学校编码:10384

学号:17620060153129



## 博士学位论文

# 中国上市公司治理机制效度评价研究

The Research on Corporate Governance

Mechanism Validity Evaluation for Chinese

Listed Companies

### 李荣峰

指导教师: 沈维涛

专业名称:企业管理(含财务管理、市场营销、人

力资源管理)

答辩日期: 2010年5月

### 厦门大学学位论文原创性声明

本人呈交的学位论文是本人在导师指导下,独立完成的研究成果。本人在论文写作中参考其他个人或集体已经发表的研究成果,均在文中以适当方式明确标明,并符合法律规范和《厦门大学研究生学术活动规范(试行)》。

另外,该学位论文为( )课题(组)的研究成果,获得( )课题(组)经费或实验室的资助,在( )实验室完成。(请在以上括号内填写课题或课题组负责人或实验室名称,未有此项声明内容的,可以不作特别声明。)

声明人(签名):

年月日

### 厦门大学学位论文著作权使用声明

本人同意厦门大学根据《中华人民共和国学位条例暂行实施办法》等规定保留和使用此学位论文,并向主管部门或其指定机构送交学位论文(包括纸质版和电子版),允许学位论文进入厦门大学图书馆及其数据库被查阅、借阅。本人同意厦门大学将学位论文加入全国博士、硕士学位论文共建单位数据库进行检索,将学位论文的标题和摘要汇编出版,采用影印、缩印或者其它方式合理复制学位论文。

#### 本学位论文属于:

( )1. 经厦门大学保密委员会审查核定的保密学位论文,于年月日解密,解密后适用上述授权。

( )2. 不保密, 适用上述授权。

(请在以上相应括号内打"~~"或填上相应内容。保密学位论文应是已经厦门大学保密委员会审定过的学位论文,未经厦门大学保密委员会审定的学位论文均为公开学位论文。此声明栏不填写的,默认为公开学位论文、均适用上述授权。)

声明人(签名):

年 月 日

近年来,人们越来越关注公司治理和它在全球经济中的作用,各国股票交易所和监管机构越来越多地建立公司治理最佳实践的标准和法规,投资者也开始把对公司治理机制的系统性检查作为投资决策程序的一部分。随着对公司治理问题研究的不断深入,公司治理研究已从基于某一具体特性的公司治理要素研究向基于权力制衡的公司治理结构研究转变,并由此进入了公司治理机制评价的量化研究阶段。构建科学、有效的公司治理机制效度评价体系,对于保护利益相关者的利益,加强政府对上市公司的有效监管,形成上市公司声誉制约机制和促进证券市场发展都具有重要的作用。

本文在对国内外公司治理评价体系的评析基础上,提出了以公司绩效作为公司治理价值导向的理念,进而引入了公司治理机制效度评价的概念,并以此为基础提出了公司治理机制效度评价模型的构建思路。通过对股权治理、大股东控制行为治理、债权治理、董事会治理、经理层治理、监事会治理和非关键利益相关者治理等7个二级治理结构的分析,构建出能反映公司治理水平动态变化且与公司绩效显著相关的公司治理机制效度评价指数-CGVI指数 (Company Governance Validity Index),并以此指数为依据对上市公司治理状况进行评价与诊断,以便于及时发现公司治理中存在的问题。公司治理机制效度评价是公司治理量化研究的一种新理念、新方法,与目前公司治理评价系统多是从程序上或制度建设上进行评价的"静态"评价方法不同的是,它把公司治理问题视为一种"动态"的治理机制来进行研究,弥补了目前公司治理评价系统的某些不足,具有一定的理论和现实意义。首先,强化了对公司治理机制效度的认识,确立了从治理制度静态评价到治理机制效度动态评价的研究导向;其次,丰富和充实了相关理论文献,确定了公司治理机制效度动态评价的研究导向;其次,丰富和充实了相关理论文献,确定了公司治理机制效度对态评价的分析框架和理论体系;最后,投资者可以依据研究结论主动回避治理无效的公司,为投资者提供了实时监控公司治理水平变化的可操作性手段。

本文的研究思路是: 首先, 在对已有公司治理评价研究文献进行分析评述的基础上, 利用系统分析法将公司治理机制分解为股权治理、大股东控制行为治理、债权治理、董事会治理、经理层治理、监事会治理和非关键利益相关者治理等7个二级治理、董事会治理、经理层治理、监事会治理和非关键利益相关者治理等7个二级治

理结构和56个三级独立要素指标;其次,从治理要素出发,构造出能反映该治理结构下所有要素共同作用效果的治理结构效度评价指数,称之为二级评价指数,而后以这些二级评价指数为基础构建出公司治理机制效度评价指数;最后,通过研究这些指数与公司绩效的关系来验证CGVI指数的有效程度,并试图解答中国上市公司治理机制运行有效与否的问题。

本文的研究方法采用理论分析与实证研究相结合的方法。在理论分析中,对国内外学者在公司治理研究方面所积累的丰富文献进行收集整理,以简洁的方式对理论渊源、最新发展及实证结果进行了梳理,为实证研究提供理论支撑;在实证研究中,采用了因子分析法来构建公司绩效评价指数,采用主成分分析法来构建7个二级治理结构效度评价指数和公司治理机制效度评价指数,采用分组检验和多元线性回归分析方法对CGVI指数及其二级评价指数的有效性进行检验。

#### 本文共分为七大部分

第一部分为导论。简述研究背景、研究方法、研究思路、创新点以及论文的结构及安排。

第二部分为公司治理机制效度评价的理论基础。这部分明确了相关概念的定义,分析了公司治理机制效度评价的理论依据,讨论中国上市公司治理准则这一现实依据对研究的指导作用,整理比较国内外有代表性的公司治理评价系统以寻求其对本文研究的启示。

第三部分为公司治理机制效度评价指数的模型构建方法。这部分阐述公司治理要素指标的选取原则,提出CGVI指数及其二级评价指数的构建思路、具体数学处理方法和有效程度检验方法。

第四部分为公司绩效评价研究。在公司绩效评价方法的比较分析基础上,选用财务评价法的多因素综合法来构建公司运营绩效综合评价指数 (Performance

Index, 简称PI) 用于衡量公司运营绩效;选用了经济评价法的市场附加值 (Market Value Added, 简称MVA)来衡量公司的市场价值和市场溢价水平。

