- 1 The association between reduced knee joint proprioception and medial meniscal
- 2 abnormalities using MRI in knee osteoarthritis: results from the Amsterdam
- 3 Osteoarthritis cohort
- 4

5 Martin van der Esch¹, PhD

- 6 Jesper Knoop¹, MSc
- 7 David J. Hunter², MBBS PhD
- 8 Jan-Paul Klein³, MD
- 9 Marike van der Leeden^{1,4,5}, PhD
- 10 Dirk L. Knol⁶, PhD
- 11 Dick Reiding⁷, MD
- 12 Ramon E Voorneman⁷, MD
- 13 Martijn Gerritsen⁷, MD PhD
- 14 Leo D Roorda¹, MD PT PhD
- 15 Willem F Lems^{7,8}, MD PhD
- 16 Joost Dekker^{1,4,5,9}, PhD
- 17 18
- ¹ Reade, Amsterdam Rehabilitation Research Centre, Amsterdam, the Netherlands;
- 20 ^{2.} Department of Rheumatology, Royal North Shore Hospital and University of Sydney, St Leonards, Australia;
- ^{3.} VU University Medical Center, Department of Radiology, Amsterdam, the Netherlands;
- ^{4.} VU University Medical Center, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Amsterdam, the Netherlands;
- ^{5.} VU University Medical Center, EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, Amsterdam, the Netherlands;
- ^{6.} VU University Medical Center, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Amsterdam, the Netherlands;
- 25^{7.} Reade, Department of Rheumatology, Amsterdam, the Netherlands;
- 26 ^{8.} VU University Medical Center, Department of Rheumatology, Amsterdam, the Netherlands;
- ^{9.} VU University Medical Center, Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- 28 29
- 30 Corresponding author: M. van der Esch; Address: Reade, Amsterdam Rehabilitation Research
- 31 Centre; Dr. Jan van Breemenstraat 2, PO 58271; 1040 HG Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Tel:
- 32 0031-205896291; Fax: 0031-205896316; E-mail: m.vd.esch@reade.nl
- 33

34 Abstract

35 **Background.** Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is characterized by pain and activity limitations. In knee OA, proprioceptive accuracy is reduced and might be associated with pain and 36 37 activity limitations. Although causes of reduced proprioceptive accuracy are divergent, medial 38 meniscal abnormalities, which are highly prevalent in knee OA, have been suggested to play 39 an important role. No study has focussed on the association between proprioceptive accuracy 40 and meniscal abnormalities in knee OA. 41 **Objective.** To explore the association between reduced proprioceptive accuracy and medial 42 meniscal abnormalities in a clinical sample of knee OA subjects. 43 Methods. Cross-sectional study in 105 subjects with knee OA. Knee proprioceptive accuracy 44 was assessed by determining the joint motion detection threshold in the knee extension 45

43 Wethous. Cross-sectional study in 105 subjects with knee OA. Knee proprioceptive accuracy 44 was assessed by determining the joint motion detection threshold in the knee extension 45 direction. The knee was imaged with a 3.0 Tesla MR scanner. Number of regions with medial 46 meniscal abnormalities and the extent of abnormality in the anterior and posterior horn and 47 body were scored according to the BLOKS method. Multiple regression analyses were used to 48 examine whether reduced proprioceptive accuracy was associated with medial meniscal 49 abnormalities in knee OA subjects.

50 **Results.** Mean proprioceptive accuracy was $2.9^{\circ} \pm 1.9^{\circ}$. MRI-detected medial meniscal

abnormalities were found in the anterior horn (78%), body (80%) and posterior horn (90%).

52 Reduced proprioceptive accuracy was associated with both the number of regions with

53 meniscal abnormalities (p<.01) and the extent of abnormality (p=.02). These associations

54 were not confounded by muscle strength, joint laxity, pain, age, gender, BMI and duration of

55 knee complaints.

56 Conclusion.

57	This is the first study showing that reduced proprioceptive accuracy is associated with medial
58	meniscal abnormalities in knee osteoarthritis. The study highlights the importance of meniscal
59	abnormalities in understanding reduced proprioceptive accuracy in persons with knee OA.
60	
61	(word count 279)
62	
63	Key words. Osteoarthritis, Proprioception, Meniscus, Magnetic resonance imaging, Knee.

