

quatrième série - tome 46 fascicule 1 janvier-février 2013

*ANNALES
SCIENTIFIQUES
de
L'ÉCOLE
NORMALE
SUPÉRIEURE*

Nikita A. KARPENKO & Alexander S. MERKURJEV

On standard norm varieties

SOCIÉTÉ MATHÉMATIQUE DE FRANCE

ON STANDARD NORM VARIETIES

BY NIKITA A. KARPENKO AND ALEXANDER S. MERKURJEV

ABSTRACT. – Let p be a prime integer and F a field of characteristic 0. Let X be the *norm variety* of a symbol in the Galois cohomology group $H^{n+1}(F, \mu_p^{\otimes n})$ (for some $n \geq 1$), constructed in the proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture. The main result of the paper affirms that the function field $F(X)$ has the following property: for any equidimensional variety Y , the change of field homomorphism $\mathrm{CH}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$ of Chow groups with coefficients in integers localized at p is surjective in codimensions $< (\dim X)/(p-1)$. One of the main ingredients of the proof is a computation of Chow groups of a (generalized) Rost motive (a variant of the main result not relying on this is given in the appendix). Another important ingredient is *A-triviality* of X , the property saying that the degree homomorphism on $\mathrm{CH}_0(X_L)$ is injective for any field extension L/F with $X(L) \neq \emptyset$. The proof involves the theory of rational correspondences reviewed in the appendix.

RÉSUMÉ. – Pour un nombre premier p et un corps F de caractéristique 0, soit X la *variété de norme* d'un symbole dans le groupe de cohomologie galoisienne $H^{n+1}(F, \mu_p^{\otimes n})$ (avec $n \geq 1$) construite au cours de la démonstration de la conjecture de Bloch-Kato. Le résultat principal de cet article affirme que le corps des fonctions $F(X)$ a la propriété suivante : pour toute variété équidimensionnelle Y , l'homomorphisme de changement de corps $\mathrm{CH}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$ de groupes de Chow à coefficients entiers localisés en p est surjectif en codimension $< (\dim X)/(p-1)$. Une des composantes principales de la preuve est le calcul de groupes de Chow du motif de Rost généralisé (un variant du résultat principal indépendant de ceci est proposé dans l'appendice). Un autre ingrédient important est la *A-trivialité* de X , la propriété qui dit que pour toute extension de corps L/F avec $X(L) \neq \emptyset$, l'homomorphisme de degré pour $\mathrm{CH}_0(X_L)$ est injectif. La preuve fait apparaître la théorie de correspondances rationnelles revue dans l'appendice.

Supported by the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn. The work of the second author has been supported by the NSF grant DMS #0652316.

1. Introduction

Let n be a positive integer and p a prime integer. A smooth complete geometrically irreducible variety X over a field F of characteristic 0 is a p -generic splitting variety for a symbol $s \in H^{n+1}(F; \mu_p^{\otimes n})$ if s vanishes over a field extension K/F if and only if X over K has a closed point of degree prime to p . A norm variety of s is a p -generic splitting variety of the smallest dimension $p^n - 1$. Norm varieties played an important role in the proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture (see [35]).

Let Y be a smooth variety over F . Write $\text{CH}^i(Y)$ for the Chow group with coefficients in the integers localized at p and $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(Y)$ for the factor group of the Chow group $\text{CH}^i(Y)$ modulo p -torsion elements and ${}_p\text{CH}^i(Y)$. In [36, Theorem 1.3], K. Zainouline proved, using the Landweber-Novikov operations in algebraic cobordism theory, that every Y and every norm variety X of s enjoy the following property: if $i < (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$, every class α in $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(Y_{\bar{F}})$, where \bar{F} is an algebraic closure of F , such that $\alpha_{\bar{F}(X)}$ is $F(X)$ -rational, is F -rational itself, i.e., α belongs to the image of the map $\widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(Y) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{CH}}^i(Y_{\bar{F}})$. This statement is in the spirit of the Main Tool Lemma of A. Vishik [32].

In the present paper we improve this result by showing that every cycle in the Chow group $\text{CH}^i(Y_{F(X)})$ is already defined over F , i.e, it comes from $\text{CH}^i(Y)$. More precisely, we prove the following theorem (see Theorem 4.3 for a stronger statement and the proof):

THEOREM 1.1. – *Let F be a field of characteristic 0 and let X be an A -trivial p -generic splitting variety of a symbol in $H^{n+1}(F, \mu_p^{\otimes n})$. Then the change of field homomorphism $\text{CH}^i(Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}^i(Y_{F(X)})$ is surjective if $i < (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$ for any equidimensional (not necessarily smooth) variety Y over F . Moreover, the bound $(p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$ is sharp.*

The A -triviality property for X means that the degree map $\text{deg} : \text{CH}_0(X_K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is an isomorphism (i.e., the kernel $A(X_K)$ of the degree map is trivial) for any field extension K/F such that X has a point over K . (We believe that the A -triviality condition should be also imposed in the statement of [36, Theorem 1.3].) Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is “elementary” in the sense that it does not use the algebraic cobordism theory. It is based on computation of Chow groups of the corresponding Rost motive, an approach applied by A. Vishik in [33, Remark on Page 665] in order to obtain the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 for Pfister quadrics (with $p = 2$).

In Section 5 we prove that the standard norm varieties (corresponding to nontrivial symbols) constructed in [30] are A -trivial, so that Theorem 1.1 can be applied to such varieties. with $p = 2$, In fact, we prove more (see Theorem 5.8 for a more explicit statement and the proof):

THEOREM 1.2. – *Let X be a standard norm variety of a nontrivial symbol over a field F of characteristic 0. Then for any field extension K/F , the degree map $\text{deg} : \text{CH}_0(X_K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is injective.*

In the proof we use the theory of rational correspondences developed by M. Rost (unpublished) and B. Kahn/R. Sujatha in [13]. We review this theory in Appendix RC. Another ingredient of the proof, a computation of Chow groups of Rost motives, is presented in

Appendix [RM](#). A variant of the main theorem valid in any characteristic $\neq p$ and involving the Steenrod operations is given in Appendix [SC](#).

In Sections [3](#) and [4](#) we develop a theory of (abstract) Rost motives.

We use the following notation and conventions. The base field F is of arbitrary characteristic if not specified otherwise (it is of characteristic $\neq p$, p a fixed prime, most of the time, and of characteristic 0 in several places). An F -variety over a field F is a separated scheme of finite type over F .

We fix a commutative unital ring Λ and write $\text{CH} = \text{CH}_*$ for the Chow group with coefficients in Λ . For any integer i and equidimensional F -variety Y , we write $\text{CH}^i(Y)$ for the Chow group $\text{CH}_{\dim Y - i}(Y)$.

Many events in the paper happen in the *category of Chow motives* (with coefficients in Λ , see [\[7\]](#)). A *Chow motive* is a pair (X, ρ) , where X is a smooth complete variety over F and ρ is a projector (idempotent) in the endomorphism ring of the motive $M(X)$ of X . We say that a motive M *lives on* X , if $M \simeq (X, \rho)$ for some ρ as above.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors thank Markus Rost for useful comments and suggestions.

2. A -trivial varieties

Let X be a smooth complete irreducible F -variety. Let d be its dimension, and let ρ be a fixed element of the Chow group $\text{CH}^d(X \times X)$ (considered as a correspondence $X \rightsquigarrow X$).

LEMMA 2.1. – *The following two conditions on ρ are equivalent:*

1. *for any F -variety Y , the image of any $\alpha \in \text{CH}(X \times Y)$ under the pull-back to $\text{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$ coincides with the image of $\alpha \circ \rho$;*
2. *$\rho_*[\xi] = [\xi]$, where ξ is the generic point of X and $[\xi]$ is its class in the Chow group $\text{CH}_0(X_{F(X)})$.*

Proof. – (2) \Rightarrow (1) The image of α is equal to $\alpha_*[\xi]$. In particular, the image of $\alpha \circ \rho$ is equal to $(\alpha \circ \rho)_*[\xi] = \alpha_*(\rho_*[\xi]) = \alpha_*[\xi]$ if $\rho_*[\xi] = [\xi]$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Apply (1) to $Y = X$ and the class of the diagonal of X in place of α . □

COROLLARY 2.2. – *If ρ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.1, then for any F -variety Y the pull-back homomorphism $\text{CH}(X \times Y) \circ \rho \rightarrow \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$ is surjective.* □

DEFINITION 2.3. – A smooth complete F -variety X is *A -trivial*, if for any field extension L/F with $X(L) \neq \emptyset$, the degree homomorphism $\text{deg} : \text{CH}_0(X_L) \rightarrow \Lambda$ is an isomorphism.

REMARK 2.4. – The notion of A -triviality depends on Λ . A variety A -trivial for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ is A -trivial for any Λ . If $\Lambda \neq 0$, any A -trivial variety is geometrically irreducible.

EXAMPLE 2.5. – Any projective homogeneous variety X under an action of a semisimple affine algebraic group is A -trivial. Indeed, if $X(L) \neq \emptyset$, the variety X_L is rational and therefore $\text{deg} : \text{CH}_0(X_L) \rightarrow \Lambda$ is an isomorphism by Corollary [RC.13](#).

Multiplicity $\text{mult } \rho$ of ρ is the element of Λ such that the push-forward of ρ with respect to the first projection $X \times X \rightarrow X$ is equal to $(\text{mult } \rho) \cdot [X]$.

LEMMA 2.6. – *Assuming that X is A -trivial, ρ satisfies conditions of Lemma 2.1 if and only if $\text{mult } \rho = 1$.*

Proof. – Since X is A -trivial, the 0-cycle classes $\rho_*[\xi], [\xi] \in \text{CH}_0(X_{F(X)})$ coincide if and only if their degrees coincide. It remains to notice that $\deg[\xi] = 1$ and $\deg \rho_*[\xi] = \text{mult } \rho$. \square

A trivial example of ρ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.1 is given by the class of the diagonal of X . Here is one more example:

EXAMPLE 2.7. – If X is a projective homogeneous variety under an action of a semisimple affine algebraic group and $\rho \in \text{CH}^d(X \times X)$ is a projector such that the summand (X, ρ) of the Chow motive of X is *upper* in the sense of [17, Definition 2.10], then $\text{mult } \rho = 1$ and therefore ρ satisfies conditions of Lemma 2.1 by Lemma 2.6 (X is A -trivial by Example 2.5).

PROPOSITION 2.8. – *Assume that ρ satisfies conditions of Lemma 2.1 (the assumption is satisfied, for instance, if $\text{mult } \rho = 1$ and X is A -trivial). Also assume that ρ is a projector. Given an equidimensional F -variety Y and an integer m such that for any i and any point $y \in Y$ of codimension i the change of field homomorphism*

$$\rho^* \text{CH}^{m-i}(X) \rightarrow \rho^* \text{CH}^{m-i}(X_{F(y)})$$

is surjective, the change of field homomorphism

$$\text{CH}^m(Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}^m(Y_{F(X)})$$

is also surjective.

Proof. – Since $\rho^*(x) \times y = (x \times y) \circ \rho$ for any $x \in \text{CH}(X)$, F -variety Y and $y \in \text{CH}(Y)$ (where the composition of correspondences is taken in the sense of [3], see also [7, §62]), the external product homomorphism $\text{CH}(X) \otimes \text{CH}(Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}(X \times Y)$ maps $(\rho^* \text{CH}(X)) \otimes \text{CH}(Y)$ to $\text{CH}(X \times Y) \circ \rho$.

Let us check that in our situation the homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_i (\rho^* \text{CH}^i(X)) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{CH}^{m-i}(Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}^m(X \times Y) \circ \rho$$

is surjective.

Checking this, we may assume that Y is integral and proceed by induction on $\dim Y$ using the exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{Y'} \text{CH}^{m-1}(X \times Y') \circ \rho \rightarrow \text{CH}^m(X \times Y) \circ \rho \rightarrow \rho^* \text{CH}^m(X_{F(Y)}),$$

where the direct sum is taken over all integral subvarieties $Y' \subset Y$ of codimension 1. The sequence is exact because the sequence

$$\bigoplus_{Y'} \text{CH}^{m-1}(X \times Y') \rightarrow \text{CH}^m(X \times Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}^m(X_{F(Y)})$$

is exact and ρ is a projector.

Now we consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\rho^* \text{CH}(X)) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{CH}(Y) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}(X \times Y) \circ \rho \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{CH}(Y) & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)})
 \end{array}$$

where the left homomorphism is induced by the augmentation map $\text{CH}(X) \rightarrow \Lambda$. The right homomorphism is surjective by Corollary 2.2. As we checked right above, the top homomorphism is surjective in codimension m . Therefore the bottom homomorphism is also surjective in codimension m . \square

The following statement is a particular case of [22, Theorem 2.11 (3 \Rightarrow 1)]:

LEMMA 2.9. – Assume that X is A -trivial and $1 \in \text{deg CH}_0(X)$. Then for any F -variety Y , the change of field homomorphism $\text{CH}(Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. – To prove surjectivity, we note that any $y \in \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$ is the image of some $\alpha \in \text{CH}(X \times Y)$. If $x \in \text{CH}_0(X)$ is an element of degree 1, then the correspondence $[X] \times x \in \text{CH}^d(X \times X)$ satisfies by Lemma 2.6 the conditions of Lemma 2.1. Therefore $\alpha \circ ([X] \times x) \in \text{CH}(X \times Y)$ is also mapped to $y \in \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$. On the other hand, $\alpha \circ ([X] \times x) = [X] \times \alpha_*(x)$ is mapped to $\alpha_*(x)_{F(X)}$ and it follows that $\alpha_*(x)$ is an element of $\text{CH}(Y)$ mapped to y .

Injectivity follows by specialization (see [10, §20.3] or [28]). \square

COROLLARY 2.10. – Assume that X is A -trivial. Then for any $l \in \text{deg CH}_0(X) \subset \Lambda$ and any F -variety Y , the image of $\text{CH}(Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$ contains $l \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$.

Proof. – It suffices to consider the case $l = \text{deg } x$ for a closed point $x \in X$. Let L be the residue field of x . The change of field homomorphism $\text{CH}(Y_L) \rightarrow \text{CH}(Y_{L(X)})$ is surjective by Lemma 2.9, and the transfer argument does the job. \square

3. Abstract Rost motives

In this section, the coefficient ring Λ is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ (the ring of integers localized in a fixed prime p) or \mathbb{F}_p (the finite field of p elements).

For any integer $n \geq 1$, an *abstract Rost motive of degree $n + 1$* with coefficients in Λ is a Chow motive \mathcal{R} with coefficients in Λ living on a smooth complete geometrically irreducible variety X such that for any field extension L/F with $1 \in \text{deg CH}_0(X_L)$ one has $\mathcal{R}_L \simeq \Lambda \oplus \Lambda(b) \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda((p - 1)b)$, where $b := (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$.

In particular, $\dim X \geq p^n - 1 = (p - 1)b$. Pulling back the projector of \mathcal{R} with respect to the diagonal of X , produces a 0-cycle class of degree p (cf. [17, Lemma 2.21]) showing that $\text{deg CH}_0(X) \supset p\Lambda$. It follows that the ideal $\text{deg CH}_0(X) \subset \Lambda$ of the coefficient ring Λ is equal either to $p\Lambda$ or to Λ .

The condition $1 \in \text{deg CH}_0(X_L)$ appearing in the definition means the same for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ as for $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p$. In Λ -free terms, it means that the variety X_L has a closed point of a prime to p degree.

By the very definition, the multiplicity of the projector of \mathcal{R} (which we call an *abstract Rost projector*) is equal to 1.

Note that for any field extension L/F such that $1 \in \deg \mathrm{CH}_0(X_L)$ we have

$$\mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_L = \mathrm{End} \Lambda \times \mathrm{End} \Lambda(b) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{End} \Lambda((p-1)b) = \Lambda^p.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_L \rightarrow \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{L'}$ is an isomorphism for any field extension L'/L .

We fix an integer $n \geq 1$ and consider only abstract Rost motives of degree $n+1$ below.

