Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Park, Vikki and Ritzema, Jenny (2015) Research: How does it affect practice? In: British Association of Critical Care Nurses Northern Regional Study Event, 13 May 2015, Durham.

URL:

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/22481/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

www.northumbria.ac.uk/nrl





Research: How does it affect Practice?

Vikki Park

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Health & Life Science
Northumbria University



Jenny Ritzema

Research Sister Critical Care Department Queen Elizabeth Hospital





- * The importance of research: past, present and future
- Types of Research
- Clinical Trials
- Using research findings in practice

Importance of Research: Past, Present & Future

RESEARCH THROUGH THE YEARS



1798 First Successful Vaccine

developed by Edward Jenner and introduced to combat Smallpox.



WHO certified the eradication of Small in 1979. Today

many vaccines are now available

including MMR, BCG, Meningitis, HPV, Hep B & Flu.



1928 Discovery of Antibiotics

Alexander Fleming was the first to suggest that the Penicillium mould must secrete an antibacterial substance, and the first to concentrate the active substance which he named penicillin.



300 million prescriptions for antibiotics issued in the US. Over 100 different antibiotics available to cure minor, as well as life threatening infections.



1978 IVF

The pioneers of this
historical landmark were
two British doctors, Dr.
Robert Edwards and Patrick
Stepbe who materialised the
test tube baby after ten
years of hard research.



Over **5 million** IVF babies born world wide.

Importance of Research: Past, Present & Future

QUESTIONS raised about research?

What is research?

Who does research?

Why do research?

When should you do research?

Is it hard to do?

How long does it take?

Will it make a difference?

Where can I find out more about research?

- Definition:
- "Research is the systematic and rigorous process of enquiry which aims to described phenomena and to develop and test explanatory concepts and theories. Ultimately it aims to contribute to a scientific body of knowledge. More specifically... it aims to improve health, health outcomes and health services."

Bowling (2009, p.1)

Evidence Based Practice

Clinical Trials Qualitative research study Cohort Studies

Systematic Reviews Case Control Studies

Meta-analysis Editorials Expert Opinion

Case Reports RCT's – Randomised Control Trials

The Evidence Base Hierarchy





Inter-Professional Learning in Adult Critical Care

Vikki Park



References:

Cruz, E.V. and Higginbottom, G. (2013) The use of Socialed etimography in nursing research Murse Researcher 20 (4) pp 36-43

Hammersley, M. and Abbraon, P. (1997) Ethiography: Principles in Practice 2rd edn. Routledge

Reeves, S., Zwarenstein, M., Goldman, J., Barr, H., Freeth, D., Hammick, M., and Koppel, I. (2009) Interprofessional education, effects on professional practice and health care outcomes (Review) The Cochrane Colleboration Issue 1 The Cochrare Library

Resves, S., Kuper, A., and Hodges, B.D. (2008) Qualitative research methodologies: ethnography 6/8/an Medical Journal 337 (7688) pp.512-514

Rothschild, J.M.; Landrigen, C.P.; Oronin, J.W.; Kaushel, R.; Lockley, S.W.; Burdick, E.; Stone, P.H.; Lilly, C.M.; Ketz, J.T. Contains, C.A. and States, C.W (2005) The Orbical Care Safety Study. The incidence and nature of adverse events and serious medical errors in intensive care? Critical Care Medicine 33 (8) pp. 1894-1700

Research title

An ethnographic study of the inter-Professional Learning culture of NHS staff within the adult critical care clinical setting.

Background

Critical care is acknowledged as a complex and fast-paced care environment (Rothschild et al. 2005). The intensive level of patient care results in frequent Interactions between different professional groups, therefore potentially increasing opportunity for collaborative practice and inter-Professional Learning (IPL) to occur in this particular clinical setting. A body of evidence is accumulating to support the potential benefits to patients, staff and organisations as a result of Inter-Professional Learning through Interprofessional education and collaborative practice (Reeves et al. 2009). However, research into Inter-Professional Learning within the specific area of critical care is limited. My research aims to explore this further.

For the purpose of this study IPL is defined as:

Learning which happens between different occupational groups through the collaborative sharing of expertise, knowledge and experience.

Aim

Research Design

To understand inter-Professional Learning occurring within the specific culture of adult critical care.

- To develop a rich description of the Inter-Professional Learning culture in adult critical care clinical practice.
- To understand in-depth critical care practitioners' perceptions and experiences of inter-Professional Learning within adult critical care clinical practice.
- To identify which factors are perceived to promote or inhibit effective inter-Professional Learning.

Methodology

A naturalistic qualitative approach will be adopted using ethnography to observe the interprofessional interactions of NHS critical care staff which may present learning opportunities within their natural setting, and in their 'natural state' (Hammersley and Atkinson 1997).

"Ethnographic research aims to provide rich, holistic insights into people's views and actions as well as the nature of the location they inhabit through the collection of detailed observations and interviews (Reeves et al. 2008 p.512).*

Focused ethnography has been chosen, also known as micro-ethnography, to focus upon one distinct issue within a culture in specific settings (Cruz and Higginbottom 2013). Using focused ethnography the distinct issue of IPL will therefore be explored specifically in adult critical care settings.

