

Long-Range Imaging Radar for Autonomous Navigation

Graham Brooker

A thesis submitted in fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy



Australian Centre for Field Robotics
School of Aerospace, Mechanical and Mechatronic Engineering
The University of Sydney

January 2005

Declaration

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, it contains no material previously published or written by another person nor material which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma of the University or any other institute of higher learning, except where due acknowledgement has been made in the text.

Graham Brooker

January 2005

Abstract

Graham Brooker
The University of Sydney

Doctor of Philosophy
January 2005

Long-Range Imaging Radar for Autonomous Navigation

This thesis describes the theoretical and practical implementation of a long-range high-resolution millimetre wave imaging radar system to aid with the navigation and guidance of both airborne and ground-based autonomous vehicles. To achieve true autonomy, a vehicle must be able to sense its environment, comprehensively, over a broad range of scales. Objects in the immediate vicinity of the vehicle must be classified at high resolution to ensure that the vehicle can traverse the terrain. At slightly longer ranges, individual features such as trees and low branches must be resolved to allow for short-range path planning. At long range, general terrain characteristics must be known so that the vehicle can plan around difficult or impassable obstructions. Finally, at the largest scale, the vehicle must be aware of the direction to its objective.

In the past, short-range sensors based on radar and laser technology have been capable of producing high-resolution maps in the immediate vicinity of the vehicle extending out to a few hundred metres at most. For path planning, and navigation applications where a vehicle must traverse many kilometres of unstructured terrain, a sensor capable of imaging out to at least 3km is required to permit mid and long-range motion planning. This thesis addresses this need by describing the development a high-resolution interrupted frequency modulated continuous wave (FMICW) radar operating at 94GHz.

The contributions of this thesis include a comprehensive analysis of both FMCW and FMICW processes leading to an effective implementation of a radar prototype which is capable of producing high-resolution reflectivity images of the ground at low grazing angles. A number of techniques are described that use these images and some *a priori* knowledge of the area, for both feature and image based navigation. It is shown that sub-pixel registration accuracies can be achieved to achieve navigation accuracies from a single image that are superior to those available from GPS.

For a ground vehicle to traverse unknown terrain effectively, it must select an appropriate path from as long a range as possible. This thesis describes a technique to use the reflectivity maps generated by the radar to plan a path up to 3km long over rough terrain. It makes the assumption that any change in the reflectivity characteristics of the terrain being traversed should be avoided if possible, and so, uses a modified form of the gradient-descent algorithm to plan a path to achieve this.

The millimetre wave radar described here will improve the performance of autonomous vehicles by extending the range of their high-resolution sensing capability by an order of magnitude to 3km. This will in turn enable significantly enhanced capability and wider future application for these systems.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Professor Hugh Durrant-Whyte who had the vision to employ me, almost sight unseen, and who showed me that what I know about radar is valuable. His vision and guidance has built the ACFR into an environment that nurtures talent and allows people like me to realise our dreams.

I would also like to thank Professor Mike Inggs who has gone out of his way to advise me, for old-times sake and for the good of radar - which has shaped our lives.

To my parents who have been waiting nearly half a century for a doctor, I thank them for the seed of curiosity and the mandate to do anything I wanted, so long as I did it to the best of my ability.

To my wife Mandi, my soul-mate and guiding star, who has nurtured me with her unconditional love forever, thank you for creating an environment that has given me the freedom and the courage to produce this thesis.

Thanks are also due to many of my colleagues at the ACFR and worldwide who worked on the original radar with me, who read portions of the drafts and who offered useful advice.

Contents

Long-Range Imaging Radar for Autonomous Navigation.....	i
Chapter 1. Introduction.....	1
1.1. Objectives	1
1.2. Radar Imaging.....	3
1.3. Radar Feature Characteristics	5
1.4. Radar Systems Requirements	6
1.5. Navigation, Guidance and Path planning.....	8
1.6. Contributions.....	10
1.7. Thesis Structure	10
Chapter 2. Radar Imaging Principles	14
2.1. Introduction.....	14
2.2. Resolution	14
2.2.1. Angular Resolution	14
2.2.2. Range Resolution	15
2.3. Building Images	17
2.3.1. Range Gate Limited Images.....	17
2.3.2. Beamwidth Limited Images.....	18
2.3.3. Image Interpretation.....	20
2.3.4. Alternative Representations	21
2.4. Radar Applications for Autonomous Guidance and Navigation	22
2.4.1. Indoor Applications	22
2.4.2. Ground-based Outdoor Applications	23
2.4.3. Airborne Applications.....	25
2.5. Conclusions.....	26
Chapter 3. Target and Propagation Effects	28
3.1. Introduction.....	28
3.2. Target Reflectivity Measurements	28
3.2.1. The Noise Floor	29
3.2.2. Spatial Variations.....	30
3.2.3. Temporal Variations	34
3.2.4. Effects of Radar Movement	37
3.3. Target Feature Representations.....	38
3.3.1. 2-D Representations.....	38
3.3.2. 3-D Representations.....	39
3.4. Propagation	39
3.4.1. The Range Equation.....	40

3.4.2.	Atmospheric Attenuation	41
3.4.3.	Attenuation due to Dust and Smoke	42
3.4.4.	Foliage Penetration	43
3.5.	Other Important Effects	45
3.5.1.	Multipath Images	45
3.5.2.	Electromagnetic Interference	46
3.6.	Conclusions	47
Chapter 4.	Radar Systems	48
4.1.	Introduction	48
4.2.	Modulation and the Ambiguity Function	48
4.3.	Pulsed Radar	50
4.3.1.	Transmitter Options	51
4.3.2.	The Matched Filter	52
4.3.3.	Potential for Autonomous Applications	54
4.4.	Pulse Compression	56
4.4.1.	Matched-filter Definition	58
4.4.2.	Phase-Coded Pulse Compression	59
4.4.3.	SAW Based Pulse Compression	60
4.4.4.	Step Frequency	62
4.5.	Frequency Modulated Continuous Wave Radar	63
4.5.1.	Operational Principles	63
4.5.2.	Matched Filtering	65
4.5.3.	The Ambiguity Function	67
4.5.4.	Phase Noise and Leakage Effects	69
4.5.5.	Radar Performance Evaluation	70
4.5.6.	Potential for Autonomous Applications	72
4.6.	Interrupted FMCW	74
4.7.	Conclusions	75
Chapter 5.	Interrupted FMCW	77
5.1.	Introduction	77
5.2.	FMICW Matched Filter	79
5.2.1.	Derivation of the Matched-filter Equations	79
5.2.2.	Evaluation of Options	80
5.2.3.	Processing Efficiency and Integration	83
5.3.	Implementation of an FMICW Front-End	84
5.3.1.	Open-loop Linearisation	84
5.3.2.	Determining the Effectiveness of Linearisation Techniques	85
5.3.3.	Implementation of Closed-Loop Linearisation	87
5.3.4.	Amplifying and Pulsing	88
5.3.5.	Effective Range Resolution	90

