学校编码: 10384

学号: 12020081152869

分类号\_\_密级\_\_\_\_ UDC



# 硕士学位论文

# 原型理论视角下的古汉语基本颜色词 Prototypical Analysis of Basic Color Terms in Ancient Chinese

## 张 娜 娜

指导教师姓名: 李力 副教授 专 业 名 称: 英语语言文学 论文提交日期: 2011 年 月 论文答辩时间: 2011 年 月

学位授予日期: 2011 年 月

答辩委员会主席: \_\_\_\_\_\_ 评 阅 人: \_\_\_\_\_

2011 年 月

## 厦门大学学位论文原创性声明

本人呈交的学位论文是本人在导师指导下,独立完成的研究成果。本人在论文写作中参考其他个人或集体已经发表的研究成果,均 在文中以适当方式明确标明,并符合法律规范和《厦门大学研究生学术活动规范(试行)》。

另外,该学位论文为( )课题(组) 的研究成果,获得( )课题(组)经费或实验室的资助,在( )实验室完成。(请在以上括号内填写课题或课题组负责人或实验室名称,未有此项声明内容的,可以不作特别声明。)

声明人(签名):

年 月 日

## 厦门大学学位论文著作权使用声明

本人同意厦门大学根据《中华人民共和国学位条例暂行实施办法》等规定保留和使用此学位论文,并向主管部门或其指定机构送交学位论文(包括纸质版和电子版),允许学位论文进入厦门大学图书馆及其数据库被查阅、借阅。本人同意厦门大学将学位论文加入全国博士、硕士学位论文共建单位数据库进行检索,将学位论文的标题和摘要汇编出版,采用影印、缩印或者其它方式合理复制学位论文。

本学位论文属于:

( )1. 经厦门大学保密委员会审查核定的保密学位论文,

于 年 月 日解密,解密后适用上述授权。

( ) 2. 不保密,适用上述授权。

(请在以上相应括号内打"√"或填上相应内容。保密学位论文 应是已经厦门大学保密委员会审定过的学位论文,未经厦门大学保密 委员会审定的学位论文均为公开学位论文。此声明栏不填写的,默认 为公开学位论文,均适用上述授权。)

声明人(签名):

年 月 日

#### **Abstract**

Recently, an increasing number of people turn their attention to the inheritance and development of ancient Chinese culture. Some deputies that attend the National People's Congress and Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference advocate that Beijing opera and traditional handicraft art should be taught at primary and secondary schools, which have been approved by authorities concerned. The thesis makes an analysis of the basic color terms in ancient Chinese, as an effort to play its due in the cause of revitalizing the brilliant Chinese culture.

Literature reviews on color terms suggest that the majority of the researches on ancient Chinese color terms are carried out by scholars majoring in Chinese and ancient Chinese. Scholars majoring in English conduct contrastive studies on the differences between Chinese color terms and English color terms, followed by the explorations of the reasons for those differences. As a consequence, few interdisciplinary researches are conducted on color terms in ancient Chinese. In other words, few researches on ancient Chinese color terms are carried out by English majors and English experts; likewise, few researches are conducted by Chinese philologists. For those reasons, the thesis tries to make up the gap by applying the prototype theory to the studies of the basic color terms in ancient Chinese. There are two reasons for the choice of prototype theory as the theoretical framework and the basic color terms in ancient Chinese as the research object: firstly, prototype is a new concept in cognitive science, which is in itself one of the most popular research projects during recent years. Therefore, the employment of prototype theory endows the thesis with a hint of novelty. Secondly, mandarin fever is spreading over the world nowadays. In addition, the more a society develops, the more eager people are to preserve the traditional culture. However, there are only a few detailed researches on ancient Chinese guided by prototype theory, let alone the color terms in the system. The conflict is prominent between the increasing demand of Chinese learning and the insufficiency in Chinese teaching as a second language. With the purpose of alleviating the conflict, the thesis peers into ancient Chinese through prototype theory to see what academic sparks are evoked from the combination.

The thesis conducts researches on the basic color terms in ancient Chinese, taking as its theoretical framework the prototype theory by Rosch and the theory of basic color terms by Berlin & Kay. The thesis aims to verify the validity of prototype theory and the theory of basic color terms.

There are two points that need to be clarified, the first point is how the five basic color terms in ancient Chinese are determined and selected; the second point is what the defining features of prototype and basic color terms are.

