

**Estimation of a lower bound for the cumulative incidence of failure  
of female tubal sterilisation in NSW: a population-based study.**

**Timothy Churches**

**University of Sydney**

**2006**

This thesis is submitted in satisfaction of the requirements for the degree  
of Master of Philosophy (Public Health), University of Sydney

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>PREFACE</b> .....	7
<b>I. Note on the Author's Contribution</b> .....	7
<b>II. Institutional Human Ethics Committee Approvals and Consent</b> .....	7
<b>III. Acknowledgements</b> .....	8
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	10
<b>CHAPTER 1 Introduction</b> .....	11
<b>1.1 Background</b> .....	12
<b>1.2 Scope</b> .....	14
<b>1.3 Organisation of this thesis</b> .....	14
<b>CHAPTER 2 Literature Review</b> .....	17
<b>2.1 Goals</b> .....	18
<b>2.2 Methods</b> .....	18
<b>2.2.1 Search</b> .....	18
<b>2.2.2 Relevance criteria</b> .....	20
<b>2.3 Biomedical foundations</b> .....	20
<b>2.3.1 Review of anatomy and physiology of the Fallopian tubes</b> .....	20
<b>2.3.2 Methods for female surgical sterilisation</b> .....	21
<b>2.4 Methodological issues in the estimation of the incidence of contraception failure</b> .....	24
<b>2.4.1 Types and causes of failure</b> .....	24
<b>2.4.2 Failure modes</b> .....	26
<b>2.4.3 Modes of withdrawal and censoring events</b> .....	26
<b>2.4.4 Summary estimates of incidence of contraception failure</b> .....	27
<b>2.4.4.1 The Pearl rate</b> .....	27
<b>2.4.4.2 Life-table techniques</b> .....	28
<b>2.5 Studies which report failure of female surgical sterilisation</b> .....	33
<b>2.5.1 Early case series</b> .....	34
<b>2.5.2 Multi-centre cohorts and nested case-control studies</b> .....	37
<b>2.5.3 Other studies from the 1980s and 1990s</b> .....	40
<b>2.5.4 Summary of studies reporting incidence of failure</b> .....	47
<b>2.5.5 Studies of luteal phase pregnancies</b> .....	58
<b>2.6 Ectopic pregnancy following tubal sterilisation</b> .....	59
<b>2.7 Risk of hysterectomy and death following tubal sterilisation</b> .....	64
<b>2.8 Regret and reversal</b> .....	67
<b>2.9 Other related literature</b> .....	68
<b>2.10 Summary</b> .....	69
<b>CHAPTER 3 Methods: Record Linkage</b> .....	71
<b>3.1 Overview of Linkage of Hospital Admission Records</b> .....	72
<b>3.2 The History, Theory and Practice of Record Linkage in Epidemiological Research</b> .....	72
<b>3.2.1 Definition of record linkage</b> .....	72
<b>3.2.2 Unique entity identifiers or keys</b> .....	73
<b>3.2.3 Beginnings of medical record linkage as an art and a science</b> .....	74
<b>3.2.4 The Fellegi-Sunter-Winkler-Jaro linkage model</b> .....	76
<b>3.2.5 Blocking</b> .....	78
<b>3.2.6 Parsing and standardisation</b> .....	80
<b>3.2.7 The statistical theory of probabilistic record linkage versus real-life data</b> .....	81
<b>3.2.8 Clerical review and large files</b> .....	82
<b>3.2.9 The transitive linkage problem</b> .....	83

