PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OF TRAPEZIOMETACARPAL JOINT PAIN

Anne E Wajon, BAppSc (Cumb), MAppSc (USyd)

Thesis presented for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

The University of Sydney

2005

SUPERVISOR'S CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "Prevention and management of trapeziometacarpal joint pain" submitted by Anne Wajon in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Physiotherapy) is in a form ready for examination.

| Signed | | | |
|--------|------|------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Doto | | |

Dr Louise Ada School of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Health Sciences, The University of Sydney.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PAGE |
|---|
| LIST OF FIGURESx |
| LIST OF TABLES xvi |
| ABSTRACTxvii |
| STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP xix |
| PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONSxx |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTSxxiii |
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION1 |
| Rationale of the project2 |
| Osteoarthritis of the trapeziometacarpal joint4 |
| Prevalence4 |
| Impairments4 |
| Activity limitations5 |
| Participation restrictions5 |
| Environmental and personal factors6 |
| Classification7 |
| Mechanisms underlying development of trapeziometacarpal osteoarthritis8 |
| Changes to stabilising structures8 |
| Changes to contact wear patterns11 |
| Current conservative management of osteoarthritis of the trapeziometacarpal joint |
| Splinting12 |

| | Exercise | 13 |
|--------|--|----|
| | Adaptations | 14 |
| | nt surgical management of osteoarthritis of the iometacarpal joint | 14 |
| | Ligament reconstruction | 15 |
| | Metacarpal osteotomy | 15 |
| | Arthrodesis | 16 |
| | Trapeziectomy | 17 |
| | Arthroplasty | 17 |
| | Joint replacement | 18 |
| Aims | of the project | 18 |
| | NCE OF THUMB PAIN IN OSKELETAL PHYSIOTHERAPISTS | 23 |
| Introd | uction | 24 |
| Metho | ods | 25 |
| | Design | 25 |
| | Participants | 26 |
| | Survey | 27 |
| | Statistical analysis | 31 |
| Result | ts | 31 |
| | Demographics | 31 |
| | Presentation of pain | 32 |
| | Factors affecting presence of pain | 33 |
| | Factors affecting severity of pain | 37 |

| | Intervention strategies used to deal with the pain |
|------------|---|
| | Intervention strategies used to deal with more severe pain (VAS>5) |
| | Discussion38 |
| CHAPTER 3: | ALIGNMENT OF THE THUMB DURING PERFORMANCE OF POSTERO-ANTERIOR MOBILISATIONS TO THE CERVICAL SPINE44 |
| | Introduction45 |
| | Methods46 |
| | Design46 |
| | Participants46 |
| | Outcome measures47 |
| | Statistical analysis50 |
| | Results51 |
| | Demographics51 |
| | Description of work-related thumb pain51 |
| | Description of thumb alignment during performance of PA glides |
| | Association between work-related thumb pain and thumb alignment during performance of PA glides |
| | Discussion57 |
| CHAPTER 4: | THE THUMB STRAP SPLINT DESIGNED TO ENHANCE TRAPEZIOMETACARPAL JOINT STABILITY |
| | Introduction66 |

| | Theoretical background to the design | 68 |
|------------|--|----|
| | Prevention of dorsoradial subluxation of the base of the first metacarpal | 70 |
| | Prevention of metacarpophalangeal joint hyperextension | 70 |
| | Prevention of metacarpal adduction | 70 |
| | Fabrication of the splint | 71 |
| | Clinical application | 74 |
| CHAPTER 5: | NO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TWO SPLINT AND EXERCISE REGIMENS FOR PEOPLE WITH OSTEOARTHRITIS OF THE THUMB: A RANDOMISED | |
| | CONTROLLED TRIAL | 77 |
| | Introduction | 79 |
| | Methods | 80 |
| | Design | 80 |
| | Participants | 82 |
| | Outcome measures | 85 |
| | Intervention | 88 |
| | Procedures | 93 |
| | Statistical analysis | 95 |
| | Results | 96 |
| | Flow of participants through the trial | 96 |
| | Compliance | 97 |
| | Outcomes | |
| | Discussion | |
| | | |

