

---

**REINTRODUCTION BIOLOGY OF  
YELLOW-FOOTED ROCK-WALLABIES  
(*PETROGALE XANTHOPUS CELERIS* AND *P. X. XANTHOPUS*)**



**Steven James Lapidge B. Sc. (Hons)**

**A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the  
degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**School of Biological Sciences  
University of Sydney  
July 2001**

---

---

## ABSTRACT

---

Based on the recommendations of both the 1993 Reintroduction Biology of Australasian Fauna Conference and the 1994 Rock-wallaby Symposium, captive-bred Yellow-footed Rock-wallabies (*Petrogale xanthopus*) were re-introduced into areas of their former ranges in both South Australia and Queensland. The aim of the initial South Australian re-introduction was to trial re-introduction methods for the genus *Petrogale*, the aim of the latter Queensland re-introductions was to gain insight, through extensive pre- and post-release monitoring, into how captive-bred animals adjust ecologically and physiologically to their unpredictable semi-arid environment. The establishment of a database on various biological parameters of captive animals allowed the monitoring of individual animal adjustment to the wild upon release. Findings from the current study are compared to wild *P. xanthopus* and other mammals, and the appropriateness of the conservation technique for *P. xanthopus* is discussed.

Twelve *Petrogale x. xanthopus* bred by the Royal Zoological Society of South Australia (Adelaide Zoological Gardens and Monarto Zoological Park) were re-introduced to the arid-zone Aroona Sanctuary (30°36'S, 138°21'E), Leigh Creek, in the northern Flinders Ranges of South Australia on September 26, 1996. The Royal Zoological Society of South Australia, NRG Flinders and the South Australian Department of Environment and Heritage undertook the re-introduction. The author conducted post-release monitoring discussed herein unless otherwise stated. Twenty-four *P. x. aelaris* bred at the Queensland Environmental Protection Agency (Charleville) were re-introduced to Lambert Pastoral Station in the semi-arid Wallaroo Ranges (25°23'S, 145°51'E) on August 9, 1998. The author conducted all aspects of the re-introduction with assistance from the Queensland Environmental Protection Agency. Three releases, each comprised of eight animals, occurred to three separate mesas on the property. All re-introductions were undertaken in accordance with published and original re-introduction guidelines.

Reintroduced *P. x. xanthopus* were generally older and larger than reintroduced *P. x. aelaris*. Age, mass or condition at the time of release did not significantly affect short-term survival post-release. Survival of re-introduced *P. x. xanthopus* was slightly lower than that

of *P. x. celeris*, likely due to natural attrition of the older *P. x. xanthopus*. Survival of re-introduced *P. x. celeris* did not differ significantly from captive *P. x. celeris*. Pouch young survival was high in both sub-species, but survival of juveniles to adulthood was low (20% for *P. x. xanthopus* and 30% for *P. x. celeris*). Wild-born sub-adult and adult survival (73%) was higher than that reported for natural *P. x. xanthopus* colonies (58%). No major cause of death was detected for re-introduced *P. x. xanthopus*, although physiological parameters implicate dietary inadequacies. The major cause of death for re-introduced *P. x. celeris* was predation by the introduced European fox (*Vulpes vulpes*).

Twenty-six pouch young or independent wild-born *P. x. xanthopus* have been recorded since release in a sex ratio close to unity (12 :14 ). Fecundity was 53% and directly related to vegetation abundance. Re-introduced *P. x. celeris* have bred continuously since release (100% fecundity), with 37 pouch young recorded in a female-biased sex ratio (13 :24 ). Fecundity of re-introduced *P. x. celeris* was higher and sexual maturity earlier than captive *P. x. celeris*. Significantly more births occurred for both sub-species in autumn and spring than summer and winter, indicating that the species is a semi-seasonal breeder, possibly to avoid times of peak thermoregulatory demands. Findings support the theory that sex of offspring was determined by population density and local resource competition.

