

学校编码: 10384

分类号 _____ 密级 _____

学 号: B200311028

UDC _____

厦门大学

博士 学 位 论 文

基于受托责任理论的内部审计若干问题研究

A Study on Internal Auditing Based on Accountability Theory

瞿 曲

指导教师姓名: 王光远 教授

专业名称: 会 计 学

论文提交日期: 2006 年 4 月

论文答辩时间: 2006 年 6 月

学位授予日期: 2006 年 月

答辩委员会主席: _____

评 阅 人: _____

2006 年 4 月

厦门大学学位论文原创性声明

兹呈交的学位论文，是本人在导师指导下独立完成的研究成果。本人在论文写作中参考的其他个人或集体的研究成果，均在文中以明确方式标明。本人依法享有和承担由此论文产生的权利和责任。

声明人（签名）：

年 月 日

厦门大学学位论文著作权使用声明

本人完全了解厦门大学有关保留、使用学位论文的规定。厦门大学有权保留并向国家主管部门或其指定机构送交论文的纸质版和电子版，有权将学位论文用于非赢利目的的少量复制并允许论文进入学校图书馆被查阅，有权将学位论文的内容编入有关数据库进行检索，有权将学位论文的标题和摘要汇编出版。保密的学位论文在解密后适用本规定。

本学位论文属于

1、保密（），在 年解密后适用本授权书。

2、不保密（）

（请在以上相应括号内打“√”）

作者签名： 日期： 年 月 日

导师签名： 日期： 年 月 日

厦门大学博硕士论文摘要库

摘要

世界范围内的治理改革使内部审计成为关注焦点，内部审计本质上属于受托责任的问题。本文综述了当前受托责任理论研究的最新成果，提出一个适用于分析会计审计具体问题的概念框架；以受托责任的发展为主线，为认识内部审计性质的演变提供丰富的历史背景，有力支持了“受托责任支配内部审计的发展”这一论点；明确作为制度安排的公司治理是受托责任系统的控制机制，内部审计则是确保受托责任履行的内部治理机制。

全文共四章，第一章综述多领域学者对受托责任理论的研究并建立概念框架。早期的会计审计学者主要关心的是受托责任的信息和报告方面，后期的研究则大量吸取社会心理学、组织理论、社会学理论等营养，更多地考虑社会情境、人的行为等因素。此外，我们提出了一个包括受托责任的社会与组织场景、受托责任参与者、受托责任内容、受托责任行为、受托责任控制机制的受托责任系统概念，为后面章节的分析奠定概念基础。

第二章追溯了内部审计性质的发展，分析各阶段的历史背景，揭示受托责任系统的发展主导着内部审计性质的变迁。我们强调：组织管理结构的变化直接影响组织受托责任关系结构与内容，对内部审计产生了重大影响；内部受托责任和外部受托责任都影响内部审计性质的变化，特别是外部法律约束影响颇大；以管理学为代表的诸多学科理论的发展也影响着 IIA 对内部审计性质的界定。

第三章考察了公司治理与受托责任间的关系。通过分析公司治理的涵义、理论基础、治理机制与受托责任的相互影响以及公司治理评价标准，我们认为，公司治理是受托责任系统中的控制机制，其最终目的是确保受托责任的履行。本章为讨论内部审计与公司治理关系明确了几个基本观念。此外，通过回顾英国公司治理准则的改革历程，揭示了一个以受托责任为导向的不断完善和发展的过程。

第四章以受托责任理论为基础，考察了内部审计在公司治理中的所扮演的角色。通过回顾已有研究，考察内部审计的基本治理活动，以及与其他治理主

体的关系，我们认为，内部审计是确保受托责任履行的一种内部治理机制，是其他治理主体一种极具价值的资源，内部审计对治理程序的确认是内部控制评价的延伸。此外，我们还区分了内部审计在治理与管理中的不同作用，强调内部审计应充分发挥协调作用，恰当处理好复杂的报告关系。

关键词：受托责任；内部审计；公司治理

Abstract

Internal Auditing (IA) has become the focus of attention with the development of corporate governance reform all over the world. Essentially IA falls into the category of accountability. By reviewing the latest researches on accountability theory, the present author constructs a conceptual framework which can be used to explain and analyze issues in accounting and auditing. With the development of accountability as the main thread, the present dissertation provides rich background knowledge for understanding the development of IA's nature, which also serves as a solid basis for the assumption that 'the development of accountability leads to the development (change) of IA'. What's more, this study clearly explains that corporate governance (CG) is a control mechanism of accountability system, and IA is an internal CG mechanism for ensuring the implementation of accountability.

This dissertation consists of four chapters:

Chapter 1 puts forward a conceptual framework by reviewing literature from several disciplines. The early scholars in accounting and auditing mainly focused their attention on information and report aspects of accountability. However, by absorbing knowledge from social psychology, organizational theory, and sociology, latter researches have paid more attention on factors like social setting, human behavior. Furthermore, a framework of accountability system including social and organizational setting, participants, contents, behavior and monitoring mechanisms is designed, which lays the foundation for other chapters' analysis.