5.4.	Radar Hardware Considerations	90
5.4.1.	Mitigation of Switching Effects	92
5.4.2.	Practical Aspects of Linearisation	92
5.4.3.	Automatic Frequency Control.....	94
5.4.4.	Transmit Power and Spectrum.....	94
5.4.5.	Noise Power Measurements.....	97
5.5.	System Measurements	98
5.5.1.	Antenna Gain	98
5.5.2.	Multipath Effects	99
5.5.3.	Bandwidth Effects.....	100
5.5.4.	Noise Effects.....	101
5.5.5.	Closing the Calibration	102
5.5.6.	Range Resolution.....	102
5.6.	Performance Prediction.....	104
5.7.	Antenna Scanning and Beam Stabilisation	106
5.8.	Signal Processing.....	107
5.8.1.	Coarse Range Gating	107
5.8.2.	Generating In-Phase and Quadrature Signals	108
5.8.3.	Fine Range Gating	110
5.8.4.	Image Generation.....	111
5.8.5.	Measured Resolution Effects	112
5.8.6.	Resolution Enhancement Methods	113
5.9.	Conclusions.....	116
Chapter 6.	Radar-Based Navigation and Guidance	117
6.1.	Introduction.....	117
6.2.	Millimetre-wave Radar Image Generation and Interpretation.....	118
6.2.1.	Image Construction.....	119
6.2.2.	Image Interpretation from an Aerial Perspective.....	121
6.2.3.	Image Interpretation from a Pilot's Perspective	121
6.3.	Feature Based Navigation.....	122
6.3.1.	Reference-feature-base Construction.....	122
6.3.2.	Radar Image Processing.....	123
6.3.3.	Navigation Error Estimation	125
6.3.4.	Results.....	127
6.4.	Correlation Based Navigation.....	129
6.4.1.	The Correlation Process.....	129
6.4.2.	Correlation Results.....	130
6.4.3.	Positioning Accuracy	131
6.4.4.	Effects of Reference Image Errors.....	133
6.4.5.	Application for Autonomous Navigation.....	134
6.5.	Ground-Based Path Planning and Navigation	134

6.5.1.	Advantages of using Radar Images.....	135
6.5.2.	Multi-Level Terrain Map Description	136
6.5.3.	Terrain Map Interpretation.....	137
6.6.	Radar Reflectivity-Based Path Planning.....	138
6.6.1.	A Simplified Path-Planning Algorithm	139
6.6.2.	Basic Path-Planning Algorithm Interpretation.....	140
6.6.3.	Path-Planning Algorithm with Waypoints.....	142
6.6.4.	Path-Planning Algorithm Allowing Increases in Potential.....	143
6.6.4.1.	Gradient 2 and Search Area 5×5	143
6.6.4.2.	Gradient 1.5 and Search Area 5×5	144
6.6.4.3.	Gradient 2 and Search Area 3×3	144
6.6.4.4.	Gradient 4 and Search Area 3×3	145
6.6.4.5.	Algorithm to Accommodate No-Go Areas	145
6.7.	Conclusions.....	146
Chapter 7. Summary, Contributions and Future Work		147
7.1.	Summary and Contributions	147
7.2.	Future Work.....	149
7.2.1.	Radar Systems.....	149
7.2.2.	Processing and Analysis	151
7.2.3.	Applications	152
7.2.4.	Summary	154
Appendix A. Radar Background: Resolution, Target Reflectivity, Attenuation and Multipath.....		155
A.1.	Resolution	155
A.1.1.	Angular Resolution	155
A.1.2.	Relation between Range Resolution and Spectral Content.....	158
A.1.3.	Range Gating	159
A.2.	Reflectivity.....	160
A.2.1.	Target Cross-Section and Reflectivity.....	160
A.2.2.	Measuring Reflectivity.....	163
A.2.3.	Backscatter	165
A.3.	Attenuation.....	167
A.3.1.	Effect of Rain.....	167
A.3.2.	Effect of Fog and Clouds	168
A.4.	Multipath.....	169
Appendix B. Mutual Interference		171
B.1.	Introduction.....	171
B.2.	Typical FMCW Radar Performance Specifications	171

B.3.	Spectrum Utilisation	172
B.4.	Sensitivity and Interference for FMCW Radars	172
B.4.1.	Interfering Source Levels.....	173
B.4.2.	Effect of Sidelobes.....	174
B.4.3.	Effect of Target.....	174
B.4.4.	Effect of the Operating Band	175
B.4.5.	Identical Radar Systems.....	175
B.4.6.	Similar Radars.....	179
B.5.	Techniques to Minimise Interference	181
B.5.1.	Pre-FFT Signal Processing	181
B.5.2.	Post FFT Signal Processing	182
B.5.3.	Detection and Tracking.....	182
B.5.4.	Examples of Interference Reduction.....	182
B.6.	Sensitivity and Interference for FMCW and FMICW Radars.....	184
Appendix C. Implementation of a 94GHz Pulsed Radar Front-End		187
C.1.	Overview.....	187
C.2.	Pulsed IMPATT Transmitter	188
C.3.	The Duplexer	190
C.4.	The Antenna.....	191
C.5.	Local Oscillator.....	192
C.6.	The mixer	193
C.7.	The amplifier.....	194
C.8.	Matched Filter Implementation.....	194
C.9.	Closing the Calibration	195
C.9.1.	Received Power	196
C.9.2.	Output Signal to Noise Ratio	196
C.10.	Imaging Performance.....	197
C.11.	Slope Estimation	198
Appendix D. Effect of Chirp Linearity on the Range Resolution of an FMCW Radar.....		200
D.1.	Introduction.....	200
D.2.	Analytical Solution	201
D.2.1.	Cos(A+B) Term from (D.6).....	202
D.2.2.	Cos(A-B) Term from (D.6).....	202
D.2.3.	Values of some constants.....	203
D.2.4.	Evaluation of the first cosine term (D.9) reproduced here.....	204
D.2.5.	Evaluation of the second cosine term (D.12) reproduced here.....	205
Appendix E. Improving the Chirp Linearity of an FMCW Radar.....		208
E.1.	Open-loop Techniques	209
E.2.	Closed-loop Techniques.....	211