第五部分为治理结构效度评价指数的构建及实证分析。这部分包括了股权治理结构效度评价指数 (GISHD指数)、大股东控制行为治理结构效度评价指数 (GICTRL指数)、债权治理结构效度评价指数 (GIDEBT指数)、董事会治理结构效度评价指数

(GIDIR指数)、经理层治理结构效度评价指数(GIADM指数)、监事会治理结构效度评价指数(GISUP指数)和非关键利益相关者治理结构效度评价指数(GINCS指数)等7个二级评价指数的构建及其与公司绩效关系的实证分析。

第六部分为公司治理机制效度评价指数及实证分析。对第五部分构建出来的7个二级治理结构效度评价指数进行综合构建出公司治理机制效度评价指数-CGVI指数,并对其有效性进行实证检验。

第七部分为研究结论及进一步研究的方向。研究得到了一些有意义的研究成果:设计出满足4M原则的公司治理机制效度评价指标体系,建立了公司治理机制效度评价的量化计算模型,分析了7个二级治理结构效度评价指数的有效程度及相互之间作用机制,得到了我国上市公司治理机制有效运行的三级要素指标特征,验证了以公司绩效作为公司治理机制效度评价价值导向的合理性,证明了我国上市公司治理机制总体上运行是有效的。

关键词:公司治理;治理结构;治理要素;CGVI指数;有效程度分析

#### **Abstract**

In recent years, there is growing concern about corporate governance and its role in the global economy. More and more stock exchanges and regulatory bodies around the world establish best practice standards and regulations of corporate governance. Investors also begin to take the systematic inspection of corporate governance mechanism as a part of the investment decision-making process. With development of research on corporate governance, the study of corporate governance transfers from the specific characteristics of corporate governance to the power structure balances and starts a quantitative evaluation stage. Building a scientific and effective corporate governance mechanisms validity evaluation system plays an important role on protecting the stakeholder's interests, effectively supervising and forming the reputation restraining mechanism of the listed companies, and accelerating the security market development. Through literature review on corporate governance evaluation system, this paper first puts forward the philosophy of the value-oriented corporate governance mechanism validity evaluation based on corporate performance, then advances the concept of corporate governance mechanism validity evaluation, and further develops the validity evaluation model.. It also analyzes the effective functioning mechanism of corporate governance through equity governance, behavior controlling governance of major shareholders, creditor's rights governance, board governance, Managers governance, Supervisors governance and non-critical stakeholders governance, then develops the listed company governance validity evaluation index-CGVI index, which can reflect the comprehensive level of corporate governance and significantly correlated with corporate performance. Through the establishment of this scientific dynamic evaluation system, CGVI can timely detect problems in corporate governance. Corporate governance mechanism validity evaluation is a new idea and method about quantitative study

of corporate governance. In contrast to "static" evaluation method mostly based on the operational procedures or the system construction of corporate governance, corporate governance mechanisms validity evaluation is a "dynamic" method mostly based on the characteristics of corporate governance. It has also partially offset some defects of "static" evaluation method and has a certain theoretical and practical significance. Firstly, it is to strengthen the validity of corporate governance awareness and to establish an orientation of empirical study from the "static" evaluation of governance regulation to the "dynamic" evaluation of corporate governance mechanisms validity. Secondly, it is to establish the theory analysis system about Company Governance Validity Index and enrich related literature. Thirdly, investors can actively avoid investing in ineffectively governed companies according to these research findings. Finally, it makes possible for investors to utilize a more feasible means of real-time monitoring of corporate governance.

The research clue is, first of all, on the basis of Corporate Governance literature review and through systematic analyzing, corporate governance mechanism could be separated into 7 secondary governance structures, including equity governance, behavior controlling governance of major shareholders, creditor's rights governance, board governance, Managers governance, Supervisors governance and non-critical stakeholders governance and 56 tertiary independent factor indicators. Secondly, beginning from the governance factor, we calculate the governance structure validity evaluation indices which can reflect the common effect of all the governance structure's factors, called the secondary evaluation indices, and then calculate CGVI based on the above seven secondary evaluation indices. Finally, by studying the relationship between the indices and company performance to verify the effectiveness of CGVI Index, and try to answer whether the governance mechanism of Chinese listed companies run effectively or not. The research methods combine both theoretical analysis and empirical study. In

theoretical analysis, based on the literature review of international and domestic corporate governance research, we provide theoretical support for empirical study. In empirical research, using the factor analysis to build corporate performance evaluation index, using principal component analysis to build the seven secondary evaluation indices and CGVI, using group testing and multiple linear regression to analyze the effectiveness of the CGVI and its secondary evaluation indices.

This paper is divided into seven parts:

The first part is an introduction. It describes research background, methods, clues, innovation and the structure of papers.

The second part is the theoretical basis of corporate governance mechanism validity evaluation. This part defines the related concepts, analyzing theoretical basis, discussing governance guidelines, collecting and comparing the representative corporate governance evaluation systems of the domestic companies with those of the international companies in order to find inspiration for the study.

The third part is corporate governance model for CGVI. This part describes the selection principles of corporate governance element index, and proposes ideas, of specific mathematical calculation approach and validity test method of CGVI index, including its secondary evaluation indices.

The fourth part is company performance evaluation research. Based on the comparative analysis of the company's performance evaluation method, we use the multi-factor comprehensive method of financial evaluation to build the company operational performance evaluation index (Performance Index, referred to as PI) to measure the company's operating performance. We also use Market Value Added (referred to as MVA) of economic evaluation to measure the company's market value and the market premium.

The fifth part is construction and analysis of CGVI. This part is composed of

seven secondary evaluation indices, including equity governanceGISHD, behavior controlling governance of major shareholdersGICTRL, creditor's rights governanceGIDEBT, board governanceGIDIR, Managers governanceGIADM, Supervisors governanceGISUP and non-critical stakeholders governanceGINCS. It also analyses the relationship between these seven secondary evaluation indices and Corporate Performance.

The sixth part is the CGVI and its empirical analysis. Based on the seven secondary evaluation indices proposed on the fifth part, we construct a comprehensive corporate governance evaluation index-CGVI, and verify its validity.

The seventh part is the conclusion and further research suggestion. The study has reached some interesting results, such as, designing evaluation index system which meets the 4M principles, constructing CGVI measurement and calculation model, analyzing the effectiveness of the seven secondary evaluation indices and their correlation mechanism, and describing the characteristics of tertiary element index which support the effective running of the corporate governance mechanism of China Listed Companies. The study proves the rationality of the value-oriented corporate governance mechanism validity evaluation based on corporate performance, and verifies that the Chinese listed companies run an effective governance mechanism as a whole.

