

学校编码：10384  
学号：22620090153271

分类号\_\_\_\_\_密级\_\_\_\_\_  
UDC\_\_\_\_\_

厦门大学

博士 学位 论文

地方政府主导的沿海城市海岸带综合管理  
绩效评估模型研究

Research on performance evaluation model of local  
government-dominated Integrated Coastal Management in  
coastal cities

张 潘 尹

指导教师姓名：薛雄志 教授  
专业名称：环境管理  
论文提交日期：2012年5月  
论文答辩日期：2012年6月

2012年6月

## 厦门大学学位论文原创性声明

本人呈交的学位论文是本人在导师指导下，独立完成的研究成果。本人在论文写作中参考其他个人或集体已经发表的研究成果，均在文中以适当方式明确标明，并符合法律规范和《厦门大学研究生学术活动规范（试行）》。

声明人（签名）：

年   月   日

## 厦门大学学位论文著作权使用声明

本人同意厦门大学根据《中华人民共和国学位条例暂行实施办法》等规定保留和使用此学位论文，并向主管部门或其指定机构送交学位论文（包括纸质版和电子版），允许学位论文进入厦门大学图书馆及其数据库被查阅、借阅。本人同意厦门大学将学位论文加入全国博士、硕士学位论文共建单位数据库进行检索，将学位论文的标题和摘要汇编出版，采用影印、缩印或者其它方式合理复制学位论文。

本学位论文属于：

- (      ) 1. 经厦门大学保密委员会审查核定的保密学位论文，于 年 月 日解密，解密后适用上述授权。  
(      ) 2. 不保密，适用上述授权。

声明人（签名）：

年 月 日

## 目 录

摘 要.....	IX
Abstract .....	XI
图索引.....	XIII
Figures.....	XIV
表索引.....	XV
Tables .....	XVII
第 1 章 绪 论.....	1
1.1    研究背景及意义.....	1
1.2    国内外研究综述.....	2
1.2.1    海岸带综合管理的产生与发展.....	2
1.2.2    国外科学研究与实践现状.....	5
1.2.3    国内科学研究与实践现状.....	7
1.2.4    海岸带综合管理评估.....	9
1.2.5    海岸带综合管理评估框架.....	10
1.2.6    海岸带综合管理评估指标.....	13
1.2.7    当前研究中存在的不足.....	15
1.3    研究目标、内容和技术路线.....	16
1.3.1    研究目标.....	16
1.3.2    研究内容.....	16
1.3.3    研究技术路线.....	17
第 2 章 ICM 绩效评估的相关概念和内涵.....	19
2.1    海岸带.....	19
2.1.1    海岸带的范围.....	19
2.1.2    海岸带的基本特征.....	20

2.1.3 海岸带综合管理的必要性.....	21
2.2 海岸带综合管理.....	22
2.2.1 海岸带综合管理的概念.....	22
2.2.2 海岸带综合管理的特征.....	23
2.2.3 海岸带综合管理的目标.....	24
2.2.4 海岸带综合管理的功能和任务.....	24
2.2.5 海岸带综合管理的原则.....	25
2.2.6 海岸带综合管理的过程.....	26
2.3 海岸带综合管理绩效评估的界定.....	28
2.3.1 绩效的内涵.....	28
2.3.2 评估的定义.....	28
2.3.3 ICM 绩效评估的对象和内涵.....	29
2.3.4 ICM 绩效评估的特征.....	30
2.3.5 ICM 绩效评估与政府绩效评估的联系.....	31
<b>第 3 章 ICM 绩效评估模型的理论构建.....</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1 绩效评估的理论基础.....	33
3.1.1 可持续发展理论.....	33
3.1.2 系统理论.....	33
3.1.3 利益相关者理论.....	34
3.1.4 评估指标的功能.....	34
3.1.5 评估指标的遴选原则.....	35
3.1.6 评估指标体系构建的基本流程.....	36
3.2 国外 ICM 评估模型以及对我国的借鉴意义.....	37
3.2.1 UNESCO 评估模型.....	38
3.2.2 NOAA 海岸带管理绩效指标体系 .....	42
3.2.3 ETC/TE 评估模型 .....	46
3.2.4 CSIR 评估模型 .....	52
3.2.5 PEMSEA 评估模型 .....	55