LEMMA 3.1. – *An abstract Rost motive is indecomposable if (and only if) $1 \notin \deg \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$. In particular, an abstract Rost motive with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is indecomposable if and only if the corresponding abstract Rost motive with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p is indecomposable.*

Proof. – Assuming that $\mathcal{R} = R_1 \oplus R_2$ with $R_1, R_2 \neq 0$, we get $(R_1)_{F(X)}, (R_2)_{F(X)} \neq 0$ by the nilpotence principle [34, Proposition 3.1]. It follows by the Krull-Schmidt principle of [5] that $(R_1)_{F(X)}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of shifts of m copies of Λ where $0 < m < p$. Pulling back the projector of R_1 via the diagonal of X , we get a 0-cycle of degree $m \in \Lambda$ (cf. [17, Lemma 2.21]). This contradicts $1 \notin \deg \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$. \square

LEMMA 3.2. – *For any abstract Rost motive \mathcal{R} one has*

$$p \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{F(X)} \subset \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{End} \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{F(X)}).$$

Proof. – The statement being vacuous for $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p$, one may assume that $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ in the proof. We also may assume that \mathcal{R} is indecomposable.

Let L be the residue field of a closed point on X of degree not divisible by p^2 (but, of course, divisible by p). Since $X(L) \neq \emptyset$, $\mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_L \rightarrow \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{L(X)}$ is an isomorphism. For any $\alpha \in \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{F(X)}$ the endomorphism $p\alpha$ is in the image of the composition $\mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{F(X)} \rightarrow \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{L(X)} \rightarrow \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{F(X)}$ coinciding with multiplication by $[L : F]$ and therefore in the image of the composition $\mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_L \rightarrow \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{L(X)} \rightarrow \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{F(X)}$ which coincides with the composition $\mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_L \rightarrow \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{F(X)}$. \square

LEMMA 3.3. – *Any multiplicity 1 endomorphism of an indecomposable abstract Rost motive is an automorphism.*

Proof. – We take some $\alpha \in \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}$ of multiplicity 1. Since the ring $\mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{F(X)}$ is the product of p copies of Λ , the endomorphism $\alpha_{F(X)} \in \mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{F(X)}$ is given by a p -tuple of elements in Λ . This p -tuple starts with 1 (because the starting component of the p -tuple is the multiplicity of α). Actually, every component of the p -tuple is congruent to 1 modulo p . Indeed, if a component of $\alpha_{F(X)}$ is $\lambda \not\equiv 1$, the F -rational (i.e., coming from F) endomorphism $(\alpha - \lambda \cdot \mathrm{id})_{F(X)}$ considered in $(\mathrm{End} \mathcal{R}_{F(X)})_{\Lambda} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ has a nontrivial and a trivial component. Raising to $(p-1)$ th power, provides us with a nontrivial F -rational idempotent. By the nilpotence principle mentioned in the proof of Lemma 3.1, this produces a nontrivial idempotent in the ring $(\mathrm{End} \mathcal{R})_{\Lambda} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$, contradicting Lemma 3.1.

So, every component of $\alpha_{F(X)}$ is congruent to 1 modulo p (and this is the end of the proof in the case of $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p$). In particular, $\alpha_{F(X)}$ is invertible. By Lemma 3.2, the inverse of $\alpha_{F(X)}$ is rational (because each component of the inverse is also congruent to 1 modulo p). Therefore we may assume that $\alpha_{F(X)} = 1$. In this case, $\alpha - 1$ is nilpotent by nilpotence principle applied one more time, and it follows that α itself is invertible. \square

It turns out that \mathcal{R} is determined by the class of X with respect to the following equivalence relation: $X \sim X'$ if there exist multiplicity 1 correspondences $X \rightsquigarrow X'$ and $X' \rightsquigarrow X$. (In slightly different terms, $X \sim X'$ means that for any field extension L/F one has $1 \in \deg \text{CH}_0(X_L)$ if and only if $1 \in \deg \text{CH}_0(X'_L)$. Note that the equivalence relations for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p$ coincide.) More precisely, we have

PROPOSITION 3.4. – *Abstract Rost motives \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' living on varieties X and X' are isomorphic if and only if the varieties are equivalent. If an indecomposable abstract Rost motive lives on one of two equivalent varieties, then it also lives on the other one.*

Proof. – Mutually inverse isomorphisms between \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' living on varieties X and X' are given by some correspondences $f : X \rightsquigarrow X'$ and $g : X' \rightsquigarrow X$. Since $\mathcal{R} = (X, g \circ f)$, we have $\text{mult}(g \circ f) = 1$. As $\text{mult}(g \circ f) = \text{mult}(g) \cdot \text{mult}(f)$, the correspondences f and g have prime to p multiplicities showing that $X \sim X'$.

Now given an indecomposable \mathcal{R} living on X and given some X' equivalent to X , we show that \mathcal{R} is a direct summand of the motive $M(X')$ of X' . The equivalence $X \sim X'$ provides us with multiplicity 1 correspondences $f : X \rightsquigarrow X'$ and $g : X' \rightsquigarrow X$. The composition $g \circ f$ considered on \mathcal{R} is a multiplicity 1 endomorphism of \mathcal{R} . This endomorphism is an automorphism by Lemma 3.3.

Finally, if we are given some \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' living on some equivalent X and X' , and we want to show that $\mathcal{R} \simeq \mathcal{R}'$, then we may assume that \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' are indecomposable and consider morphisms $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'$ and $\mathcal{R}' \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ given by multiplicity 1 correspondences $f : X \rightsquigarrow X'$ and $g : X' \rightsquigarrow X$. Repeating the above argument, we show that \mathcal{R} is a direct summand of \mathcal{R}' . Therefore $\mathcal{R} \simeq \mathcal{R}'$ by indecomposability of \mathcal{R}' . □

COROLLARY 3.5. – *Abstract Rost motives with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, becoming isomorphic after the change of coefficients $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$, are isomorphic.* □

We recall that *canonical p -dimension* $\text{cd}_p X$ of a smooth complete irreducible variety X is the least dimension of a closed subvariety $Y \subset X$ possessing a multiplicity 1 correspondence $X \rightsquigarrow Y$, cf. [18]. One always has $\text{cd}_p X \leq \dim X$, and X is called *p -incompressible* in the case of equality. Canonical p -dimensions of equivalent varieties coincide:

LEMMA 3.6. – *If $X \sim X'$, then $\text{cd}_p X = \text{cd}_p X'$.*

Proof. – Assuming that $X \sim X'$, it suffices to show that $\text{cd}_p X \leq \text{cd}_p X'$. Let Y' be a closed irreducible subvariety of X' with a multiplicity 1 correspondence $X' \rightsquigarrow Y'$ and with $\dim Y' = \text{cd}_p X'$. Then there exists a prime correspondence $X' \rightsquigarrow Y'$ of prime to p multiplicity. Such a correspondence is given by an irreducible closed subvariety Z' in $X' \times Y'$ such that the projection $Z' \rightarrow X'$ is surjective and the field extension $F(X') \hookrightarrow F(Z')$ is of finite prime to p degree. By minimality of Y' , the projection $Z' \rightarrow Y'$ is also surjective.

The variety X' having an $F(Y')$ -point, the variety $X_{F(Y')}$ has a 0-cycle of degree 1. Consequently, there exists a closed subvariety $Z \subset Y' \times X$ surjective over Y' with $F(Y') \hookrightarrow F(Z)$ of finite prime to p degree. Let $Y \subset X$ be the image of the projection $Z \rightarrow X$.

We have obtained a diagram of fields, shown below on the left, in which the vertical embeddings are of finite prime to p degrees. By [18, Lemma 3.1], it can be completed to a

commutative diagram of fields, shown on the right, in which the vertical embeddings are still of finite prime to p degrees:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F(Y) & \longrightarrow & F(Z) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 F(Y') & \longrightarrow & F(Z') \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & F(X')
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 F(Y) & \longrightarrow & F(Z) & \longrightarrow & L \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 F(Y') & \longrightarrow & F(Z') & & \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & F(X') & &
 \end{array}$$

Taking a model U for L and considering the class of the closure of the image of the induced rational map $U \rightarrow X' \times Y$, we get a prime correspondence $X' \rightsquigarrow Y$ of a prime to p multiplicity, showing that there exists a multiplicity 1 correspondence $X' \rightsquigarrow Y$. Composing it with a multiplicity 1 correspondence $X \rightsquigarrow X'$ (the composition is defined because X' is smooth complete), we get a multiplicity 1 correspondence $X \rightsquigarrow Y$ showing that $\text{cd}_p X \leq \dim Y \leq \dim Z = \dim Y' = \text{cd}_p X'$. \square

REMARK 3.7. – Lemma 3.6 is easier to prove out of the (equivalent) definition of canonical p -dimension of a smooth complete variety X as the *essential p -dimension* of the class of fields L/F with $X(L) \neq \emptyset$, given in [24, §1.6]. Indeed, enlarging the above class of fields to the class of fields L/F with $1 \in \deg \text{CH}_0(X_L)$ keeps its essential p -dimension. And, as we already mentioned, such enlarged classes of fields given by equivalent varieties coincide.

LEMMA 3.8. – *If an indecomposable abstract Rost motive (of degree $n+1$) lives on a variety X , then $\text{cd}_p X \geq p^n - 1$.*

Proof. – For a closed subvariety $Y \subset X$ with a multiplicity 1 correspondence $X \rightsquigarrow Y$, we consider the endomorphism $\alpha \in \text{End } \mathcal{R}$ given by the composition of correspondences $X \rightsquigarrow Y \hookrightarrow X$. (More explicitly, α is the composition $X \rightsquigarrow X \rightsquigarrow Y \hookrightarrow X \rightsquigarrow X$ with $X \rightsquigarrow X$ being the projector of R .) Since $\text{mult } \alpha = 1$, α is invertible by Lemma 3.3. On the other hand, the very last component of $\alpha_{F(X)}$ can be nonzero only if $\dim Y \geq p^n - 1$. Indeed, this last component is given by the action of $\alpha_{F(X)}^*$ on $\text{CH}^{p^n-1}(\mathcal{R}_{F(X)}) \subset \text{CH}^{p^n-1}(X_{F(X)})$, but since α lies in the image of the push-forward $\text{CH}(X \times Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}(X \times X)$, the action on the whole group $\text{CH}^{p^n-1}(X_{F(X)})$ is trivial if $\dim Y < p^n - 1$. \square

COROLLARY 3.9. – *If an indecomposable abstract Rost motive lives on a variety X of dimension $p^n - 1$, then X is p -incompressible.* \square

The following lemma is inspired by [29, Lemma 9.3]:

LEMMA 3.10. – *If X is p -incompressible, then for any $i > 0$ and any $\alpha \in \text{CH}^i(X)$ and $\beta \in \text{CH}_i(X_{F(X)})$, the degree of the 0-cycle class given by the product $\alpha_{F(X)} \cdot \beta \in \text{CH}_0(X_{F(X)})$ is divisible by p .*

Proof. – If $\deg(\alpha_{F(X)} \cdot \beta)$ is not divisible by p for some $\alpha \in \text{CH}^i(X)$ and $\beta \in \text{CH}_i(X_{F(X)})$ with positive i , we can find a closed irreducible subvariety $Y \subset X$ of codimension i with $\deg([Y]_{F(X)} \cdot \beta)$ not divisible by p . Since the product $[Y]_{F(X)} \cdot \beta$ is represented by a 0-cycle class on $Y_{F(X)}$, there exists a multiplicity 1 correspondence $X \rightsquigarrow Y$ showing that $\text{cd}_p X \leq \dim X - i < \dim X$ contradicting the assumption that X is p -incompressible. \square

COROLLARY 3.11 (cf. [29, Lemma 9.3]). – *If an abstract Rost motive lives on a variety X of dimension $p^n - 1$ and such that $1 \notin \deg \text{CH}_0(X)$, then for any $i > 0$ and any $\alpha \in \text{CH}^i(X)$ and $\beta \in \text{CH}_i(X_{F(X)})$, the degree of the product $\alpha_{F(X)} \cdot \beta \in \text{CH}_0(X_{F(X)})$ is divisible by p .* \square

4. Generic splitting varieties

In this section Λ is $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ or \mathbb{F}_p and the base field F is of characteristic $\neq p$ if not specified otherwise.

For $n \geq 1$, an element $s \in H^{n+1}(F, \mu_p^{\otimes n})$ is a *symbol*, if it is equal to the cup product of an element of $H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and n elements of $H^1(F, \mu_p)$. A smooth complete geometrically irreducible F -variety X is a *p -generic splitting variety* of a symbol s , if for any field extension L/F one has $s_L = 0$ if and only if $1 \in \deg \text{CH}_0(X_L)$ (it is a *generic splitting variety* of s , if $s_L = 0 \Leftrightarrow X(L) \neq \emptyset$).

Clearly, given a symbol s and a p -generic splitting variety X of s , a smooth complete geometrically irreducible variety X' is also a p -generic splitting variety of s if and only if $X \sim X'$.

A symbol s' is *similar* to s , if $s' = as$ for a nonzero $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Similar symbols vanish over precisely the same fields so that p -generic splitting varieties of similar symbols are equivalent.

According to [30], in characteristic 0, for any symbol s , there exists a p -generic splitting variety of dimension $p^n - 1$. The construction of such varieties is recalled in Section 5d.

An abstract Rost motive $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_s$ living on a p -generic splitting variety of a symbol $s \in H^{n+1}(F, \mu_p^{\otimes n})$ is called a *Rost motive* of the symbol.

THEOREM 4.1. – *Assume that $\text{char } F = 0$. For any symbol $s \in H^{n+1}(F, \mu_p^{\otimes n})$, a Rost motive \mathcal{R}_s of s exists. Moreover, the isomorphism class of \mathcal{R}_s determines and is determined by the similarity class of s .*

Proof. – The existence statement is proved in [35] and [30]. By Proposition 3.4, the isomorphism class of \mathcal{R}_s is determined by the similarity class of s . Finally, if $\mathcal{R}_{s'} \simeq \mathcal{R}_s$ for a symbol s' , the symbols s and s' vanish over precisely the same field extensions of F and therefore are similar by [23, Theorem 2.1]. \square

REMARK 4.2. – In characteristic 0 one may show using Theorem RM.10 that for any Rost motive \mathcal{R} of a nonzero symbol living on a variety X , the homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebras

$$\text{End } \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \text{End } \mathcal{R}_{F(X)} = (\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})^p$$

is injective and has as image the unital $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -subalgebra of $(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})^p$ generated by $p(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})^p$. This explains Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3.

Keeping the characteristic 0 assumption, it follows by Proposition 3.4 that any p -generic splitting variety of s admits a Rost motive and the isomorphism class of a Rost motive on such a variety only depends on s . It follows also that $p^n - 1$ is the least dimension of a p -generic splitting variety of a symbol. The p -generic splitting varieties of dimension $p^n - 1$ are called *norm varieties*.

THEOREM 4.3 (Version for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p$). – *Let F be a field of characteristic 0. Given an A -trivial p -generic splitting variety X of a symbol $s \in H^{n+1}(F, \mu_p^{\otimes n})$, the change of field homomorphism $\mathrm{CH}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$ is surjective in codimensions $< (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$ for any equidimensional variety Y . It is also surjective in codimension $= (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$ for a given Y provided that $s_{F(\zeta)} \neq 0$ for each generic point $\zeta \in Y$.*

Proof. – If $s = 0$, then $1 \in \deg \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ and the statement of Theorem 4.3 is a particular case of Lemma 2.9. Below in the proof we are assuming that $s \neq 0$.

Let ρ be a projector on X giving the Rost motive. By Proposition 2.8, to prove the first statement of Theorem 4.3, it suffices to check that for any field extension L/F the change of field homomorphism

$$\rho^* \mathrm{CH}(X) \rightarrow \rho^* \mathrm{CH}(X_L)$$

is surjective in codimension $< m := (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$. This condition is satisfied by Theorem RM.10.