65 Introduction

66 Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee involves many tissues, such as cartilage, bone, menisci and the synovial membrane (1-4). Clinical characteristics of the disease are joint pain and activity 67 68 limitations (5). Reduced joint proprioceptive accuracy might be associated with pain and activity limitations (6-10). Although causes of reduced joint proprioceptive accuracy are 69 70 divergent, meniscal abnormalities have been suggested to play an important role (11-13). As 71 far as we are aware, the direct association between reduced knee joint proprioceptive accuracy 72 and meniscal abnormalities has not yet been demonstrated in persons with knee OA. 73 Proprioceptive accuracy in knee OA is reduced and not well understood (9,10). Key 74 factors that may affect proprioceptive accuracy in knee OA are: impaired articular 75 mechanoreceptors, muscle weakness through reduced γ -motor neuron activation with reduced 76 muscle spindle sensitivity, OA-related inflammation and effusion, and concomitant 77 abnormalities to the anterior cruciate ligament or meniscus (9,10). 78 Meniscal abnormalities (i.e. tears or maceration) have been found in up to 80% of 79 knees with OA (2-4). Meniscal abnormalities affect the load transmission of the knee in at 80 least two ways: (i) through alteration of the morphology and anatomical structure of the 81 meniscus, and (ii) by impairing the mechanoreceptors of the knee (2,12). Studies focusing on 82 the mechanical properties of the menisci have found that the most substantive strains and the 83 highest load (70%) are in the medial meniscus (14-16). In the medial meniscus, the 84 mechanoreceptors are located in the outer rim, which is firmly attached to the capsule and the 85 coronary (collateral) ligaments, where mechanoreceptors are also found (17,18). In contrast, 86 the lateral meniscus is only attached to the coronary ligaments, not to the capsule and contains 87 less mechanoreceptors (19). Therefore, it could be expected that a medial meniscal 88 abnormality might reduce the number of mechanoreceptors, as well as impair 89 mechanoreceptor function, thereby affecting proprioceptive accuracy. This effect may be bi-

90 directional. Reduced proprioceptive accuracy may lead to meniscal damage due to impaired 91 neuromuscular control and thereby knee instability. Instability may increase the strains and 92 load on the medial meniscus with a high risk for damage, leading to a self-perpetuating cycle 93 (20). The first step in studying this self-perpetuating cycle is by examining the relationship 94 between proprioceptive accuracy and meniscal abnormality, which will improve knowledge 95 regarding reduced proprioceptive accuracy. Therefore, the aim of this study was to explore the 96 association between reduced proprioceptive accuracy and medial meniscal abnormality in a 97 clinical sample of persons with knee OA.

98

100 Methods

101 Subjects. For the present study, participants were recruited from a randomized controlled trial 102 (STABILITY-trial) from January 2010 to August 2011(21,22). This trial was embedded in the 103 Amsterdam osteoarthritis (AMS-OA) cohort, a cohort of subjects with OA of the knee and/or 104 hip who are referred to a specialized clinic (Reade, centre for rehabilitation and rheumatology, 105 Amsterdam, the Netherlands) (21,22). Inclusion criteria were clinical knee OA diagnosis 106 according to the American College of Rheumatology criteria (23), age between 40 and 75 107 years, biomechanically assessed and/or self-reported knee instability and written informed 108 consent (21,22). Exclusion criteria were total knee arthroplasty, any form of arthritis other 109 than OA, comorbidities affecting daily functioning, severe knee pain (NRS>8) and contra-110 indication for MRI (e.g. pacemaker, claustrophobia). The study was approved by the 111 Slotervaart Hospital/ Reade, institutional review board. All measurements were scheduled 112 prior to the start of an exercise program.

113

114 Knee joint proprioception. Proprioception was assessed in a knee joint motion detection task, 115 expressed as the joint motion detection threshold. A device was used that provided knee 116 angular displacement in extension and precise measurement of the angular displacement with a resolution of 0.1° (figure 1). This method of assessment has been described in previous 117 118 studies (6,24). The angular displacement between the starting position and the position at the 119 instant of pushing a stop button was recorded. The threshold for detection of knee joint 120 movement was defined as the difference, in degrees, between the actual onset of motion and 121 the subject's detection of knee joint position change or motion. High joint motion detection 122 threshold meant a great difference between the actual onset of motion and the subject's 123 detection and expressed poor proprioceptive accuracy. The mean joint motion detection 124 threshold from three measurements was used for analyses. ICCs for intra-rater reliability for

the assessment of participants with and without OA by a single experienced tester were 0.91
and 0.86, respectively (24). The intra-rater SEM and MDD were 2.26° and 6.26°, respectively,
in subjects with knee OA (24).

