1 Sleep characteristics and health-related quality of life in 9-11 year old children from 12 countries

- 2 Qian Xiao¹, Jean-Philippe Chaput², Timothy Olds³, Mikael Fogelholm⁴, Gang Hu⁵, Estelle V. Lambert⁶,
- 3 Carol Maher³, Jose Maia⁷, Vincent Onywera⁸, Olga L. Sarmiento⁹, Martyn Standage¹⁰, Mark S. Tremblay²,
- 4 Catrine Tudor-Locke¹¹ and Peter T. Katzmarzyk⁵ for the ISCOLE Research Group

- 6 ¹University of Iowa, Iowa city, USA
- 7 ² Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute, Ottawa, Canada
- 8 ³ University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia
- 9 ⁴ University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- 10 ⁵ Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA
- ⁶ University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
- ⁷ University of Porto, Porto, Portugal
- 13 ⁸ Kenyatta University, Nairobi, Kenya
- 14 ⁹ Universidad de los Andes, Bogota, Colombia
- 15 ¹⁰ University of Bath, Bath, United Kingdom
- 16 ¹¹ University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, USA
- 17
- 18 Corresponding Author: Qian Xiao, Department of Health and Human Physiology and Department of
- 19 Epidemiology, University of Iowa, Iowa city, USA (email: <u>qian-xiao@uiowa.edu</u>; phone: 319-335-9348).

20 Abstract

21 Introduction

- 22 Previous studies have linked short sleep duration, poor sleep quality, and late sleep timing with lower
- 23 health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in children. However, almost all studies relied solely on self-
- 24 reported sleep information and most were conducted in high income countries. To address these gaps,
- 25 we studied both device-measured and self-reported sleep characteristics in relation to HRQoL in a
- sample of children from 12 countries that vary widely in terms of economic and human development.

27 Methods

- 28 The study sample included 6,626 children aged 9-11 years from Australia, Brazil, Canada, China,
- 29 Colombia, Finland, India, Kenya, Portugal, South Africa, the United Kingdom, and the United States.
- 30 Waist-worn actigraphy was used to measure total sleep time, bedtime, wake-up time, and sleep
- efficiency on both weekdays and weekends. Children also reported ratings of sleep quantity and quality.
- 32 HRQoL was measured by the KIDSCREEN-10 survey. Multilevel regression models were used to
- 33 determine the relationships between sleep characteristics and HRQoL.

34 Results

Results showed considerable variation in sleep characteristics, particularly duration and timing, across study sites. Overall, we found no association between device-measured total sleep time, sleep timing or sleep efficiency and HRQoL. In contrast, self-reported ratings of poor sleep quantity and quality were associated with HRQoL.

39 Conclusions

- 40 Self-reported, rather than device-based, measures of sleep are related to HRQoL in children. The
- 41 discrepancy related to sleep assessment methods highlights the importance of considering both device-
- 42 measured and self-reported measures of sleep in understanding its health effects.

43 Key Words

44 Sleep duration, total sleep time, sleep efficiency, sleep timing, health related quality of life

45 Introduction

46 The American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) guidelines recognize sleep as a multidimensional behavior and define healthy sleep as "adequate duration, appropriate timing, good quality, regularity, 47 and the absence of sleep disturbances or disorders." ¹ For children and adolescents, healthy sleep is 48 49 essential for healthy living, and insufficient sleep has been linked with a wide range of physical, mental and social problems,² including obesity,³ hypertension,^{4,5} reduced insulin sensitivity and type 2 50 diabetes,^{6,7} depression,⁸ anxiety,⁹ impaired emotional regulation,^{10,11} sub-optimal cognitive and 51 academic performance¹²⁻¹⁴ and poor relationships with peers and family.¹⁵ The AASM recommends 9-12 52 53 hours of sleep on a regular basis for children aged 6-12 years to achieve optimal health.¹ 54 Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) captures all three main domains of health (physical, mental, and social) and is an important and widely used indicator of overall health in adults and children.¹⁶ Multiple 55 56 studies have examined the relationship between sleep and HRQoL in children and adolescents, yet 57 mixed findings have been reported. For example, several studies found that self-reported short sleep 58 duration, late sleep timing, and sleep disturbances were associated with poor physical, social, and emotional functioning as well as poor overall HRQoL, while long sleep duration and better sleep quality 59 were related to reduced health complaints and better psychological health.^{15,17-24} However, other 60 61 studies have reported no association between self-reported sleep characteristics and HRQoL, or weak and inconsistent findings.²⁵⁻²⁷ It is worth noting that almost all studies have been conducted in high 62 63 income countries, and little is known about sleep and HRQoL in children living in low-to-middle income 64 countries.

A major limitation of the current literature on sleep and HRQoL is that all the previous studies have
 relied on self-reported sleep information. Previous validation studies have found substantial
 discrepancies between self-reported and device-measured sleep in both adults and children.²⁸⁻³⁰

Moreover, these studies have reported that the size of such discrepancies varies by health status and sleep characteristics, and people with poor health and sleep deficiencies tend to have larger differences between device-measured and self-reported sleep measurements. Therefore, there is a need to better understand the association between device-measured sleep characteristics in relation to HRQoL in children.

To address the aforementioned limitations in the literature, we studied device-measured sleep
characteristics and HRQoL in an international sample of children, and compared results with those using
self-reported measures in the same study. We conducted country-specific analyses to examine and
compare the associations across 12 different nations with a wide range of geographic, economic and
socio-cultural variability. We hypothesized that short sleep, low sleep efficiency, later sleep timing and
larger day-to-day variabilities in sleep characteristics are associated with poor HRQoL in children.

79

80 Methods

81 Study Population

82 The International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment (ISCOLE) (ClinicalTrials.gov: 83 NCT01722500) is an international cross-sectional study of children (9-11 years) from study sites in 12 84 countries (Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Colombia, England, Finland, India, Kenya, Portugal, South 85 Africa, and USA). Details of ISCOLE have been previously published.³¹ The countries were selected to 86 maximize geographical, socio-economic and cultural variability: these countries differed widely in 87 several socio-economic indicators: Six countries (Australia, Canada, Finland, Portugal, England and 88 United States) are classified as high income according to World Bank Classification, five (Brazil, 89 Colombia, China, India and South Africa) classified as upper-middle income, and one (Kenya) classified as low income. ³² These countries also range from very high (0.93, Australia) to low (0.51, Kenya) in their 90

91 Human Development Index, a composite score based on life expectancy, gross national income, literacy 92 and school participation. ³³ Details of economic indicators for all twelve countries have been published before. ³¹ In each country, children were recruited from one or more school districts that located 93 94 reasonably close to the local study center. Sampling included students from urban and suburban areas. 95 Rural areas were excluded to maximize comparisons across ISCOLE sites because in some countries, 96 study centers had limited access to rural populations. Each site aimed to recruit a sex-balanced sample 97 of 500 children. Technicians were trained to administer the questionnaire in a standardized fashion in 98 order to minimize bias, and provisions were made to administer the questionnaire via an interview for 99 participants with low levels of literacy. Questionnaires were translated to local languages and children 100 as young as 9 years showed sufficient comprehension of the study questionnaires. Of the total 7,372 101 children in the final ISCOLE sample, we excluded children who were missing any sleep variables 102 (N=1,081) or HRQoL information (N=25). The analytic sample for this study therefore includes 6,266 103 children. The Pennington Biomedical Research Center Institutional Review Board approved the ISCOLE 104 protocol and ethical review boards at each site approved local protocols. Written informed consent 105 from parents and child assent were obtained as required by local review boards.

106 Health-Related Quality of Life

107 Children in the study completed the KIDSCREEN-10 survey, which provides a global measure of HRQoL in 108 children.³⁴ The KIDSCREEN-10 is the brief form of KIDSCREEN-54, an instrument that was designed to 109 measure HRQoL among children aged 8-18 years and validated in numerous low-to-middle-income 110 countries.^{35,36} The KIDSCREEN-10 included 10 questions on children's physical activity, energy and 111 fitness, mood and emotions, social and leisure participation, social and family relationships, cognitive 112 capacity, and school experience. Responses to each question are recorded on a 5-point scale and 113 participant's scores were reversed when appropriate to ensure that higher scores indicate better

114 HRQoL. We summed each participant's score across questions to calculate Rasch person-parameters,

115 which were transformed into t-values with a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of approximately 10.³⁴

116 Assessment of Sleep

117 Sleep was measured by waist-worn accelerometers (Actigraph GT3X+, Actigraph LLC, Pensacola, FL, 118 USA). Actigraphy data collection was conducted during the school year. Data collection was purposefully 119 spread over this period to account for differences across seasons. Children were asked to wear the 120 device 24-hours per day for seven consecutive days, removing it only for water-related activities such as 121 bathing, showering and swimming. Details about the sleep variable assessments were reported before.^{37,38} Briefly, for sleep variable calculation, we only included participants with at least three nights 122 123 (including one weekend night) of valid sleep (total sleep period time \geq 160 min). Nocturnal total sleep 124 time was estimated from 1-min epochs using a validated, fully-automated algorithm for waist-worn 125 accelerometers, which captures total sleep time from sleep onset to the end of sleep and all episodes of 126 wakefulness after onset.³⁸

127 From the accelerometry data, we measured three primary sleep variables: 1) duration measured by total 128 sleep time; 2) timing measured by midpoint between sleep onset and offset; and 3) sleep efficiency 129 measured by total sleep time divided by total time spent in bed. We also included an additional sleep 130 timing variable, the onset of sleep time, as some previous studies indicated that bedtime was a 131 significant predictor of HRQoL.²² Finally, we also calculated mid-sleep time on free days corrected for sleep debt on work days (MSFsc) as an indicator of chronotype. ³⁹ For each sleep variable, we calculated 132 133 average values for weekday (Sunday through Thursday) and weekend (Friday and Saturday) nights 134 separately. We also derived two indicators of variability for each sleep characteristic: the overall 135 variability was measured by the standard deviation of the sleep characteristic for all valid nights; and the 136 weekday-to-weekend difference was defined as the difference between weekend and weekday values

137 (weekend mean minus weekday mean) for the sleep characteristic. We divided each sleep variable into 138 quartiles. The reference group was chosen to represent the group that was hypothesized to have the 139 highest HRQoL: the longest group (Q4) for total sleep time, the earliest group (Q1) for sleep onset and 140 sleep midpoint, the highest group for sleep efficiency (Q4), and the groups with the smallest absolute 141 value for overall and weekday-to-weekend difference (Q1 for overall variability of all three sleep 142 characteristics as well as weekday-to-weekend difference of midpoint, and Q2 for weekday-to-weekend 143 difference of total sleep time and efficiency). For total sleep time, we also created a 4-category variable (<7 hours, 7-8 hours, 9-12 hours, and >12 hours) and used 9-12 hours as the reference group based on 144 145 the recommended amount of sleep for pediatric population.¹

Self-reported sleep measures were obtained by asking children "during the past week, rate the quantity
of your sleep overall" or "during the past week, rate the quality of your sleep overall". Children were
asked to choose from four answers: very good, fairly good, fairly bad, or very bad.

149 Covariates

150 A wide array of sociodemographic and lifestyle variables from child participants and parents were 151 collected as part of ISCOLE, including age and sex of child participants. Parents reported parental 152 education, and marital status. Parents at each study site also reported annual household income using a 153 monetary scale of 8 to 10 country-specific categories using currencies of each country. These categories 154 were grouped into four levels to facilitate multi-country analysis. The four levels were created to ensure 155 the most balanced distribution possible within each country. Child participants completed a Food 156 Frequency Questionnaire, which has demonstrated moderate reliability and low to moderate-validity.⁴⁰ 157 Principal components analysis was used to identify two component scores that represent 1) a healthy 158 diet pattern (positive loadings for vegetables, fruits, whole grains, etc.) and 2) an unhealthy diet pattern 159 (positive loadings for fast food, soft drinks, sweets, etc.). Height and weight were measured objectively

160	using standard techniques. ³¹ Body-mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by
161	height in meters squared and transformed to age- and sex-specific z scores using the World Health
162	Organization reference data.41

163 Statistical Analysis

164 To report the distribution of participant characteristics, we calculated means and standard deviations 165 for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables. To examine the associations between 166 sleep variables and HRQoL, we used multi-level multiple linear regression (PROC MIXED) to calculate the 167 mean difference and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for each quartile of the sleep variable, comparing to 168 the reference group. In the model we adjusted for age (continuous), sex (female, male), parental 169 education (less than high school, high school graduate or some college, completed college or 170 postgraduate degree), parental marital status (married, divorced or separated, never married, 171 widowed), household income (site-specific categories representing low, low-to-medium, medium-to-172 high and high income levels), BMI z-score (continuous), healthy diet pattern score (continuous) and 173 unhealthy diet pattern score (continuous). Schools were included as random effects in all models, while 174 study sites were included as fixed effects in analysis that included the overall population. We also 175 considered the total number of valid days for sleep recording, as well as the number of valid weekdays 176 and weekend days as covariates, but adjusting for these variables only had a minimal impact on the 177 results, and we did not include them in the final model. Tests for linear trends were performed using the 178 median value for each quartile as a continuous variable. To control for false positives due to multiple 179 comparison, we used a Bonferroni correction of p-value (p<0.05/130=0.0004) to determine statistical 180 significance.

