

Image Fusion Algorithm Based on Spatial Frequency-Motivated Pulse Coupled Neural Networks in Nonsampled Contourlet Transform Domain

QU Xiao-Bo¹ YAN Jing-Wen^{2,1} XIAO Hong-Zhi² ZHU Zi-Qian³

Abstract Nonsampled contourlet transform (NSCT) provides flexible multiresolution, anisotropy and directional expansion for images. Compared with the original contourlet transform, it is shift-invariant and can overcome the pseudo-Gibbs phenomena around singularities. Pulse Coupled Neural Networks (PCNN) is a visual cortex-inspired neural network and characterized by the global coupling and pulse synchronization of neurons. It has been proven suitable for image processing and successfully employed in image fusion. In this paper, NSCT is associated with PCNN and employed in image fusion to make full use of the characteristics of them. Spatial frequency in NSCT domain is input to motivate PCNN and coefficients in NSCT domain with large firing times are selected as coefficients of the fused image. Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm outperforms typical wavelet-based, contourlet-based, PCNN-based and contourlet-PCNN-based fusion algorithms in term of objective criteria and visual appearance.

Key words Contourlet, Pulse Coupled Neural Networks, wavelet, image fusion, multiscale transform

Image fusion is the combination of two or more different images to form a new image by using a certain algorithm^[1]. The combination of sensory data from multiple sensors can provide more reliable and accurate information. It forms a rapidly developing research area in remote sensing, medical image processing, and computer vision^[1,2]. Most of these approaches are based on combining the multiscale decompositions (MSD) of the source images. MSD-based fusion schemes provide much better performance than the simple methods studied previously^[2]. These methods are to decompose the source images into high-frequency and low-frequency subbands. Detailed and coarse features remain in the two types of subbands, respectively. Two core questions of MSD-based fusion algorithms are which MSD method should be used and how to combine coefficients in subbands.

For the first question, the discrete wavelet transform (DWT) becomes the most popular MSD method in image fusion because of joint information represented at the spatial-spectral domain. However, wavelet has its own limits. It is expensive for wavelet to represent sharp image transitions such as edges^[3]. Furthermore, wavelet will not "see" the smoothness along the contours and separable wavelets can only capture limited directional information^[4]. Thus, new MSD transforms are introduced in image fusion (i.e. bandelet^[5], curvelet^[6], contourlet^{[7]–[9]}, etc.) to overcome the limits of wavelet. Contourlet was recently pioneered by Minh N. Do and Martin Vetterli^[4]. Compared with wavelet, it provides different and flexible number of directions at each scale and can capture the intrinsic geometrical structure. However, the original contourlet^[4] lacks shift-invariance and causes pseudo-Gibbs phenomena around singularities. Nonsampled contourlet transform (NSCT)^[10], as a fully shift-invariant form of contourlet, leads to better frequency selectivity and regularity. Thus, NSCT is utilized as the MSD method in this paper.

For the second question, the typical fusion algorithms

are based on the activity-level measurement. Coefficients in MSD domain with high activity-level are selected to compose the fused image^[2]. In this paper, we present a bio-inspired activity-level measure based on Pulse Coupled Neural Networks (PCNN). PCNN is a novel biological neural network developed by Eckhorn et al in 1990 and based on the experimental observations of synchronous pulse bursts in cat and monkey visual cortex^[11,12]. It is characterized by the global coupling and pulse synchronization of neurons. These characteristics benefit image fusion which makes use of local image information. PCNN has been successfully employed in image fusion^[9,13–17]. Pixels in subbands images in MSD domain (named as coefficients and simplified as *coef* in figures and tables in this paper) or pixels in spatial domain with greater firing times are considered in high activity-level. However, in these PCNN-based algorithms, value of single pixel in spatial or MSD domain is used to motivate one neuron. In fact, humans are often sensitive to edges and directional features, etc. So, a pure use of single pixels is not enough. In this paper, spatial frequency, which stands for gradient energy in NSCT domain, is used to motivate PCNN neurons for the first time.