128

-Insert Figure 1-

129 MR imaging. MRI scans were performed of the knee that was clinically diagnosed with knee 130 OA (in unilateral knee OA) or of the knee with most severely affected daily activities (in 131 bilateral knee OA). Knees were imaged by a 3 Tesla whole body magnetic resonance scanner 132 (General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) using a phased array knee coil. The MRI 133 examination included five sequences. The first sequence was a sagittal proton density-134 weighted turbo spin-echo with fat suppression (slice thickness 3 mm; interslice gap 0.3 mm; 135 repetition time (TR) 3480 ms; echo time (TE) 42 ms; turbo factor 8; matrix 384x256). The 136 second sequence was a sagittal T1-weighted turbo spin-echo (slice thickness 3 mm; interslice 137 gap 0.3 mm; TR 760 ms; TE 14 ms; turbofactor 2; matrix 384x256). The third sequence was a 138 coronal T2-weighted turbo spin-echo with fat suppression (slice thickness 3mm; interslice gap 139 0.3 mm; TR 5800 ms; TE 85 ms; turbo factor 15; matrix 384x256). The fourth sequence was 140 a sagittal combined multi-echo gradient echo (MERGE; thickness 3.5 mm; interslice gap 0.3 141 mm; TR 973 ms; excitation angle 20 degrees; matrix 352x224). The last sequence was a 142 coronal combined multi-echo gradient echo (MERGE; thickness 3.0 mm; interslice gap 0.5 143 mm; TR 854 ms; excitation angle 20 degrees; matrix 352x224). For meniscal scoring, all five 144 sequences were used, particularly the second and third sequences.

MRI medial meniscal abnormality was assessed following a commonly used scoring
method, the Boston-Leeds Osteoarthritis Knee Score (BLOKS) (25,26), by a radiologist (JPK)
with 27 years of musculoskeletal radiology expertise who was blinded to the participants
clinical characteristics. Intra-observer reliability was found to be good in 15 participants
(ICC=0.82).

The medial meniscus was divided into three regions: anterior horn, body and posterior horn. The extent of meniscal abnormality was scored as follows: normal, signal only, vertical tear, horizontal tear, complex tear, root tear, and maceration. A signal only was indicated as a signal within the meniscus which did not extend to an articular surface. A tear was indicated as high signal intensity within the meniscus that extended to two meniscal surfaces.

Maceration indicated loss of overall normal morphological appearance of the meniscus as well as an associated increased diffuse signal in the meniscal tissue.

Two meniscal abnormality scores were used in statistical analyses. First, the number of regions (ranging from 0 to 3 regions) of the medial meniscus with an abnormality was scored. Second, meniscal abnormality extent was scored as follows: 0= no abnormality, 1 = signal only, 2 = tear (including vertical, horizontal, complex or root tear) and 3 = maceration. The highest score of meniscal abnormality extent of the three regions was used in analyses.

162

163 Muscle strength. Muscle strength of the left and right leg was measured isokinetically

164 (EnKnee, Enraf-Nonius, Rotterdam, Netherlands) at 60[°]/second (6,27). The mean muscle

torque (i.e. extension and flexion) per leg was calculated to obtain a measure of overall leg
muscle strength (Nm). For the analyses, individual mean muscle strength was divided by the

167 subject's body weight for a normalized measure (Nm/kg).

168

Knee joint laxity. Joint varus-valgus laxity was measured as the total movement in the frontal
plane during varus-valgus load in a non-weight bearing position (27). The mean of three
measurements (degrees) was calculated for each knee.

Pain. Knee pain over the past week was assessed by an 11 point numeric rating scale (0 -10),
with higher scores representing more pain. Subjects were asked: What was your pain rating
on average over the past week?

176

Radiography. Radiographs of the knee were scored in a blinded fashion by an experienced
radiologist. The grading scale proposed by Kellgren & Lawrence (K/L) was used to determine
Radiographic Osteoarthritis (ROA) (28). Weight-bearing, anterior-posterior radiographs of
the knee joints were obtained following the Buckland-Wright protocol (29).

181

182 *Demographics*. A series of demographic variables were obtained including age, gender,

183 height, weight, Body Mass Index (BMI) and duration of complaints. For the analyses, age,

184 BMI and duration of complaints were used as continuous variables.

185

186 Statistical analysis. Data of knee-specific variables were used from the index knee, which 187 was the knee of which MRI had been obtained (i.e. knee diagnosed with clinical OA in 188 unilateral knee OA or participant-reported knee most severely affecting daily activities in 189 bilateral knee OA). First, descriptive statistics (mean \pm SD or n, %) of the index knee were 190 obtained. Second, analysis of variance was used to check for linearity of the associations 191 between proprioceptive acuity and the MRI detected number of regions with meniscal 192 abnormality and the extent of meniscal abnormality. Third, in order to assess the relationship 193 between proprioceptive accuracy (joint motion detection) and MRI meniscal abnormality in 194 knee OA two simple linear regression analyses were performed. The dependent variable was 195 proprioceptive accuracy in degrees. The independent variable was the meniscal abnormality 196 score, which was in model 1: number of regions with an abnormality (ranging from 0-3 197 regions); or 2) and in model 2: abnormality extent (ranging from 0-3, with 0=none; 1= signal

