

# UCC Library and UCC researchers have made this item openly available. Please let us know how this has helped you. Thanks!

Title	Using context-awareness for storage services in edge computing				
Author(s)	Pérez-Torres, Rafael; Torres-Huitzil, César; Truong, Thuy; Buckley, Donagh; Sreenan, Cormac J.				
Publication date	2021-03-31				
Original citation	Pérez-Torres, R., Torres-Huitzil, C., Truong, T., Buckley, D. and Sreenan, C. J. (2021) 'Using Context-Awareness for Storage Services in Edge Computing', IT Professional, 23(2), pp. 50-57. doi: 10.1109/MITP.2020.3043164				
Type of publication	Article (peer-reviewed)				
Link to publisher's version	https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9391745 http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MITP.2020.3043164 Access to the full text of the published version may require a subscription.				
Rights	© 2021, IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works				
Item downloaded from	http://hdl.handle.net/10468/11213				

Downloaded on 2021-11-27T16:14:06Z



University College Cork, Ireland Coláiste na hOllscoile Corcaigh

## Using Context-Awareness for Storage Services in Edge Computing

Rafael Pérez-Torres, César Torres-Huitzil, Thuy Truong, Donagh Buckley, and Cormac J. Sreenan

Abstract—Modern mobile networks face a dynamic environment with massive devices and heterogeneous service expectations that will need to significantly scale for 5G. Edge Computing approaches aim at enhancing scalability through strategies like computation offloading and local storage services, which will be fundamental to deploying large-scale distributed applications. Unlike the cloud, edge resources are limited, which calls for novel techniques to handle large volumes of up- and down-stream data under a changing environment. Being closer to data consumers and producers, a compelling view is to adopt context-aware techniques for enabling the edge to work with patterns from mobile traffic at different spatio-temporal scales. In this article we overview the challenges and opportunities of edge storage from the perspective of context-awareness. We introduce a conceptual architecture to learn and exploit context information for enhancing uplink and downlink scenarios. Finally, we outline future directions for edge applications.

Index Terms—Edge Computing, Context-awareness, Edge Storage, Internet of Things, Multimedia Delivery

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Mobile networks are shifting from an early focus on con-2 nectivity towards content-centric communications with user 3 and machine-type exchanges in a 5G world. A myriad of 4 devices will transmit data and demand services anytime, 5 anywhere, with growing expectations regarding Quality of 6 Service (QoS) and Quality of Experience (QoE). This irrup-7 tion of connected devices creates a dynamic environment 8 that will burden the capacity of mobile networks [15], [17]. 9

Edge computing aims at overcoming these issues by 10 leveraging the network's communication, computing, and 11 storage resources. Edge computing refers to the enabling 12 technologies for computation at the edge of the network, 13 operating on downstream data from cloud services and 14 upstream data on behalf of mobile devices known as user 15 equipments (UEs) [10]. The edge concept is embodied in 16 the ETSI Multi-Access Edge Computing (MEC) standard for 17 latency reduction, location awareness, real time network 18

- R. Pérez-Torres (corresponding author) and C. J. Sreenan are with the School of Computer Science & IT, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland. E-mail: {r.perez,cjs}@cs.ucc.ie
- C. Torres-Huitzil is with the Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey - Campus Puebla, School of Engineering and Sciences, Puebla, Mexico.
   E-mail: torresc@tec.mx
- T. Truong and D. Buckley are with OCTO Research and Strategy Office, Dell EMC Research Europe, Cork, Ireland.
   E-mail: {thuy.truong,donagh.buckley}@dell.com

telemetry, and energy savings across hosts [12]. MEC is a critical technology for 5G [1].

Conducted research has focused on edge computing and communications, with MEC storage assisting many of these solutions and creating innovative Edge Storage Services (ESSs) like mobile personal clouds (e.g. Dropbox) and mobile content delivery networks (*CDNs*). ESSs rely on channels for content distribution, shown in Fig. 1, and its integration with computing functionalities is required, as mere transmission speed improvements will not fulfil the demands of future information-centric networks [12].

The mobile Internet challenges ESSs due to its rich context comprising traffic bursts from Internet of Things (IoT) devices, changing wireless conditions, and users with varying routines and mobility [3]. Context refers to any information that characterises the situation of an entity [7] for decision making. Conventional storage management was not designed for this context-rich and dynamic traffic conditions. Cloud storage operates without the resource constraints and changing conditions at the edge, while edge services can benefit from local context information. Thus, we advocate the inclusion of context-awareness as a core design feature in ESSs to enable new edge applications and business models for Mobile Network Operators (MNOs) [3].