Chapter 2 retrospect to the evolution of IA's nature, and examines every stage's historical background, revealing that the development of accountability system determines the changes of IA's nature. Particularly, the changes of corporate managerial structure directly influence the structures and contents of accountability

relationships, which have a substantial effect on IA. In addition, both internal accountability and external accountability exert influence on the change of IA's nature, especially that of the external legal regulations. And theories of other disciplines, such as business management, also have effects on the way that IA's nature has been defined.

Chapter 3 explores the relationship between CG and accountability. Through the analysis of the meaning of CG, theoretical basis, the interaction between governance mechanisms and accountability, and review standards, it is assumed that CG is a control mechanism for ensuring the implementation of accountability. In addition, several basic concepts for examining the relationship between IA and CG are explained in this chapter. Besides, by reviewing the development of CG standards reforms in the U.K., an accountability-oriented process is revealed.

Chapter 4 focuses on the role IA plays in CG on the basis of accountability theory. By reviewing IA literature, examining the fundamental governance activities of IA as well as the relationship between IA function and other governance parties, it is concluded that IA is an internal governance mechanism for ensuring the implementation of accountability. Also it is a valuable resource for other governance parties, and reviewing governance processes and risk management is the derivation of control assurance. Furthermore, it is stressed that it is important to differentiate the role IA plays in CG from that in corporate management. And internal auditors should serve as coordinators who should learn to deal with many kinds of possible complicated reporting relationships properly.

Key Words: Accountability; Internal auditing; Corporate governance

目录

导 论.....	1
第一章 公司受托责任理论研究述评	6
一、受托责任的词源分析	7
二、会计审计学界对受托责任的传统研究	8
(一) 受托责任概念界定.....	8
(二) 受托责任的内容与形式.....	9
三、社会心理学界对受托责任的研究	11
(一) 受托责任的定义.....	12
(二) 研究内容与方法简介.....	12
四、受托责任研究的拓展：心理学理论、组织理论、社会学理论的引入 ..	13
(一) 会计审计学者研究的拓展.....	14
1. 受托责任概念界定.....	14
2. 受托责任内容与形式研究的拓展	18
3. 受托责任行为的研究	21
(二) 组织行为学学者的研究.....	23
1. 受托责任的性质与概念	23
2. 角色理论与受托责任框架	24
3. 受托责任内容、形式、行为的研究	27
(三) 其他领域学者的研究.....	28
五、评论与进一步的分析	30
(一) 关于对各学科理论的借鉴.....	30
1. 社会心理学.....	31
2. 组织理论.....	32
3. 社会学理论	33
(二) 受托责任概念的再分析.....	34
(三) 受托责任理论与委托代理理论.....	37
第二章 受托责任的发展与内部审计性质的演变.....	41
一、IIA 成立前的内部审计	42
(一) 业务审计与财务审计的并行.....	42

(二) 背景分析.....	43
二、20世纪40、50年代	44
(一) IIA 的成立与第1号“内部审计师责任说明书”	44
(二) 第2号“内部审计师责任说明书”	46
三、20世纪70年代到80年代初	48
(一) 第3号“内部审计师责任说明书”及其背景分析.....	48
(二)《内部审计职业实务准则》的诞生与第5号“内部审计责任说明 书”	51
1. 概况.....	51
2.《内部审计职业实务准则》背景分析.....	52
3. 第5号“内部审计责任说明书”的背景分析.....	52
四、20世纪末、21世纪初	60
(一) 内部审计的新定义.....	60
(二) 背景分析.....	62
1. 外包与增值：管理理论的影响	62
2. 内部审计范围扩展的背景分析	64
五、启示	70
第三章 公司治理：受托责任理论视角的解读.....	73
一、研究回顾	73
二、公司治理：受托责任系统中的控制机制	78
(一) 公司治理的涵义.....	78
1. 公司治理是一套制度安排	79
2. 公司治理涉及的受托责任关系	79
3. 公司治理不同于公司管理	81
4. 几点认识.....	83
(二) 公司治理的理论基础、治理机制和公司治理的评价标准.....	84
1. 公司治理的理论基础	84
2. 公司治理机制	86
3. 公司治理的评价标准	90
三、受托责任导向的公司治理准则改革：以英国为例	94
(一) 安然事件前：报告责任由强转缓，争议不断.....	94
(二) 安然事件后：报告责任继续加强.....	98
(三) 启示.....	100