To prove the second statement of Theorem 4.3, it suffices to additionally check that for any generic point $\zeta \in Y$ the change of field homomorphism

$$\rho^* \mathrm{CH}^m(X) \rightarrow \rho^* \mathrm{CH}^m(X_{F(\zeta)})$$

is surjective. Since $s_{F(\zeta)} \neq 0$, this condition is satisfied by Theorem RM.10 as well. \square

Our main example of X for which Theorem 4.3 can be applied is given by the standard norm variety of a symbol in $H^{n+1}(F, \mu_p^{\otimes n})$, constructed in Section 5d. Such a variety is A -trivial by Theorem 5.8.

The standard norm variety X of a nonzero symbol also provides an example showing that the boundary $b := (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$ of the first (and main) statement of Theorem 4.3 is sharp. Indeed, the element $H \in \mathrm{CH}^b(X_{F(X)})$ considered in Section SC, does not come from F .

A construction similar to [33, Proof of Theorem 3.4], proves

COROLLARY 4.4. – *For any field F of characteristic 0, any prime p and any integer $n \geq 1$, there exists a field extension F'/F such that $H^{n+1}(F', \mu_p^{\otimes n}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{CH}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}(Y_{F'})$ is surjective in codimensions $< (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$ for any equidimensional F -variety Y . \square*

As indicated in [33, Remark after Theorem 3.4], Corollary 4.4 shows that “modulo p and degree $> n$ cohomological invariants of equidimensional algebraic varieties could not affect rationality of cycles of codimension $< (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$ ”.

THEOREM 4.5 (Version for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$). – *We have $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ in this statement. Let F be a field of characteristic 0. Given an A -trivial p -generic splitting variety X of a symbol $s \in H^{n+1}(F, \mu_p^{\otimes n})$ such that $p \in \deg \text{CH}_0(X)$, the homomorphism $\text{CH}(Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$ is surjective in codimensions $< (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$ for any equidimensional variety Y . It is also surjective in codimension $= (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$ for a given Y provided that $s_{F(\zeta)} \neq 0$ for each generic point $\zeta \in Y$.*

Proof. – By Theorem 4.3, $\text{CH}(Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$ is surjective modulo p in the codimensions considered. Since $p \in \deg \text{CH}_0(X)$, the image of $\text{CH}(Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$ contains $p \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)})$ by Corollary 2.10. \square

EXAMPLE 4.6. – For $p = 2$, let $a_0, \dots, a_n \in F^\times$, s the symbol $s := (a_0) \cup \dots \cup (a_n)$, and X the norm quadric $\langle -a_0 \rangle \perp \langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \rangle = 0$. Then X is an A -trivial (see Example 2.5) norm variety of s with $2 \in \deg \text{CH}_0(X)$ so that Theorem 4.5 applies. The result obtained has been originally established by A. Vishik in [33, Corollary 3.3]. Using [14] in place of Appendix RM, the characteristic 0 assumption can be replaced by characteristic $\neq 2$ assumption in this statement.

EXAMPLE 4.7. – Let $\text{char } F = 0$, $p = 3$, and let X be any (among 15) nontrivial projective homogeneous F -variety under an action of a given absolutely simple affine algebraic group of type F_4 over F . Then the conclusion of Theorem 4.3 holds for X with $n = 2$. Indeed, X is A -trivial (for any coefficient ring) by Example 2.5. The modulo 3 portion of the *Rost invariant* (see [21]) provides us with an element $s \in H^3(F, \mu_3^{\otimes 2})$. This element is a symbol by [31, p. 303] (see also [11, p. 21]). Moreover, X is a 3-generic splitting variety of s (see [11, §15.5]), so that Theorem 4.3 applies. The result obtained is an enhancement (in several respects) of [36, Case $p = 3$ of Corollary 1.4].

If F has no finite extensions of degree prime to 3, we have $3 \in \deg \text{CH}_0(X)$ for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$, and Theorem 4.5 applies.

5. A -triviality of standard norm varieties

5a. Retract rational varieties

A variety X over F is called *retract rational* if there exist rational morphisms $\alpha : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\beta : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow X$ for some n such that the composition $\beta \circ \alpha$ is defined and is equal to the identity of X .

The following proposition is due to D. Saltman.

PROPOSITION 5.1. – *Let A be a central simple algebra of prime degree. Then the variety of the algebraic group $\mathbf{SL}_1(A)$ is retract rational.*

Proof. – As $\deg(A)$ is prime, the group $SK_1(A_K)$ is trivial for every field extension K/F [6, §23, Corollary 4]. Taking $K = F(G)$, we can write the generic point $\xi \in \mathbf{SL}_1(A)(K)$ as product of commutators

$$\xi = [f_1, f'_1] \cdot [f_2, f'_2] \cdots [f_n, f'_n]$$

in $(A_K)^\times$, where $f_i, g_i \in (A_K)^\times$. The $2n$ -tuple of functions $(f_1, f'_1, \dots, f_n, f'_n)$ can be viewed as a rational morphism α from G to the affine space $\mathbb{A}(A^{2n})$ of the direct sum of $2n$ copies of the vector space of the algebra A . Define the rational morphism $\beta : \mathbb{A}(A^{2n}) \dashrightarrow G$ by

$$\beta(a_1, a'_1, \dots, a_n, a'_n) = [a_1, a'_1] \cdots [a_n, a'_n].$$

By construction, the composition $\beta \circ \alpha$ is defined and is equal to the identity of G . \square

5b. A -trivial varieties revisited

Recall that a smooth complete variety X over F is called A -trivial if for every field extension K/F such that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$, the degree homomorphism $\text{CH}_0(X_K) \rightarrow \Lambda$ is an isomorphism.

EXAMPLE 5.2. – A retract rational smooth complete variety X is A -trivial. Indeed, it suffices to prove this for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\alpha : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\beta : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow X$ be rational morphisms such that the composition $\beta \circ \alpha$ is defined and is equal to the identity of X , then the composition (see Appendix RC)

$$\text{CH}_0(X_K) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \text{CH}_0(\mathbb{P}^n_K) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} \text{CH}_0(X_K)$$

is the identity for any field extension K/F . As $\text{CH}_0(\mathbb{P}^n_K) = \mathbb{Z}$, α_* is an isomorphism that is equal to the degree map.

PROPOSITION 5.3. – *A smooth complete variety X over F is A -trivial if and only if for every field extension K/F and every two points $x, x' \in X(K)$, we have $[x] = [x']$ in $\text{CH}_0(X_K)$.*

Proof. – \Rightarrow : As $\deg[x] = 1 = \deg[x']$, we have $[x] = [x']$ in $\text{CH}_0(X_K)$.
 \Leftarrow : Let K/F be a field extension such that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in X_K$ be a rational point and $y \in X_K$ a closed point of degree m . It suffices to show that $[y] = m[x]$ in $\text{CH}_0(X_K)$. Let $L = K(y)$ and y' a rational point of X_L over y . By assumption $[y'] = [x_L]$ in $\text{CH}_0(X_L)$. Applying the push-forward homomorphism $\text{CH}_0(X_L) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(X_K)$, we get $[y] = m[x]$. \square

PROPOSITION 5.4. – (1) *If X is an A -trivial variety over F , then so is X_K for any field extension K/F .*

(2) *If X and X' are A -trivial varieties over F , then so is $X \times X'$.*

(3) *Let E/F be a separable field extension and Y a variety over E . If Y is A -trivial, then so is the Weil transfer $R_{E/F}(Y)$.*

Proof. – (1) is trivial.

(2) Let K/F be a field extension and $y_1, y_2 \in (X \times X')(K)$. We have $y_1 = (x_1, x'_1)$ and $y_2 = (x_2, x'_2)$ for $x_1, x_2 \in X(K)$, $x'_1, x'_2 \in X'(K)$. As X and X' are A -trivial, we have $[x_1] = [x_2]$ in $\text{CH}_0(X_K)$, $[x'_1] = [x'_2]$ in $\text{CH}_0(X'_K)$ and hence

$$[y_1] = [x_1] \times [x'_1] = [x_2] \times [x'_2] = [y_2]$$

in $\text{CH}_0(X \times X')_K$.

(3) Let K/F be a field extension and write $E \otimes_F K \simeq E_1 \times \cdots \times E_s$, where E_i are field extensions of K . We have $R_{E/F}(Y)_K = R_{E \otimes_F K/K}(Y_{E \otimes_F K}) = \prod R_{E_i/K}(Y_{E_i})$. Write two points $y, y' \in R_{E/F}(Y)(K) = Y(E \otimes_F K) = \prod Y(E_i)$ in the form $y = (y_1, \dots, y_s)$ and

$y' = (y'_1, \dots, y'_s)$, where $y_i, y'_i \in Y(E_i) = R_{E_i/K}(Y_{E_i})(K)$. By assumption, $[y_i] = [y'_i]$ in $\text{CH}_0(Y_{E_i})$ for all i . Applying the canonical maps

$$\text{CH}_0(Y_{E_i}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(R_{E_i/K}(Y_{E_i}))$$

(see [16]) we get $[y_i] = [y'_i]$ in $\text{CH}_0(R_{E_i/K}(Y_{E_i}))$ for all i . It follows that $[y] = [y']$ in $\text{CH}_0(R_{E/F}(Y)_K)$. \square

5c. Symmetric powers

Let p be an integer and let Y be a quasi-projective variety over F . Write $S^p(Y)$ for the symmetric p th power of Y , i.e., the factor variety of Y^p by the natural action of the symmetric group S_p . A K -point of $S^p Y$ is an effective 0-cycle on Y_K of degree p .

Write \tilde{Y}^p for Y^p with all the diagonals removed and write $\tilde{S}^p(Y)$ for the factor variety \tilde{Y}^p/S_p . If Y is smooth, then so is $\tilde{S}^p(Y)$. Every F -point z of $\tilde{S}^p(Y)$ gives rise to an effective 0-cycle $y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_k$ on Y of degree p with distinct closed points y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k on Y . We will write $F\{z\}$ for the F -algebra $F(y_1) \times F(y_2) \times \dots \times F(y_k)$ of dimension p .

Consider the natural morphism $g : Y \times S^{p-1}(Y) \rightarrow S^p(Y)$. By [30, §2], the sheaf $\mathcal{L} = g_*(\mathcal{O}_{Y \times S^{p-1}(Y)})|_{\tilde{S}^p(Y)}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}^p(Y)}$ -algebra of rank p . The sheaf \mathcal{L} determines a rank p vector bundle J over $\tilde{S}^p(Y)$ such that the fiber of J over a point z in $\tilde{S}^p(Y)$ is the vector space of the algebra $F\{z\}$.

Consider the pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y}^p \amalg \dots \amalg \tilde{Y}^p & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Y}^p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ g^{-1}(\tilde{S}^p(Y)) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{S}^p(Y), \end{array}$$

where the left top corner is disjoint union of p copies of \tilde{Y}^p , the upper map is the identity on each copy and the left vertical morphism on the i th copy takes a point (y_1, \dots, y_p) to $(y_i, y_1 + \dots + \hat{y}_i + \dots + y_p)$. It follows that the sheaf $h^*(\mathcal{L})$ of modules on \tilde{Y}^p is free. Therefore, the vector bundle $h^*(J)$ on \tilde{Y}^p is trivial.

Let E be an étale F -algebra of dimension p . Consider the natural morphism $f : R_{E/F}(Y_E) \rightarrow S^p(Y)$ (see [12, pp. 267–268]). The map f takes a point y in $R_{E/F}(Y_E)(F) = Y(E)$ to the 0-cycle $y_1 + \dots + y_p$, where y_i are the images of y under all the embeddings of E into F_{sep} . If E is split, f is the natural morphism $Y^p \rightarrow S^p(Y)$. In general, f can be obtained via the twist of this morphism by the S_p -torsor corresponding to the étale algebra E . Moreover, the étale group scheme $G = \text{Aut}(E/F)$ (the twisted form of the symmetric group S_p by the same S_p -torsor) acts naturally on $R_{E/F}(Y_E)$ and f identifies $S^p(Y)$ with the factor variety of $R_{E/F}(Y_E)$ by G .

Write $\tilde{R}_{E/F}(Y_E)$ for the preimage $f^{-1}(\tilde{S}^p(Y))$ and \tilde{f} for the morphism $\tilde{R}_{E/F}(Y_E) \rightarrow \tilde{S}^p(Y)$.

LEMMA 5.5. – *If z is a rational point of $\tilde{S}^p(Y)$ and $E = F\{z\}$, then z lifts to a rational point in $\tilde{R}_{E/F}(Y_E)$.*

Proof. – We may assume that E is a field. Over F_{sep} , z is the cycle $y_1 + \dots + y_p$, where the distinct points $y \in Y(F_{\text{sep}})$ are permuted transitively by the Galois group of F_{sep}/F . Choose a point $y \in Y(E) = \tilde{R}_{E/F}(Y_E)$ and an embedding of E into F_{sep} such that the map $Y(E) \rightarrow Y(F_{\text{sep}})$ takes y to y_1 . Then $\tilde{f}(y) = y_1 + \dots + y_p = z$. \square

Twisting the diagram above by the S_p -torsor E , we see that the pull-back $\tilde{f}^*(J)$ is a trivial vector bundle over $\tilde{R}_{E/F}(Y_E)$ with the fiber E , i.e., we have the following fiber product diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{R}_{E/F}(Y_E) \times E & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R}_{E/F}(Y_E) \\ \downarrow & & \tilde{f} \downarrow \\ J & \longrightarrow & \tilde{S}^p(Y). \end{array}$$

5d. A-triviality of standard norm varieties

We need $\text{ch } F = 0$ here. We recall the construction of certain norm varieties given in [30, §2].

Let p be a prime integer, Y a variety over F and $a \in F^\times$. Recall that we have a vector bundle J of rank p over $\tilde{S}^p(Y)$. Write $V(Y, a)$ for the hypersurface in J defined in the fiber over every point z of $\tilde{S}^p(Y)$ by the equation $N = a$, where N is the norm map for the algebra $F\{z\}$. If Y is a smooth geometrically irreducible variety, then so is $V(Y, a)$ and $\dim V(Y, a) = p(\dim Y + 1) - 1$ by [30, Lemma 2.1].

Let E be an étale F -algebra of dimension p and let E^a be the hypersurface in the affine space $\mathbb{A}(E)$ given by the equation $N_{E/F}(x) = a$. We have the following fiber product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{R}_{E/F}(Y_E) \times E^a & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R}_{E/F}(Y_E) \\ \downarrow & & \tilde{f} \downarrow \\ V(Y, a) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{S}^p(Y). \end{array}$$

The étale group scheme $G = \text{Aut}(E/F)$ acts naturally on the varieties in the top row and the vertical morphisms are G -torsors.

Let L be a cyclic étale F -algebra of degree p and let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n (where $n \geq 1$) be a sequence of elements in F^\times . We define a *standard norm variety* $W(L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ for the sequence $(L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ inductively as follows. Let $W(L, a_1)$ be the Severi-Brauer variety for the cyclic algebra $(L/F, a_1)$ of degree p and for $n > 1$ let $W(L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ be a smooth compactification of the smooth variety $V(W(L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}), a_n)$. Note that $W(L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is a smooth projective geometrically irreducible variety over F of dimension $p^n - 1$. Note that the birational class of a standard norm variety $W(L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is uniquely determined by the sequence $(L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$.

Note that if F contains a primitive p th root of unity ξ_p , we have $L = F(a_0^{1/p})$ for some $a_0 \in F^\times$ and $W(L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = W(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ as defined in [30, §2].

For small n one can identify the standard norm varieties as follows (see [25] or [26]):

EXAMPLE 5.6. – By definition, $W(L, a_1)$ is the Severi-Brauer variety for the cyclic algebra $A = (L/F, a_1)$. If $W(L, a_1)_K$ has a point over a field extension K/F , it is isomorphic to the projective space \mathbb{P}_K^{p-1} . Hence it is rational over K and therefore $W(L, a_1)$ is A -trivial.