Keywords: corporate governance; governance structure; governance element; CGVI, validity evaluation.

#### 参考资料

- [1]白重恩,刘俏,陆洲等.中国上市公司治理结构的实证研究[J].经济研究,2005,2:32-38.
- [2]北京连城国际.中国上市公司董事会价值(治理)评价体系综述[N].证券日报,2003-08-03.
- [3]财政部统计评价司.企业效绩评价工作指南[M].北京:经济科学出版社,2002.
- [4]财政部注册会计师考试委员会.财务成本管理[M].北京:中国财政经济出版社,2003.
- [5]曹红辉.股权结构、公司治理与资本市场效率[J].北京:清华大学中国经济研究中心学术论文 2003
- [6]陈朝龙.中国上市公司管理层激励的实证研究[J].重庆大学学报(社会科学版),2002,5:24-26.
- [7]陈耿,周军.企业债权融资结构与公司治理[J].四川经济管理学院学报.2003,4 🖈
- [8]陈宏辉,贾生华.利益相关者三维分类的实证分析[J].经济研究,2004,4.
- [9]陈宏辉.利益相关者管理:企业伦理管理的时代要求[J].经济问题探索,2003.2.
- [10]陈宏辉.企业利益相关者的利益要求:理论与实证研究[M].北京:经济管理出版社,2004.
- [11]陈湘永,张剑文,张伟文. 我国上市公司"内部人控制"研究[J]. 管理世界,2000,4.
- [12]陈晓,江东.股权多元化、公司业绩与行业竞争性[J].经济研究。2000.8:28-35.
- [13]陈小悦,徐晓东.股权结构、企业绩效与投资者利益保护[J].经济研究,2001,11:3-11.
- [14]陈志广. 高级管理人员报酬的实证研究[J]. 当代经济科学,2002,24(5):58-63.
- [15]程新生.论公司治理与会计控制[J].会计研究,2003,2.
- [16]邓建平,曾勇,李金诺.最终控制力、权力制衡和公司价值研究[J].管理工程学报,2006,3:26-32.
- [17]董麓,肖红叶.上市公司股权结构与公司业绩关系的实证分析[J].统计研究,2001,11:28-30.
- [18]董夏、窦莉.战略管理会计中的业绩评价问题[J].财会研究,2002,4:20-21.
- [19]杜胜利.企业经营业绩评价[M].北京:经济科学出版社,1999.
- [20]杜兴强.国有企业会计信息产权的畸形行及其解读[J].会计研究,2003,2.
- [21]杜兴强.会计信良产权的逻辑及其博弈[J].会计研究,2002,2.
- [22]杜莹,刘立国.股权结构与公司治理效率:中国上市公司的实证分析[J].管理世界,2002,11:124-133
- [23]杜莹,刘立国.股权结构与公司治理效率:中国上市公司的实证分析[J].管理世界,2002,11.
- [24]樊纲,王小鲁著.中国市场化指数:各地区市场化相对进程2006年报告[M].经济科学出版社,2007.
- [25]费方域.控制内部人控制[J].经济研究,1996,6.
- [26]冯彩,高波、内部人控制对上市公司绩效的影响—以沪市为例进行的研究[J].华东经济管理,2004,5.
- [27]冯根福,韩冰,闫冰.中国上市公司股权集中度变动的实证分析[J].经济研究,2002,8:12-18.
- [28]冯根福等.中国上市公司股权集中度变动的实证分析[J].经济研究,2002,8.
- [29]冯丽霞,王剑波.企业获利能力分析指标探讨[J].会计研究,1999,5:20-23.
- [30]高明华,马守莉.独立董事制度与公司绩效关系的实证分析—兼论中国独立董事有效行权的制度环境[J].南开经济研究,2002,2:64-68.
- [31]谷祺,邓德强,路倩.控制权与现金流权分离下的公司价值[J].会计研究,2006,4:30-36.
- [32]谷棋,于东智.公司治理、董事会行为与经营绩效[J].财经问题研究,2001,1:58-65.
- [33]何浚.上市公司治理结构的实证分析[J].经济研究,1998,5:50-57.
- [34]何浚.上市公司治理结构的实证分析[J].经济研究,1998,5:20-27.
- [35]何卫东,张嘉颖.所有权结构、资本结构、董事会治理与公司价值.南开管理评论
- [J] . 2002,2 : 17-20 .
- [36]胡铭.我国上市公司监事会与经营绩效的实证分析明[J].吉林省经济管理干部学院学报,2001,15(6).
- [37]胡勤勤,沈艺峰.独立外部董事能否提高上市公司的经营业绩[J].世界经济,2002,7:55-62.
- [38]胡汝银.中国上市公司成败实证研究[M].上海:复旦大学出版社,2003.