Growth of re-introduced *P. xanthopus* was similar between sub-species, and to that previously reported for captive *P. x. xanthopus*. Greater sexual dimorphism was detected in *P. x. celeris*, as previously reported. Haematological and plasma biochemical parameters of both sub-species underwent significant changes post-release, initially due to the adoption of a natural diet. Blood parameters were also related to thermoregulation, osmoregulation and heat stress and reflected the seasonality of the respective habitats. Plasma vitamin E concentration increased significantly post-release in both sub-species, and is likely related to both the change in diet and a reduction in captivity associated stress, as indicated by other blood parameters such as creatinine concentration.

Home range (95% minimum convex polygon) of re-introduced *P. x. celeris* peaked at 12 months and  $15.9 \pm 7.1$  ha, while core area (50% polygon) continued to increase throughout the two-year post-release sample period ( $6.0 \pm 3.6$  ha at 24 months). Core area and home range size of re-introduced *P. x. celeris* did not significantly differ from that of

wild counterparts after 12 months, and was similar to other *Petrogale* species. Male-instigated overlap of female home ranges was the highest type of overlap (rather than vice versa or same sex overlap), with males forming home ranges that overlapped those of females by  $65 \pm 27\%$ . Male and female *P. x. celeris* were distributed relatively evenly throughout each colony, as indicated by no significant difference in same sex overlaps compared to opposite sex overlaps. Core areas formed by re-introduced *P. x. celeris* two years post-release were 57% free of conspecifics and home ranges 46% free. This finding suggests that *P. x. celeris* are predominantly asocial within the colony. Dispersal of re-introduced *P. x. celeris* was the furthest recorded for any *Petrogale* species, with one male dispersing 7.3 km to join another re-introduced colony. Another male undertook a minimum exploratory movement of 27 km over 12 months, during which he sired progeny at a different re-introduced colony, before returning to the same site. A minimum of three wild *P. x. celeris* males immigrated into a re-introduced colony from a natural colony 17.2 km distant. These findings indicate that the re-introduced *P. x. celeris* are in the process of integrating into a local meta-population.

Total body water, water turnover rate, field metabolic rate and food intake rate were measured in re-introduced *P. x. celeris* during the wet summer and dry winter of 2000. Animals maintained total body water ( $73.1 \pm 5.8\%$ ) between seasons despite dehydration during winter. Field metabolic rate ( $592.8 \pm 229.2 \text{ kJ kg}^{0.58} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) and dry matter intake ( $44.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ g kg}^{0.75} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) were similar between seasons and to that previously reported for the species. Water turnover rate was over 350% higher when free water was available in summer due to rain ( $175.4 \text{ mL kg}^{0.71} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) than during the dry winter ( $49.0 \text{ mL kg}^{0.71} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ); no free water was available without travelling a minimum distance of 500 m to drink from local dams. Mean water influx from drinking was  $96.5 \pm 6.4 \text{ mL kg}^{0.71} \text{ d}^{-1}$  in summer and  $4.7 \pm 6.1 \text{ mL kg}^{-0.71} \text{ d}^{-1}$  in winter, the latter (if real) likely from dew, both in the air and on foliage. However, general condition and fecundity did not change between seasons. There were no significant differences in water or energy requirements of males and lactating females, suggesting that additional water and energy demands of lactation were minimal, or that insufficient females were in peak lactation, which occurs close to permanent pouch evacuation. These findings indicate that re-introduced *P. x. celeris* adjusted their water and energy requirements to seasonal fluctuations post-release without losing body condition.

Biological parameters measured in the current study suggested that captive-bred animals had adjusted to the wild by 12 months post-release, although many changes had occurred by five months or the first recapture session for re-introduced *P. x. celeris*. General condition and other health indices increased in all surviving *P. xanthopus* post-release. Thus it is concluded that re-introduction of *P. xanthopus* is an appropriate and ethical conservation technique when conducted in accordance with procedures detailed in the current study. Wider applications of current findings to include non-macropodoid marsupials can be anticipated, as findings were similar for other re-introduced mammals previously monitored. The re-introductions of *P. x. celeris* to Lambert Station and *P. x. xanthopus* to Aroona Sanctuary are judged to be successful at the date of thesis submission 2.5 and 4.5 years post-release respectively, however longer-term monitoring will be required to follow the ultimate fates of the colonies.

