

DAISUKE FUJIWARA

**The Feynman path integral as an improper integral
over the Sobolev space**

Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles (1990), p. 1-15

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=JEDP_1990___A14_0

© Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles, 1990, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Journées Équations aux dérivées partielles » (<http://www.math.sciences.univ-nantes.fr/edpa/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques
<http://www.numdam.org/>

The Feynman path integral as an improper integral
over the Sobolev space

By

Daisuke Fujiwara

§ 1. Introduction.

Let $L(\dot{x}, x) = \frac{1}{2}|\dot{x}|^2 - V(x)$, be the Lagrangian with the potential $V(x)$. For the sake of simplicity of notations, we assume that the configuration space is of dimension 1. But the same results hold for n-dimensional case. The action of a path $r: [0, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $r(0)=y$ and $r(t)=x$, with $\dot{r} \in L^2(0, t)$ is the functional

$$S(r) = \int_0^t L(\dot{r}, r) ds.$$

The Feynman path integral is a formal integral over the path space \mathcal{P} :

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}} e^{ih^{-1}S(r)} \mathcal{D}[r].$$

Among various proposals to give mathematically rigorous meaning to the Feynman path integral, we adopt Itô's formulation [4] and [1].

Research partially supported by Grant-in Aid for General Scientific Research 01540108, The Ministry of Education

We denote by r_0 the straight line segment joining y and x ,
i.e.,

$$r_0(s) = \frac{s}{t} x + (1 - \frac{s}{t}) y.$$

We denote the Sobolev space $H_0^1(0,t) = \{r : \dot{r} \in L^2(0,t), r(0) = r(t) = 0\}$ by \mathcal{H} , which is equipped with the inner product

$$(r_1, r_2)_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^t \dot{r}_1(s) \dot{r}_2(s) ds.$$

Let $Q(r) = \sum_j \lambda_j e_j \otimes e_j$ be a positive quadratic form such that $\{e_j\}_j$ is a complete orthonormal system (c.o.n.s.) and $\{\lambda_j\}_j$ is a positive summable series. Let b be an arbitrary vector in \mathcal{H} . Let $N(dr, b, Q)$ be the Gaussian measure on \mathcal{H} with the mean b and the variance Q . It is defined that

$$(1) \int_{\Omega} e^{ih^{-1}S(r)} \mathcal{D}[r] = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i h t}\right)^{1/2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{n\lambda_j}{h^2}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathcal{H}} e^{ih^{-1}S(r_0+r)} N(dr, b, nQ),$$

if the right hand side converges.

Unfortunately, existence of the limit on the right hand side of (1) was proved only for potentials $V(x)$ of the following two types:

$$1 \quad V(x) = ax^2 + bx + c.$$

$$2 \quad V(x) = \int e^{ix\xi} d\mu, \quad \text{where } \mu \text{ is a signed measure of finite total variation on } \mathbb{R}.$$

On the other hand Pauli [5] discussed the Feynman path integrals for potentials satisfying

$$|\text{grad } V(x)| = O(|x|) \quad \text{as } x \longrightarrow \infty.$$

We assume in the present note a little stronger condition for the potential:

$$(2) \quad |V^{(j)}(x)| < C_j, \quad \text{if } 2 \leq j.$$

We use the special quadratic form

$$Q(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-j} |(r, e_j)_{\mathcal{H}}|^2,$$

where $\lambda > 1$ is a constant and $\{e_j\}_j$ is the c.o.n.s. consisting of indefinite integrals of Haars' functions. Our main results is

Theorem. Let Q be as above and b be any element of \mathcal{H} . We assume that V satisfy the condition (2). If $|t|$ is sufficiently small, then the right hand side of (1) converges to the limit independent of b . The limit $K(t, x, y)$ is the fundamental solution of the Schrödinger equation:

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) - \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^2 - V(x) \right\} K(t, x, y) = 0,$$

$$K(0, x, y) = \delta(x-y).$$

Here h is a small positive constant.

