

Molecular typing and evolution of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium

Honghua Hu



School of Molecular and Microbial Biosciences

The University of Sydney

Australia

A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

March 2005

STATEMENT OF ORIGINAL

This thesis contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or qualification at any other university. To the best of my knowledge and belief, this thesis is original and contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due references or acknowledgements are given in the text and publications indicated below from this material.

Honghua Hu, Ruiting Lan, and Peter R. Reeves (2002). Fluorescent amplified-fragment length polymorphism analysis of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium reveals phage-type specific markers and potential for microarray typing. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 40:3406-3415.

Honghua Hu, Ruiting Lan, and Peter R. Reeves (2005). Adaptation of multilocus sequencing to study variation within a major clone: evolutionary relationships of phage types in salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. *Genetics* Accepted.

Honghua Hu

March 2005

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I would like to offer my appreciation to my supervisors, Professor Peter Reeves and Dr. Ruiting Lan for their excellent guidance throughout my PhD study. Their invaluable knowledge in bacterial genetics and bacterial evolution played a crucial role in the success of my studies. I thank them sincerely for their valuable advices, extensive discussions, critical comments and encouragement throughout the publication and presentation of my research work and especially thanks to Dr. Ruiting Lan for the guide in computer data analysis.

Thanks to all the people in the Discipline of Microbiology, especially the Reeves' lab for providing a warm environment and help whenever I needed it. Especially thanks to Gordon and Kathy for their friendly help in experimental technique skills and computer analysis. Thanks to Jocelyne and Monica for their enthusiastic and friendly support.

I also wish to thank Dr. Chris Murray in the Australian Salmonella Reference Centre located in the Institute of Medical and Veterinary Science, Adelaide, Australia for kindly providing strains. I also would like to thank Dr. Bing Yu in the Dept. of Molecular and Clinical Genetics, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital for his advice in using the SNaPshot method. Thanks also to the Faculty of Agriculture for providing me an A H Thurnburn Postgraduate Scholarship.

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my family, especially to my husband Fengsheng Wang for his love and great support throughout and my parents Decan Hu and Xiulin Wang for their selfless love and high expectation and encouragement. Thanks also to my daughter Jenny Wang and my son Matthew Wang for bringing me the happiness and their bearing with me through the hard time.

Table of Content

STATEMENT OF ORIGINAL	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	III
Table of Content	IV
List of Tables	XV
List of Figures	XVII
List of Abbreviations	XX
Abstract	XXII
Chapter 1	1
Introduction.....	1
1.1. Molecular evolution and phylogenetics of bacteria	1
1.2. Molecular epidemiology and molecular typing of bacteria	2
1.3. Mechanisms for generating genetic variation in bacteria	2
1.3.1. Mutation.....	2
1.3.1.1. Substitutions.....	3
1.3.1.2. Insertions and deletions (Indels)	3
1.3.1.3. Inversions	4
1.3.2. Homologous recombination.....	4
1.3.3. Transposition.....	4
1.3.3.1. Insertion sequences (IS).....	5
1.3.3.2. Transposons (Tn)	5

1.3.4.	Integrans, gene cassettes and site-specific recombination.....	5
1.3.5.	Lateral gene transfer	6
1.3.5.1.	Transformation.....	6
1.3.5.2.	Conjugation.....	7
1.3.5.3.	Transduction	7
1.3.5.4.	Generation of pathogenicity islands (PAIs) via lateral gene transfer	7
1.4.	Forces for maintenance of genetic variation.....	8
1.4.1.	Natural selection	8
1.4.2.	Neutral mutations and random genetic drift	8
1.4.2.1.	Neutral mutations.....	8
1.4.2.2.	Random genetic drift.....	9
1.4.3.	Neutral theory of molecular evolution.....	9
1.4.3.1.	Substitution vs. polymorphism	9
1.4.3.2.	Functional constraint and the rate of substitution	9
1.5.	Population genetics of bacteria.....	10
1.5.1.	The clonal model.....	10
1.5.2.	Spectrum of bacterial population structure	11
1.6.	Molecular typing methods for the study of bacterial population and epidemiology.....	12
1.6.1.	Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP).....	12
1.6.1.1.	Ribotyping.....	13
1.6.1.2.	IS200 typing.....	13