181 Results

The distribution of participant characteristics by study site are presented in **Table 1**. The average age of child participants was 10.4 years and ~55% were girls. Across 12 countries, we observed a relatively wide distribution of BMI z scores (lowest in Kenya and highest in Portugal) and healthy (lowest in Brazil and highest in Canada) and unhealthy diet patterns (lowest in Finland and highest in South Africa). Parental education levels and marital status also varied substantially across sites.

187 The distribution of number of valid nights, HRQoL and sleep variables are presented in **Table 2**. Overall 188 the number of valid nights across different sites are similar. Finland had the lowest average number of 189 nights (4.79) while China had the highest (5.76). Most of the countries had more than 5.5 valid nights. 190 We observed considerable variation in HRQoL scores and total sleep time and sleep timing across 191 countries. Kenya had the lowest HRQoL score (47.1) and Portugal had the highest (53.0). Total sleep 192 time on weekdays varied between 8.12 hr (Portugal) to 9.53 hr (Australia) while weekend total sleep 193 time was between 8.55 hr (Finland) and 9.62 hr (Colombia). For overall variability in total sleep time, 194 China had the smallest standard deviation (0.98) while Colombia had the largest (1.52). For weekday-to-195 weekend variability, the average total sleep time on weekends was generally longer than that on 196 weekdays, except for Australia and Canada, for which weekend sleep was ~0.3 hr shorter than weekday 197 sleep. We also observed a relatively wide range of midpoint of sleep on both weekdays (earliest: 1:46, 198 Kenya; latest: 3:08, Brazil) and weekends (earliest: 2:59, China and Portugal; latest: 4:07, Brazil). Overall 199 variability of sleep midpoint was between 0.64 hr (China) and 0.96 hr (Brazil). Weekend sleep midpoint 200 was later than weekday sleep midpoint for all countries, and the difference ranged from 0.70 (Canada) 201 to 1.14 hr (USA). In contrast, sleep efficiency was consistently high in all countries and on both weekdays 202 and weekends, ranging from 95% to 97% on average, and there was little overall or weekday to 203 weekend day variability in sleep efficiency. For self-reported sleep, only a small fraction of children 204 reported very bad or fairly bad sleep quality and quantity. China had the highest percent of children

reporting both bad sleep quality (15.3%) and quantity (14.3%), while Kenya (4.8%) and Portugal (3.2%)
had the lowest percent for reporting bad sleep quality and quantity, respectively.

207 Overall, we found little evidence supporting an association between HRQoL and total sleep time (Table 208 3), midpoint of sleep (Table 4) or sleep efficiency (Table 5). Most of the effect estimates were not 209 statistically significant and no consistent patterns emerged as the magnitude and direction of effect 210 estimates for all three sleep variables varied considerably among countries. The results were similar 211 before and after adjusting for covariates. For total sleep time and sleep efficiency, neither the average 212 values on weekdays or weekend days, nor the two measures of variability, were associated with HRQoL. 213 We also performed analysis using total sleep time as a four-category and the results were similarly null 214 (data not shown). For midpoint of sleep, the findings were also largely null. However, we found that the 215 average sleep midpoint on weekends was positively associated with HRQoL in England with a 5.49 point 216 increase in HRQoL score comparing the latest quartile to the earliest (β_{04ys01} (95% CI), 5.39 (2.69, 8.09), 217 p-trend=0.0002). Results for timing of sleep onset were largely null (Supplementary Table 1). In 218 addition, we did not find an association between MSFsc and HRQoL (data not shown). 219 Finally, we examined subjective ratings of sleep quantity and quality in relation to HRQoL. First, we 220 found that the correlation between self-reported and actigraphy-measured sleep variables were 221 generally null or weak (Spearman correlation coefficient, -0.07-0.10, Supplementary Table 2). Next, In 222 contrast to the null findings for device-measured sleep variables, poorer ratings of both sleep quantity 223 and quality were associated with worse HRQoL in almost all countries (Table 6). In the full sample, 224 ratings of "very bad" or "fairly bad" for sleep quantity or quality were associated with a more than six 225 point reduction in HRQoL.

226 Discussion

In this international sample of 9-11 year-old children, we found that sleep characteristics, especially
device-measured duration and timing, vary substantially across different countries. Overall our findings
do not support a relationship between device-measured sleep characteristics and HRQoL, although
there appeared to be some evidence suggesting that device-measured sleep timing might be associated
with HRQoL in England. On the other hand, we found that children with poor self-reported ratings of
sleep quantity and quality on average reported lower HRQoL.

233 A growing body of literature has examined the relationship between multiple sleep characteristics and 234 HRQoL in children and adolescents. All of the previous studies used self-reported sleep measures and 235 most examined sleep duration. Several studies have reported a positive association between self-236 reported sleep duration and HRQoL in children and adolescents in a wide range of countries, including Israel,²⁰ US,¹⁷ Korea,¹⁸ Switzerland,¹⁹ and Spain.¹⁵ For example, in over 3,000 children (age 11-17 years) 237 238 from Houston, Texas, Roberts et al. found that self-reporting less than 6 hours of sleep on weekdays and 239 weekends was associated with a higher likelihood of reporting low life satisfaction, poor perceived mental health, depressed mood, problems at school and lower grades, as well as drug use.¹⁷ In another 240 241 study that included a large sample of Korean adolescents (age 13-17 years), self-reported short sleep 242 duration (<7 hours) was associated with a higher probability of reporting depressive symptoms, suicidal 243 ideation and overweight and obesity, and lower probability of reporting "very healthy" for self-rated 244 health.¹⁸ In addition, a few studies also examined self-reported sleep characteristics related to sleep 245 quality, including daytime sleepiness and morning tiredness (nonrestorative sleep), and symptoms related to insomnia, such as sleep latency and waking during the night.^{15,20,21} Overall their findings 246 247 suggested that self-reported poor sleep quality was related to multiple domains of health and well-248 being, including perceived health status, life satisfaction, quality of relationships, and academic 249 performance.

250 A major gap in the literature was a lack of studies that examined device-measured sleep characteristics, 251 as all the aforementioned studies used survey questionnaires. In this current study, although we 252 confirmed a positive association between self-reported poor sleep quantity and quality and low HRQoL, 253 we found no association when evaluating device-measured sleep characteristics. In the adult 254 population, studies that have compared self-reported with device-measured sleep duration generally 255 found only a moderate correlation between the two (r=0.45-0.47).^{28,29} The studies generally found that 256 self-report comparatively overestimates sleep duration by ~ 1 hr, and the validity of self-reported sleep 257 was lower among people with poor health status, shorter sleep duration and higher device-measured 258 sleep variability. Although similar validation studies are limited in children, some evidence suggests that 259 there is also considerable discrepancy between self-reported and device-based sleep measurements in 260 children. For example, Alfano et al. reported no or weak correlations between self/parent-reported and 261 actigraphy-measured sleep characteristics.³⁰ Moreover, the study by Alfano et al. also found that the 262 differences between device-based and self-reported sleep were larger in children with anxiety disorders 263 when compared to healthy children. On one hand, these validation studies in children and adults 264 suggest that self-reported sleep patterns may not accurately reflect objective characteristics of the sleep 265 behavior, particularly in populations with poor sleep and health status, which may introduce both errors 266 and biases in studies focusing on the relationships between sleep and health outcomes. On the other 267 hand, it is worth noting that self-reported sleep can capture subjective perceptions about sleep and the 268 fact that it correlates better with health outcomes suggest it may better reflect self-appraisal of overall 269 health, which itself is an important domain of health and well-being. Therefore self-reported sleep 270 should not be dismissed as merely an inaccurate measure. Instead, we encourage future studies to 271 include both device-based and self-reported sleep measures as they may reveal how different aspects of 272 sleep behavior may be associated with health outcomes.

273 Besides sleep duration and quality, sleep timing has received increasing attention in the past decade and 274 has been recognized as an important aspect of sleep health. Particularly, late sleep timing has been 275 highlighted as a risk factor for multiple adverse health outcomes in children and adolescents, including 276 obesity and mental health.⁴² For school children, the schedule of school on weekdays has a substantial 277 impact on their sleep timing, particularly the timing for waking up. When school start time is early, those 278 children with a preference for a later sleep timing (or later chronotype) are more likely to suffer from 279 sleep deficiency and its related health consequences. Indeed, several studies reported a relationship 280 between a late sleep timing and poor HRQoL. For instance, Chen et al. reported that a late self-reported 281 bedtime was associated with poor feelings, poor daily activities, pain and an overall poor rating of health in a group of Japanese children ages 12-13. ²³ Similarly, in another study of Israeli adolescents who were 282 283 ~14 years old, Tizischinsky et al. found that a later self-reported chronotype was associated with lower 284 HRQoL.²⁰ Additionally, in a sample of nearly 5,000 Australian children with self-reported sleep timing 285 data, those with a bedtime later than the sample median reported poorer HRQoL when compared to 286 those with an earlier bedtime.²² However, overall our results do not support a relationship between 287 device-measured sleep timing and HRQoL, which again may be due to the differences between device-288 based and self-reported sleep measurements. Interestingly we found that later device-measured sleep 289 timing on weekends was associated with a higher HRQoL in England. This particular result could be due 290 to chance alone, or it could be explained by unmeasured cultural or environmental factors unique to 291 England.

292 Our study has some important strengths. As discussed above, we used both device-measured and self-293 reported sleep measurements, which could provide different perspectives about sleep and its 294 relationship with health outcomes. Moreover, we studied an international sample of children from 12 295 countries with vastly different cultural and economic conditions, which has expanded the previous 296 literature that focused on developed regions alone. However, our study also has several limitations. First

297 of all, we did not have information on sports training and schedules of other important extracurricular 298 activities, which may have a substantial impact on both sleep and HRQoL. Second, although children 299 were recruited from different countries, all of the recruitment centers were located in relatively large 300 cities and our sample was not representative of the population in each country. Specifically we lacked 301 information on children living in rural communities. Third, the distribution of device-measured sleep 302 efficiency was limited in range and almost all children had high sleep efficiency, probably due to the 303 tendency of waist-worn actigraphy to overestimate sleep duration (by approximately 1 hour) compared 304 to wrist-worn protocols.⁴³ Fourth, children's sleep can be impacted by school schedules and a wide 305 range of weekday and weekend activities, such as sports and other extracurricular lessons, church 306 attendance and community activities, all of which may vary across different schools and societies. 307 Unfortunately we do not have detailed information on these activities, and could not control for their 308 influence on sleep and HRQoL in our analysis. Fifth, seasonal and geographic variations in the time of 309 sunrise and sunset are also known to have an impact on sleep, but we did not collect data on sunrise 310 and sunset time during the period of actigraphy assessment and weren't able to control for their potential impact on our results. Moreover, we only recorded sleep for seven days, which may not 311 312 represent the habitual sleep patterns of children. Also, self-reported sleep information was only 313 obtained at one time point and may not capture children's long-term perceptions about sleep. Finally, 314 most of the children included in our study were relatively healthy and on average they reported high 315 HRQoL. Therefore we were not able to examine the relationship between sleep and HRQoL in children 316 with less healthy status.

In conclusion, while lower self-reported sleep quantity and quality were associated with poor HRQoL,
device-measured sleep characteristics were not. These findings highlight the importance of using both
device-based and subjective measures of sleep to fully understand its relationship with health
outcomes, particularly self-reported outcomes such as HRQoL.