In the next section we will give more accurate statement of the result as well as outline of the proof.

§ 2. Outline of the proof.

Indefinite integrals of Haars' functions.

Let $q=2^{-n}(2k+1)$, $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $k=0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}-1$, be any finite binary fraction. We denote n by $n(q)$ and k by $k(q)$. We set $m(q)=2^{n(q)-1} + k(q)$. For each such q , we define $\delta_q=2^{-n(q)}t$ and

$$e_q(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } |qt-s| \geq \delta_q, \\ (2\delta_q)^{-1/2}(\delta_q - |s - qt|) & \text{for } |s - qt| \leq \delta_q. \end{cases}$$

The system $\{\frac{d}{ds} e_q(s)\}_q$ is Haars' c.o.n.s. in $L^2(0,t)$. Hence $\{e_q\}$ forms a c.o.n.s. in \mathcal{H} . Note that

$$\|e_q\|_{L^\infty} = 2^{-1/2} \delta_q^{1/2}, \quad \|e_q\|_{L^1} = 2^{-1/2} \delta_q^{3/2}, \quad \|e_q\|_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2} 2^{-1/2} \delta_q$$

The Quadratic form Q.

Let $\lambda > 1$. Then

$$Q(r) = \sum_q \lambda^{-m(q)} y_q^2, \quad \text{where } y_q = (r, e_q)_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Splitting of \mathcal{H} .

Let $N_0 > \lambda+2$ be so large that

$$(2.1) \quad 2^N \lambda^{-2^{N-10}} < 1 \quad \text{for any } N \geq N_0.$$

We choose n so large that

$$(2.2) \quad n^{-1} \lambda^{2^{N_0}} < 1.$$

Let N_n be the positive integer such that

$$(2.3) \quad n^{-1} \lambda^{2^{N_n}} \leq 1 < n^{-1} \lambda^{2^{N_n+1}},$$

and m_n be the integer such that

$$(2.4) \quad n^{-1} \lambda^{m_n} < 2^{-N_n/4} < n^{-1} \lambda^{m_n+1}.$$

We can easily prove from (2.1), (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$(2.5) \quad 2^{N_n-1} < m_n < 2^{N_n+1}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_1 = \text{span of } \{e_q : m(q) < m_n\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = \text{span of } \{e_q : m(q) \geq m_n\}$.

Then we have orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2,$$

which reduces the quadratic form Q . For any r in \mathcal{H} , we denote the corresponding decomposition

$$r = r_1 + r_2.$$

For any b in \mathcal{H} , the Gaussian measure $N(dr, b, nQ)$ coincides with the product measure

$$N(dr, b, nQ) = N(dr_1, b_1, nQ_1) \times N(dr_2, b_2, nQ_2).$$

New coordinates in \mathcal{H}_1 . The subspace \mathcal{H}_1 is of dimension $m_n - 1$. We arrange points $\{qt\}_{m(q) < m_n}$ of $[0, t]$ in its order of magnitude. Then we obtain a division of $[0, t]$ $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{m_n-1} < t$. We set $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{m_n} = t$. The subspace \mathcal{H}_1 coincides with the space of all piecewise linear paths with vertices at $s = t_j$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m_n$. So we introduce a new

coordinates $x_j = r(t_j)$ of r with respect to the basis $\{w_j\}_j$, which is given by

$$w_j(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \leq t_{j-1} \text{ or } t_{j+1} \leq s, \\ 1 & \text{if } s = t_j, \\ \text{linear} & \text{for } t_{j-1} \leq s \leq t_j \text{ and } t_j \leq s \leq t_{j+1}. \end{cases}$$

Any r_1 in \mathcal{H}_1 can be written into two forms:

$$r_1 = \sum_{m(q) < m_n} y_q e_q = \sum_{j=1}^{m_n-1} x_j w_j.$$

Evaluation of $It\hat{O}$'s integral.

Let $b = b_1 + b_2$ be decomposition of b into \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 .