- [39]黄兴李,沈维涛. 掏空或支持—来自我国上市公司关联并购的实证分析[J]. 经济管理,2006,12.
- [40]黄兴孪,沈维涛,政府干预、内部人控制与上市公司并购绩效[J].经济管理,2009,7:70-76.
- [41]靳云汇,李克成.董事会结构与公司绩效关系实证研究[J].数量经济技术研究,2002,8:79-82.
- [42]李苹莉.经营者业绩评价一利益相关者模式[M].杭州:浙江人民出版社,2001.
- [43]李寿喜.论我国国有企业业绩评价机制的变迁[J].审计与经济研究,2003,18(6):39-42.
- [44]李维安,郝臣.中国上市公司监事会治理评价实证研究[J].上海财经大学学报,2006,3.
- [45]李维安,李汉军.股权结构、高管持股与公司绩效—来自民营上市公司的证据[J].南开管理评论,2006,5.
- [46]李维安,牛建波.中国上市公司经理层治理评价与实证研究[J].中国工业经济,2004,9.
- [47]李维安,孙文.董事会治理对公司绩效累积效应的实证研究—基于中国上市公司的数据[J].中国工业经济,2007,12.
- [48]李维安,唐跃军.公司治理评价、治理指数与公司业绩—来自2003年中国上市公司的证据[J].中国工业经济,2006,4.
- [49]李维安,唐跃军.上市公司利益相关者治理评价及实证研究[J].证券市场导报,2005,3.
- [50]李维安,王世权.中国上市公司监事会治理绩效评价与实证研究[J].南开管理评论,2005,1.
- [51]李维安,王守志,王世权.大股东股权竞争与监事会治理—基于中国上市公司的实证分析[J].经济社会体制比较,2006,3.
- [52]李维安,张国萍.经理层治理评价指数与相关绩效的实证研究—基于中国上市公司治理评价的研究[J].经济研究,2005,11.
- [53]李维安,张亚双.如何构造适合国情的公司治理监督机制—论我国监事会的功能定位[J].当代经济科学,2002.2.
- [54]李维安,张耀伟.中国上市公司董事会治理评价实证研究[J].当代经济科学,2005,1.
- [55]李维安,武立东.公司治理教程[M].上海:上海人民出版社,2002年.
- [56]李维安.中国公司治理原则(草案)及解说[J].南开管理评论,2001,1.
- [57]李维安. 董事会的"虚化"与"越位"[J]. 南开管理评论,2008,4.
- [58]李维安.公司治理的新进展:公司治理评价[J].南开管理评论,2004,1.
- [59]李维安.公司治理与公司治理原则[J].中国物资流通,2001,2.
- [60]李维安.上市公司治理准则:奠定中国证券市场可持续发展的制度基础[J].南开管理评论.2002.1.
- [61]李维安.现代企业制度建设新阶段:深化公司治理改革[J].南开管理评论,2008,1.
- [62]李维安,中国公司治理:从"违规"到合规[J],南开管理评论,2006,1。
- [63]李维安.中国投资者支付了公司治理溢价[J].南开管理评论,2006,3.
- [64]李维安.公司治理评价与指数研究[M].北京:高等教育出版社,2005.
- [65]李维安.现代企业制度建设新阶段:深化公司治理改革[J].南开管理评论,2008,1.
- [66]李有根,赵西萍,李怀祖.上市公司的董事会构成和公司绩效研究[J].中国工业经济,2001,5:48-53.
- [67]李增泉.激励机制与企业绩效一项基于上市公司的实证研究[J].会计研究,2000,1:21-28.
- [68]林志平.中国上市公司治理评级体系研究[J].证券市场导报,2004,6.
- [69]刘斌,刘星,李世新等. CEO薪酬与企业业绩互动效应的实证检验[J]. 会计研究,2003,3:35-39.
- [70]刘国亮,王加胜.上市公司股权结构、激励制度及绩效的实证研究[J].经济理论与经济管理,2000,5:40-45.
- [71]刘建秋,刘冬荣.会计合约安排与会计信息失真[J].财经研究,2004,6.
- [72]刘名旭. 监事会、公司治理与公司绩效 基于民营上市公司的研究[J]. 华东经济管理,2007,10:95-98.
- [73]刘芍佳,孙霈,刘乃全.终级产权论、股权结构及公司绩效[1].经济研究,2003,4:51-62.
- [74]刘银国.基于博弈分析的上市公司监事会研究[J].管理世界,2004,6.
- [75]刘英华,陈守东,那铭洋.经营绩效与高级管理层报酬和所持股份的灵敏度分析[J].工业技术经济,2003,1:119-121.

- [76]刘玉敏.我国上市公司董事会效率与公司绩效的实证研究[J].南开管理评论,2006,9(1):84-90.
- [77]罗积玉,邢英.经济统计分析方法及预测[M],北京:清华大学出版社,1987.
- [78]吕景峰.债权的作用与我国国有企业治理结构的改进[J].经济科学,1998,3.
- [79]马立平.层次分析法[J],北京统计,2000,7:38-39.
- [80]孟建民.中国企业绩效评价方法研究[M].北京:财政经济出版社,2001.
- [81]南开大学公司治理研究中心.中国公司治理评价报告(精要版)[M],2003.
- [82]南开大学公司治理研究中心公司治理评价课题组,李维安.中国上市公司治理指数与公司绩效的实证分析—基于中国1149家上市公司的研究[J].管理世界,2006,3.
- [83]南开大学公司治理研究中心公司治理评价课题组.中国上市公司治理指数与治理绩效的实证分析[J].管理世界,2004,2:63-74.
- [84]聂长海,姜秀华,杜煊君."一股独大"悖论:中国证券市场的经验证据[J].中国工业经济.2003.7:46-52.
- [85]潘炎,程小可.上市公司经营业绩的主成分评价方法[J].会计研究,2000,1:31-35.
- [86]裴武威.公司治理评价体系研究[J].证券市场导报,2001,9.
- [87]青木昌彦,钱颖一. 转轨经济中的公司治理结构[M],中国经济出版社,2001.
- [88]青木昌彦. 经济体制的比较制度分析[M]. 中国发展出版社,1999.
- [89]青木昌彦著,周黎安译.比较制度分析[M].上海远东出版社,2001.
- [90]申年焕,郑秋亚. 我国家族上市公司经营业绩的实证分析[]. 企业经济,2004,4.
- [91]申尊焕,牛振喜.关于股权结构与公司业绩相关性的实证分析一兼论实证研究结果的多样性[J].西北工业大学学报(社会科学版),2003,2.
- [92]沈维涛,黄兴李. 我国证券投资基金业绩的实证研究与评价[J]. 经济研究,2001,9.
- [93]沈维涛,王贞洁. 我国民营上市公司持续成长影响因素的实证研究[J]. 经济管理,2008,6.
- [94]沈维涛,叶晓铭. EVA对上市公司资本结构影响的实证研究[J]. 经济研究,2004,11.
- [95]沈维涛,叶晓铭.中国上市公司的EVA体系适用条件分析[J].农村金融研究,2003,12.
- [96]沈艺峰.ST公司董事会治理失败若干成因分析[J].证券市场导报.2002.3:21-25.
- [97]施东晖.股权结构、公司治理与绩效表现[J].世界经济,2000,12:37-44.
- [98]施东晖.转轨经济中的所有权与竞争:来自中国上市公司的经验证据[J].经济研究,2003,8:46-54.
- [99]宋增基,张宗益、上市公司经营者报酬与公司绩效实证研究[J]、重庆大学学报,2002,11:90-93、
- [100]孙敬水,孙金秀、我国上市公司监事会与公司绩效的实证检验[J].统计与决策,2005,2:64-65.
- [101]孙永祥,黄祖辉、上市公司的股权结构与绩效[J]、经济研究,1999,12:23-30.
- [102]孙永祥,章融.董事会规模、公司治理与绩效[J].企业经济,2000,10:13-15.
- [103]孙永祥,黄祖辉.上市公司的股权结构与绩效[J].经济研究,1999,12:45-53.
- [104]孙永祥,黄祖辉.上市公司的股权结构与绩效[J].经济研究,1999,12:45-53.
- [105]孙永祥.公司治理结构:理论与实证研究[M].上海:三联书店上海人民出版社,2003.
- [106]唐清泉.独立董事对报酬与风险的取向[J].财经理论与实践,2006,27(139):51-55.
- [107]唐跃军,李维安,谢仍明.大股东制衡机制对审计约束有效性的影响[J].会计研究,2006,7.
- [108]唐跃军,李维安.公司和谐、利益相关者治理与公司业绩[J].中国工业经济,2008,6.
- [109]唐跃军,谢仍明.大股东制衡机制与现金股利的隧道效应—来自1999 2003年中国上市公司的证据[J],南开经济研究,2006,1:60-78.
- [110]田春生. "内部人控制"与利益集团—中国与俄罗斯公司治理结构的一个实证分析[J]. 经济社会体制比较,2002,5.
- [111]万建华.利益相关者管理[M].深圳:海天出版社,1998.
- [112]王立彦,王婧,刘军霞.内部监控双轨制与公司则务信息质量保障—从案例解析看监事会制度和独立董事制度孰为有效[J],审计研究,2002,6:34-39.
- [113]王满四.负债融资的公司治理效应及其机制研究[D].浙江大学博士学位论文,硕博士论文网站