E.3.	Other Methods	213
E.4.	Linearisation, Chirp Bandwidth and Spectral Width	213
Appendix F. Improving the Imaging Performance of an FMCW Radar		215
F.1.	Reducing Range Sidelobes	215
F.2.	Phase Noise, Mixing and Common Aperture Problems	217
F.3.	Phase Noise around the Target and Image Contrast	221
F.4.	AM Noise	223
F.5.	Signal Processing Effects	223
F.5.1.	Signal Quantisation and Noise	224
F.5.2.	Resolution and the FFT	225
Appendix G. IMPATT Oscillator Fundamentals		227
G.1.	Device Physics	227
G.1.1.	Simplified IMPATT Theory	228
G.1.2.	Diode Packaging	230
G.1.3.	Thermal Effects	232
G.2.	Oscillator Description	233
G.3.	Injection Locking	234
G.3.1.	Implementation	235
G.4.	Spectral Splitting	236

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Performance of the 3cm H ₂ S radar flying over the Guldborg Sound in December 1943 showing (a) a map of the area and (b) a photograph of the PPI display in which the interface between land and water is quite clear [134].....	4
Figure 1.2: Airborne SAR image showing hangars around the Kirtland AFB, Albuquerque, New Mexico, (1m resolution) [10]	5
Figure 1.3: Beamwidth-limited occupancy image of a tree-lined quadrangle adjacent to the ACFR at the University of Sydney	5
Figure 1.4: Plan and cross-section diagrams showing the long-range image generation process using a sector scan and a range-gate limited sensor	7
Figure 2.1: Three dimensional Cartesian plot of the gain pattern of a fan-beam antenna showing the narrow azimuth beamwidth and the cosec ² elevation beam pattern	15
Figure 2.2: Operational principles of a pulsed time-of-flight radar illustrating the round-trip time to the target and range resolution due to overlapping pulses	16
Figure 2.3: Polar to Cartesian image transform shows an example where each Cartesian pixel becomes the weighted average of the polar pixels with which it overlaps.....	17
Figure 2.4: Range-gate-limited image of the ground transformed from polar to Cartesian space illustrating the good image quality obtained by over-sampling and pixel weighting.....	18
Figure 2.5: The difference between (a) beamwidth and (b) range-gate-limited imaging illustrated in this example of a shovel-mounted imaging radar	19
Figure 2.6: Illustration of beamwidth-limited surface quantization in which a limited number of uniformly spaced measurements is made at discrete points over the target	19
Figure 2.7: Reconstructed 3-D geometry of an indoor scene (walls, door, table and locker) based on 20×20×20cm volume cells.....	22
Figure 2.8: Two perspectives of occupancy grid based 3-D representation of outdoor data made at CMU. In these images the radius of the opaque spheres is proportional to the log of the reflectivity value at that point.....	24
Figure 2.9: Collision avoidance radar application for human detection at the ACFR comparing (a) a photographic image and (b) a radar image with the return from a person indicated	25
Figure 2.10: Synthetic Vision using ALG showing (a) a radar Image of a runway and (b) a visible cockpit-camera image of the same view	26
Figure 3.1: FMCW radar system noise-floor characteristics showing the minimum, mean and maximum values measured over a 500kHz frequency span	30
Figure 3.2: FMCW radar system noise-floor characteristics showing the standard deviation of the noise over a 500kHz frequency span	30
Figure 3.3: Image reflectivity analysis tool output showing the captured file ss3834.bmp which consists of a section of runway with two intersecting taxi ways and	

the surrounding scrub. The circular arc is an artefact of the radar linearisation process used to make the measurement	31
Figure 3.4: Image reflectivity analysis tool output showing the captured file, sscan17.dat with various sections marked for storage and further processing	32
Figure 3.5: Range corrected histograms of reflectivity distribution for the different target areas show the typical log-normal distributions obtained for millimetre-wave clutter	32
Figure 3.6: Comparison between the measured reflectivity of grass and crops at 95GHz with the Georgia Tech statistical model predictions for 10%, 30%, 50%, 70% and 90% distribution levels.....	33
Figure 3.7: Histograms of runway signal level compared with the noise floor confirm that the runway reflectivity statistics are not noise limited in Figure 3.4.....	34
Figure 3.8: Measured clutter-return power from grassland and scrub showing (a) the time-frequency pseudo-image and (b) the variation in signal level measured during a 60s period.....	35
Figure 3.9: Measured clutter reflectivity from grassland and scrub showing (a) the time-range pseudo-image and (b) the variation in reflectivity levels	35
Figure 3.10: Low and high frequency components of the power spectral density returns for wind-blown trees showing differences in the spectra that can be attributed to leaves and branches	36
Figure 3.11: The effect of moving the observation point on image clarity is illustrated by comparing the image (a) produced by a single scan and (b) four integrated scans	37
Figure 3.12: Histograms of the grass verge surrounding the runway for (a) a single image and (b) an integrated sequence of images obtained from slightly different perspectives.....	37
Figure 3.13: Block diagram of the radar environment.....	39
Figure 3.14: Schematic diagram illustrating the surface and volume clutter returns that compete with the target echo within each range gate	40
Figure 3.15: Graphical solution to the radar range equation showing the effects of atmospheric attenuation on the detection range for a point target.....	41
Figure 3.16: Summary of atmospheric attenuation effects for clear air, fog and rain at wavelengths ranging from 3cm to 0.3 μ m	41
Figure 3.17: Signal attenuation (one way) at different frequencies due to dust, as a function of maximum particle radius for a dust concentration of 2.6g/m ³	42
Figure 3.18: Total attenuation of a radar signal as a function of one-way foliage depth	43
Figure 3.19: Measured and calculated attenuation coefficients through trees as a function of frequency for different water-salinity models.....	44
Figure 3.20: An illustration of the confusion that can arise in the interpretation of the ground level by an AGV fitted with a radar sensor, due to the effect of multipath.....	45
Figure 3.21: Multipath fading over flat earth (RMS surface variation 0.1m) for an antenna height of 2m and a target height of 3m.....	46
Figure 3.22: Effect of multipath on the bearing of target features as seen in this point-cloud radar image of the ACFR quad and adjacent car-park.....	46
Figure 4.1: Block diagram illustrating the standard processing of a radar waveform.	49

