

学校编码: 10384

分类号 \_\_\_\_\_ 密级 \_\_\_\_\_

学号: 20051302224

UDC \_\_\_\_\_

厦 门 大 学

硕 士 学 位 论 文

深圳湾福田红树林湿地大型底栖动物  
群落环境效应研究

**Environmental Effect on macrofaunal community  
in the Futian Mangrove Wetland of Shenzhen Bay**

梁俊彦

指导教师姓名: 蔡立哲 教授

专 业 名 称: 环境科学

论文提交日期: 2008 年 11 月

论文答辩时间: 2008 年 月

学位授予日期: 2008 年 月

答辩委员会主席: \_\_\_\_\_

评 阅 人: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

2008 年 11 月

## 厦门大学学位论文原创性声明

本人呈交的学位论文是本人在导师指导下,独立完成的研究成果。本人在论文写作中参考其他个人或集体已经发表的研究成果,均在文中以适当方式明确标明,并符合法律规范和《厦门大学研究生学术活动规范(试行)》。

另外,该学位论文为( )课题(组)的研究成果,获得( )课题(组)经费或实验室的资助,在( )实验室完成。(请在以上括号内填写课题或课题组负责人或实验室名称,未有此项声明内容的,可以不作特别声明。)

声明人(签名):

年 月 日

## 厦门大学学位论文著作权使用声明

本人同意厦门大学根据《中华人民共和国学位条例暂行实施办法》等规定保留和使用此学位论文，并向主管部门或其指定机构送交学位论文（包括纸质版和电子版），允许学位论文进入厦门大学图书馆及其数据库被查阅、借阅。本人同意厦门大学将学位论文加入全国博士、硕士学位论文共建单位数据库进行检索，将学位论文的标题和摘要汇编出版，采用影印、缩印或者其它方式合理复制学位论文。

本学位论文属于：

1.经厦门大学保密委员会审查核定的保密学位论文，  
于 年 月 日解密，解密后适用上述授权。

2.不保密，适用上述授权。

（请在以上相应括号内打“√”或填上相应内容。保密学位论文应是已经厦门大学保密委员会审定过的学位论文，未经厦门大学保密委员会审定的学位论文均为公开学位论文。此声明栏不填写的，默认为公开学位论文，均适用上述授权。）

声明人（签名）：

年 月 日

目 录	I
Content	v
摘 要	1
Abstract	3
第一章 前言	6
1.1 大型底栖动物群落生态研究进展	6
1.1.1 国际大型底栖动物生态研究概述	6
1.1.2 国内潮间带大型底栖动物群落生态研究进展	8
1.2 红树林生态系统保护及其底栖动物群落生态研究进展	9
1.2.1 红树林生态系统及保护	9
1.2.2 红树林底栖动物群落生态研究进展	10
1.3 深圳湾及福田红树林自然保护区概况	15
1.3.1 深圳湾自然环境	15
1.3.2 福田红树林自然保护区概况	15
1.4 研究意义及技术路线	16
第二章 福田红树林保护区大型底栖动物群落研究	18
2.1 研究方法	18
2.1.1 取样站设置和取样时间	18
2.1.2 样品采集和实验室处理过程	18
2.1.3 数理统计方法	19
2.2 结果	19
2.2.1 大型底栖动物组成	19
2.2.1.1 2005 年大型底栖动物组成	19
2.2.1.2 2006 年大型底栖动物组成	22
2.2.1.3 2007 年大型底栖动物组成	25