- [114]王韬,李梅.论股权泛化条件下的内部人控制[J].财贸经济,2005,2.
- [115]王小娥,赵守国.上市公司董事会结构实证研究[J].西北大学学报,2002,1:45-48.
- [116]魏刚. 高级管理层激励与上市公司经营绩效[J]. 经济研究,2000,3:32-39.
- [117]魏志华,王毅辉.基于公司治理视角的财务重述研究综述[J].外国经济与管理,2007,11.
- [118]吴敬琏.国有大中型企业公司化改革的难点及对策[N].经济日报,1995-2-26.
- [119]吴联生.企业会计信息违法性失真的责任合约安排[J].经济研究,2001,2.
- [120]吴淑琨.股权结构与公司绩效的U型关系研究.中国工业经济,2002,1.
- [121]吴淑琨,柏杰.董事长和总经理两职分离—中国上市公司实证分析[J].经济研究,1998,8:21-28.
- [122]吴淑琨,李有根.中国上市公司治理评价体系研究[J].证券市场导报,2002,10.
- [123]吴淑琨.董事长和总经理两职状态的实证检验[J].证券市场导报,2002,3:26-30.
- [124]吴翊,李永乐,胡庆军.应用数理统计[M].北京:国防科技大学出版社,1995.
- [125]夏冬林,过欣欣. 我国上市公司董事会效能状况研究[J]. 当代财经,2001,7:41-49.
- [126]夏立军,方轶强.政府控制、治理环境与公司价值—来自中国证券市场的经验证据[J].经济研究,2005,5:39-45.
- [127]肖作平.股权结构、资本结构与公司价值的实证研究[J].证券市场导报 2003,1:71-76.
- [128]徐晓东,陈小悦.第一大股东对公司治理、企业业绩的影响分析[1].经济研究,2003,3:64-74.
- [129]许树柏.实用决策方法—层次分析法原理[M].天津:天津大学出版社,1986.
- [130]薛许军,吴晓萍.我国上市公司经理层报酬激励机制研究—理论模型、经验数据及改进建议[J].当代经济科学,2005,27(7):79-84.
- [131]杨贺,柯大钢,马春爱.经理层持股与上市公司经营绩效相互作用机制研究[J].河北大学学报,2005,30(1):48-51.
- [132]叶银华,李存修,柯乘恩.公司治理与评级系统[M].北京:中国财政经济出版社,2003.
- [133]叶宗裕.关于多指标综合评价中指标正向化和无量纲化方法的选择[J].浙江统计,2003,4:24-25.
- [134]于东智,谷立日.公司的领导权结构与经营绩效[J].中国工业经济,2002,2:70-78.
- [135]于东智,谷立日.上市公司管理层持股的激励作用及影响因素[J].经济理论与经济管理,2001,9:24-30.
- [136]于东智,王化成.独立董事与公司治理:理论、经验与实践[J].会计研究,2003,8:8-13.
- [137]于东智.董事会规模、稳定性与公司绩效:理论与经验分析[J].经济研究,2004,4:70-80.
- [138]于东智.董事会行为、治理效率与公司绩效[J].管理世界,2001,2:200-203.
- [139]于东智.股权结构、治理效率与公司绩效[J].中国工业经济,2001,5:54-62.
- [140]余明桂,夏新平. 控股股东、代理问题余关联交易:对中国上市公司的实证研究[J]. 南开管理评论,2004,7:33-38.
- [141]袁国良,王怀芳,刘明.上市公司股权激励的实证分析及其相关问题.中国资本市场前沿理论研究文集[C].北京:社会科学文献出版社,2000.
- [142]张崇甫,陈述云,胡希铃.统计分析方法及其应用[M].重庆:重庆大学出版社,1995.
- [143]张春霖.企业组织与市场体制[M].上海:上海三联书店,1996.
- [144]张春霖. 国有企业改革中的企业家问题[J]. 中国书评,1996,5.
- [145]张大力.国内外公司治理评价的回顾与再评价[J].现代商贸工业,2008,8:24-25.
- [146]张俊瑞,赵进文,张建.高级管理层激励与上市公司经营绩效相关性的实证分析[J].会计研究,2003,9:29-34.
- [147]张俊喜.中国上市公司治理结构的实证研究[J].经济研究,2005,2.
- [148]张蕊.企业战略经营业绩评价指标体系研究[M].北京:中国财政经济出版社,2002.
- [149]张维迎.企业理论与中国企业改革[M].北京:北京大学出版社,1999.
- [150]赵增耀.董事会的构成与其职能发挥[J].管理世界,2002,3:125-129.
- [151]中国诚信证券评估有限公司.中国上市公司基本分析.北京:中国科学技术出版社,1997.
- [152]周星,沈维涛.上市公司缘何青睐股权融资[J].农村金融研究,2004,11.
- [153]周业安.金融抑制对中国企业融资能力影响的实证分析[J].经济研究,1999,2:13-20.