| CHAPTER 6: | SURGERY FOR THUMB (TRAPEZIOMETACARPAL JOINT) OSTEOARTHRITIS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW109 |
|------------|--|
| | Introduction111 |
| | Methods |
| | Criteria for considering studies for this review112 |
| | Search strategy for identification of studies115 |
| | Methods of the review115 |
| | Description of studies118 |
| | Methodological quality of included studies120 |
| | Results122 |
| | Trapeziectomy versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition and trapeziectomy and interpositional arthroplasty |
| | Trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition versus trapeziectomy, trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty, and trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction127 |
| | Trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty versus trapeziectomy, trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition, and Swanson joint replacement133 |
| | Trapeziometacarpal joint replacement (Swanson) versus trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty |
| | Trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition141 |
| | Discussion146 |

| CHAPTER 7: | DISCUSSION | 153 |
|--------------|--|-----|
| | Conclusion | 154 |
| | Summary of main findings | 154 |
| | Limitations of the studies | 156 |
| | Implications for prevention and management of trapeziometacarpal osteoarthritis | 159 |
| | Physiotherapy population | 159 |
| | General patient population | 160 |
| | Future research directions | 161 |
| | Summary | 163 |
| REFERENCES | | 165 |
| APPENDIX A.1 | Wajon A, Ada L (2003) Prevalence of thumb pain in physiotherapists practicing spinal manipulative therapy. Journal of Hand Therapy 16: 237-244. | 184 |
| APPENDIX A.2 | Investigation of the prevalence of pain at the base of the thumb in Australian manipulative physiotherapists: Ethics approval | 192 |
| APPENDIX A.3 | Investigation of the prevalence of pain at the base of the thumb in Australian manipulative physiotherapists: Survey | 193 |
| APPENDIX B.1 | Alignment of the thumb during performance of PA mobilisations to the cervical spine: Ethics approval | 194 |
| APPENDIX B.2 | Alignment of the thumb during performance of PA mobilisations to the cervical spine: Participant information statement | 196 |
| APPENDIX B.3 | Alignment of the thumb during performance of PA mobilisations to the cervical spine: Consent form | 197 |
| APPENDIX B.4 | Alignment of the thumb during performance of PA mobilisations to the cervical spine: Data sheet | 198 |
| APPENDIX C.1 | Wajon A (2000) The thumb 'strap splint' for dynamic | |
| | mobilisations to the cervical spine: Data sheet | ••• |

| | instability of the trapeziometacarpal joint. Journal of Hand Therapy, 13: 236-237 |
|---------------|--|
| APPENDIX D.1 | Wajon A, Ada L (2005): No difference between two splint and exercise regimens for people with osteoarthritis of the thumb: a randomised controlled trial. Australian Journal of Physiotherapy, 51: 245-259 |
| APPENDIX D.2 | A blinded, randomised, controlled trial of the efficacy of a new splint and exercise program for people with osteoarthritis of the thumb: Ethics approval |
| APPENDIX D.3 | A blinded, randomised, controlled trial of the efficacy of a new splint and exercise program for people with osteoarthritis of the thumb: Participant information statement .208 |
| APPENDIX D.4 | A blinded, randomised, controlled trial of the efficacy of a new splint and exercise program for people with osteoarthritis of the thumb: Consent form |
| APPENDIX D.5 | A randomised, controlled trial of the efficacy of a new splint and exercise program for people with osteoarthritis of the thumb: Data sheet |
| APPENDIX D.6 | A blinded, randomised, controlled trial of the efficacy of a new splint and exercise program for people with osteoarthritis of the thumb: Scoring sheet for Sollerman test211 |
| APPENDIX D.7 | A blinded, randomised, controlled trial of the efficacy of a new splint and exercise program for people with osteoarthritis of the thumb: Individual subject data212 |
| APPENDIX D.8 | Mean (SD) scores, mean (SD) differences within groups, and mean differences between groups (95%CI) for all outcomes213 |
| APPENDIX D.9 | Results of ANOVA with repeated measures of differences in pain, strength and hand function between groups over time214 |
| APPENDIX D.10 | Results of ANOVA with repeated measures of pain, strength and hand function for all participants over time215 |
| APPENDIX E.1 | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Protocol |
| APPENDIX E.2 | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Search strategy |