2.2.2 大型底栖动物密度的时空分布·····	28
2.2.2.1 2005 年大型底栖动物密度的时空分布·····	28
2.2.2.2 2006 年大型底栖动物密度的时空分布·····	30
2.2.2.3 2007 年大型底栖动物密度的时空分布·····	31
2.2.3 大型底栖动物生物量的时空分布·····	33
2.2.3.1 2005 年大型底栖动物生物量的时空分布·····	33
2.2.3.2 2006 年大型底栖动物生物量的时空分布·····	34
2.2.3.3 2007 年大型底栖动物生物量的时空分布·····	36
2.3 讨论·····	37
2.3.1 红树林内与林外大型底栖动物群落比较·····	37
2.3.2 福田红树林区大型底栖动物群落的空间差异分析·····	38
2.3.3 福田红树林区大型底栖动物群落季节差异分析·····	40
2.3.4 福田红树林区大型底栖动物群落的年际变化·····	41
2.3.5 与不同海域红树林区大型底栖动物比较·····	43
第三章 福田红树林保护区底栖动物次级生产力研究·····	45
3.1 大型底栖动物次级生产力的计算方法·····	45
3.2 结果·····	46
3.2.1 各年度大型底栖动物年平均次级生产力及组成·····	46
3.2.2 各年度大型底栖动物次级生产力的空间分布·····	49
3.2.3 各年度大型底栖动物次级生产力的季节分布·····	50
3.3 讨论·····	51
3.3.1 红树林内与林外大型底栖动物次级生产力比较·····	51
3.3.2 福田红树林区大型底栖动物次级生产力的时空差异分析·····	52
3.3.3 福田红树林区大型底栖动物次级生产力的年际变化·····	54
第四章 福田红树林保护区大型底栖动物与环境变量关系研究·····	55
4.1 深圳湾福田红树林湿地水域的水质条件·····	55
4.1.1 福田红树林湿地水域水质理化性质·····	55
4.1.2 福田红树林湿地水域的营养盐及有机污染综合指标·····	55
4.1.3 福田红树林湿地水域的重金属含量·····	56

4.1.4 福田红树林湿地水域的细菌数量·····	56
4.2 福田红树林自然保护区环境因子的测定·····	56
4.2.1 测定方法·····	56
4.2.2 测定结果·····	56
4.3 大型底栖动物密度和生物量与环境因子的相关分析·····	58
4.3.1 与底质因子的相关分析·····	58
4.3.2 与气象因子的相关分析·····	59
4.4 三种沙蚕的密度和生物量与环境因子的相关分析·····	59
4.4.1 与底质因子的相关分析·····	59
4.4.2 与气象因子的相关分析·····	59
4.5 影响大型底栖动物群落的主要环境因子·····	60
4.6 环境因子对溪沙蚕分布的影响·····	61
第五章 福田红树林保护区大型底栖动物群落健康评价·····	63
5.1 统计分析方法·····	63
5.1.1 群落结构分析·····	63
5.1.2 群落物种多样性单变量分析·····	64
5.1.3 大型底栖动物污染指数(MPI) ·····	64
5.1.4 丰度-生物量比较曲线·····	65
5.2 结果·····	65
5.2.1 各年度大型底栖动物的生物指数值·····	65
5.2.2 部分取样站的丰度生物量曲线·····	68
5.2.3 聚类(CLUSTER)和 MDS 标序·····	71
5.3 讨论·····	73
5.3.1 大型底栖动物群落结构及生物指数值的时空差异比较·····	73
5.3.2 生物指数和 ABC 法评价结果差异的分析·····	76
第六章 论文小结·····	78
6.1 研究成果·····	78
6.2 创新点·····	80
6.3 不足之处·····	80