四、结语	101
第四章 内部审计：确保受托责任履行的治理机制.....	102
一、公司治理改革的浪潮将内部审计推向前沿	102
二、学术研究进展与实务状况调查	104
(一) 学术研究进展.....	105
(二) 实务状况调查.....	108
三、公司治理与内部审计：受托责任理论为基础的分析	110
(一) 基本概念界定.....	111
1. 公司治理：确保受托责任系统有效运行的制度安排	111
2. 内部审计：受托责任系统中的控制机制	111
(二) 受托责任观下，内部审计是一种内部治理机制.....	112
四、治理主体中的内部审计	114
(一) 内部审计基本治理活动：风险监控和控制确认.....	115
(二) 服务于其他治理主体.....	117
(三) “服务于二主”：内部审计的协调作用.....	119
(四) “服务于二主”：内部审计的报告关系	120
(五) 内部审计与外部审计的关系.....	123
五、内部审计对治理程序的确认	124
(一) 治理程序、风险管理评价：内部控制评价的延伸.....	124
(二) 治理程序成为评价对象的理论分析.....	127
六、结语	129
参考文献.....	130

厦门大学博硕士论文摘要库

Contents

Introduction.....	1
Chapter 1 A Review on Corporate Accountability Literature	6
I. The Etymon of Accountability.....	7
II. Traditional Research in Accounting and Auditing.....	8
Defining Accountability.....	8
Contents and Forms	9
III. Research in Social Psychology.....	11
Definition	12
Contents and Methods.....	12
IV. Expansion: Introduction of Psychological, Organizational and sociological Theories.....	13
Development in Accounting and Auditing.....	14
Definitions.....	14
Expansion of Researches on Contents and Forms	18
Researches on Accountability Behavior	21
Research in Organizational Behavior	23
The Nature and Definition of Accountability	23
Role Theory and a Framework for Accountability	24
Explosion on Contents, Forms and Behavior	27
Other Researches	28
V. Review and Analysis	30
Implications from Various Disciplines.....	30
Social Psychology	31
Organizational Theory	32
Sociology	33
A Conceptual Framework for Accountability	34
Accountability Theory and Agency Theory.....	37

Chapter 2 The Changes of the Nature of Internal Auditing and the Development of Corporate Accountability	41
I. Internal Auditing' nature before IIA's Existence	42
The Coexistence of Operational Auditing and Financial Auditing	42
Background	43
II. 1940s and 1950s.....	44
IIA's Establishment and <i>SRIA No.1</i>	44
<i>SRIA No.2</i>	46
III. 1970s and 1980s	48
<i>SRIA No.3</i> and its Background.....	48
<i>Standard</i> and <i>SRIA No.5</i>	51
Introduction.....	51
<i>Standard</i> 's Background	52
<i>SRIA No.5</i> 's Backgroud.....	52
IV. 1990s and Beyond.....	60
The New Definition of Internal Auditing.....	60
Background	62
Outsource and Add Value: Influence from Management Theories	62
Expansion of Intenal Auditing's Scope	64
V. Implicaitons.....	70

Chapter 3 Corporate Governance: An Understanding Based on Accountability Theory	73
I. Researches Review.....	73
II. Corporate Governance: A Control Mechanism of Accountability System... 	78
The Meaning of Corporate Governance	78
Institutional Arrangement	79
Accountability Relationships Involved in Corporate Governance	79
Differences between Governance and Management.....	81

Implications.....	83
Theoretical Basis of Governance, Governance Mechanisms and Review Standards.....	84
Theoretical Basis.....	84
Corporate Governance Mechanisms and Accountability.....	86
Review Standards and Accountability	90
III. Accountability-Oriented Corporate Governance Reforms: A Study on the U.K.	94
Accountability before the Enron Scandal: From Strong to Weak.....	94
Accountability after the Enron Scandal: More regulations.....	98
Implications.....	100
IV. Conclusion	101

Chapter 4 Internal Auditing: A Governance Mechanism for Securing Accountability	102
I. Reforms of Governance Thrusts Internal Auditing into the Forefront.....	102
II. Academic Researches and Practice Investigation	104
Academic Researches	105
Practice Investigation.....	108
III. Corporate Governance and Internal Auditing: An Analysis from Accountability Perspective.....	110
Basic Concepts.....	111
Corporate Governance: Institutional Arrangement for securing Accountability.....	111
Internal Auditing: A Control Mechanism of Accountability System	111
Internal Auditing: An Internal Governance Mechanism.....	112
IV. Internal Auditing: One of the Governance Parties	114
Primary Governance Activities: Risk Monitoring and Control Assurance	115
Serving Other Governance Parties.....	117
Serving Two Masters: Coordination Function of IAF	119

Serving Two Masters: Reporting relationships of IAF	120
Relationship between IAF and External Auditing.....	123
V. Reviewing Governance Processes.....	124
Reviewing Governance Processes and Risk Management: Expansion of Control Assurance	124
Reviewing Governance Processes: Theoretical Analysis.....	127
VI. Conclusion.....	129
References	130

Degree papers are in the "[Xiamen University Electronic Theses and Dissertations Database](#)". Full texts are available in the following ways:

1. If your library is a CALIS member libraries, please log on <http://etd.calis.edu.cn/> and submit requests online, or consult the interlibrary loan department in your library.
2. For users of non-CALIS member libraries, please mail to etd@xmu.edu.cn for delivery details.

厦门大学博硕士论文摘要库