Exploring the use of a web-based virtual patient to support learning through reflection

By

DOUGLAS WILLIAM CHESHER

A thesis submitted for the award of Doctor of Philosophy

in

The Department of Pathology

The University of Sydney

Sydney, Australia

2004

Preface

This submission is my own work, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it contains no material previously published nor material which to a substantial extent has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma of a university or other institute of higher learning, except where due acknowledgment is made in the text.

In 2001 and 2002, parts of this project, including the question and answer, and the review interfaces, formed the focus for a number of group projects by computer science students from the University of Sydney. The design concepts and software developed by one group, limited to the chart review component, form part of the software described in this thesis, and was developed collaboratively with the author. The members of that group were Anthony Dang, Mathew Attlee, Steve Edmondson, and David Tanner.

Approval for the study involving medical students in 2003 was obtained from the University of Sydney Human Research Ethics Committee, as well as the Graduate Medical Program Research Committee

Publications and presentations arising from this work are listed below.

Chesher, D, Kay J and King N. (2003). A Web-Based Virtual Patient to Support Reflective Learning. Bull, S, Brna P and Dimitrova V (eds). Proceedings of Learner Modelling for Reflection Workshop. Volume V of the AIED2003 Supplementary Proceedings. 209-218.

Chesher, D, Kay J and King N. (2002). Teaching system for management of chronic illness, Proceedings of Adaptive Hypermedia 2002 Workshop on Adaptive Systems for Web-Based Education. 143-149. http://www.lcc.uma.es/~eva/WASWBE/main.html

Chesher, D, Kay J and King N. (2002). A Web-based Medical Case Simulation for Continuing Professional Education Proceedings of ITS Workshop: Individual and group modelling methods that help learners understand themselves. 26-31.

Acknowledgements

This body of work could not have been undertaken without the help of a large number of people. Firstly, I would like to acknowledge the guidance and assistance I have received from my supervisors Associate Professor Nicholas King, Associate Professor Judy Kay, and Associate Professor Jill Gordon. This work would never have been commenced without the inspiration from Associate Professor King. Without the support, encouragement, and deadlines provided by Associate Professor Kay, this work would never have been completed.

Secondly, I would like to thank all those undergraduate computer science students who have contributed to the project. In particular, I must thank Anthony Dang, Mathew Attlee, Steve Edmondson, and David Tanner for their contributions to the design and coding of the chart review components of the software.

Thirdly, I would like to acknowledge and thank Professor Leslie Burnett, and Dr Dick Groot-Obbink from Pacific Laboratory Medicine Services for enabling me to take time off from work to undertake this project.

Fourthly, I must thank all those clinicians and medical students who were involved in the design, and evaluation of the software for this project, in particular, Dr Robert Loblay and Dr Velencia Soutter.

Lastly, and most importantly, I would like to acknowledge the support I have received from my wife and family. With special thanks to Victoria for her patience and understanding.

Abstract

This thesis explores the support of learning through reflection, in the context of medical students and practitioners, working through a series of simulated consultations involving the diagnosis and management of chronic illness.

A model of the medical consultative process was defined, on which a web-based patient simulation was developed. This simulation can be accessed over the Internet using commonly available web-browsers. It enables users to interact with a virtual patient by taking a history, examining the patient, requesting and reviewing investigations, and choosing appropriate management strategies. The virtual patient can be reviewed over a number of consultations, and the patient outcome is dependant on the management strategy selected by the user.

A second model was also developed, that adds a layer of reflection over the consultative process. While interacting with the virtual patient users are asked to formulate and test their hypotheses. Simple tools are included to encourage users to record their observations and thoughts for further learning, as well as providing links to web-based library resources. At the end of each consultation, users are asked to review their actions and indicate whether they think their actions were critical, relevant, or not relevant to the diagnosis and management of the patient in light of their current knowledge. Users also have the opportunity to compare their activity to their peers or an expert in the case under study.

Three formal cycles of evaluation were undertaken during the design and development of the software. A number of clinicians were involved in the initial design to ensure there was an appropriate structure that matched clinical practice. Formative evaluation was conducted to review the usability of the application, and based on user feedback a number of changes were made to the user interface and structure of the application. A third, end user, evaluation was undertaken using a single case concerning the diagnosis and management of hypertriglyceridaemia in the context of Type 1B Glycogen Storage Disease. This evaluation involved ten medical students, five general practitioners and two specialists. The evaluation involved observation using a simplified think-aloud, as well as administration of a questionnaire.

Users were engaged by the simulation, and were able to use the application with only a short period of training. Usability issues still exist with respect to the processing of natural language input, especially when asking questions of the virtual patient. Until such time that natural language recognition is able to provide satisfactory performance, alternative, list-based, methods of interaction will be required.

Evaluation involving medical students, general practitioners, and specialist medical practitioners demonstrated that reflection can be supported and encouraged by providing appropriate tools, as well as by judiciously interrupting the consultative process and providing time for reflection to take place. Reflection could have been further enhanced if users had been educated on reflection as a learning modality prior to using SIMPRAC. Further work is also required to improve the simulation environment, improve the interfaces for supporting reflection, and further define the benefits of using this approach for medical education and professional development with respect to learning outcomes and behavioural change.

Abbreviations

CBX Computer Based Examination

CKMB MB fraction of Creatine Kinase

CT Computerized Tomography

GSD Glycogen Storage Disease

HDL High Density Lipoprotein

HMG CoA 3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl Co-enzyme A

JRE Java Runtime Environment

JSDK Java Software Development Kit

LDL Low Density Lipoprotein

LFT Liver Function Tests

MAUT Multi-Attribute Utility Theory

NBME National Board of Medical Examiners

PBL Problem Based Learning

TFT Thyroid Function Tests

Contents

Preface.		ii
Acknow	ledgements	iv
Abstract	¢	<i>v</i>
Abbrevio	ations	vii
Contents	s	viii
Chapter	1 Introduction	x
1.1	Medical Problem Solving	xi
1.2	Learning through reflection	
1.3	Simulated medical learning environments	
1.4	Reflection and the consultation process	
1.5	Contributions	
1.6	Thesis Structure	
Chapter		
2.1	Problem-Based Learning	30
2.2	Patient Simulations	
2.3	User Modelling for Reflection	
2.4	Software Evaluation	
Chapter		
3.1	Login and Case Selection	40
3.1	Patient Interaction	
3.3	Review	
Chapter	•	
4.1	User Model	
4.2	Disease Model	
4.3	Ancillary Functions	
4.4	Implementation	93
Chapter	5 Formative Evaluation	94
5.1	Design	94
5.2	Methods	
5.3	Evaluation Cycle One	101
5.4	Development Evaluation Cycle Two	109
5.5	Summary	
Chapter	6 End-User Evaluation	116
6.1	Users	117
6.2	Methods	
6.3	Results	
Chapter		
7.1	SIMPRAC as an authentic activity	
7.2	SIMPRAC: Feedback and Reflection	
7.3	The role of SIMPRAC	213
Chapter	8 Conclusions and Further Work	220

Appendix A	Database Schema	234
Appendix B	Example User Model	243
Appendix C	Evaluation Questionnaire	250
Appendix D	Participant Information Sheet	255
Appendix E	Consent Form	257
Appendix F	Example Think-Aloud - User A	258
Appendix G	Example Think-Aloud – ST06	263
Appendix H	Type 1 Glycogen Storage Disease Information-Sheet	270
Appendix I	Questionnaire Responses	275
Appendix J	Available management options	285
Appendix K	User Question and Action Summary	286
References		288