Structural transformations from a 1-D chain to two 3-D supramolecular isomers *via* crystal disassembly and reassembly †‡§

Yue-Ling Bai, Jun Tao,* Rong-Bin Huang and Lan-Sun Zheng

Received 8th November 2007, Accepted 3rd January 2008 First published as an Advance Article on the web 23rd January 2008 DOI: 10.1039/b717297d

The reaction of L(–)-malic acid (L-H₂mal), manganese salts and triethylamine in methanol-water solution gave a 1-D chiral complex, $[Mn^{II}(L-mal)(H_2O)(CH_3OH)]_2$ (1), which could be rationally controlled to transform into 3-D supramolecular isomers $[Mn^{II}(L-mal)(H_2O)_2] \cdot H_2O$ (2a and 2b, respectively).

During the past decades in the field of crystal engineering, much effort has been devoted to the design and synthesis of novel coordination frameworks from the combination of metal ions and multifunctional bridging ligands.¹ In this field, the phenomena of structural transformations are often found and play important roles in achieving functional frameworks.² It is well-known that structural transformation, except for single-crystal-to-single-crystal transformation,3 usually occurred in consistence with crystal disassembly and reassembly,4 thus resulting in completely different structures, but a rare example has been found to realize the structural transformation to bring out more than one products with an identical formula, *i.e.* supramolecular isomers. Supramolecular isomerism,⁵ the existence of more than one framework superstructure for a given set of components, is currently an interesting subject in crystal engineering. Studies on structural transformation and supramolecular isomerism would open opportunities to gain better understanding of the fundamental factors that influence crystal nucleation and growth and hence help to develop functional materials. We report herein the syntheses and structures of three manganese-malate coordination polymers, namely [Mn(L-mal)(H₂O)(CH₃OH)]₂ (1) and $[Mn(L-mal)(H_2O)_2] \cdot H_2O$ (2a and 2b), respectively, and the structural transformation phenomena from a 1-D 1 to 3-D 2a and 2b. It is interesting that the transformations from 1 to 2a and 2b could be





Department of Chemistry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen, 361005, People's Republic of China. E-mail: taojun@xmu.edu.cn

472 | CrystEngComm, 2008, **10**, 472–474

easily controlled, and **2a** and **2b** are genuine structural supramolecular isomers.⁶ It should be noted that the structure of complex **2a** (CSD refcode MALAMN) has previously been reported,⁷ and a supramolecular isomer of **2a** and **2b**, 2D-[Mn(L-mal)(H₂O)₂]·H₂O,⁸ was also found.

The reaction of $MnCl_2 \cdot 4 H_2O$ with L-H₂mal in a 1 : 1 ratio in a CH₃OH-H₂O solution in the presence of triethylamine afforded dispersive colorless small block crystals of 1 in one day. The structural transformations from 1 to 2a and 2b were depicted in Scheme 1. When 1 was kept undisturbed in solution, they could dissolve in one day and convert to acervate pink plate-like crystals of 2a after two days. If 1 was sealed in solution, they could dissolve slowly and convert to pink prism-like crystals of 2b in two months. The crystallization of 1 was obviously kinetically controlled, and the crystallization of 2a and 2b was thermodynamically favored.

X-Ray structural analysis revealed that **1**, **2a** and **2b** crystallized in chiral space groups $P_{2_12_12_1}$, $P_{2_12_12_1}$ and P_{4_3} , respectively. The structure of **2a** was the same as that reported.⁷ All malate ligands in these complexes adopted a tetradentate coordination mode, which in **1** was coordinated to two manganese ions with its 1,4-carboxylate oxygen atoms and the hydroxyl group bound to one manganese ion to form five- and six-membered rings (Chart 1), thus resulting in a bended malate backbone, while in both **2a** and **2b** the tetradentate mode was coordinated to three manganese ions by using a 1-carboxylate oxygen atom and a hydroxyl group chelating to one manganese ion and a 4-carboxylate group bridging two other ones.