| APPENDIX E.3 | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Characteristics of excluded studies | 231 |
|---------------|---|-----|
| APPENDIX E.4 | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Characteristics of included studies | 234 |
| APPENDIX E.5a | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Mean (SD) preoperative and postoperative scores for pain | 243 |
| APPENDIX E.5b | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Mean (SD) preoperative and postoperative scores for weakness | 244 |
| APPENDIX E.5c | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Mean (SD) preoperative and postoperative scores for contracture | 245 |
| APPENDIX E.5d | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Mean (SD) preoperative and postoperative scores for hand function | 246 |
| APPENDIX E.5e | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Mean (SD) preoperative and postoperative scores for patient global assessment | 247 |
| APPENDIX E.5f | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Mean (SD) preoperative and postoperative scores for trapeziometacarpal joint imaging | 248 |
| APPENDIX E.5g | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: number of participants with adverse effects | 249 |
| APPENDIX E.6a | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Adverse effects of T versus T & LRTI, and T & IA | 250 |
| APPENDIX E.6b | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Adverse effects of T & LRTI versus T, T & IA, T & LR | 251 |
| APPENDIX E.6c | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Adverse effects of T & IA versus T, T & LRTI, and joint replacement (Swanson) | 252 |
| APPENDIX E.6d | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: Adverse effects of joint replacement (Swanson) versus T & IA | 253 |

| APPENDIX E.6e | Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis: | |
|---------------|--|-----|
| | Adverse effects of T & LR versus T & LRTI2 | 254 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1.1 | Schematic representation of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) applied to trapeziometacarpal joint osteoarthritis |
|------------|--|
| Figure 2.1 | Spinal manipulative therapy techniques of a) central PA glides using thumb pressures, b) unilateral PA glides using thumb pressures, and c) transverse glides using thumb pressures29 |
| Figure 2.2 | Percentage of respondents in each age group reporting pain in the metacarpophalangeal (MP) joint, both metacarpophalangeal and trapeziometacarpal (MP & TM) joints, and trapeziometacarpal joint alone |
| Figure 2.3 | Percentage of musculoskeletal physiotherapists who complained of an aggravation of thumb pain associated with certain spinal manipulative therapy techniques |
| Figure 2.4 | Percentage of musculoskeletal physiotherapists who complained of an aggravation of thumb pain associated with work practices |
| Figure 2.5 | Percentage of physiotherapists that chose each management strategy to alleviate symptoms |
| Figure 3.1 | The Surgical Synergies stand at the MPA conference. The advertisement, Force Plate and computer monitor are shown49 |
| Figure 3.2 | The common joint alignments of (a) metacarpophalangeal hyperextension and interphalangeal flexion, (b) metacarpophalangeal flexion and interphalangeal hyperextension, and (c) metacarpophalangeal flexion and interphalangeal extension |
| Figure 3.3 | The relative contribution of the common thumb alignments to the total Chi square |
| Figure 3.4 | Observation of the alignment of the metacarpophalangeal and interphalangeal joints during the application of PA glide60 |
| Figure 3.5 | Thermoplastic splints controlling the alignment of the metacarpophalangeal joint during the application of PA glide: short opponens splint |
| Figure 3.6 | Thermoplastic splints controlling the alignment of the |