EXAMPLE 5.7. – The variety $W(L, a_1, a_2)$ is birationally isomorphic to the $\mathbf{SL}_1(A)$ -tor-
 sor given by the equation $\text{Nrd} = a_2$ in the cyclic algebra $A = (L/F, a_1)$, where Nrd is the
 reduced norm map for A . If $W(L, a_1, a_2)$ has a rational point, it is birationally isomorphic
 to the variety of the group $\mathbf{SL}_1(A)$ and hence is retract rational by Proposition 5.1. It follows
 that $W(L, a_1, a_2)$ is A -trivial (see Example 5.2).

A sequence $(L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ as above determines the *symbol*

$$\{L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} := (L) \cup (a_1) \cup \dots \cup (a_n)$$

in $H^{n+1}(F, \mu_p^{\otimes n})$, where $(L) \in H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and $(a_i) \in H^1(F, \mu_p)$ are the obvious classes.
 By [30], the standard norm variety of the sequence $(L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is a norm variety of
 the symbol $\{L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$.

THEOREM 5.8. – Let F be a field of characteristic 0, p a prime integer, L/F a cyclic field
 extension of degree p and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F^\times$. If the symbol $\{L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is nontrivial,
 then a standard norm variety $X := W(L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is A -trivial for the coefficient ring
 $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Moreover, the degree map $\text{deg} : \text{CH}_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is injective.

Proof. – In the proof we may assume that $n \geq 2$ (see Example 5.6). We induct on n .

Write for simplicity

$$Y = W(L, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad U = V(Y, a_n).$$

Thus, X is a smooth compactification of U .

Claim: Let K/F be a field extension such that the symbol $\{L, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ is nontrivial
 and $x_1, x_2 \in U(K)$. Then $[x_1] = [x_2]$ in $\text{CH}_0(X)$ (with the coefficient ring $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$).

Replacing F by K , we may assume that $K = F$. Moreover, we can also assume that F is
 p -special, in particular, F contains ξ_p . We shall write $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ for $\{L, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$.

Let $E_i = F\{z_i\}$, $i = 1, 2$, where z_i is the image of x_i under the morphism
 $U \rightarrow \tilde{S}^p(Y)$. Each E_i is a cyclic field extension of F of degree p . By Lemma 5.5, $Y(E_i) \neq \emptyset$,
 and hence E_i splits the symbol $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ as Y is a splitting variety for this sym-
 bol. By [30, Theorem 5.6], there are $b_0, b_1 \in F^\times$ such that the symbol $\{b_0, b_1\}$ divides
 $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ and both E_i split $\{b_0, b_1\}$. Let Y' be the Severi-Brauer variety for the
 cyclic algebra $(F(b_0^{1/p})/F, b_1)$. Let $U' = V(Y', a_n)$ and let X' be a smooth compactification
 of U' , i.e. X' is a standard norm variety for the 3-sequence (b_0, b_1, a_n) .

The function field $F(Y')$ splits the symbol $\{b_0, b_1\}$ and hence also splits $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$.
 As Y is a p -generic splitting variety of the symbol $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$, there is a finite field
 extension $M/F(Y')$ of degree prime to p such that Y has a point over M . Choose a smooth
 projective model Y'' of M over F . There are two rational maps

$$Y' \leftarrow Y'' \dashrightarrow Y,$$

where the left map is dominant of degree prime to p . The right one is not constant as Y has
 no F -point. Therefore, the image of the induced rational map $S^p(Y'') \dashrightarrow S^p(Y)$ intersects

$\tilde{S}^p(Y)$ nontrivially and hence we have two rational maps $\tilde{R}_{E_i/F}(Y''_{E_i}) \dashrightarrow \tilde{R}_{E_i/F}(Y_{E_i})$ (for $i = 1, 2$). Thus, there are two commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{R}_{E_i/F}(Y'_{E_i}) \times E_i^{a_n} & \dashleftarrow & \tilde{R}_{E_i/F}(Y''_{E_i}) \times E_i^{a_n} & \dashrightarrow & \tilde{R}_{E_i/F}(Y_{E_i}) \times E_i^{a_n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U' & \dashleftarrow & U'' & \dashrightarrow & U, \end{array}$$

where $U'' = V(Y'', a_n)$.

The variety $E_i^{a_n}$ is a torsor under the norm one torus T_i for the cyclic extension E_i/F of degree p . As $T_i \simeq R_{E_i/F}(\mathbb{G}_m, E_i)/\mathbb{G}_m$ and $E_i^{a_n}$ has a rational point, we can embed the variety $E_i^{a_n} \simeq T_i$ as an open subset into the projective space $\mathbb{P}(E_i)$. Thus, we have the following commutative diagram of rational maps of smooth projective varieties:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R_{E_i/F}(Y'_{E_i}) \times \mathbb{P}(E_i) & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon'_i} & R_{E_i/F}(Y''_{E_i}) \times \mathbb{P}(E_i) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_i} & R_{E_i/F}(Y_{E_i}) \times \mathbb{P}(E_i) \\ \beta'_i \downarrow & & \beta''_i \downarrow & & \beta_i \downarrow \\ X' & \dashleftarrow \nu' & X'' & \dashrightarrow \nu & X, \end{array}$$

where X'' is a smooth compactification of U'' .

By Proposition RC.14, we have the equality $(\nu')^t \circ \beta'_i = \beta''_i \circ (\varepsilon'_i)^t$ of rational correspondences. Hence we get a commutative diagram of rational correspondences between smooth projective varieties:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{E_i/F}(Y'_{E_i}) \times \mathbb{P}(E_i) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & R_{E_i/F}(Y_{E_i}) \times \mathbb{P}(E_i) \\ \beta'_i \downarrow & & \beta_i \downarrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\delta} & X, \end{array}$$

where $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i \circ (\varepsilon'_i)^t$ and $\delta = \nu \circ (\nu')^t$.

Note that the correspondences β and β_i are of multiplicity 1 and the correspondences α_i and δ are of multiplicity prime to p .

Choose rational points w_i in $R_{E_i/F}(Y'_{E_i}) \times \mathbb{P}(E_i)$. As X' is A -trivial by Example 5.7 and the 0-cycles $\beta'_{i*}([w_i])$ both have degree 1, we have $\beta'_{1*}([w_1]) = \beta'_{2*}([w_2])$ in $\text{CH}_0(X')$. It follows that

$$(5.9) \quad \beta_{1*}(\alpha_{1*}([w_1])) = \delta_*(\beta'_{1*}([w_1])) = \delta_*(\beta'_{2*}([w_2])) = \beta_{2*}(\alpha_{2*}([w_2])).$$

By Lemma 5.5, there are rational points y_i in $\tilde{R}_{E_i/F}(Y_{E_i}) \times E^{a_n}$ over x_i . It follows from Lemma RC.10 that $\beta_{i*}([y_i]) = [x_i]$ in $\text{CH}_0(X)$.

Since by the induction hypothesis Y is A -trivial, it follows from Proposition 5.4 that the varieties $R_{E_i/F}(Y_{E_i}) \times \mathbb{P}(E_i)$ are A -trivial, hence

$$(5.10) \quad \alpha_{i*}([w_i]) = m[y_i],$$

where $m = \text{mult}(\delta) = \text{mult}(\alpha_i) = \text{deg } \alpha_{i*}([w_i])$. It follows from (5.9) and (5.10) that

$$m[x_1] = m\beta_{1*}([y_1]) = \beta_{1*}(\alpha_{1*}([w_1])) = \beta_{2*}(\alpha_{2*}([w_2])) = m\beta_{2*}([y_2]) = m[x_2]$$

in $\text{CH}_0(X)$. Since m is prime to p , the claim is proved.

Now we finish the proof of the first part of the theorem. Let K/F be a field extension and $x_1, x_2 \in X(K)$. By Proposition 5.3, it suffices to show that $[x_1] = [x_2]$ in $\text{CH}_0(X_K)$.

Consider the field $L = F(X \times X)$ and the two "generic" points ξ_1 and ξ_2 in $X(L)$. Note that $\xi_i \in U(L)$ and the field $F(X \times X)$ does not split the symbol $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$: otherwise the variety Y would be equivalent (in the sense of Section 3) to the varieties $X \sim X \times X$ and we would have $\text{cd}_p Y = \text{cd}_p X$ by Lemma 3.6 contradicting $\text{cd}_p Y = p^{n-1} - 1 < p^n - 1 = \text{cd}_p X$ (see Corollary 3.9). By the claim, $[\xi_1] = [\xi_2]$ in $\text{CH}_0(X_L)$. Specializing ξ_i to x_i (see [10, §20.3] or [28]), we get the result.

We prove now that the degree map $\text{deg} : \text{CH}_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is injective. We may assume that F is a p -special field. We claim that if x and x' are two closed points of X of degree p , then $[x] = [x']$ in $\text{CH}_0(X)$. To prove the claim, as in the first part of the proof, we find a Severi-Brauer variety Y over F , that is split by the two field extensions $L := F(x)$ and $L' := F(x')$ of F , and a correspondence $\delta : Y \rightsquigarrow X$ of degree m prime to p . Let y and y' be closed points of Y with residue fields isomorphic to L and L' respectively.

Consider the correspondence $\delta_L : Y_L \rightsquigarrow X_L$ and rational points $y_1 \in Y_L, x_1 \in X_L$ over y and x respectively. As $\delta_*([y_1])$ is a 0-cycle on X_L of degree m and the variety X is A -trivial by the first part of the proof, we have $(\delta_L)_*([y_1]) = m[x_1]$. Taking the norms for the extension L/F , we get $\delta_*([y]) = m[x]$ in $\text{CH}_0(X)$. Similarly, $\delta_*([y']) = m[x']$. As $[y] = [y']$ in $\text{CH}_0(Y)$ by [27] or [20], we have $[x] = [x']$ in $\text{CH}_0(X)$. The claim is proved.

Take any closed point $x \in X$ and set $\text{deg}(x) = p^k$ for some $k > 0$. As $\text{deg CH}_0(X) = p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and F is p -special, there is a closed point $x' \in X$ of degree p . It suffices to show that $[x] = p^{k-1}[x']$ in $\text{CH}_0(X)$. Choose a field K with $F \subset K \subset F(x)$ and $[F(x) : K] = p$. Let x_1 be a closed point in X_K over x with $\text{deg}(x_1) = p$. We shall show that $[x_1] = [x']_K$ in $\text{CH}_0(X_K)$ and then taking the norms for the extension K/F we get the desired equality. As both cycles $[x_1]$ and $[x']_K$ have degree p , they are equal in the case $X(K) \neq \emptyset$ as X is A -trivial. In the case $X(K) = \emptyset$ the cycles $[x_1]$ and $[x']_K$ are equal by the claim as x_1 and x'_K are points in X_K of degree p . □

Appendix RC

Rational correspondences

We review the construction of the category of rational correspondences due to M. Rost (unpublished) and B. Kahn/R. Sujatha in [13]. We give Rost's approach using cycle modules.

RC-I. Integral correspondences

Let M be a cycle module over a field F and let X be an algebraic variety over F . The groups

$$C_p(X; M) = \coprod_{x \in X_{(p)}} M(x),$$

where $M(x) = M(F(x))$, form a *cycle complex* $C(X; M)$ [28, 3.2]. Denote by $A_p(X; M)$ the homology groups of $C(X; M)$. If X is equidimensional of dimension d_X we set

$$A^q(X; M) = A_{d_X - q}(X; M).$$

EXAMPLE RC.1. – If $M = K$ is given by Milnor's K -theory of fields, $A_p(X; K)$ are the Milnor K -cohomology groups of X , in particular, the Chow groups of X (see [7, Chapter IX]).

Let X, Y and Z be algebraic varieties over F with X irreducible smooth and complete. We define a pairing (\cup -product)

$$\mathrm{CH}_r(X \times Y) \otimes A_p(Z \times X; M) \xrightarrow{\cup} A_{r+p-d_X}(Z \times Y; M), \quad (v, a) \mapsto v \cup a$$

as the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{CH}_r(X \times Y) \otimes A_p(Z \times X; M) &\xrightarrow{\times} A_{r+p}(X \times X \times Z \times Y; M) \\ &\xrightarrow{(\Delta \times \mathrm{id}_Y \times Z)^*} A_{r+p-d_X}(X \times Z \times Y; M) \xrightarrow{q^*} A_{r+p-d_X}(Z \times Y; M), \end{aligned}$$

where \times is the external product, $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ is the diagonal embedding and $q : X \times Z \times Y \rightarrow Z \times Y$ is the projection. (See [28] for the definitions. We need X smooth to get Δ a regular embedding and X complete to have q proper.)

EXAMPLE RC.2. – Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow X$ be morphisms with the graphs $\Gamma_f \subset X \times Y$ and $\Gamma_g \subset Y \times X$. Then $[\Gamma_f] \cup = (\mathrm{id}_Z \times f)_*$ and $[\Gamma_g]^t \cup = (\mathrm{id}_Z \times g)^*$ (here t is the transposition involution).

In particular, we have the product

$$\mathrm{CH}_r(X \times Y) \otimes \mathrm{CH}_p(Z \times X) \xrightarrow{\cup} \mathrm{CH}_{r+p-d_X}(Z \times Y).$$

It is taken as the composition law for the category of *integral correspondences* $\mathrm{Cor}(F)$ (see [19] and [7, Chapter XII]) with the objects smooth complete varieties over F and morphisms

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathrm{Cor}(F)}(X, Y) = \coprod_i \mathrm{CH}_{d_i}(X_i \times Y),$$

where X_i are irreducible (connected) components of X with $d_i = \dim X_i$.

Denote by $\mathrm{Var}(F)$ the category of smooth complete varieties over F and morphisms of varieties. There is a natural functor

$$\mathrm{Var}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cor}(F), \quad X \mapsto X, \quad f \mapsto [\Gamma_f].$$

The functors $\mathrm{Var}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$, $X \mapsto A_p(X; M)$ and $X \mapsto A^p(X; M)$ factor through a covariant functor $\mathrm{Cor}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$,

$$(RC.3) \quad X \mapsto A_p(X; M), \quad a \mapsto a \cup$$

and a contravariant functor

$$(RC.4) \quad X \mapsto A^p(X; M), \quad a \mapsto a^t \cup .$$

RC-II. The cycle module $A_0[Y, M]$

For a cycle module M over F and an algebraic variety Y over F define the cycle module $A_0[Y, M]$ over F by

$$A_0[Y, M](L) = A_0(Y_L, M)$$

(see [28, §7]). There is a canonical map of complexes

$$\theta_{Y, M} : C(X \times Y; M) \rightarrow C(X; A_0[Y, M]),$$

that takes an element in $M(z)$ for $z \in (X \times Y)_{(p)}$ to zero if dimension of the projection x of z in X is less than p and identically to itself otherwise. In the latter case we consider z as a point of dimension 0 in $Y_x := Y_{F(x)}$ under the inclusion $Y_x \subset X \times Y$. Thus, $\theta_{Y, M}$ “ignores” points in $X \times Y$ that lose dimension being projected to X .

We study various compatibility properties of θ .

RC-II.1. Cross products. – Let $N \times M \rightarrow P$ be a bilinear pairing of cycle modules over F . For a variety Y over F we can define a pairing

$$A_0[Y, N] \times M \rightarrow A_0[Y, P]$$

in an obvious way.

