

Ocean Technology Group Department of Civil Engineering University of Sydney

Ocean Colour Remote Sensing of Flood Plumes in the Great Barrier Reef

Lioudmila Ametistova

A thesis submitted for the degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

September 2004

ABSTRACT

The objective of the research reported in this thesis was to develop a technique to monitor the dynamics of sediments and nutrients entering the coastal ocean with river plumes associated with high intensity low frequency events (e.g. floods), using ocean colour remote sensing. To achieve this objective, an inverse bio-optical model was developed, based on analytical and empirical relationships between concentrations of optically significant substances and remote sensing of water-leaving radiance. The model determines concentrations of water-colouring substances such as chlorophyll, suspended sediments, and coloured dissolved organic matter, as well as the values of optical parameters using water-leaving radiances derived from the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS). To solve atmospheric correction in coastal waters, the aerosol type over clear waters is transferred to adjacent turbid water pixels.

The vicinity of the Herbert River, central Great Barrier Reef zone, Australia, was used as a case study for the application of the algorithm developed. The satellite ocean colour technique was successfully validated using sea-truth measurements of water-colouring constituents acquired in the area during various seasons throughout 2002-2004. A high correlation between chlorophyll and dissolved organic matter was found in the coastal waters of the region, and when the bio-optical model was constrained to make chlorophyll a function of dissolved organic matter, the relationship between in situ and satellite-derived data was substantially improved. With reliable retrieval of the major water-colouring constituents, the technique was subsequently applied to study fluxes of particulate and dissolved organic and inorganic matter following a flood event in the Herbert River during the austral summer of 1999.

Extensive field observations covering a seasonal flood in the Herbert River in February 2004 revealed high sediment and nutrient exports from the river to the adjacent coastal waters during the flood event. Due to rapid settling, the bulk of the sediment-rich influx was deposited close inshore, while the majority of nutrients exported from the river were consumed by phytoplankton in a relatively small area of the coastal ocean. With the help of ocean colour remote sensing, it was demonstrated that river-borne sediments and nutrients discharged by a typical flood in the Herbert River are mostly precipitated or consumed within the first 20 km from the coast and therefore are unlikely to reach and possibly affect the midshelf coral reefs of this section of the Great Barrier Reef lagoon.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research reported in this thesis was made possible by the award of an International Postgraduate Research Scholarship, and this financial assistance is gratefully acknowledged. ARC Discovery Grant DP0209275 awarded to Mal Heron and Ian SF Jones to study the impacts of river nutrients on the GBR lagoon allowed comprehensive research expeditions to be conducted in the Herbert River area.

The author has received generous scientific and technical support from many individuals throughout the duration of her study. Thanks are due to Miles Furnas for fruitful discussions on GBR coastal biogeochemistry; Craig Steinberg for showing me over the Townville SeaWiFS receiving station; Mike Mahoney for retrieving missing SeaWiFS data; Oleg Kopelevich for useful discussions on bio-optical issues; Mark Rubens for invaluable help in setting up SEADAS; Ross Baker and others at Geotechnical Lab for helping with field trip preparations; Arnstein Pritz for taking aerial photos; Victor Taylor and Ewa Kwiatkowski for reviewing SeaWiFS-related drafts of the manuscript; Kadija Oubelkheir for reviewing the section on the bio-optical model description. I also express my sincere gratitude to all those researchers who replyied promptly to my numerous questions via e-mail and Internet.

I am indebted to my supervisor Professor Ian Jones for his spiritual generosity, rare intelligence and wise counsel. Without him this experience would not have been such a powerful personal journey.

In particular I acknowledge Sally Hill for her kindness and perfect solutions of administrative problems for which I am extremely grateful. I would like to extend thanks also to Matt Fischer who provided much valuable assistance with statistical analyses and for his eternal willingness to help; Raymond Davis for creating a home for me in Australia; Peter Venables for being an ideal skipper of Challenger; Sydney Self-Defence Centre for keeping me fit and focused; Sydney University Symphonic Orchestra for tuning me up; and Australia for being such a hospitable and cosmopolitan country. Finally, I would like to thank my parents who provided impetus and inspiration throughout my academic career. This work is dedicated to them.