For simplicity, we term the proposed algorithm as spatial frequency-motivated PCNN in NSCT domain, NSCT-SF-PCNN for short. In this algorithm, the flexible multiresolution, anisotropy and directional expansion for images of NSCT is associated with global coupling and pulse synchronization characteristic of PCNN. We tend to take PCNN for nonlinear filter to select coefficients in image fusion. Experimental results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm outperforms typical wavelet-based, contourlet-based, NSCT-based, PCNN-based and contourlet-PCNN-based fusion algorithms in term of objective criteria and visual appearance.

1 Contourlet and PCNN in image fusion

1.1 Contourlet in image fusion

Contourlet is proposed by Minh N. Do and Martin Vetterli to obtain a sparse expansion for smooth contours^[4], which overcome limitation of wavelet in representing the contours by using square-shaped brush strokes and many fine "dots". In the contourlet, the laplacian pyramid(LP) is firstly used to capture the point discontinuities and then

Received November 29, 2007; in revised form March 16, 2008
Supported by Navigation Science Foundation of P. R. China (05F07001) and National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China (60472081)

1. Department of Communication Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, P. R. China 2. Key Lab of Digital Signal and Image Processing of Guangdong Province, Shantou University, Guangdong 515063, P. R. China 3. Research Institute of Chinese Radar Electronic Equipment, Wuxi 214063, P. R. China
DOI: 10.1360/aas-007-xxxx

followed by a directional filter bank (DFB) to link point discontinuities into linear structures. The number of direction decomposition at each level can be different, which is much more flexible than the three directions in wavelet. Unfortunately, in the original contourlet^[4] downsamplers and upsamplers are presented in both LP and DFB as shown in Fig.1 (a). Thus, it is shift-invariant and causes pseudo-Gibbs phenomena around singularities. NSCT is proposed by Arthur L.da Cunha et al^[10], which aims to overcome this disadvantage. Fig.1 (b) shows the decomposition framework of NSCT. Nonsampled pyramid structure (NPS) and nonsampled DFB are employed in NSCT. The NPS is achieved by using two-channel nonsampled 2-D filter banks. The DFB is achieved by switching off the downsamplers/upsamplers in each two-channel filter bank in the DFB tree structure and upsampling the filters accordingly. As a result, NSCT leads to better frequency selectivity, regularity and shift-invariance.




Fig. 1 Decomposition framework of contourlet and NSCT

In the contourlet-based image fusion algorithms^[7–9], contourlet or NSCT is utilized as the MSD method. As absolute value measure in high-frequency and average rule in low-frequency subbands are the typical activity level measure in other MSD-based fusion algorithms, activity-level are measured on the coefficients of subbands in contourlet domain. Coefficients with high activity-level are selected as the coefficients of fused subbands and an inverse contourlet is performed to reconstruct the fused image. The framework of contourlet-based algorithms is shown in Fig.2.




Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of contourlet-based fusion algorithm.

1.2 PCNN in image fusion

PCNN is a feedback network and each PCNN neuron consists of three parts: the receptive field, the modulation field, and the pulse generator^[12]. In image processing, PCNN is a single layer pulse coupled neural cells with a two-dimensional connection^[13] as shown in Fig.3.

In the existed PCNN-based fusion algorithms^{[9], [14]–[17]}, pixels in spatial or MSD domain are input to PCNN, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the pixels and the neurons. Each neuron is connected with neighboring neurons in linking range. The output of each neuron results in two states, namely firing and non-firing. Then the sum of neuron firing times will generate a firing map whose size is equal to the images in spatial or MSD domain and value of each pixel in firing map is equal to neuron

firing times. We summarize these algorithms as Fig.4. It can be seen that value of pixels in spatial or MSD domain is considered as the original image information in the existed algorithms. However, a pure use of pixels is not effective enough because humans are often sensitive to edges and directional features. We believe that it will be more reasonable that features, rather than value of pixels, are employed to motivate PCNN.




Fig. 3 Connection model of PCNN neuron.




Fig. 4 Schematic diagram of existed PCNN-based fusion algorithms.