Using any $r = r_1 + r_2$, we make a new path $r_1 + b_2$. The action of it is

$$S(r_0 + r_1 + b_2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\dot{r}_0|^2 ds + \|b_2\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|r_1\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \int_0^t V(r_0 + r_1 + b_2) ds.$$

We can write this as

$$S(r_0 + r_1 + b_2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-y)^2}{t} + \|b_2\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} S_j(x_j, x_{j-1}; b_2),$$

where

$$S_j(x_j, x_{j-1}; b_2) = \frac{|x_j - x_{j-1}|^2}{2\Delta t_j} - \Delta t_j \omega_j(x_j, x_{j-1}; b_2)$$

with $\Delta t_j = t_j - t_{j-1}$ and

$$\omega_j(x_j, x_{j-1}; b_2) = (\Delta t_j)^{-1} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} V(x_j w_j + x_{j-1} w_{j-1} + r_0 + b_2) ds.$$

The Hessian Hess(S) of $S(r_0+r_1+b_2)$ with respect to x_1, \dots, x_{m_n-1} equals $\text{Hess}(S) = H - W = H(I-H^{-1}W)$, where

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\Delta t_1} + \frac{1}{\Delta t_2}, & -\frac{1}{\Delta t_2}, & 0, & 0 & \dots\dots\dots \\ -\frac{1}{\Delta t_2}, & \frac{1}{\Delta t_2} + \frac{1}{\Delta t_3}, & -\frac{1}{\Delta t_3}, & 0, & \dots\dots \\ 0, & -\frac{1}{\Delta t_3}, & \frac{1}{\Delta t_3} + \frac{1}{\Delta t_4}, & -\frac{1}{\Delta t_4}, & \\ 0, & 0, & \dots\dots\dots & & \end{pmatrix}$$

and W is the Hessian of $\sum_{j=1}^{m_n} t_j \omega_j(x_j, x_{j-1}; b_2)$.

If $|t|$ is small $(I-H^{-1}W)$ is invertible. Hence the functional

$r_1 \rightarrow S(r_0+r_1+b_2)$ has only one critical point at $r_1=r_1^*$

$= \sum_j x_j^* w_j$. which is given by

$$\partial_{x_j} S_j(x_j^*, x_{j-1}^*) + \partial_{x_j} S_{j+1}(x_{j+1}^*, x_j^*) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m_n - 1,$$

where $x_{m_n}^* = x_0^* = 0$.

For any finite binary fraction q with $m(q) > m_n$, we define

$$\xi_q(r_0+r_1+b_2) = \int_0^t v'(r_0(s)+r_1(s)+b_2(s)) e_q(s) ds$$

and $\xi_q^*(r_0+b_2) = \xi_q(r_0+r_1^*+b_2)$.

The splitting $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2$

reduces the quadratic form Q into Q_1 and Q_2 and it reduces the

Gaussian measure $N(d\tau, b, nQ)$:

$$N(d\tau, b, nQ) = N(d\tau_1, b_1, nQ_1) \times N(d\tau_2, b_2, nQ_2).$$

It is clear that

$$N(d\tau_1, b_1, nQ_1) = \prod_{j=1}^{m_n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\Delta t_j} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\substack{m(q) \\ m(q) < m_n}} \lambda^{m(q)} (y_q - b_q)^2 \right) \prod_{j=1}^{m_n-1} dx_j.$$

The variance of this Gaussian measure is nQ_1 , which is large.