CONTENTS

Chapter 1. SETTING THE PROBLEM	1
 1.1. Great Barrier Reef health status 1.2. Effects of nutrient and sediment on corals 1.3. Human alterations to river discharge 1.4. Need to monitor 1.5. Satellite remote sensing 1.6. Study area 1.7. Research questions and objectives of the study 1.8. Thesis structure 	3 3 7 7 9 10 13 14
Chapter 2. BIO-OPTICAL MODEL	15
2.1. HISTORICAL OVERVIEW OF BIO-OPTICAL MODELLING	17
2.1.1. Forward and inverse problems in ocean optics2.1.2. Algorithm evolution2.1.3. Semi-analytical inverse models of case II waters2.1.4. Future of bio-optical modelling	17 18 20 22
2.2. BIO-OPTICAL MODEL DESCRIPTION	23
 2.2.1. SeaWiFS water-leaving radiance 2.2.2. Apparent and inherent optical properties 2.2.3. Absorption of phytoplankton 2.2.4. Backscatter of phytoplankton 2.2.5. Coloured dissolved organic matter 2.2.6. Inherent optical properties of suspended sediments 2.2.7. Bottom reflection 2.2.8. Assumptions of the model 2.2.9. Inversion of the bio-optical model 	23 24 27 28 29 31 34 35 38
2.3. MODEL SENSITIVITY	41
2.3.1. Coastal ocean scenarios2.3.2. Forward model sensitivity to water colouring constituents2.3.3. Forward model sensitivity to model parameters2.3.4. Inverse model sensitivity to input water-leaving radiance	41 42 43 46
Chapter 3. MODEL APPLICABILITY	51
3.1. DATA COLLECTION	53
3.1.1. Field trip overview3.1.2. Methodology3.1.3. Implications for remote sensing	53 54 66
3.2. MODEL VALIDATION	75
3.2.1. SeaWiFS atmospheric correction background3.2.2. Atmospheric correction modification procedure3.2.3. Application of modified atmospheric correction to validation stations3.2.4. Validation analysis	75 78 79 84

3.3. APPLICATION OF THE OCEAN COLOUR REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUE TO A FLOOD EVENT IN THE HERBERT RIVER	95
3.3.1. Flood plumes3.3.2. 1999 flood event3.3.3. Conclusion	95 96 102
Chapter 4. BEYOND SEAWIFS	107
4.1. FLOOD-MEDIATED TRANSPORT FROM THE HERBERT RIVER TO THE COASTAL OCEAN	109
 4.1.1. Introduction 4.1.2. Data overview 4.1.3. Herbert River hydraulic regimes 4.1.4. Discharge rate versus water properties relationships 4.1.5. Sediment dynamics in the coastal ocean 4.1.6. Sediment and nutrient export 4.1.7. Conclusion 	109 110 114 116 117 120 121
Chapter 5. CONCLUSIONS	123
5.1. MAJOR FINDINGS OF THE PRESENT STUDY	125
5.1.1. Research question 1: Is satellite remote sensing suitable for studying flood plumes?	125
 5.1.2. Research question 2: Can SeaWiFS be used in coastal waters? 5.1.3. Research question 3: What is the fate of biogeochemical substances of Herbert River plumes in the coastal ocean? 5.1.4. Research question 4: Do riverine sediments and nutrients reach coral reefs? 	126 126 128
5.2. ISSUES RAISED BY THE PRESENT STUDY	129
5.3. WHERE TO GO NEXT	132
5.3.1. MERIS potential5.3.2. Numerical modelling5.3.3. Linking science and management	132 133 134
5.4. SUMMARY	135
REFERENCES	137
APPENDICES APPENDIX 1. List of abbreviations APPENDIX 2. List of symbols APPENDIX 3. Lucinda experiment plan, 8-21 February 2004 APPENDIX 4. Field data for expeditions in the Lucinda region in 2002-2004 APPENDIX 5. Atmospheric correction modification procedure	151 153 155 157 163 185