| | | interphalangeal joint during the application of PA glide: (a) static dorsal interphalangeal extension splint and (b) figure 8 'ring' splint | .62 |
|-----|---------|---|-----|
| Fig | ure 3.7 | Thermoplastic splint controlling the alignment of the metacarpophalangeal and interphalangeal joints during the application of PA glide: dorsal blocking splint | .63 |
| Fig | ure 4.1 | Short opponens splint viewed from volar aspect. The splint is moulded with some metacarpophalangeal joint flexion, while allowing full motion at the interphalangeal joint and wrist | 66 |
| Fig | ure 4.2 | Long opponens splint viewed from volar aspect. The splint is moulded with metacarpophalangeal joint flexion and the wrist at 20° extension, while allowing full motion at the interphalangeal joint | .67 |
| Fig | ure 4.3 | Thumb carpometacarpal immobilisation splint (Colditz, 2000) viewed from volar aspect. The splint allows full motion at the wrist and metacarpophalangeal joint | .67 |
| Fig | ure 4.4 | The thumb strap splint (Wajon 2000) viewed from a) volar and b) dorsal aspects. The strap through the web space maintains palmar abduction | .69 |
| Fig | ure 4.5 | Creating the splint pattern: a) calculation of the height of the splinting material and b) the trimmed thermoplastic splinting material used in the fabrication of the thumb strap splint | .72 |
| Fig | ure 4.6 | Schematic diagram of Velcro® straps used for attachment of the thumb strap splint | 74 |
| Fig | ure 4.7 | The thumb strap splint may be worn during performance of PA glides to control metacarpophalangeal joint hypermobility | 75 |
| Fig | ure 5.1 | Design of the randomised, controlled trial showing timing of intervention and measurement during the 52 week trial period | 81 |
| Fig | ure 5.2 | Tip pinch strength testing using the B & L pinch gauge | 86 |
| Fig | ure 5.3 | The Sollerman Test of Hand Function | 87 |
| Fig | ure 5.4 | Intervention for the experimental group consisting of a) thumb strap splint and b) an abduction exercise regimen | 89 |
| Fig | ure 5.5 | Intervention for the control group consisting of a) short | |

| | opponens splint and b) light resistive pinch exercises using soft yellow 'R lite' foam block | 92 |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 5.6 | Consort diagram showing flow of participants through each stage of the trial | 98 |
| Figure 5.7 | Mean (SD) of resting VAS of experimental (solid line and closed circle) and control (dashed line and open circle) over time | 99 |
| Figure 5.8 | Mean tip pinch strength (SD) measured in kilograms for both experimental (solid line and closed circle) and control (dashed line and open circle) groups over time | 00 |
| Figure 5.9 | Mean scores for Sollerman Test of Hand Function (SD) for the experimental (solid line and symbol) and control (dashed line and open symbol) groups over time | 01 |
| Figure 5.10 | Clinically significant size of effect (dashed vertical line) plotted against the effect size () and 95% CI for a) pain, b) strength and c) hand function. | 03 |
| Figure 5.11 | Mean (SD) of a) VAS (cm), b) tip pinch strength (kg), and c) Sollerman Test of Hand Function for all subjects over time10 | 06 |
| Figure 6.1a | Comparison of the VAS score (mm) for trapeziectomy versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition | 23 |
| Figure 6.1b | Comparison of the number of participants with resting pain for trapeziectomy versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition or trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty | 23 |
| Figure 6.1c | Comparison of lateral pinch strength (kg) for trapeziectomy versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition or trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty12 | 24 |
| Figure 6.1d | Comparison of palmar abduction range of motion (cm) for trapeziectomy versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition | 25 |
| Figure 6.1e | Comparison of physical function score (mm) for trapeziectomy versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition | 25 |