Here, we study representative case studies for the use of context-awareness in edge storage. Furthermore, our main contribution is a conceptual distributed architecture that learns and exploits context-information from mobile traffic to address several issues faced by ESSs. Finally, we outline future directions for context-awareness in ESSs.

#### 2 CASE STUDIES FOR EDGE STORAGE

Edge computing enables a wide range of downlink- and uplink-specialized ESSs (shown in Fig. 2), with multimedia delivery and IoT systems as representative cases [8]. Context-awareness, specifically mobility and content popularity, is the key to improve their decision making.

#### 2.1 Multimedia systems

Multimedia systems focus on downlink content delivery to mobile devices. Nevertheless, massive requests and the dynamic conditions of wireless channels make mobile networks struggle. Although emerging techniques address some of these issues (e.g., DASH for video streaming), 60

1

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52 53 54



(a) A mobile device requests content or offloads computing tasks to the cloud.

- (b) The content is provided by a small base station thanks to a caching strategy.(c) A multi-hop strategy to deliver content using paths different than the local small base station.
- (d) Content is delivered from another small base station by using a macro base station as intermediator, creating a virtual link.
- (e) Services are provided using Device to Device (D2D) communication, avoiding Infrastructure-based communication.

Fig. 1. Channels for computation offloading, content sharing and distribution in modern mobile network architectures (Adapted from [9]).

further QoS improvement for individuals and groups is 61 needed. For example, ESSs can employ content popularity 62 over edge servers to reduce latency by caching videos under 63 an edge CDN approach. Moreover, users-content attributes 64 like the type, location and time of content requests can 65 help to characterize mobile traffic. Group-tailored content 66 recommendations enable prefetching services at community 67 level to step up ESSs performance. Similarly, mobility-aware 68 prefetching can instruct where and when to push content to 69 maintain content hit rate and reduce traffic. 70

#### 71 2.2 IoT systems

IoT systems hold attributes that defy typical data management strategies: a) massive data, b) short and frequent
uplink transmissions in a many-to-one fashion, c) strict
latency requirements, d) data that quickly expire, and e)
devices with varying mobility.

77 For instance, Connected and Autonomous Vehicles (CAVs) feature sensors to collect data for varying purposes 78 like autonomous driving, insurance evidence, etc. Since 79 CAVs can act as distributed sensor hubs [3], it makes sense 80 to assemble them in a reverse CDN with edge caching 81 to reduce the latency and energy consumption in uplink 82 transmissions [7]. Context-awareness can contribute to lo-83 cally adjust cache techniques by exploring on the transient 84 IoT data streams using the distributed edge computing 85 resources. Yet, CAVs, as both content producers and con-86 sumers, require simultaneous uplink and downlink man-87 agement. Their density and mobility will generate dynamic 88 traffic in time and space, leading to resource congestion and 89 underuse across cells, calling for mobility-aware caching. 90

#### **3 CONTEXT-AWARENESS FOR IMPROVED EDGE STORAGE**

Unlike a centralised cloud, context information from mo-93 bile traffic can help ESSs to improve the use of resources 94 across the network [1]. As shown in Fig. 3, the UE and 95 network hosts provide different features that act as the 96 building blocks for a wide range of edge applications at 97 individual or group scales. Similarly, the traffic data avail-98 able for MNOs has personalized, real-time, multi-sensory 99 and spatio-temporal context features regarding habits like 100 transportation and social interaction [3]. Furthermore, the 101 edge has access to information regarding the quality of link 102 connections to UE. Although MNOs analyse traffic only 103 for billing and basic network management, it has potential 104 for decision making, as recently explored in WSN and IoT 105 services [7]. 106

### 3.1 The synergy between context-awareness and edge computing

The massive mobile traffic prevents cloud-centralised ap-109 proaches for context inference. Edge computing can assist 110 on this, reducing backhaul overhead through distributed 111 online data analytics and storage [7], [1]. The edge is a 112 natural fit as it promptly captures local attributes of a) app-113 level data (users and requests), b) content metadata (popu-114 larity, request times), and c) network-level data (changes in 115 wireless links and edge resources). Context resembles pic-116 tures taken by panoramic cameras for city-scale sensing [17], 117 which reveal patterns to improve ESSs performance [10]. We 118 argue that to significantly enhance resource use, the edge 119 must become intelligent through local analytics assisted by 120 global insights from the cloud. 121

#### 3.2 Spatio-temporal attributes in mobile traffic

The intertwined spatio-temporal attributes of users and content requests allow to characterise the strong temporal periodicity and geographic locality of mobile traffic [17]. Although spatio-temporal features enhance mobility and network traffic prediction, they have not been widely studied for edge resources management [15].