As to the first issue, the selection of the five basic color terms is the result of combining the theory of basic color terms with the features of ancient Chinese. According to Berlin & Kay, there are a total number of eleven basic color terms in man languages, and they are distributed at seven stages of the evolutionary chain of basic which shown color terms. is in the following diagram: black/white-red-green/yellow-yellow/green-blue-brown-purple/orange/pink/ grey. The more civilized a society, the larger the number of the basic color terms in a language. The evolution of basic color terms follows the order strictly. In other words, if a language has only two color terms, they must be black and white; if a language has three color terms, the third one must be red, and so forth. In addition, the selection of the five basic color terms can be traced back to the Theory of Five Elements in ancient China, which claims that the east is of cyan, the south is of red, the west is of white, the north is of black and the earth is of yellow. Therefore, it can be inferred that the five basic color terms in ancient Chinese are cyan, red, white, black and yellow. All of the color terms except cyan have their counterparts in the evolutionary chain mapped out by Berlin & Kay. Cyan denotes a color of what is analogous to blue, green and black in the color system of modern Chinese. Therefore, the five basic color terms in ancient Chinese follow the first five stags in the evolutionary chain of basic color terms, which is as same as what the first six color terms do in the model of Berlin & Kay.

As to the second issue, the thesis draws out four features concerning prototype

and basic color terms, based on the researches and reviews of previous studies. The four features are: firstly, the determination of basic color terms is not arbitrary, but motivated; secondly, the members of a color category are not equal; thirdly, the status of the members in a color category is not stable; fourthly, the boundary between color categories is fuzzy.

The thesis is defective in three aspects: firstly, the source of the data is not completely reliable; secondly, the paraphrases of ancient Chinese prose are subjective; thirdly, the perspective for analysis is not all-inclusive.

Therefore, it is hoped that subsequent researchers spare no effort to improve on those flaws. In other words, data should be collected from more reliable sources, which are analyzed with a more objective attitude and from a more complete perspective. Anyway, subsequent researchers are expected to furnish the extant theories on prototype and basic color terms.

**Key words:** prototype theory; basic color terms; ancient Chinese

## 摘要

近来,越来越多的人开始关注中国古代文化的继承和发扬,两会代表提出应在中小学中开设京剧和传统手工艺等课程,部分提案已经获得了相关部门的批准。然而,本文作者在检索有关颜色词的文献时发现,大多数对古汉语颜色词的研究来自汉语和古汉语专业的学者,英语专业的学者则将研究的重点放在中英颜色词的对比上,很少有人对颜色词进行交叉式的跨学科研究。本文利用 Rosch的原型理论探究古汉语中的五大基本颜色词。选择这一课题的原因有两个:首先,原型理论是认知科学中一个相对较新的理论,而认知科学又是近年来备受关注的一门学科。因此,将原型理论作为论文的理论框架有助于突显论文的新意。其次,在当今社会,汉语热正在世界范围内蔓延,外国人学习汉语的热情越来越高;而且社会越进步,大家保护传统文化的意识越强。然而,利用原型理论对古汉语进行细致分析的例子却是少之又少。这就导致了对语言需求的增长和对外汉语教学机制的不健全之间的矛盾日益凸显。为了缓和这一矛盾,本文试图将古汉语和原型理论相结合,看看究竟能碰撞出怎样的火花。

本文主要运用 Rosch 的原型理论和 Berlin & Kay 的基本颜色词理论,对古代 汉语五大基本颜色词进行了定性分析和对比分析,目的是证实原型和基本颜色词 的典型特征同样体现在古汉语五大基本颜色词中。

两个问题需要先进行一下简单的说明。第一,古汉语中的基本颜色词是如何选择的,第二,典型特征是如何定义的。

就第一个问题而言,古汉语五大基本颜色词的选择是基本颜色词理论和古汉语特征相结合的产物。在 Berlin & Kay 看来,人类语言中共有 11 个基本颜色词,分别处于七个不同的阶段,公式表明如下:黑/白→红→绿/黄→黄/绿→蓝→褐→紫/橘/粉/灰。社会的文明程度越高,其语言中基本颜色词的数量就越多。基本颜色词的进化严格遵守以上顺序,但凡有颜色词的语言必有黑白两种颜色;而如果一种语言有三个基本颜色词,那么第三个颜色词一定是红色。中国古代五行金木水火土衍生了五色红白黄黑青,即古汉语五大基本颜色词。赤、白、黑、黄分别和上述模型中的红、白、黑、黄对应,而青的释义则涵盖了现代汉语中的蓝、绿、黑三色。因此,古代汉语五大基本颜色词和上述模型中的前六个基本颜色词

一样对应颜色词发展的最初五个阶段。

关于第二个问题,本文在案例分析的基础上并结合前人的研究成果,得出了四个结论。第一,基本颜色词的选取不是随意的,而是有理据的;第二,同一范畴内部的成员,其地位并不相同,有中心与边缘之分;第三,范畴内各成员的地位并非一成不变;第四,范畴的边界是模糊的。