6.4 展望.....	80
参考文献.....	81
附表.....	89
发表文章及参加课题.....	92
致 谢.....	93

厦门大学博硕士论文摘要库

## Content

Content(In Chinese).....	I
Content(In English).....	V
Abstract(In Chinese).....	1
Abstract(In English).....	3
Chapter 1 Preface.....	6
1.1 Research progress on ecology of macrofaunal community.....	6
1.1.1 Research progress on ecology of macrofaunal community in the world.....	6
1.1.2 Research progress on ecology of mudflat macrofaunal community in China	8
1.2 Mangrove ecosystem protection and research progress on ecology of zoobenthic community.....	9
1.2.1 Mangrove ecosystem and protection.....	9
1.2.2 Research progress on ecology of zoobenthic community in mangrove area.....	10
1.3 General situation of Shenzhen Bay and Futian mangrove reserve.....	15
1.3.1 Physical geography situation of Shenzhen Bay.....	15
1.3.2 General situation of Futian mangrove reserve.....	15
1.4 Significance and technological route in this study.....	16
Chapter 2 Macrofaunal community in Futian mangrove reserve.....	18
2.1 Materials and method.....	18
2.1.1 Samling stations and sampling time.....	18
2.1.2 Sampling and laboratory procedures.....	18
2.1.3 Mathematical statistic method of density and biomass.....	19
2.2 Results.....	19
2.2.1 Composition of macrofauna.....	19
2.2.1.1 Composition of macrofauna in 2005.....	19
2.2.1.2 Composition of macrofauna in 2006.....	22
2.2.1.3 Composition of macrofauna in 2007.....	25



2.2.2 Spacial-temporal distrubition of macrofaunal density.....	28
2.2.2.1 Spacial-temporal distrubition of macrofaunal density in 2005.....	28
2.2.2.2 Spacial-temporal distrubition of macrofaunal density in 2006.....	30
2.2.2.3 Spacial-temporal distrubition of macrofaunal density in 2007.....	31
2.2.3 Spacial-temporal distrubition of macrofaunal biomass.....	33
2.2.3.1 Spacial-temporal distrubition of macrofaunal biomass in 2005.....	33
2.2.3.2 Spacial-temporal distrubition of macrofaunal biomass in 2006.....	34
2.2.3.3 Spacial-temporal distrubition of macrofaunal biomass in 2007.....	36
2.3 Discussion.....	37
2.3.1 Comparison of macrofaunal community between inside mangrove and outside mangrove.....	37
2.3.2 Spacial difference analysis of macrofaunal community in Futian mangrove reserve.....	38
2.3.3 Temporal difference analysis of macrofaunal community in Futian mangrove reserve.....	40
2.3.4 Annual fluctuation of macrofaunal community in Futian mangrove reserve	41
2.3.5 Comparison of macrofauna in different mangrove areas.....	43
Chapter 3 Macrofaunal secondary production in Futian mangrove reserve.....	45
3.1 Calculation of macrofaunal secondary production.....	45
3.2 Results.....	46
3.2.1 The macrofaunal secondary production composition.....	46
3.2.2 Spacial distrubition of macrofaunal secondary production.....	49
3.2.3 Temporal distrubition of macrofaunal secondary production.....	50
3.3 Discussion.....	51
3.3.1 Comparison of macrofaunal secondary production between inside mangrove and outside mangrove.....	51
3.3.2 Spacial-temporal difference analysis of macrofaunal secondary production in Futian mangrove reserve.....	52

3.3.3 Annual fluctuation of macrofaunal secondary production in Futian mangrove reserve.....	54
Chapter 4 The relationship between macrofauna and environment factors in Futian mangrove reserve.....	55
4.1 The water quality of Futian mangrove wetland in Shenzhen Bay.....	55
4.1.1 The physicochemical property in Futian mangrove wetland.....	55
4.1.2 Nutrient and organic pollution indice in Futian mangrove wetland.....	55
4.1.3 The heavy metal contents in Futian mangrove wetland.....	56
4.1.4 The quantity of bacteria in Futian mangrove wetland.....	56
4.2 Mensuration of environment factors in Futian mangrove reserve.....	56
4.2.1 Measurement method.....	56
4.2.2 Results.....	56
4.3 Pearson correlation between density and biomass and environment factors.....	58
4.3.1 Pearson correlation between density and biomass and sediment factors .....	58
4.3.2 Pearson correlation between density and biomass and meteorologic factors .....	59
4.4 Pearson correlation between density and biomass of three clamworns and environment factors.....	59
4.4.1 Pearson correlation between density and biomass and sediment factors .....	59
4.4.2 Pearson correlation between density and biomass and meteorologic factors .....	59
4.5 The main impact factor of macrofaunal community.....	60
4.6 Environment factors that influenced on distribution of <i>Namalycastis abiuma</i> .....	61
Chapter 5 Health assessment of macrofaunal community in Futian mangrove reserve.....	63
5.1 Method of Statistical analysis.....	63
5.1.1 Analysis of macrofaunal community structure.....	63
5.1.2 Univariate variable analysis of species diversity.....	64