321 Acknowledgements

322 We wish to thank the ISCOLE External Advisory Board and the ISCOLE participants and their families who 323 made this study possible. The ISCOLE Research Group includes: Coordinating Center, Pennington 324 Biomedical Research Center: Peter T. Katzmarzyk, PhD (Co-PI), Timothy S. Church, MD, PhD (Co-PI), 325 Denise G. Lambert, RN (Project Manager), Tiago Barreira, PhD, Stephanie Broyles, PhD, Ben Butitta, BS, 326 Catherine Champagne, PhD, RD, Shannon Cocreham, MBA, Kara D. Denstel, MPH, Katy Drazba, MPH, 327 Deirdre Harrington, PhD, William Johnson, PhD, Dione Milauskas, MS, Emily Mire, MS, Allison Tohme, 328 MPH, Ruben Rodarte MS, MBA; Data Management Center, Wake Forest University: Bobby Amoroso, 329 BS, John Luopa, BS, Rebecca Neiberg, MS, Scott Rushing, BS; Australia, University of South Australia: 330 Timothy Olds, PhD (Site Co-PI), Carol Maher, PhD (Site Co-PI), Lucy Lewis, PhD, Katia Ferrar, B Physio 331 (Hon), Effie Georgiadis, BPsych, Rebecca Stanley, BAppSc (OT) Hon; Brazil, Centro de Estudos do 332 Laboratório de Aptidão Física de São Caetano do Sul (CELAFISCS): Victor Keihan Rodrigues Matsudo, 333 MD, PhD (Site PI), Sandra Matsudo, MD, PhD, Timoteo Araujo, MSc, Luis Carlos de Oliveira, MSc, Luis 334 Fabiano, BSc, Diogo Bezerra, BSc, Gerson Ferrari, MSc; Canada, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute: Mark S. Tremblay, PhD (Site Co-PI), Jean-Philippe Chaput, PhD (Site Co-PI), Priscilla 335 336 Bélanger, MA, Mike Borghese, MSc, Charles Boyer, MA, Allana LeBlanc, PhD, Claire Francis, 337 MSc, Geneviève Leduc, PhD; China, Tianjin Women's and Children's Health Center: Pei Zhao, MD (Site 338 Co-PI), Gang Hu, MD, PhD (Site Co-PI), Chengming Diao, MD, Wei Li, MD, Weiqin Li, MSc, Enqing Liu, MD, 339 Gongshu Liu, MD, Hongyan Liu, MD, Jian Ma, MD, Yijuan Qiao, MD, Huiguang Tian, PhD, Yue Wang, MD, 340 Tao Zhang, MSc, Fuxia Zhang, MD; Colombia, Universidad de los Andes: Olga Sarmiento, MD, PhD (Site 341 PI), Julio Acosta, Yalta Alvira, BS, Maria Paula Diaz, Rocio Gamez, BS, Maria Paula Garcia, Luis Guillermo 342 Gómez, Lisseth Gonzalez, Silvia Gonzalez, RD, Carlos Grijalba, MD, Leidys Gutierrez, David Leal, Nicolas 343 Lemus, Etelvina Mahecha, BS, Maria Paula Mahecha, Rosalba Mahecha, BS, Andrea Ramirez, MD, Paola 344 Rios, MD, Andres Suarez, Camilo Triana; Finland, University of Helsinki: Mikael Fogelholm, ScD (Site-PI), 345 Elli Jalo, BS, Jemina Kivelä, Sari Räsänen, BS, Sanna Roito, BS, Taru Saloheimo, MS, Leena Arjanne; India, 346 St. Johns Research Institute: Anura Kurpad, MD, PhD (Site Co-PI), Rebecca Kuriyan, PhD (Site Co-PI), 347 Deepa P. Lokesh, BSc, Michelle Stephanie D'Almeida, BSc, Annie Mattilda R, MSc, Lygia Correa, BSc, Vijay 348 Dakshina Murthy, BSc; Kenya, Kenyatta University: Vincent Onywera, PhD (Site Co-PI), Mark S. 349 Tremblay, PhD (Site Co-PI), Lucy-Joy Wachira, PhD, Stella Muthuri, PhD; Portugal, University of Porto: 350 Jose Maia, PhD (Site PI), Alessandra da Silva Borges, BA, Sofia Oliveira Sá Cachada, Msc, Raquel Nichele 351 de Chaves, MSc, Thayse Natacha Queiroz Ferreira Gomes, PhD, MSc, Sara Isabel Sampaio Pereira, BA, 352 Daniel Monteiro de Vilhena e Santos, PhD, Fernanda Karina dos Santos, MSc, Pedro Gil Rodrigues da 353 Silva, BA, Michele Caroline de Souza, MSc; South Africa, University of Cape Town: Vicki Lambert, PhD 354 (Site PI), Matthew April, BSc (Hons), Monika Uys, BSc (Hons), Nirmala Naidoo, MSc, Nandi Synyanya, 355 Madelaine Carstens, BSc(Hons); United Kingdom, University of Bath: Martyn Standage, PhD (Site PI), 356 Sean Cumming, PhD, Clemens Drenowatz, PhD, Lydia Emm, MSc, Fiona Gillison, PhD, Julia Zakrzewski, 357 PhD; United States, Pennington Biomedical Research Center: Catrine Tudor-Locke, PhD (Site-PI), Ashley 358 Braud, Sheletta Donatto, MS, LDN, RD, Corbin Lemon, BS, Ana Jackson, BA, Ashunti Pearson, MS, Gina 359 Pennington, BS, LDN, RD, Daniel Ragus, BS, Ryan Roubion, John Schuna, Jr., PhD; Derek Wiltz. The 360 ISCOLE External Advisory Board includes Alan Batterham, PhD, Teesside University, Jacqueline Kerr, PhD, University of California, San Diego; Michael Pratt, MD, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 361 362 Angelo Pietrobelli, MD, Verona University Medical School. 363

Financial support

365 This work was supported by The Coca-Cola Company. With the exception of requiring that the study be

- 366 global in nature, the funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management,
- 367 analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and
- 368 decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

369 References

Paruthi S, Brooks LJ, D'Ambrosio C, et al. Recommended Amount of Sleep for Pediatric
 Populations: A Consensus Statement of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine. *J Clin Sleep*

372 *Med.* 2016;12(6):785-786.

- Chaput JP, Gray CE, Poitras VJ, et al. Systematic review of the relationships between sleep duration and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):S266-282.
- Fatima Y, Doi SA, Mamun AA. Longitudinal impact of sleep on overweight and obesity in children and adolescents: a systematic review and bias-adjusted meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* 2015;16(2):137-149.
- Peach H, Gaultney JF, Reeve CL. Sleep characteristics, body mass index, and risk for hypertension
 in young adolescents. *J Youth Adolesc.* 2015;44(2):271-284.
- 3815.Paciencia I, Araujo J, Ramos E. Sleep duration and blood pressure: a longitudinal analysis from382early to late adolescence. J Sleep Res. 2016;25(6):702-708.
- Rudnicka AR, Nightingale CM, Donin AS, et al. Sleep Duration and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes.
 Pediatrics. 2017;140(3).
- De Bernardi Rodrigues AM, da Silva Cde C, Vasques AC, et al. Association of Sleep Deprivation
 With Reduction in Insulin Sensitivity as Assessed by the Hyperglycemic Clamp Technique in
 Adolescents. JAMA Pediatr. 2016;170(5):487-494.
- 3888.Roberts RE, Duong HT. The prospective association between sleep deprivation and depression389among adolescents. Sleep. 2014;37(2):239-244.
- Ojio Y, Nishida A, Shimodera S, Togo F, Sasaki T. Sleep Duration Associated with the Lowest Risk
 of Depression/Anxiety in Adolescents. *Sleep.* 2016;39(8):1555-1562.
- 39210.Gruber R, Cassoff J, Frenette S, Wiebe S, Carrier J. Impact of sleep extension and restriction on393children's emotional lability and impulsivity. *Pediatrics*. 2012;130(5):e1155-1161.
- Vriend JL, Davidson FD, Corkum PV, Rusak B, Chambers CT, McLaughlin EN. Manipulating sleep duration alters emotional functioning and cognitive performance in children. *J Pediatr Psychol.* 2013;38(10):1058-1069.
- Touchette E, Petit D, Seguin JR, Boivin M, Tremblay RE, Montplaisir JY. Associations between
 sleep duration patterns and behavioral/cognitive functioning at school entry. *Sleep*.
 2007;30(9):1213-1219.
- 400 13. Stea TH, Knutsen T, Torstveit MK. Association between short time in bed, health-risk behaviors
 401 and poor academic achievement among Norwegian adolescents. *Sleep Med.* 2014;15(6):666402 671.
- 403 14. Astill RG, Van der Heijden KB, Van Ijzendoorn MH, Van Someren EJ. Sleep, cognition, and
 404 behavioral problems in school-age children: a century of research meta-analyzed. *Psychol Bull.*405 2012;138(6):1109-1138.
- 406 15. Segura-Jimenez V, Carbonell-Baeza A, Keating XD, Ruiz JR, Castro-Pinero J. Association of sleep
 407 patterns with psychological positive health and health complaints in children and adolescents.
 408 *Qual Life Res.* 2015;24(4):885-895.
- 40916.Ravens-Sieberer U, Gosch A, Rajmil L, et al. KIDSCREEN-52 quality-of-life measure for children410and adolescents. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res.* 2005;5(3):353-364.
- 411 17. Roberts RE, Roberts CR, Duong HT. Sleepless in adolescence: prospective data on sleep
 412 deprivation, health and functioning. *J Adolesc.* 2009;32(5):1045-1057.

413 18. Do YK, Shin E, Bautista MA, Foo K. The associations between self-reported sleep duration and 414 adolescent health outcomes: what is the role of time spent on Internet use? Sleep Med. 415 2013;14(2):195-200. 416 19. Perkinson-Gloor N, Lemola S, Grob A. Sleep duration, positive attitude toward life, and academic 417 achievement: the role of daytime tiredness, behavioral persistence, and school start times. J 418 Adolesc. 2013;36(2):311-318. 419 20. Tzischinsky O, Shochat T. Eveningness, sleep patterns, daytime functioning, and quality of life in 420 Israeli adolescents. Chronobiol Int. 2011;28(4):338-343. 421 21. Magee CA, Robinson L, Keane C. Sleep quality subtypes predict health-related quality of life in 422 children. Sleep Med. 2017;35:67-73. 423 22. Quach J, Price AMH, Bittman M, Hiscock H. Sleep timing and child and parent outcomes in 424 Australian 4-9-year-olds: a cross-sectional and longitudinal study. Sleep Med. 2016;22:39-46. 425 23. Chen X, Sekine M, Hamanishi S, et al. Lifestyles and health-related quality of life in Japanese 426 school children: a cross-sectional study. Prev Med. 2005;40(6):668-678. 427 Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Standage M, Tremblay MS, et al. Associations between meeting 24. 428 combinations of 24-h movement guidelines and health-related quality of life in children from 12 429 countries. Public Health. 2017;153:16-24. 430 25. Price AMH, Quach J, Wake M, Bittman M, Hiscock H. Cross-sectional sleep thresholds for 431 optimal health and well-being in Australian 4-9-year-olds. Sleep Med. 2016;22:83-90. 432 26. Jalali-Farahani S, Amiri P, Chin YS. Are physical activity, sedentary behaviors and sleep duration 433 associated with body mass index-for-age and health-related quality of life among high school 434 boys and girls? *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2016;14:30. 435 27. Tsiros MD, Samaras MG, Coates AM, Olds T. Use-of-time and health-related quality of life in 10-436 to 13-year-old children: not all screen time or physical activity minutes are the same. Qual Life 437 Res. 2017;26(11):3119-3129. 438 28. Lauderdale DS, Knutson KL, Yan LL, Liu K, Rathouz PJ. Self-reported and measured sleep 439 duration: how similar are they? Epidemiology. 2008;19(6):838-845. 440 29. Jackson CL, Patel SR, Jackson WB, 2nd, Lutsey PL, Redline S. Agreement between self-reported 441 and objectively measured sleep duration among white, black, Hispanic, and Chinese adults in 442 the United States: Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. Sleep. 2018;41(6). 443 30. Alfano CA, Patriquin MA, De Los Reyes A. Subjective - Objective Sleep Comparisons and 444 Discrepancies Among Clinically-Anxious and Healthy Children. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 445 2015;43(7):1343-1353. 446 31. Katzmarzyk PT, Barreira TV, Broyles ST, et al. The International Study of Childhood Obesity, 447 Lifestyle and the Environment (ISCOLE): design and methods. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:900. 448 32. Bank W. World development indicators 2012. Washington, DC: Development Data Group, The 449 World Bank. 2012. 450 33. UNDP. Human Development Report 2011, Sustainability and Equity: A Better Future for All. 451 UNDP New York; 2011. 452 34. Ravens-Sieberer U, Europe KG. The Kidscreen questionnaires: quality of life questionnaires for children and adolescents; handbook. Pabst Science Publ.; 2006. 453 454 Parizi AS, Garmaroudi G, Fazel M, et al. Psychometric properties of KIDSCREEN health-related 35. 455 quality of life questionnaire in Iranian adolescents. Quality of Life Research. 2014;23(7):2133-456 2138. 457 36. Masquillier C, Wouters E, Loos J, Nöstlinger C. Measuring health-related quality of life of HIV-458 positive adolescents in resource-constrained settings. *PloS one.* 2012;7(7):e40628.

- Tudor-Locke C, Mire EF, Barreira TV, et al. Nocturnal sleep-related variables from 24-h free-living
 waist-worn accelerometry: International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the
 Environment. *Int J Obes Suppl.* 2015;5(Suppl 2):S47-52.
- 46238.Barreira TV, Schuna JM, Jr., Mire EF, et al. Identifying children's nocturnal sleep using 24-h waist463accelerometry. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2015;47(5):937-943.
- 464 39. O'Connor A, Wellenius G. Rural-urban disparities in the prevalence of diabetes and coronary
 465 heart disease. *Public Health.* 2012;126(10):813-820.
- 46640.Saloheimo T, González S, Erkkola M, et al. The reliability and validity of a short food frequency467questionnaire among 9–11-year olds: a multinational study on three middle-income and high-468income countries. International journal of obesity supplements. 2015;5(S2):S22.
- 469 41. Onis Md, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Nishida C, Siekmann J. Development of a WHO
 470 growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. *Bulletin of the World health*471 *Organization*. 2007;85:660-667.
- 472 42. Dolsen MR, Wyatt JK, Harvey AG. Sleep, Circadian Rhythms, and Risk Across Health Domains in
 473 Adolescents With an Evening Circadian Preference. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2018:1-11.
- 474 43. Hjorth MF, Chaput J-P, Damsgaard CT, et al. Measure of sleep and physical activity by a single 475 accelerometer: can a waist-worn Actigraph adequately measure sleep in children? *Sleep and*
- 476 Biological Rhythms. 2012;10(4):328-335.