As shown in Fig. 1a, two crystallographically independent manganese ions in 1 locate in slightly distorted octahedral coordination environments. Either Mn1 or Mn2 is coordinated to one hydroxyl oxygen atom and three carboxylate oxygen atoms from two different malate ligands, and two oxygen atoms from water and methanol molecules. The average bond distances of Mn1–O_{carboxylate} (2.172 Å) and Mn1–O_{methanol} (2.144 Å) are slightly longer than those of Mn2–O_{carboxylate} (2.149 Å) and Mn2–O_{methanol} (2.128 Å), respectively, while the distance of Mn1–O1 W (2.158 Å) is almost equal to that of Mn2–O2 W (2.157 Å). The Mn–O_{hydroxyl} distances are 2.234 and 2.269 Å for Mn1 and Mn2, respectively. The 4-carboxylate



[†] CCDC reference numbers 666410–666412. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/b717297d

[‡] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional experimental details using X-ray powder diffraction, infrared spectroscopy and stereochemistry. See DOI: 10.1039/b717297d



Fig. 1 ORTEP drawings of coordination units of **1** (a), **2a** (b) and **2b** (c) with a 35% probability level, respectively. Lattice water molecules are omitted for clarity. Symmetry codes: (A) x - 1, y, z for **1**; (A) - x + 3/2, -y + 1, z - 1/2, (B) -x + 2, y - 1/2, -z + 3/2 for **2a**; (A) -y + 1, x + 1, z - 1/4, (B) -x - 1, -y + 2, z - 1/2 for **2b**.

group exhibits an *anti–syn* coordination mode and links hydroxyland 1-carboxylate-chelated manganese moieties to generate an infinite chiral chain (Fig. 2a) with alternative manganese separations of 4.939(1) Å and 5.073(1) Å, respectively. The chain is extended along the *a* axis and sustained by intrachain hydrogen bonds between coordinated water molecules and carboxylate groups (O1 W… O3A, O2 W…O7A) and interchain hydrogen bonds among the coordinated water molecules, hydroxyl groups and carboxylate groups in the *ab* and *ac* planes.

2a and **2b** are structural supramolecular isomers with identical chemical composition. Each manganese ion in **2a** and **2b** is chelated by one carboxylate oxygen atom and the hydroxyl oxygen from one malate ligand (Fig. 1b and 1c) to form a five-membered ring, and coordinated to two carboxylate oxygen atoms from two other malate ligands as well as two water molecules achieving distorted octahedral coordination geometry. The average Mn–O bond lengths are 2.155 and 2.163 Å for **2a** and **2b**, respectively. The two coordination water molecules locate at *cis*- and *trans*-positions, leading to the *trans*- and *cis*-arrangements of carboxylate oxygen atoms (O3A and O4B) around the metals in **2a** and **2b**, respectively. This delicate difference therefore results in completely different architectures.

As early reported,⁷ **2a** is a 3-D polymeric structure involving 1-D channels running along the *c* axis (Fig. 2b) that are occupied by lattice water molecules, where each malate ligand is coordinated to



Fig. 2 The chiral chain structure of **1** (a) and a 3-D framework of **2a** (b). Hydrogen atoms and guest water molecules are omitted for clarity. Color codes for C, O and Mn atoms are grey, red and green, respectively.

three different manganese atoms as well as each manganese ion is attached to three different malate ligands. It is worthy of mentioning that, in addition to those hydrogen bonds in ref. 7, the hydroxyl group is also involved in hydrogen bonding, *i.e.* inter-malate O5... O1 (2.718(2) Å) hydrogen bond.

2b features a 3-D framework in which the malate ligands and manganese ions form rectangular channels with S_4 symmetry (Fig. 3a). The manganese ions in **2b** locate on two sites, A and B, respectively, which on the A sites are linked to each other by malate ligands through the carboxylate oxygen atoms (O3) and the chelate groups (O2 and O5) to form a helical chain (as shown with a solid line). And the manganese ion on the B site is, on one hand, bridged to that one on A site through the carboxylate group (O3, O4) and on the other hand, is chelated by a hydroxyl oxygen and carboxylate oxygen atom from one malate ligand (as shown in a fine line), whose carboxylate oxygen atom (O4) is coordinated to an adjacent manganese ion on the A site (-x, -y + 1, z + 1/2) as well as its another carboxylate oxygen atom (O3) is bound to an A-site manganese ion in adjacent helical architecture. The manganese ion on the B site is further coordinated to one carboxylate oxygen atom (O3) from another malate ligand which, along with the manganese ions forms the adjacent helical structure.

