

In situ Raman Spectroscopic Study of Oxygen Adspecies on a Th-La-O_x Catalyst for Methane Oxidative Coupling Reaction

Yu-Da Liu,* Hong-Bin Zhang, Guo-Dong Lin, Yuan-Yan Liao and K. R. Tsai

Department of Chemistry & State Key Laboratory for Physical Chemistry of the Solid Surface, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

The superoxide adspecies O₂⁻ is identified by *in situ* Raman spectroscopy on a functioning Th-La-O_x catalyst for methane oxidative coupling reaction at 680–860 °C.

Methane oxidative coupling (MOC) has the potential to form ethane and ethene from natural gas and a large number of catalysts for the reaction have been reported. The oxygen species such as O₂⁻, O₂²⁻ and O⁻ involved on catalyst surfaces responsible for the initial methane activation step is of great interest.^{1–6} To gain further insight into the nature of the surface oxygen species and their role in the activation of methane, *in situ* spectroscopic study at the actual reaction conditions is more helpful. Recently, peroxide adspecies O₂²⁻ was reported to be identified *in situ* on La₂O₃, Na⁺-La₂O₃, Sr²⁺-La₂O₃ and Ba²⁺-MgO catalysts.^{7,8} In the present work, *in situ* laser Raman spectroscopy has been used to characterize the surface oxygen species on a working Th-La-O_x MOC catalyst at 680–860 °C.

The Th-La-O_x (Th:La = 10:1.5, mol ratio) catalyst was prepared by drying (160 °C, 10 h) and calcination (880 °C, 4 h) of a freshly coprecipitated mixture of carbonate and hydroxide. The Th-La-O_x was a very active catalyst for the MOC reaction. Under cofeed reaction conditions of CH₄/O₂ = 4/1 (mol ratio), GHSV = 6.0 × 10⁴ h⁻¹, atmospheric pressure and 740 °C, a C₂-hydrocarbons yield of 14.6% with C₂-hydrocarbons selectivity of 55.8% was obtained over the catalyst.

Raman spectra were recorded using a Jobin-Yvon U-1000 Raman spectrometer with argon laser (488.0 nm line, 200 mW) as excitation source; slit width settings corresponded to a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and 36 scans were accumulated. A high temperature controlled-atmosphere cell⁹ was used to obtain *in situ* spectra on the working Th-La-O_x catalyst; the particle size




Fig. 1 *In situ* Raman spectra of the Th-La-O_x catalyst at 740 °C. (a) Spectrum taken 1 h after switching the gas flow from CH₄/O₂ (4:1, mol ratio) to O₂. (b) In a gas flow of CO₂. (c) In a gas flow of CO₂/H₂O(g) (92:8 mol ratio).




Fig. 2 *In situ* Raman spectra of the working Th-La-O_x MOC catalyst in a stream of CH₄/O₂ (4:1, mol ratio) mixture with total gas flow rate of 150 ml min⁻¹, at 1 atm. and the reaction temperature of (a) 860 °C, (b) 800 °C, (c) 740 °C and (d) 680 °C


of the catalyst sample being 50–80 mesh and weight about 1.0 g.

Fig. 1(a) shows the *in situ* Raman spectrum of the Th-La-O_x at 740 °C in a flow of O₂ taken 1 h after switching the gas flow from CH₄/O₂ (4/1) mixture. A pair of bands at 1060 and 1046 cm⁻¹ were clearly observed. These bands can be assigned to surface carbonate species CO₃²⁻.¹⁰ It is noteworthy that no band assignable to dioxygen adspecies was observed under these conditions.

CO₂ and H₂O are the products of the MOC reaction. To test whether adsorption of CO₂ and H₂O on the Th-La-O_x may cause the assignment confused with the Raman band of dioxygen adspecies, a spectrum was recorded when the sample was treated in a flow of CO₂ or CO₂/H₂O(g), respectively. The results show that the adsorption of CO₂ or CO₂/H₂O(g) only cause the increasing band intensity of CO₃²⁻.