198	only; 2=meniscal tear; 3= macerated meniscus). Results of the regression analyses are
199	expressed as unstandardized (B) regression coefficients that represent the associations
200	between proprioceptive accuracy and the number of regions with a meniscal abnormality and
201	the extent of meniscal abnormality. Fourth, in multiple regression analyses, the dependent
202	variable was proprioception in degrees and the independent variables were the meniscal
203	abnormalities (model 1: number of regions with an abnormality, model 2: extent of
204	abnormality). In both models muscle strength, joint laxity, pain, age, gender, Body Mass
205	Index (BMI) and duration of complaints were included as covariates. Background knowledge
206	identified muscle strength, joint laxity, pain, age, gender, Body Mass Index (BMI) and
207	duration of complaints as potentional confounders, according to the confounder selection by
208	Greenland (30). When with stepwise addition of covariates the regression coefficient of the
209	number of regions with an abnormality or the regression coefficient of the extent of
210	abnormality was not changed by 10%, these covariates were deemed insignificant to the
211	outcome and were excluded from the final model.
212	All analyses were performed using SPSS software, version 19.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).
213	
214	Results
215	From a total of 112 potential candidates that participated a randomized controlled trial (21)
216	from January 2010, 7 persons were excluded (reason: MRI could not be scheduled before start
217	of trial).
218	Table 1 shows the characteristics of participants.
219	- Insert Table 1 -
220	The number of regions with a medial meniscal abnormality and the extent of abnormality are
221	shown in Table 2. In 77% of the knees, an abnormality was found in the medial meniscus,

222 with overall the highest prevalence of abnormalities in the posterior horn (89%). Maceration

223	was present mostly in the body of the meniscus (44%). Tears were found most frequently in
224	the posterior horn (29%) and signal only most frequently in the anterior horn (47%).
225	- Insert Table 2 -
226	In Table 3 it is shown that the proprioceptive accuracy decreased when the number of regions
227	with a medial meniscus abnormality increased. For those with three regions of the meniscus
228	affected, the proprioceptive accuracy was reduced by 3.2 degrees. It is also shown that the
229	proprioceptive accuracy reduced when the extent of a meniscal abnormality increased. For
230	those with a macerated medial meniscus the proprioceptive accuracy was reduced by 3.2
231	degrees.
232	- Insert Table 3 -
233	To identify cases that were outlying with respect to their values we used Cook's distance and
234	leverage values to assess the influence on the regression model (31). We identified one case
235	as an outlier with extreme proprioceptive inaccuracy and high laxity values and that case was
236	excluded from further regression analyses.
237	
238	Linear regression analyses (Table 4) showed that the number of regions with a meniscal
239	abnormality was significantly associated with proprioceptive accuracy. This association was
240	not confounded by any of the covariates (muscle strength, joint laxity, pain, duration of
241	complaints and demographic factors). The presentation of the regression coefficient (B)
242	indicates that with every increase in the number of regions with an abnormality in the medial
243	meniscus, the accuracy of proprioception decreased by 0.48 degrees. Linear regression
244	analyses also showed that the extent of meniscal abnormality was also significantly associated
245	with proprioceptive accuracy (Table 4). This association was not substantively confounded by
246	the covariates. The presentation of the regression coefficient (B) indicates that any unit of

- 247 increase in extent of abnormality in the medial meniscus, ranging from normal to maceration,
- 248 decreased the accuracy of proprioception by 0.39 degrees.

250 Discussion

251 In a cross-sectional study of persons with established knee OA, we explored the association 252 between reduced proprioceptive accuracy and medial meniscal abnormalities. Abnormalities 253 were present in the anterior horn (78%), body (80%) and posterior horn (90%) of the medial 254 meniscus. A significant association was found between reduced proprioceptive accuracy and 255 the number of regions with an abnormal medial meniscus, as well as with the extent of medial 256 meniscus abnormality. Our results confirm the hypothesis that proprioceptive accuracy and 257 meniscal abnormality are associated (2,3). A meniscal abnormality may predispose to reduced 258 proprioceptive accuracy. Alternatively, reduced proprioceptive accuracy might itself add to an 259 overloading of the medial meniscus through its reduced neuromuscular reflex responses, 260 leading to knee joint instability and therefore to a self-perpetuating cycle. The cause and 261 effect relationship need to be confirmed in longitudinal studies.