							Highest	Parental Ec	lucation				
							0	(%) ^b		Parental Marital Status (%) ^b			
							Less	High school					
					Healthy	Unhealthy	than	or	College		Divorced		
		Female	Age, yr	zBMI ^a	diet score	diet score	high	some	or		or	Never	
	No.	(%)	mean (SD)	mean (SD)	mean (SD)	mean (SD)	school	college	higher	Married	separated	Married	Widowed
Overall	6266	54.8	10.4 (0.6)	0.46 (1.26)	0.00 (1.00)	-0.05 (0.95)	19.0	40.5	36.3	64.0	14.0	16.0	1.7
Australia (Adelaide)	464	53.7	10.7 (0.4)	0.58 (1.12)	0.24 (0.96)	-0.30 (0.73)	11.2	45.7	40.5	72.0	19.6	4.5	0.7
Brazil (Sao Paulo)	479	51.4	10.5 (0.5)	0.84 (1.41)	-0.43 (1.05)	0.10 (0.90)	21.7	50.3	20.9	52.2	15.7	21.9	2.9
Canada (Ottawa)	513	59.1	10.5 (0.4)	0.41 (1.21)	0.47 (0.98)	-0.49 (0.57)	2.0	26.1	70.8	75.8	13.8	8.8	0.8
China (Tianjin)	470	48.7	9.9 (0.5)	0.73 (1.54)	0.07 (0.90)	-0.26 (0.93)	34.5	44.5	20.6	93.0	6.2	0.0	0.6
Colombia (Bogota)	843	50.7	10.5 (0.6)	0.21 (1.04)	-0.45 (0.74)	-0.08 (0.55)	30.5	51.3	18.2	24.9	24.4	47.6	3.0
England (Bath and North East Somerset)	424	56.8	10.9 (0.5)	0.45 (1.09)	0.03 (0.92)	-0.15 (0.76)	2.8	46.0	42.5	59.4	18.9	13.0	0.2
Finland (Helsinki, Espoo, and Vantaa)	464	54.7	10.5 (0.4)	0.26 (1.04)	-0.16 (0.86)	-0.55 (0.44)	2.6	51.1	40.5	61.4	17.5	14.7	0.4
India (Bangalore)	548	54.4	10.4 (0.5)	0.23 (1.36)	-0.08 (0.89)	-0.10 (0.83)	5.1	21.2	72.6	95.6	1.1	0.4	1.8
Kenya (Nairobi)	469	54.8	10.2 (0.7)	-0.03 (1.21)	0.27 (0.99)	0.12 (1.01)	14.1	46.3	39.5	81.7	6.8	6.8	4.1
Portugal (Porto)	651	56.8	10.4 (0.3)	0.85 (1.15)	0.22 (1.04)	-0.35 (0.66)	41.2	30.0	19.1	69.1	14.3	7.1	0.5
South Africa (Cape Town)	461	61.2	10.3 (0.7)	0.27 (1.29)	0.23 (1.08)	1.14 (1.20)	41.7	32.3	12.4	52.5	8.7	20.4	3.9
USA (Baton Rouge)	480	58.1	9.9 (0.6)	0.74 (1.29)	-0.14 (1.14)	0.63 (1.40)	6.0	41.7	50.8	53.5	15.4	27.7	1.0

Table 1 Study characteristics by study site in 6,266 children in ISCOLE (2011-2013).

^a Body mass index z-score (World Health Organization)

^b Percentages do not add up to 100% due to missingness

Abbreviations: ISCOLE, International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment; USA, United States of America

Table 2 Distribution of HRQoL and objective and subjective sleep variables by study site in ISCOLE

	Australia	Brazil	Canada	China	Colombia	England	Finland	India	Kenya	Portugal	South Africa	USA
HRQoL (mean (SD))	49.86 (8.63)	47.23 (7.72)	51.18 (9.2)	51.2 (11.48)	49.9 (8.11)	50.07 (8.78)	52.62 (8.71)	48.14 (9.26)	47.07 (9.86)	53.04 (10.54)	49.34 (10.88)	50.81 (10.37)
				Actigraph	sleep variabl	es, (mean (SD))					
No. of valid nights (mean (SD))	5.67 (0.67)	5. 67 (0.62)	5.73 (0.59)	5.76 (0.59)	5.69 (0.66)	5.50 (0.80)	4.79 (0.59)	5.44 (0.72)	5.17 (0.93)	5.67 (0.68)	5.57 (0.76)	5.28 (0.84)
Weekday												
Total sleep time, h	9.53 (0.74)	8.34 (1.04)	9.18 (0.92)	8.57 (0.69)	8.38 (0.99)	9.44 (0.79)	8.41 (1.02)	8.35 (0.84)	8.30 (0.98)	8.12 (0.95)	9.06 (0.87)	8.79 (1.05)
Sleep onset, HH:MM	21:19 (0:46)	23:59 (1:10)	21:03 (0:50)	21:01 (0:41)	21:11 (0:50)	21:07 (0:43)	22:25 (0:56)	22:28 (0:47)	21:19 (0:44)	23:53 (0:55)	21:20 (0:44)	21:04 (0:53)
Sleep offset, HH:MM	7:08 (0:38)	7:17 (1:23)	7:03 (0:44)	6:28 (0:24)	6:07 (0:49)	7:14 (0:35)	6:55 (0:39)	6:48 (0:40)	5:54 (0:44)	7:10 (0:42)	6:38 (0:42)	6:38 (0:45)
Midpoint of sleep, HH:MM	2:23 (0:35)	3:08 (1:10)	2:28 (0:38)	2:01 (0:26)	1:56 (0:40)	2:31 (0:31)	2:43 (0:38)	2:38 (0:36)	1:46 (0:33)	3:07 (0:40)	2:07 (0:34)	2:15 (0:39)
Sleep efficiency	0.95 (0.01)	0.96 (0.01)	0.96 (0.01)	0.97 (0.01)	0.96 (0.01)	0.96 (0.01)	0.97 (0.01)	0.97 (0.01)	0.96 (0.02)	0.97 (0.01)	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.01)
Weekend												
Total sleep time, h	9.23 (1.35)	9.00 (1.42)	8.88 (1.24)	9.22 (1.11)	9.62 (1.27)	9.61 (1.34)	8.55 (1.45)	9.13 (1.19)	9.30 (1.37)	8.58 (1.39)	9.43 (1.36)	9.04 (1.37)
Sleep onset, HH:MM	22:22 (1:13)	23:20 (1:23)	22:12 (1:10)	22:34 (1:01)	22:44 (1:13)	22:23 (1:07)	23:44 (1:07)	22:09 (1:01)	21:01 (1:05)	23:13 (1:10)	22:40 (1:07)	22:04 (1:18)
Sleep offset, HH:MM	7:46 (1:10)	8:37 (1:22)	7:35 (1:09)	7:35 (1:02)	7:49 (1:14)	8:08 (1:09)	7:46 (1:10)	7:55 (1:08)	7:12 (1:10)	8:18 (1:18)	7:42 (1:09)	7:55 (1:16)
Midpoint of sleep, HH:MM	3:10 (1:00)	4:07 (1:11)	3:10 (0:59)	2:59 (0:52)	3:01 (1:03)	3:21 (0:55)	3:29 (0:54)	3:21 (0:54)	2:34 (0:54)	4:01 (1:02)	2:59 (0:55)	3:24 (1:06)
Sleep efficiency	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)	0.97 (0.01)	0.97 (0.01)	0.96 (0.02)	0.97 (0.01)	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)
Overall variability ^a												
Total sleep time, h	1.04 (0.60)	1.50 (0.70)	1.11 (0.58)	0.98 (0.53)	1.52 (0.73)	1.06 (0.56)	1.24 (0.70)	1.13 (0.61)	1.17 (0.69)	1.23 (0.59)	1.21 (0.64)	1.26 (0.73)
Sleep onset, h	0.88 (0.48)	1.04 (0.54)	0.92 (0.52)	0.76 (0.41)	0.90 (0.46)	0.81 (0.44)	0.97 (0.66)	0.75 (0.46)	0.73 (0.45)	0.98 (0.53)	0.92 (0.5)	1.05 (0.56)
Sleep offset, h	0.82 (0.49)	1.34 (0.62)	0.85 (0.47)	0.81 (0.48)	1.43 (0.70)	0.88 (0.50)	0.97 (0.49)	0.97 (0.53)	1.01 (0.67)	1.09 (0.49)	0.97 (0.57)	1.08 (0.63)
Midpoint of sleep, h	0.69 (0.36)	0.96 (0.41)	0.71 (0.37)	0.64 (0.33)	0.94 (0.44)	0.69 (0.35)	0.79 (0.40)	0.68 (0.36)	0.69 (0.40)	0.85 (0.39)	0.76 (0.38)	0.88 (0.43)
Sleep efficiency	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)
Weekday-to-weekend difference ^b												
Total sleep time, h	-0.30 (1.41)	0.66 (1.75)	-0.31 (1.27)	0.66 (1.15)	1.24 (1.49)	0.17 (1.37)	0.14 (1.53)	0.78 (1.29)	1.00 (1.42)	0.46 (1.48)	0.37 (1.57)	0.24 (1.54)
Sleep onset, h	0.94 (1.08)	0.65 (1.12)	0.84 (1.05)	0.45 (0.89)	0.44 (1.09)	0.74 (0.96)	0.68 (1.16)	0.31 (0.90)	0.29 (1.02)	0.67 (1.14)	0.67 (1.08)	1.01 (1.18)
Sleep offset, h	0.65 (1.09)	1.33 (1.50)	0.55 (1.00)	1.11 (1.00)	1.69 (1.28)	0.92 (1.10)	0.85 (1.14)	1.11 (1.08)	1.30 (1.20)	1.14 (1.26)	1.06 (1.17)	1.27 (1.24)
Midpoint of sleep, h	0.80 (0.83)	0.99 (1.00)	0.70 (0.82)	0.79 (0.75)	1.07 (0.93)	0.83 (0.78)	0.77 (0.86)	0.71 (0.76)	0.80 (0.86)	0.91 (0.96)	0.87 (0.82)	1.14 (0.94)
Sleep efficiency	0 (0.01)	0 (0.02)	0 (0.01)	-0.01 (0.02)	0 (0.02)	0 (0.01)	0 (0.01)	0 (0.01)	0 (0.02)	0 (0.01)	0 (0.02)	0 (0.02)
				Self-rep	orted sleep va	ariables, N (%)	1					
Sleep quantity, fairly bad or very bad	49 (8.2)	26 (5.4)	45 (8.8)	67 (14.3)	60 (7.1)	49 (11.6)	40 (8.6)	74 (13.5)	40 (8.5)	31 (4.8)	54 (11.7)	61 (12.7)
Sleep quality, fairly bad or very bad	39 (8.4)	22 (4.6)	42 (8.2)	72 (15.3)	35 (4.2)	64 (15.1)	33 (7.1)	44 (8.0)	15 (3.2)	24 (3.7)	33 (7.2)	59 (12.3)

^a Measured as standard deviation of all valid nights

^b Measured as the average of sleep variables for weekends minus that for weekdays

Abbreviations: HRQoL, health-related quality of life; ISCOLE, International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment SD, standard deviation; USA, United States of America

					p-for-	
	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	trend	1 h increase
	١	Weekday total sleep t	ime, h			
Median and IQR	7.51 (7.08, 7.80)	8.38 (8.21, 8.53)	8.99 (8.83, 9.16)	9.78 (9.53, 10.14)		
Adjusted mean difference and 95% CI in HRQoL						
Australia	-1.04 (-6.63, 4.55)	-2.47 (-5.16, 0.21)	-0.92 (-2.67, 0.82)	ref	0.08	0.56 (-0.53, 1.65)
Brazil	-2.00 (-4.03, 0.04)	-2.05 (-4.19, 0.10)	-3.06 (-5.34, -0.78)	ref	0.25	0.65 (-0.02, 1.32)
Canada	-0.50 (-3.20, 2.20)	-0.03 (-2.30, 2.23)	-0.38 (-2.30, 1.54)	ref	0.85	0.05 (-0.81, 0.92)
China	-3.38 (-7.23, 0.47)	-0.42 (-3.89, 3.04)	-3.14 (-6.69, 0.41)	ref	0.61	0.52 (-0.95, 1.99)
Colombia	-0.38 (-2.01, 1.25)	-0.27 (-1.95, 1.41)	0.53 (-1.26, 2.32)	ref	0.38	0.19 (-0.36, 0.73)
England	0.30 (-5.14, 5.73)	0.81 (-1.78, 3.41)	0.46 (-1.42, 2.35)	ref	0.54	-0.39 (-1.45, 0.66)
Finland	-0.28 (-2.75, 2.19)	-1.29 (-3.83, 1.24)	-1.13 (-3.63, 1.36)	ref	0.97	0.08 (-0.71, 0.87)
India	-0.20 (-2.79, 2.40)	-1.14 (-3.70, 1.42)	-0.37 (-3.05, 2.31)	ref	0.93	-0.27 (-1.19, 0.65)
Kenya	-0.29 (-3.00, 2.41)	-0.61 (-3.37, 2.15)	-0.42 (-3.41, 2.57)	ref	0.89	0.05 (-0.81, 0.91)
Portugal	0.80 (-2.20, 3.81)	0.66 (-2.47, 3.79)	1.56 (-1.68, 4.81)	ref	0.95	0.07 (-0.76, 0.90)
South Africa	2.90 (-0.52, 6.32)	0.04 (-2.57, 2.65)	-0.76 (-3.07, 1.54)	ref	0.24	-0.51 (-1.62, 0.60)
USA	1.34 (-1.45, 4.12)	1.04 (-1.57 <i>,</i> 3.66)	0.74 (-1.72, 3.21)	ref	0.32	-0.53 (-1.43, 0.37)
Overall	-0.40 (-1.09, 0.30)	-0.40 (-1.07, 0.28)	-0.38 (-1.03, 0.28)	ref	0.30	0.09 (-0.15, 0.33)
	N	Veekend total sleep t	ime, h			
Median and IQR	7.68 (7.00, 8.08)	8.82 (8.60, 9.01)	9.57 (9.37, 9.77)	10.50 (10.20, 11.03)		
Adjusted mean difference and 95% CI in HRQoL						
Australia	-0.25 (-2.45, 1.95)	-1.28 (-3.49, 0.93)	-0.44 (-2.51, 1.64)	ref	0.63	0.00 (-0.57, 0.57)
Brazil	-0.60 (-2.60, 1.41)	0.22 (-1.86, 2.30)	-0.67 (-2.72, 1.38)	ref	0.76	0.12 (-0.37, 0.61)
Canada	0.42 (-2.11, 2.95)	1.56 (-0.95 <i>,</i> 4.07)	-0.78 (-3.24, 1.68)	ref	0.31	-0.46 (-1.13, 0.20)
China	-0.19 (-3.39, 3.01)	-0.51 (-3.33, 2.31)	0.26 (-2.57, 3.09)	ref	0.74	-0.05 (-0.96, 0.85)
Colombia	-0.61 (-2.27, 1.05)	0.75 (-0.74, 2.24)	-0.63 (-1.97, 0.70)	ref	0.94	0.01 (-0.41, 0.43)
England	-2.35 (-4.82, 0.12)	-0.92 (-3.21, 1.37)	1.10 (-0.98, 3.19)	ref	0.05	0.61 (-0.02, 1.23)
Finland	-2.19 (-4.68, 0.30)	-0.08 (-2.73, 2.58)	-0.51 (-3.19, 2.18)	ref	0.05	0.25 (-0.31, 0.80)
India	0.26 (-1.94, 2.46)	1.05 (-1.00, 3.10)	-1.19 (-3.34, 0.97)	ref	0.33	0.04 (-0.57, 0.66)
Kenya	-1.30 (-3.79, 1.18)	-0.51 (-2.81, 1.79)	-1.39 (-3.66, 0.87)	ref	0.45	0.26 (-0.36, 0.88)
Portugal	-0.13 (-2.61, 2.36)	-0.76 (-3.42, 1.89)	1.12 (-1.62, 3.85)	ref	0.52	0.24 (-0.34, 0.82)
South Africa	-0.32 (-3.12, 2.48)	-0.44 (-3.02, 2.14)	0.39 (-2.22, 3.00)	ref	0.71	0.08 (-0.66, 0.81)
USA	0.86 (-1.85, 3.57)	-0.18 (-2.81, 2.45)	-0.39 (-3.20, 2.43)	ref	0.50	-0.33 (-1.01, 0.36)