2 Image fusion algorithm based on NSCT-SF-PCNN

2.1 NSCT-SF-PCNN

For the first time, Fang Yong^[10] successfully utilized PCNN in contourlet domain for visible and infrared image fusion. However, the contourlet employed in [10] is the original form and lacks shift-invariance. In addition, single coefficient is used to motivate PCNN directly. In fact, humans are often sensitive to feature, e.g. edges. So, a pure use of value of single coefficient is not enough.

In this paper, NSCT is employed as the MSD method to provide better representation of the contours than wavelet and it overcomes pseudo-Gibbs phenomena around singularities of contourlet. What is more, rather than using PCNN in contourlet domain directly, spatial frequency (SF)^[18] in contourlet domain is considered as the gradient features of images and we use SF to motivate PCNN instead. For simplicity, we term the proposed algorithm as NSCT-SF-PCNN.

Suppose $I_{i,j}^{l,k}$ denote the coefficients located at (i, j) in the k -th subbands at the l -th decomposition level, the SF in NSCT domain is defined in (1). SF is measured by using slipping window of coefficients in subbands. It measures the whole activity in the window-based coefficients via the gradient energy in rows and columns. SF in each subbands are input to PCNN to motivate the neurons and generate pulse of neurons according to (2). Then firing times $T_{i,j}^{l,k}$ is calculated as (3).

$$S_{i,j}^{l,k} = \sum_{i \in M, j \in N} (I_{i,j}^{l,k} - I_{i-1,j}^{l,k})^2 + (I_{i,j}^{l,k} - I_{i,j-1}^{l,k})^2 \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{cases} F_{ij}^{l,k}(n) = S_{ij}^{l,k} \\ L_{ij}^{l,k}(n) = e^{-\alpha_L} L_{ij}^{l,k}(n-1) + V_L \sum_{pq} W_{ij,pq} Y_{ij,pq}(n-1) \\ U_{ij}^{l,k}(n) = F_{ij}^{l,k}(n) * (1 + \beta L_{ij}^{l,k}(n)) \\ \theta_{ij}^{l,k}(n) = e^{-\alpha_\theta} \theta_{ij}^{l,k}(n-1) + V_\theta Y_{ij}(n-1) \\ Y_{ij}^{l,k}(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } U_{ij}^{l,k}(n) > \theta_{ij}^{l,k}(n) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$T_{i,j}^{l,k} = T_{i,j}^{l,k}(n-1) + Y_{i,j}^{l,k}(n) \quad (3)$$

In the mathematical model of PCNN in (2), the feeding input $F_{ij}^{l,k}$ is equal to the normalized SF $S_{ij}^{l,k}$. The linking input $L_{ij}^{l,k}$ is equal to the sum of neurons firing times in linking range. $W_{ij,pq}$ is the synaptic gain strength and subscripts p, q are the size of linking rang in PCNN. α_L is the decay constants. V_L and V_θ are the amplitude gain. β is the linking strength. $U_{ij}^{l,k}$ is total internal activity. $\theta_{ij}^{l,k}$ is the threshold. n denotes the iteration times. If $U_{ij}^{l,k}$ is larger than $\theta_{ij}^{l,k}$, then the neuron will generate a pulse $Y_{ij}^{l,k} = 1$, also called one firing times. In fact, sum of $Y_{ij}^{l,k}$ in n iteration is often defined as (3), called firing times, to represent image information. Rather than analyzing $Y_{ij}^{l,k}(n)$, one often analyze $T_{i,j}^{l,k}(n)$ instead for neighboring coefficients with similar features represent the similar firing times in a given iteration times.

2.2 Image fusion algorithm based on NSCT-SF-PCNN

The core reason that PCNN is used in image fusion lies in its global coupling and pulse synchronization of neurons. These biological characteristics make full of the local information in images, not single coefficient information in most popular MSD-based fusion algorithms. Although a regional firing characteristic of PCNN^[17] is investigated in multi-focus image fusion, we still use the firing times as a determination to select NSCT coefficients.

