Correspondence

For the priority learning

objectives from the Sustainable

Healthcare Education see http://

sustainable-healthcare-education/

sustainablehealthcare.org.uk/

priority-learning-outcomes

Philippa Rees, Sukhmeet S Panesar, Adrian Edwards, \*Andrew Carson-Stevens

#### carson-stevensap@cardiff.ac.uk

Cochrane Institute of Primary Care and Public Health, Cardiff CF14 4YS, Wales, UK (PR, AE, AC-S); and Centre for Population Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK (SSP)

- Wang H, Liddell CA, Coates MM, et al. Global, regional, and national levels of neonatal, infant, and under-5 mortality during 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2014; 384: 957-79.
- 2 Department of Education (UK). Child death reviews: year ending 31 March 2013. 2013. https://www.gov.uk/government/ publications/child-death-reviews-year-ending-31-march-2013 (accessed May 10, 2014).
- 3 Rees P, Carson-Stevens A, Williams H, Panesar S, Edwards A. Quality improvement informed by a reporting and learning system. Arch Dis Child 2014; **99**: 702–03.

### **Authors' reply**

David Taylor-Robinson and colleagues have raised an important question: why the under-5 mortality rate in the UK is lagging behind other developed nations in western Europe. In their letter, they examined the association between childhood poverty levels and mortality rates in children younger than 5 years in developed countries and showed that increases in childhood poverty is a key potential driver behind guite high mortality in this group in the UK; priority needs to be given to improve the welfare of children. Such efforts, together with strict surveillance of childhood mortality and morbidity, even in developed nations as Philippa Rees and colleagues suggested, will surely help to further reduce under-5 mortality rate in developed nations, such as the UK.

For more about the **Centre for** Sustainable Healthcare see http:// sustainablehealthcare.org.uk/ For more about the **Centre for** Health and the Global Environment see http://www. chgeharvard.org/ We applaud the efforts by Taylor-Robinson and colleagues and Rees and colleagues in using our comprehensive assessment of mortality in children younger than 5 years at country level to inform debate for national policy. We also suggest that research needs to be taken to the next level—ie, subnational analysis of under-5 mortality rate to assess for which communities are under-performing to allow governments, local or central, to make informed changes to policy. Such is the effort of the Global Burden of Disease Study,<sup>1</sup> together with Public Health England in looking at mortality and disease burden by region and level of deprivation in the UK. Additionally, we are also beginning to assess health disparity by income quintiles at the county level in the USA. These efforts will help to elucidate differentials in health by income and the extent of poverty even in the most developed countries.

We declare no competing interests.

#### \*Haidong Wang, Christopher JL Murray haidong@uw.edu

Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, Seattle, WA 98121, USA

 Wang H, Liddell CA, Coates MM, et al. Global, regional, and national levels of neonatal, infant, and under-5 mortality during 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2014; 384: 957-79.

# Learning objectives for sustainable health care

The Comment by Andy Haines and colleagues (Sept 20, p 1073)<sup>1</sup> calls upon health professionals to "promote deep cuts in emissions of climate-active pollutants now for the long-term protection of human welfare". Sustainable Healthcare Education—a network of academics, doctors, and health-care students has developed three simple learning objectives to communicate the links between medical practice and a more environmentally and socially sustainable world.

In a national consultation we disseminated draft objectives and

requested structured feedback from all UK medical schools, Royal Colleges, post-graduate deaneries, and major medical organisations. 64 organisations responded to this questionnaire online or by telephone. Revised objectives were further modified at a seminar attended by 33 educationalists from a diversity of backgrounds. A working group collated feedback into a substantially revised draft that was disseminated to all participants for final review. The three overarching consensus objectives, taken from the full consesus document, are listed in the panel.

Of the objectives, the first examines the value of ecosystems and the anthropogenic threats to human and planetary health. The second objective links sustainability to the quality improvement agenda, describes sustainable approaches to health care delivery and explores the health co-benefits of carbon reduction policies, such as active transport.<sup>2</sup> The third objective addresses the ethical dimensions of sustainability, including the application of the inverse care law to climate change, and whether the hippocratic exhortation of doctors to never do harm, extends beyond individuals in the present generation.

These objectives have been incorporated into existing curricula through public health, primary care, paediatrics, cardiology, nephrology, psychiatry, and emergency medicine. In the UK, several clinical specialties have funded sustainability scholarships to inform and motivate.<sup>3,4</sup> The Centre for Sustainable Healthcare (Oxford, UK) and the Centre for Health and

Panel: Three overarching learning objectives for sustainability and health care

- Describe how the environment and human health interact at different levels
- Show the knowledge and skills needed to improve the environmental sustainability of health systems
- Discuss how the duty of a doctor to protect and promote health is affected by the dependence of human health on the local and global environment

the Global Environment (Harvard University, Cambridge MA, USA) offer a range of teaching materials.

Learning objectives for sustainable health care aim to align medical education with the changes in emphasis seen in many other academic disciplines, such as engineering and the earth sciences. The next challenge will be to translate these three objectives into robust and relevant parts of the medical curriculum.

We thank the UK Higher Education Academy, the Cabot Institute of the University of Bristol, UK, and the University of East Anglia, UK, for providing funding for the consultation process.