As shown in Fig. 3b, each larger rectangular channel is occupied by lattice water molecules (O3 W) ranked in a helical chain with S_4 symmetry. Each lattice water molecule involves in hydrogen bonds with coordination water molecules and a carboxylate oxygen atom, those are O2 W…O3 W (2.957(10) Å) in which O3 W acts as hydrogenbond acceptor, and O3 W…O2 (3.060(8) Å) as well as O3 W…O1 W (2.852(9) Å) in which O3 W acts as a hydrogen-bond donor.

In summary, we have successfully realized structural transformations from a kinetically-controlled 1-D complex (1) to two 3-D supramolecular isomers (2a and 2b), the transformations are believed to be related to the disintegration and reorganization of crystals. The presence of methanol is essential for the transformation process, because any attempts to use other solvents instead of methanol have not succeeded.



Fig. 3 (a) The rectangular subunit of **2b** showing the manganese ions located on two types of sites, coordination water molecules were omitted for clarity, and (b) 3-D framework of **2b** with 1-D channels occupied by water chains with S_4 symmetry.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the NNSF of China (Grant 20671079, 20721001 and 20423002), the Key Project of the Chinese Ministry of Education (Grant 107068) and the 973 project (Grant 2007CB815301) from MSTC for the financial support.

Notes and references

§ Synthesis of 1: A methanol-water (6 mL, v/v 5 : 1) solution containing MnCl₂·4 H₂O (0.2 mmol, 40 mg), L-H₂mal (0.2 mmol, 27 mg) and triethylamine (100 µL) was stirred for 20 min at room temperature and filtered immediately. The crystals of 1 were collected in one day, washed with methanol and dried in air. Yield, 44% (based on malate). Anal. Calcd for C10H20O14Mn2: C, 25.31; H, 4.22. Found: C, 25.43; H, 4.13. Synthesis of 2a: The crystals of 1 in mother liquor were left undisturbed, they dissolved in two days and 2a began to crystallize with quick evaporation of the solvents. Synthesis of 2b: Crystals of 1 in mother liquor were sealed with parafilm (with several pinholes) and left undisturbed, they slowly dissolved and 2b crystallized when the solution was almost dry (about two months). The crystals of 2a and 2b were collected, washed with water and dried in air, respectively. Yields, 78% (2a) and 75% (2b). Anal. Calcd for $C_4H_{10}O_8Mn$: C, 19.93; H, 4.15. Found: C, 20.14; H, 4.04 for 2a and C, 20.26; H, 4.03 for 2b, respectively. Crystal data for 1: $C_{10}H_{20}O_{14}Mn_2$, $M_{\circ} = 474.14$, orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$, a = 8.6831(18) Å, b = 12.128(3) Å, c = 15.999(3) Å, V = 1684.7(6) Å³, Z = 4, T = 173(2) K, $D_c = 1.869$ Mg m⁻³, $R_1 = 0.0389$, $wR_2 = 0.0906$, $\mu = 1.572 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, S = 1.097. Crystal data for **2a**: C₄H₁₀O₈Mn, M =241.06, orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$, a = 9.1210(19) Å, b = 9.3174(19) Å,

c = 10.414(2) Å, V = 885.0(3) Å³, Z = 4, T = 293(2) K, $D_c = 1.809$ Mg m⁻³, $R_1 = 0.038823$, $wR_2 = 0.0569$, $\mu = 1.506$ mm⁻¹, S = 1.116. Crystal data for **2b**: C₄H₁₀O₈Mn, M = 241.06, tetragonal, P4₃, a =b = 7.9539(9) A, c = 13.189(3) A, V = 834.4(2) A³, Z = 4, T = 273(2)K, $D_{\rm c} = 1.919$ Mg m⁻³, $R_1 = 0.0375$, $wR_2 = 0.0970$, $\mu = 1.597$ mm⁻¹, S = 1.138. X-Ray crystallographic data were collected at 293 K with a Mo K α radiation source ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) by using a Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator. The SMART software was used for data collection, for indexing the reflections and for determining the unit cell parameters; the collected data were integrated by using the SAINT software. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations (F^2) by using the SHELXTL-97 software. All non-H atoms were refined in the anisotropic approximation against F^2 for all reflections. All H atoms were placed at their calculated positions and refined in the isotropic approximation.