262 In proprioception, different active and passive key factors of the knee are integrated 263 and related to each other (9,10). Via neuromuscular reflex responses, proprioception controls muscle activity and as a result protects the knee from excessive and possible injurious loads 264 265 (9). In cases of injurious loads, meniscal abnormality is indirectly the result of reduced 266 proprioceptive accuracy, but conversely, the meniscal abnormality will alter proprioceptive 267 accuracy. Reduced proprioceptive accuracy, next to muscle weakness, is an important factor 268 of the neuromuscular reflex system in the facilitation of joint stabilization. Knee instability is 269 a highly prevalent characteristic in knee OA subjects (20,21,32-34). Therefore, our results 270 suggest that persons with knee OA with reduced proprioceptive accuracy and meniscal 271 abnormality will suffer from more knee instability. Future studies are needed to explore the 272 associations between knee joint instability, reduced proprioceptive accuracy and meniscal 273 abnormality. Consequently, reduced proprioceptive accuracy and meniscal abnormality 274 necessitate a change in exercise regimes. Neuromuscular exercises might be of great

importance in persons with reduced proprioceptive accuracy and meniscal abnormality with theaim to affect the self-perpetuating cycle and improve knee joint stability.

277 Several scoring methods have been developed over the last few years (25,26,35). We 278 used the scoring of meniscal abnormality as has been described by the BLOKS (25,26). This 279 scoring method provided the radiologist with a clear method to identify and classify the 280 abnormal features of the medial meniscus. An MRI detected meniscal abnormality was 281 defined as a loss of overall normal morphological appearance of the medial meniscus and 282 scored as signal only, vertical tear, horizontal tear, complex tear, root tear or maceration of the 283 anterior horn, body or posterior horn (25). Maceration of the meniscus was highly prevalent, 284 which has also been found in other studies (2,11), indicating that our sample had severe knee 285 OA. Tears were less frequently present (range from 4.7% to 28.6%) when compared to other 286 studies (36-40). In those studies, more than 50% of subjects with knee OA showed tears, 287 particularly in the early stages of knee OA.

288 Meniscal signal only, can be presumed as the first MRI meniscal feature showing an 289 abnormal integrity of the meniscus (13). Some authors suggest that a signal is an MRI feature 290 indicating normal integrity, while other authors define it as the first feature of a loss of 291 integrity and therefore as an abnormality (13). We scored signal only as a non-severe 292 abnormality, which we interpreted as the first characteristic of the medial meniscus in knee 293 OA with a loss of integrity. A further reason to classify a meniscal signal as an abnormality is 294 to be able to distinguish more precisely between normal morphology of the meniscus and the 295 presence of a tear in the meniscus with high signal.

Several limitations to our study bear attention. Firstly, no control-group was included in the study. It is necessary to control for meniscal abnormalities in a 'healthy' population of comparable age and gender. It has been shown that meniscal abnormality is highly prevalent in healthy older subjects (2,3) and that proprioceptive accuracy decreases in the elderly (7,9). The

300 present study is the first exploratory study that has shown an association between proprioceptive 301 accuracy and meniscal abnormality in persons with established knee OA. This needs to be 302 replicated in future studies, including early and severe knee OA, matched with healthy controls. 303 Secondly, we assessed maceration as a severe extent of a meniscal abnormality. Maceration 304 could be the result of destruction of the meniscus as part of the osteoarthritic process, but also the 305 result of a former resection of the meniscus. In scoring MRI features, it is difficult to distinguish 306 between maceration due to destruction or to a resection of the meniscus. History-taking could 307 give additional information about the cause behind maceration. Thirdly, the BLOKS scoring 308 system does not provide a scoring of tears in the 'red' zone, i.e. in the high-vascularization 309 region of the insertional ligaments of the meniscus, while this region is of particular interest 310 as it contains a higher density of mechanoreceptors. Future studies on the relation between 311 meniscal damage and proprioceptive accuracy may need to focus on this particular region. 312 Fourthly, subjects were included when biomechanically assessed and/or self-reported knee 313 instability was present. Therefore, our results cannot be generalized to all subjects with knee OA. Finally, this study confirms former speculations about the relationship between 314 315 proprioceptive accuracy and meniscal abnormality (2,3), however, it does not prove a causal 316 relationship. Future studies need to focus on MRI detected meniscal features and proprioception 317 in a longitudinal design, to clarify the interaction between meniscal abnormality and reduced 318 proprioceptive accuracy in a self-perpetuating cycle.

To conclude, this is the first study showing that reduced proprioceptive accuracy is associated with medial meniscal abnormality in knee osteoarthritis. The study highlights the importance of meniscal abnormality in understanding reduced proprioceptive accuracy in persons with knee OA.

324	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

325	We gratefully acknowledge S. Webster for his assistance in correcting the manuscript	We
326	also thank S. Romviel for collecting data.	