3.2.10 Assessment of the quality of linkage.....	84
3.2.11 Other approaches to record linkage.....	86
3.2.12 Privacy, confidentiality and architectural considerations.....	87
3.2.13 Ethical clearance and privacy regime for record linkage studies.....	89
3.3 Data source.....	91
3.3.1 Commentary on data sources.....	94
3.4 Record linkage study design.....	95
3.5 Overview of data preparation and record linkage procedures.....	100
3.6 Selection of records for linkage files A and B.....	103
3.7 Record linkage using <i>AutoMatch</i> .....	107
3.8 Post-processing of linked data.....	111
3.9 Comments on the record linkage process used in this study.....	114
CHAPTER 4 Results.....	117
4.1 Numbers of linked records.....	118
4.2 Univariate exploratory data analysis.....	119
4.2.1 Sterilisation failures.....	119
4.2.2 Reasons for censoring.....	121
4.2.3 Type of sterilisation procedure.....	122
4.2.4 Age at first sterilisation procedure.....	123
4.2.5 Year of sterilisation.....	126
4.2.6 Health insurance and public/private election.....	126
4.2.7 Hospitals performing tubal sterilisation procedures.....	127
4.2.8 Country of birth.....	129
4.3 Selected bivariate contrasts.....	131
4.3.1 Duration of follow-up until censoring for censored subjects.....	131
4.3.2 Age by private/public patient status.....	133
4.4 Kaplan-Meier analysis.....	134
4.5 Incidence of failure by covariates.....	142
4.5.1 Incidence of failure by age group.....	142
4.5.2 Incidence of failure by private/public patient status.....	143
4.5.3 Incidence of failure by hospital sterilisation workload tertile.....	145
4.5.4 Incidence of failure by individual hospital.....	147
4.5.5 Incidence of failure by grouped countries of birth.....	148
4.5.6 Incidence of failure by financial year in which sterilisation was performed.....	150
CHAPTER 5 Proportional hazards analysis.....	152
5.1 Cox proportional-hazards regression.....	153
5.2 Proportional-hazards modelling of the study data.....	155
5.3 Checking the assumptions of the proportional-hazards model.....	157
5.4 Discussion.....	163
CHAPTER 6 Concluding remarks.....	165
6.1 Findings of the literature review.....	166
6.2 Comments on the study design and record linkage process.....	166
6.3 Findings of this study.....	170
6.4 Summary and recommendations for further studies.....	173
APPENDICES.....	175
Appendix A: The Fellegi-Sunter model of probabilistic record linkage, as extended by Winkler and Jaro.....	176
Appendix B: Excerpt from the <i>AutoStan</i> address standardisation patterns used in this study.....	183
Appendix C. ICD-9-CM and ICD-10AM diagnosis and procedure codes used to select ISC records for this study.....	186

<b>Appendix D. <i>AutoMatch</i> linkage specifications used for this study</b> .....	194
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	197

## TABLES

Table 1 - Historical case series of female sterilisation failure incidence by type of procedure, as reviewed by Garb (1957) .....	34
Table 2 – Incidence of failure of female surgical sterilisation procedures, as reviewed by Keeping, Chang and Morrison (1979) <sup>40</sup> .....	37
Table 3 - Trias <i>et al.</i> (1987) <sup>63</sup> : Cumulative life table incidence of pregnancy following tubal sterilisation .....	44
Table 4 - Studies reporting incidence of failure of female surgical sterilisation .....	49
Table 5 - Studies on the risk of ectopic pregnancy following tubal sterilisation in females.....	63
Table 6 - Numbers of ISC records for A and B files.....	104
Table 7 - Frequency of failure outcomes in study subjects.....	119
Table 8 - Frequencies of reasons for censoring in study subjects.....	121
Table 9 - Frequencies of types of tubal sterilisation surgery .....	123
Table 10 - Summary measures of location for age in years at first tubal sterilisation procedure .....	123
Table 11 – Frequency by age group at first tubal sterilisation procedure .....	124
Table 12 - Health insurance and private/public hospital admission payment status for NSW tubal sterilisation 1992/1993 to 1999/2000 .....	127
Table 13 - Frequency of countries of birth of study subjects, up to 95th percentile	130
Table 14 - Life-table (product-limit) estimates from this study of cumulative incidence of failure at annual intervals post-sterilisation.....	140
Table 15 - Numbers of observed and expected failures in selected obfuscated hospitals.....	147
Table 16 - Numbers of tubal sterilisation failures by financial year in two selected hospitals.....	148
Table 17 - Observed and expected numbers of sterilisation failures by financial year in which sterilisation was performed.....	151
Table 18 - ICD-9-CM and ICD-10AM diagnosis and procedure codes used to select ISC records for this study.....	186

## TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1 - Distribution of age at first tubal sterilisation procedure .....	125
Figure 2 - Number of tubal sterilisation procedures by financial year, 1992/1993 to 1999/2000 .....	126
Figure 3 - Frequency distribution of total tubal sterilisation procedures in each hospital over the eight-year study period. ....	129
Figure 4 - Duration of follow-up until censoring, by reason for censoring .....	132
Figure 5 - Duration of follow-up until censoring, by private/public patient status ..	133
Figure 6 - Box plot of age at sterilisation by private/public patient status at sterilisation admission .....	134
Figure 7 - Kaplan-Meier survival (non-failure) probability curve for all study subjects .....	135
Figure 8 - Kaplan-Meier survival (non-failure) probability curve, with Goodman 95% confidence intervals, for all study subjects .....	136
Figure 9 - Mueller and Wang kernel-based smoothed estimate of the hazard rate for all study subjects .....	137
Figure 10 - Conditional (life-table) cumulative incidence of tubal sterilisation failure per 100 women as reported by Peterson <i>et al.</i> <sup>65</sup> , Trias <i>et al.</i> <sup>63</sup> and in this study. ....	141
Figure 11 - Kaplan-Meier survival (non-failure) probability curve, by age group ..	143
Figure 12 - Kaplan-Meier survival (non-failure) probability curve, by private/public patient status at time of first sterilisation procedure.....	144
Figure 13 - Kaplan-Meier survival (non-failure) probability curve, by tertile of hospital workload of tubal sterilisation procedures.....	146
Figure 14 - Kaplan-Meier survival (non-failure) probability curve, by financial year in which tubal sterilisation procedure was performed.....	150
Figure 15 - Smoothed plots of the scaled Schoenfeld residuals against transformed time (using Kaplan-Meier K(t))for each covariate in the final model.....	159