- R.-G. Xiong, X.-Z. You, B. F. Abrahams, Z.-L. Xue and C.-M. Che, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 4422; O. R. Evans and W. Lin, Acc. Chem. Res., 2002, 35, 511; S.-L. Zheng, M.-L. Tong and X.-M. Chen, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2003, 246, 185; X.-C. Huang, J.-P. Zhang and X.-M. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 13218; S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura and S. Noro, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2334; Q. Ye, X.-S. Wang, H. Zhao and R.-G. Xiong, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2005, 34, 208; M.-L. Tong, S. Hu, J. Wang, S. Kitagawa and S. W. Ng, Cryst. Growth Des., 2005, 5, 837; L.-M. Duan, F.-T. Xie, X.-Y. Chen, Y. Chen, Y.-K. Lu, P. Cheng and J.-Q. Xu, Cryst. Growth Des., 2006, 6, 1101.
- K. S. Min and M. P. Suh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 6834; Z. Shi, L.-R. Zhang, S. Gao, G.-Y Yang, J. Hua, L. Gao and S.-H. Feng, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, 39, 1990; A. Onoda, Y. Yamada, T. A. Okamura, M. Doi, H. Yamamoto and N. Ueyama, J. Am. *Chem. Soc.*, 2002, 124, 1052; B.-Q. Ma and P. Coppens, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 504; H. L. Casellas, P. Gamez, J. Reedijk, P. Mutikainen, U. Turpeinen, N. Masciocchi, S. Galli and A. Sironi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, 44, 7918.
- J. D. Ranford, J. J. Vittal and D.-Q. Wu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 1114; J. D. Ranford, J. J. Vittal, D.-Q. Wu and X.-D. Yang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1999, 38, 3498; D. B. Varshney, G. S. Papaefstathiou and L. R. MacGillivray, Chem. Commun., 2002, 1964; H. J. Choi and M. P. Suh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 15844; M.-H. Zeng, X.-L. Feng and X.-M. Chen, Dalton Trans., 2004, 2217; C.-H. Hu and U. Englert, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 2281; Q.-L. Chu, D. C. Swenson and L. R. MacGillivray, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 3569; C.-L. Chen, A. M. Goforth, M. D. Smith, C.-Y. Su and H. C. Loye, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 6673; J.-P. Ma, Y.-B. Dong, R.-Q. Huang, M. D. Smith and C.-Y. Su, Inorg. Chem., 2005, 44, 6143; T. Pretsch, K. W. Chapman, G. J. Halder and C. J. Kepert, Chem. Commun., 2006, 1857.
- 4 A. K. Sah and T. Tanase, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 5980; P. S. Wang, C. N. Moorefield, M. Panzner and G. R. Newkome, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2006, 6, 1563.
- T. L. Hennigar, D. C. MacQuarrie, P. Losier, R. D. Rogers and M. J. Zaworotko, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 972; D. J. Soldatov, J. A. Ripmeester, S. I. Shergina, I. E. Sokolov, A. S. Zanina, S. A. Gromilov and Y. A. Dyadin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 4179; H. Abourahma, B. Moulton, V. Kravtsov and M. J. Zaworotko, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 9990; B. Chen, F. R. Fronczek and A. W. Maverick, Chem. Commun., 2003, 2166; A. L. Pickering, G. Seeber, D.-L. Long and L. Cronin, Chem. Commun., 2004, 136; P. A. Gale, M. E. Light and R. Quesada, Chem. Commun., 2005, 5864; M.-L. Tong, S. Hu, J. Wang, S. Kitagawa and S. W. Ng, Cryst. Growth Des., 2005, 5, 837.
- 6 B. Moulton and M. J. Zawortko, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 1629; N. Masciocchi, S. Bruni, E. Cariati, F. Cariati, S. Galli and A. Sironi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 5897; S. Masaoka, D. Tanaka, Y. Nakanishi and S. Kitagawa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 2530; J.-P. Zhang, Y.-Y. Lin, X.-C. Huang and X.-M. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 1258; X.-C. Huang, J.-P. Zhang and X.-M. Chen, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2006, **6**, 1194.
- 7 A. Karipides and A. T. Reed, Inorg. Chem., 1976, 15, 44.
- 8 X.-L. Liu, X.-H. Liu, J.-J. Yue, Y.-X. Yin and Y. Sun, *THEOCHEM*, 2004, 671, 87.