The spatio-temporal data can be analysed at different scales: at the edge, to detect immediate events regarding small zones and individuals, and at the cloud, focusing on long-term patterns from crowds over larger zones.

#### 3.3 Context-awareness beyond ESSs

There is an increasing interest on self-decision making in 134 mobile networks to reduce the dependency on human oper-135 ators (Knowledge-Defined Networking). Self-learning and 136 self-decision making allow enhanced performance not only 137 for ESSs but for other services in 5G networks [6]. While 138 self-learning enables the automatic inference of patterns, 139 self-operation can exploit them for adjusting to predicted 140 changes in mobile traffic and link quality. Such predictions 141 are the base for robust data management strategies like 142 link-aware caching, popularity-based caching, and mobility-143 aware prefetching. 144

91

92

107

108

122



Fig. 2. A taxonomy of use cases for ESSs. ESSs can be categorized depending on their main data traffic direction into downlink- and uplink-specialized.



Fig. 3. The features of connected entities across the hierarchy of edge systems. Each level focuses on analytics for individuals or groups according to the size of the covered area.



Fig. 4. A conceptual architecture for context-aware ESSs, including the simultaneous and incremental learning and exploitation of context information, and cooperative edge servers for information sharing and distributed control. This platform can be deployed as an edge middleware accessible through an API using Virtualized Network Function (VNF) and AI-enabled devices.

#### 145 4 THE CHALLENGES FOR DEPLOYING ESSS

Conventional techniques used by ESSs (e.g. buffering, 146 caching) come from memory management, peer-to-peer, 147 and distributed systems, which ignore the dynamics of 148 mobile Internet regarding a) the wireless medium, b) de-149 vices' mobility, c) content virality, d) uplink transmission of 150 transient data, and e) devices' capabilities. We propose the 151 context-aware and distributed architecture shown in Fig. 4 152 to address these changes and discover what, when, where, 153 154 and how to allocate content. Our event-driven architecture incrementally learns and exploits context information from 155 mobile traffic to enhance ESSs operation. Figure 4 highlights 156 the actors and issues, and the context information types 157 captured by our architecture for reacting to trends in mo-158 bile traffic. Our architecture helps to answer the previous 159 questions as follows: 160

 What?: We rely on local and global analytics for individuals and groups to detect content popularity changes in both uplink and downlink. Storing popular content reduces delivery latency and backhaul overhead. Link-aware caching helps to select the quality of cached content according to the quality of links to UE.

Where?: Our architecture can implement mobility aware prefetching using mobility information and in ter-edge feedback, allocating content at the predicted

location of users [15]. Recall that the higher in the network hierarchy the content is stored, the more users it serves at the cost of an increased latency.

• When?: In our architecture, local and global actions can trigger reactions after receiving requests (reactive caching), or even before through prefetching (proactive caching). This impacts on how fast ESSs react to traffic trends. Prefetching calls for predictive features [16] focused on popularity and UE's mobility [3][12] (e.g., when and where content will be requested?).

**How** to orchestrate content allocation is the most complex design feature of an ESS as it must simultaneously coordinate the interaction of its modules and the collection of input data. This enables a) flexible and dynamic content routing, b) detection of relevant events, and c) decisions to make under conflictive scenarios.

Data collection is possible through polling and event 188 driven approaches. In the polling approach, modules fre-189 quently ask for detected events, which can lead to unnec-190 essary requests and overhead. Under the event-driven ap-191 proach, a publish-subscribe strategy notifies modules once 192 events are detected. Although more complex, we follow 193 the event-driven approach as it supports the asynchrony in 194 traffic events, consumes less energy than polling (modules 195 only activated when needed), and favours the incremental 196

185

186

TABLE 1

Relevant framework approaches for resource optimization, context inference, and context exploitation in mobile networks.