Table 3 Multivariable adjusted ^a associations between HRQoL and objectively-measured total sleep time in ISCOLE

Overall	-0.20 (-0.87, 0.47)	0.07 (-0.58, 0.72)	-0.14 (-0.78, 0.51)	ref	0.72	-0.01 (-0.18, 0.17)
	Overa	II variability total slee	ep time, ^b h			
Median and IQR	0.56 (0.44, 0.65)	0.91 (0.82, 0.99)	1.29 (1.18, 1.42)	2.00 (1.74, 2.43)		
Adjusted mean difference and 95% CI in HRQoL						
Australia	ref	1.43 (-0.48, 3.34)	0.12 (-2.12, 2.36)	0.77 (-1.50, 3.05)	0.65	-0.23 (-1.54, 1.07)
Brazil	ref	0.07 (-2.66, 2.80)	-0.40 (-3.02, 2.23)	-1.04 (-3.63, 1.54)	0.22	-0.80 (-1.78, 0.18)
Canada	ref	0.63 (-1.41, 2.68)	2.23 (0.11, 4.36)	0.94 (-1.45, 3.33)	0.15	0.94 (-0.43, 2.30)
China	ref	0.22 (-2.23, 2.67)	0.06 (-2.77, 2.88)	1.11 (-2.33, 4.55)	0.63	0.09 (-1.80, 1.97)
Colombia	ref	0.09 (-1.87, 2.04)	-0.57 (-2.44, 1.29)	0.50 (-1.26, 2.25)	0.50	0.22 (-0.51, 0.95)
England	ref	-1.12 (-3.23, 1.00)	-2.99 (-5.12, -0.86)	0.57 (-1.92, 3.05)	0.39	-0.18 (-1.63, 1.27)
Finland	ref	-2.10 (-4.44, 0.23)	-1.68 (-3.90, 0.54)	-1.41 (-3.55, 0.74)	0.26	-0.42 (-1.55, 0.71)
India	ref	-0.13 (-2.12, 1.86)	-0.26 (-2.33, 1.80)	1.25 (-0.89, 3.40)	0.34	0.85 (-0.37, 2.08)
Kenya	ref	-0.27 (-2.54, 2.00)	0.25 (-2.10, 2.60)	0.35 (-2.06, 2.76)	0.70	-0.13 (-1.35, 1.09)
Portugal	ref	-1.31 (-3.71, 1.09)	0.27 (-1.97, 2.50)	-1.02 (-3.38, 1.34)	0.75	-0.62 (-1.96, 0.72)
South Africa	ref	-1.52 (-4.24, 1.20)	1.53 (-1.13, 4.20)	-0.86 (-3.66, 1.94)	0.83	0.22 (-1.26, 1.70)
USA	ref	2.40 (-0.18, 4.97)	1.17 (-1.51, 3.84)	-0.06 (-2.59, 2.46)	0.70	-0.74 (-2.05, 0.56)
Overall	ref	-0.11 (-0.75, 0.54)	0.13 (-0.52, 0.78)	0 (-0.66, 0.65)	0.84	-0.11 (-0.46, 0.24)
	Weekday-to-w	eekend difference of	total sleep time, ^c h			
Median and IQR	-1.18 (-1.76, -0.78)	0.03 (-0.20, 0.24)	0.89 (0.67, 1.13)	2.17 (1.72, 2.76)		
Adjusted mean difference and 95% CI in HRQoL						
Australia	-0.65 (-2.42, 1.12)	ref	0.30 (-2.06, 2.65)	-1.89 (-4.86, 1.09)	0.95	-0.14 (-0.68, 0.40)
Brazil	-0.69 (-2.75, 1.37)	ref	-2.20 (-4.29, -0.11)	-0.81 (-2.80, 1.18)	0.52	-0.13 (-0.54, 0.27)
Canada	-0.01 (-1.84, 1.81)	ref	-1.16 (-3.47, 1.16)	-0.38 (-3.50, 2.73)	0.46	-0.41 (-1.03, 0.21)
China	-1.21 (-4.64, 2.21)	ref	-1.76 (-4.31, 0.79)	-0.94 (-3.77, 1.88)	0.65	-0.21 (-1.08, 0.66)
Colombia	-0.81 (-2.87, 1.25)	ref	-0.95 (-2.61, 0.71)	-0.58 (-2.13, 0.96)	0.87	-0.08 (-0.44, 0.28)
England	-2.22 (-4.28, -0.16)	ref	1.42 (-0.84, 3.68)	-1.02 (-3.58, 1.53)	0.06	0.68 (0.09, 1.27)
Finland	0.55 (-1.50, 2.60)	ref	-0.21 (-2.45, 2.03)	1.16 (-1.18, 3.49)	0.77	0.18 (-0.33, 0.70)
India	2.13 (-0.23, 4.50)	ref	0.59 (-1.38, 2.55)	1.53 (-0.46, 3.52)	0.89	0.14 (-0.44, 0.72)
Kenya	1.84 (-1.17, 4.84)	ref	1.67 (-0.84, 4.17)	2.03 (-0.41, 4.47)	0.41	0.22 (-0.38, 0.82)
Portugal	0.44 (-1.77, 2.65)	ref	0.45 (-1.83, 2.73)	1.77 (-0.49 <i>,</i> 4.03)	0.23	0.18 (-0.36, 0.72)
South Africa	-2.90 (-5.55, -0.26)	ref	-1.91 (-4.63, 0.81)	-0.82 (-3.63, 1.99)	0.28	0.22 (-0.40, 0.83)
USA	-0.86 (-3.29, 1.58)	ref	-0.03 (-2.69, 2.64)	-1.00 (-3.72, 1.73)	0.98	-0.02 (-0.62, 0.59)
Overall	-0.25 (-0.90, 0.39)	ref	-0.40 (-1.04, 0.25)	-0.15 (-0.81, 0.51)	0.94	-0.03 (-0.19, 0.12)

^a Models were adjusted for age, sex, parental education, parental marital status, household income, healthy diet score, unhealthy diet score and bodymass index. School was included as a random effect.

^b Measured as standard deviation of all valid nights.

^c Measured as the weekend sleep duration – weekday sleep duration.

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; IQR, interquartile range; ISCOLE, International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment USA, United States of America.

		Quartiles of	Sleep Variable			
	Q1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	p-for- trend	1 h increase
	Weekd	ay sleep midpoint, HH	:MM			
Median and IQR	1:35 (1:20, 1:46)	2:09 (2:02, 2:15)	2:36 (2:29, 2:43)	3:16 (3:03 <i>,</i> 3:38)		
Adjusted mean difference a	and 95% CI in HRQoL					
Australia	ref	-2.07 (-4.23, 0.10)	-1.01 (-3.14, 1.12)	-1.10 (-3.50, 1.30)	0.54	-0.58 (-1.92, 0.77)
Brazil	ref	-0.74 (-3.47, 1.99)	-0.31 (-2.80, 2.18)	0.74 (-1.50, 2.97)	0.24	0.22 (-0.39, 0.82)
Canada	ref	0.72 (-1.56, 3.00)	-0.02 (-2.32, 2.28)	-2.08 (-4.41, 0.25)	0.04	-1.45 (-2.68, -0.22)
China	ref	0.38 (-2.19, 2.95)	-0.73 (-3.66, 2.20)	-0.86 (-5.73, 4.00)	0.54	-1.06 (-3.53, 1.40)
Colombia	ref	0.42 (-0.93, 1.77)	-0.30 (-1.81, 1.21)	-0.44 (-2.46, 1.57)	0.65	-0.02 (-0.84, 0.80)
England	ref	2.75 (-0.34, 5.84)	3.25 (0.23, 6.28)	4.34 (1.13 <i>,</i> 7.56)	0.01	2.52 (0.92, 4.13)
Finland	ref	-0.48 (-3.81, 2.86)	-0.32 (-3.56, 2.92)	-1.45 (-4.62, 1.72)	0.25	-0.59 (-1.83 <i>,</i> 0.65)
India	ref	-0.51 (-3.41, 2.39)	-0.38 (-3.17, 2.41)	-1.79 (-4.62, 1.04)	0.14	-0.71 (-1.97, 0.55)
Kenya	ref	2.16 (0.19, 4.14)	-2.06 (-5.25, 1.14)	-2.24 (-6.69, 2.21)	0.55	-0.92 (-2.46, 0.62)
Portugal	ref	-3.07 (-9.58, 3.44)	0.52 (-5.48, 6.51)	1.21 (-4.68, 7.10)	0.03	1.48 (0.29, 2.67)
South Africa	ref	0.20 (-2.16, 2.56)	0.36 (-2.40, 3.13)	0.73 (-3.13, 4.60)	0.69	0.31 (-1.51, 2.13)
USA	ref	-1.88 (-4.24, 0.48)	-0.70 (-3.31, 1.92)	-3.25 (-6.19, -0.31)	0.08	-1.68 (-3.16, -0.20)
Overall	ref	0.22 (-0.45, 0.88)	0.41 (-0.28, 1.09)	0.25 (-0.48, 0.98)	0.42	0.11 (-0.23, 0.45)
	Weeke	nd sleep midpoint, HH	:MM			
Median and IQR	2:08 (1:48, 2:21)	2:54 (2:43, 3:03)	3:33 (3:23, 3:45)	4:34 (4:13 <i>,</i> 5:03)		
Adjusted mean difference a	and 95% CI in HRQoL					
Australia	ref	-0.63 (-2.68, 1.41)	-1.68 (-3.83, 0.47)	-1.65 (-3.87, 0.57)	0.09	-0.70 (-1.48, .007)
Brazil	ref	-0.20 (-3.18, 2.79)	0.54 (-2.30, 3.38)	0.50 (-2.08, 3.07)	0.53	-0.05 (-0.65, 0.55)
Canada	ref	-1.25 (-3.35, 0.85)	-1.64 (-3.84, 0.56)	-1.56 (-3.92, 0.80)	0.15	-0.73 (-1.55 <i>,</i> 0.09)
China	ref	-0.06 (-2.60, 2.48)	3.00 (0.16, 5.84)	0.05 (-3.38, 3.49)	0.27	0.08 (-1.12, 1.28)
Colombia	ref	-0.95 (-2.34, 0.45)	0.99 (-0.43, 2.4)	0.45 (-1.17, 2.07)	0.22	0.17 (-0.35, 0.68)
England	ref	3.13 (0.69, 5.56)	3.68 (1.18, 6.18)	5.39 (2.69, 8.09)	0.0002	1.62 (0.71, 2.54)
Finland	ref	1.13 (-1.49, 3.75)	0.01 (-2.44, 2.46)	-0.42 (-3.00, 2.15)	0.41	-0.45 (-1.35, 0.46)
India	ref	0.13 (-2.10, 2.35)	0.63 (-1.51, 2.77)	0.49 (-1.84, 2.82)	0.57	0.04 (-0.80, 0.87)
Kenya	ref	0.38 (-1.69, 2.46)	-0.51 (-3.03, 2.00)	-1.66 (-4.92, 1.60)	0.40	-0.16 (-1.09, 0.77)
Portugal	ref	2.52 (-1.21, 6.26)	2.09 (-1.50, 5.67)	3.00 (-0.43, 6.43)	0.15	0.91 (0.13, 1.69)
South Africa	ref	-0.69 (-3.05, 1.68)	0.84 (-1.81, 3.49)	-1.51 (-4.55, 1.54)	0.69	-0.49 (-1.54, 0.56)

Table 4 Multivariable adjusted ^a associations between HRQoL and objectively-measured sleep midpoint in ISCOLE.