5.1.3 Macrofaunal Pollution Index (MPI).....	64
5.1.4 The curve of abundance biomass comparison.....	65
5.2 Results.....	65
5.2.1 The values of biotic indice.....	65
5.2.2 ABC curve at some samplings.....	68
5.2.3 Cluster and MDS ordination analysis.....	71
5.3 Discussion.....	73
5.3.1 Spacial-temporal comparison of macrofaunal community structure and biotic indice.....	73
5.3.2 Analysis the different results with biotic indice and ABC curve appraisement.....	76
Chapter 6 Summary.....	78
6.1 Study results.....	78
6.2 Innovation.....	80
6.3 Insufficiency.....	80
6.4 Prospection.....	80
Reference.....	81
Appendix.....	89
Acknowledgment.....	93

## 摘 要

选取国际湿地公约保护对象、国际《生物多样性公约》重点保护对象、我国唯一处在城市腹地的国家级自然保护区——广东内伶仃岛-福田自然保护区为研究区域，于 2005-2007 年对福田红树林区观鸟屋、凤塘河口和沙嘴码头三条断面（A、H、F 断面）进行了大型底栖动物的季度调查，结合理化因子，采用单变量和多变量的方法研究了大型底栖动物的群落生态，通过比较红树林内与林外之间、不同断面之间、不同季节之间以及年际之间大型底栖动物群落的差异，探讨了生态和环境因子对大型底栖动物群落的影响，为红树林湿地生态保护和研究提供依据和新思路。

主要研究成果如下：

1. 2005-2007 年在福田红树林保护区定量采样共获得大型底栖动物 64 种，其中多毛类、甲壳类、腹足类、双壳类分别为 21 种、17 种、14 种、5 种。大型底栖动物总平均密度为  $17792 \text{ ind}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ ，以寡毛类所占比例最高。大型底栖动物总平均生物量为  $111.46 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ ，以腹足类所占比例最高。

2. 2005-2007 年间福田红树林保护区大型底栖动物群落发生了一定变化。年平均密度 2005 年 > 2006 年 > 2007 年，年平均生物量 2007 年 > 2005 年 > 2006 年，年次级生产力 2005 年 > 2007 年 > 2006 年，上述三项变化趋势不同的原因是群落主要类群的变化，三年来多毛类和寡毛类密度组成所占比例相对下降，腹足类则相对上升。

3. 大型底栖动物平均密度的季节差异是春季 > 冬季 > 秋季 > 夏季，平均生物量季节差异则是冬季 > 秋季 > 春季 > 夏季。数理统计软件分析表明，福田红树林湿地大型底栖动物的密度不存在显著的季节差异，但生物量存在显著的季节差异，而大个体的羽须鳃沙蚕(*Dendronereis pinnaticirrus*)和腺带刺沙蚕(*Neanthes glandicincta*)存在显著的季节差异。

4. A、H、F 断面平均密度分别为  $17478 \text{ ind}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ 、 $17676 \text{ ind}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ 、 $18384 \text{ ind}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ ，比较接近；A、H、F 断面平均生物量分别为  $123.23 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ 、 $112.43 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ 、 $97.52 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ 。数理统计软件分析表明，福田红树林湿地大型底栖动物的密度和生物量不存在显著的断面差异，但大个体的羽须鳃沙蚕、腺带刺沙蚕和溪沙蚕(*Namalycastis*