- [154]朱武祥,张帆.公司上市前后经营业绩变化的经验分析[J].世界经济,2001,11:11-18.
- [155]朱武祥.股权结构、公司治理与企业价值[J].清华大学中国经济研究中心学术论文,2002.
- [156]朱杏珍.董事会规模与效能探析[J].当代财经,2002,6:68-70.
- [157]朱治龙,曾德明,毛育辉等.中国上市公司绩效评价模型研究[J].证券市场导报,2003,12:46-51.
- [158]A. Ehrbar. Economic Value Added: The Real Key to Creating Wealth[J]. New York: John Wiley & Sons,Inc.,1998.
- [159] Adams, R., and D. Ferreira. Do directors perform for pay? [J]. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 2008, 46:164-171.
- [160] Aghion Philippe, Patrick Bolton . An Contracting Contracts Approach to Financia
- Contracting[J] . Review of Economic Studies,1992,59: 473-494.
- [161] Aghion, P and Bolton, P . An "Incomplete Contracts" Approach to Financial Contracting[J] . Review of Economic Studies, 1992 .
- [162] Agrawal A., and Knoeber Ch. R. Firm Performance and Mechanisms to Control Agency Problems between Managers and Shareholders [J]. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 1996, 31 (3): 377-397.
- [163] Agrawal, Knoeber . Firm Performance and Mechanisms to Control Agency Problems between Managers and Shareholders [J] . Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 1996, 9: 377-397 .
- [164] Ahern, K., and A. Dittmar. The Changing of the Boards: The Value Effect of a Massive Exogenous Shock[J]. Working paper, University of Michigan, 2009.
- [165]Barclay,M . J . ,& J . Clifford W . Smith . The Maturity Structure of Corporate Debt[J] . The Journal of Finance,1995,2 : 609-631 .
- [166]Bebchuk, L., A. Cohen, and A. Ferrell. What Matters in Corporate Governance? [J]. Review of Financial Studies, forthcoming, 2008.
- [167]Bebchuk,L.,Y. Grinstein,and U. Peyer. Lucky CEOs and Lucky Directors[J]. Journal of Finance,forthcoming,2009.
- [168]Bennedsen,M.,K. Nielsen,F. Perez-Gonzalez,and D. Wolfenzon. Inside the Family Firm: the Role of Families in Succession Decisions and Performance[J]. Quarterly Journal of Economics,2007,122: 647-691.
- [169]Berle, A . A . ,and G . C . Means . The Modern Corporation and Private Property[J] . New York : Mc-Millan Press,1932 .
- [170]Besanko,D. and Kanatas,G. . . Credit Market Equilibrium Bank Monitoring and Moral Hazard[J]. Review of Financial Studies,1993,1.
- [171]Bhagat S,B Black . The Uncertain Relationship between Board Composition and Firm Performance[J] . Business Lawyer,1997,54: 921-963.
- [172]Bizjak,J.,M. Lemmon,and L. Naveen. Does the Use of Peer Groups Contribute to Higher Pay and Less Efficient Compensation? [J]. Journal of Financial Economics,2008,90: 152-168.
- [173]Burkart M., P. Fausto. Agency Conflicts, Ownership Concentration, and Legal Shareholder Protection[J]. Working Paper, 2006.
- [174]Burns,N. and S. Kedia. The Impact of Performance-Based Compensation on Misreporting[J]. Journal of Financial Economics,2006. 79: 35-76.
- [175] C . Botosan . Disclosure Level and the Cost of Equity Capital [J] . The Accounting Review, 1997, 72 ( 3 ) : 323-349 .
- $[176] Cai, J.., J.. Garner, and R.. Walking. Electing Directors \\ [J]. Journal of Finance, for the coming, 2009.$
- [177] Cho, Myeong-Hyeon. Ownership Structure, Investment and the Corporate Value: an Empirical Analysis [J]. Journal of Financial Economies, 1998, 47: 103-121.
- [178]Claessens,S.,Djankov,S.,Fan,J. and Lang L.P.H. . Disentangling the Incentive and
- Entrenchment Effects of Large Shareholdings[J] . Journal of Finance, 2002, 57 (6) : 2741-2771 .
- [179] Claessens, S., Djankov, S. and Lang L. P. H. . The Separation of Ownership and Control in East

- Asia Corporations[J]. Journal of Financial Economics, 2000, 58 (6): 81-112.
- [180]Cohen,D.,A. Dey,and T. Lys. Real and Accrual-Based Earnings Management in the Pre- and Post-Sarbanes Oxley Periods[J]. The Accounting Review,2008,82:757-787.
- [181] Cronqvist, H., Fahlenbrach R. Large Shareholders and Corporate Policies [J]. Review of Financial Studies, forthcoming, 2009.
- [182] David, L. D. . . A Theory of Ambiguous Property Rights: the Case of the Chinese Non-State Sector[J]. Journal of Comparative Economics, 1996, 1: 1-19.
- [183] Del Guercio, D., L. Seery, and T. Woidtke. Do Boards Pay Attention When Institutional Investor Activists "Just Vote No"? [J]. Journal of Financial Economics, 2008, 90: 84 103.
- [184] Demsetz, H., and B. Villalonga. Ownership Structure and Corporate Performance [J]. Working Paper of Social Science Research Network, 2001.
- [185] Demsetz, H. and K. Lehn. The Structure of Corporate Ownership: Causeand Consequences [J]. Journal of Political Economy, 1985, 93: 1155-1177.
- [186] Demsetz, H. . . The Structure of Ownership and Theory of the Firm [J]. Journal of Law and Economics, 1983, 26: 375-390.
- [187] Diamond, D . W . . Debt Maturity Structure and Liquidity Risk[J] . Quarterly Journal of Economics, 1991 .
- [188] Donaldson . L . J . H . Davis . Stewardship Theory or Agency Theory : CEO Governance and Shareholder Returns[J] . Australian Journal of Management, 1991, 16 : 49-64 .
- [189]Faccio,M. and Lang,L. H. P. . The Ultimate Ownership of Western European Corporations[J]. Journal of Financial Economics,2002,65: 365-396.
- [190]G . B . Stewart . The Quest for Value[M] . New York : Harper Business,1991 .
- [191] Gerard Caprio, Jr. and Ash Demirgil-Kunt. The Role of Long-Term Finance: Theory and Evidence [R]. The World Bank Research Observer, 1998, 13 (2): 171-189.
- [192] Giroud, X., and H. Mueller. Corporate Governance, Product Market Competition, and Equity Prices [J]. Working Paper. New York University, 2008.
- [193] Gompers, P., J. Ishii, and A. Metrick. Corporate Governance and Equity Prices [J]. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 2003, 118: 107-155.
- [194] Graham, J. Hazarika, S., and Narasimhan, K. . . Corporate Governance, Debt, and Investment Policy during the Great Depression [J]. Working paper. Duke University, 2008.
- [195] H . Demsetz . The Structure of Ownership and the Theory of the Firm[J] . Journal of Law and Economics, 1983, 26:375-390 .
- [196] Harford, J., S. Mansi, and W. Maxwell. Corporate Governance and Firm Cash Holdings in the US[J]. Journal of Financial Economics, 2008, 87: 535-555.
- [197] Hart, O. and Moore, J. . . A Theory of Debt Based on the Inalienability of Human Capital [J] . Quarterly, Journal of Economics, 1994.
- [198] Hart, O. and Moore, J. . Debt and Seniority: An Analysis of the Role of Hard Claims in Constraining Management [J]. American Economic Review, 1995.
- [199] Hennes, K., A. Leone, and B. Miller. The Importance of Distinguishing Errors from Irregularities in Restatement Research: the Case of Restatements and CEO/CFO Turnover[J]. The Accounting Review, 2008. 83: 1487-1519.
- [200] Hermalin, Weisbach . The Determinants Of Board Composition [J] . Rand Journal of Economics, 1988, 19: 589-606.
- [201] Himmelberg, C. P. R., G. Hubbard and D. Palia. Understanding the Determinants of Managerial Ownership and the Link between Ownership and Performance [J]. Journal of Financial Economics, 1999, 53: 353-384.
- [202] Himmelberg, C. P., R. G. Hubbard and I. Love. Investor Protection, Ownership, and the Cost of Capital [J]. Working Paper of World Bank, 2002.