Figure 4.2: Schematic block diagram showing the major components of a typical non-coherent pulsed millimetre-wave radar system.....	51
Figure 4.3: The relationship between the waveform and spectrum of a rectangular pulse.....	52
Figure 4.4: Matched-filter output for a generic rectangular pulse of duration τ	53
Figure 4.5: Ambiguity function for the matched-filter response to a 100ns long rectangular pulse.....	53
Figure 4.6: Contour plot and Helstrom's uncertainty ellipse of the ambiguity function for the matched-filter response to a 100ns rectangular pulse.....	54
Figure 4.7: Matched-filter output of a pair of closely spaced targets showing the limits to the range resolution at zero Doppler.....	54
Figure 4.8: Pulsed millimetre-wave radar reflectivity contour map superimposed on an aerial photograph of the same airfield scene showing high intensity returns produced by buildings.....	55
Figure 4.9: Matched-filter configurations for pulse compression using (a) conjugate filters, (b) time inversion and (c) correlation.....	58
Figure 4.10: Diagram to illustrate the concept of phase-coded pulse compression for a five bit Barker code.....	59
Figure 4.11: Ambiguity diagram for a 13-bit Barker code showing the "thumbtack" main lobe decaying into a sea of increasing delay and Doppler sidelobes.....	60
Figure 4.12: Conceptual diagram of a linear-chirp pulse-compression radar.....	61
Figure 4.13: Schematic diagram illustrating the FMCW concept.....	63
Figure 4.14: Frequency domain representation of a linear FM chirp.....	64
Figure 4.15: Frequency domain representation of the FMCW receiver output including both the high and low frequency components after mixing but before filtering.....	65
Figure 4.16: Spectrum of the truncated sinusoidal signal output by an FMCW radar.....	66
Figure 4.17: Linear FM up chirp ambiguity diagram for a 100ns duration signal showing the interaction between delay and Doppler.....	68
Figure 4.18: Transmitter signal leakage paths in an FMCW front-end.....	69
Figure 4.19: Comparison between phase-noise and thermal noise at the mixer output of a typical Gunn-oscillator based millimetre-wave FMCW radar.....	69
Figure 4.20: Phase-noise and integrated phase-noise in each 1kHz range-bin around a point target at a range of 400m.....	70
Figure 4.21: Effect of quadratic chirp-nonlinearity on the spectra of two closely-spaced point targets for (a) a rectangular and (b) a Hamming window.....	71
Figure 4.22: Effect of phase-noise on the spectra of two closely-spaced targets for (a) rectangular and (b) a Hamming window.....	72
Figure 4.23: Effect of nonlinear chirp and phase-noise on the spectra of two close spaced targets for (a) rectangular and (b) a Hamming window.....	72
Figure 4.24: Airborne images of a section of runway and taxiway showing (a) the composite millimetre-wave radar image and (b) an aerial photograph.....	73
Figure 4.25: Schematic diagram illustrating the FMICW concept.....	74
Figure 5.1: Process to determine the effect of target range on an FMICW radar signal where (a) is the FMCW signal (b) the transmitter gating sequence (c) the receiver	

gating sequence (d) the transmitted FMICW signal and (e) the received FMICW signal for a round-trip time of T_p sec.	79
Figure 5.2: Comparison between the received signals and spectra for two closely spaced targets of different amplitudes for (a) an FMCW radar and (b) an FMICW radar with a deterministic interrupt sequence	81
Figure 5.3: The received signals and spectra for two closely spaced targets of different amplitudes for an FMICW radar with a pseudorandom interrupt sequence	82
Figure 5.4: The received signals and spectra for two closely spaced targets of different amplitudes for an FMICW radar processing a single return packed with zeros	82
Figure 5.5: The received signals and spectra for two closely spaced targets for an FMICW radar processing a single return only for the case in which (a) the target amplitudes differ by 10dB and (b) the target amplitudes are identical.....	83
Figure 5.6: Schematic block diagram of an FMICW radar system showing that it consists of two major components (a) a linear ramp generator and (b) transmit signal gating and amplification	84
Figure 5.7: Schematic diagram of a linear chirp generator based on a lookup table...	85
Figure 5.8: Quadratic frequency chirp correction circuit using an analog multiplier chip.....	85
Figure 5.9: Schematic diagram of a delay-line discriminator.....	86
Figure 5.10: Discriminator output spectra for (a) an unlinearised Hughes VCO and (b) after open-loop correction.....	86
Figure 5.11: Example of the non-linear characteristics exhibited by a typical Gunn VCO	87
Figure 5.12: Schematic diagram showing the process of chirp linearisation based on a combination of open-loop correction and closed-loop delay-line discriminator output feedback	87
Figure 5.13: Locking gain-bandwidth characteristics of millimetre-wave IMPATT injection-locked oscillators[122]	89
Figure 5.14: FMICW timing optimised for a 3km operational range with two interrupt cycles per ramp period.....	89
Figure 5.15: Diagram showing the received waveforms at 1.5 and 3km and the interrupt timing for the implemented FMICW radar	90
Figure 5.16: Block diagram showing the RF levels and loss budget for the 94GHz FMICW radar.....	91
Figure 5.17: Photograph of the FMICW front-end showing the brass-block construction and indicating the positions of the critical components that are mounted close to the antenna.....	91
Figure 5.18: Schematic diagram of the switched LNA showing the positions of the clamp and FET switch designed to minimise leakage-transient effects	92
Figure 5.19: LNA output signal showing the residual switching transients that introduce wideband noise into the received signal spectra.....	92
Figure 5.20: Delay-line discriminator output spectrum showing the effect of closing the linearization loop. Chirp linearity is proportional to the width of the spectral peak.	93
Figure 5.21: Phase detector performance comparison showing the processed return from two point-targets separated by 50m at a range of 2.6km. It can be seen that only	