当然,本文也有其局限性,主要表现在三个方面:第一,数据的来源不完全可靠、科学;第二,对古汉语词句的翻译存在很大的主观性;第三,分析的角度不够全面。希望随后的科研能进一步完善研究方法,使结论更有说服力。

关键词: 原型理论 基本颜色词 古汉语

## **Table of Contents**

Abstract	II
Chapter One Introduction	1
1.1 Object of the study	1
1.2 Rationale of the study	2
1.3 Objective of the study	4
1.4 Methodology and data collection	6
The Galland of the thesis	
Chapter Two Literature Review	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Definition of basic color terms	
2.3 Classification of basic color terms	
2.4 Features of basic color category	
2.5 Previous analysis of basic color category	
2.5.1 Different phases	
2.5.2 Academic applications	
2.5.3 Common applications	
2.5.4 Literary works	
2.6 Comments on previous studies of color terms	
Chapter Three Theoretical Framework	32
Chapter Three Theoretical Francisco K	
3.1 Introduction	32
3.2 Category versus categorization	32
3.2.1 Discrepancy between category and categorization	
3.2.2 The significance of differentiating category and categorization	39
3.3 Theory on category	34
3.3.1 The classical model of category	
3.3.2 The prototype theory	
3.4 Theory on color terms	
3.4.1 Identification of color terms.	
3.4.2 Classification of color terms	
3.4.3 Features of color terms	

Ancient Chinese	49
4.1 Exploration of redness	49
4.1.1 Redness in ancient Chinese	49
4.1.2 Redness in modern Chinese	54
4.1.3 Transition of redness	
4.1.4 Prototypical analysis of the transition	
4.2 Exploration of blackness	57
4.2.1 Blackness in ancient Chinese	57
4.2.2 Blackness in modern Chinese	64
4.2.3 Transition of blackness	65
4.2.4 Prototypical analysis of the transition	65
4.3 Exploration of whiteness	66
4.3.1 Whiteness in ancient Chinese	66
4.3.2 Whiteness in modern Chinese	68
4.3.4 Prototypical analysis of the transition	
4.4 Exploration of yellow	72
4.4.1 Yellow in ancient Chinese	
4.4.2 Yellow in modern Chinese	73
4.4.3 Transition of yellow	74
4.4.4 Prototypical analysis of the transition	
4.5 Exploration of cyan	
4.5.1 Cyan in ancient Chinese	
4.5.2 Cyan in modern Chinese	
4.5.3 Transition of cyan	
4.5.4 Prototypical analysis of the transition	78
Chapter Five Conclusion	80
5.1 Major findings of the research	80
5.2 Limitations of the research	81
5.3 Suggestions for further studies	
Index of Initialisms	84
Index of Websites	85
Deferences	97

Acknowledgements ......92



# 目 录

摘要	II
第一章 引言	1
1.1 研究对象	1
1.2 基本原理	
1.3 研究目标	
1. 4 研究方法和数据搜集	5
1.5 论文概述	6
第二章 文献综述	
2.1 引言	8
2.2 基本颜色词的定义	8
2.3 基本颜色词的分类	
2.4 基本颜色词范畴的特征	10
2.5 前人对基本颜色词范畴的分析	
2. 5. 1 不同时期	
2.5.2 学术应用	14
2.5.3 一般应用	19
2.5.4 文学作品中	23
2.6 对前人研究成果的评论	27
第三章 理论框架	32
3.1 引言	32
3. 2 范畴与范畴化	32
3.2.1 范畴与范畴化的差异	32
3.2.2 区分范畴和范畴化的重要性	33
3. 3 有关范畴的理论	34
3.3.1 古典范畴理论	34
3.3.2 原型理论	36

3.4 关于颜色词的理论	45
3.4.1 颜色词的识别	45
3.4.2 颜色词的分类	46
3.4.3 颜色词的特征	47
第四章 原型理论视角下的古汉语基本颜色	色词48
4.1 对红色系的探究	48
4.1.1 古汉语红色系	48
4.1.2 现代汉语红色系	53
4.1.3 红色系的变迁	55
4.1.4 原型理论对变迁的解释	56
4. 2 对黑色系的探究	57
4.2.1 古汉语黑色系	57
4.2.2 现代汉语黑色系	63
4.2.3 黑色系的变迁	64
4.2.4 原型理论对变迁的解释	64
4. 3 对白色系的探究	65
4.3.1 古汉语白色系	65
4.3.2 现代汉语白色系	68
4.3.3 白色系的变迁	68
4.3.4 原型理论对变迁的解释	70
4. 4 对黄色的探究	71
4.4.1 古汉语黄色	71
4.4.2 现代汉语黄色	73
4.4.3 黄色的变迁	73
4.4.4 原型理论对变迁的解释	73
4. 5 对青色的探究	74
4.5.1 古汉语青色	74
4.5.2 现代汉语青色	76
4.5.3 青色的变迁	77
4.5.4 原型理论对变迁的解释	77
第五音 结论	70