---

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

---

To my supervisor, Professor Ian Hume, I thank you for having confidence in my abilities to successfully undertake this project, and allowing me the freedom and support to pursue the study how I saw fit. Second, I thank you for your advice and guidance in making this thesis come to fruition. For assistance with sample and data analysis, I thank Dr Mark Eldridge, David Griffin, Mark Krockenburger, Naz Soran, Dr Lesley Gibson, Michele Odewahn, Dr Chris Dickman and the Royal Prince Albert Hospital Pathology Laboratory.

From Adelaide Zoological Gardens I sincerely thank Dr Sue Conaghty for allowing my initial involvement in reintroducing Yellow-foots some five years ago and for your veterinarian assistance with the Queensland reintroductions. Heartfelt thanks also go to Ed McAlister, Suzy Barlow, Dr David Schultz, Tim Roberts, James Morrison, Terry Morley, Alex Emmerich, Mark Craig, Phillip Ghanraoui, the staff of the Zoo, the board of the Royal Zoological Society of South Australia, in particular Associate Professor Rod Wells and the Zoo Friends.

From Leigh Creek and NRG Flinders I sincerely thank Beat Odermatt, the Arthur Family, Doug Austin, Wayne Hooper, Colin Murdoch, Colin Davis and members of the Northern Flinders Soil Conservation Board. Special thanks to Ian Arthur for your tireless effort in free-baiting the traps.

To the South Australian volunteers not previously mentioned I thank Gavin Sadler, Dr Nancy Irlbeck, Dr Patricia Drummond, Adrienne Miller, Russ Menard, Rodney Lapidge, Mervyn Moriarty, Neil Manwaring, Hamlet Giragossyan, Michelle Kataoka, Meredith Brown, Dr John Runcie, Dr Deborah Shearman, Kasbeth Evei, Owen Cowie, Seth Debolt and Dean Sewell for your assistance with trapping and sharing the experience that is 'Wallaby House'.

From the Queensland Environmental Protection Agency I thank Peter McRae, Dr Geoff Lundie-Jenkins, Chris Evenson, Rosie Griffiths and all the staff at the Charleville office

for the loan of equipment, your support and your Yellow-foots. Thanks also to Dr Murray Evans for the loan of 'Tracker', one of the telemetry program used for radio tracking analysis.

Lambert Pastoral Station was a second home throughout this project and the Bredhauer's, Shane Henshall and Stephanie Butler my second family. Your friendship, support, tireless effort, unique breakfasts, long dinners (and rock collection...) have been truly amazing. Thanks Shane and Steff for all your effort in predator control and for checking the animals in my absence.

To the Queensland volunteers not previously mentioned, I thank Daniel Cristafulli, Kerrie Parker, Carrie Balneaves, Brett Parker, Julia Strykowski, Ans Tolkamp, Andrea Griffin, Ela Tzacher, Karin Aubrey, Huw Morgan, Pat Thomson, Iris Biebach, Robert Guth, Steve Irwin, John Gohari, Kristen Smith, Brian Slee, Ione Smith, Vanessa Bannatyne, Katie Oxenham, Frayer Kock, Dr Rosanne Quinell, Alex Caldwell, Luke Halling, Brendon Smyth, Gina Gregory, Norm Gregory, Dr Roger Lentle, Racheline Jackson, Lisa Iwahara, Gavin Sadler and Silvia Ricci. This project would not have possible without your assistance.

The stipend for this project was funded by the Royal Zoological Society of South Australia Inc., Friends of Adelaide Zoo, the Queensland Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Parks Foundation of South Australia Inc. Fieldwork funding was supplied by NRG Flinders, the American Marsupial and Monotreme Taxon Advisory Group through Palm Beach Zoo, the Aroona Catchment Biodiversity Enhancement Project through the Northern Flinders Soil Conservation Board, the Zoological Parks Board of New South Wales, Brian Slee, and Australian Geographic. Heartfelt thanks for all your generosity.