Approach family	Pros	Cons	Example technique	Target use	Application
Optimization	* Based on strict mathematical models, producing actual minimized values.	* Make strong assumptions about objective functions. * Disregard the uncertainty and dynamics of mobile traffic [14].	Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM)	Resource optimization	Maximizing QoS and QoE of video streaming [5], maximizing MNOs revenue by reducing the use of hired network, storage, and computing [13], [11].
Deep Learning	* Benefit from massive and unlabelled data.	* Low interpretability of decisions (black box model). * Hyperparameters configuration. * Computing demands.	Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)	Context inference	Mobile traffic classification, spatial mobile analytics for trajectory prediction [17].
	* Automatic feature extraction uncovering complex correlations in mobile traffic.		Recurrent Neuronal Networks (RNN)	Context inference	Network-wide spatio-temporal data modelling [17].
			Long Short-Term Memory Networks (LSTM)	Context inference	Mobile traffic forecasting [17].
			Deep Policy Gradient, Deep Q-Networks (DQN)	Context exploitation	Dynamic orchestration of networking, caching, and computing resources [17].
Cognitive Computing	<ul> <li>* Allow simultaneous learning and exploitation at a scale.</li> <li>* Explicit features are learned in a short- and long-term memory, which applications can exploit for resource self-decision making.</li> <li>* A customizable perception-action cycle controls the interaction between humans and devices [4].</li> </ul>	* The relevant events for the system must be individually defined. * Individual pattern recognition techniques must be selected to control perception of events.	Cognitive Dynamic Systems	Context inference and exploitation	ESSs for IoT data processing and storage [4], health care systems [2].

<sup>197</sup> processing of data. System coordination is possible through:

- Fixed approaches: With optimization techniques that
   solve resource models and the impact of requests
   (e.g., storage and computing) using heuristics.
- Policy-based: Using parameterised policies to react to changes in edge resources (e.g., low storage).
   Multiple policies can handle complex scenarios, although disambiguation measures must exist to solve conflicts.
- Autonomous operation: An objective (e.g., increasing
   cache hit) is incrementally achieved, self-adjusting
   according to taken decisions.

Our architecture supports combining these approaches, e.g. in an autonomous ESS that generates input data for policies and self-adjusts according to decisions made.

#### 212 5 FRAMEWORKS FOR CONTEXT-AWARENESS IN 213 ESSS

Research on context-aware ESSs is still in its early stage.
As shown in Table 1, optimization, deep learning, and
cognitive approaches have been studied for context learning
and exploitation in ESSs, network control, and computation
offloading. The approach is selected depending on the target use, the input features for analysis, and the required
flexibility to adjust to changes.

Machine Learning (ML) techniques like Deep Learning (DL) offer advantages to classic optimization approaches, including the support for unlabelled and massive mobile traffic. DL has been explored thanks to advances on optimization algorithms and parallel computing. Indeed, edge computing benefits from DL while providing the infras-226 tructure for its deployment. Cognitive computing allows 227 both learning and exploitation of context information, en-228 abling an ESS to adjust its configuration towards a goal. 229 Furthermore, cognitive computing is recognized as a key 230 framework for Knowledge-Defined Networking on which 231 networks self-organize to meet system and users' require-232 ments [6]. 233

#### 6 FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Spatio-temporal attributes from mobile traffic will create 235 more robust strategies to address where and when to allocate 236 content. The study of short- and long-term mobility of indi-237 viduals and groups will help to adjust the network to slow 238 and abrupt changes, inferring events like concerts and com-239 muting. Content sharing between edge servers will further 240 alleviate backhaul bottlenecks, while in the uplink flexible 241 processing workflows will control the incremental process-242 ing of data. For uplink caching, replacement strategies based 243 on popularity or data freshness are mandatory [16]. 244

As storage is not an isolated resource, developments 245 will focus on joint resource management [16], [12]. The 246 architecture in Fig. 4 contributes on this by overseeing and 247 reacting to changes on all edge resources. Native support 248 for similar architectures will produce mobile networks with 249 out-of-the box features to tackle current and future issues 250 in ESSs and further services. Indeed, these architectures 251 will produce advances on infrastructure planning, energy-252 aware networking (turning off idle base stations), malware 253 control, and new business models from users profiling (e.g., 254

content recommendation and spatio-temporal ads). Thus,
user's privacy must be addressed for data multi-tenancy,
and data governance must be ensured as data could reach
different jurisdictions [8].

#### 259 7 CONCLUSIONS

ESSs are key to enhance modern networks performance, 260 and context-awareness, as a core design feature, can con-261 tribute to address ESSs' challenges. Furthermore, context-262 awareness contributes to enhanced infrastructure planning 26 and even creates new business models for MNOs based 264 on users profiling. We overviewed issues and opportunities 265 offered by context information and presented a conceptual 266 distributed architecture for its inference from mobile traffic 267 268 data. This architecture helps to uncover spatio-temporal patterns in user's mobility and requests for uplink and 269 downlink services. We envision future mobile networks 270 with native context-awareness powered by ML and cogni-27 tive features for efficient and autonomous ESSs. 272

#### 273 8 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This publication has emanated from research conducted
with the financial support of Science Foundation Ireland
(SFI) and is co-funded under the European Regional De-

velopment Fund under Grant Number 13/RC/2077.