5. 1 本研究的主要发现	79
5. 2 本研究的局限性	80
5. 3 对进一步研究的建议	81
缩略词附录	84
网址附录	85
参考书目	86
致谢	92

## **Chapter One Introduction**

#### 1.1 Object of the study

The thesis takes as its object the five basic color terms in ancient Chinese—cyan, red, white, black and yellow. There is not a word-to-word relationship between Chinese color terms and English color terms, because the grammatical rules of the two languages are different, Chinese is a paratactic language and English is a grammatical language. Red has several counterparts in ancient Chinese, such as 朱 (zhu, bright red), 赤(chi, the color of fire), 升(dan, vermilion), 形(tong, red), 紫(zi, color mixture of blue and red) and 红(hong, pink). According to the shades of redness, the six color words rank as follows: 朱(zhu, bright red), 赤(chi, the color of fire), 红(hong, pink), 升(dan, vermilion), 形(tong, red) and 紫(zi, color mixture of blue and red), with 朱(zhu, bright red) denoting the deepest redness and 紫(zi, color mixture of blue and red) denoting the lightest redness. In order to include all the members carrying the attribute of red, the group headed by red is given the name of redness.

Likewise, black has several counterparts in ancient Chinese, such as: 黝(you, swart), 黑(hei, black), 玄(xuan, dark black), 乌(wu, pitch black), 焦(jiao, burned black), 铁(tie, iron black), 皂(zao, soap black), 青(qing, blue black) and 苍(cang, verdant black). For the purpose of including all the members carrying the attribute of black, the group headed by black is given the name of blackness.

It is the same with white whose counterparts in ancient Chinese include  $\dot{\Xi}$ (bai, white), 素(su, the color of kiginu), 银(yin, silver), 纨(wan, the color of white gauze), 縞(gao, the color of white stripe),  $\Xi$ (yu, the color of white jade), 冰(bing, the color of ice),  $\Xi$ (xue, the color of white snow), 霜(shuang, the color of frost) and 鹤(he, the color of white crane). For the sake of including all the members carrying the attribute of white, the group headed by white is given the name of whiteness.

The same illustration is applicable to cyan, which has three counterparts—蓝(lan, blue), 黑(hei, black) and 绿(lv, green) in Chinese. The slight differences between cyan and the other four color terms which are mentioned above are that the counterparts of cyan are readily expressed in English, while the word cyan is transliterated. The reason is that the three counterparts of cyan are common color terms in modern Chinese, while most of the counterparts of red, white and black in ancient Chinese are not common color terms in modern Chinese.

However, things are different to yellow. There is only one counterpart for yellow in ancient Chinese—黄(huang, yellow). Therefore, there is neither such a group as headed by yellow nor the category of yellowness. The focus of the section is the comparison of the denotations of yellow in ancient Chinese and in modern Chinese, as well as the prototypical analysis of the denotation transitions.

#### 1.2 Rationale of the study

On the discrepancies between category and categorization, examples of vegetable and fruit given by Croft & Cruse(2004: 78) are cited to show what category is and what categorization is. The conclusion is that categorization is the process of classifying the objects in nature and man's mind, and category is the final result of the process.

On the significance of differentiating category and categorization, Croft & Cruse(2004: 74) are cited again. According to them, the functions of categorization may be summarized in the following four words: learning, planning, communication and economy. Besides, Lakoff(1987) is cited to strengthen the significance of differentiating the two terms, according to Lakoff(1987): "There is nothing more basic than categorization to our thought, perception, action and speech."

On the classical model of category, Aristotle(1936) is cited to illustrate how category is determined. According to Aristotle(1936), category is jointly defined by necessary and sufficient features. The term necessary means that "no entity that does not possess the full set is a member of the category", and the term sufficient means that "in possession of all the features guarantees membership".

Degree papers are in the "Xiamen University Electronic Theses and Dissertations Database". Full texts are available in the following ways:

- 1. If your library is a CALIS member libraries, please log on <a href="http://etd.calis.edu.cn/">http://etd.calis.edu.cn/</a> and submit requests online, or consult the interlibrary loan department in your library.
- 2. For users of non-CALIS member libraries, please mail to etd@xmu.edu.cn for delivery details.