3.2.6 国外 ICM 评估模型对我国的启示 .....	58
3.3 中国政府绩效评估模型 .....	60
3.4 我国 ICM 绩效评估模型的理论构建 .....	63
3.4.1 我国 ICM 绩效评估方法 .....	63
3.4.2 我国 ICM 绩效评估指标体系的具体构成 .....	71
<b>第 4 章 ICM 绩效评估指标的筛选 .....</b>	<b>82</b>
4.1 ICM 绩效评估指标的隶属度分析 .....	82
4.2 ICM 绩效评估指标的相关分析 .....	84
4.3 ICM 绩效评估指标的参数化 .....	86
4.4 ICM 绩效评估指标的权重 .....	90
<b>第 5 章 研究区环境-社会经济-管理系统综合分析 .....</b>	<b>96</b>
5.1 厦门市 .....	96
5.1.1 厦门市海岸带环境发展概况 .....	96
5.1.2 厦门市社会经济系统发展概况 .....	103
5.1.3 厦门市海岸带管理现状 .....	107
5.2 汕头市 .....	109
5.2.1 汕头市海岸带环境发展概况 .....	109
5.2.2 汕头市社会经济系统发展概况 .....	114
5.2.3 汕头市海岸带管理现状 .....	115
<b>第 6 章 ICM 绩效评估模型的运用 .....</b>	<b>117</b>
6.1 厦门市海岸带综合管理绩效评估 .....	117
6.2 汕头市海岸带管理绩效评估 .....	121
6.3 绩效评估结果分析 .....	124
6.4 模型运用总结 .....	130
6.5 评估实践中发现的问题和不足 .....	130
6.6 完善我国 ICM 绩效评估的对策措施 .....	131
<b>第 7 章 论文总结 .....</b>	<b>135</b>

7.1	主要研究成果.....	135
7.2	创新点.....	137
7.3	不足与展望.....	137
参考文献.....		139
附 录.....		147
致 谢.....		153

## Contents

Abstract (Chinese) .....	IX
Abstract .....	XI
Figures (Chinese) .....	XIII
Figures.....	XIV
Tables (Chinese).....	XV
Tables .....	XVII
1 Preface.....	1
1.1    Research background and significance .....	1
1.2    Literature review .....	2
1.2.1    Generation and development of ICM .....	2
1.2.2    International research and practice .....	5
1.2.3    Domestic research and practice .....	7
1.2.4    ICM evaluation .....	9
1.2.5    Frameworks for ICM evaluation.....	10
1.2.6    Indicators for ICM evaluation.....	13
1.2.7    Research deficiencies.....	15
1.3    Objectives, contents and approach of study.....	16
1.3.1    Objectives .....	16
1.3.2    Contents .....	16
1.3.3    Approach.....	17
2 Concept and implication of ICM evaluation .....	19
2.1    Coastal area.....	19
2.1.1    Coastal boundary .....	19
2.1.2    Characteristic of coastal area .....	20

2.1.3	Necessity of ICM .....	21
2.2	Integrated Coastal Management .....	22
2.2.1	Definition of ICM .....	22
2.2.2	Characteristic of ICM .....	23
2.2.3	Objectives of ICM.....	24
2.2.4	Functions and activities of ICM.....	24
2.2.5	Principles of ICM.....	25
2.2.6	ICM process .....	26
2.3	Basic concepts on ICM performance evaluation .....	28
2.3.1	Implicaton of performance.....	28
2.3.2	Definition of evaluation .....	28
2.3.3	The object and implication of ICM performance evaluation.....	29
2.3.4	Characteristic of ICM performance evaluation.....	30
2.3.5	Relationship between ICM performance evaluation and government performance evaluation.....	31
3	Theoretical construction of ICM performance evaluation model in China .....	33
3.1	Theoretical basis of ICM performance evaluation.....	33
3.1.1	Sustainable development theory .....	33
3.1.2	System theory.....	33
3.1.3	Stakeholder theory .....	34
3.1.4	Functions of evaluation indicators .....	34
3.1.5	Principles of evaluation indicators selection.....	35
3.1.6	Basic steps of developing evaluation indicators .....	36
3.2	International ICM evaluation systems and their reference to China.....	37
3.2.1	UNESCO's evaluation system .....	38
3.2.2	NOAA's national coastal management performance measurement system .....	42