- [203] Homstrom, B. and Tirole, J. . Liquidity and Risk Management [J]. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 2000, 3.
- [204] Homstrom, B. and Tirole, J. . Marketing Liquidity and Performance Monitoring [J] . Journal of Political Economy, 1993, 4.
- [205] Huang, C . The Separation of Ownership and Control in the Chinese Stock Markets[J] . Working Paper, 2004 .
- [206] Jean-Jacques Laffont, Jean-Charles Rochet . Collusion in Organizations [J] . Scand . J . of Economics, 1997, 99 (4) .
- [207]Jean-Jacques Laffont, Mathieu Meleu . Reciprocal Super-vision, Collusion and Organazational Design[J] . Scand . J . of Economics, 1997, 99 (4) .
- [208] Jensen, M. C., and W. H. Meckling. Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Owner-ship Structure, Journal of Financial Economics, 1976, 3: 305-360.
- [209] Jensen . The Modern Industrial Revolution, Exit and Failure of internal Control Systems [J] . The Journal of Finance, 1993, 3 : 831-880 .
- [210] Jenter, D., and F. Kanaan. CEO Turnover and Relative Performance Evaluation [J]. Working paper, Stanford University and MIT, 2008.
- [211] Johnson, S., La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., and Shleifer . Tunneling [J] . American Economic Review, 2000, 90: 22-27.
- [212]Kaplan,S.,M. Klebanov,and M. Sorensen. Which CEO Characteristics and Abilities Matter[J]. Working Paper,University of Chicago,2008.
- [213]Karuna, C. Industry Product Market Competition and Managerial Incentives [J]. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 2007, 43: 275-297.
- [214] Khorana, A., H. Servaes, and L. Wedge. Portfolio Manager Ownership and Fund Performance. Journal of Financial Economics, 2007, 85: 179-204.
- [215]Kim,C.S.,D.C. Mauer,& M. H. Stohs. Corporate Debt Maturity Policy and Investor Tax-Timing Options: Theory and Evidence[J]. Financial Management,1995,24 (1): 33-45.
- [216] Klein, A. Audit Committee, Board of Director Characteristics, and Earnings Management [J]. Journal of Accounting Economics, 2002, 33:375-400.
- [217] Klein . Firm Performance and Committee Stucture [J] . Journal of Law and Economics, 2000, 4:275-303 .
- [218]La Ports,R., Lopez-de-Silanes,F., Shleifer,A., Vishny,R... Investor Protection and Corporate Governance[J]. Journal of Financial Economics, 2000a, 58: 3-27.
- [219]La Porta,Rafael,Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes,Andrei Shleifer,and Robert W. Vishny. Investor Protection and Corporate Valuation[J]. NBER Working paper 1999b,7403.
- [220]La Ports,R., Lopez-de-Silanes,F., Shleifer,A... Corporate Ownership around the World[J]. Journal of Finance,1999. 54: 471-517.
- [221]La Ports,R., Lopez-de-Silanes,F., Shleifer,A., Vishny,R... Agency Problems and Dividend Policies around the World[J]. Journal of Finance,2000b,55: 1-33.
- [222]La Ports,R., Lopez-de-Silanes,F., Shleifer,A., Vishny,R... Investor Protection and Corporate Valuation[J]. Journal of Finance,2002,57: 1147-1170.
- [223]La Ports,R., Lopez-de-Silanes,F., Shleifer,A., Vishny,R.. Law and Finance[J]. Journal of Political Economy,1998,106: 1113-1155.
- [224] La Ports, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., Vishny, R. . Legal Determinants of External Finance [J] . Journal of Finance, 1997, 52: 1131-1150.
- $\label{lem:constraint} \begin{tabular}{ll} [225] La Ports, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., Vishny, R., The Quality of Government [J]. Journal of Law, Economics and Organization, 1999, 15: 222-279. \\ \end{tabular}$
- <code>[226]Laeven,L</code> . ,Levine,R . . Complex Ownership Structure and Corporate Valuations[J] . Review of Financial Studies, 2008, 21:579-604 .