The schematic diagram of the proposed NSCT-SF-PCNN algorithm is shown in Fig.5 and implemented as follows:

- 1) Decompose the source images into subbands via NSCT.
- 2) Measure the SF as (1) in slipping window of coefficients in subbands.
- 3) SF in each subbands are input to PCNN to motivate the neurons and generate pulse of neurons according to (2). Then firing times $T_{i,j}^{l,k}(n)$ is calculated as (3).
- 4) Get the decision map $D_{ij}^{l,k}$ based on (4) and select the coefficients according to (5), which mean coefficients with large firing times are selected as coefficients of the fused image. That's the fusion rule proposed in this paper.

$$D_{F,ij}^{l,k} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } T_{1,ij}^{l,k}(n) > T_{2,ij}^{l,k}(n) \\ 0, & \text{if } T_{1,ij}^{l,k}(n) < T_{2,ij}^{l,k}(n) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$x_{F,ij}^{l,k} = \begin{cases} x_{1,ij}^{l,k}, & \text{if } D_{ij}^{l,k}(n) = 1 \\ x_{2,ij}^{l,k}, & \text{if } D_{ij}^{l,k}(n) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $x_{F,ij}^{l,k}$, $x_{1,ij}^{l,k}$ and $x_{2,ij}^{l,k}$ denote the coefficients of the fused image and two source images, respectively.

- 5) Use the selected-out coefficients in (5) to reconstruct the fused image via inverse NSCT.




Fig. 5 Schematic diagram of NSCT-SF-PCNN fusion algorithm.

3 Experimental results

In this section, we use NSCT-SF-PCNN to fuse the multi-focus images, infrared and visible images, and remote sensing images. Parameters of PCNN is set the same as follows: $p \times q$, $\alpha_L = 0.06931$, $\alpha_\theta = 0.2$, $\beta = 0.2$, $V_L = 1.0$,

$V_\theta = 20$, $W = \begin{bmatrix} 0.707 & 1 & 0.707 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0.707 & 1 & 0.707 \end{bmatrix}$, and the maximal iterative number is $n = 200$.

In order to show the advantages of the new algorithm, we establish three steps to demonstrate that the proposed NSCT-SF-PCNN outperforms other fusion algorithms. First, db2 wavelet, atrous wavelet, contourlet and NSCT are compared. Secondly, typical activity-level measurements in NSCT domain are compared. Thirdly, typical PCNN-based fusion methods in wavelet, contourlet and NSCT domain are compared. In the comparisons, besides visual observation, mutual information^[19] and $Q^{AB/F}$ ^[20] are employed as information-based objective criteria. The reason is that image fusion aims at combining information and these criteria dont require the information of ideal fused image. Mutual information essentially computes how much information from source images is transferred to the fused image, while $Q^{AB/F}$ computes measures the amount of edge information transferred from the source images to the fused images using a Sobel edge detector.

3.1 Comparisons on MSD methods

In this section, discrete wavelet transform (DWT) with basis 'db2' and contourlet, which are shift-variant transforms, atrous wavelet transform and NSCT, which are shift-invariant transforms, are compared. In these MSD methods, average and maximum rules are adopted in the low-frequency and high-frequency domain, respectively.

Fig.6 and Fig.7 show the multifocus image fusion results, visible and infrared image fusion results of these methods. Focusing on the labeled region in Fig.6, one can obviously find that the fused images of two shift-invariant methods, atrous wavelet and NSCT, are clearer and more natural than the DWT and contourlet fused results. It is proven that shift-invariant methods can overcome the pseudo-Gibbs phenomena successfully and improve the quality of the fused image around edges. In Fig.7, human is better extracted using contourlet and NSCT than that of DWT and atrous wavelet. Focusing on labeled region in Fig.7, the house roof of the NSCT is clearer than that of other methods.

Further more, objective criteria on mutual information and $Q^{AB/F}$ in Table 1 indicate that NSCT method trans-

ferred more information to fused image than that of atrous wavelet, which outperforms DWT and contourlet. So, it can be concluded that NSCT is the best MSD method. That's why NSCT is utilized as the MSD method in this paper.




