Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Mathematical and Statistical Science Faculty Research and Publications Mathematical and Statistical Science, Department of

2019

Mathematical Modeling and Classroom Discourse: A Case for Modeling-specific Discussion Strategies

Ashley Dorlack Marquette University

Hyunyi Jung Marquette University, hyunyi.jung@marquette.edu

Sarah Brand Marquette University

Samuel Franklin Gailliot Marquette University

Follow this and additional works at: https://epublications.marquette.edu/math_fac

Part of the Mathematics Commons

Recommended Citation

Dorlack, Ashley; Jung, Hyunyi; Brand, Sarah; and Gailliot, Samuel Franklin, "Mathematical Modeling and Classroom Discourse: A Case for Modeling-specific Discussion Strategies" (2019). *Mathematical and Statistical Science Faculty Research and Publications*. 44. https://epublications.marquette.edu/math_fac/44

MATHEMATICAL MODELING AND CLASSROOM DISCOURSE: A CASE FOR MODELING-SPECIFIC DISCUSSION STRATEGIES

Sarah Brand Marquette University sarah.brand@marquette.edu <u>Hyunyi Jung</u> Marquette University hyunyi.jung@marquette.edu

Ashley Dorlack Samuel Gailliot Marquette University Marquette University ashley.dorlack@marquette.edu samuel.gailliot@marquette.edu

Keywords: Mathematical Modeling, Classroom Discourse, Instructional Activities and Practices

Mathematical modeling has become increasingly pertinent to mathematics education due to its benefits on student learning and engagement (e.g., Blum & Niss, 1991; Lesh, Hoover, Hole, Kelly, & Post, 2000; Zbiek & Conner, 2006). One integral aspect of mathematical modeling is mathematical discourse (Lesh et al., 2000), which activates and builds students' background knowledge (Henningsen & Stein, 1997). Productive mathematical discourse promotes the sharing of ideas, expands upon student thinking, clarifies understandings, and fosters credible argumentation (Smith, Steele, & Raith, 2017). While research has been sufficiently conducted on classroom discourse (Boaler & Brodie, 2004; Chapin & O'Connor, 2007; Chapin, O'Connor, & Anderson, 2003; Reinhart, 2000), little is known about discourse and teacher discussion strategies unique to mathematical modeling. This study aims to answer the question, "What discussion strategies specific to mathematical modeling promote students' diverse thinking and facilitate productive mathematical discourse associated with mathematical modeling problems?"

The study examined the implementations of three mathematical modeling activities with 21 middle-grade students. We analyzed the video transcripts of classroom interactions and students' written work using analytical-inductive methods, which included a-priori codes from literature on discourse (e.g., Chapin & O'Connor, 2007; Chapin et al., 2003; National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 2014) and new codes for mathematical discourse developed using the constant comparative method (Glaser, 1965).

Preliminary Findings

Analyses and coding of the lesson transcripts demonstrated a toolkit of discussion strategies which harnessed the unique aspects of mathematical modeling. Teachers facilitated discourse by relevantly recontextualizing the problem, probing students' responses for assumptions, encouraging multiple perspectives, stimulating students' decision-making, emphasizing students' reasoning, promoting common language, and prompting revision of students' thinking. Students presented diverse thought processes within the mathematical discourse, as well. Specifically, analysis of students' written solutions and the transcript showed diversity in students' mathematical approaches, which resulted in manifold, valid solutions. Further, the use of these strategies generated productive mathematical discourse; that is, students shared their ideas, expanded on each other's thinking, formed convincing arguments, and clarified understandings. In our session, we will present how productive discourse can be used in conjunction with authentic, open-ended tasks and report the positive student outcomes associated with discussion strategies specific to mathematical modeling.

Otten, S., Candela, A. G., de Araujo, Z., Haines, C., & Munter, C. (2019). *Proceedings of the forty-first annual meeting of the North American Chapter of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education.* St Louis, MO: University of Missouri.

References

- Blum, W., & Niss, M. (1991). Applied mathematical problem solving, modelling, applications, and links to other subjects – State, trends and issues in mathematics instruction. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 22(1), 37-68.
- Boaler, J., & Brodie, K. (2004, October). The importance, nature, and impact of teacher questions. In Proceedings of the twenty-sixth annual meeting of the North American Chapter of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education (Vol. 2, pp. 774-782).
- Chapin, S. H., & O'Connor, C. (2007). Academically productive talk: Supporting students' learning in mathematics. *The Learning of Mathematics*, 113-128.
- Chapin, S. H., O'Connor, C., & Anderson, N. C. (2003). *Classroom discussions: Using math talk to help students learn, grades 1–6.* Sausalito, CA: Math Solutions.
- Glaser, B. G. (1965). The constant comparative method of qualitative analysis. Social problems, 12(4), 436-445.
- Henningsen, M. & Stein, M. K. (1997). Mathematical tasks and student cognition: Classroom-based factors that support and inhibit high-level mathematical thinking and reasoning. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 28(5), 524–549.
- Lesh, R., Hoover, M., Hole, B., Kelly, A. & Post, T. (2000). Principles for developing thought-revealing activities for students and teachers. In R. A. Lesh, & A. Kelly (Eds.), *Handbook of research design in mathematics and science education* (pp. 591–646). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics [NCTM]. (2014). *Principles to actions: Ensuring mathematics success for all.* Reston, VA: Author.
- Reinhart, S. (2000). Never say anything a kid can say!. Mathematics teaching in the middle school, 5(8), 478-483.
- Smith, M.S., Steele, M.D., & Raith, M.L. (2017). *Taking action: Implementing effective mathematics teaching practices.* Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Zbiek, R. M., & Conner, A. (2006). Beyond motivation: Exploring mathematical modeling as a context for deepening students' understandings of curricular mathematics. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 63(1), 89-112.