Fig. 2 exhibits a series of *in situ* spectra of the working Th-La-O_x catalyst at reaction temperatures of 860, 800, 740 and 680 °C, respectively, under cofeed MOC reaction conditions. Raman bands which can be clearly resolved are those at 1060, 1046 and 1140 cm⁻¹. The very strong bands at 1060 and 1046 cm⁻¹ together with a number of shoulders on these bands are attributed to surface carbonate species CO₃²⁻ [shown only in Fig. 2(a)]. The band observed at 1140 cm⁻¹ can be ascribed to the O–O stretching mode for superoxide species O₂⁻ on the surface of the Th-La-O_x catalyst. This band position is consistent with those of superoxide adspecies observed on other oxides,^{11–13} and with those of the superoxide ligand reported in the matrixes and complexes.¹⁴ It can be clearly observed that the relative intensity of the Raman band at 1140 cm⁻¹ increased with decreasing reaction temperature. The intensity of the 1140 cm⁻¹ band was also found to enhance with increasing O₂ concentration in the feed.

Generally speaking, adsorbed oxygen species transform on the surface of metal oxide catalysts according to eqn. (1).^{15,16}



O₂⁻ adspecies may form from a chemisorbed O₂ by acquiring an electron from the metal oxide. The reason that no Raman band of dioxygen adspecies was observed in Fig. 1(a) may be that the charged dioxygen adspecies could not be formed easily on the Th-La-O_x surface due to weak electron donation of the surface under this condition—CH₄-free flowing O₂-stream. This result is consistent with the work of C. Li *et al.* They found that no IR signal corresponding to O₂²⁻ or O₂⁻ adspecies on cerium oxide could be detected at the temperature of 420 K under the pure O₂ atmosphere.¹³ However,

when CH₄ was cofed with O₂ to pass the catalyst, the catalyst surface may be partially reduced by CH₄, then adsorbed O₂ can gain an electron easily from the surface and transform into O₂⁻ adspecies. It has been reported that O₂⁻ was able to activate methane at the MOC reaction temperature.^{4,17} Thus, the O₂⁻ formed primarily may subsequently take part in methane activation step or transform further to O₂²⁻ adspecies *via* gaining an electron again from the surface. The results of the present work also indicate that, under the reaction temperature between 680 and 880 °C, the steady concentration of O₂²⁻ species, whose Raman signal is usually expected to appear in the region of 750–900 cm⁻¹, on the surface of functioning Th-La-O_x MOC catalyst is below the detectable limit of the Raman spectroscopy.

Received, 26th April 1994; Com. 4/02475C

References

- 1 D. J. Driscoll, W. Martir, J.-X. Wang and J. H. Lunsford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 58.
- 2 J.-X. Wang and J. H. Lunsford, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1986, **90**, 3890.
- 3 J.-L. Dubois, M. Bisiaux, H. Mimoun and C. J. Cameron, *Chem. Lett.*, 1990, 967.
- 4 Y. Osada, S. Koike, T. Fukushima and S. Ogasawara, *Appl. Catal.*, 1990, **59**, 59.
- 5 C. Louis, T. L. Chang, M. Kermarec, T. L. Van, J. M. Tailbouet and M. Che, *Catal. Today*, 1992, **13**, 283.
- 6 D. Dissanayake, J. H. Lunsford and M. J. Rosynek, *J. Catal.*, 1993, **143**, 286.
- 7 J. H. Lunsford, in *New Frontiers in Catalysis*, eds. L. Guzzi, F. Solymosi and P. Tetenyi, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1993, p. 103.
- 8 G. Mestl, H. Knozinger and J. H. Lunsford, *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.*, 1993, **97**, 319.
- 9 Y.-Y. Liao, P.-F. Hong and J.-X. Chai, *Chinese J. Chem. Phys.*, 1992, **5**, 395.
- 10 *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds*, 4th edn., ed. K. Nakamoto, Wiley, New York, 1986.
- 11 E. Giamello, Z. Sojka and M. Che, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1986, **90**, 6084.
- 12 W. M. Hetherington III, E. W. Koeing and W. M. K. P. Wijekoon, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1987, **134**, 203.
- 13 C. Li, K. Domen, K. Manuya and T. Onishi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 7683.
- 14 M. Che and A. J. Tench, *Adv. Catal.*, 1983, **32**, 1.
- 15 V. A. Shevets, V. M. Vorotyntsev and V. B. Kazansky, *Kinet. Katal.*, 1969, **10**, 356.
- 16 V. B. Kazansky, *Kinet. Katal.*, 1977, **18**, 43.
- 17 S. Shen, R. Hou, W. Ji, Z. Yan and X. Ding, in *New Frontiers in Catalysis*, ed. L. Guzzi, F. Solymosi and P. Tetenyi, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1993, p. 1527.