USA	ref	-0.36 (-3.07, 2.34)	1.37 (-1.22, 3.97)	-0.26 (-3.02, 2.49)	0.81	0.09 (-0.78, 0.95)
Overall	ref	0.10 (-0.55, 0.75)	0.80 (0.14, 1.46)	0.42 (-0.26, 1.10)	0.07	0.13 (-0.10, 0.35)
	Overall va	riability of sleep midpo	oint, h ^b			
Median and IQR	0.36 (0.28, 0.42)	0.60 (0.54, 0.65)	0.84 (0.77, 0.93)	1.27 (1.13, 1.48)		
Adjusted mean difference and 95%	% CI in HRQoL					
Australia	ref	2.01 (0.06, 3.95)	-1.70 (-3.84, 0.44)	-0.80 (-3.04, 1.45)	0.12	-1.66 (-3.77, 0.45)
Brazil	ref	-2.10 (-4.79, 0.60)	-1.67 (-4.17, 0.83)	-1.93 (-4.37, 0.51)	0.30	-1.57 (-3.28, 0.13)
Canada	ref	-0.25 (-2.27, 1.77)	0.92 (-1.32, 3.16)	-0.09 (-2.52, 2.34)	0.75	-0.003 (-2.20, 2.19)
China	ref	-0.34 (-2.78, 2.10)	4.08 (1.27, 6.90)	-0.02 (-3.21, 3.18)	0.17	1.37 (-1.69, 4.42)
Colombia	ref	-1.08 (-2.94, 0.78)	-0.79 (-2.59, 1.01)	-0.39 (-2.08, 1.30)	0.94	-0.09 (-1.32, 1.13)
England	ref	0.17 (-1.94, 2.28)	1.62 (-0.64, 3.87)	1.62 (-1.01, 4.25)	0.11	2.67 (0.25, 5.10)
Finland	ref	1.94 (-0.31, 4.20)	3.25 (1.05, 5.44)	0.66 (-1.60, 2.92)	0.32	0.12 (-1.89, 2.14)
India	ref	-0.06 (-1.97, 1.85)	0.37 (-1.56, 2.31)	0.92 (-1.40, 3.25)	0.43	0.90 (-1.15, 2.94)
Kenya	ref	0.99 (-1.15, 3.12)	-1.54 (-3.87, 0.78)	-1.11 (-3.51, 1.28)	0.17	-1.33 (-3.41, 0.75)
Portugal	ref	0.20 (-2.34, 2.74)	-0.20 (-2.60, 2.20)	0.83 (-1.59, 3.25)	0.54	0.21 (-1.86, 2.28)
South Africa	ref	-0.36 (-3.01, 2.28)	0.22 (-2.42, 2.86)	-0.68 (-3.40, 2.05)	0.76	-0.58 (-3.09, 1.93)
USA	ref	1.58 (-1.41, 4.56)	0.91 (-1.81, 3.64)	0.61 (-2.08, 3.29)	0.89	0.63 (-1.50, 2.76)
Overall	ref	0.37 (-0.27, 1.01)	0.52 (-0.13, 1.17)	0.17 (-0.50, 0.83)	0.55	-0.06 (-0.64, 0.52)
	Weekday-to-week	end difference of slee	ep midpoint, h ^c			
Median and IQR	-0.03 (-0.35, 0.17)	0.57 (0.44, 0.69)	1.06 (0.93, 1.22)	1.59 (1.87, 2.31)		
Adjusted mean difference and 95%	% CI in HRQoL					
Australia	ref	-0.61 (-2.68, 1.46)	0.07 (-2.10, 2.23)	-2.09 (-4.27, 0.10)	0.12	-0.70 (-1.62, 0.20)
Brazil	ref	0 (-2.17, 2.16)	0.79 (-1.19, 2.77)	-0.5 (-2.39, 1.40)	0.72	-0.37 (-1.07, 0.34)
Canada	ref	-0.91 (-2.91, 1.08)	-0.78 (-2.97, 1.41)	-0.93 (-3.30, 1.44)	0.42	-0.13 (-1.13, 0.86)
China	ref	-0.65 (-3.38, 2.09)	0.53 (-2.30, 3.36)	2.26 (-0.80, 5.33)	0.12	0.40 (-0.96, 1.76)
Colombia	ref	-0.49 (-2.19, 1.21)	0.56 (-1.05, 2.18)	0.50 (-1.06, 2.05)	0.30	0.22 (-0.36, 0.81)
England	ref	2.67 (0.40, 4.94)	2.58 (0.25, 4.91)	2.66 (0.21, 5.12)	0.05	1.05 (-0.02, 2.11)
Finland	ref	0.27 (-1.94, 2.48)	1.05 (-1.20, 3.31)	0.21 (-2.11, 2.53)	0.68	-0.15 (-1.10, 0.80)
India	ref	0.39 (-1.54, 2.32)	0.37 (-1.68, 2.42)	0.59 (-1.75, 2.92)	0.63	0.48 (-0.50, 1.46)
Kenya	ref	3.18 (0.91, 5.45)	1.32 (-0.93, 3.57)	0.47 (-2.05, 3.00)	0.93	0.21 (-0.78, 1.20)
Portugal	ref	-1.25 (-3.59, 1.08)	-0.82 (-3.11, 1.46)	-0.39 (-2.58, 1.80)	0.83	0.32 (-0.52, 1.17)
South Africa	ref	0.30 (-2.38, 2.97)	-0.54 (-3.23, 2.16)	-0.98 (-3.76, 1.81)	0.40	-0.73 (-1.90, 0.43)
USA	ref	0.44 (-2.51, 3.38)	1.73 (-1.20, 4.65)	1.87 (-0.83, 4.57)	0.12	0.83 (-0.14, 1.81)
Overall	ref	0.12 (-0.52, 0.76)	0.43 (-0.22, 1.08)	0.20 (-0.45, 0.86)	0.38	0.11 (-0.16, 0.37)

² ^a Models were adjusted for age, sex, parental education, parental marital status, household income, healthy diet score, unhealthy diet score

- 3 and body-mass index. School was included as a random effect.
- 4 ^b Measured as standard deviation of all valid nights.
- 5 ^c Measured as weekend sleep midpoint weekday sleep midpoints.
- 6 Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; IQR, interquartile range; ISCOLE, International Study of Childhood
- 7 Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment USA, United States of America.
- 8 **Table 5** Multivariable adjusted ^a associations between HRQoL and objectively-measured sleep efficiency in ISCOLE.

			_			
	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	p-for- trend	1% increase
		Weekday sle	ep efficiency, %			
Median and IQR	94.5 (93.8, 95.1)	95.9 (95.6, 96.1)	96.8 (96.6, 97.0)	97.8 (97.5, 98.2)		
Adjusted mean differen	nce and 95% CI in HRQoL					
Australia	1.11 (-1.81, 4.03)	0.50 (-2.60, 3.59)	1.82 (-1.37, 5.02)	ref	0.88	-0.17 (-0.71, 0.37)
Brazil	0.41 (-2.04, 2.85)	-0.08 (-2.59, 2.43)	0.34 (-2.38, 3.06)	ref	0.78	-0.04 (-0.52, 0.44)
Canada	1.88 (-0.41, 4.17)	-0.03 (-2.28, 2.21)	-1.28 (-3.59, 1.03)	ref	0.05	-0.74 (-1.32, -0.16)
China	2.85 (-0.30, 5.99)	-0.68 (-3.56, 2.20)	0.79 (-1.73, 3.32)	ref	0.24	-0.48 (-1.30, 0.34)
Colombia	0.21 (-1.46, 1.88)	-0.75 (-2.41, 0.92)	-0.75 (-2.46, 0.97)	ref	0.58	-0.08 (-0.49, 0.32)
England	-1.65 (-4.39, 1.09)	-0.52 (-3.32, 2.29)	-1.07 (-3.96, 1.82)	ref	0.30	0.15 (-0.40, 0.71)
Finland	0.97 (-1.79, 3.73)	-0.19 (-2.32, 1.95)	-1.46 (-3.40, 0.49)	ref	0.67	-0.05 (-0.74, 0.65)
India	0.72 (-1.53, 2.96)	0.91 (-1.03, 2.85)	0.63 (-1.27, 2.54)	ref	0.39	-0.42 (-0.98, 0.14)
Kenya	0.77 (-1.71, 3.25)	-0.05 (-2.55, 2.44)	0.67 (-1.82, 3.16)	ref	0.69	-0.18 (-0.75, 0.36)
Portugal	-2.89 (-6.87, 1.09)	0.08 (-2.22, 2.37)	0.86 (-0.97, 2.68)	ref	0.57	0.14 (-0.66, 0.94)
South Africa	-1.77 (-4.47, 0.93)	-0.84 (-3.50, 1.83)	0.04 (-2.70, 2.78)	ref	0.15	0.46 (-0.17, 1.09)
USA	-0.17 (-2.83, 2.49)	-0.80 (-3.44, 1.83)	1.44 (-1.22, 4.09)	ref	0.46	0.09 (-0.59, 0.77)
Overall	0.07 (-0.61, 0.75)	-0.50 (-1.16, 0.16)	0.06 (-0.58, 0.71)	ref	0.74	-0.03 (-0.19, 0.14)
		Weekend sle	eep efficiency, %			
Median and IQR	94.3 (93.5, 94.8)	95.8 (95.5, 96.1)	96.8 (96.6, 97.1)	97.9 (97.6 <i>,</i> 98.4)		
Adjusted mean differen	nce and 95% CI in HRQoL					
Australia	1.19 (-1.28, 3.65)	1.41 (-1.14, 3.97)	0.24 (-2.40, 2.88)	ref	0.24	-0.17 (-0.64, 0.29)
Brazil	0.08 (-2.2, 2.36)	-0.18 (-2.53, 2.18)	1.02 (-1.49, 3.52)	ref	0.66	0.09 (-0.35, 0.52)
Canada	2.37 (0.20, 4.54)	1.59 (-0.64, 3.82)	-0.77 (-2.91, 1.36)	ref	0.008	-0.72 (-1.22, 0.22)
China	0.26 (-2.67, 3.19)	0.27 (-2.61, 3.15)	0.65 (-2.19, 3.50)	ref	0.94	-0.13 (-0.72, 0.46)
Colombia	0.57 (-1.02, 2.17)	-0.48 (-2.06, 1.11)	0.70 (-0.98, 2.38)	ref	0.82	-0.06 (-0.39, 0.27)