Fig. 6 Multifocus image fusion results of MSD-based algorithms. (a) *clockA.tif*: focus on right, (b) *clockB.tif*: focus on left, (c)-(f) fused image using DWT, atrous wavelet, Contourlet, NSCT




Fig. 7 Infrared and visible image fusion results of MSD-based algorithms. (a) visible image: *treesA.tif*, (b) infrared image: *treesB.tif*, (c)-(f) fused image using DWT, atrous wavelet, Contourlet, NSCT

Table 1 Comparison on objective criteria of different MSD methods.

Images	Criteria	DWT	atrous wavelet	contourlet	NSCT
clock	MI	6.3320	6.6208	6.0073	6.6761
	$Q^{AB/F}$	0.6099	0.6657	0.6122	0.6683
tree	MI	1.4719	1.5294	1.4822	1.5732
	$Q^{AB/F}$	0.4342	0.4674	0.4070	0.4828

3.2 Comparisons on activity-level measurement

In this section, all the comparisons are based on NSCT decomposition method. We compare the activity-level measurement of NSCT-SF-PCNN with other typical activity-level measurements in NSCT domain. In coefficients-max algorithms absolute value of coefficients is used to measure the activity level directly. In SF-max algorithm coefficients with greater SF in slipping window are considered in

higher activity-level. While in coefficient-PCNN and SF-PCNN algorithms, coefficients corresponding to greater firing times are considered in higher activity-level. All the coefficients with high activity-level are selected to compose the fused image[2].

Fig.8(c)-(f) show the high-frequency subimages and their SF in NSCT domain. Fig.8 (g)-(k) show the decision maps in which the white color indicates coefficients are selected from *clockA.tif*, otherwise selected from *clockB.tif*. Since the zoomed out part of *clockA.tif* shown in Fig.8(a) is clear than Fig.8(b), the optimal decision map would be in white color shown in Fig.8(k), which means all coefficients should be selected from *clockA.tif*. In Fig.9 (e) (f), it can be seen that SF extracts the edges of subimages well. Fig.8 (g) and (h) indicate that SF considered as activity-level measurement is more reasonable than pure use of absolute value. Fig.8 (i) shows that when values of coefficients are input to motivate PCNN neurons, the global coupling and pulse synchronization of neurons benefits for selecting coefficients, which considers the neighboring coefficients a lot. Thus when SF is input to motivate PCNN neurons, one can imagine NSCT-SF-PCNN could successfully accomplish the selection. The decision maps in Fig.8 show that the NSCT-SF-PCNN is the best one in the tested four activity-level measurements.




Fig. 8 SF of High-frequency subimages and the decision maps of typical activity-level measurements in NSCT domain. (a) source image: zoomed out *clockA.tif*, (b) source image: zoomed out *clockB.tif*, (c) and (d) are high-frequency subimages of (a) and (b) in NSCT domain, (e) and (f) are SF of (c) and (d), (g)-(k) are the decision maps of coefficients-max rule, SF-max rule and maximum rule on contourlet-PCNN respectively, (k) optimal decision map

In Table 2, comparison on objective criteria of different activity-level measurements is shown. The word *coefficient* is named as *coef* for short and *coef-max* means the coefficients-max fusion rule. The greatest mutual information and $Q^{AB/F}$ demonstrate that the best activity-level measurement is successfully adopted in NSCT-SF-PCNN. However, although in Fig.10 the decision map of SF-max is better than PCNN, which is not consistent with Table 2 in which mutual information and $Q^{AB/F}$ of SF-max are smaller than that of PCNN. That's because we use PCNN in low-frequency subimage in coefficient-PCNN methods, but average rule in SF-max methods.

Table 2 Comparison on objective criteria of different activity level measure in NSCT domain

Images	Criteria	Coef-max	SF-max	Coef-PCNN	SF-PCNN
clock	MI	6.6761	6.8113	6.9559	7.4598
	$Q^{AB/F}$	0.6683	0.6735	0.6869	0.6880
tree	MI	1.5732	1.5843	1.9670	2.1636
	$Q^{AB/F}$	0.4828	0.4896	0.5001	0.4972

3.3 Comparisons on Typical PCNN-based algorithms

In this section, typical PCNN-based algorithms, which are shift-invariant wavelet-PCNN (SIDWT-PCNN)^[15], contourlet-PCNN^[9], are compared with NSCT-SF-PCNN algorithms. The parameters of PCNN are set the same in the experiments.