LEMMA RC.5. – *Let M be a cycle module, X, Y and Z varieties over F . Then the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X \times Y; N) \otimes C(Z; M) & \xrightarrow{\times} & C(X \times Y \times Z; P) \\ \theta_{Y, N} \otimes \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{Y, P} \\ C(X; A_0[Y, N]) \otimes C(Z; M) & \xrightarrow{\times} & C(X \times Z; A_0[Y, P]). \end{array}$$

Proof. – Let $z \in Z_{(k)}$ and $\mu \in C(Z; M)$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C(X \times Y; N) & \xrightarrow{\pi'_z} & C((X \times Y)_z; N) & \xrightarrow{m'_\mu} & C((X \times Y)_z; P) & \xrightarrow{i'_{z*}} & C(X \times Y \times Z; P) \\ \theta_{Y, N} \downarrow & & \theta_{Y, N} \downarrow & & \theta_{Y, P} \downarrow & & \theta_{Y, P} \downarrow \\ C(X; A_0[Y, N]) & \xrightarrow{\pi_z^*} & C(X_z; A_0[Y, N]) & \xrightarrow{m_\mu} & C(X_z; A_0[Y, P]) & \xrightarrow{i_{z*}} & C(X \times Z; A_0[Y, P]), \end{array}$$

where $\pi_z : X_z \rightarrow X$ and $\pi'_z : (X \times Y)_z \rightarrow X \times Y$ are the natural projections, m_μ and m'_μ are the multiplications by μ , $i_z : X_z \rightarrow X \times Z$ and $i'_z : (X \times Y)_z \rightarrow X \times Y \times Z$ are the inclusions. By the definition of the cross product, the compositions in the two rows of the diagram are the multiplications by μ . \square

RC-II.2. Pull-back maps. – Let $f : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a regular closed embedding, $N_{X/Z}$ the normal bundle over Z . Choose a coordination τ of $N_{X/Z}$ [28, §9]. For a variety Y , the closed embedding

$$f' = f \times \text{id}_Y : Z \times Y \hookrightarrow X \times Y$$

is also regular and the normal bundle $N_{X \times Y / Z \times Y}$ is isomorphic to $N_{X/Z} \times Y$. We choose the induced coordination $\tau' = \tau \times Y$ of $N_{X \times Y / Z \times Y}$.

LEMMA RC.6. – For every cycle module M the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X \times Y; M) & \xrightarrow{I(f')} & C(Z \times Y; M) \\ \theta_{Y,M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{Y,M} \\ C(X; A_0[Y, M]) & \xrightarrow{I(f)} & C(Z; A_0[Y, M]), \end{array}$$

where the pull-back maps $I(f)$ and $I(f')$ are chosen with respect to the coordinations τ and τ' respectively (see [28, §12]).

Proof. – Let $q : X \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow X$ and $q' : X \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow X \times Y$ be the natural projections. The following diagram is clearly commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X \times Y; M) & \xrightarrow{q'^*} & C(X \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m; M) \\ \theta_{Y,M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{Y,M} \\ C(X; A_0[Y, M]) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & C(X \times \mathbb{G}_m; A_0[Y, M]) \end{array}$$

(here q^* and q'^* are the flat pull-back maps [28, 3.5]).

Let t be the coordinate function on \mathbb{G}_m . The map $\theta_{Y,M}$ clearly commutes with the multiplication by t , i.e. the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m; M) & \xrightarrow{(t)} & C(X \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m; M) \\ \theta_{Y,M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{Y,M} \\ C(X \times \mathbb{G}_m; A_0[Y, M]) & \xrightarrow{(t)} & C(X \times \mathbb{G}_m; A_0[Y, M]). \end{array}$$

Let $D = D(X, Z)$ be the deformation space of the embedding f [28, §10]. There is a closed embedding $i : N_{X/Z} \hookrightarrow D$ with the open complement $j : X \times \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow D$. Then $D' = D \times Y$ is the deformation space $D(X \times Y, Z \times Y)$ with the closed embedding

$$i' = i \times \text{id}_Y : N_{X \times Y/Z \times Y} \hookrightarrow D'$$

and the open complement $j' = j \times \text{id}_Y : X \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow D'$.

The commutative diagram of complexes with the exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C(N_{X/Z} \times Y; M) & \xrightarrow{i'_*} & C(D'; M) & \xrightarrow{j'^*} & C(X \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m; M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \theta_{Y,M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{Y,M} & & \theta_{Y,M} \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C(N_{X/Z}; A_0[Y, M]) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & C(D; A_0[Y, M]) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & C(X \times \mathbb{G}_m; A_0[Y, M]) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m; M) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C(N_{X/Z} \times Y; M) \\ \theta_{Y,M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{Y,M} \\ C(X \times \mathbb{G}_m; A_0[Y, M]) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C(N_{X/Z}; A_0[Y, M]). \end{array}$$

The coordinations τ and τ' induce the commutative diagram [28, §9]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(N_{X/Z} \times Y; M) & \xrightarrow{\tau(\tau')} & C(Z \times Y; M) \\ \theta_{Y,M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{Y,M} \\ C(N_{X/Z}; A_0[Y, M]) & \xrightarrow{\tau(\tau)} & C(Z; A_0[Y, M]). \end{array}$$

By the definition of the pull-back map, the diagram in question is the composition of the four commutative square diagrams considered in the proof. \square

RC-II.3. *Push-forward maps.* – Let $f : X \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism of varieties over F . For a variety Y set

$$f' = f \times \text{id}_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Z \times Y.$$

LEMMA RC.7. – *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X \times Y; M) & \xrightarrow{f'_*} & C(Z \times Y; M) \\ \theta_{Y,M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{Y,M} \\ C(X; A_0[Y, M]) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & C(Z; A_0[Y, M]). \end{array}$$

Proof. – Let $u \in (X \times Y)_{(p)}$, $a \in M(u)$. Set $v = f'(u) \in Z \times Y$. If $\dim(v) < p$ then $(f'_*)_u(a) = 0$. In this case the dimension of the projection y of u in Y is less than p and hence $\theta_u(a) = 0$.

Assume that $\dim(v) = p$. Then $F(u)/F(v)$ is a finite field extension and

$$b = (f'_*)_u(a) = c_{F(u)/F(v)}(a) \in M(v),$$

where $c_{F(u)/F(v)}$ is the norm map. If $\dim(y) < p$, then $\theta_u(a) = 0$ and $\theta_v(b) = 0$.

Assume that $\dim(y) = p$. Then

$$(\theta \circ f'_*)_u(a) = c_{F(u)/F(v)}(a) = b$$

considered as an element of $A_0[Y; M](z) = A_0(Y_z; M)$, where z is the image of v in Z . On the other hand,

$$(f_* \circ \theta)_u(a) = \varphi_*(a),$$

where $\varphi : Y_x \rightarrow Y_z$ is the natural morphism (x is the image of u in X) and a is considered as an element of $A_0[Y; M](x)$. It remains to notice that

$$\varphi_*(a) = c_{F(u)/F(v)}(a) = b. \quad \square$$

RC-III. The category of rational correspondences

Let X and Y be varieties over F and let M be a cycle module over F . By Lemma RC.5, for the pairing $K \times M \rightarrow M$ and $Z = X$ we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_{d_X}(X \times Y) \otimes A_0(X; M) & \xrightarrow{\times} & A_{d_X}(X \times X \times Y; M) \\ \theta_{Y, M} \otimes \mathrm{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{Y, M} \\ A_{d_X}(X; A_0[Y, K_0]) \otimes A_0(X; M) & \xrightarrow{\times} & A_{d_X}(X \times X; A_0[Y, M]). \end{array}$$

Assume that X is smooth. Let $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ be the diagonal embedding and $\Delta' = \Delta \times \mathrm{id}_Y$. By Lemma RC.6, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{d_X}(X \times X \times Y; M) & \xrightarrow{\Delta'^*} & A_0(X \times Y; M) \\ \theta_{Y, M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_{Y, M} \\ A_{d_X}(X \times X; A_0[Y, M]) & \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} & A_0(X; A_0[Y, M]). \end{array}$$

Finally, assume that X is complete. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} F$ be the structure morphism and $f' = f \times \mathrm{id}_Y$. Lemma RC.7 gives the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0(X \times Y; M) & \xrightarrow{f'_*} & A_0(Y; M) \\ \theta_{Y, M} \downarrow & & \parallel \\ A_0(X; A_0[Y, M]) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & A_0(\mathrm{Spec} F; A_0[Y, M]). \end{array}$$

PROPOSITION RC.8. – *Let X and Y be varieties over F , let X be irreducible smooth and proper and let M be a cycle module over F . Then the pairing*

$$\mathrm{CH}_{d_X}(X \times Y) \otimes A_0(X; M) \xrightarrow{\cup} A_0(Y; M)$$

is trivial on all cycles in $\mathrm{CH}_{d_X}(X \times Y)$ that are not dominant over X . In other words, the \cup -product factors through a natural pairing

$$r : \mathrm{CH}_0(Y_{F(X)}) \otimes A_0(X; M) \xrightarrow{\cup} A_0(Y; M).$$

Proof. – Composing all three diagrams in Section RC-III and taking into account that

$$A_{d_X}(X; A_0[Y, K_0]) = \mathrm{CH}_0(Y_{F(X)}),$$

we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CH}_{d_X}(X \times Y) \otimes A_0(X; M) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & A_0(Y; M) \\ r \times \mathrm{id} \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \mathrm{CH}_0(Y_{F(X)}) \otimes A_0(X; M) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & A_0(Y; M), \end{array}$$

whence the statement. □

In the conditions of Proposition RC.8, for an irreducible variety Z over F the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{CH}_{d_X}(X \times Y) \otimes A_0(Z \times X; M) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & A_0(Z \times Y; M) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{CH}_{d_X}(X \times Y) \otimes A_0(X_{F(Z)}; M) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & A_0(Y_{F(Z)}; M) \\
 r \times \mathrm{id} \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 \mathrm{CH}_0(Y_{F(X)}) \otimes A_0(X_{F(Z)}; M) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & A_0(Y_{F(Z)}; M)
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

In particular, we have a well defined pairing

$$\mathrm{CH}_0(Y_{F(X)}) \otimes \mathrm{CH}_0(X_{F(Z)}) \xrightarrow{\cup} \mathrm{CH}_0(Y_{F(Z)})$$

that can be taken for the composition law in the category of *rational correspondences* $\mathrm{RatCor}(V)$ with the objects smooth complete varieties over F and the morphisms

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathrm{RatCor}(F)}(X, Y) = \coprod_i \mathrm{CH}_0(Y_{F(X_i)}),$$

where X_i are all irreducible (connected) components of X .

There is an obvious functor $\kappa : \mathrm{Cor}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{RatCor}(F)$.

THEOREM RC.9. – *For a cycle module M there are well defined:*

(1) *The covariant functor*

$$\mathrm{RatCor}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}, \quad X \mapsto A_0(X; M), \quad a \mapsto a \cup,$$

i.e. the functor (RC.3) factors through κ if $p = 0$.

(2) *The contravariant functor*

$$\mathrm{RatCor}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}, \quad X \mapsto A^0(X; M), \quad a \mapsto a^t \cup,$$

i.e. the functor (RC.4) factors through κ if $p = 0$.

Proof. – The first statement follows from Proposition RC.8. To prove the second part consider an irreducible variety Y and an open subset $j : U \hookrightarrow Y$. For a smooth complete X in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{CH}_{d_Y}(X \times Y) \otimes A^0(X; M) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & A^0(Y; M) \\
 (j \otimes \mathrm{id})^* \downarrow & & \downarrow j^* \\
 \mathrm{CH}_{d_Y}(X \times U) \otimes A^0(X; M) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & A^0(U; M)
 \end{array}$$

the right vertical homomorphism is injective. Hence the pairing in the top row of the diagram is trivial on the cycles in $\mathrm{CH}_{d_Y}(X \times Y)$ that are not dominant over Y . Thus we have a well defined pairing

$$\mathrm{CH}_{d_Y}(X_{F(Y)}) \otimes A^0(X; M) \xrightarrow{\cup} A^0(Y; M)$$

that defines a contravariant functor

$$X \mapsto A^0(X; M), \quad a \mapsto a^t \cup. \quad \square$$

By Theorem RC.9, for a cycle module M and a rational correspondence $\alpha : X \rightsquigarrow Y$, we have the two natural homomorphisms

$$\alpha_* := \alpha \cup : A_0(X; M) \rightarrow A_0(Y; M)$$

and

$$\alpha^* := \alpha^t \cup : A^0(Y; M) \rightarrow A^0(X; M).$$

Let $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a rational morphism of irreducible varieties. It defines a rational point of $Y_{F(X)}$ over $F(X)$ and hence a morphism in $\text{Mor}_{\text{RatCor}}(X, Y)$ that we still denote by $f : X \rightsquigarrow Y$. In fact, the rational correspondence f is the image of the class of the graph of f under the natural homomorphism $\text{CH}_{d_X}(X \times Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(Y_{F(X)})$.

LEMMA RC.10. – *Let $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a rational morphism of smooth complete varieties and let $x \in X$ be a rational point such that $f(x)$ is defined. Then $f_*([x]) = [f(x)]$ in $\text{CH}_0(Y)$.*

Proof. – Let $\Gamma \subset X \times Y$ be the graph of f . The preimage of $\{x\} \times \Gamma$ under the morphism $\Delta_X \times \text{id}_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times X \times Y$ is the reduced scheme $\{x\} \times \{f(x)\}$. It follows from [7, Corollary 57.20] that $(\Delta_X \times \text{id}_Y)^*([x] \times [\Gamma]) = [x] \times [f(x)]$ and hence $f_*([x]) = q_*([x] \times [f(x)]) = [f(x)]$, where $q : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is the projection. \square

COROLLARY RC.11. – *Let $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \dashrightarrow Z$ be composable rational morphisms of smooth complete varieties and let $h : X \dashrightarrow Z$ be the composition of f and g . Then $g \circ f = h$ in $\text{Mor}_{\text{RatCor}}(X, Z)$.*

Proof. – Let y be the rational point of $Y_{F(X)}$ corresponding to f . By assumption, the rational morphism $g_{F(X)} : Y_{F(X)} \dashrightarrow Z_{F(X)}$ is defined at y . By Lemma RC.10, the composition of correspondences f and g takes $[y]$ to $[g_{F(X)}(y)] \in \text{CH}_0(Z_{F(X)})$. Note that the latter class is given by h . \square

COROLLARY RC.12. – *For every two composable rational morphisms $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \dashrightarrow Z$ of smooth complete varieties, we have $(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*$ and $(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$.*

COROLLARY RC.13 (cf. [28, Corollary 12.10]). – *The groups $A_0(X; M)$ and $A^0(X; M)$ are birational invariants of the smooth complete variety X .*

PROPOSITION RC.14. – *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \succ X & & \\ | & & | \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ Y' - \frac{\delta}{\gamma} \succ Y & & \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of dominant rational morphisms of smooth complete irreducible varieties with $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$ and $\dim(X') = \dim(Y')$. Suppose that the natural ring homomorphism $F(X) \otimes_{F(Y)} F(Y') \rightarrow F(X')$ is an isomorphism. Then $\gamma^t \circ \delta = \alpha \circ \beta^t$.

Proof. – The generic fibers of the dominant rational morphisms γ and β are the single point schemes $\{x\}$ and $\{x'\}$ respectively. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \{x'\} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \{x'\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \{x\} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X'_{F(Y')} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_{F(Y')} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_{F(Y)} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec } F(Y') & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Spec } F(Y') & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Spec } F(Y).
 \end{array}$$

Note that as schemes, $\{x\} = \text{Spec } F(X)$ and $\{x'\} = \text{Spec } F(X')$. It follows from the assumption that the right part of the diagram is cartesian and hence so is the top right square. In particular, $\{x'\}$ is closed in both $X'_{F(Y')}$ and $X_{F(Y')}$.

By [7, Proposition 62.4(2)], the composition $\gamma^t \circ \delta$ is equal to the image of $[x]$ under the pull-back homomorphism $\text{CH}_0(X_{F(Y)}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(X_{F(Y')})$ and hence is equal to $[x']$ as $\{x'\}$ is the fiber product of $\{x\}$ and $X_{F(Y')}$ over $X_{F(Y)}$.

The composition $\alpha \circ \beta^t$ is equal to the image of $[x']$ under the push-forward homomorphism $\alpha_* : \text{CH}_0(X'_{F(Y')}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_0(X_{F(Y')})$. The rational map $\alpha_{F(Y')} : X'_{F(Y')} \rightarrow X_{F(Y')}$ is defined at x' and $\alpha_{F(Y')}(x') = x'$ as x' is the closed point in both $X'_{F(Y')}$ and $X_{F(Y')}$. It follows from Lemma RC.10 that $\alpha_*([x']) = [x']$ in $\text{CH}_0(X_{F(Y')})$. \square

Appendix RM

Chow groups of Rost motives

We assume that $\text{ch } F = 0$ here.