Thank you to my friends and family for their support and encouragement over the last four years. To my parents who have supported me throughout my university career, I cannot thank you enough. Finally, heartfelt thanks and eternal gratitude to my wife Keryn for her support, guidance and love over the last three years.

---

# PROJECT SPONSORS

---



Royal Zoological Society of South Australia



Queensland Environmental Protection Agency



NRG Flinders FLINDERS



National Parks Foundation of South Australia  
Inc.



American Zoo Association Marsupial &  
Monotreme Taxon Advisory Group



Palm Beach Zoo

Zoological Parks Board of New South Wales



Australian Geographic

Brian Slee (project volunteer)



---

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>PROJECT SPONSORS</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>xv</b>

## **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....1**

1.1 History and status of Yellow-footed Rock-wallaby.....	1
1.2 Current threats to the species.....	7
1.3 Reintroduction as a science.....	9
1.4 Reintroduction in Australia.....	10
1.5 Research objectives.....	11

## **CHAPTER 2: SITE SELECTION AND PREPARATION.....14**

2.1 Introduction.....	14
2.2 Site selection criteria.....	16
2.2.1 Surveys in historic range.....	16
2.2.2 Site proximity.....	16
2.2.3 Habitat quality.....	17
2.2.4 Habitat size.....	18
2.2.5 Habitat use and protection.....	19
2.2.6 Effects on ecosystem.....	21
2.2.7 Timing of release.....	21
2.2.8 Fauna health.....	22
2.2.9 Limiting factors addressed.....	23
2.2.10 Community support.....	24
2.2.11 Government support.....	25
2.3 Lambert Station in Queensland.....	25
2.3.1 Surveys in historic range.....	26
2.3.2 Site location.....	26
2.3.3 Habitat quality.....	29
2.3.4 Habitat size.....	31
2.3.5 Habitat use and protection.....	32
2.3.6 Effect on ecosystem.....	33
2.3.7 Timing of release.....	34
2.3.8 Animal health.....	34
2.3.9 Limiting factors addressed.....	35
2.3.10 Community support.....	37
2.3.11 Government support.....	37
2.4 Aroona Dam Sanctuary in South Australia.....	38
2.4.1 Surveys in historic range.....	38
2.4.2 Site location.....	38

2.4.3 Habitat quality.....	41
2.4.4 Habitat size.....	42
2.4.5 Habitat use and protection.....	43
2.4.6 Effects on ecosystem.....	44
2.4.7 Timing of release.....	44
2.4.8 Animal health.....	44
2.4.9 Limiting factors addressed.....	45
2.4.10 Community support.....	46
2.4.11 Government support.....	48
2.5 Discussion.....	48

**CHAPTER 3: FOUNDER SELECTION AND PREPARATION.....50**

3.1 Introduction.....	50
3.2 Founder selection criteria.....	51
3.2.1 Founder selection.....	51
3.2.1.1 Knowledge of species.....	51
3.2.1.2 Self-sustaining captive population.....	53
3.2.1.3 Animal management and suitability.....	53
3.2.1.4 Husbandry.....	54
3.2.1.5 Genetic suitability.....	55
3.2.1.6 Establish baseline data.....	58
3.2.1.7 Founder selection.....	59
3.2.1.8 Animal welfare.....	61
3.2.2 Founder preparation.....	63
3.2.2.1 Modeling release.....	63
3.2.2.2 Pre-release training.....	63
3.2.2.3 Veterinarian preparation.....	66
3.2.2.4 Radio-collaring and tagging.....	66
3.2.2.5 Transport.....	67
3.2.2.6 Release type.....	67
3.2.2.7 Intervention.....	68
3.3 <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> in Queensland.....	68
3.3.1 Founder selection.....	68
3.3.1.1 Knowledge of species.....	68
3.3.1.2 Self-sustaining captive population.....	71
3.3.1.3 Animal management and suitability.....	73
3.3.1.4 Husbandry.....	73
3.3.1.5 Genetic suitability.....	75
3.3.1.6 Baseline data.....	76
3.3.1.7 Founder selection.....	78
3.3.1.8 Animal welfare.....	79
3.3.2 Founder preparation.....	84
3.3.2.1 Modeling release.....	84
3.3.2.2 Pre-release training.....	85
3.3.2.3 Veterinarian preparation.....	87
3.3.2.4 Radio-collaring and tagging.....	88
3.3.2.5 Transport.....	89
3.3.2.6 Release type.....	89
3.3.2.7 Intervention.....	90
3.4 <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> in South Australia.....	90