England	-0.67 (-3.14, 1.8)	-0.43 (-2.91, 2.06)	-1.04 (-3.67, 1.58)	ref	0.79	0.03 (-0.44, 0.49)
Finland	-0.60 (-3.48, 2.29)	-0.42 (-2.62, 1.78)	-0.21 (-2.1, 1.69)	ref	0.62	0.07 (-0.54, 0.68)
India	2.60 (0.47, 4.73)	-0.55 (-2.56, 1.46)	-0.94 (-2.85, 0.96)	ref	0.05	-0.69 (-1.18, -0.21)
Kenya	0.77 (-1.71, 3.26)	-0.56 (-3.10, 1.97)	1.44 (-1.30, 4.17)	ref	0.95	-0.25 (-0.74, 0.23)
Portugal	-2.17 (-5.60, 1.25)	0.06 (-2.21, 2.34)	0.85 (-1.01, 2.70)	ref	0.53	0.15 (-0.53, 0.84)
South Africa	-0.10 (-2.73, 2.54)	0.02 (-2.71, 2.74)	1.28 (-1.60, 4.15)	ref	0.72	0.20 (-0.34, 0.73)
USA	-0.30 (-3.05, 2.46)	-1.37 (-4.05, 1.30)	-1.14 (-3.79, 1.50)	ref	0.85	0.08 (-0.49, 0.66)
Overall	0.15 (-0.52, 0.81)	-0.23 (-0.88, 0.42)	0.12 (-0.52, 0.77)	ref	0.93	-0.05 (-0.19 <i>,</i> 0.09)
		Overall variability	of sleep efficiency, b %	6		
Median and IQR	0.66 (0.52, 0.75)	1.03 (0.94, 1.10)	2.38 (1.29, 1.49)	2.00 (1.77, 2.33)		
Adjusted mean differ	ence and 95% CI in HRQoL					
Australia	ref	-1.85 (-4.25, 0.55)	-0.59 (-2.87, 1.7)	-1.13 (-3.38, 1.13)	0.63	-0.13 (-1.43, 1.18)
Brazil	ref	-0.23 (-2.42, 1.96)	0.84 (-1.31, 2.98)	-0.18 (-2.25, 1.88)	0.97	-0.37 (-1.43, 0.69)
Canada	ref	-1.93 (-4.03, 0.17)	-1.90 (-4.06, 0.26)	0.78 (-1.59, 3.14)	0.72	0.79 (-0.55, 2.12)
China	ref	-0.64 (-3.77, 2.49)	-2.84 (-5.98, 0.31)	0.04 (-3.06, 3.14)	0.89	0.29 (-1.21, 1.80)
Colombia	ref	0.06 (-1.73, 1.85)	0.19 (-1.49, 1.88)	0.33 (-1.31, 1.97)	0.65	0.05 (-0.77, 0.86)
England	ref	-0.93 (-3.43, 1.56)	-1.01 (-3.49, 1.47)	-1.94 (-4.42, 0.54)	0.14	-0.72 (-1.97, 0.54)
Finland	ref	0.94 (-1.06, 2.93)	-1.60 (-3.70, 0.51)	0.22 (-2.48, 2.93)	0.49	-0.51 (-2.12, 1.10)
India	ref	-0.47 (-2.52, 1.58)	-1.26 (-3.32, 0.81)	0.81 (-1.32, 2.94)	0.68	1.48 (0.17, 2.78)
Kenya	ref	-1.83 (-4.44, 0.79)	-1.49 (-3.97, 0.98)	-0.38 (-2.79, 2.04)	0.98	0.46 (-0.67, 1.59)
Portugal	ref	0.25 (-1.63, 2.12)	0.72 (-1.67, 3.11)	-2.25 (-5.94, 1.44)	0.75	-0.40 (-2.42, 1.62)
South Africa	ref	-0.12 (-3.05, 2.80)	-1.60 (-4.51, 1.32)	-1.80 (-4.77, 1.16)	0.12	-0.57 (-2.02, 0.89)
USA	ref	0.41 (-2.27, 3.09)	-1.17 (-3.86, 1.51)	-1.78 (-4.34, 0.78)	0.09	-0.77 (-2.20, 0.67)
Overall	ref	-0.57 (-1.21, 0.08)	-1.14 (-1.79, -0.48)	-0.69 (-1.35, -0.03)	0.02	-0.18 (-0.55, 0.18)
	Wee	ekday-to-weekend dif	ference of sleep efficie	ency, ° %		
Median and IQR	-1.56 (-2.24, -1.17)	-0.41 (-0.61, -0.20)	0.38 (0.19, 0.58)	1.45 (1.08, 2.01)		
Adjusted mean differ	ence and 95% CI in HRQoL					
Australia	-0.50 (-2.86, 1.85)	ref	0.45 (-1.84, 2.75)	-0.17 (-2.25, 1.91)	0.97	-0.06 (-0.59 <i>,</i> 0.48)
Brazil	1.13 (-0.87, 3.13)	ref	1.02 (-0.90, 2.95)	0.89 (-1.00, 2.78)	0.40	0.13 (-0.33, 0.59)
Canada	-0.41 (-2.86, 2.03)	ref	-1.01 (-3.33, 1.32)	-0.99 (-3.40, 1.41)	0.35	-0.25 (-0.84, 0.35)
China	0.61 (-1.96, 3.19)	ref	-0.49 (-3.25, 2.28)	1.22 (-1.74, 4.18)	0.64	0.14 (-0.50, 0.79)
Colombia	-0.02 (-1.51, 1.48)	ref	0.23 (-1.32, 1.79)	-0.18 (-1.63, 1.26)	0.88	-0.01 (-0.35, 0.35)
England	1.27 (-1.13, 3.67)	ref	-0.79 (-3.15, 1.58)	0.23 (-2.04, 2.51)	0.74	-0.12 (-0.68, 0.44)
Finland	-1.04 (-3.39, 1.31)	ref	-0.37 (-2.75, 2)	0.55 (-1.80, 2.89)	0.43	0.11 (-0.53, 0.76)
India	-0.56 (-2.54, 1.43)	ref	-0.49 (-2.61, 1.62)	-1.66 (-3.78, 0.45)	0.15	-0.53 (-1.12, 0.05)

Kenya	-1.57 (-3.90, 0.76)	ref	-0.99 (-3.31, 1.33)	0.21 (-2.10, 2.52)	0.86	-0.13 (-0.64, 0.37)
Portugal	-0.84 (-3.22, 1.55)	ref	-1.07 (-3.43, 1.28)	0.81 (-1.95, 3.56)	0.70	0.09 (-0.78, 0.96)
South Africa	0.07 (-2.54, 2.68)	ref	-0.08 (-2.7 <i>,</i> 2.55)	-0.85 (-3.54 <i>,</i> 1.84)	0.56	-0.17 (-0.76, 0.43)
USA	0.96 (-1.69, 3.62)	ref	1.98 (-0.65, 4.61)	0.34 (-2.19, 2.86)	0.71	0.02 (-0.58, 0.62)
Overall	0.15 (-0.49, 0.79)	ref	-0.05 (-0.7, 0.6)	0.09 (-0.56, 0.73)	0.95	-0.04 (-0.20, 0.11)

9 ^a Models were adjusted for age, sex, parental education, parental marital status, household income, healthy diet score, unhealthy index score

10 and body-mass index. School was included as a random effect.

^b Measured as standard deviation of all valid nights.

^c Measured as the difference between weekday and weekend means.

13 Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; IQR, interquartile range; ISCOLE, International Study of Childhood

14 Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment; USA, United States of America.

15 **Table 6** Multivariable adjusted ^a associations between HRQoL and subjectively-measured sleep quantity and quality in ISCOLE

	Sleep Rating								
	Very good	Fairly good	Fairly bad	Very bad	P-for-trend				
		Sleep Quantity	,						
N (%)	2858 (45.6)	2812 (44.9)	437 (7.0)	159 (2.5)					
Adjusted mean difference	e and 95% CI in	HRQoL							
Australia	ref	-3.58 (-5.27, -1.88)	-6.09 (-8.94, -3.24)	2.14 (-5.09, 9.36)	<.0001				
Brazil	ref	-1.83 (-3.26, -0.39)	-6.17 (-10.16, -2.19)	-7.65 (-12.19, -3.10)	<.0001				
Canada	ref	-5.47 (-7.11, -3.84)	-10.08 (-13.15, -7.02)	-9.70 (-15.68, -3.72)	<.0001				
China	ref	-6.18 (-8.25, -4.11)	-10.28 (-13.47, -7.09)	-13.40 (-19.03, -7.77)	<.0001				
Colombia	ref	-2.16 (-3.30, -1.02)	-4.83 (-7.45, -2.21)	-4.77 (-8.02, -1.52)	<.0001				
England	ref	-3.34 (-5.21, -1.48)	-7.43 (-10.44, -4.43)	-8.43 (-14.43, -2.43)	<.0001				
Finland	ref	-5.13 (-6.75, -3.50)	-7.74 (-10.77, -4.70)	-9.06 (-17.34, -0.78)	<.0001				
India	ref	1.29 (-0.29, 2.87)	-3.50 (-6.04, -0.97)	-2.68 (-6.84, 1.49)	0.08				
Kenya	ref	-1.95 (-3.70, -0.20)	-6.64 (-10.31, -2.97)	-1.53 (-6.67, 3.60)	0.002				
Portugal	ref	-2.18 (-3.82, -0.55)	-5.02 (-9.46, -0.58)	1.37 (-5.44, 8.18)	0.01				
South Africa	ref	-1.94 (-4.08, 0.19)	-5.12 (-9.34, -0.89)	-4.44 (-8.38, -0.51)	0.002				
USA	ref	-1.81 (-3.78, 0.17)	-4.58 (-7.84, -1.33)	-3.67 (-8.66, 1.33)	0.003				
Overall	ref	-2.56 (-3.04, -2.08)	-6.21 (-7.12, -5.30)	-5.31 (-6.75, -3.88)	<.0001				
		Sleep Quality							
N (%)	3501 (55.9)	2283 (36.4)	338 (5.4)	144 (2.3)					
Adjusted mean difference	e and 95% CI in	HRQoL							
Australia	ref	-2.64 (-4.30, -0.99)	-4.28 (-7.58, -0.99)	-0.95 (-6.25, 4.35)	0.004				
Brazil	ref	-2.31 (-3.86, -0.77)	-3.14 (-6.81, 0.53)	-7.37 (-14.08, -0.66)	0.0002				
Canada	ref	-5.02 (-6.61, -3.42)	-5.50 (-8.84, -2.16)	-9.93 (-15.24, -4.62)	<.0001				

China	ref	-6.06 (-8.15, -3.98)	-9.92 (-13.18, -6.67)	-11.88 (-16.33, -7.42)	<.0001
Colombia	ref	-3.38 (-4.61, -2.14)	-5.89 (-9.41, -2.37)	-7.61 (-11.45, -3.76)	<.0001
England	ref	-3.74 (-5.50, -1.97)	-7.65 (-10.27, -5.03)	-11.36 (-16.39, -6.32)	<.0001
Finland	ref	-4.81 (-6.36, -3.26)	-7.78 (-10.96, -4.6)	-7.40 (-15.55, 0.75)	<.0001
India	ref	-3.00 (-4.52, -1.48)	-6.36 (-9.47, -3.26)	-5.70 (-10.91, -0.50)	<.0001
Kenya	ref	-2.23 (-4.28, -0.17)	-9.88 (-16.69, -3.08)	-3.22 (-9.59 <i>,</i> 3.16)	0.004
Portugal	ref	-2.29 (-3.93, -0.65)	-7.67 (-12.55, -2.78)	0.78 (-7.49, 9.04)	0.001
South Africa	ref	-4.80 (-7.58, -2.02)	-5.26 (-9.87, -0.64)	-8.95 (-14.54, -3.36)	<.0001
USA	ref	-4.63 (-6.61, -2.66)	-5.33 (-8.93, -1.73)	-8.41 (-12.41, -4.40)	<.0001
Overall	ref	-3.43 (-3.92, -2.94)	-6.08 (-7.09, -5.07)	-7.34 (-8.83, -5.85)	<.0001

^a Models were adjusted for age, sex, parental education, parental marital status, household income, healthy diet score, unhealthy diet score and

17 body-mass index. School was included as a random effect.

18 Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; ISCOLE, International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the

19 Environment; USA, United States of America.

21	Supplementary	/ Table 1 Multivariable ad	usted a associations between HRQoL and tim	ng of sleep onset in ISCOLE.

	Q1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	p-for-trend	1 h increase			
	Weekday timing of sleep onset, HH:MM								
Median and IQR of sleep variable	21:01 (20:44, 21:13)	21:43 (21:34, 21:52)	22:08 (22:13, 22:30)	22:55 (23:00, 23:30)					
Adjusted mean difference and 95% C	l in HRQoL								
Australia	ref	-1.91 (-3.78, -0.03)	-0.79 (-2.95 <i>,</i> 1.38)	-1.53 (-4.43 <i>,</i> 1.36)	0.23	-0.64 (-1.70, 0.42)			
Brazil	ref	-0.50 (-3.58, 2.57)	-0.24 (-3.05, 2.57)	0.06 (-2.49, 2.60)	0.74	-0.04 (-0.64, 0.55)			
Canada	ref	-1.75 (-3.79, 0.28)	-1.46 (-3.61, 0.69)	-1.02 (-3.4, 1.36)	0.33	-0.86 (-1.80, 0.08)			
China	ref	0.89 (-1.87, 3.64)	-0.09 (-3.00, 2.82)	-1.56 (-5.28, 2.17)	0.37	-0.72 (-2.25, 0.81)			
Colombia	ref	0.92 (-0.45, 2.29)	0.08 (-1.35, 1.51)	-0.34 (-2.04, 1.36)	0.72	-0.15 (-0.80, 0.49)			
England	ref	2.17 (0.09, 4.24)	1.36 (-0.88, 3.6)	3.81 (0.65, 6.98)	0.05	1.60 (0.42, 2.78)			
Finland	ref	-0.69 (-3.85, 2.48)	-1.02 (-4.03, 1.98)	-1.65 (-4.61, 1.31)	0.21	-0.31 (-1.15, 0.52)			
India	ref	-1.14 (-4.43, 2.14)	-0.46 (-3.66, 2.73)	-0.82 (-3.99, 2.35)	0.92	-0.28 (-1.25, 0.69)			
Кепуа	ref	-0.08 (-2.06, 1.91)	0.45 (-1.89, 2.79)	-1.82 (-4.99 <i>,</i> 1.36)	0.59	-0.55 (-1.70, 0.61)			
Portugal	ref	-0.83 (-6.87, 5.21)	4.73 (-0.89 <i>,</i> 10.34)	3.41 (-2.07, 8.89)	0.08	0.73 (-0.14, 1.60)			
South Africa	ref	-2.81 (-5.12, -0.49)	-0.2 (-2.77, 2.38)	2.69 (-1.29, 6.67)	0.53	0.54 (-2.72, 1.23)			
USA	ref	0.17 (-2.2, 2.54)	1.01 (-1.56, 3.58)	-0.47 (-3.30, 2.36)	0.98	-0.43 (-1.49, 0.64)			
Overall	ref	-0.32 (-0.97, 0.33)	0.32 (-0.35, 0.98)	0.09 (-0.63, 0.81)	0.43	0.01 (-0.25, 0.27)			
	Weeker	nd timing of sleep onset,	HH:MM						
IQR of sleep variable	21:20 (20:55, 21:36)	22:14 (22:03, 22:26)	23:00 (22:48, 23:13)	0:13 (23:48, 0:52)					
Adjusted mean difference and 95% C	l in HRQoL								
Australia	ref	-1.24 (-3.3, 0.82)	-2.1 (-4.24, 0.04)	-1.3 (-3.61, 1.01)	0.15	-0.44 (-1.06, 0.17)			
Brazil	ref	1.88 (-1.08, 4.85)	2.04 (-0.61, 4.68)	1.61 (-0.84, 4.05)	0.44	-0.10 (-0.61, 0.40)			
Canada	ref	-1.00 (-3.15, 1.15)	-1.72 (-4.05, 0.61)	-0.99 (-3.31, 1.32)	0.33	-0.26 (-0.93, 0.42)			
China	ref	-0.13 (-2.74, 2.47)	2.29 (-0.46, 5.03)	0.75 (-2.72, 4.22)	0.22	0.08 (-0.92, 1.09)			
Colombia	ref	0.22 (-1.08, 1.53)	1.12 (-0.43, 2.66)	0.46 (-1.22, 2.15)	0.29	0.12 (-0.31, 0.56)			
England	ref	2.07 (-0.16, 4.31)	1.63 (-0.70, 3.96)	1.74 (-0.87, 4.35)	0.23	0.65 (-0.11, 1.41)			
Finland	ref	-0.15 (-3.13, 2.82)	0.89 (-1.95, 3.74)	-1.30 (-4.10, 1.51)	0.27	-0.47 (-1.18, 0.23)			
India	ref	0.70 (-1.64, 3.05)	0.52 (-1.75, 2.80)	0.28 (-2.30, 2.86)	0.94	-0.02 (-0.75, 0.72)			
Kenya	ref	-1.35 (-3.38, 0.68)	1.39 (-1.13, 3.90)	-0.18 (-3.44, 3.07)	0.76	-0.27 (-1.04, 0.49)			
Portugal	ref	5.70 (0.95, 10.45)	7.60 (3.14, 12.05)	6.22 (1.86, 10.58)	0.19	0.51 (-0.17, 1.18)			
South Africa	ref	-2.14 (-4.45, 0.17)	-0.86 (-3.55, 1.84)	-1.1 (-4.24, 2.03)	0.45	-0.38 (-1.24, 0.47)			
USA	ref	-0.62 (-3.41, 2.18)	1.71 (-0.93, 4.36)	0.04 (-2.68, 2.77)	0.57	0.20 (-0.51, 0.91)			
Overall	ref	0.06 (-0.59, 0.71)	0.98 (0.31, 1.64)	0.35 (-0.34, 1.04)	0.07	0.08 (-0.10, 0.27)			
Overall variability of timing of sleep onset, h ^b									
IQR of sleep variable	0.41 (0.31, 0.47)	0.65 (0.59, 0.72)	0.94 (0.86, 1.05)	1.49 (1.30, 1.82)					