1.6.1.3.	PCR-RFLP	14
1.6.2.	Pulse field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)	14
1.6.3.	Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD)	15
1.6.4.	Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP)	15
1.6.5.	Multilocus enzyme electrophoresis (MLEE)	15
1.6.6.	Multilocus sequence typing (MLST)	16
1.7.	Phylogenetic tree construction	17
1.7.1.	Distance methods	17
1.7.1.1.	Unweighted pair group method with arithmetic means (UPGMA)	17
1.7.1.2.	Neighbour joining (NJ)	17
1.7.2.	Discrete methods	18
1.7.2.1.	Maximum parsimony (MP)	18
1.7.2.2.	Maximum likelihood (ML)	18
1.8.	<i>Salmonella</i>	19
1.8.1.	Taxonomy of <i>Salmonella</i>	19
1.8.1.1.	Subspecies	19
1.8.1.2.	Serotyping	21
1.8.2.	Molecular evolution of <i>Salmonella enterica</i>	21
1.8.2.1.	Clonal structure of <i>Salmonella enterica</i>	21
1.8.2.2.	Evidence of lateral gene transfer	22
1.8.2.3.	Evidence of homologous recombination	22

1.8.2.4.	Evolution of host adaptation and virulence in <i>Salmonella</i>	24
1.9.	<i>Salmonella enterica</i> serovar Typhimurium	26
1.9.1.	Pathogenicity and epidemiology.....	26
1.9.2.	Subtyping of Typhimurium.....	27
1.9.2.1.	Phage typing.....	27
1.9.2.2.	Biotyping.....	28
1.9.3.	Phage typing of Typhimurium.....	29
1.9.3.1.	Epidemiological significance of phage types	29
1.9.3.2.	Problems with Anderson phage typing scheme	30
1.9.3.3.	Molecular study of Typhimurium typing phages.....	31
1.9.3.3.1.	Genealogy of Typhimurium typing phages	31
1.9.3.3.2.	Molecular study of Typhimurium typing phages.....	33
1.9.3.4.	Phage type conversion	34
1.9.3.5.	Phage immunity	35
1.9.4.	Molecular studies of Typhimurium phage types	36
1.9.4.1.	Genomic relationship between phage types.....	36
1.9.4.2.	Molecular typing within phage types.....	40
1.10.	Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP).....	41
1.10.1.	Principles and procedure of AFLP	41
1.10.2.	Advantages of AFLP.....	43
1.11.	Aims of the thesis.....	43
	Chapter 2	46
	Materials and Methods.....	46

2.1.	Bacterial strains and conditions	46
2.1.1.	Bacterial strains.....	46
2.1.2.	Growth media and conditions	50
2.2.	Reagents.....	51
2.3.	Enzymes.....	53
2.4.	Oligonucleotides	53
2.5.	DNA techniques.....	61
2.5.1.	Isolation of chromosome DNA.....	61
2.5.2.	Isolation of plasmid DNA.....	61
2.5.3.	DNA quantification.....	62
2.5.4.	Restriction enzyme digestion.....	62
2.5.5.	Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).....	63
2.5.6.	Electrophoresis.....	64
2.5.6.1.	Agarose gel electrophoresis	64
2.5.6.2.	Excise fragments from agarose gel	64
2.5.6.3.	Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis	64
2.6.	Cloning.....	65
2.6.1.	Competent cell preparation.....	65
2.6.2.	DNA ligation.....	65
2.6.3.	Bacterial transformation.....	65
2.6.4.	Screening recombinant clones	66

2.7.	Southern blot hybridization.....	66
2.7.1.	DNA gel electrophoresis.....	66
2.7.2.	Southern transfer and fixation.....	66
2.7.3.	Probe labelling	67
2.7.4.	Prehybridization and hybridization.....	67
2.7.5.	Detection.....	67
2.8.	DNA sequencing and analysis	68
2.8.1.	Sequencing reaction	68
2.8.2.	Sequencing analysis	68
2.9.	AFLP.....	68
2.9.1.	Preparation of adaptors	69
2.9.2.	Digestion of chromosomal DNA and ligation of adaptors	69
2.9.3.	Pre-amplification.....	69
2.9.4.	Selective amplification.....	70
2.9.4.1.	Primer labelling.....	70
2.9.4.2.	Selective amplification.....	70
2.9.5.	Visualisation of AFLP Fingerprinting Patterns	70
2.9.5.1.	Visualisation of fluorescent AFLP.....	70
2.9.5.2.	Visualisation of radioactive AFLP.....	71
2.10.	SNaPshot.....	71
2.10.1.	Principles of SNaPshot	71