3.4.1 Founder selection.....	90
3.4.1.1 Knowledge of species.....	90
3.4.1.2 Self-sustaining captive population.....	90
3.4.1.3 Animal management and suitability.....	91
3.4.1.4 Husbandry.....	92
3.4.1.5 Genetic suitability.....	92
3.4.1.6 Baseline data.....	92
3.4.1.7 Founder selection.....	93
3.4.1.8 Animal welfare.....	96
3.4.2 Founder preparation.....	96
3.4.2.1 Modeling release.....	96
3.4.2.2 Pre-release training.....	97
3.4.2.3 Veterinarian preparation.....	97
3.4.2.4 Radio-collaring and tagging.....	97
3.4.2.5 Transport.....	98
3.4.2.6 Release type.....	98
3.4.2.7 Intervention.....	98
3.5 Discussion.....	98

## **CHAPTER 4: RESOURCES.....101**

4.1 Introduction.....	101
4.2 Resources criteria.....	102
4.2.1 Funding.....	102
4.2.2 Staff.....	103
4.2.3 Expertise.....	104
4.2.4 Time.....	105
4.2.5 Equipment and technology.....	105
4.2.6 Education.....	106
4.2.7 Post-release monitoring.....	107
4.2.8 Criteria for success.....	107
4.2.9 Analysis and publication.....	108
4.3 Resources in Queensland.....	108
4.3.1 Funding.....	108
4.3.2 Staff.....	109
4.3.3 Expertise.....	110
4.3.4 Time.....	110
4.3.5 Equipment and technology.....	111
4.3.6 Education.....	111
4.3.7 Post-release monitoring.....	111
4.3.8 Criteria for success.....	112
4.3.9 Analysis and publication.....	112
4.4 Resources in South Australia.....	114
4.4.1 Funding.....	114
4.4.2 Staff.....	115
4.4.3 Expertise.....	115
4.4.4 Time.....	116
4.4.5 Equipment and technology.....	116
4.4.6 Education.....	117
4.4.7 Post-release monitoring.....	118
4.4.8 Criteria for success.....	118

4.4.9 Analysis and publication.....	119
4.5 Discussion.....	120
<b>CHAPTER 5: SEASONAL TRENDS AT RELEASE SITES.....</b>	<b>122</b>
5.1 Introduction.....	122
5.2 Lambert Station in Queensland.....	124
5.2.1 Climate.....	124
5.2.2 Fauna.....	124
5.2.3 Flora.....	131
5.3 Aroona Sanctuary in South Australia.....	133
5.3.1 Climate.....	133
5.3.2 Fauna.....	133
5.3.3 Flora.....	135
5.4 Discussion.....	137
<b>CHAPTER 6: ECOLOGICAL ADJUSTMENT TO THE WILD.....</b>	<b>139</b>
6.1 Introduction.....	139
6.2 Methods.....	142
6.2.1 Trapping.....	142
6.2.1.1 Lambert Station.....	142
6.2.1.2 Aroona Sanctuary.....	145
6.2.2 Radio-telemetry.....	147
6.2.2.1 Lambert Station.....	143
6.2.2.2 Aroona Sanctuary.....	153
6.3 Results for <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> .....	153
6.3.1 Trapping.....	153
6.3.2 Fecundity.....	157
6.3.3 Survival.....	159
6.3.4 Home range.....	163
6.3.5 Dispersal.....	169
6.4 Results for <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> .....	170
6.4.1 Trapping.....	170
6.4.2 Fecundity.....	173
6.4.3 Survival.....	175
6.5 Discussion.....	176
<b>CHAPTER 7: PHYSIOLOGICAL ADJUSTMENT TO THE WILD.....</b>	<b>185</b>
7.1 Introduction.....	185
7.2 Methods.....	188
7.2.1 Growth rate and age determination.....	188
7.2.2 Blood analysis.....	189
7.2.3 Condition Scores.....	189
7.3 Results for <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> and <i>P. x. xanthopus</i> .....	190
7.3.1 Growth rate and age determination.....	190
7.3.2 Haematology.....	196
7.3.3 Biochemistry.....	204
7.3.4 Vitamin E.....	208