| 127 |
|-----|
| 28 |
| |
| 128 |
| 129 |
| 130 |
| 130 |
| 31 |
| 132 |
| |
| L |

| | ligament reconstruction |
|-------------|--|
| Figure 6.2i | Comparison of the number of participants with adverse effects for trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition versus trapeziectomy, trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty or trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction |
| Figure 6.3a | Comparison of the VAS score (mm) for trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty versus trapeziometacarpal joint replacement (Swanson) |
| Figure 6.3b | Comparison of the number of participants with resting pain for trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty versus trapeziectomy or trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition |
| Figure 6.3c | Comparison of lateral pinch strength (kg) for trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty versus trapeziectomy, trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition or trapeziometacarpal joint replacement (Swanson) |
| Figure 6.3d | Comparison of range of palmar abduction (degrees) for trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty versus trapeziometacarpal joint replacement (Swanson) |
| Figure 6.3e | Comparison of scaphometacarpal distance (mm) for trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty versus trapeziometacarpal joint replacement (Swanson) |
| Figure 6.3f | Comparison of the number of participants with adverse effects for trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty versus trapeziectomy, trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition, or trapeziometacarpal joint replacement (Swanson) |
| Figure 6.4a | Comparison of the VAS score (mm) for trapeziectomy with joint replacement versus trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty |
| Figure 6.4b | Comparison of lateral pinch strength (kg) for trapeziectomy with joint replacement versus trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty |
| Figure 6.4c | Comparison of palmar abduction range for trapeziectomy with |

| joint replacement versus trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty | 140 |
|--|--|
| Comparison of scaphometacarpal distance (mm) for trapeziectomy with joint replacement versus trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty | 140 |
| Comparison of the number of participants with adverse effects for trapeziectomy with joint replacement versus trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty | 141 |
| Comparison of the number of participants with frequent or constant pain for trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition | 142 |
| Comparison of lateral pinch strength (kg) for trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition | 142 |
| Comparison of palmar abduction range of motion (degrees) for trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition. | 143 |
| Comparison of the number of participants with difficulty with daily function for trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon | |
| Comparison of the number of participants with good-excellent on the Buck-Gramcko score for trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition | 144 |
| Comparison of scaphometacarpal distance (mm) for trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition | 145 |
| Comparison of the number of participants with adverse effects for trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction versus trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition | 146 |
| | Comparison of scaphometacarpal distance (mm) for trapeziectomy with joint replacement versus trapeziectomy with interpositional arthroplasty |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1.1 | Staging of osteoarthritis at the trapeziometacarpal joint7 |
|-----------|---|
| Table 2.1 | Characteristics of participants (n=155)32 |
| Table 2.2 | Presentation of pain over a 12 month period (n=129)33 |
| Table 2.3 | Distribution of pain in the thumb over different age groups33 |
| Table 2.4 | Comparison of the percentage of participants who trained in each state with the percentage of participants reporting pain who trained in each state |
| Table 2.5 | Results of Chi Square analysis of techniques aggravating pain with VAS>5 |
| Table 3.1 | Range of manually-applied forces during central PA mobilisation to the cervical spine, measured in Newtons48 |
| Table 3.2 | Possible combinations of joint positions and corresponding codes according to the positions of the metacarpophalangeal (MP) and interphalangeal (IP) joints during performance of PA glides |
| Table 3.3 | Characteristics of participants (n=129)51 |
| Table 3.4 | Number of right and left thumbs which were categorised into each alignment according to the positions of the metacarpophalangeal and interphalangeal joints |
| Table 3.5 | Table of frequencies relating thumb pain to alignment55 |
| Table 3.6 | Relative contribution of the deviation of observed from expected pain at each alignment to the total Chi square55 |
| Table 5.1 | Characteristics of participants84 |
| Table 5.2 | Analysis of interval between measurements with intervention being tested |
| Table 5.3 | Results of standard multiple regression analysis used to determine the contribution of impairment (pain and strength) to activity limitation (hand function) over time |
| Table 6.1 | Methodological quality of included studies121 |

ABSTRACT

The aim of the studies reported in this project was to examine factors associated with the prevention and management of trapeziometacarpal osteoarthritis, both in musculoskeletal physiotherapists and the general patient population.

