her work in isolating strain HIMB624, and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, which funded sequencing of this genome through its Marine Microbial Sequencing Project. The authors also thank the J. Craig Venter Institute for performing the sequencing and assembly, Tina Carvhalo for assistance with electron microscopy, and Steve Giovannoni and H. James Tripp for useful

References

- Connon SA, Giovannoni SJ. High-throughput methods for culturing microorganisms in verylow-nutrient media yield diverse new marine isolates. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2002; 68:3878-3885. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.8.3878-3885.2002</u>
- Rappé MS, Connon SA, Vergin KL, Giovannoni SJ. Cultivation of the ubiquitous SAR11 marine bacterioplankton clade. *Nature* 2002; **418**:630-633. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature00917</u>

discussion. We also thank Steve Giovannoni for providing access to annotation and analysis tools through the Oregon State University Center for Genome Research and Biocomputing. This research was supported by National Science Foundation Grant DEB-0207085, and NSF Science and Technology Center Award EF-0424599. This is SOEST contribution 8496 and HIMB contribution 1467.

- 3. Rappé MS, Kemp PF, Giovannoni SJ. Phylogenetic diversity of marine coastal picoplankton 16S rRNA genes cloned from the continental shelf off Cape Hatteras, North Carolina. *Limnol Oceanogr* 1997; **42**:811-826. http://dx.doi.org/10.4319/lo.1997.42.5.0811
- Giovannoni SJ, Hayakawa DH, Tripp HJ, Stingl U, Givan SA, Cho JC, Oh HM, Kitner JB, Vergin KL, Rappé MS. The small genome of an abundant coastal ocean methylotroph. *Environ Microbiol* 2008; **10**:1771-1782. <u>PubMed</u>

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01598.x

- Field D, Garrity G, Gray T, Morrison N, Selengut J, Sterk P, Tatusova T, Thomson N, Allen MJ, Angiuoli SV, et al. The minimum information about a genome sequence (MIGS) specification. Nat Biotechnol 2008; 26:541-547. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nbt1360</u>
- Woese CR, Kandler O, Wheelis ML. Towards a natural system of organisms: proposal for the domains , , and *Eucarya. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1990; 87:4576-4579. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.12.4576</u>
- Garrity GM, Bell JA, Lilburn T. Phylum XIV. phyl. nov. In: DJ Brenner, NR Krieg, JT Staley, GM Garrity (eds), *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, Second Edition, Volume 2, Part B, Springer, New York, 2005, p. 1.
- Validation List No. 107. List of new names and new combinations previously effectively, but not validly, published. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2006; 56:1-6. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64188-0</u>
- 9. Garrity GM, Bell JA, Lilburn T. Class II. class. nov. In: DJ Brenner, NR Krieg, JT Staley, GM Garrity (eds), *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, Second Edition, Volume 2, Part C, Springer, New York, 2005, p. 575.
- Garrity GM, Bell JA, Lilburn T. Order III. ord. nov. In: DJ Brenner, NR Krieg, JT Staley, GM Garrity (eds), *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, Second Edition, Volume 2, Part C, Springer, New York, 2005, p. 770.
- 11. Garrity GM, Bell JA, Lilburn T. Family I. fam. nov. In: DJ Brenner, NR Krieg, JT Staley, GM Garrity (eds), *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, Second Edition, Volume 2, Part C, Springer, New York, 2005, p. 770.
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, et al. Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. Nat Genet 2000; 25:25-29. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/75556</u>
- Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2007; 35:7188-7196. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm864</u>

- Yarza P, Richter M, Peplies J, Euzeby J, Amann R, Schleifer KH, Ludwig W, Glöckner FO, Rosselló-Móra R. The All-Species Living Tree project: a 16S rRNA-based phylogenetic tree of all sequenced type strains. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2008; 31:241-250. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2008.07.001</u>
- Ludwig W, Strunk O, Westram R, Richter L, Meier H, Yadhukumar, Buchner A, Lai T, Steppi S, Jobb G, et al. ARB: a software environment for sequence data. Nucleic Acids Res 2004; 32:1363-1371. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh293</u>
- Stamatakis A. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 2006; 22:2688-2690. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446</u>
- 17. Stamatakis A, Hoover P, Rougemont J. A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML Web servers. *Syst Biol* 2008; **57**:758-771. <u>PubMed</u> http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10635150802429642
- Gogleva AA, Kaparullina EN, Doronina NV, Trotsenko YA. sp. nov. and sp. nov., aerobic, methylotrophic bacteria associated with plants. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2010; 60:2623-2628. <u>PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.019455-0</u>
- 19. Jenkins O, Byrom D, Jones D. a new genus of methanol-utilizing bacteria. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 1987; **37**:446-448.
- 20. Kalyuzhnaya MG, Bowerman S. Lara, J.C., Lidstron, M.E. and Chistoserdova. gen. nov., sp. nov., an obligately methylamine-utilizing bacterium within the family . *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2006; **56**:2819-2823. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64191-0</u>
- 21. Govorukhina NI, Kletsova LV, Tsygankov YD, Trotsenko YA, Netrusov AI. Characteristics of a new obligate methylotroph. *Microbiologiya* 1987; **56**:849-854.
- 22. Doronina NV, Kudinova LV, Trotsenko YA. sp. nov.: A new species of aerobic, obligately methylotrophic bacteria associated with plants. [English translation of Mikrobiologiya]. *Microbiology* 2000; **69**:599-603. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02756815</u>
- 23. Doronina NV, Trotsenko YA, Kolganova TV, Tourova TP, Salkinoja-Salonen MS. sp. nov., a novel non-pigmented, aerobic, obligately methylotrophic bacterium isolated from meadow grass. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2004; **54**:1453-

1457. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02956-0</u>

- Rappé MS, Vergin K, Giovannoni SJ. Phylogenetic comparisons of a coastal bacterioplankton community with its counterparts in open ocean and freshwater systems. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2000; **33**:219-232. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(00)00064-7</u>
- 25. Goldberg SMD, Johnson J, Busam D, Feldblyum T, Ferriera S, Friedman R, Halpern A, Khouri H, Kravitz SA, Lauro FM, *et al*. A Sanger/pyrosequencing hybrid approach for the generation of high-quality draft assemblies of marine microbial genomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006; **103**:11240-11245. <u>PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0604351103</u>
- Markowitz VM, Mavromatis K, Ivanova NN, Chen IMA, Chu K, Kyrpides NC. IMG ER: a system for microbial genome annotation expert review and curation. *Bioinformatics* 2009; 25:2271-2278. <u>PubMed</u> http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp393
- 27. Delcher AL, Harmon D, Kasif S, White O, Salzberg SL. Improved microbial gene identification with GLIMMER. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1999; **27**:4636-4641. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.23.4636</u>

- Lowe TM, Eddy SR. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1997;
 25:955-964. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.5.955</u>
- 29. Lagesen K, Hallin P, Rodland EA, Staerfeldt HH, Rognes T, Ussery DW. RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2007; **35**:3100-3108. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm160</u>
- Griffiths-Jones S, Moxon S, Marshall M, Khanna A, Eddy SR, Bateman A. Rfam: annotating noncoding RNAs in complete genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004; 33:D121-D124. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki081</u>
- Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, et al. The RAST Server: Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology. BMC Genomics 2008; 9:75. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75