7.3.5 Condition scores .....	209
7.4 Discussion.....	212
<b>CHAPTER 8 SEASONAL WATER TURNOVER, FIELD.....</b>	<b>216</b>
<b>METABOLIC RATE AND FOOD INTAKE RATE</b>	
8.1 Introduction.....	216
8.2 Materials and Methods.....	219
8.2.1 Sampling technique.....	219
8.2.2 Samples analysis.....	220
8.2.3 Food intake rate.....	221
8.2.4 Statistical analyses.....	221
8.3 Results.....	222
8.3.1 Seasonal conditions.....	222
8.3.2 Animal details and body mass.....	222
8.3.3 Total body water and water turnover rate.....	222
8.3.4 Field metabolic rate.....	224
8.3.5 Food intake rate.....	224
8.4 Discussion.....	226
<b>CHAPTER 9: GENERAL DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>231</b>
9.1 Key findings.....	231
9.2 Future monitoring of <i>P. x. celeris</i> and <i>P. x. xanthopus</i> re-introductions.....	242
9.3 Research implications and recommendations.....	243
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>248</b>
<b>APPENDIX I:</b> Radio -telemetry data for re-introduced <i>P. x. celeris</i> on Lambert.....	<b>269</b>
Station, Queensland	
<b>II:</b> Diet of foxes and cats, with evidence of predation on yellow-.....	<b>279</b>
footed rock-wallabies ( <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> ) by foxes, in south western Queensland. <i>Australian Mammalogy</i> <b>23</b> : 47-51.	

---

# LIST OF TABLES

---

## CHAPTER 2.

- 2.1 Comparison of Lambert Station and Aroona Sanctuary for site selection criteria. 49

## CHAPTER 3.

- 3.1 Observed allele frequencies, number of alleles per locus (*AD*) and average heterozygosity (*H*) at 6 microsatellite loci in the captive *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* population. 76
- 3.2 Pre- and Post-colony formation haematology for captive *Petrogale xanthopus celeris*. 80
- 3.3 Pre- and Post-colony formation biochemistry for captive *Petrogale xanthopus celeris*. 81
- 3.4 Group composition, age structure, mass, condition scores and individual genotypes (at 6 microsatellite loci) for reintroduced *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* 82
- 3.5 Relatedness estimates between individual *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* within each release group. 83
- 3.6 Clinical pathology profile for normal captive *Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus* held by the Royal Zoological Society of South Australia. 94
- 3.7 Selection of microorganisms found in captive and wild *Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus* and wild Euros, *Macropus robustus erubescens*, prior to the reintroduction of *P. x. xanthopus* to Aroona Sanctuary, South Australia. 95
- 3.8 Founding population of *Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus* at Aroona Sanctuary, South Australia. 96
- 3.9 Comparison of founder selection and preparation criteria used for *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* in Queensland and *Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus* in South Australia. 100

## CHAPTER 4.

- 4.1 Costs (AUD) associated with the reintroduction of *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* in Queensland. 109
- 4.2 Costs (AUD) associated with retrapping *Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus* at Aroona Sanctuary. 114
- 4.3 Comparison of Lambert Station and Aroona Sanctuary for resource criteria. 121

## CHAPTER 5.

- 5.1 *Macropus robustus erubescens* faecal pellet quadrat counts. 130

## CHAPTER 6.

- 6.1 Trapping results for individual *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* at Site 1, Lambert Station, Queensland. 155
- 6.2 Trapping results for individual *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* at Site 2, 156