RM-I. The binary motive

Let n be a positive integer, p a prime integer and s a symbol in $H^{n+1}(F, \mu_p^{\otimes n})$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 b &= (p^n - 1)/(p - 1) = 1 + p + \cdots + p^{n-1}, \\
 c &= (p^{n+1} - 1)/(p - 1) = 1 + p + \cdots + p^n = bp + 1 = b + p^n, \\
 d &= p^n - 1 = b(p - 1) = c - b - 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{X} be the object in the triangulated category of motivic complexes $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z})$ given by the simplicial scheme of a norm variety of s . (Sometimes we will write \mathcal{X} as well for the corresponding object in $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$.) Write Q_i for the Milnor operation in the motivic cohomology of bidegree $(2p^i - 1, p^i - 1)$ (see [35]) and set

$$\mu = (Q_1 \circ Q_2 \circ \cdots \circ Q_{n-1})(\delta) \in H^{2b+1, b}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$\gamma = (Q_1 \circ Q_2 \circ \cdots \circ Q_{n-1} \circ Q_n)(\delta) = \pm Q_{n-1}(\mu) \in H^{2c, c-1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\delta \in H^{n+2, n}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ is the element corresponding to the symbol s (see [23]).

The binary motive \mathcal{M} of s is defined by the exact triangle

$$\mathcal{X}(b)[2b] \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{X}(b)[2b+1]$$

in $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z})$.

RM-II. Symmetric powers

As in [35], consider the symmetric powers $\mathrm{Sym}^i(\mathcal{M})$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ in $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ of the binary motive \mathcal{M} . There are the morphisms

$$a_i : \mathrm{Sym}^i(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{i-1}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{M} \quad \text{and} \quad b_i : \mathrm{Sym}^{i-1}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^i(\mathcal{M}),$$

defined by

$$a_i(m_1 \dots m_i) = \sum_{j=1}^i m_1 \dots \hat{m}_j \dots m_i \otimes m_j \quad \text{and} \quad b_i(m_1 \dots m_{i-1} \otimes m) = m_1 \dots m_{i-1} m.$$

Consider the compositions:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i : \mathrm{Sym}^{i-1}(\mathcal{M})(b)[2b] &\xrightarrow{1 \otimes x} \mathrm{Sym}^{i-1}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{b_i} \mathrm{Sym}^i(\mathcal{M}), \\ y_i : \mathrm{Sym}^i(\mathcal{M}) &\xrightarrow{a_i} \mathrm{Sym}^{i-1}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes y} \mathrm{Sym}^{i-1}(\mathcal{M}). \end{aligned}$$

We have $x_1 = x$ and $y_1 = y$. Set

$$r_i = \mathrm{Sym}^i(y) : \mathrm{Sym}^i(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X},$$

so $r_1 = y$.

The following lemma can be checked by a direct computation:

LEMMA RM.1. – For every $i = 2, \dots, p-1$,

- (1) $y_i \circ b_{i-1} - b_{i-2} \circ (y_{i-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Sym}^{i-1}(\mathcal{M})} \otimes y$,
- (2) $r_{i-1} \circ y_i = i \cdot r_i$,
- (3) $y_1 y_2 \dots y_i = i! \cdot r_i$.

COROLLARY RM.2. – The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Sym}^{i-1}(\mathcal{M})(b)[2b] & \xrightarrow{x_i} & \mathrm{Sym}^i(\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{r_i} & \mathcal{X} \\ y_{i-1}(b)[2b] \downarrow & & y_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \cdot i \\ \mathrm{Sym}^{i-2}(\mathcal{M})(b)[2b] & \xrightarrow{x_{i-1}} & \mathrm{Sym}^{i-1}(\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{r_{i-1}} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Consider the following objects $\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{Sym}^{p-2}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathrm{Sym}^{p-1}(\mathcal{M})$ in $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$. By [35, §5–§6], the motive $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_s$ is isomorphic to a Chow motive living on a norm variety of s (this is the only place where we need characteristic 0). Since over any field extension of F killing the symbol, the element δ is trivial, the element μ is also trivial so that the motive \mathcal{M} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}(b)$ and the motive \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to the direct sum $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}(b) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}(d)$. It follows that \mathcal{R} is the *Rost motive* of the symbol s (as defined in Section 4).

Consider the morphism $s = \frac{1}{(p-2)!} y_2 \dots y_{p-1} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Taking the compositions of the diagrams in Corollary RM.2 and dividing out $(p-2)!$, we have:

LEMMA RM.3. – *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{J}(b)[2b] & \xrightarrow{x_{p-1}} & \mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{r_{p-1}} & \mathcal{X} \\ r_{p-2}(b)[2b] \downarrow & & s \downarrow & & \downarrow \cdot (p-1) \\ \mathcal{X}(b)[2b] & \xrightarrow{x} & \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{y} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

There are exact triangles [35, (5.5) and (5.6)] in $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z}(p))$:

$$(RM.4) \quad \mathcal{X}(d)[2d] \xrightarrow{\text{Sym}^{p-1}(x)} \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{y_{p-1}} \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(d)[2d+1],$$

$$(RM.5) \quad \mathcal{J}(b)[2b] \xrightarrow{x_{p-1}} \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{r_{p-1}} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(b)[2b+1].$$

RM-III. Chow groups of Rost motives

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $K_m^s(F)$ be the factor group of Milnor’s K -group $K_m(F)$ by the subgroup generated by the images of the norm homomorphisms $K_m(L) \rightarrow K_m(F)$ over all finite field extensions L/F such that s vanishes over L .

By [23, Theorem 1.15], a nontrivial element α of the motivic cohomology group

$$H^{i,j}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}) := H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}(j))$$

with $i > j$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$\alpha = x\gamma^k(Q_1^{\varepsilon_1} \circ Q_2^{\varepsilon_2} \circ \dots \circ Q_n^{\varepsilon_n})(\delta) = x\gamma^k Q^\varepsilon(\delta),$$

where $x \in K_m^s(F)$ and k, ε_i are integers such that $k \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon_i = 0$ or 1 . We have

$$j = m + (c-1)k + \sum \varepsilon_k(p^k - 1) + n,$$

$$(RM.6) \quad w(\alpha) =: 2j - i = m - 2k - |\varepsilon| + (n - 2),$$

where $|\varepsilon| = \sum \varepsilon_k$.

Note that if $j \leq d$, then $k = 0$ and $\varepsilon_n = 0$.

LEMMA RM.7. – *Let $0 \leq j \leq d$. Then*

$$H^{2j+1,j}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})\mu, & \text{if } j = b; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. – Let $\alpha = x \cdot Q^\varepsilon(\delta) \in H^{2j+1,j}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z})$, where $x \in K_m^s(F)$. Recall that $\varepsilon_n = 0$, hence $|\varepsilon| \leq n - 1$. By (RM.6),

$$-1 = w(\alpha) = m - |\varepsilon| + (n - 2)$$

and therefore,

$$n - 1 \geq |\varepsilon| = m + (n - 1).$$

It follows that $m = 0$ and $Q^\varepsilon(\delta) = (Q_1 \circ Q_2 \circ \dots \circ Q_{n-1})(\delta) = \mu$. □

For every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ set

$$\widetilde{Q}_i = Q_1 \circ \dots \circ \widehat{Q}_i \circ \dots \circ Q_{n-1}.$$

Note that $\widetilde{Q}_i(\delta) \in H^{2(b-p^i+1), b-p^i+1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z})$.

LEMMA RM.8. – Let $0 \leq j \leq d$. Then

$$H^{2j,j}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1, & \text{if } j = 0; \\ (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})\widetilde{Q}_i(\delta), & \text{if } j = b - p^i + 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1; \\ K_1^s(F)\mu, & \text{if } j = b + 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. – We may assume that $j > 0$. Let $\alpha = xQ^\varepsilon(\delta) \in H^{2j,j}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z})$, where $x \in K_m^s(F)$. Recall that $|\varepsilon| \leq n - 1$. By (RM.6),

$$0 = w(\alpha) = m - |\varepsilon| + (n - 2)$$

and therefore,

$$n - 1 \geq |\varepsilon| = m + (n - 2).$$

It follows that $m \leq 1$. If $m = 0$, we have $|\varepsilon| = n - 2$, hence $Q^\varepsilon = \widetilde{Q}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ and $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})\widetilde{Q}_i(\delta)$.

If $m = 1$, then $|\varepsilon| = n - 1$ and $Q^\varepsilon = Q_1 \circ Q_2 \circ \dots \circ Q_{n-1}$, hence $\alpha \in K_1^s(F)\mu$. \square

LEMMA RM.9. – The canonical map

$$H^{i,j}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \rightarrow H^{i,j}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$$

is an isomorphism if $i < 2d$ and $j < d$.

Proof. – Use the triangle (RM.4). \square

The Chow groups with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of a motive \mathcal{N} in $\mathbf{DM}(F, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ are defined as

$$\mathrm{CH}^i(\mathcal{N}) := H^{2i,i}(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}).$$

THEOREM RM.10. – Let \mathcal{R} be the Rost motive of a nontrivial $(n + 1)$ -symbol modulo p . Then

$$\mathrm{CH}^j(\mathcal{R}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, & \text{if } j = 0; \\ p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, & \text{if } j = bk, 1 \leq k \leq p - 1; \\ \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } j = bk - p^i + 1, 1 \leq k \leq p - 1, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. – We induct on $j = 0, 1, \dots, d$. First suppose that $j < b$. The triangle (RM.5) yields an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{CH}^j(\mathcal{R}) \simeq \mathrm{CH}^j(\mathcal{X})$$

and the statement follows from Lemma RM.8.

Now consider the case $j = b$. The triangle (RM.5) yields an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{CH}^b(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^b(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^0(\mathcal{J}) \rightarrow H^{2b+1,b}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}).$$

The first term is trivial by Lemma RM.8 and the last is equal to $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})\mu$ by Lemma RM.7. By Lemma RM.9, $\mathrm{CH}^0(\mathcal{J}) = \mathrm{CH}^0(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. The last map is the multiplication by $(p - 1)\mu = -\mu$ by Lemma RM.3, hence $\mathrm{CH}^b(\mathcal{R}) = p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

Now assume that $j = b + 1$. The triangle (RM.5) gives an exact sequence

$$H^{1,1}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{b+1}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^{b+1}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}^1(\mathcal{J}).$$

By Lemma [RM.9](#), the last term is trivial and the first term is equal to

$$H^{1,1}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \simeq H^{1,1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \simeq K_1(F) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}.$$

In view of Lemma [RM.8](#), the second term is equal to $K_1^s(F)\mu$. The first map is multiplication by μ , hence is surjective. It follows that $\text{CH}^{b+1}(\mathcal{R}) = 0$.

Now suppose that $j > b + 1$. The triangle ([RM.5](#)) gives an exact sequence

$$\text{CH}^j(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{CH}^j(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \text{CH}^{j-b}(\mathcal{J}) \rightarrow H^{2j+1,j}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}).$$

It follows from Lemma [RM.9](#) that $\text{CH}^{j-b}(\mathcal{J}) \simeq \text{CH}^{j-b}(\mathcal{R})$. By Lemmas [RM.7](#) and [RM.8](#), the first and the last terms are trivial, hence

$$\text{CH}^j(\mathcal{R}) \simeq \text{CH}^{j-b}(\mathcal{R})$$

and the result follows by induction. □

Appendix SC

Special correspondences

In this appendix, CH is the Chow group with integer coefficients and Ch is the Chow group with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p . The base field F is of arbitrary characteristic $\neq p$.

Let X be a smooth complete geometrically irreducible variety of dimension $d := p^n - 1$ for some $n \geq 1$. A *special correspondence* σ on X is an *anti-symmetric* ($\sigma^t = -\sigma$) element of $\text{CH}^b(X \times X)$, where $b = (p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$, such that for the image $H \in \text{CH}^b(X_{F(X)})$ of σ under the pull-back along the morphism $X_{F(X)} \rightarrow X \times X$, induced by the generic point of the first factor, one has:

1. $\sigma_{F(X)} = 1 \times H - H \times 1$ and
2. the degree of the 0-cycle class H^{p-1} is not divisible by p .

(The original definition of a special correspondence given in [\[29\]](#) is more restrictive, but we only need the above properties.)

As shown in [\[29\]](#), any standard norm variety possesses a special correspondence, and this explains our interest to varieties possessing a special correspondence.

We are going to use the Steenrod operations on Ch, [\[4\]](#) or [\[2\]](#) (or [\[1\]](#)). For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write S^i for the cohomological Steenrod operation which increases the codimension by i . The way of indexing differs from that of [\[4\]](#). In our indexing we have $S^i = 0$ if i is not divisible by $p - 1$. Note that for existence of the Steenrod operations, we do not need to assume quasi-projectivity of varieties, [\[4, §10\]](#).

SC-I. Rationality of Steenrod operations

Here is the main result of this subsection which we prove using the modification due to R. Fino [9] of the original technique due to A. Vishik [32]. It extends (a weakened version of) Theorem 4.3: for $s = 0$ the result below is very close to Theorem 4.3 (for $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p$) weakened by the presence of an exponent p element in the statement as well as by the requirement that Y is smooth (which we need for the Steenrod operations to be defined). Note that unlike Theorem 4.3, the proof of the result below does not rely on Appendix RM.

We recall that two smooth complete irreducible varieties are *equivalent* if there exist multiplicity 1 correspondences (with $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p$) between them in both directions.

THEOREM SC.1. – *Let X be an A -trivial (for $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p$) F -variety equivalent to an A -trivial F -variety of dimension $p^n - 1$ possessing a special correspondence. Then for any smooth irreducible F -variety Y , any $m, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $s > (m - b)(p - 1)$, and any $y \in \text{Ch}^m(Y_{F(X)})$, the element $S^s(y) \in \text{Ch}^{m+s}(Y_{F(X)})$ is rational (i.e., comes from F) up to the class modulo p of an exponent p element of $\text{CH}^{m+s}(Y_{F(X)})$.*

EXAMPLE SC.2. – Let X be the Severi-Brauer variety of a degree p central simple F -algebra. The variety X has dimension $p - 1$, is A -trivial (see Example 2.5), and possesses a special correspondence (see [15, Remark 7.17]). It follows that for any smooth F -variety Y and any element $y \in \text{Ch}(Y_{F(X)})$, its p th power y^p is rational up to the class modulo p of an exponent p element. Indeed, one may assume that Y is irreducible and y is homogeneous of some codimension $m \geq 0$. Then $y^p = S^s(y)$ with $s = m(p - 1) > (m - b)(p - 1)$ (note that $n = 1$ and $b = (p^n - 1)/(p - 1) = 1$ here so that Theorems 4.5 and 4.3, if applicable at all, are vacuous in this situation).

Proof of Theorem SC.1. – If $\deg \text{CH}_0(X) \not\subset p\mathbb{Z}$, then $1 \in \deg \text{CH}_0(X)$ and we are done by Lemma 2.9. Below we are assuming that $\deg \text{CH}_0(X) \subset p\mathbb{Z}$.

If the conclusion of Theorem SC.1 holds for an A -trivial variety X , then it also holds for any A -trivial variety X' equivalent to X . Indeed, by Lemma 2.9, the right and the bottom maps of the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ch}(Y) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ch}(Y_{F(X)}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Ch}(Y_{F(X')}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ch}(Y_{F(X \times X')}) \end{array}$$

are isomorphisms. Therefore we may assume that the variety X itself has dimension $d := p^n - 1$ and possesses a special correspondence $\sigma \in \text{CH}^b(X \times X)$. As in our definition of special correspondence, let $H \in \text{CH}^b(X_{F(X)})$ be the image of σ .