2.10.2.	SNaPshot primer design.....	72
2.10.3.	Template preparation	72
2.10.4.	SNaPshot reactions and post-extension treatment	73
2.10.5.	Analysis of SNaPshot products.....	73
2.11.	Tree construction	73
2.11.1.	Dendrogram constructed based on AFLP fingerprinting patterns	73
2.11.2.	Phylogenetic tree constructed based on mutational changes	74
2.12.	Nucleotide sequence accession numbers	74
Chapter 3	75
	AFLP Analysis of Typhimurium and Its Correlation with Phage Types.....	75
3.1.	Introduction.....	75
3.2.	Fluorescent AFLP analysis	76
3.2.1.	AFLP using all <i>MseI</i> + 1 and <i>EcoRI</i> + 1 primer pairs combinations.....	76
3.2.2.	Analysis of AFLP fragments	76
3.2.3.	Discriminatory power of AFLP	79
3.3.	Genomic relationships based on AFLP variations.....	79
3.3.1.	AFLP tree grouped most isolates by phage types.....	80
3.3.2.	Outlier isolates	80
3.3.2.1.	DT64 isolate M1855 and DT44 isolate M1871	80
3.3.2.2.	DT12a isolates M1867 and M1868.....	80
3.4.	Phage-type correlated AFLP fragments.....	82

3.4.1.	Dendrogram based on phage-type specific AFLP markers	88
3.5.	Discussion	88
3.5.1.	Comparison of AFLP and PFGE	88
3.5.2.	Comparison of AFLP and other molecular methods	90
3.5.3.	Potentiality of phage-type correlated AFLP fragments	92
3.5.4.	Advantages of fluorescent AFLP compared with radioactive AFLP	92
3.5.5.	Disadvantage of AFLP as a direct typing system for Typhimurium	93
Chapter 4	94
	Nature of Phage-Type Correlated AFLP Fragments and Potential for Microarray Typing	94
4.1.	Introduction	94
4.2.	Cloning and sequencing of phage-type correlated AFLP fragments	94
4.2.1.	Radioactive AFLP and excision of AFLP fragments	94
4.2.2.	Cloning of excised AFLP fragments.....	95
4.2.3.	Screening for correct inserts by Southern hybridisation.....	95
4.2.4.	DNA sequencing and analysis of cloned AFLP fragments	97
4.2.5.	Hybridisation of cloned AFLP fragments.....	98
4.3.	Nature of phage-type correlated AFLP fragments.....	100
4.3.1.	Fragments with genes of plasmid origin.....	100
4.3.2.	Fragments containing genes of lambdoid phage origin	103
4.3.3.	Two pairs of fragments related by mutational changes at intergenic regions	107

4.3.4.	Fragment with genes of unknown origin	108
4.4.	Comparison of genomic relationships based on AFLP patterns with the relationships based on lysis patterns	109
4.4.1.	Phenotypic tree based on lysis patterns	110
4.4.2.	Comparison of genomic relationships based on AFLP patterns with the relationships based on lysis patterns	111
4.5.	Preliminary PCR typing	118
4.5.1.	Tests using the 46 Australian isolates	118
4.5.2.	Tests using world-wide DT9 and DT135 isolates.....	120
4.6.	Summary and discussion.....	123
4.6.1.	Predominance of phage and plasmid genes in phage-type related AFLP fragments.....	123
4.6.2.	Potential of PCR and microarray typing using phage-type specific AFLP markers	124
Chapter 5		126
Mutational Changes of Typhimurium Revealed by Studying Mutation Related AFLP Fragments.....		126
5.1.	Introduction.....	126
5.2.	Detection of mutational changes in AFLP fragments.....	126
5.2.1.	Mutation related AFLP fragments	126
5.2.2.	Computer modeling AFLP based on LT2 genome sequence	127
5.2.3.	Matching informative AFLP fragments in LT2.....	127
5.2.4.	Sequencing mutation related AFLP fragments	129