We declare no competing interests.

#### \*Trevor Thompson, Sarah Walpole, Isobel Braithwaite, Alice Inman, Stefi Barna, Frances Mortimer trevor.thompson@bris.ac.uk

School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 2PS, UK (TT), Hull York Medical School, York, North Yorkshire, UK (SW); University College London Medical School, London, UK (IB); University of Exeter, Exeter, Devon, UK (AI); Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, Norfolk, UK (SB); and Centre for Sustainable Healthcare, Oxford, UK (FM)

- Haines A, Ebi KL, Smith KR, Woodward A. 1 Health risks of climate change: act now or pay later. Lancet 2014; 384: 1073-75
- Schroeder K, Thompson T, Frith K, Pencheon D. 2 Sustainable Healthcare. Chichester, UK; Wiley-Blackwell, 2012.
- Connor A, Mortimer F, Tomson C. Clinical 3 transformation-the key to green nephrology. Nephron Clin Pract 2010; 116: 201-06.
- Main P. A sustainability scholarship 4 programme in the Severn Deanery's school of primary care: a case study. Educ Prim Care 2013; 24: 219-21.

## **Dietary patterns need** emphasising

In a thoughtful Series, Ursula Bauer and colleagues (July 5, p 45)<sup>1</sup> somewhat ambitiously suggest four widespread health-related strategies, specifically for the effective management of chronic conditions to deliver healthier students to schools, healthier workers to employers and businesses, and a healthier population to the health-care system. Exactly how these goals will be accomplished is not clear. At present, there is widespread interest in the role of diet in primary prevention.<sup>2</sup> Thus, of five protective patterns of health behaviour in men in America, diet was the first of five factors mentioned<sup>2</sup> and had too been stressed for in women in America.<sup>3</sup> Furthermore, diet in relation to disease was first mentioned in The Lancet in 1947, by Magnus Pyke and colleagues.<sup>4</sup> In 2008, a prospective cohort study<sup>5</sup> about the role of lifestyle factors showed, after 24 years of follow-up, that diet is of major importance to the health of American women. Thus, arguments could be made to suggest that Bauer and colleagues<sup>1</sup> were non-specific in their four aims that did not emphasise diet in the prevention of chronic disease.

I declare no competing interests.

#### Lionel Opie lionel.opie@uct.ac.za

2

4

5

Department of Medicine, Groote Schuur Hospital; and Hatter Institute for Cardiovascular Research Africa, University of Cape Town, Cape Town 7935, South Africa

- Bauer UE, Briss PA, Goodman RA, Bowman BA. 1 Prevention of chronic disease in the 21st century: elimination of the leading preventable causes of premature death and disability in the USA. Lancet 2014; 384: 45-52.
- Akesson A, Larsson SC, Discacciati A, Wolk A. Low-risk diet and lifestyle habits in the primary prevention of myocardial infarction in men: a population-based prospective cohort study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 64: 1299-306.
- Akesson A, Weismaver C, Newby PK, Wolk A, 3 Combined effect of low-risk dietary and lifestyle behaviors in primary prevention of myocardial infarction in women Arch Intern Med 2007: 167: 2122-27
  - Pyke M, Holmes S, Harrison R, Chamberlain K. Nutritional value of diets eaten by old people in London. Lancet 1947; 250: 461-64.
- van Dam RM, Li T, Spiegelman D, Franco OH, Hu FB. Combined impact of lifestyle factors on mortality: prospective cohort study in US women. BMJ 2008; 337: a1440.

## **Obesity stigmatisation** from obesity researchers

Obesity stigmatisation has become a major topic of research, with empirical evidence showing negative consequences for people who are stigmatised.<sup>1</sup> With research showing that obesity stigmatisation is widespread and that antifat attitudes are strong,<sup>2</sup> there have been not only calls for intervention but also criticism of sources that seem to promote stigmatisation and stereotypical messages about overweight and obese people, such as media portrayals. Stereotypes of overweight and obese people include poor intelligence, sexual unattractiveness, laziness, and gluttony.<sup>3</sup>

We attended the Association for the Study for Obesity conference on Sept 16-17, 2014 in Birmingham, UK, and were surprised at the stigmatising comments of some of the presenters. For example, a well known and established researcher who has published research about obesity stigma and the potential effects on obese people commented that if obese people lost weight "they would have a lot of sex, which is probably good as they won't have had it for a while", in line with the stereotype that obese people are unattractive and likely to be sexually inactive. Another renowned researcher, when speaking about media sources that have reported that exercise is bad in general for health, commented that "exercise is rubbish. That is precisely the message obese people want to hear", reinforcing the stereotype that obese people are lazy. A final example of a derogatory comment made by one of the speakers, who had received the best practice award, was that the work they had achieved in decreasing obese patients' bodyweight had "provided more space for commuters on the London tube". Although research has shown that stereotypes and antifat attitudes are evident in various populations, no research to date has reported that obesity researchers and even those studying obesity stigmatisation are not immune to such perceptions. Thus, it seems that obesity researchers also have stereotypical attitudes towards obese people.

In line with guidelines for publishing in obesity and journals of other disciplines<sup>4</sup> that adhere to the standards of the Committee on Publication Ethics, authors