LEMMA SC.3. – *The element $pH \in \text{CH}^b(X_{F(X)}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is rational.*

Proof. – We set $\rho := \sigma^{p-1}$ (the power is taken using multiplication in the Chow group, not composition of correspondences). Then

$$(SC.4) \quad (\sigma \circ \rho)_{F(X)} / \deg(H^{p-1}) = 1 \times H + (p - 1)H \times 1,$$

and the pull-back of the rational element (SC.4) with respect to the diagonal of X produces pH . \square

The following lemma holds with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ (cf. [29, Proposition 5.9]), although we need it now only for coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p :

LEMMA SC.5. – *An abstract Rost motive (with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ as well as with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p) lives on X . More precisely, there exists a symmetric (Rost) projector in $\mathrm{CH}^d(X \times X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ such that over $F(X)$ it is equal to*

$$(1 \times H^{p-1} + H \times H^{p-2} + \cdots + H^{p-1} \times 1) / \deg(H^{p-1}).$$

Proof. – It suffices to prove the statement for coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

The (symmetric for $p \neq 2$ and anti-symmetric for $p = 2$) correspondence

$$\rho := \sigma^{p-1} \in \mathrm{CH}^d(X \times X)$$

considered over $F(X)$ is congruent modulo p to the sum

$$(SC.6) \quad 1 \times H^{p-1} + H \times H^{p-2} + \cdots + H^{p-2} \times H + H^{p-1} \times 1.$$

Let $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ be the inverse of the integer $\deg(H^{p-1})$. The difference of the symmetric correspondence

$$\rho' := (c\rho) \circ (c\rho) \in \mathrm{CH}^d(X \times X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)},$$

considered over $F(X)$, and the projector

$$\pi := c(1 \times H^{p-1} + H \times H^{p-2} + \cdots + H^{p-1} \times 1) \in \mathrm{CH}^d(X \times X)_{F(X)} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$$

is a linear combination of $H^i \times H^{p-i-1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ with divisible by p coefficients.

The motive defined by π is

$$(X_{F(X)}, \pi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}(b) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}(d).$$

Replacing ρ' by $(\rho')^{\circ p^r}$ with sufficiently big r , we keep the symmetry of ρ' and get that the difference of ρ' and π is a linear combination of $H^i \times H^{p-i-1}$ with coefficients divisible by p^{p-1} . It follows by Lemma SC.3 that there exists a symmetric correspondence $\rho'' \in \mathrm{CH}^d(X \times X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ with $\rho''_{F(X)} = \pi$.

Let A (respectively, B) be the (commutative) subring of the ring $\mathrm{End} M(X)$ (respectively, $\mathrm{End} M(X_{F(X)})$) generated by ρ'' (respectively, π). The kernel of the ring epimorphism $A \twoheadrightarrow B$ consists of nilpotent elements. Indeed, any element of the kernel vanishes over $F(X)$ and, by specialization, over the residue field of any point of X . Therefore it is nilpotent by [7, Theorem 67.1]. It follows by an argument like in [7, Corollary 92.5] that there exists a projector in $A \subset \mathrm{CH}^d(X \times X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ whose image in B is π . This projector is symmetric because A consists of symmetric elements only. The motive given by this projector is an abstract Rost motive. \square

Recall that $\deg \mathrm{CH}_0(X) \subset p\mathbb{Z}$ is assumed.

COROLLARY SC.7. – *For any $i > 0$ and any $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}^i(X), \beta \in \mathrm{CH}_i(X_{F(X)})$, the degree of the 0-cycle class $\alpha_{F(X)} \cdot \beta$ is divisible by p .*

Proof. – Combine Lemma SC.5 with Corollary 3.11. \square

COROLLARY SC.8. – *For any $i, j > 0, k, l \geq 0, \alpha \in \mathrm{CH}^i(X)$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{CH}^j(X)$, the degree of the element $(\alpha \times \beta)_{F(X)} \cdot (H^k \times H^l) \in \mathrm{CH}^{i+j+b(k+l)}(X \times X)_{F(X)}$ is divisible by p^2 .*

Proof. – The degree is equal to the product of the degrees $\deg(\alpha_{F(X)} \cdot H^k)$ and $\deg(\beta_{F(X)} \cdot H^l)$ each of which is divisible by p by Corollary SC.7. \square

COROLLARY SC.9. – For any $i, j > 0, r \geq 0, \alpha \in \text{CH}^i(X)$ and $\beta \in \text{CH}^j(X)$, the degree of the element $(\alpha \times \beta) \cdot \sigma^r \in \text{CH}^{i+j+br}(X \times X)$ is divisible by p^2 .

Proof. – The element $\sigma_{F(X)}^r$ is a linear combination of $H^k \times H^l$ (with $k + l = r$). \square

LEMMA SC.10. – For any $i > 0$, the element $S^i(H) \in \text{Ch}^{b+i}(X_{F(X)})$ is rational.

Proof. – We prove first that $\deg(H^j S^i(H)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for any $j \geq 0$. Assume the contrary. Then i is divisible by b , say, $i = bk$ for some $k > 0$, and $j = p - 2 - k$. Computing the composition $S^i(\sigma) \circ \sigma^{p-1-k} \in \text{Ch}(X \times X)$ over $F(X)$ we get a multiple with a nonzero coefficient $\in \mathbb{F}_p$ of $H \times 1 \in \text{Ch}^b(X \times X)$. Taking the pull-back with respect to the diagonal shows that the class of H modulo p is rational and therefore $1 \in \deg \text{Ch}_0(X)$, a contradiction.

Now the composition $S^i(\sigma) \circ \sigma^{p-1} \in \text{Ch}(X \times X)$ computed over $F(X)$ gives a multiple with a nonzero coefficient of $1 \times S^i(H)$, and we finish the proof pulling back with respect to the diagonal of X . \square

We set $\rho := \sigma^{p-1} \in \text{CH}^d(X \times X)$. Since $\rho_{F(X)}$ is congruent modulo p to the alternating sum (SC.6), any element $x \in \text{Ch}(X \times Y)$ of the form $x = x' \circ \rho$ for some $x' \in \text{Ch}(X \times Y)$ decomposes over $F(X)$ as

$$(SC.11) \quad x_{F(X)} = 1 \times x_0 + H \times x_1 + \cdots + H^{p-1} \times x_{p-1}$$

with some $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1} \in \text{Ch}(Y_{F(X)})$. Note that x_0 coincides with the image of x in $\text{Ch}(Y_{F(X)})$. The key statement in the proof of Theorem SC.1 is the following proposition (where the A -triviality assumption is not needed):

PROPOSITION SC.12. – Let X be a smooth complete irreducible variety of dimension $d = p^n - 1$ possessing a special correspondence $\sigma \in \text{CH}^b(X \times X)$. Let Y be a smooth irreducible variety and $x \in \text{Ch}^m(X \times Y)$ an element of the form $x = x' \circ \rho$. Then for any $s > (m - b)(p - 1)$ the element $S^s(x_0) \in \text{Ch}^{m+s}(Y_{F(X)})$ is rational up to the class modulo p of an exponent p element.

Proof. – For any $x \in \text{Ch}(X \times Y)$, we have the relation

$$pr_{2*} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq d+s} b_i \cdot S^{d+s-i}(x) = S^{d+s}(pr_{2*}(x)),$$

where $b_i := b_i(-T_X) \in \text{CH}^i(X)$ (this is rather $b_i(T_X)$ in notation of [29]), where $b_i(\cdot)$ are the components of the multiplicative Chern class as defined in [2, §6.1]. Note that the product in the expression $b_i \cdot S^{d+s-i}(x)$ is the product of the $\text{Ch}(X)$ -module $\text{Ch}(X \times Y)$ so that the expression actually means $(b_i \times Y) \cdot S^{d-i}(x)$ (now in the sense of the product in the ring $\text{Ch}(X \times Y)$).

Now we assume that $x \in \text{Ch}^m(X \times Y)$ with m such that $s > (m - b)(p - 1)$. In this case $pr_{2*}(x) \in \text{Ch}^{m-d}(Y)$ and $S^{d+s}(pr_{2*}(x)) = 0$ because $d + s > s > (m - b)(p - 1) \geq (m - d)(p - 1)$. Besides, $S^{d+s}(x) = 0$ because $d + s = b(p - 1) + s > m(p - 1)$. Therefore we have

$$(SC.13) \quad pr_{2*} \sum_{0 < i \leq d+s} b_i \cdot S^{d+s-i}(x) = 0.$$

Putting $x \circ \rho$ in place of x in relation (SC.13) and using the equality

$$S^\bullet(x \circ \rho) = (b_\bullet \cdot S^\bullet(x)) \circ S^\bullet(\rho),$$

(together with the projection formula) we rewrite the left part of relation (SC.13) as

$$\begin{aligned} pr_{2*} \sum_{\substack{i+j+k+l=d+s \\ i>0, j, k, l \geq 0}} b_i \cdot \left((b_j S^k(x)) \circ S^l(\rho) \right) \\ &= pr_{2*} \sum pr_{13*} \left(\left((b_i \cdot S^l(\rho)) \times [Y] \right) \cdot \left([X] \times (b_j \cdot S^k(x)) \right) \right) \\ &= pr_{2*} \sum pr_{23*} \left(\left((b_i \cdot S^l(\rho)) \times [Y] \right) \cdot \left([X] \times (b_j \cdot S^k(x)) \right) \right) \\ &= pr_{2*} \sum (b_j \cdot S^k(x)) \cdot \left(\left(pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot S^l(\rho)) \right) \times [Y] \right) \\ &= pr_{2*} \sum b_j \cdot \left(pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot S^l(\rho)) \right) \cdot S^k(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(SC.14) \quad pr_{2*} \sum_{\substack{i+j+k+l=d+s \\ i>0, j, k, l \geq 0}} b_j \cdot \left(pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot S^l(\rho)) \right) \cdot S^k(x) = 0$$

for any $x \in \text{Ch}^m(X \times Y)$.

We recall that $\rho = \sigma^{p-1}$. Therefore

$$S^l(\rho) = S^l(\sigma^{p-1}) = \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_{p-1} = l} S^{l_1}(\sigma) \cdot \dots \cdot S^{l_{p-1}}(\sigma).$$

Relation (SC.14) rewrites as

$$pr_{2*} \sum_{\substack{i+j+k+l_1+\dots+l_{p-1}=d+s \\ i>0; j, k, l_1, \dots, l_{p-1} \geq 0}} b_j \cdot \left(pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot S^{l_1}(\sigma) \cdot \dots \cdot S^{l_{p-1}}(\sigma)) \right) \cdot S^k(x) = 0.$$

Therefore, fixing for each integer $k \geq 0$ an integral representative $S_x^k \in \text{CH}^{m+k}(X \times Y)$ of $S^k(x) \in \text{Ch}^{m+k}(X \times Y)$ as well as an integral representative $S_\sigma^k \in \text{CH}^{b+k}(X \times X)$ of $S^k(\sigma) \in \text{Ch}^{b+k}(X \times X)$ (where we choose σ for S_σ^0), we get that the sum

$$(SC.15) \quad \sum_{\substack{i+j+k+l_1+\dots+l_{p-1}=d+s \\ i>0; j, k, l_1, \dots, l_{p-1} \geq 0}} pr_{2*} \left(b_j \cdot \left(pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot S_\sigma^{l_1} \cdot \dots \cdot S_\sigma^{l_{p-1}}) \right) \cdot S_x^k \right)$$

is divisible by p in $\text{CH}(Y)$. Taking for x an element of the form $x = x' \circ \rho$ with some $x' \in \text{Ch}^m(X \times Y)$ and passing over $F(X)$, we are going to show that the sum of (SC.15) is equal modulo $I := p^2 \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)}) + p \text{Im}(\text{CH}(Y) \rightarrow \text{CH}(Y_{F(X)}))$ to the class of $\text{deg}(b_d) S_{x_0}^s$, where $S_{x_0}^s \in \text{CH}^{m+s}(Y_{F(X)})$ is an integral representative of $S^s(x_0)$. More precisely, we show that the summand for $i = d$ and $k = s$ modulo I is $\text{deg}(b_d) S_{x_0}^s$ (up to multiplication by a

prime to p integer) while each other summand modulo I is 0. Since the integer $\deg b_d$ is not divisible by p^2 (see [29, Theorem 9.9]), we will get that $S^s(x_0)$ is rational up to the classes modulo p of an element of exponent p .

For $i = d$ and $k = s$ we have $j = l_1 = \dots = l_{p-1} = 0$, and the corresponding summand of (SC.15) is equal to

$$(SC.16) \quad pr_{2*}(pr_{2*}(b_d \cdot \rho) \cdot S_x^s)_{F(X)}.$$

Since $\deg(b_d)$ is divisible by p and $\rho_{F(X)}$ is congruent modulo p to (SC.6), the factor $pr_{2*}(b_d \cdot \rho)$ is congruent modulo I to $\deg(b_d) \cdot H^{p-1}$. Taking into account the decomposition (SC.11) of $x_{F(X)}$, it follows that (SC.16) is congruent modulo I to $\deg(b_d)S_{x_0}^s$ up to multiplication by the prime to p integer $\deg(H^{p-1})$. Below we are assuming that $i \neq d$.

For $l = 0$ (where $l := l_1 + \dots + l_{p-1}$), that is to say, for $l_1 = \dots = l_{p-1} = 0$, an arbitrary summand we get is of the form

$$pr_{2*}(b_j \cdot pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot \rho) \cdot S_x^k)_{F(X)}$$

(with $i + j + k = d + s$). Note that $pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot \rho)_{F(X)} = 0$ if i is not divisible by b . Otherwise, since $i > 0$ and $\rho_{F(X)}$ is congruent modulo p to (SC.6), $pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot \rho)_{F(X)}$ is by Corollary SC.7 congruent modulo I to a multiple of $pH^{i/b}$ so that we only need to show that the element

$$(SC.17) \quad pr_{2*}(b_j \cdot H^{i/b} \cdot S^k(x))_{F(X)} \in \text{Ch}(Y_{F(X)})$$

is rational.

If $j > 0$, then computing $S^k(x)_{F(X)} = S^k(x_{F(X)})$ via the decomposition (SC.11) of $x_{F(X)}$ and using Corollary SC.7, we see that the element (SC.17) is 0. Let us assume that $j = 0$ and show that (SC.17) is 0 as well. It suffices to show this with x replaced by an arbitrary summand of the decomposition (SC.11). Putting $1 \times x_0$ (the first summand of the decomposition) in place of x , we get

$$pr_{2*}(H^{i/b} \cdot S^k(1 \times x_0)) = pr_{2*}(H^{i/b} \times S^k(x_0))$$

which is 0 because $i \neq d$. Putting any other summand $H^r \times x_r$ ($r \geq 1$) of the decomposition, we get a multiple of $S^{s+rb}(x_r)$ which is 0 because $x_r \in \text{Ch}^{m-rb}(Y_{F(X)})$ and $s + rb > s > (m - b)(p - 1) \geq (m - rb)(p - 1)$.

It remains to consider the case of $l > 0$. We have

$$S^l(\sigma)_{F(X)} = 1 \times S^l(H) - S^l(H) \times 1$$

and $S^l(H)$ is rational by Lemma SC.10. Therefore

$$(SC.18) \quad (S_\sigma^l)_{F(X)} = p\theta_l + 1 \times S_H^l - S_H^l \times 1$$

for some $\theta_l \in \text{CH}^{b+l}(X \times X)_{F(X)}$ and a rational integral representative S_H^l of $S^l(H)$.

Let us decompose as in (SC.18) every factor with positive superscript of the product

$$(S_\sigma^{l_1} \dots S_\sigma^{l_{p-1}})_{F(X)}$$

(appearing in (SC.15) $_{F(X)}$), expand the product and consider an arbitrary summand P of the expansion. We are going to show that the element

$$(SC.19) \quad pr_{2*}(b_j \cdot pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot P) \cdot (S_x^k)_{F(X)})$$

modulo I is 0.

If P contains the factor $p\theta_?$ (at least) two times, then the result is divisible by p^2 so that (SC.19) is indeed 0 modulo I .