So the density of this with respect to the Lebesgue measure can be regarded as an amplitude of an oscillatory integral. On the other hand $N(d\tau_2, b_2, nQ_2)$ has variance relatively small. The delta measure concentrated at $\tau_2 = b_2$ is a good approximation to $N(d\tau_2, b_2, nQ_2)$. Thus we have

Theorem 2.1. If $|t|$ is sufficiently small,

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi i h)^{-1/2} \prod_q \left(1 + \frac{n\lambda^{-m(q)}}{i h} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathcal{H}} e^{i h^{-1} S(\tau_0 + \tau)} N(d\tau, nQ, b) \\ = & \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m(q) \\ m(q) \geq m_n}} \frac{n\lambda^{-m(q)}}{h^2 + i h \lambda^{-m(q)}} (\xi_q^* - b_q)^2 - \sum_{\substack{m(q) \\ m(q) < m_n}} \frac{\lambda^{m(q)}}{2n} (y_q^* - b_q)^2 \right) \\ & \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{m_n} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i h \Delta t_j} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m_n-1}} e^{i h^{-1} S(\tau_0 + \tau_1 + b_2)} \prod_{j=1}^{m_n-1} dx_j \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i t h} \right)^{1/2} e^{i h^{-1} S(\tau_0 + \tau_1^* + b_2)} r_n(t, x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where $y_q^* = (\tau_1^*, e_q)_{\mathcal{H}}$. We have the estimate

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta r_n(t, x, y) \right| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}(h) 2^{-N_n/8} t.$$

The last term of the right hand side of Theorem goes to 0 as n goes to ∞ . While the exponential factor of the first term tends to 1 as n goes to ∞ . In fact we have

Theorem 2.2. Let $\delta_n = 2^{-N_n t}$. Then we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \varepsilon_q^* \right| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta} \delta_n^{3/2} (1+|x|+|y|)^{(1-\alpha-\beta)^+}, \\ \left| \sum_{m(q) \geq m_n} \frac{n \lambda^{-m(q)}}{h^2 + i n h \lambda^{-m(q)}} (\varepsilon_q^* - b_q)^2 \right| &< C(1+|x|^2+|y|^2 + \|b_2\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2) \delta_n^{3/2}, \\ \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta y_q^* \right| &< C_{\alpha\beta} (1+|x|+|y| + \|b\|_{\mathcal{L}}) (1-\alpha-\beta)^+ \delta_n^{3/2}, \\ \sum_{m(q) < m_n} \frac{\lambda^{m(q)}}{n} (y_q^* - b_q)^2 &< C(1+|x|^2+|y|^2 + \|b_2\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2) \delta_n. \end{aligned}$$

Here a^+ denotes $\max(a, 0)$.

Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 imply that the decisive role is played by the oscillatory integral

$$J_n = \prod_{j=1}^{m_n} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i h \Delta t_j} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m_n-1}} e^{i h^{-1} S(r_0 + r_1 + b_2)} \prod_{j=1}^{m_n-1} dx_j.$$

Similar integrals are treated previously in [3]. We have

Theorem 2.3. If $|t|$ is small enough, we can rewrite

$$J_n = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i h t} \right)^{1/2} e^{i h^{-1} S(r_0 + r_1^* + b_2)} (1 + k_n(t, x, y)),$$

For any $K > 0$, there exists C_K such that we have

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta k_n(t, x, y) \right| \leq \prod_{j=1}^{m_n} (1 + C_K \Delta t_j |t|)^{-1},$$

if α and β are smaller than K .

Convergence as n goes to ∞ . In order to discuss convergence of the oscillatory integral J_n . We compare $S(r_0 + r_1 + b_2)$ with the action of piecewise classical orbit. Let

$$\Delta; 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{m_n-1} < t_{m_n} = t$$

be the division of the $[0, t]$ as above. Let r_Δ be the piecewise classical orbit

$$\begin{aligned} r_\Delta(s) + \partial_x V(r_\Delta) &= 0 & \text{for } t_{j-1} < s < t_j, \\ r_\Delta(t_j) &= x_j + r_0(t_j), & \text{for } j=0, 1, \dots, m_n, \end{aligned}$$

with $x_0 = x_{m_n} = 0$. The action along r_Δ is

$$S_{cl}(t, x, x_{m_n-1}, \dots, x_1, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_n-1} S_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1}),$$

where

$$S_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1}) = \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} L(r_\Delta(s)) ds.$$

The critical point of $S_{cl}(t, x, x_{m_n-1}, x_{m_n-2}, \dots, x_1, y)$ with respect to vertices $x_{m_n-1}, x_{m_n-2}, \dots, x_1$ is unique if $|t|$ is small. The critical level of it equals the classical action

$$S_{cl}(t, x, y) = \int_0^t L(r_{cl}(s)) ds,$$

where r_{cl} is the classical orbit joining $r(0)=y$ and $r(t)=x$.