the counter DAC techniques (c) and (d) adequately reproduce the smaller target at the shorter range.....	93
Figure 5.22: Downconverted VCO centre frequency showing the effectiveness of the frequency control loop in counteracting temperature induced frequency shift	94
Figure 5.23: Relative spectra centred at 94GHz showing (a) the temperature induced frequency chirp in an unlocked PILO and (b) the effect of injection locking this spectrum to a constant frequency source.	95
Figure 5.24: Schematic diagram showing the set-up used to measure the transmit power.....	95
Figure 5.25: Detected output showing the four transmitted pulses with almost constant amplitude across each pulse.....	96
Figure 5.26: Transmitter spectrum showing the four distinct pulses visible because the duty cycle is slightly less than 50%	96
Figure 5.27: Receiver chain schematic used to determine the noise figure.....	97
Figure 5.28: Measurement set-up to investigate radar performance. The corner-reflector can be moved to test for multipath induced effects.....	98
Figure 5.29: Normalised elevation polar plot showing the predicted pattern, the measured pattern before environmental tests and the measured pattern after the environmental tests	99
Figure 5.30: Normalised azimuth polar plot showing the predicted pattern, the measured pattern before environmental tests and the measured pattern after the environmental tests	99
Figure 5.31: Multipath-induced amplitude lobing at a range of 2500m for a flat-earth model.....	100
Figure 5.32: Measured echo-signal power as a function of reflector height above the ground shows a small variation in signal level with reflector height, but no lobing .	100
Figure 5.33: Measured echo-signal power spectrum of the FMICW radar for a 100m ² corner reflector as a function of the spectrum analyser bandwidth	101
Figure 5.34: Vector addition of signal and noise.....	101
Figure 5.35: Echo return from a 100m ² corner-reflector and background (30kHz spectrum analyser bandwidth) showing spectral widening caused by imperfections in the PILO, nonlinearities in the transmitted chirp and interrupt effects.....	103
Figure 5.36: Simulated spectrum for an FMICW radar with a 20 μ s + 20 μ s interrupt cycle and a point target for a 30kHz spectrum analyser bandwidth	104
Figure 5.37: Echo return from a 100m ² target and background (100kHz spectrum analyser bandwidth) showing spectral widening caused by imperfections in the PILO, nonlinearities in the transmitted chirp and interrupt effects	104
Figure 5.38: Characteristics of the measurement site showing the elevation angle to the radar, the slope of the ground determined from a contour map, and the grazing angle at target.....	105
Figure 5.39: Measured range profile for the FMICW radar using a spectrum analyser with a 100kHz bandwidth	105
Figure 5.40: Measured range profile for the FMICW radar using a spectrum analyser with a 30kHz bandwidth	106

Figure 5.41: An isometric drawing of the radar hardware showing the roll, yaw and pitch axes with a front-end mounted to the inner gimbal plate.....	107
Figure 5.42: Schematic block diagram of the coarse analog-range-gating process implemented using a stepped down-converter and a narrow-band crystal filter	108
Figure 5.43: Block diagram showing the components required to generate the in-phase and quadrature signals from the radar IF output.....	108
Figure 5.44: Magnitude and phase response of $H(z)$ where (a) is the magnitude response and (b) is the phase response with step jumps of π removed to make it continuous.....	109
Figure 5.45: Realisation of the I-Q generation transfer function, $H(z)$ configured to exploit post sampling decimation	110
Figure 5.46: Simulation of the received signal spectra showing the difference in the range resolution at 3km and 1.5km caused by eclipsing	111
Figure 5.47: Measured noise floor for the FMICW radar aimed at the sky, showing gain ripples and DC offset effects due to imperfections in the down-converter and ADC modules.....	111
Figure 5.48: Gating process for image generation showing the construction of 384 range gates made up of 12 coarse \times 32 fine gates mechanically scanned over 30° in 128 steps.....	112
Figure 5.49: FMICW radar reflectivity image of a rural environment and a sketch describing the features visible in the image. Tiny marks towards the bottom of the image show the theoretical resolution of the radar at 1.5 and 3km	112
Figure 5.50: Measured radar image of a point target at a range of 2.75km shows that the azimuth resolution is about 2 samples wide and that the range resolution is about 5 bins deep	113
Figure 5.51: Hypothetical surfaces that can be reconstructed from a single range-gate echo profile	114
Figure 5.52: Doubling the effective angular resolution by stepping the beam by one half beamwidth and integrating the contents of the overlapping beams.....	115
Figure 5.53: Simulation result showing (a) the radar beamwidth superimposed on the rock profile to be scanned and (b) the resultant integrated output for a step size of 0.1 beamwidths.	115
Figure 6.1: Photograph of the FMICW radar front-end attached to 3-axis gimbals..	118
Figure 6.2: Photograph of the radar installed in a pod cantilevered from the side of the Puma helicopter, and the development team, the pilots and engineer	119
Figure 6.3: Graphical illustration of the spatial integration process from a moving platform.....	119
Figure 6.4: The effect of integration on image quality showing (a) a single unintegrated image and (b) integration of four images from a moving platform	120
Figure 6.5: Comparison between (a) an aerial photograph and (b) a composite radar image of an airfield. This confirms that the runway and taxi ways are clearly visible due to their contrast with the surrounding verges and that buildings and walls appear as bright, high reflectivity returns.....	121
Figure 6.6: Projection from the Range-Azimuth to the Elevation-Azimuth Plane....	121
Figure 6.7: Comparison between (a) a low grazing-angle aerial photo of an airfield and (b) a radar image transformed to correspond to a similar perspective.....	122