328 **Conflict of interest**

- 329 The authors declare no competing financial interests.
- 330

331 Author contributions

- 332 Conception and design: van der Esch, Knoop, van der Leeden, Roorda and Dekker.
- 333 Acquisition of data or analysis and interpretation of data: van der Esch, Knoop, Hunter, Klein,
- 334 van der Leeden, Voorneman, Gerritsen, Reiding, Knol, Lems, Roorda and Dekker.
- 335 Drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content van der Esch,
- Hunter, Klein, Knoop, van der Leeden, Voorneman, Gerritsen, Lems, Roorda and Dekker.
- 337 Final approval of the version published: van der Esch, Knoop, Hunter, Klein, van der Leeden,
- 338 Voorneman, Gerritsen, Knol, Lems, Roorda and Dekker
- 339
- 340 Van der Esch (m.vd.esch@reade.nl) takes full responsibility for the integrity of the work as a
- 341 whole, from inception to finished article.
- 342
- 343

344 Reference List

345	1.	Bijlsma JW, Berenbaum F, Lafeber FP. Osteoarthritis: an update with relevance for
346		clinical practice. Lancet 2011;377(9783):2115-2126.
347	2.	Englund M, Guermazi A, Lohmander SL. The role of the meniscus in knee
348		osteoarthritis: a cause or consequence? Radiol Clin North Am. 2009;47(4):703-12.
349	3.	Englund M, Guermazi A, Lohmander LS. The meniscus in knee osteoarthritis.
350		Rheum Dis Clin North Am. 2009;35(3):579-90.
351	4.	Lo GH, Hunter DJ, Nevitt M, Lynch J, McAlindon TE; OAI Investigators Group.
352		Strong association of MRI meniscal derangement and bone marrow lesions in knee
353		osteoarthritis: data from the osteoarthritis initiative. Osteoarthr Cartil.
354		2009;17(6):743-7.
355	5.	Dekker J, van Dijk GM, Veenhof C. Risk factors for functional decline in
356		osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2009;21(5):520-4.
357	6.	van der Esch M, Steultjens M, Harlaar J, Knol D, Lems W, Dekker J. Joint
358		proprioception, muscle strength, and functional ability in patients with osteoarthritis
359		of the knee. Arthritis Rheum 2007;57(5):787-793.
360	7.	Sharma L, Cahue S, Song J, Hayes K, Pai YC, Dunlop D. Physical functioning over
361		three years in knee osteoarthritis: role of psychosocial, local mechanical, and
362		neuromuscular factors. Arthritis Rheum 2003;48(12):3359-3370.
363	8.	Felson DT, Gross KD, Nevitt MC, Yang M, Lane NE, Torner JC et al. The effects of
364		impaired joint position sense on the development and progression of pain and
365		structural damage in knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2009;61(8):1070-1076.
366	9.	Knoop J, Steultjens MP, van der Leeden M, van der Esch M, Thorstensson CA,
367		Roorda LD et al. Proprioception in knee osteoarthritis: a narrative review. Osteoarthr
368		Cartil 2011;19(4):381-388.
369	10	Smith TO, King JJ, Hing CB. The effectiveness of proprioceptive-based exercise for
370		osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rheumatol Int
371		2012;
372	11	Englund M, Felson DT, Guermazi A, Roemer FW, Wang K, Crema MD, Lynch JA,
373		Sharma L, Segal NA, Lewis CE, Nevitt MC. Risk factors for medial meniscal
374		pathology on knee MRI in older US adults: a multicentre prospective cohort study.
375		Ann Rheum Dis. 2011;70(10):1733-9.