Fig.9 shows the multifocus image fusion results. NSCT-SF-PCNN and SIDWT-PCNN outperforms contourlet-PCNN in visual appearance because contourlet is shift-variant. Fig.9 (a) and (c) show the difference between fused images, which are fused results using SIDWT-PCNN and NSCT-SF-PCNN, and source image in Fig.6 (a). It indicates that NSCT-SF-PCNN extracts almost all the good-focalized part in source images and preserves the detailed information better than the SIDWT-PCNN.




Fig. 9 Multifocus image fusion results. (a)-(c) are fused images using SIDWT-PCNN, contourlet-PCNN and NSCT-SF-PCNN respectively, (d) difference image between Fig.9(a) and Fig.6(a), (e) difference image between Fig.9(b) and Fig.6(a), (f) difference image between Fig.9(c) and Fig.6(a).

Fig.10 shows the infrared and visible image fusion results. NSCT-SF-PCNN and contourlet-PCNN outperform SIDWT-PCNN in visual appearance. The result is consistent with [9], especially for infrared and visible image fusion, in which PCNN is utilized in contourlet domain. Fig.10 (d) and (f) show the difference between fused images, which are fused results using contourlet-PCNN and NSCT-SF-PCNN, and source image in Fig.7 (a). It indicates that NSCT-SF-PCNN extracts the trees better than contourlet-PCNN in visible image and human that labeled with white color in infrared image.




Fig.10 Infrared and visible image fusion results. (a)-(c) are fused images using SIDWT-PCNN, contourlet-PCNN and NSCT-SF-PCNN respectively, (d) difference image between Fig.10(a) and Fig.7(a), (e) difference image between Fig.10(b) and Fig.7(a), (f) difference image between Fig.10(c) and Fig.7(a)

In Table 3, all the objective criteria prove that fused image of the NSCT-SF-PCNN is strongly correlated with the source images and more image features, i.e. edges, are preserved in the fusion process, which means the proposed NSCT-SF-PCNN is the best one in the three algorithms. Although in infrared and visible image fusion, mutual information of SIDWT is larger than that of NSCT-SF-PCNN, the visual appearance of SIDWT-PCNN fused image is not obviously good, because the fused image of SIDWT-PCNN retains little information of visible image in Fig.7 (a). When all is said and done, our proposed algorithm outperforms other typical PCNN-based algorithms, no matter in visual observation and objective evaluation criterion.

Table 3 Comparison on objective criteria of PCNN-based algorithms

Images	Criteria	SIDWT-PCNN	Contourlet-PCNN	NSCT-SF-PCNN
clock	MI	6.9105	6.0527	7.4598
	$Q^{AB/F}$	0.6834	0.6363	0.6880
tree	MI	2.5714	1.4840	2.1636
	$Q^{AB/F}$	0.4732	0.3893	0.4972

3.4 Numerical Experimental Results

In order to demonstrate our NSCT-SF-PCNN is promising for the two applications, six group images in Fig.11 are fused using methods of DWT^[2], SIDWT-PCNN^[15] and Contourlet-PCNN^[9], CT-PCNN for short in Table 4. Due to the limited length of paper, only comparisons on objective criteria are given in Table 4. It shows that NSCT-SF-PCNN is the best fusion algorithm with the greatest mutual information and $Q^{AB/F}$ in multifocus image fusion. On the other hand, though the mutual information of NSCT-SF-PCNN is lower than that of SIDWT-PCNN when Figs.11 (d) and (f) are fused, the $Q^{AB/F}$ of NSCT-SF-PCNN is larger than that of SIDWT-PCNN, and NSCT-SF-PCNN preserves visible feature better than SIDWT-PCNN as shown in Fig.12.




Fig. 11 Test images. (a)-(c) multifocus images, (d)-(f) visible and infrared images.