#### 278 **REFERENCES**

- [1] N. Abbas, Y. Zhang, A. Taherkordi, and T. Skeie. Mobile Edge
   Computing: A Survey. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 5(1):450–
   465, feb 2018.
- [2] M. Chen, W. Li, Y. Hao, Y. Qian, and I. Humar. Edge cognitive computing based smart healthcare system. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 86:403–411, sep 2018.
- [3] X. Cheng, L. Fang, X. Hong, and L. Yang. Exploiting Mobile Big
   Data: Sources, Features, and Applications. *IEEE Network*, 31(1):72–
   79, jan 2017.
- [4] S. Feng, P. Setoodeh, and S. Haykin. Smart Home: Cognitive Interactive People-Centric Internet of Things. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 55(2):34–39, feb 2017.
- [5] C. Liang, Y. He, F. R. Yu, and N. Zhao. Enhancing Video
   Rate Adaptation With Mobile Edge Computing and Caching in
   Software-Defined Mobile Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 17(10):7013–7026, oct 2018.
- [6] A. Mestres, M. J. Hibbett, G. Estrada, K. Ma'ruf, F. Coras,
  V. Ermagan, H. Latapie, C. Cassar, J. Evans, F. Maino, J. Walrand, A. Rodriguez-Natal, A. Cabellos, J. Carner, P. Barlet-Ros,
  E. Alarcón, M. Solé, V. Muntés-Mulero, D. Meyer, and S. Barkai.
  Knowledge-Defined Networking. ACM SIGCOMM Computer *Communication Review*, 47(3):2–10, sep 2017.
- [7] C. Perera, A. Zaslavsky, P. Christen, and D. Georgakopoulos.
   Context Aware Computing for The Internet of Things: A Survey.
   *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 16(1):414–454, 2014.
- [8] C. Puliafito, E. Mingozzi, F. Longo, A. Puliafito, and O. Rana. Fog
   Computing for the Internet of Things. ACM Transactions on Internet
   Technology, 19(2):1–41, apr 2019.
- M. Sheng, C. Xu, J. Liu, J. Song, X. Ma, and J. Li. Enhancement
   for content delivery with proximity communications in caching
   enabled wireless networks: architecture and challenges. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 54(8):70–76, aug 2016.
- [10] W. Shi, J. Cao, Q. Zhang, Y. Li, and L. Xu. Edge Computing: Vision
   and Challenges. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 3(5):637–646, oct
   2016.
- [11] Z. Tan, F. R. Yu, X. Li, H. Ji, and V. C. M. Leung. Virtual Resource Allocation for Heterogeneous Services in Full Duplex-Enabled SCNs With Mobile Edge Computing and Caching. *IEEE* Transfer Computing and Caching. *IEEE*
- 317 Transactions on Vehicular Technology, 67(2):1794–1808, feb 2018.

- [12] C. Wang, Y. He, F. R. Yu, Q. Chen, and L. Tang. Integration of Networking, Caching, and Computing in Wireless Systems: A Survey, Some Research Issues, and Challenges. *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*, 20(1):7–38, 2018.
- [13] C. Wang, C. Liang, F. R. Yu, Q. Chen, and L. Tang. Joint computation offloading, resource allocation and content caching in cellular networks with mobile edge computing. In 2017 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC), volume 16, pages 1–6. IEEE, may 2017.
- [14] M. Wang, Y. Cui, X. Wang, S. Xiao, and J. Jiang. Machine Learning for Networking: Workflow, Advances and Opportunities. *IEEE Network*, 32(2):92–99, mar 2018.
- [15] R. Wang, X. Peng, J. Zhang, and K. B. Letaief. Mobilityaware caching for content-centric wireless networks: modeling and methodology. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 54(8):77–83, aug 2016.
- [16] S. Wang, X. Zhang, Y. Zhang, L. Wang, J. YANG, and W. Wang. A Survey on Mobile Edge Networks: Convergence of Computing, Caching and Communications. *IEEE Access*, 5:6757–6779, 2017.
- [17] C. Zhang, P. Patras, and H. Haddadi. Deep Learning in Mobile and Wireless Networking: A Survey. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 21(3):2224–2287, 2019.

318

319

320

338