376	12. Englund M. The role of the meniscus in osteoarthritis genesis. Med Clin North Am.
377	2009;93(1):37-43.
378	13. Englund M, Niu J, Guermazi A, Roemer FW, Hunter DJ, Lynch JA, Lewis CE,
379	Torner J, Nevitt MC, Zhang YQ, Felson DT. Effect of meniscal damage on the
380	development of frequent knee pain, aching, or stiffness. Arthritis Rheum.
381	2007;56(12):4048-54.
382	14. Andriacchi TP, Dyrby CO, Johnson TS. The use of functional analysis in evaluating
383	knee kinematics. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2003;410:44-53.
384	15. Schipplein OD, Andriacchi TP. Interaction between active and passive knee
385	stabilizers during level walking. J Orthop Res 1991;9:113-9.
386	16. Netravali NA, Koo S, Giori NJ, Andriacchi TP. The effect of kinematic and kinetic
387	changes on meniscal strains during gait. J Biomech Eng. 2011;133(1):011006-1 -6.
388	17. Aagaard H, Verdonk R. Function of the normal meniscus and consequences of
389	meniscal resection. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 1999;9(3):134-40
390	18. Messner K, Gao J. The menisci of the knee joint. Anatomical and functional
391	characteristics, and a rationale for clinical treatment. J Anat. 1998;193 (Pt 2):161-
392	78.
393	19. Assimakopoulos AP, Katonis PG, Agapitos MV, et al. The innervation of the human
394	meniscus. Clin Orthrop 1992;275:232-6.1992.
395	20. Sharma L, Eckstein F, Song J, Guermazi A, Prasad P, Kapoor D, Cahue S, Marshall
396	M, Hudelmaier M, Dunlop D. Relationship of meniscal damage, meniscal extrusion,
397	malalignment, and joint laxity to subsequent cartilage loss in osteoarthritic knees.
398	Arthritis Rheum. 2008;58:1716-26.
399	21. Knoop J, Leeden van der M, Esch van der M, Thorstensson C, Gerritsen M,
400	Voorneman R, Lems WF, Roorda LD, Dekker J, Steultjens MPM. Lower muscle
401	strength is associated with self-reported knee instability in osteoarthritis of the knee:
402	results from the AM-OA cohort. Arthritis Care Res 2012;64:38-45.
403	22. Knoop J, Dekker J, Leeden vd M, Esch vd M, Thorstensson CA, Gerritsen M,
404	Voorneman RE, Peter W, Rooij dM, Romviel S, Lems WF, Roorda LD, Steultjens
405	MPM: Knee Joint Stabilization Therapy in Patients with Osteoarthritis of the Knee:
406	A Randomized, Controlled Trial. submitted 2012.
407	23. Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K et al. Development of
408	criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of

409	osteoarthritis of the knee. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the
410	American Rheumatism Association. Arthritis Rheum 1986; 29(8):1039-1049.
411	24. Hurkmans EJ, van der Esch M, Ostelo RW, Knol D, Dekker J, Steultjens MP.
412	Reproducibility of the measurement of knee joint proprioception in patients with
413	osteoarthritis of the knee. Arthritis Rheum. 2007;57(8):1398-403.
414	25. Hunter DJ, Guermazi A, Lo GH, Grainger AJ, Conaghan PG, Boudreau RM,
415	Roemer FW. Evolution of semi-quantitative whole joint assessment of knee OA:
416	MOAKS (MRI Osteoarthritis Knee Score). Osteoarthr Cartil. 2011;19(8):990-1002.
417	Epub 2011 May 23. Erratum in: Osteoarthr Cartil. 2011;19(9):1168.
418	26. Hunter DJ, Lo GH, Gale D, Grainger AJ, Guermazi A, Conaghan PG. The reliability
419	of a new scoring system for knee osteoarthritis MRI and the validity of bone marrow
420	lesion assessment: BLOKS (Boston Leeds Osteoarthritis Knee Score). Ann Rheum
421	Dis 2008;67(2):206-211.
422	27. Van der Esch M, Steultjens MPM, Knol D, Dinant H, Dekker J. Joint laxity modifies
423	the relationship between muscle strength and disability in patients with
424	osteoarthritis of the knee. Arthritis Rheum 2006;55:953-9.
425	28. Altman RD, Gold GE. Atlas of individual radiographic features in osteoarthritis,
426	revised. Osteoarthr Cartil 2007;15 Suppl A:A1-56.
427	29. Buckland-Wright C. Protocols for precise radio-anatomical positioning of the
428	tibiofemoral and patellofemoral compartments of the knee. Osteoarthr Cartil 1995; 3
429	Suppl A:71-80.
430	30. Greenland S (2008) Invited commentary: variable selection versus shrinkage in the
431	control of multiple confounders. A J Epidemiolog 167:523-29
432	31. Kutner MH, Nachtsheim CJ, Neter J, Li W. (2005). Applied Linear Statistical
433	Models, 5th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York. page 398-405
434	32. van der Esch M, Knoop J, van der Leeden M, Voorneman R, Gerritsen M, Reiding
435	D, Romviel S, Knol DL, Lems WF, Dekker J, Roorda LD. Self-reported knee
436	instability and activity limitations in patients with knee osteoarthritis: results of the
437	Amsterdam osteoarthritis cohort. Clin Rheumatol. 2012 Jun 23.
438	33. Felson DT, Niu J, McClennan C et al (2007) Knee buckling: prevalence, risk
439	factors, and associated limitations in function. Ann Intern.Med 147:534-40.