Fig. 12 Fused results of infrared and visible images. (a) and (b) are the fused results of Fig.11(d) while (c) and (d) are the fused results of Fig.11(f) using SIDWT-PCNN and NSCT-SF-PCNN, respectively.

Table 4 Comparison on objective criteria of PCNN-based algorithms

Images	Criteria	DWT-max	SIDWT-PCNN	CT-PCNN	NSCT-SF-PCNN
Fig.11(a)	MI	5.3948	5.9439	5.2640	6.2315
	$Q^{AB/F}$	0.6429	0.6839	0.6103	0.6885
Fig.11(b)	MI	6.5199	7.3359	6.5603	7.5447
	$Q^{AB/F}$	0.6861	0.7216	0.6650	0.7232
Fig.11(c)	MI	5.7479	6.6863	6.2849	7.2704
	$Q^{AB/F}$	0.5681	0.6259	0.5652	0.6273
Fig.11(d)	MI	2.4257	4.0846	2.7957	3.6596
	$Q^{AB/F}$	0.5150	0.5736	0.5317	0.5859
Fig.11(e)	MI	2.0367	2.5157	1.5856	3.0161
	$Q^{AB/F}$	0.6366	0.5817	0.5145	0.6666
Fig.11(f)	MI	2.3481	5.6876	4.7955	5.6014
	$Q^{AB/F}$	0.6854	0.7998	0.7918	0.8141

4 Conclusion

In this paper, a spatial frequency motivated PCNN in NSCT domain, NSCT-SF-PCNN, is proposed. The flexible multiresolution, anisotropy and directional expansion for images of NSCT is associated with global coupling and pulse synchronization characteristic of PCNN. Furthermore, a spatial frequency motivated PCNN, rather than pure use of coefficients value in traditional PCNN in image processing, is presented. Experiments on MSD methods, activity-level measurements and typical PCNN-based algorithms demonstrate the proposed NSCT-SF-PCNN is successful in multifocus image fusion and visible and infrared image fusion.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Mr. David Dwyer of Octec Ltd, Dr. Lex Toet of TNO Human Factors and Dr. Oliver Rockinger for providing the images used in this work and also thank HU Chang-Wei for his help in the preparation of the manuscript. Some of the images are avail-

able from <http://www.imagefusion.org> or you can contact qxb_xmu@yahoo.com.cn for the images.