6.3	Lambert Station, Queensland. Trapping results for individual <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> at <u>Site 3</u> , Lambert Station, Queensland.	156
6.4	Survival of captive-bred release animals in relation to pre-release age, mass and condition.	160
6.5	Harmonic mean core area (50% isopleth) and home range (95% isopleth) of re-introduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> on Lambert Station.	163
6.6	Site-pooled core area and home range overlap analysis for Period 1 and Period 5.	165
6.7	Trapping results for individual <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> at Aroona Sanctuary, South Australia.	172

## CHAPTER 7.

7.1	Logarithmic growth equations for aging <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> from mass and body measurements.	191
7.2	Logarithmic growth equations for aging <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> from mass and body measurements.	191
7.3	Post-release haematology for re-introduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> .	198
7.4	Post-release haematology for re-introduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> .	199
7.5	Significant haematological changes in <i>Petrogale xanthopus</i> associated with physical and environmental variables and reintroduction.	200
7.6	Post-release biochemistry for re-introduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> .	201
7.7	Post-release biochemistry for reintroduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> .	202
7.8	Significant biochemical changes in <i>Petrogale xanthopus</i> associated with physical and environmental variables and re-introduction.	203

## CHAPTER 8.

8.1	Body mass (BM), body mass change (BMC), total body water, water turnover rate and field metabolic rate of re-introduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus</i> <i>celeris</i> at Lambert Station.	223
8.2	Mean plant water content in the diet of <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> on Lisburne Station under similar climatic conditions to those during water turnover rate measurements on Lambert Station.	225
8.3	Seasonal field metabolic rates, food intake rates and water influx rates of re-introduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> on Lambert Station.	225

---

# LIST OF FIGURES

---

## CHAPTER 1.

- 1.1 Past and present distribution of *Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus* in South Australia. 2  
1.2 Past and present distribution of *Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus* in New South Wales 3  
1.3 Past and present distribution of *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* in Queensland. 5  
1.4 Mean number of *Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus* counted by front observer during 6  
helicopter counts of the Gap and Cotouraundee Ranges, western New South  
Wales, between 1980 and 1995.  
1.5 Estimated *P. x. xanthopus* population increase in relation to *C. hircus* and 8  
*V. vulpes* control on Plumbago Station, Olary Ranges, South Australia.  
1.6 Estimated *P. x. xanthopus* population increase in relation to *V. vulpes* control 8  
on Bimbowie Station, Olary Ranges, South Australia.

## CHAPTER 2.

- 2.1 Location of Lambert Station reintroduction site for *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* 27  
in southwestern Queensland.  
2.2 Mean monthly rainfall and temperature records for Charleville Post Office, 28  
southwestern Queensland.  
2.3 North face of Site 1; typical *P. x. celeris* habitat on Lambert Station 29  
2.4 Location of *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* release sites on Lambert Station, 32  
southwestern Queensland.  
2.5 Location of Aroona Sanctuary reintroduction site for *Petrogale xanthopus* 39  
*xanthopus* in the northern Flinders Ranges, South Australia.  
2.6 Mean monthly rainfall and temperature records for Leigh Creek Airport, 40  
South Australia.  
2.7 Mt Aroona; typical *P. x. xanthopus* habitat in Aroona Sanctuary. 41  
2.8 Location of *Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus* release site in Aroona Sanctuary, 43  
northern Flinders Ranges, South Australia.  
2.9 Pamphlet distributed to residents of Leigh Creek and tourists informing 47  
them of the pending reintroduction.

## CHAPTER 3.

- 3.1 One of three *P. x. celeris* enclosures at the Charleville EPA compound, 72  
each 0.1 ha in size and housing 15 animals.  
3.2 Allopecia in a male *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* caused through fighting. 77  
3.3 Trap trained and radio-collared *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* before release. 86  
3.4 Anaesthetised *Petrogale xanthopus celeris* for final veterinary screening and 88  
radio-collaring.

## CHAPTER 5.

- 5.1 Annual rainfall patterns over the range of *Petrogale xanthopus*. 123  
5.2 Annual rainfall for Lambert Pastoral Station, southwestern Queensland, 125  
1957-2000.