440	34. Fitzgerald GK, Piva SR, Irrgang JJ (2004) Reports of joint instability in knee
441	osteoarthritis: its prevalence and relationship to physical function. Arthritis Rheum
442	51:941-6.
443	35. Hayashi D, Guermazi A, Hunter DJ. Osteoarthritis year 2010 in review: imaging.
444	Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2011;19(4):354-60.
445	36. Bhattacharyya T, Gale D, Dewire P, et al. The clinical importance of meniscal tears
446	demonstrated by magnetic resonance imaging in osteoarthritis of the knee. J Bone
447	Joint Surg Am 2003;85(1):4-9.
448	37. Davies-Tuck ML, Martel-Pelletier J, Wluka AE, Pelletier JP, Ding C, Jones G,
449	Davis S, Cicuttini FM. Meniscal tear and increased tibial plateau bone area in
450	healthy post-menopausal women. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2008;16(2):268-71.
451	38. Hunter DJ. Imaging insights on the epidemiology and pathophysiology of
452	osteoarthritis. Rheum Dis Clin North Am. 2009;35(3):447-63.
453	39. Crema MD, Hunter DJ, Roemer FW, Li L, Marra MD, Nogueira-Barbosa MH, Le
454	Graverand MP, Wyman BT, Guermazi A. The relationship between prevalent
455	medial meniscal intrasubstance signal changes and incident medial meniscal tears in
456	women over a 1-year period assessed with 3.0 T MRI. Skeletal Radiol.
457	2011;40(8):1017-23.
458	40. Roemer FW, Guermazi A, Hunter DJ, Niu J, Zhang Y, Englund M, Javaid MK,
459	Lynch JA, Mohr A, Torner J, Lewis CE, Nevitt MC, Felson DT. The association of
460	meniscal damage with joint effusion in persons without radiographic osteoarthritis:
461	the Framingham and MOST osteoarthritis studies. Osteoarthr Cartil.
462	2009;17(6):748-53.
463	
464	
465	

	Value
Age, mean \pm SD years	61.4 ± 6.9
Women, no. (%)	73 (70%)
Body mass index, mean \pm SD kg/m ²	29.1 ± 4.7
Duration of complaints, mean \pm SD years	11.3 ± 9.2
Joint proprioception, mean \pm SD degrees	2.93 ± 1.8
Joint laxity, mean \pm SD degrees	6.9 ± 2.8
Isokinetic muscle strength (extension), mean \pm SD Nm/kg	0.89 ± 0.4
NRS for pain intensity during the past week, mean \pm SD (range 0-10)	5.1 ± 2.1
K/L knee score, no. (%)	
0	1 (1%)
1	31 (29%)
2	28 (27%)
3	26 (25%)
4	19 (18%)

Table 2. Prevalence of MRI medial meniscal abnormality* by region, one option per region (n = 105)

		Anterior horn	Body	Posterior horn
0	Normal (no signal or tear)	23 (21.9%)	21 (20.0%)	11 (10.5%)
1	Signal	49 (46.7%)	24 (22.9%)	26 (24.7%)
2	Tears	5 (4.8%)	13 (12.5%)	30 (28.6%)
3	Maceration	28 (26.7%)	47 (44.8%)	38 (36.2%)

472 * Meniscal abnormalities were scored using the Boston-Leeds Osteoarthritis Knee Score

473 (BLOKS) meniscus score.

Table 3. Distribution of proprioceptive accuracy in degrees over the number of regions with an abnormality and the extent of abnormality of the medial meniscus (n=105)

with an abilor manty	with an ability and the extent of abilitinanty of the medial members (n=105)					
Number of regions	Proprioceptive	Extent of abnormality	Proprioceptive			
with an abnormality	accuracy (mean \pm SD)		accuracy (mean \pm SD)			
0. no region	1.83 (1.06)	0. no abnormality	1.83 (1.06)			
1. one region	2.09 (0.79)	1. signal	2.70 (1.74)			
2. two regions	2.57 (0.93)	2. tears	2.85 (1.83)			
3. three regions	3.20 (2.02)	3. maceration	3.19 (1.80)			

Table 4 Results of the regression analyses of the number of regions of the medial meniscus with a MRI abnormality and the extent of MRI abnormality in the medial meniscus on knee joint proprioception

Model 1: Number of regions				Model 2: Extent of abnormality		
	В	р	95% CI	В	р	95% CI
Unadjusted*	0.45	.009	0.12 - 0.79	0.37	.023	0.05 - 0.69
Adjusted**	0.48	.006	0.14 - 0.83	0.39	.023	0.05 - 0.72

480 B unstandardized regression coefficient

481 CI confidence interval

482 * simple regression: unadjusted

483 **multiple regression: adjusted for muscle strength, joint laxity, NRS pain, age, gender, Body

484 Mass Index (BMI) and duration of complaints.



488 Figure 1. Experimental setup for the assessment of knee joint proprioception, showing the
 489 measurement chair control mechanism, handheld button, air splints, and footrest (the moving

490 component of the apparatus).