References

- 1 D L Hall, J Llinas. An introduction to multi-sensor data fusion. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 1997, **85**(1): 6-23.
- 2 Zhang Z, Blum R S. A categorization of multiscale-decomposition-based image fusion schemes with a performance study for a digital camera application. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 1999, **87**(8): 1315-1326.
- 3 Pennec E, Mallat S. Sparse geometric image representation with bandelets. *IEEE Transaction on Image Processing*, 2005, **14**(4): 423-438.
- 4 MN Do, M Vetterli. The contourlet transform: an efficient directional multiresolution image representation. *IEEE Transaction on Image Processing*, 2005, **14**(12): 2091-2106.
- 5 Xiaobo Qu, Jingwen Yan, Guofu Xie, Ziqian Zhu, Bengang Chen. A novel image fusion algorithm based on bandelet transform. *Chinese Optics Letters*, 2007, **5**(10): 569-572.
- 6 Myungjin Choi, Rae Young Kim, Myeong-Ryong Nam, Hong Oh Kim. Fusion of multispectral and panchromatic satellite images using the curvelet transform. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 2005, **2**(2): 136-140.
- 7 Xiao-Bo Qu, Guo-Fu Xie, Jing-Wen Yan, Zi-Qian Zhu, Ben-Gang Chen. Image Fusion Algorithm Based on Neighbors and Cousins Information in Nonsubsampled Contourlet Transform Domain. In: *Proceedings of International Conference on Wavelet Analysis and Pattern Recognition*, Beijing, China: IEEE, 2007, 1797-1802.
- 8 Zheng Yong-An, Song Jian-She, Zhou Wen-Ming, Wang Rui-Hua. False Color Fusion for Multi-band SAR Images Based on Contourlet Transform. *Acta Automatica Sinica*, 2007, **33**(4): 337-341 9.
- 9 Fang Yong, Liu Sheng-Peng. Infrared image fusion algorithm based on contourlet transform and improved pulse coupled neural networks. China Patent 1873693A, December 2006.
- 10 da Cunha Arthur, Zhou Jianping, Do Minh N. The non-subsampled contourlet transform: Theory, design, and applications. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2006, **15**(10):3089-3101.
- 11 R Eckhorn, H J Reitboeck, M Arndt, P Dicke. Feature linking via synchronization among distributed assemblies: Simulations of results from cat visual cortex. *Neural Computation*, 1990, **2**(3): 293-307.
- 12 Johnson J L, Padgett M L. PCNN models and applications. *IEEE Transaction on Neural Networks*, 1999, **10**(3): 480-498.
- 13 Randy P Broussard, Steven K Rogers, Mark E Oxley, Gregory L Tarr. Physiologically motivated image fusion for object detection using a pulse coupled neural network. *IEEE Transaction on Neural Networks*, 1999, **10**(3): 554-563.
- 14 Min Li, Wei Cai, Zheng Tan. Pulse coupled neural network based image fusion. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2005, **3497**(1): 741-746.
- 15 Li Wei, Zhu Xue-Feng. A new algorithm of multi-modality medical image fusion based on pulse-coupled neural networks. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2005, **3610**(1): 995-1001.
- 16 Xu Baochang, Chen Zhe. A multisensor image fusion algorithm based on PCNN. In: *Proceeding of Fifth World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation*, Hangzhou, China: IEEE, 2004. 3679-3682.
- 17 Qu Xiaobo, Yan Jingwen, Zhu Ziqian, Chen Bengang. Multi-focus image fusion algorithm based on regional firing characteristic of Pulse Coupled Neural Networks. In: *Pre-proceedings of International Conference on Bio-Inspired Computing: Theories and Applications*, Zhenzhou, China: Publishing House of Electronics Industry, 2007. 563-565.
- 18 Eskicioglu A M, Fisher P S. Image quality measures and their performance. *IEEE Transaction on Communications*, 1995, **43**(2): 2959-2965.
- 19 Qu Guihong, Zhang Dali, Yan Pingfan. Information measure for performance of image fusion. *Electronics Letters*, 2002, **38**(7): 313-15.

20 V. Petrovic, C. Xydeas. On the effects of sensor noise in pixel-level image fusion performance, in: Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Image Fusion, Paris, France: IEEE, 2000, 2: 14-19.




QU Xiao-Bo Master student at Department of Communication Engineering in Xiamen University. He received his B. S. degree from Xiamen University in 2006. His research interest includes image fusion, Pulse Coupled Neural Networks, wavelet and its applications, new multiscale decomposition methods on images, e.g. bandelet, contourlet, surfacelet, etc.
E-mail: qxb_xmu@yahoo.com.cn



YAN Jing-Wen Professor at Department of Electronic Engineering at Shantou University. He received Ph.D in the State Key Laboratory of Applied optics, Changchun Institute of Fine Mechanics Optics in Academia Sinica in 1997. He was approved as professor in Xiamen University in 2003. Currently, he is the associated director at Key laboratory of Digital Signal and Image Processing of

Guangdong Province. His research interest covers image compression, wavelet transform theory and its applications, new multiscale decomposition methods on images. Corresponding author of this paper.
E-mail: jwyan@stu.edu.cn



XIAO Hong-Zhi Master student at Department of Electronic Engineering in Shantou University. He received his B. S. degree from Shantou University in 2006. His research interest includes still image and video compression, wavelet and i new multiscale decomposition methods on images.
E-mail: s_hzxiao@stu.edu.cn



ZHU Zhi-Qian Researcher and Research director at Research Institute of Chinese Radar Electronic Equipment. He received his M. S. degree from Northwestern Polytechnical University in 1989. His research interest covers multi-sensor data fusion, multi-object detection and tracking in radar system.
E-mail: zhuziqian@raa.org.cn