5.3.	Nature of mutation related AFLP fragments	129
5.3.1.	Point mutations affecting <i>EcoRI</i> or <i>MseI</i> restriction site.....	132
5.3.2.	Point mutations affecting selective base	133
5.3.3.	Point mutations inside AFLP fragments	133
5.3.4.	Insertions and deletions (Indels)	134
5.3.4.1.	Deletion in DT64	135
5.3.5.	Mutation rate in coding and non-coding regions	137
5.4.	Genomic relationships of Typhimurium isolates based on mutation related AFLP fragments.....	137
5.5.	Summary and discussion.....	141
5.5.1.	Predominance of length polymorphisms detected by AFLP	141
5.5.2.	Different DNA sequences of the “same” AFLP fragments	142
Chapter 6	143
	Mutational Changes of Typhimurium Studied Using Sequences of Intergenic Regions	143
6.1.	Introduction.....	143
6.2.	Detection of mutational changes in intergenic regions.....	144
6.2.1.	Comparisons of the coding and intergenic regions of LT2 and Typhi CT18	144
6.2.2.	Sequencing intergenic regions of representative Typhimurium isolates	144
6.2.3.	Distribution of mutational changes detected in intergenic regions.....	147
6.2.3.1.	PCR-RFLP	147
6.2.3.2.	SNaPshot.....	147

6.3.	Phylogenetic relationships and evolution of Typhimurium.....	153
6.3.1.	Phylogenetic tree combining mutational changes from both mutation related AFLP fragments and intergenic regions	153
6.4.	Comparison of relationships of Typhimurium isolates inferred from mutational changes with the dendrogram derived from AFLP fragments.....	156
6.4.1.	The different relationships between AFLP tree and mutation-based tree....	159
6.4.1.1.	The relationships differ in the two trees	159
6.4.1.2.	Independent origins of different isolates in the same phage type..	160
6.4.1.3.	Outlier isolates in AFLP tree	160
6.4.2.	The common relationships between AFLP tree and mutation-based tree ...	161
6.4.2.1.	The relationships did not change, but branch length is affected....	161
6.4.2.2.	AFLP fragments supporting the mutation-based tree	162
6.5.	Summary and discussion.....	164
6.5.1.	Evolutionary relationships of Typhimurium inferred from mutational changes	164
6.5.2.	Independent origins of different isolates in the same phage type.....	165
6.5.3.	Advantages of sequencing intergenic regions in detecting polymorphisms	165
6.5.4.	Short term survival of deleterious mutations	167
6.5.5.	PCR-RFLP and SNaPshot are fast and accurate in genotyping single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)	167
6.5.6.	More molecular markers for genotyping Typhimurium	168

Chapter 7	170
General Discussion and Conclusion	170
7.1. The significance of this study	170
7.2. Validation of AFLP in this study	171
7.3. Phage-type specific AFLP markers and potential for microarray typing	173
7.4. Genetic basis of phage type variations.....	174
7.5. Evolutionary relationships of Typhimurium based on mutational changes...	175
7.6. Comparison of AFLP tree with mutation-based tree	176
7.7. Strategies in detecting and genotyping polymorphisms in closely related isolates.....	177
7.8. Concluding remarks	179
References.....	180
Appendix 1	205
Appendix 2.....	213
Appendix 3.....	258
Appendix 4.....	261

List of Tables

Table 2.1-1	Typhimurium isolates used in the main part of this study.....	46
Table 2.1-2	Typhimurium isolates used in preliminary trial of PCR typing.....	48
Table 2.2-1	List of reagents in this study	51
Table 2.4-1	Oligonucleotide primers used in AFLP	54
Table 2.4-2	Oligonucleotide primers for PCR and sequencing mutation related AFLP fragments.....	55
Table 2.4-3	Oligonucleotide primers for PCR and sequencing <i>Salmonella enterica</i> serovar Typhimurium LT2 intergenic regions.....	57
Table 3.2-1	Numbers and types of polymorphisms observed in AFLP fragments size range from 40bp – 600bp.....	77
Table 3.2-2	Distribution of informative AFLP fragments	78
Table 3.4-1	Phage-type correlated AFLP fragments	84
Table 4.2-1	Recombinant plasmids and strains for cloning polymorphic AFLP fragments.....	96
Table 4.2-2	Hybridisation of cloned phage-type correlated AFLP fragments to <i>EcoRI</i> digested genomic DNA of the Typhimurium isolates studied.....	99
Table 4.3-1	Sequences of phage-type specific AFLP fragments.....	101
Table 4.4-1	Lysis patterns of nine DTs studied	112
Table 4.4-2	Conversion from the degree of phage sensitivity to the 24 steps.....	114
Table 4.4-3	Converted steps of phage typing lysis patterns of nine DTs studied.....	115
Table 4.5-1	Oligonucleotide primers used for PCR typing of Typhimurium isolates	118