3.2.3	ETC/TE's evaluation system .....	46
3.2.4	CSIR's indicator system .....	52
3.2.5	PEMSEA's indicator system .....	55
3.2.6	Enlightenments to China from international ICM evaluation systems .....	58
3.3	Chinese government performance evaluation systems .....	60
3.4	Theoretical construction of ICM performance evaluation model .....	63
3.4.1	ICM performance evaluation methods.....	63
3.4.2	The specific composition of indicator system of ICM performance evaluation.....	71
4	Screening of ICM performance evaluation indicators.....	82
4.1	Membership analysis of ICM performance evaluation indicators .....	82
4.2	Correlation analysis of ICM perfomance evaluation indicators .....	84
4.3	Parameterization of ICM performance evaluation indicators .....	86
4.4	The weight of ICM performance evaluation indicators .....	90
5	Comprehensive analysis of study areas .....	96
5.1	Xiamen .....	96
5.1.1	Coastal development profiles of Xiamen.....	96
5.1.2	Socioeconomic development overview of Xiamen .....	103
5.1.3	Coastal management in Xiamen .....	107
5.2	Shantou .....	109
5.2.1	Coastal development profiles of Shantou .....	109
5.2.2	Socioeconomic development overview of Shantou .....	114
5.2.3	Coastal management in Shantou .....	115
6	The application of ICM performance evaluation model .....	117
6.1	Xiamen ICM performance evaluation .....	117
6.2	Shantou coastal management performance evaluation .....	121
6.3	Performance evaluation results analysis .....	124

6.4	Summary of model application .....	130
6.5	Deficiencies exsited in ICM performance evaluation.....	130
6.6	Countermeasures.....	131
7	Summary .....	135
7.1	General conclusions .....	135
7.2	Innovation .....	137
7.3	Outstanding questions and future work .....	137
	References .....	139
	Appendices.....	147
	Acknowledgements.....	153

## 摘要

海岸带凭借其特殊的地理位置和丰富的自然资源，吸引着人口的不断聚集，并引发多样的海岸带开发活动，造成海岸带资源利用的冲突。随着海岸带资源环境压力的增大以及海岸带资源的开发利用管理对于沿海区域经济的可持续发展具有的关键性作用被逐步认知，海岸带综合管理（Integrated Coastal Management, ICM）已被沿海各国所重视和提倡。实施 ICM 被认为是解决海岸带资源冲突的一个有效途径和手段。

基于地方政府主导的模式是我国沿海城市海岸带综合管理执行的共同特征。随着海岸带综合管理实践在我国厦门市以及东营等 10 个平行示范区不断深入的开展，对其绩效进行评估，是促进管理举措完善，进一步推动海岸带综合管理在其他沿海城市实施的重要手段。

本文在剖析海岸带综合管理绩效评估理论蕴涵的基础上，构建了地方政府主导的沿海城市海岸带综合管理绩效评估模型，并将其应用于实践。主要的研究成果如下：

(1) 在归纳总结当前海岸带综合管理的相关研究成果和海岸带地区特点的基础上，进一步明确了海岸带综合管理的定义、特征、目标、功能和过程。通过对绩效内涵和评估定义的探讨，得出 ICM 绩效评估的对象、内涵、特征以及 ICM 绩效评估与政府绩效评估的联系。

(2) 通过对可持续发展理论、系统理论和利益相关者理论的阐述，确定海岸带综合管理绩效评估的原则。从评估指标的功能、遴选原则、体系构建的基本流程等方面进一步归纳总结绩效评估的理论基础。

(3) 在系统总结国外 ICM 评估模型和中国政府绩效评估模型的基础上，从环境、社会经济和管理三个方面，构建出一个适用于以地方政府主导的沿海城市海岸带综合管理绩效评估模型，包括评估方法和指标。该模型中的指标体系由目标层、综合层、领域层和指标层 4 个层次构成。通过隶属度分析和相关分析，对指标体系进行筛选；对指标进行参数化，使绩效评估建立在可以量化的原始数据基础上；利用层次分析法确定指标的权重；从而构建一个更具可操作性、认可度、包含 29 个指标的海岸带综合管理绩效评估模型。

(4) 利用构建的 ICM 绩效评估模型对典型海岸带综合管理开展城市厦门和尚未开展城市汕头进行绩效评估。评估中，以 2005 年为绩效评估的基准年，利用指数增量法，分别评估 2006 年、2007 年、2008 年和 2009 年的海岸带管理绩效水平，结合绩效指标的现状值，对两者进行纵向和横向比较分析。通过影响系数，识别影响厦门市和汕头市管理绩效的关键因素，得出影响厦门市海岸带管理绩效的四个方面主要集中在环境质量，计划的监测、评估和调整，执法和财政机制上；而汕头市应将重点放在环境质量和保护，公众安全，立法和执法，财政机制上。

(5) 从 ICM 绩效评估模型的研究和运用实践中，发现绩效评估中存在的问题和不足，包括：数据的可获性差；对绩效评估的认识存在误区；缺乏对海岸带管理绩效评估的制度化。针对这些不足，提出了相应的对策措施，包括：数据信息库的建立；科学合理使用绩效评估结果；绩效评估的反馈和沟通；海岸带管理绩效评估的制度化；引入公众参与机制。