Method

 Stage t. Partial-participant observation Sample: Three adult NHS critical care units Sample:

All professionals within the environment

n= 4-12 per critical care department 4 occupational groups: Nurse, Doctor, Health Care Assistant, Physiotherapist

Observations spanning 4 months per unit ... Duration: Duration:

Individual interviews = 1 hour

Coming to a Critical Care Unit near you?

It is proposed the research will take place within three units within the North of England and the research is currently undergoing stages of ethical approval.



Research in Critical Care?

- Many Current trials occur in Critical Care
- Below: Trial data taken from the CRN portfolio on the 1st May 2015

Export to Excel	Study Status			
<u>Subtopic</u>	In set-up	Recruiting	Closed / Suspended	All
<u>CriticalCare</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>139</u>	200

The grid above shows current activity in the portfolio and may not list every Subtopic.

- * Examples from practice:
- Current
- VAP2 Rapid detection and treatment of Ventilator-Associated
 Pneumonia towards antibiotic stewardship.
- Previous
- Oscar: Oscillation ventilation in ARDS
- SPOT(light): Sepsis Pathophysiological & Organisational Timing
- ProMISe: **Pro**tocolised **M**anagement **i**n **Se**psis
- GRiP: Does GM-CSF restore neutrophil function in critically ill patients?



What is ProMISe?

A multi-centre, randomised controlled trial of the clinical and cost-effectiveness of early, goaldirected, protocolised resuscitation for emerging septic shock

An important, collaborative, NIHR-funded research effort between emergency, acute and critical care medicine

Primary objectives

- To estimate the effect of early, goal-directed, protocolised resuscitation compared with usual resuscitation on mortality at 90-days.
- To compare the incremental cost-effectiveness, at one year of early, goal-directed, protocolised resuscitation versus usual resuscitation.




Understanding Clinical Trials

International Clinical Trials day – 20th May.

"International Clinical Trials Day is celebrated around the world on or near the 20 May each year, to commemorate the day that James Lind started his famous trial on the deadly disease scurvy. It provides a focal point to raise awareness of the importance of research to health care, and highlights how partnerships between patients and healthcare practitioners are vital to high-quality, relevant research."
NIHR (2014)

The Chocolate trial was designed
to raise understanding of
clinical trials during a previous International
Clinical Trials Day event.





Instructions
Would you like to volunteer for the chocolate trial?
• n= 6-10
Review the "Participant Information Sheet"
Are you eligible to take part in the study?
Do you consent to take part?

Participant Information Sheet

We would like to invite you to take part in our research study, "Chocolate".

Before you decide to take part we would like you to understand why the research is being done and what it would involve for you.

What is the purpose of the study?

Chocolate is delicious treat to enjoy and satisfy hunger at any time, but it could be that the addition of a little flavour will make it even more satisfying.

We are holding a trial today to see if participants find the new chocolate and flavour more satisfying compared to the standard chocolate flavour.

Eligibility

To be eligible to take part in the trial you will need to be willing to eat the piece of chocolate and provide feedback. You will not be able to take part if you don't like chocolate, are lactose intolerant, or suffer from any food allergies.

N.B. THE INGREDIENT LISTS FOR BOTH PRODUCTS ARE AVAILABLE ON REQUEST.

What will happen to me if I take part?

If you have agreed to take part:

You will be randomly allocated to receive either a piece of standard chocolate or new chocolate. You have an equal chance of getting either.


You will be asked to eat your chocolate straight away and not save it for later.

You will then be asked to provide feedback on the chocolate eaten.

Do I have to take part?

Taking part in the trial is entirely voluntary and you may withdraw your consent at any point without giving a reason.

Thank you for taking the time to read this sheet.



Stage	Instructions	
Allocation	Participants are randomised to one of two arms of the trial.	
	Pick a piece of paper from the bag	
	You will be given either chocolate 1 or chocolate 2 corresponding to the number drawn.	

Key points for discussion

- Randomisation is important to this study.
- The experimental arm is the treatment being tested, and the control arm is either a placebo or the best current treatment for the condition (as in this case).
- In a real trial blinding is important. If possible neither the participant or the study team should know who has been given the experimental treatment and who is in the control/-placebo arm.
- In emergencies the study can be un-blinded.

Follow-Up

Once you have eaten your chocolate (taken your treatment) please feedback.



Chocolate Trial Feedback Sheet

Please put a circle round the statement that best applies to you today.

Which chocolate did you eat today?

Option One

Option Two

Now that you have eaten your chocolate - do you feel hungry?

Very Hungry

A Bit Hungry

Ok

Not Hungry At All

Have you learnt more about taking part in a clinical trial?


Not At All

A Little Bit

Quite A Lot

A Lot

Thank you for taking part in the Chocolate Trial




Analysis

- Data will now be analysed.
- Results will be published and disseminated.
-?