Two studies were undertaken to investigate factors associated with the aggravation of thumb pain in musculoskeletal physiotherapists. Study 1 was a survey of the prevalence of thumb pain, and allowed determination of the most aggravating spinal manipulative therapy technique. It identified that 83% of respondents complained of an aggravation of thumb pain due to the performance of spinal manipulative therapy techniques, with 85-87% of the painful respondents complaining of thumb pain aggravated by unilateral and central PA glides. Study 2 was conducted to determine whether the alignment of the joints of the thumb during performance of these glides was associated with thumb pain. This observational study of 129 musculoskeletal physiotherapists performing a PA glide identified that aligning the metacarpophalangeal and interphalangeal joints in extension was associated with a lower prevalence of work-related thumb pain. Therefore, it is suggested that musculoskeletal physiotherapists be taught to perform these techniques with the joints of their thumb in extension in an effort to reduce the development of work-related thumb pain. Furthermore, it is suggested that those who are unable to maintain this alignment voluntarily be provided with a thermoplastic thumb splint to maintain the extended alignment.

Two studies were undertaken to investigate the conservative and surgical management of

patients with trapeziometacarpal osteoarthritis. Study 3 was a randomised controlled trial conducted to compare the efficacy of a new thumb strap splint and an abduction exercise regimen against the standard approach to conservative management of trapeziometacarpal osteoarthritis, namely a short opponens splint and pinch exercise regimen. While there was no additional benefit of one approach over the other, all participants improved in the outcomes of pain, strength and hand function over the sixweek period of intervention. Nevertheless, some people find that symptom relief from conservative intervention is inadequate and short-lived, requesting surgery for the treatment of disabling and persistent pain from trapeziometacarpal osteoarthritis. Study 4 was a systematic review, conducted to determine evidence of efficacy of one surgical procedure over another. This review identified six randomised controlled trials of surgery for trapeziometacarpal osteoarthritis. While there was evidence of no difference in the reduction in weakness between the procedures, there was insufficient evidence to confirm that there was no difference in the outcomes of pain, contracture, hand function, or patient global assessment. Furthermore, there was sufficient evidence to conclude that trapeziectomy had significantly fewer adverse effects, and trapeziectomy with ligament reconstruction and tendon interposition (LRTI) had significantly more, when compared with the other procedures analysed in this review. It is suggested that the decision as to which intervention is most appropriate for a given patient be based upon the individual patient's requirements, the extent of disease, and the demands placed upon the joint by domestic duties, work, leisure and recreational activities.

The studies presented in this project assist in formulating preventative and management

strategies for people with trapeziometacarpal osteoarthritis.

I, **Anne Wajon**, hereby declare that the work contained within this thesis is my own and has not been submitted to any other university or institution as a part or a whole requirement for any higher degree.

I, **Anne Wajon**, hereby declare that I was the principal researcher of all work included in this thesis, including work published with multiple authors.

In addition, ethical approval from the University of Sydney Human Ethics Committee was granted for the studies presented in this thesis. Participants were required to read a participant information document and informed consent was gained prior to data collection.

| Name | ANNE E WAJON |
|--------|--------------|
| Signed | |
| Date | |

Parts of the work presented in this thesis have been published and/or presented in the following forums:

PUBLICATIONS

Wajon A (2000): The thumb 'strap splint' for dynamic instability of the trapeziometacarpal joint. *Journal of Hand Therapy* 13:236-237.

Wajon A, Ada L (2001) Prevalence of pain in the thumbs of manipulative physiotherapists. *MPA News* 36:7.

Wajon A, Ada L (2003): Prevalence of thumb pain in physical therapists practicing spinal manipulative therapy. *Journal of Hand Therapy* 16:237-244.