Adjusted mean difference and S	35% CI IN HRQOL					
Australia	ref	-1.40 (-3.57, 0.77)	-0.83 (-3.01, 1.34)	-2.14 (-4.36, 0.08)	0.11	-1.24 (-2.80, 0.32)
Brazil	ref	0.42 (-1.93, 2.77)	1.98 (-0.32, 4.29)	0.37 (-1.85, 2.59)	0.69	-0.50 (-1.79 <i>,</i> 0.79)
Canada	ref	-0.12 (-2.34, 2.10)	1.19 (-1.07, 3.45)	1.29 (-1.00, 3.58)	0.16	1.47 (-0.04, 2.97)
China	ref	0.73 (-1.87, 3.33)	0.86 (-1.85, 3.56)	1.82 (-1.27, 4.90)	0.26	0.62 (-1.79, 3.03)
Colombia	ref	-0.31 (-1.89, 1.26)	0.17 (-1.43, 1.77)	-0.22 (-1.88, 1.43)	0.99	-0.12 (-1.26, 1.01)
England	ref	0.30 (-1.90, 2.50)	-0.87 (-3.13, 1.40)	-0.63 (-2.98, 1.73)	0.43	-0.21 (-2.07, 1.64)
Finland	ref	0.51 (-1.92, 2.94)	1.02 (-1.26, 3.30)	0.41 (-1.73, 2.56)	0.64	-0.30 (-1.50 <i>,</i> 0.89)
India	ref	-1.33 (-3.19, 0.53)	0.35 (-1.64, 2.34)	0.37 (-1.90, 2.63)	0.58	0.38 (-1.19, 1.94)
Кепуа	ref	-0.16 (-2.29, 1.97)	0.38 (-1.99, 2.75)	-0.54 (-2.95, 1.88)	0.81	-0.19 (-2.02, 1.64)
Portugal	ref	-2.38 (-4.84, 0.08)	-2.05 (-4.44, 0.33)	-1.22 (-3.49, 1.05)	0.53	-0.52 (-2.01, 0.96)
South Africa	ref	-1.41 (-4.29, 1.47)	0.05 (-2.80, 2.90)	-0.14 (-3.07, 2.79)	0.70	0.38 (-1.47, 2.22)
USA	ref	-3.09 (-6.12, -0.05)	-1.12 (-3.9, 1.66)	-1.32 (-4.02, 1.38)	0.74	0.48 (-1.14, 2.10)
Overall	ref	-0.50 (-1.14, 0.14)	0.13 (-0.51, 0.78)	-0.06 (-0.72 <i>,</i> 0.59)	0.67	0.05 (-0.39, 0.50)
	Weekend-week	day variability of timing o	f sleep onset, h ^c			
IQR of sleep variable	-0.48 (-0.85, -0.23)	0.27 (0.13, 0.40)	0.85 (0.70, 1.03)	1.82 (1.46, 2.42)		
Adjusted mean difference and 9	95% CI in HRQoL					
Australia	ref	-0.12 (-2.68, 2.44)	0.65 (-1.43, 2.74)	-0.91 (-2.94, 1.12)	0.47	-0.28 (-0.97, 0.41)
Brazil	ref	1.12 (-0.92, 3.17)	0.85 (-1.14, 2.84)	0.29 (-1.63, 2.21)	0.60	-0.11 (-0.73, 0.50)
Canada	ref	1.13 (-1.31, 3.57)	-0.09 (-2.25, 2.07)	1.44 (-0.70, 3.58)	0.64	0.22 (-0.52, 0.97)
China	ref	-0.16 (-2.92, 2.59)	0.99 (-1.72 <i>,</i> 3.69)	1.44 (-1.62, 4.5)	0.23	0.46 (-0.66, 1.59)
Colombia	ref	-0.15 (-1.58, 1.27)	1.06 (-0.47, 2.59)	0.73 (-0.88, 2.33)	0.12	0.24 (-0.24, 0.73)
England	ref	-0.93 (-3.43, 1.58)	0.86 (-1.41, 3.13)	-1.21 (-3.55, 1.13)	0.90	-0.01 (-0.87, 0.84)
Finland	ref	1.05 (-1.26, 3.36)	-0.81 (-3.01, 1.39)	1.29 (-0.88, 3.45)	0.87	-0.23 (-0.91, 0.45)
India	ref	-1.13 (-2.98, 0.72)	-0.82 (-2.83, 1.19)	-0.28 (-2.74, 2.18)	0.59	0.17 (-0.63, 0.97)
Kenya	ref	-0.47 (-2.57, 1.62)	0.31 (-2.27, 2.88)	-0.46 (-3.16, 2.24)	0.79	-0.04 (-0.87, 0.79)
Portugal	ref	-1.33 (-3.73, 1.06)	-2.60 (-4.96, -0.25)	-2.10 (-4.46, 0.25)	0.20	0.07 (-0.63, 0.76)
South Africa	ref	0.46 (-2.21, 3.12)	-0.5 (-3.22, 2.21)	-0.83 (-3.48, 1.81)	0.31	-0.63 (-1.51, 0.25)
USA	ref	-0.65 (-3.76, 2.47)	0.78 (-1.92, 3.48)	1.09 (-1.33, 3.51)	0.18	0.44 (-0.31, 1.20)
Overall	ref	-0.27 (-0.91, 0.37)	0.08 (-0.56, 0.72)	0.11 (-0.53, 0.76)	0.25	0.10 (-0.11, 0.31)

Adjusted mean diffe

^a Models were adjusted for age, sex, parental education, parental marital status, household income, healthy diet score, unhealthy index score 22

23 and body-mass index. School was included as a random effect.

24 ^b Measured as standard deviation of all valid nights.

25 ^c Measured as weekend sleep midpoint – weekday sleep midpoints.

26 Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; IQR, interquartile range; USA, United States of America.

28 **Supplementary Table 2** Distribution of objective sleep variables by subjective sleep categories in ISCOLE.

	Self-reported sleep quantity				Self-reported sleep quality							
			-	•••••	р-	Correlation					р-	Correlation
	Very good	Fairly good	Fairly bad	Very bad	value ^a	' Coefficient ^b	Very good	Fairly good	Fairly bad	Very bad	value ^a	Coefficient ^b
Weekday												
Total sleep time, h	8.63 (1.01)	8.70 (1.04)	8.65 (1.03)	8.54 (0.99)	0.01	0.03	8.61 (1.02)	8.73 (1.04)	8.72 (0.97)	8.79 (0.97)	<.0001	0.07
Sleep onset, HH:MM	22:04 (0:59)	22:07 (1:00)	22:04 (0:58)	22:04 (1:02)	0.20	0.02	22:05 (1:01)	22:07 (1:00)	22:10 (0:56)	23:53 (0:50)	0.02	0.01
Sleep offset, HH:MM	6:41 (0:55)	6:49 (0:52)	6:43 (0:46)	6:37 (0:52)	<.0001	0.05	6:41 (0:55)	6:50 (0:50)	6:52 (0:46)	6:40 (0:50)	<.0001	0.10
Midpoint of sleep, HH:MM	2:23 (0.49)	2.29 (0:46)	2:24 (0:43)	2:21 (0:49)	0.0001	0.04	2:23 (0:49)	2:24 (0:46)	2:32 (0:42)	2:17 (0:41)	<.0001	0.06
Sleep efficiency	0.96 (0.01)	0.96 (0.01)	0.96 (0.01)	0.96 (0.01)	0.73	0.00	0.96 (0.01)	0.96 (0.01)	0.96 (0.01)	0.96 (0.01)	0.67	0.01
Weekend												
Total sleep time, h	9.18 (1.39)	9.11 (1.36)	9.11 (1.29)	9.05 (1.16)	0.23	-0.03	9.19 (1.41)	9.07 (1.32)	9.1 (1.31)	9.18 (1.21)	0.02	-0.03
Sleep onset, HH:MM	22:41 (1:19)	22:47 (1:17)	22:43 (1:16)	22:36 (1:18)	0.006	0.03	22:41 (1:20)	22:49 (1:17)	22:49 (1.17)	22:32 (1:08)	0.0002	0.04
Sleep offset, HH:MM	7:51 (1:16)	7:54 (1:14)	7:50 (1:15)	7:39 (1:08)	0.07	-0.002	7:52 (1:17)	7:52 (1:12)	7:55 (1:13)	7:42 (1:04)	0.24	0.00
Midpoint of sleep, HH:MM	3:16 (1.05)	3:21 (1:04)	3:17 (1:05)	3:08 (1:04)	0.007	0.02	3:17 (1:06)	3:21 (1:03)	3:23 (1:04)	3:07 (0:55)	0.01	0.03
Sleep efficiency	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)	0.74	0.01	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)	0.08	0.02
Overall variability ^b												
Total sleep time, h	1.25 (0.69)	1.21 (0.65)	1.18 (0.63)	1.19 (0.63)	0.09	-0.02	1.26 (0.69)	1.18 (0.65)	1.21 (0.62)	1.15 (0.6)	<.0001	-0.05
Sleep onset, h	0.91 (0.51)	0.91 (0.54)	0.89 (0.51)	0.92 (0.53)	0.83	-0.01	0.91 (0.51)	0.9 (0.54)	0.93 (0.52)	0.92 (0.51)	0.57	0.00
Sleep offset, h	1.08 (0.62)	1.01 (0.58)	1.02 (0.59)	1.02 (0.58)	0.0003	-0.05	1.08 (0.62)	1 (0.58)	0.98 (0.55)	0.93 (0.55)	<.0001	-0.07
Midpoint of sleep, h	0.8 (0.41)	0.77 (0.4)	0.77 (0.42)	0.8 (0.39)	0.05	-0.04	0.8 (0.41)	0.77 (0.4)	0.76 (0.39)	0.76 (0.38)	0.08	-0.03
Sleep efficiency	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.06	0.02	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.29	0.01
Weekday-to-weekend difference ^c												
Total sleep time, h	0.54 (1.53)	0.41 (1.53)	0.47 (1.49)	0.51 (1.39)	0.01	-0.03	0.58 (1.56)	0.34 (1.46)	0.39 (1.52)	0.39 (1.4)	<.0001	-0.07
Sleep onset, h	0.61 (1.11)	0.67 (1.1)	0.65 (1.06)	0.53 (1.04)	0.13	0.02	0.6 (1.11)	0.69 (1.09)	0.66 (1.08)	0.65 (1.03)	0.002	0.04
Sleep offset, h	1.16 (1.24)	1.08 (1.21)	1.12 (1.26)	1.04 (1.16)	0.11	-0.03	1.18 (1.26)	1.04 (1.18)	1.04 (1.21)	1.03 (1.06)	<.0001	-0.06
Midpoint of sleep, h	0.88 (0.89)	0.87 (0.87)	0.89 (0.88)	0.79 (0.86)	0.60	-0.01	0.89 (0.89)	0.87 (0.87)	0.85 (0.86)	0.84 (0.78)	0.76	-0.01
Sleep efficiency	0 (0.01)	0 (0.01)	0 (0.01)	0 (0.02)	0.98	0.01	0 (0.01)	0 (0.01)	0 (0.01)	0 (0.01)	0.39	0.01

^a **D**erived from ANOVA test

^b Spearman correlation coefficient

^c Masured as standard deviation of all valid nights

^d B2Peasured as the average of sleep variables for weekends minus that for weekdays

ABBreviations: HRQoL, health-related quality of life; ISCOLE, International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment SD, standard deviation; USA, United States of America

35