Table 5.2-1 Number of AFLP fragments of LT2 modelled by computer.....	128
Table 5.3-1 Nature of mutational changes detected in mutation related AFLP fragments	130
Table 5.4-1 Distribution of mutational changes detected in mutation related AFLP fragments.....	138
Table 5.4-2 Comparison of mutational changes in AFLP fragments with the other five serovars, Typhi, Paratyphi A, Gallinarum, Enteritidis and Pullorum.....	140
Table 6.2-1 Details of single nucleotide polymorphisms in intergenic regions and their flanking genes	145
Table 6.2-2 RFLP patterns digested by different restriction enzymes for 14 SNPs detected in the intergenic regions	148
Table 6.2-3 SNaPshot extension primers and expected extension bases for SNPs detected in intergenic regions	150
Table 6.2-4 Distribution of mutational changes detected in intergenic regions	152
Table 6.3-1 Comparison of mutational changes in intergenic regions and their flanking genes with the other five serovars, Typhi, Paratyphi A, Gallinarum, Enteritidis and Pullorum.....	153

List of Figures

Figure 1.4-1 The effects of functional constraint on the relative frequency of deleterious and neutral mutations	10
Figure 1.8-1 Neighbor-joining dendrogram for 80 ETs of the eight groups of <i>Salmonella</i> , based on the proportion of 24 enzyme loci at which dissimilar alleles (electromorphs) occur.	20
Figure 1.8-2 Neighbor-joining dendrogram for the 16 <i>Salmonella</i> SARC strains, based on variation in the combined coding sequences of five housekeeping genes, <i>putP</i> , <i>gapA</i> , <i>mdh</i> , <i>gnd</i> , and <i>aceK</i>	21
Figure 1.8-3 Model for the evolution of virulence in <i>Salmonella</i>	26
Figure 1.9-1 Genealogy of Typhimurium typing phages.....	32
Figure 1.9-2 Overall similarities of Typhimurium isolates based on the combined data produced by IS200, ribo- and PFGE typing.....	37
Figure 1.9-3 Dendrogram of the cluster analysis based on macrorestriction profiles of Typhimurium isolates	39
Figure 1.10-1 Schematic representation of AFLP principle and procedure	42
Figure 2.10-1 Principle of SNaPshot in genotyping single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP).....	72
Figure 3.3-1 Dendrogram of 46 Typhimurium isolates based on AFLP patterns	81
Figure 3.4-1 An electropherogram image of phage-type specific fragments C/T-1 and C/T-2 displayed by ABI PRISM GeneScan Software	83
Figure 4.2-1 Screening for correct inserts by hybridisation to polyacrylamide AFLP gel blot	97
Figure 4.3-1 Hybridisation of DT1 related AFLP fragments	102

Figure 4.3-2 Hybridisation of C/A-1 to <i>Eco</i> RI digested genomic DNA	103
Figure 4.3-3 Illustration of the relationship between phage-type specific fragments G/C-2, C/C-4 and C/C-5	105
Figure 4.3-4 Hybridisation of C/C-4 to <i>Eco</i> RI digested genomic DNA.....	106
Figure 4.3-5 Hybridisation of C/A-2 to undigested genomic DNA.....	108
Figure 4.4-1 Phenotypic tree of nine DTs studied based on degree of sensitivity to typing phages.	117
Figure 4.5-1 PCR typing of Australian isolates using C/A-4 sequence based primer pair 3708 / 3710.	119
Figure 4.5-2 PCR typing of Australian isolates using C/A-3 sequence based primer pair 3711 / 3712	120
Figure 4.5-3 PCR typing of the 30 worldwide DT9 isolates and the 29 worldwide DT135 isolates using C/A-4 sequence based primer pair 3708 / 3710.....	121
Figure 4.5-4 PCR typing of the 30 worldwide DT9 isolates and the 29 worldwide DT135 isolates using C/A-3 sequence based primer pair 3711 / 3712.....	122
Figure 5.3-1 Southern blot analysis of fragments that absent in DT64.	136
Figure 5.3-2 Illustration of the regions in LT2 deleted in DT64	137
Figure 5.4-1 Phylogenetic tree based on mutation related AFLP fragments.	139
Figure 6.2-1 PCR-RFLP to determine the distribution of SNP	149
Figure 6.3-1 Phylogenetic tree based on mutational changes from both mutation related AFLP fragments and intergenic regions	155
Figure 6.4-1 Comparison of mutation-based tree and AFLP tree.....	157
Figure 6.4-2 Distribution of informative AFLP fragments related to mutation-based tree.....	158