Figure 6.8: Compilation of a feature base for point, line and edge features.....	123
Figure 6.9: Image enhancement process showing (a) the original image reflectivity histogram and (b) the expanded and clipped histogram and colour map	124
Figure 6.10: Compilation of a fact base from the radar image	125
Figure 6.11: Comparison of the distances between feature pairs (green) and fact pairs (blue) which leads to the fact-feature association shown by the purple arrows	126
Figure 6.12: Off-line processed results from six images obtained during a flight test	127
Figure 6.13: Measured results of the navigation performance of the radar for two separate flight tests comprising (a) six sorties and (b) five sorties	128
Figure 6.14: Inputs to a correlation tracker showing (a) a distributed reference image, (b) a point reference image and (c) the raw radar image	129
Figure 6.15: Correlation output from a reference image made up of point targets and a section of wall, and a raw radar image shows a single unambiguous peak.....	130
Figure 6.16: Right image view and correlation output showing a single clear peak .	131
Figure 6.17: Left image view and correlation output showing a single clear peak ...	131
Figure 6.18: Orthogonal slices through the correlator output cutting through the peak	132
Figure 6.19: 2 nd order polynomial fit across the peak in the two orthogonal axes is used to determine the point of correlation to a fraction of a pixel.....	132
Figure 6.20: Manual placement of the reference image at a displacement of (571,1842) on images up-sampled by a factor of ten confirms a perfect correlation to a fraction of a pixel.....	133
Figure 6.21: Correlation outputs for different reference input image template options for (a) standard unmodified template, (b) dilated, (c) dilated and rotated by 10° and (d) dilated and magnified by 120%.....	133
Figure 6.22: Hierarchy of route and path-planning processes required for AGV navigation.....	135
Figure 6.23: Comparison between (a) a video image and (b) a radar image taken from the same position and covering the same range and angles. This shows that the radar down-range resolution is superior to that of the video image.....	135
Figure 6.24: Conceptual drawing of an imaging radar mounted on the Argo AGV .	136
Figure 6.25: Structure required for the generation of a multi-level description of the environment used in ground vehicle path planning	136
Figure 6.26: Aerial photograph of the terrain used in an autonomous path-planning exercise	138
Figure 6.27: Filtered 2-D millimetre-wave image of the terrain	138
Figure 6.28: Folding the reflectivity map to place the vehicle band-of-interest at the minimum of the repulsive potential-field	139
Figure 6.29: Repulsive potential-field map derived from reflectivity values, folded to produce a minimum at point B.....	139
Figure 6.30: Simulation outputs of a modified gradient-descent algorithm with expanding search area and a sink gradient of 1 showing (a) the path traversed from B to C and (b) the potentials of the points passed through during the traverse.....	141

Figure 6.31: Simulation outputs of a modified gradient-descent algorithm with expanding search area and a sink gradient of 1 showing (a) the path traversed from A to C that gets stuck in a local minimum and (b) the potentials of the points passed through during the traverse	142
Figure 6.32: Simulation outputs of a modified gradient descent algorithm with expanding search area and a non-linear sink gradient showing the path from A to C via waypoints D and E	142
Figure 6.33: Simulation outputs of a modified gradient-descent algorithm with a fixed search area of 5×5 pixels, a facility to increase the potential and a sink gradient of 2 showing (a) the path traversed from B to C and (b) the potentials of the points passed through during the traverse	143
Figure 6.34: Simulation outputs of a modified gradient descent algorithm with a fixed search area of 5×5 pixels, a facility to increase the potential and a sink gradient of 2 showing (a) the path traversed from A to C and (b) the potentials of the points passed through during the traverse	144
Figure 6.35: Simulation outputs of a modified gradient-descent algorithm with a fixed search area of 5×5 pixels, a facility to increase the potential and a sink gradient of 1.5 showing (a) the path traversed from B to C and (b) the potentials of the points passed through	144
Figure 6.36: Simulation outputs of a modified gradient-descent algorithm with a fixed search area of 3×3 pixels, a facility to increase the potential and a sink gradient of 2 showing (a) the path traversed from B to C and (b) the potentials of the points passed through during the traverse	145
Figure 6.37: Simulation outputs of modified gradient descent algorithm with a fixed search area of 3×3 pixels, a facility to increase the potential and a sink gradient of 4 showing (a) the path traversed from B to C and (b) the potentials of the points during the traverse	145
Figure 6.38: Map of the airfield compiled from aerial photographs and an ordnance survey map showing some of the no-go areas. The region of interest lies within the rectangular box.....	145
Figure 7.1: Coherent 94GHz front end developed for ground-based radar imaging .	150
Figure 7.2: Spinning-grating antenna used to achieve a fast azimuth scan rate for range-gate limited radar images.....	151
Figure 7.3: Reconfigurable module used for the development of 77GHz radar systems	151
Figure 7.4: 3-D radar reflectivity image of a row of trees	152
Figure 7.5: Miniature 77GHz radar and mirror scanner mounted on gimbals in the nose of the Brumby Mk-II	153
Figure 7.6: Underground application showing (a) the installation of the 77GHz radar with a 3-D mirror scanner and (b) a point-cloud image of an underground stope made through vapour and dust while the stope was being refilled.....	153
Figure A.1: Principal plane (a) elevation and (b) azimuth patterns of a cosec ² fan-beam antenna	155
Figure A.2: Effects of the size of the aperture on the resolution of an antenna showing (a) the aperture unable to resolve the targets, (b) just large enough to satisfy the	