*abiuma*)存在显著的断面差异。

5. 福田红树林保护区林外的密度、生物量、次级生产力较林内高,但没有达到显著差异。林内站的  $d$  值和  $MPI$  值高于林外站,  $H'$  值低于林外站。聚类分析和  $MDS$  标序结果表明,林内与林外的大型底栖动物群落种类组成有些差异,其结果与平均密度、生物量、次级生产力、 $H'$  和  $MPI$  值的差异是一致的,说明生境不同,大型底栖动物群落的种类组成存在差异。

6. 大型底栖动物以及三种沙蚕的密度与总有机质含量有较高的相关系数或者显著相关,与盐度也有较高的相关系数。大型底栖动物密度和生物量与降雨量、气温、光照时数、相对湿度无显著相关,但三种沙蚕的密度和生物量与降雨量、气温和光照时数有较高的相关系数或者显著相关,说明种群对气候因子的响应比较敏感,而群落对气候因子的响应不敏感,这是因为群落由很多种群组成,各种生物对气候的响应不一样。

7. 2005-2007 年福田红树林保护区大型底栖动物年平均次级生产力分别为  $34.11 \text{ gAFDW}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}$ 、 $23.72 \text{ gAFDW}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}$ 、 $31.71 \text{ gAFDW}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}$ ; 次级生产力组成与生物量组成一致。数理统计软件分析表明,福田红树林保护区大型底栖动物的次级生产力不存在显著性的空间、季节和年际差异。

8. 应用了丰富度指数  $d$ 、种类多样性指数  $H'$ 、大型底栖动物污染指数  $MPI$  和丰度生物量比较法(ABC 法)对研究区域进行环境污染状况评价,并对个别结果的差异进行了分析。生物指数显示,沿观鸟屋-凤塘河口-沙嘴码头三条断面大型底栖动物群落的  $d$  和  $H'$  值依次降低,  $MPI$  值依次升高,即污染程度逐渐加重;在四个季节中,夏季的  $d$  和  $H'$  值最低而  $MPI$  值最高,表明夏季污染较重。

**关键词:** 大型底栖动物; 环境效应; 红树林湿地; 深圳湾

## Abstract

Choose the International Wetland Convention protected object, International Convention on Biological Diversity key protected object, the only national nature reserve in city hinterland——Guangdong Neilingding Futian National Nature Reserve as research area, Macrofauna were studied at three transects, namely A (Guanniaowu), H (Fengtang outfall) and F (Shazui dock) transect from 2005 to 2007, combined environmental factors, the community ecology of macrofauna was studied by using univariate and multivariable statistics, and compare the differences of benthic community among mangrove area and non-mangrove area, different seasons and sampling stations of different transects, ecology and environmental factors that influenced on macrofauna community were discussed, the data will provide evidence and new ideas for protection and research of mangrove wetland ecology.

The main results are as follows:

1. Sixty-four species of macrofauna were identified in Futian mangrove reserve from 2005 to 2007. Among them, twenty-one species of polychaetes, seventeen species of crustacean, fourteen species of gastropods and five species of bivalve. The aggregate average density of macrofauna is  $17792 \text{ ind}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ , oligochaetes is the highest of all. The aggregate biomass of macrofauna is  $111.46 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ , gastropods is the highest of all.

2. There were some changes in macrofauna community of Futian mangrove reserve from 2005 to 2007. The highest annual average density is in 2005, the lowest is in 2007, the highest annual average biomass is in 2007, the lowest is in 2006, and the highest annual secondary production is in 2005, the lowest is in 2006, the reason of above different trends is that the change of major groups in community, the density components of polychaetes and oligochaetes decreased in the past three years, while gastropods increased.