5.3	Monthly rainfall for Lambert Pastoral Station, southwestern Queensland, throughout the study period.	126
5.4	Spotlight survey transects on Lambert Station.	127
5.5	Macropod survey results with trendlines for Lambert Pastoral Station, southwestern Queensland, throughout the study period.	129
5.6	Relative abundance of plant groups at each Lambert Station reintroduction site throughout the study period.	132
5.7	Monthly rainfall at Leigh Creek, South Australia, throughout the study period.	134
5.8	Euro captures and vegetation abundance at Aroona Sanctuary, South Australia, throughout the study period.	135
5.9	Relative abundance of plant groups at the Aroona Sanctuary reintroduction site throughout the study period.	136

## CHAPTER 6.

6.1	Cage trap (hard) used to recapture <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeri</i> at Lambert Station, Queensland.	143
6.2	<u>Site 1</u> radio-telemetry stations (S1-S3) and trap locations (1-10), Lambert Station, Queensland.	144
6.3	<u>Site 2</u> radio-telemetry stations (S1-S4) and trap locations (1-10), Lambert Station, Queensland.	144
6.4	<u>Site 3</u> radio-telemetry stations (S1-S3) and trap locations (1-10), Lambert Station, Queensland.	145
6.5	Frame trap (soft) used to recapture <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> at Aroona Sanctuary, South Australia.	146
6.6	Location of traps in Aroona Sanctuary, South Australia.	147
6.7	Auto-correlation analysis graphs indicating animal locations were independent of time by 60 min or over a 48 h period.	149
6.8	Means and limits incremental area analysis for all animals during Period 1 and Period 5 for Site 1, Site 2 and Site 3.	150
6.9	Photo reproduction of computer screen showing "Tracker 1.0" triangulating compass bearings into XY map coordinates.	152
6.10	Trapping success and rainfall for <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> at Lambert Station, Queensland.	154
6.11	Numbers of <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> known to be alive at Lambert Station through radio-telemetry or trapping records.	157
6.12	Monthly frequency of <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> births at Lambert Station	158
6.13	Relationship between <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> births and rainfall at Lambert Station.	158
6.14	Causes of mortality for released <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> on Lambert Station	161
6.15	European Fox, <i>Vulpes vulpes</i> and Wedge-tailed Eagle, <i>Aquila audax</i> predation on reintroduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> on Lambert Station.	162
6.16	Increase in minimum convex polygon home range (95% polygon) of reintroduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> at Lambert Station superimposed onto site photographs.	166
6.19	Inter-colony dispersal, exploratory movements and immigration of <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> on Lambert Station.	170
6.20	Trapping success, vegetation abundance and rainfall for <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> at Aroona Sanctuary, South Australia.	171
6.21	Number of <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> known to be alive at Aroona Sanctuary through radio-telemetry or trapping records.	173

6.22	Relationship between <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> births and rainfall at Aroona Sanctuary.	174
6.23	Monthly frequency of <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> births at Aroona Sanctuary	174
6.24	Fate of released <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> at the cessation of fieldwork.	176

## CHAPTER 7.

7.1	Relationship between body mass and age for male <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> .	193
7.2	Relationship between body mass and age for male <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> .	193
7.3	Relationship between body mass and age for female <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> .	194
7.4	Relationship between body mass and age for female <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> .	194
7.5	Comparison of ages obtained at five head lengths using logarithmic growth curves for re-introduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> and <i>P. x. xanthopus</i> (this study), and captive <i>P. x. xanthopus</i> (Poole <i>et al.</i> 1985).	195
7.6	Mean vitamin E concentration of re-introduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> at Lambert Station and <i>P. x. xanthopus</i> at Aroona Sanctuary.	209
7.7	Post-release condition scores for re-introduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> at Lambert Station	210
7.8	Post-release condition scores for re-introduced <i>Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus</i> at Aroona Sanctuary.	211
7.9	Macropod Pox Virus in the groin of wild <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> on Lambert Station, Queensland.	212

## CHAPTER 8.

8.1	Aerial photograph of <i>Petrogale xanthopus celeris</i> release sites on Lambert Station, indicating location of closest permanent free water.	217
-----	--	-----

---