Theorem 2.4. If $|t|$ is small, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m_n} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i \hbar \Delta t_j} \right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m_n-1}} e^{i\hbar^{-1} S_{cl}(t, x, x_{m_n-1}, \dots, x_1, y)} \prod_{j=1}^{m_n-1} dx_j \\ = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i \hbar t} \right)^{1/2} e^{i\hbar^{-1} S_{cl}(t, x, y)} (1 + k^\#(\Delta, t, x, y)).$$

For any $K > 0$, There exists a constant $C_K > 0$ such that

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta k^\#(\Delta, t, x, y) \right| < \prod_{j=1}^{m_n} (1 + C_K \Delta t_j |t|) - 1,$$

if α and $\beta < K$.

The next theorem compares $k^\#(\Delta, t, x, y)$ with $k_n(t, x, y)$.

Theorem 2.5. For any $K > 0$, there exists a constant C_K such that

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta (k^\#(\Delta, t, x, y) - k_n(t, x, y)) \right| < C \delta_n^{1/8} (1 + |x| + |y| + \|b_2\|_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

If we make the division of interval finer, $k^\#(\Delta, t, x, y)$ forms a Cauchy sequence. More precisely, we have

Theorem 2.6. Let Δ_1 be a subdivision of Δ , then

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta (k^\#(\Delta, t, x, y) - k^\#(\Delta_1, t, x, y)) \right| \leq C_K |t| |\Delta|,$$

where $|\Delta| = \max_{1 < j < m_n} |\Delta t_j|$. We set

$$k(t, x, y) = \lim_{|\Delta| \rightarrow 0} k^\#(\Delta, t, x, y).$$

Then $k(t, x, y)$ satisfies

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta k(t, x, y)| < C t.$$

Combining all these, we can prove

Theorem 2.7.

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2\pi i \hbar)^{-1/2} \prod_q \left(1 + \frac{n\lambda}{i\hbar}\right)^{-m(q)} 1/2 \int_{\partial \mathcal{L}} e^{i\hbar^{-1}S(r_0+r)} N(d_r, nQ, b) \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i \hbar}\right)^{1/2} e^{i\hbar^{-1}S_{cl}(t, x, y)} (1+k(t, x, y)), \end{aligned}$$

which is the fundamental solution of the Schrödinger equation.

Appendix

In proving above results, we use the following stationary phase method in a space of large dimension. We consider the following oscillatory integrals

$$I(S, a, \nu) = \prod_{k=1}^L \left(\frac{\nu}{2\pi i \tau_k}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L-1}} e^{i\nu S(x_L, \dots, x_0)} a(x_L, \dots, x_0) \prod_{j=1}^{L-1} dx_j.$$

The phase function $S(x_L, \dots, x_0)$ is a real valued function of the form

$$S(x_L, x_{L-1}, \dots, x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} S_j(x_j, x_{j-1}),$$

with

$$S_j(x_j, x_{j-1}) = \frac{1}{2t_j} (x_j - x_{j-1})^2 + t_j \omega_j(x_j, x_{j-1}), \quad j=1, 2, \dots, L.$$

We assume further that

$$\sup_{x, y} \left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \omega_j(x, y) \right| \leq C_{\alpha\beta},$$

if $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq K$ and $\alpha + \beta \geq 2$.