Wajon A, Ada L, Edmunds I (2004): Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis [Cochrane Protocol] *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD004631. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004631.

Wajon A, Ada L (2005): No difference between two splint and exercise regimens for people with osteoarthritis of the thumb: a randomised controlled trial. *Australian Journal of Physiotherapy* 51: 245-249.

Wajon A, Ada L, Refshauge K (in press): Work-related thumb pain in physiotherapists is associated with thumb alignment during performance of PA glides. *Manual Therapy* Accepted 11/8/05.

Wajon A, Ada L, & Edmunds I (2005) Surgery for thumb (trapeziometacarpal joint) osteoarthritis [Cochrane Review]. In *The Cochrane Library*, Issue 4, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, UK.

PRESENTATIONS

1998 Enhancing trapeziometacarpal stability – can it delay development of osteoarthritis in patients with painful hypermobility?

AHTA Conference and AGM, Perth WA

Awarded Jill Chapman award for best clinical paper

1998 Enhancing Trapeziometacarpal Stability

NSW Hand Surgery Association Annual Scientific and General Meeting

Leura, Blue Mountains NSW

2002 A randomised, controlled trial of the efficacy of a new splint and exercise program for people with osteoarthritis of the thumb

VIIth International Physiotherapy Congress, Sydney, NSW

- 2002 A randomised, controlled trial of the efficacy of a new splint and exercise program for people with osteoarthritis of the thumb

 NSW Hand Surgery Association, Annual General Meeting, Terrigal, NSW

 Awarded best scientific paper
- 2003 Efficacy of a splint and exercise program for people with osteoarthritis of the thumb: a randomised controlled trialTrans-Tasman Hand Therapy Conference, Queenstown, NZ
- 2003 Prevalence of thumb pain in physiotherapists practicing spinal manipulative therapy
 MPA 13th Biennial Conference, Convention Centre, Sydney
- Surgery for trapeziometacarpal joint osteoarthritis: undertaking a Cochrane
 Collaboration systematic review
 NSW Hand Surgery Association Annual Conference, Canberra, ACT

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to extend my sincere thanks and appreciation to my supervisor, Dr Louise Ada, for her expert guidance and encouragement throughout my Doctoral candidature. She has patiently guided me through this complex process. I would also like to thank the other members of the staff in the *School of Physiotherapy* who have provided editorial assistance, practical support and encouragement. In particular, I am indebted to Roger Adams for his simple advice and statistical support throughout the various studies.

The staff at *Hornsby Hand Centre* have been particularly understanding and tolerant with the demands of my candidature, and assisted in the undertaking of my clinical trial. In particular, I would like to thank Gail Farr for screening patients, and Teresa Butler, Libby Kilian and Christine Reed for assisting with the data collection for Study 3. Further, I would like to thank Dr Ian Edmunds for Staging the X rays for the clinical trial, and for his commitment to, and involvement in, undertaking the systematic review. His surgical input was invaluable.

I am also indebted to the participants of the studies. I appreciate the involvement of the musculoskeletal physiotherapists who responded to the survey in Study 1, and those who were prepared to have their thumbs photographed while performing a PA glide in Study 2. Likewise, I appreciate the commitment of the participants for Study 3, who wore their splints and performed their exercises as instructed and attended for regular measurements.

I am also grateful to thank Jenny Crane from Surgical Synergies for supplying and helping with the set-up of the Biometrics force plate for Study 2, and Drs Tim Davis and Magnus Tagil for providing further information about the participants of their surgical trials, which was helpful in completing the systematic review in Study 4.

This thesis is dedicated to my husband, Paul Wajon, and our children, Sally and Yvette. Paul has tirelessly supported me throughout this project, and allowed me to devote my spare time to its completion. Similarly, my children Sally and Yvette have always understood my desire to study and in the process, become independent learners themselves. I could not have completed it without their love and support.