## **PREFACE**

### **I. Note on the Author's Contribution**

The author was responsible for all aspects of the study reported in this thesis and for all aspects of the thesis itself, with the exception of address standardisation computer programmes which the author co-wrote with Ms Kim Lim in the late 1990s at then Epidemiology and Health Surveillance Branch in the New South Wales Department of Health. All other computer programming, data processing and analysis was undertaken solely by the author, as was the conception and design of the study and the writing of this work.

This thesis was supervised by Professor Bob Cumming of the School of Public Health, University of Sydney, who provided invaluable advice and many suggestions for the improvement of the study and this document.

Two published, peer-reviewed papers are also submitted as addenda to this thesis. Although they are not directly related to the primary topic of the thesis, they relate to record linkage methodological and privacy issues which arose in the course of work for this thesis. Both were written during the course of the author's candidacy for the degree for which this thesis is submitted. The author's contribution to these papers is indicated in the section titled "Authors' Contributions" on the penultimate page of each paper.

### **II. Institutional Human Ethics Committee Approvals and Consent**

At the time that the author performed the record linkage for this study in 2001 and 2002, approval by an institutional human ethics committee for linkage of an

administrative data set such the one used for this study to itself (internal linkage), for the purposes of research and health services quality assurance, was not required, as specified by the then current Privacy Code of Practice for NSW Health, which was a statutory code of practice pursuant to the *Privacy and Personal Information Protection Act 1998* (NSW). Written permission to make use of administrative data within the confines of the NSW Department of Health for the purposes of this study was obtained in 2001 from Dr Andrew Wilson, who was then the NSW Chief Health Officer and Deputy Director-General, Population Health, of the NSW Department of Health.

This study did not involve any direct or indirect contact or communication with any human or animal subjects – the work involved only the manipulation of data which had already been collected for related purposes. All reasonable steps were successfully taken to ensure the preservation of privacy during the manipulation of these data. Further details are provided in Section 3.2.13 of this work.

### **III. Acknowledgements**

I would like to acknowledge the work of Ms Kim Lim, who has been my valued friend and colleague in the NSW Department of Health for many years and with whom I jointly developed the techniques and general approaches to probabilistic record linkage used in this study.

I would also like to thank Dr Louisa Jorm, Director of Epidemiology and Health Surveillance Branch (later known as the Centre for Epidemiology and Research) for her unstinting encouragement and support.



I am also grateful to my family for their forbearance while this work has been undertaken.

Finally I thank Professor Bob Cumming for his sage advice and patience while supervising this thesis.

## ABSTRACT

Female tubal sterilisation, often referred to as “tubal ligation” but more often performed these days using laparoscopically-applied metal clips, remains a popular form of contraception in women who have completed their families. A review of the literature on the incidence of failure of tubal sterilisation found many reports of case-series and small clinic-based studies, but only a few larger studies with good epidemiological designs, most recently the US CREST study conducted during the 1980s and early 1990s. The CREST study reported a conditional (life-table) cumulative incidence of failure of 0.55, 0.84, 1.18 and 1.85 per 100 women at 1, 2, 4 and 10 years of follow-up respectively. The study described here estimated a lower bound for the incidence of tubal sterilisation failure in NSW by probabilistically linking routinely-collected hospital admission records for women undergoing sterilisation surgery to hospital admission records for the same women which were indicative of subsequent conception or which represented censoring events such as hysterectomy or death in hospital. Data for the period July 1992 to June 2000 were used. Kaplan-Meier and proportional-hazards survival analyses were performed on the resulting linked data set. The conditional cumulative incidence per 100 women at 1, 2, 4 and 8 years of follow-up was estimated to be 0.74 (95% CI 0.68-0.81), 1.05 (0.97-1.13), 1.33 (1.23-1.42) and 1.51 (1.39-1.62) respectively. Forty percent of failures ended in abortion and 14% presented as ectopic pregnancies. Age, private health insurance status and sterilisation in a smaller hospital were all found to be associated with lower rates of failure. Strong evidence of time-limited excess numbers of failures in women undergoing surgery in particular hospitals was also found. The study demonstrates the feasibility of using linked, routinely-collected health data to evaluate relatively rare, long-term outcomes such as sterilisation failure on a population-wide basis.