If $T = t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_L$ is small enough, the critical point $(x_{L-1}^*, x_{L-2}^*, \dots, x_1^*)$ of the phase is the unique solution of

$$\partial_{x_j} S_{j+1}(x_{j+1}^*, x_j^*) + \partial_{x_j} S_j(x_j^*, x_{j-1}^*) = 0, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, L-1,$$

where $x_L^* = x_L$ and $x_0^* = x_0$. We use the following notation

$$a(\overline{x_L}, x_0) = a(x_L, x_{L-1}^*, \dots, x_1^*, x_0).$$

Let $k < l$ and $x_{k+1}^*, \dots, x_{l-1}^*$ be the partial critical point, i.e.,

$$\partial_{x_j} S_{j+1}(x_{j+1}^*, x_j^*) + \partial_{x_j} S_j(x_j^*, x_{j-1}^*) = 0, \quad \text{for } j=k+1, \dots, l-1,$$

where $x_k^* = x_k$ and $x_1^* = x_1$. Then we set

$$a(x_L, \dots, \overline{x_1}, x_k, \dots, x_0) = a(x_L, \dots, x_1, x_{l-1}^*, \dots, x_{k+1}^*, x_k, \dots, x_0).$$

We assume that for any sequence of positive integers

$$j_0=0 < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_r < L$$

and positive K we have the estimate

$$\left| \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_{j_1}}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_{x_{j_r}}^{\alpha_r} \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} a(\overline{x_L, x_{j_s}, \overline{x_{j_s-1}, x_{j_{s-1}}}, \dots, \overline{x_{j_1}, x_0}) \right| < A_K,$$

if each $\alpha_j \leq K$, $j=0, 1, \dots, r$ and L .

Theorem. Under the assumptions above there is a positive constant δ such that if $T=t_1+t_2+\dots+t_L < \delta$

$$I(S, a, \nu) = \left(\frac{\nu}{2\pi i T} \right)^{1/2} e^{i\nu S(\overline{x_L, x_0})} \det(I+H^{-1}W)^{-1/2} (a(\overline{x_L, x_0}) + r(x_L, x_0)),$$

where $r(x_L, x_0)$ satisfies the estimate:

for any $\alpha_0, \alpha_L \leq K$,

$$\left| \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} r(x_L, x_0) \right| \leq A_{3K+10} \left(\prod_{j=1}^L (1 + C_K \nu^{-1} |t_j T|) - 1 \right),$$

Constants δ and C_K are independent of the dimension L of the space. H is the matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2}, & -\frac{1}{t_2}, & 0, & 0, & \dots, 0 \\ -\frac{1}{t_2}, & \frac{1}{t_2} + \frac{1}{t_3}, & -\frac{1}{t_3}, & 0, & \dots, 0 \\ 0, & -\frac{1}{t_3}, & \frac{1}{t_3} + \frac{1}{t_4}, & -\frac{1}{t_4} \\ 0, & 0, & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

and W is the Hessian matrix of $\sum_j t_j \omega_j(x_j, x_{j-1})$ at the

critical point of $S(x_L, x_{L-1}, \dots, x_1, x_0)$ with respect to $x_{L-1}, x_{L-2}, \dots, x_1$.

References

- [1] Albeverio, S.-H. Krohn, R. J., Mathematical theory of Feynman path integral, Lecture notes of Math., 523, Springer, Berlin, (1976).
- [2] Feynman, R. P., Space time approach to non relativistic quantum mechanics, Rev. of Modern Phys. 20 (1948), 367-387.
- [3] Fujiwara, D., Remarks on convergence of some Feynman path integrals, Duke Math. J. 47 (1980) 559-600.
- [4] Itô, K., Generalized uniform complex measure in Hilbert space and its application to the Feynman path integrals, Proc. 5th Berkeley symposium on Math. Statistics and Probability, vol.2, part 1, 145-161, Univ. California press, Berkeley. (1967)
- [5] Pauli, W., (edited by Enz, C. P.), Pauli Lectures on Physics, vol.6 Selected topics in field quantization. MIT press (1973).

Department of Mathematics
Tokyo Institute of Technology
2-12-1 Oh-okayama Meguroku
Tokyo 152
Japan