resolution criteria and (c) increased resolution obtained by increasing the aperture still further [172].....	156
Figure A.3: Effect of the aperture distribution function on both angular resolution and sidelobe levels for a line source.....	157
Figure A.4: Horn-lens antennas that are commonly used in radar applications at the ACFR because they exhibit good electrical characteristics as well as being extremely robust.....	158
Figure A.5: Relationship between the spectral characteristics of a radar pulse and its duration.....	158
Figure A.6: Schematic diagram illustrating the range gating concept as a sequence of digitised samples stored in computer memory.....	159
Figure A.7: Effect of grazing angle on clutter reflectivity for different types of terrain.....	161
Figure A.8: Measured ground reflectivity at 95GHz of (a) grass and crops and (b) deciduous trees.....	161
Figure A.9: Comparison of an (a) aerial photograph and (b) beamwidth-limited millimetre-wave radar image of the region surrounding the ACFR. Note that some of the trees present in the aerial photograph had been removed by the time that the radar image was made.....	162
Figure A.10: A 3-D perspective view of the region surrounding the ACFR made using a high-resolution beamwidth-limited millimetre-wave radar.....	162
Figure A.11: Definition of a beamwidth-limited resolution cell.....	163
Figure A.12: Definition of a pulse-width (range-gate) limited resolution cell.....	164
Figure A.13: Definition of a volume-clutter resolution cell.....	165
Figure A.14: Measured average backscatter (m^2/m^3) at various frequencies based on BRL and Georgia Tech data as a function of the rainfall rate [67].....	166
Figure A.15: Possible paths taken by a radar signal between the radar and the target.....	169
Figure A.16: Multipath interference geometry for a flat earth.....	169
Figure B.1: FMCW radar signal level from a $1m^2$ target under different weather conditions.....	172
Figure B.2: Radar simulation output showing a $1m^2$ point target at a range of 70m.....	173
Figure B.3: FMCW Radar Mutual Interference Levels.....	174
Figure B.4: Two Identical FMCW radars operating with random relative phase.....	175
Figure B.5: beat signal for in-phase radars with the whole of the interference signal within the receiver bandwidth (<0.2).....	175
Figure B.6: Radar output for in-band interference with a magnitude 30dB larger than target return.....	176
Figure B.7: Beat signal for out of phase radars showing the majority of the interference out-of-band (blue) and some in-band (magenta).....	176
Figure B.8: Radar output for out-of-band Interference with a magnitude 30dB larger than the target return.....	177
Figure B.9: Interference between FMCW radars with identical sweep periods but shifted in phase and offset in frequency.....	178

Figure B.10: Two FMCW radars with slightly different sweep times with a phase relationship that changes with time.....	179
Figure B.11: Interference between FMCW radars with slightly different periods, showing blue out-of-band interference and magenta in-band interference	179
Figure B.12: Radar output interference for two FMCW radars with slightly different sweep periods (1.01 difference) with the interference signal level 30dB larger than the target return	180
Figure B.13: Interference between FMCW radars with different periods, showing blue out-of-band interference and magenta in-band interference	180
Figure B.14: Radar output interference for two FMCW radars with significantly different sweep periods (1.2 difference) with the interference signal level 30dB larger than the target return	181
Figure B.15. Effectiveness of a notch filter to remove in-band interference.....	182
Figure B.16: Transient interference in the time-domain in shown in (a). This can be removed by substituting zeros during the interference period as shown in (b)	183
Figure B.17: Post FFT radar spectrum for transient interference after masking by zeros	183
Figure B.18: FMICW radar signal level from a 1m ² target under different weather conditions.....	184
Figure B.19: Interference levels in an FMCW radar being illuminated by an FMICW radar	185
Figure B.20: Interference of an FMCW radar by an FMICW radar with different periods, showing blue out-of-band interference and magenta in-band interference..	185
Figure B.21: Radar interference of an FMCW radar by an FMICW radar with different sweep periods (1ms and 170µs) with the interference signal level 15dB larger than the target return.....	186
Figure C.1: Schematic block diagram showing the major components of a typical non-coherent pulsed millimetre-wave radar system.....	188
Figure C.2: Cut-away cross-sections of typical millimetre-wave oscillator circuits [20]	188
Figure C.3: Simplified schematic of the programmable current source and the test setup used to measure the characteristics of a pulsed IMPATT oscillator	189
Figure C.4: Pulsed IMPATT oscillator showing (a) large chirp spectrum 100MHz/Div. and (b) small chirp spectrum 20MHz/Div [11].	190
Figure C.5: Y-junction circulators	190
Figure C.6: Horn-lens antenna	191
Figure C.7: State of the art in CW Gunn and IMPATT power [20]	193
Figure C.8: Passive matched (bandpass) filter implementation for a pulsed millimetre-wave radar system (a) schematic diagram and (b) calculated transfer function	194
Figure C.9: Measured calibration transfer function for the pulsed millimetre-wave radar receiver chain from after the mixer to the range gate sum channel output.....	195
Figure C.10: Comparison between measured and predicted received power at the output of the mixer for a pulsed millimetre-wave radar system illuminating different RCS corner-reflectors	196
Figure C.11: Receiver schematic used for the calculation of noise figure	196

Figure C.12: Millimetre wave radar test site at a range of 350m and a grazing angle of 23° to the target vehicle (a) Photograph and (b) beamwidth-limited radar image.....	198
Figure C.13: Determining the slope of the terrain by conically scanning the pulsed millimetre-wave radar and measuring the range to the surface	199
Figure D.1: FMCW radar block diagram and signals.....	201
Figure E.1: Conceptual diagram showing the effect of chirp non-linearity on the beat frequency output of an FMCW radar.....	208
Figure E.2: Measured tuning characteristic of a typical millimetre-wave Gunn VCO	209
Figure E.3: Geometric construction to illustrate the process of open-loop linearization correction achieved by mapping from the nonlinear function $fa(v)$ to the linear function $fb(v)$	210
Figure E.4: Open-loop linearization results showing (a) nonlinear tuning voltage compared to its linear counterpart and (b) unaltered and linearised output frequency	210
Figure E.5: Schematic block diagram of the closed-loop chirp linearization technique showing both centre frequency control and slope linearization loops.....	211
Figure E.6: Measured delay-line discriminator output spectra (a) unlinearised chirp and (b) linearised chirp	212
Figure E.7: Effect of optimising the chirp bandwidth on the range resolution of a non linearised FMCW radar illuminating a target at 500m shows that the best performance is obtained for a bandwidth of about 15MHz	214
Figure E.8: Range resolution of an FMCW radar as a function of range showing the contributions of the chirp bandwidth and linearity	214
Figure F.1: Gains for a number of common weighting functions.....	216
Figure F.2: Normalised amplitude spectra for finite-duration constant-frequency signals weighted by different weighting functions showing the differences in the peak spectral width (range resolution) and the sidelobe levels	216
Figure F.3: Transmitter signal leakage paths in an FMCW front-end.....	217
Figure F.4: Characteristic phase-noise spectrum out of an oscillator showing the relationship between the SSB noise spectral density and the offset from the carrier	218
Figure F.5: Phase-noise levels at the FMCW radar mixer output due to leakage with path length differences as a parameter.....	219
Figure F.6: Comparison between phase-noise and thermal noise at the mixer output of a typical FMCW radar	220
Figure F.7: Phase-noise around a target return at a range of 100m compared to the phase-noise from a standard W-band Gunn oscillator.....	221
Figure F.8: Phase-noise and integrated phase-noise in each 1kHz wide range-bin around a target at a range of 100m.....	221
Figure F.9: Aerial photograph (a) and range-gate limited radar image showing the bright radial lines generated by the phase-noise signal around large RCS targets	222
Figure F.10: Quantiser (a) characteristic with rounding (b) probability distribution	224
Figure F.11: The effect of noise and quantisation on the extraction of signals at low SNR is shown here for a quantisation level of 7, a signal magnitude of 1.414 and thermal noise variance of 1.	225