List of Abbreviations

A	adenosine
AFLP	amplified fragment length polymorphism
APS	Ammonium persulfate
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
bp	base pairs
BSA	bovine serum albumin
C	cytidine
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
Ci	Curie
CSPD	Disodium 3-[4-methoxyspiro {1,2-dioxetane-3,2'-(5'-chloro) tricycle decan}-4-yl]phenyl_phosphate
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	deoxynucleotide triphosphate
ds	double stranded
DT	definitive phage type
dUTP	deoxyuridine triphosphate
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetra acetic acid
g	acceleration due to gravity
G	guanosine
hr	hour
Indels	insertions and deletions
IPTG	isopropyl thiogalactoside
IS	insertion sequences
kb	kilobases
LPS	lipopolysaccharide
M	molar
min	minute
ML	maximum likelihood
MLEE	multilocus enzyme electrophoresis
MLST	multilocus sequence typing
MP	maximum parsimony

MQ	milli-Q pure water
NA	nutrient agar
NB	nutrient broth
NJ	neighbour-joining
OD	optical density
PAGE	polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PAUP	phylogenetic analysis using parsimony
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PFGE	pulse field gel electrophoresis
PNK	polynucleotide kinase
RAPD	random amplified polymorphic DNA
RDNC	reaction does not confirm
RFLP	restriction fragment length polymorphism
RNase	ribonuclease
SAP	shrimp alkaline phosphatase
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
sec	second
SNP	single nucleotide polymorphism
SSC	saline sodium citrate
T	thymidine
TAE	Tris-acetate EDTA
TB	terrific broth
TBE	Tris-borate EDTA
TE	Tris EDTA
TEMED	N,N,N,N'-tetramethylethylenediamine
Tn	transposons
U	unit
UPGMA	unweighted pair group method with arithmetic means
UV	ultraviolet light
v/v	volume per volume
w/v	weight per volume
X-gal (BCIG)	4-bromo-3-chloro-2-isopropyl galactose

Abstract

Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium is a common cause of salmonellosis among humans and animals worldwide. In Australia, Typhimurium is responsible for over half of the salmonellosis cases. The Anderson phage-typing scheme is the primary means of long-term surveillance of Typhimurium outbreak isolates, and has played an important role in epidemiology. However, there exist quite a number of strains of Typhimurium that cannot be defined by the phage-typing scheme. Furthermore, the knowledge of evolutionary relationships among isolates of different phage types is still very limited and the genetic basis of phage type variation remains largely unknown. To address these issues, this study focused on molecular typing and evolution of Typhimurium.

Fluorescent amplified-fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) was applied to 46 Typhimurium isolates comprising nine phage types in Australia using the restriction enzymes *Mse*I and *Eco*RI and *Mse*I +1 / *Eco*RI +1 primer pair combinations. The selected phage types, DT9, DT135, DT64, DT44, DT126, DT12a, DT1, DT141 and DT108, have been dominant or frequent phage types in animal and human infections in Australia in recent years. AFLP in the present study showed a very good discrimination power with Simpson index of diversity of 0.98, 35 different AFLP patterns were observed in the 46 isolates studied. The tree based on AFLP patterns showed good correlation with phage type, grouped most Typhimurium isolates by phage type, and differentiated all nine phage types. Furthermore, 84 phage-type specific polymorphic AFLP fragments, for which presence or absence correlated with phage type (including 25 with one exception to phage-type specificity) were observed in the 46 strains studied.

Eighteen phage-type specific AFLP fragments were cloned and sequenced. Sixteen are of known genes or have a homologue in the databases. It was found a predominance of phage and plasmid genes rather than mutational changes in the AFLP fragments studied. Of the 18 cloned and sequenced AFLP fragments, only four relate to mutational changes in the *S. enterica* chromosome, the other 14 comprise DNA of mobile elements: nine are phage related, three are plasmid related and two are gain of DNA from unknown origin.