Assume that the factor $p\theta_?$ is present precisely one time in P . So, we already have divisibility by p and it suffices to show that the element

$$(SC.20) \quad pr_{2*}(t \cdot S^k(x_{F(X)})) \in \text{Ch}^{m+s}(Y_{F(X)})$$

is 0 for an element $t \in \text{Ch}^{i+j+l}(X_{F(X)})$ such that $p \cdot t = b_j \cdot pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot P)$. Replacing $x_{F(X)}$ in (SC.20) by an arbitrary summand of the decomposition (SC.11), we get 0 always (and for an arbitrary $t \in \text{Ch}^{i+j+l}(X_{F(X)})$) with only one possible exception: for the summand $1 \times x_0$, namely.

Putting $1 \times x_0$ in place of $x_{F(X)}$ in (SC.20), we may get a nonzero result only if $k = s$, that is, $i + j + l = d$. In this case t is a 0-cycle class and the element (SC.20) is divisible by its degree. It suffices therefore to show that the degree $\deg(b_j \cdot pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot P))$ is divisible by p^2 . If $j > 0$, then the degree is divisible by p^2 by Corollary SC.7 (recall that P is divisible by p). Therefore we may assume that $j = 0$, that is $i + l = d$. In this case $b_i \cdot P$ is a 0-cycle class (on $X \times X$) and the corresponding summand of (SC.15) is divisible by its degree. But degree of $b_i \cdot P$ coincides with degree of $b_i \cdot pr_{1*}(P)$ which is divisible by p^2 by Corollary SC.7 (we recall that $i > 0$ and that P is already divisible by p).

At last, let us assume that P contains no $\theta_?$ as a factor. Then P must contain at least one factor of the type $1 \times S_H^2$ (we call it a *second type factor* because it corresponds to the second summand of the decomposition (SC.18)) or of the type $S_H^2 \times 1$ (a *third type factor*). Moreover, any factor of P is either σ or $1 \times S_H^2$ (a second type factor) or $S_H^2 \times 1$ (a third type factor). It follows by Corollary SC.7 that $pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot P)$ is divisible by p . Therefore we may assume that $k = s$. The element (SC.19) is then divisible by degree of the 0-cycle class $(b_i \times b_j) \cdot P$. This degree is divisible by p^2 if $j > 0$ or if P contains a factor of the second type by Corollary SC.9.

In the remaining case we have $j = 0$, $i + l = d$, any factor of P equals σ or has the third type with at least one factor of the third type. Therefore $P = (\alpha \times 1) \cdot \sigma^r$ with some $r < p - 1$ and some $\alpha \in \text{CH}(X_{F(X)})$ (which is in fact rational but we do not care about this anymore). It follows that the element $pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot P)$ is a 0-cycle class and the element (SC.19) is divisible by its degree which is

$$\deg(pr_{2*}(b_i \cdot P)) = \deg(pr_{1*}(b_i \cdot P)) = \deg(b_i \cdot pr_{1*}(P)),$$

but already the element

$$pr_{1*}(P) = \alpha \cdot pr_{1*}(\sigma^r)$$

is trivial because $pr_{1*}(\sigma^r) = 0$ for $r < p - 1$. □

We finish now the proof of Theorem SC.1. Let x be an element of $\text{Ch}^m(X \times Y)$ mapped to $y \in \text{Ch}^m(Y_{F(X)})$. Since X is A -trivial, the element $(cx) \circ \rho$, where $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is the inverse to the class modulo p of the integer $\deg(H^{p-1})$, is also mapped to y (see Lemma 2.6). Replacing x by $(cx) \circ \rho$, we apply Proposition SC.12 to the new x getting the desired result. □

SC-II. Generators of Chow groups of Rost motives

In this appendix, we provide an elementary construction of homogeneous generators of the Chow group of a Rost motive in the spirit of [14]. Here we have $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ so that CH stands for the Chow group with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

Let X be a standard norm variety of dimension $d := p^n - 1$ (p a prime, $n \geq 1$). Let $\sigma \in \text{CH}^b(X \times X)$, $b := d/(p-1)$, be a special correspondence on X , $H \in \text{CH}^b(X_{F(X)})$ the image of σ . Let $\rho \in \text{CH}^d(X \times X)$ be a symmetric (Rost) projector on X such that

$$\rho_{F(X)} = (1 \times H^{p-1} + H \times H^{p-2} + \cdots + H^{p-1} \times 1) / \deg(H^{p-1})$$

(see Lemma SC.5).

Let m be an integer satisfying $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. Assume that there exists a norm variety Y of dimension $p^m - 1$ with a morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$. (This assumption is satisfied if the base field F is p -special and has characteristic 0 by [30, Corollary 1.22].)

PROPOSITION SC.21. – *For any r with $1 \leq r \leq p-1$, the element*

$$\alpha := \rho_*(\sigma^r)_*f_*[Y] \in \text{CH}_{p^m-1+(p-1-r)b}(X)$$

is of order p .

REMARK SC.22. – Since ρ is symmetric, we have $\rho_* = \rho^*$ and therefore

$$\alpha \in \rho^* \text{CH}^{p^m-1+(p-1-r)b}(X) = \text{CH}^{p^m-1+(p-1-r)b}(X, \rho).$$

Since the Chow group is of order p by Theorem RM.10, α is its generator. By Theorem RM.10 once again, varying m and r , we get generators for the whole torsion part of the Chow group $\text{CH}^*(X, \rho)$ of the Rost motive.

Proof of Proposition SC.21. – We first check that $p\alpha = 0$. Since X has a closed point of degree p , it suffices to check that $\alpha_{F(X)} = 0$. Over $F(X)$ we have

$$\alpha_{F(X)} = (\beta)_*f_*[Y],$$

where β is a linear combination of $H^i \times H^{r-i}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$. This is 0 because $\dim Y \neq \text{codim } H^i = bi$ for any i . Indeed,

$$0 < \dim Y < p^{n-1} \leq 1 + p + \cdots + p^{n-1} = b.$$

Now we show that $\alpha \neq 0$ as follows:

$$\alpha \neq 0 \iff \alpha \bmod p \neq 0 \iff S^{p^m-1}(\alpha) \neq 0 \iff p^2 \nmid \deg \left((S_\alpha^{p^m-1})_{F(X)} \cdot H^{p-1-r} \right),$$

where $S_\alpha^{p^m-1} \in \text{CH}_{(p-1-r)b}(X)$ is a representative of the modulo p cycle class $S^{p^m-1}(\alpha) \in \text{Ch}_{(p-1-r)b}(X)$. The implication on the very right comes from Corollary SC.7. (The degree modulo p^2 does not depend on the choice of the integral representative by Corollary SC.7 once again.)

In order to compute $\deg \left((S_\alpha^{p^m-1})_{F(X)} \cdot H^{p-1-r} \right)$ we use the formula

$$S^\bullet((\rho \circ \sigma^r)_* f_*[Y]) = (S^\bullet(\rho \circ \sigma^r))_* S_\bullet f_*[Y],$$

where S_\bullet is the total homological Steenrod operation.⁽¹⁾ Since $S_\bullet f_*[Y] = f_*(S_\bullet[Y]) = b_\bullet^Y$, where $b_\bullet^Y := f_*(b_\bullet(-T_Y))$, the degree in question is congruent modulo p^2 to

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \sum_{\substack{i+j=p^m-1 \\ i,j \geq 0}} H^{p-1-r} \cdot (S_{\rho \circ \sigma^r}^j)_*(b_i^Y)_{F(X)} &= \sum \deg \left(H^{p-1-r} \cdot pr_{2*}(b_i^Y \cdot S_{\rho \circ \sigma^r}^j)_{F(X)} \right) \\ &= \sum \deg \left(((b_i^Y)_{F(X)} \times H^{p-1-r}) \cdot (S_{\rho \circ \sigma^r}^j)_{F(X)} \right) \\ &= \sum \deg \left((b_i^Y)_{F(X)} \cdot pr_{1*} \left((S_{\rho \circ \sigma^r}^j)_{F(X)} \cdot (1 \times H^{p-1-r}) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $S_{\rho \circ \sigma^r}^j$ are representatives of $S^j(\rho \circ \sigma^r)$. Let us choose representatives $S_{\sigma^r}^j$ of $S^j(\sigma^r)$. Since $(\rho \circ \sigma^r)_{F(X)} = \sigma_{F(X)}^r$, the classes modulo p of $(S_{\rho \circ \sigma^r}^j)_{F(X)}$ and $(S_{\sigma^r}^j)_{F(X)}$ coincide. It follows by Corollary SC.7 that we may remove ρ from the formula (the resulting degree modulo p^2 is not changed).

Taking $j = 0$, we get the product $\deg b_{\dim Y}^Y \cdot \deg(H^{p-1})$ which is not divisible by p^2 . It remains to show that for any $j > 0$ the degree

$$\deg \left((b_i^Y \times H^{p-1-r}) \cdot S_{\sigma^r}^j \right) = \deg \left(b_i^Y \cdot pr_{1*} \left(S_{\sigma^r}^j \cdot (1 \times H^{p-1-r}) \right) \right)$$

(everything is over $F(X)$ although we omit the subscription $F(X)$) is divisible by p^2 . We have

$$S(\sigma^r) = \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_r = j} S^{j_1}(\sigma) \cdot \dots \cdot S^{j_r}(\sigma).$$

As in the end of Subsection SC-I, for any $j > 0$, we have

$$(SC.23) \quad S_\sigma^j = p\theta_j + 1 \times S_H^j - S_H^j \times 1$$

for some $\theta_j \in \text{CH}^{b+j}(X \times X)_{F(X)}$ and a rational integral representative S_H^j of $S^j(H)$.

Let us decompose as in (SC.23) every factor with positive superscript of the product

$$S_\sigma^{j_1} \cdot \dots \cdot S_\sigma^{j_r},$$

expand the product and consider an arbitrary summand P of the expansion. We want to show that the degree

$$(SC.24) \quad \deg \left((b_i^Y \times H^{p-1-r}) \cdot P \right) = \deg \left(b_i^Y \cdot pr_{1*} \left(P \cdot (1 \times H^{p-1-r}) \right) \right)$$

is divisible by p^2 .

If P contains a factor of the type $p\theta_j$ (at least) one time, then the result is divisible by p^2 by Corollary SC.7 applied to the right-hand side presentation of the degree in (SC.24).

Let us assume that P contains no θ_j as a factor. Then P must contain at least one factor of the second or of the third type. Moreover, any factor of P is either σ or $1 \times S_H^2$ (a second type factor) or $S_H^2 \times 1$ (a third type factor). If a factor of the second type is present, the degree is divisible by p^2 by Corollary SC.8 applied to the left-hand side presentation of the degree in

⁽¹⁾ This formula is proved in [8, Proposition 2.1] for $p = 2$; the proof can be easily adapted for arbitrary p .

(SC.24). If there is no factor of the second type, then already $pr_{1*} \left(P \cdot (1 \times H^{p-1-r}) \right) = 0$ showing that the degree (in its right-hand side presentation) is 0. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. R. BOISVERT, *A new definition of the Steenrod operations in algebraic geometry*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2007, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, Los Angeles.
- [2] A. R. BOISVERT, A new definition of the Steenrod operations in algebraic geometry, preprint [arXiv:0805.1414](https://arxiv.org/abs/0805.1414).
- [3] P. BROSNAN, A short proof of Rost nilpotence via refined correspondences, *Doc. Math.* **8** (2003), 69–78.
- [4] P. BROSNAN, Steenrod operations in Chow theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003), 1869–1903.
- [5] V. CHERNOUSOV, A. S. MERKURJEV, Motivic decomposition of projective homogeneous varieties and the Krull-Schmidt theorem, *Transform. Groups* **11** (2006), 371–386.
- [6] P. K. DRAXL, *Skew fields*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **81**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1983.
- [7] R. ELMAN, N. KARPENKO, A. MERKURJEV, *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **56**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008.
- [8] R. FINO, Around rationality of integral cycles, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* (2012), [doi://10.1016/j.jpaa.2012.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2012.12.003).
- [9] R. FINO, Around rationality of cycles, to appear in *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*
- [10] W. FULTON, *Intersection theory*, second ed., *Ergeb. Math. Grenzg.* **2**, Springer, 1998.
- [11] S. GARIBALDI, Cohomological invariants: exceptional groups and spin groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **200** (2009), 81.
- [12] A. GROTHENDIECK, Techniques de construction et théorèmes d’existence en géométrie algébrique. IV. Les schémas de Hilbert, in *Séminaire Bourbaki, vol. 6, exp. n° 221*, Soc. Math. France, 1995, 249–276.
- [13] B. KAHN, R. SUJATHA, *Birational motives, I*, *K-theory Preprint Archives* (preprint server) **596**, 2002.
- [14] N. KARPENKO, A. MERKURJEV, Rost projectors and Steenrod operations, *Doc. Math.* **7** (2002), 481–493.

- [15] N. A. KARPENKO, Criteria of motivic equivalence for quadratic forms and central simple algebras, *Math. Ann.* **317** (2000), 585–611.
- [16] N. A. KARPENKO, Weil transfer of algebraic cycles, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **11** (2000), 73–86.
- [17] N. A. KARPENKO, Upper motives of algebraic groups and incompressibility of Severi-Brauer varieties, *J. reine angew. Math.* (2012), doi://10.1515/crelle.2012.011.
- [18] N. A. KARPENKO, A. S. MERKURJEV, Canonical p -dimension of algebraic groups, *Adv. Math.* **205** (2006), 410–433.
- [19] J. I. MANIN, Correspondences, motifs and monoidal transformations, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **77 (119)** (1968), 475–507.
- [20] A. S. MERKUR'EV, A. SUSLIN, K -cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **46** (1982), 1011–1046, 1135–1136.
- [21] A. MERKURJEV, Rost invariants of simply connected algebraic groups, in *Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology*, Univ. Lecture Ser. **28**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003, 101–158.
- [22] A. MERKURJEV, Unramified elements in cycle modules, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **78** (2008), 51–64.
- [23] A. MERKURJEV, A. SUSLIN, Motivic cohomology of the simplicial motive of a Rost variety, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **214** (2010), 2017–2026.
- [24] A. S. MERKURJEV, Essential dimension, in *Quadratic forms—algebra, arithmetic, and geometry*, Contemp. Math. **493**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2009, 299–325.
- [25] D. H. NGUYEN, *On p -generic splitting varieties for Milnor K -symbols mod p* , ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2009, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, Los Angeles.
- [26] D. H. NGUYEN, *On p -generic splitting varieties for Milnor K -symbols mod p* , preprint arXiv:1003.3971.
- [27] I. PANIN, Application of K -theory in algebraic geometry, Ph.D. Thesis, LOMI, Leningrad, 1984.
- [28] M. ROST, Chow groups with coefficients, *Doc. Math.* **1** (1996), No. 16, 319–393.
- [29] M. ROST, On the basic correspondence of a splitting variety, preprint <http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~rost/data/bkc-c.pdf>.
- [30] A. SUSLIN, S. JOUKHOVITSKI, Norm varieties, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **206** (2006), 245–276.
- [31] M. L. THAKUR, Isotopy and invariants of Albert algebras, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **74** (1999), 297–305.
- [32] A. VISHIK, Generic points of quadrics and Chow groups, *Manuscripta Math.* **122** (2007), 365–374.
- [33] A. VISHIK, Rationality of integral cycles, *Doc. Math.* (2010), 661–670, Extra volume: Andrei A. Suslin sixtieth birthday.
- [34] A. VISHIK, K. ZAINOULLINE, Motivic splitting lemma, *Doc. Math.* **13** (2008), 81–96.
- [35] V. VOEVODSKY, On motivic cohomology with \mathbf{Z}/l -coefficients, *Ann. of Math.* **174** (2011), 401–438.

- [36] K. ZAINOULLINE, Special correspondences and Chow traces of Landweber-Novikov operations, *J. reine angew. Math.* **628** (2009), 195–204.

(Manuscrit reçu le 5 janvier 2012 ;
accepté, après révision, le 12 juin 2012.)

Nikita A. KARPENKO
Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu
Université Pierre et Marie Curie
4 place Jussieu
75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
E-mail: karpenko@math.jussieu.fr

Alexander S. MERKURJEV
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA
E-mail: merkurev@math.ucla.edu