3. In terms of the seasonal difference of average density of macrofauna, spring was the highest, winter was the second, autumn was the third, summer was the lowest, while the seasonal difference of average biomass of macrofauna, winter was the highest,

autumn was the second, spring was the third, summer was the lowest. Mathematical statistics analysis showed that there was no obvious seasonal density difference of macrofauna in Futian mangrove wetland, but biomass was, and there were obvious seasonal differences of bigger individual *Dendronereis pinnaticirrus* and *Neanthes glandicineta*.

4. The average density of A transect was  $17478 \text{ ind}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ , B transect was  $17676 \text{ ind}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$  and F transect was  $18384 \text{ ind}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ , while the average biomass of A transect was  $123.23 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ , B transect was  $112.43 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$  and F transect was  $97.52 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ . Mathematical statistics analysis showed that there was no obvious transect density and biomass difference of macrofauna in Futian mangrove wetland, but there were obvious transect differences of big bodysize *Dendronereis pinnaticirrus*, *Neanthes glandicineta* and *Namalycastis abiuma*.

5. The density, biomass and secondary production of non-mangrove area were higher than that of mangrove area. Magalef's index ( $d$ ) and Macrofaunal Pollution Index (MPI) of mangrove area were higher than that of non-mangrove area, while Shannon-Wiener diversity index ( $H'$ ) of mangrove area was lower than that of non-mangrove area. Cluster analysis and MDS analysis showed that there were some differences of community species composition of macrofauna in mangrove area and non-mangrove area, which was consisted with average density, biomass, secondary production, Shannon-Wiener diversity index ( $H'$ ) and Macrofaunal Pollution Index (MPI), it can be concluded that the species composition of macrofauna community would be different in the distinct habitats.

6. There were obvious relationship among the density of macrofauna and three kinds of clamworms and TOM and salinity. There were no obvious relationship between density and biomass of macrofauna and rainfall, air temperature, illumination time and relative humidity, but there were obvious relationship between density and biomass of three kinds of clamworms and rainfall, air temperature and illumination time, it can be concluded population was sensitive to weather response, but community was not, because community is compose of a lot of population, different creatures have different response to the weather.

7. The annual average secondary production of macrobenthos in Futian mangrove reserve were  $34.11 \text{ gAFDW}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}$ 、 $23.72 \text{ gAFDW}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}$  and  $31.71 \text{ gAFDW}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}$  from 2005 to 2007 respectively. The composition of secondary production and biomass were consistent. Mathematical statistics analysis showed that there were no obvious spatial, seasonal and annual secondary production differences of macrobenthos in Futian mangrove reserve.

8. Magalef's index ( $d$ ), Shannon-Wiener diversity index ( $H'$ ), Macrofaunal Pollution Index (MPI), and Abundance biomass comparison method (ABC) were applied to environmental pollution situation evaluation of research area, and the difference of some results were analysed. The biological index showed that Magalef's index ( $d$ ) and Shannon-Wiener diversity index ( $H'$ ) of macrofaunal community of three transects, Guanniaowu was the highest and Shazui dock was the lowest, in terms of Macrofaunal Pollution Index(MPI), Shazui dock was the highest and Guanniaowu was the lowest, namely pollution level was aggravated gradually. Of the four seasons, Magalef's index ( $d$ ) and Shannon-Wiener diversity index ( $H'$ ) of summer were the lowest and Macrofaunal Pollution Index (MPI) was the highest, it indicated that pollution was more serious in summer.

Keywords: Macrofauna; environmental effect; mangrove wetland; Shenzhen Bay



Degree papers are in the "[Xiamen University Electronic Theses and Dissertations Database](#)". Full texts are available in the following ways:

1. If your library is a CALIS member libraries, please log on <http://etd.calis.edu.cn/> and submit requests online, or consult the interlibrary loan department in your library.
2. For users of non-CALIS member libraries, please mail to [etd@xmu.edu.cn](mailto:etd@xmu.edu.cn) for delivery details.

厦门大学博硕士论文摘要库