Figure G.1: IMPATT diode internal structure	227
Figure G.2: Electric field profile in an avalanching double-drift IMPATT diode.....	228
Figure G.3: Drift velocities of electron and holes in silicon.....	229
Figure G.4: Waveform for an IMPATT diode.....	229
Figure G.5: Small signal admittance of a Double Drift Si IMPATT diode.....	230
Figure G.6: Equivalent Circuit of Packaged Diode	231
Figure G.7: Diode package design using diamond heat sinks for efficient heat removal and multiple strapping to adjust the series inductance.....	231
Figure G.8: Copper and diamond thermal resistance as a function of diode diameter.	232
Figure G.9: Typical oscillator configuration comprising an active device mounted within a resonant cavity and iris-coupled to a load.....	233
Figure G.10: Complex plane plot of impedance $Z(\omega)$ and $Z^*(A)$ showing the operating point of the oscillator at the intersection of the two loci	234
Figure G.11: Effect of a locking vector on the oscillator operating point	235
Figure G.12: Direction of power flow through a circulator.....	235
Figure G.13: Injection locking configuration	235
Figure G.14: Measured PILO characteristics including (a) the transmitted power as a function of the injected power and (b) the transmitted power as a function of the peak pulse-current	236
Figure G.15: PILO output spectra showing the relationship between input power and the magnitude of the spectral split for the Millitech PILO	236
Figure G.16: PILO output spectra with different injected powers for the AMS PILO show no indication of spectral splitting	237

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Radar resolution requirements for feature identification.....	7
Table 4.1: Pulsed radar performance at long range	56
Table 4.2: Reflectivity contrast analysis for Integrated FMCW images.....	73
Table 4.3: Received power balance comparison between an FMCW radar at 500m and that of an FMICW radar at 3000m indicating equivalent performance requirements. .	75
Table 6.1: Radar-image based navigation-correction results.....	128
Table A.1: Rainfall attenuation coefficients at 35 and 94GHz.....	167
Table A.2: Specific attenuation coefficients at 35 and 94GHz.....	168
Table A.3: Range of LWC for clouds and fog.....	168
Table B.1: Typical automotive radar characteristics	171
Table C.1: Measured radar signal levels for different target reflectors	195
Table F.1: Properties of some weighting functions	215

List of Acronyms

2-D	Two Dimensional
3-D	Three Dimensional
AC	Alternating Current
ACFR	Australian Centre for Field Robotics
ADC	Analog to Digital Converter
AFC	Automatic Frequency Control
AGV	Autonomous Ground Vehicle
ALG	Automatic Landing Guidance
AM	Amplitude Modulation
AR	Autoregressive
ARMA	Autoregressive Moving Average
BAW	Bulk Acoustic Wave
BPSK	Binary Phase Shift Keying
CFAR	Constant False Alarm Rate
CMU	Carnegie Mellon University
CRT	Cathode Ray Tube
CW	Continuous Wave
DAC	Digital to Analog Converter
DARPA	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
dB	Decibel
dBi	Decibel relative to isotropic
dBm	Decibel relative to one milliwatt
DBS	Doppler Beam Sharpening
dBW	Decibel relative to one watt
DC	Direct Current
DDS	Direct Digital Synthesis
DERA	Defence Evaluation and Research Agency
EIK	Extended Interaction Klystron
EM	Electro Magnetic
EMI	Electro Magnetic Interference
ENR	Excess Noise Ratio
EPROM	Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory
FET	Field Effect Transistor
FFT	Fast Fourier Transform
FM	Frequency Modulation
FMCW	Frequency Modulated Continuous Wave
FMICW	Frequency Modulated Interrupted Continuous Wave
GPS	Global Positioning System

HUD	Head Up Display
HUT	Helsinki University of Technology
I-Q	In-Phase Quadrature
ICC	Intelligent Cruise Control
IF	Intermediate Frequency
IHS	Intensity Hue Saturation
ILS	Instrument Landing System
IMPATT	Impact Avalanche Transit Time
IMU	Inertial Measurement Unit
INS	Inertial Navigation System
ILO	Injection Locked Oscillator
IPA	Integrated Phased Array
IR	Infra Red
LO	Local Oscillator
LIDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
LNA	Low Noise Amplifier
LWC	Liquid Water Content
MOPA	Master Oscillator Power Amplifier
MUSIC	Multiple Signal Classification
mW	Milliwatt
MW	Megawatt
NF	Noise Figure or Noise Factor
PCM	Pulse Coded Modulation
P_d	Probability of Detection
PDF	Probability Density Function
P_{fa}	Probability of False Alarm
PILO	Pulsed Injection Locked Oscillator
PIN	Positive Intrinsic Negative
PLL	Phase-Locked Loop
PPI	Plan Position Indicator
RCS	Radar Cross Section
RF	Radio Frequency
RMS	Root Mean Square
Rx	Receive(r)
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SAW	Surface Acoustic Wave
SCR	Signal to Clutter Ratio
SDLA	Successive Detection Log Amplifier
SNR	Signal to Noise Ratio
SVTD	Synthetic Vision Technology Demonstrator
TUM	Technische Universität München
Tx	Transmit(ter)

UAV	Unmanned Airborne Vehicle
UHF	Ultra High Frequency
VCO	Voltage Controlled Oscillator
YIG	Yttrium Iron Garnet