**关键词：**地方政府主导；海岸带综合管理；绩效评估；评估模型

## Abstract

Coastal zones continue to attract increasing numbers of people due to their special geographical location and rich natural resources, leading to a variety of coastal development activities, which often results in coastal resource use conflicts. Integrated Coastal management (ICM) initiatives have spread all over the world, to cope with declining coastal conditions and intensifying user conflicts, in order to achieve sustainable development of coastal resources and the coastal environment. ICM has been considered as a highly effective way of resolving conflicts, conserving resources and protection of sensitive spaces.

At present, ICM has been implemented in Xiamen and other ten parallel ICM sites in China. Local government control is a common feature in China for ICM implementation. Therefore, research on local level ICM performance evaluation is not only able to promote the improvement of management initiatives in China, but also can be a means to further facilitate ICM implementation by other coastal cities in China.

Based on the literature review of ICM performance evaluation, this dissertation investigated the theory and method of ICM evaluation, developed the evaluation model and finally conducted case studies with this model. The main research results are as follows:

Firstly, based on the related ICM literature and characteristics of coastal zone, the concepts, characteristics, objectives, functions and processes of ICM were presented. This information was then used to determine the objects, contents, characteristics of ICM performance evaluation, the relationship between ICM performance evaluation and government performance evaluation were intensively researched.

Secondly, the principles of ICM performance evaluation were discussed based on the sustainable development theory, systems theory and stakeholder theory. The theoretical foundations of performance evaluation were further elucidated through evaluation indicators functions, indicator selection rules, and the basic steps of

developing an evaluation system.

Thirdly, international ICM performance evaluation models and government performance evaluation models in China were systematically summarized, and then a workable evaluation model, including evaluation methods and indicators, has been developed based on environmental, socioeconomic, and governance aspects. The indicator system can be divided into four levels; they are the goals layer, the domain layer, the objective layer and the indicator layer. In order to make the indicator system more operational and acceptable, degrees of membership analysis and correlation analysis were applied to screen indicators; indicators were parameterized and the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) was used to determine the weight of each indicator, which was finalized 29 specific indicators.

Fourthly, the newly formed ICM performance evaluation model was applied to two cases. One was Xiamen, a typical ICM implementation city, and the other was Shantou. Based on the year of 2005, the performance scores of 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 were calculated respectively by using exponential increment method, followed by both vertical and horizontal analysis of these two cities. According to the results obtained through influence coefficient analysis, the most influential factors in Xiamen were environmental quality, routinely monitoring, evaluation and adjusting ICM efforts, and law enforcement and financial mechanism, while the results suggested that Shantou should focus on the environmental quality and protection, public safety, legislation and enforcement, and financial mechanism in the future.

Finally, based on the research and application of ICM performance evaluation model, this research found that there exists many problems and deficiencies in ICM performance evaluation in China and corresponding countermeasures were therefore proposed.

**Keywords:** local government-dominated; Integrated Coastal Management (ICM); performance evaluation; evaluation model

## 图索引

图 1-1 结果的阶次框架 (Olsen et al., 1997b) .....	11
图 1-2 管理的循环周期 (GESAMP, 1996) .....	14
图 1-3 技术路线.....	18
图 2-1 概念等级下的海岸带综合管理原则 (Chua, 2006) .....	26
图 2-2 海岸带综合管理的过程要素 (Henocque and Denis, 2001) .....	27
图 2-3 ICM 评估中的“变化理论” .....	30
图 3-1 国家海岸带管理绩效评价系统的组成 (NOAA, 2004) .....	45
图 3-2 适应性管理的反馈环 (NOAA, 2004) .....	46
图 3-3 东亚海项目的可持续发展框架 (PEMSEA, 2009) .....	56
图 3-4 我国 ICM 绩效评估模型的评估方法.....	71
图 5-1 厦门市行政边界图.....	97
图 5-2 汕头市地理位置图.....	110

Degree papers are in the "[Xiamen University Electronic Theses and Dissertations Database](#)". Full texts are available in the following ways:

1. If your library is a CALIS member libraries, please log on <http://etd.calis.edu.cn/> and submit requests online, or consult the interlibrary loan department in your library.
2. For users of non-CALIS member libraries, please mail to [etd@xmu.edu.cn](mailto:etd@xmu.edu.cn) for delivery details.

厦门大学博硕士论文全文数据库