Quantitative



Qualitative



Mixed Methods

A Randomised Control Trial

· Volunteers invited to take part in the Eligibility Enrolment · Do they meet all the inclusion and exclusion critiera? Can they give informed consent? Ineligible Patient information sheet for study or · Enrolled onto study did not give consent · Volunteers are randomised to either the experimental arm (the new Randomisation Allocation drug or intervention being tested) or the control arm (the best current treatment or a placebo). · Treatment should be blinded where possible, so that neither the volunteer or the study team know what treatment has been given. · The volunteers receive the Experimental Control treatment they have been Follow-Up Arm Arm randomised to. . The results of the study are analysed Follow Up Analysis to work out which treatment. worked better and whethe the treatment is safe. The results are then published.



What is ProMISe?

A multi-centre, randomised controlled trial of the clinical and cost-effectiveness of early, goaldirected, protocolised resuscitation for emerging septic shock

An important, collaborative, NIHR-funded research effort between emergency, acute and critical care medicine

Primary objectives

- To estimate the effect of early, goal-directed, protocolised resuscitation compared with usual resuscitation on mortality at 90-days.
- To compare the incremental cost-effectiveness, at one year of early, goal-directed, protocolised resuscitation versus usual resuscitation.





(Protocolised Management In Sepsis)

What is ProMISe?

A multi-centre, randomised controlled trial of the clinical and cost-effectiveness of early, goal-directed, protocolised resuscitation for emerging septic shock

An important, collaborative, NIHR-funded research effort between emergency, acute and critical care medicine

Screening/Eligibility

Eligibility needs to be confirmed as soon as possible. The following four inclusion criteria must be met, at any time, in any order, and just once and within six hours from presentation at the emergency department:

- suspected or confirmed infection;
- two or more SIRS criteria;
- evidence of refractory hypotension or hypoperfusion;
- IV antimicrobials commenced.

Primary objectives

- To estimate the effect of early, goal-directed, protocolised resuscitation compared with usual resuscitation on mortality at 90-days.
- To compare the incremental cost-effectiveness, at one year of early, goal-directed, protocolised resuscitation versus usual resuscitation.

Randomisation

As soon as eligibility criteria are met, consent and randomisation should be completed within two hours.

Following randomisation, early, goal-directed, protocolised resuscitation commence as soon as possible or usual resuscitation continues as directed by the treating clinician(s).



Timeline

Patient presents at ED - fulfils eligibility (within 6 hours) Screening Logs kept Patient randomised (within 2 hours) 0 hours Early, goal-directed, protocolised resuscitation initiated (duration 6 Within 1 hour hours) or usual resuscitation 6-72 hours Assessment – physiology/intervention Safety monitoring 30 days Survival assessment 90 days Quality of life/resource use and costs assessment Survival assessment 1 year Quality of life/resource use and costs assessment Lifetime incremental cost-effectiveness

Results ???

Results

QE Hospital: 90 day mortality: 29.5% in treatment arm compared to 29.2% in control arm.

Essentially NO difference!

Q. But could there really be?!

- * Some of the problems experienced with recruitment.
- A&E not referring every patient that met the criteria
- Timeliness of referrals (missed recruitment)
- Bed pressures within Critical Care

What happens next?

The impact on practice:

Changes to policies, procedures and the body of knowledge/Evidence Based Practice

Future research:

Build on knowledge gained, develop/test theories, try new interventions, combine findings.

What happens next?

The impact on practice:

Changes to policies, procedures and the body of knowledge/Evidence Based Practice

Future research:

Build on knowledge gained, develop/test theories, try new interventions, combine findings.





The importance of research: past, present and future

- Research informs and guides future practises

Types of Research

 There are many different types of research and the research design should fit the research question

Clinical Trials

 Data from clinical trials are considered to be of high quality and often lead to direct influences on practice

Using research findings in practice

 Research influences clinical practice in a variety of ways. Ranging from using EBP to taking new approaches to care.

13th May 2015



Northern Regional Study Day

- Bowling, A. (2009) Research Methods in Health Investigating health and health services 3rd
 edn. McGraw Hill Open University Press p.1
- Dooley, C. and Ritzema, J. (2014) Improvement: Research Through the Years [Poster]
 Exhibited at: Gateshead Nursing & Midwifery Conference date 2014
- ICNARC (2014) ProMISe Information Sheet available at: https://www.icnarc.org/Our-Research/Studies/Promise/About Accessed on: 12.5.2015
- Mouncey, P.R. et al. (2015) Trial of Early, Goal-Directed Resuscitation for Septic Shock New England Journal of Medicine 372 pp.1301-1311
- NIHR (2014) *International Clinical Trials Day* Available at: http://www.nihr.ac.uk/get-involved/international-clinical-trials-day.htm Accessed on: 01.05.2015

Additional resources:

- Clinical Research Network http://www.crn.nihr.ac.uk/
- ICNARC Publications https://www.icnarc.org/Our-Research/Studies/Promise/Publications