- [227]LaFond,R., and S. Roychowdhury. Managerial Ownership and Accounting Conservatism[J]. Journal of Accounting Research, 2008, 46: 101-135.
- $\label{lem:condition} \begin{tabular}{ll} [228] Larcker, D., S. Richardson, and I. Tuna. Corporate Governance, Accounting Outcomes, and Organizational Performance [J]. The Accounting Review, 2007, 82:963-1008. \end{tabular}$
- [229]Lemmon,M., Lins,K., Ownership Structure,Corporate Governance,and Firm Value: Evidence from the East Asian Financial Crisis[J]. Journal of Finance,2003,58: 1445-1468.
- [230] Linck, J., J. Netter, and T. Yang. The Determinants of Board Structure [J]. Journal of Financial Economics, 2008, 87: 308-328.
- [231] Lipton, lorsch . A Modest Proposal for Improved Corporate Governance [J] . Business Lawyer, 1992, 4:59-77 .
- [232]Loderer C., Martin K.. Exeutive Stock Ownership and Performance: Tracking Faint Traces[J]. Journal of Financial Economies, 1997: 223-255.
- [233] Lowry, M . , and K . Murphy . Executive Stock Options and IPO Underpricing [J] . Journal of Financial Economics, 2007, 85 : 39-65 .
- [234]McCahery,J.,Z. Sautner,and L. Starks. Behind the Scenes: The Corporate Governance Preferences of Institutional Investors[J]. Working paper,University of Amsterdam and University of Texas at Austin,2009.
- [235]McConnell, J. J., and H. Servaes. Additional Evidence on Equity Ownership and Corporate Value[J]. Journal of Financial Economics, 1990, 27: 595-612.
- [236]McConnell,J. J., and H. Servaes . Equity Ownership and Two Faces of Deb[J] . Journal of Financial Economics,1995,39: 139-157.
- [237]McConnell, J. J., H. Servaes and K. V. Lins. Changes in Equity Ownership and Changes in the Market Value of the Firm [J]. Working Paper, 2003.
- [238] Michael C. Jensen and Kevin J. Murphy [J]. Harvard Business Review, 1990, 3: 138-153.
- [239] Mitchell, Karlyn. The Call, Sinking Fund and Term-To-Maturity Features of Corporate Bonds: An Empirical Investigation [J]. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 1991, 26 (2): 201-222.
- [240] Mitchell, Karlyn . The Debt Maturity Choice : An Empirical Investigation [J] . Journal of Financial Research, 1993, 16 (4) : 309-320.
- [241] Morek R., Andrei Shleifer, Robert Vishny. Management Ownership and Market Valuation: an Empirical Analysis [J]. Journal of Financial Economies, 1988 (20): 293-315.
- [242] Morris, J. R. On Corporate Debt Maturity Strategies [J]. Journal of Finance, 1976, 31 (1): 29-37.
- [243] O . Hart, J . Moore . Default an Renegotiation : A Dynamic Model of Debt[J] . The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 1998, 113 ( 1 )  $\pm$  1-41 .
- [244]Pfeffer . Size, Composition and Function of Hospital Boards of Directors : A Study of Organization-Environment Linkage[J] . Administrative Science Quarterly, 1973, 17 : 218-229 .
- [245]Piston K . Patterns of Legal Change: Shareholder and Creditor Rights in Transition
- Economies[J] . European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, Working Paper, 2000, 49 .
- $\cite{Mathematics}$  [246] Piston, K . The Demand for Constitutional Law[J] . Constitutional Political Economy, 2002 . 13: 73-87 .
- [247] Pistor, K. Yoram Keinan, Jan. K, Mark West. The Evolution of Corporate Law: A Cross-Country Comparison [J]. Journal of International Economic Law, 2003, 23 (4): 791-871.
- [248] R Stulz . Managerial Discretion and Optimal Financial Policies[J] . Journal of Financial Economics, 1990, 26 : 3-27 .
- [249]Rechner, Dalton . CEO Duality and Organizational Performance : A Longitudinal Analysis[J] . Strategic Management Journal, 1991, 2 : 155-160 .
- [250]Robert S. Kaplan and David P. Norton. The Balanced Scorecard Translating Strategy into Action [M]. Boston, Massachusetts: Harvard Business School Press, 2000.
- [251]S. Gillan, J. Hartzell, and R. Parrino. Explicit vs. Implicit Contracts: Evidence from CEO

Employment Agreements[J] . Journal of Finance (forthcoming),2009 .

[252]S. Claessens. Ownership and Corporate Governance: Evidence from the Czech Republic[J]. World Bank Policy Research, Working Paper, 1997.

[253] Shleifer, Andrei, and Robert Vishny . A Survey of Corporate Governance [J] . Journal of Finance, 1997, 52: 737-783 .

[254] Shleifer, A., and R. Vishny. Large Shareholders and Corporate Control [J]. Journal of Political Economy, 1986.94:461-488.

[255]Stewart C. Myers,Stuart M. Turnbull. Capital Budgeting and the Capital Asset Pricing Model: Good News and Bad News[J]. The Journal of Finance,1977,32 (2): 321 – 333.

[256]Stohs,M . H . & D . C . Mauer . The Determinants of Corporate Debt Maturity Structure[J] . The Journal of Business,1996,69 (3): 279-312.

[257]Sundaram,R., and D. Yermack. Pay Me Later: Inside Debt and Its Role in Managerial Compensation[J]. Journal of Finance, 2007. 62: 1551-1588.

[258] Tenev, S., C., Zhang, L., Brefort. Corporate Governance and Enterprise Reform in China: Building the Institutions of Modern Markets [M]. World Bank and the International Finance Corporation, 2002.

[259] Tian, L. H. Government Shareholding and the Value of China's Modern Firms [J]. William Davidson, Working Paper, 2001.

[260] Volpin, Paolo F., Governance with Poor Investor Protection: Evidence from Top Executive Turnover in Italy[J]. Journal of Financial Economics, 2002, 64: 61-90.

[261] Weisbach . Outside Directors and CEO Turnover[J] . Journal of Financial Economics, 1988, 20 : 431-460 .

[262] Wu lili . I Shrunk the Board[J] . Journal of management Studies, 2000, 10 : 21-32 .

[263] Xu Xiaonian, and Wang Yan . Ownership Structure, Corporate Governance, and Corporate

Performance: The Case of Chinese Stock Companies[J]. Yale School of Management's Economics Research Network, Working Paper, 1997.

[264] Yermaek . High Market Valuation of Companies with a Small Board of Directors[J] . Journal of Financial Economies, 1996, 14: 185-211 .

Degree papers are in the "Xiamen University Electronic Theses and Dissertations Database". Full texts are available in the following ways:

- 1. If your library is a CALIS member libraries, please log on <a href="http://etd.calis.edu.cn/">http://etd.calis.edu.cn/</a> and submit requests online, or consult the interlibrary loan department in your library.
- 2. For users of non-CALIS member libraries, please mail to etd@xmu.edu.cn for delivery details.