Twelve of the 18 sequenced phage-type specific AFLP markers are polymorphic because the DNA is present or absent as indicated by Southern hybridization. Two of these markers were successfully used in preliminary PCR-based typing of 30 DT9 and 29 DT135 isolates from worldwide collections. 27 of the 30 DT9 isolates and all DT135 isolates tested were correctly categorized. The results implied a good potential to use the sequence of these fragments as the basis for a multiplex PCR or a microarray based molecular “phage” typing method for Typhimurium.

This thesis also studied the molecular evolutionary relationships among the same set of 46 Typhimurium isolates using mutational changes detected by AFLP, or analysis of intergenic regions and their flanking genes in genome sequences. The complete genome sequence of Typhimurium LT2 was analysed by computer modelled AFLP. The polymorphic AFLP fragments, which matched with the modelled LT2 AFLP fragments, were amplified and sequenced by LT2 genome based primers to determine the changes. Forty-nine intergenic regions with higher pairwise differences between LT2 and Typhi CT18 were amplified and sequenced using LT2 genome based primers for one isolate of each phage type. 51 polymorphic sites were detected consisting of 18 in AFLP fragments and 33 in intergenic regions or their flanking genes. PCR-RFLP (restriction fragment length polymorphism) and SNaPshot were used to further investigate the distribution of the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) detected in intergenic regions in all isolates studied.

Of the 18 mutational changes detected in AFLP fragments, eight were indels (insertions / deletions) and ten single base substitutions. Of the eight indels, four were in genes, three in intergenic regions, and one covered adjacent intergenic and coding regions. The four indels in genes all caused frameshift mutations, including three single base indels and one 19 bp deletion. Of the ten substitutions, one was in an intergenic region and nine in genes comprising three synonymous and six non-synonymous substitutions.

Of the 33 polymorphic sites detected from sequences of 23 intergenic regions and their flanking genes, one was IS200 insertion and 32 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), of which 30 were single base substitutions and two were single base indels. Nine of the 33 variations were found in the flanking genes, which were all single base substitutions comprising four synonymous, four non-synonymous substitutions and one non-sense mutation.

More non-synonymous than synonymous substitutions were found for those in coding regions within Typhimurium, indicating that slightly deleterious intraspecies mutations can be fixed within clones, such as various lineages of Typhimurium.

The 51 polymorphic sites, which were inferred from sequences of both mutation related AFLP fragments, and intergenic regions and their flanking genes, gave a single phylogenetic tree of the 46 Typhimurium isolates studied. All sequences involved were compared with the homologous sequences in the available *S. enterica* genome sequences for serovars Typhi, Paratyphi A, Gallinarum, Enteritidis and Pullorum and this enabled the determination of the direction of the mutational changes in the isolates studied and the root of the phylogenetic tree. There were only two events inferred to have occurred twice, the remaining 49 polymorphisms can be explained by a single event. The data indicated that Typhimurium has a very strong clonal structure with a very low level of recombination over the time for diversification of Typhimurium as majority of clonal variations are from point mutations rather than recombination.

The phylogenetic tree based on mutational changes showed that most Typhimurium isolates of a given phage type are in the same evolutionary group, but that some phage types appear to have arisen more than once. Comparison of the phylogenetic tree with AFLP data gave examples of unrelated isolates of a given phage type having common AFLP fragments comprising plasmid or phage genes, supporting the view that phage type can be determined by presence of specific phages or plasmids. The mutation-based tree showed that six of the nine phage types studied appeared to have a single origin, at least for the isolates studied. It also found that DT1 and DT44 had two independent origins even for the limited set of strains used. The distribution of DT12a isolates into two groups could be explained that the group of three DT12a isolates were derived from the other group of four DT12a isolates, where the root of the tree might be. The data also confirmed that DT64 arose from DT9.

The phylogenetic tree that was generated based on essentially mutational changes provides clear relationships of the closely related Typhimurium isolates with high level of consistency and reasonable confidence. This study provided one of the few analyses of relationships of isolates within a clone. Matching actual AFLP with computer modeled AFLP and sequencing intergenic regions provide very good new strategies to

identify mutational polymorphisms and to study the molecular evolutionary relationships in the closely related isolates.