

Research Space Journal article

> Diversity of Chironomidae (Diptera) breeding in the Great Stour, Kent: baseline results from the Westgate Parks non-biting midge project

Vega, R., Brooks, Stephen J., Hockaday, Wendy, Lee, Scarlett and Vane-Wright, Richard I.

1	Supplementary information
2	
3	Title:
4	Diversity of Chironomidae (Diptera) breeding in the Great Stour, Kent: baseline
5	results from the Westgate Parks Non-biting Midge Project
6	
7	Authors:
8	Rodrigo Vega <sup>1*</sup> , Stephen J. Brooks <sup>2</sup> , Wendy Hockaday <sup>3</sup> , Scarlett Lee <sup>1</sup> & Richard I.
9	Vane-Wright <sup>1,2,4</sup>
10	
11	Affiliations:
12	<sup>1</sup> Ecology Research Group, Section of Natural and Applied Sciences, School of
13	Psychology and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church University, North Holmes
14	Road, Canterbury, Kent CT1 1QU, UK
15	<sup>2</sup> Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK
16	<sup>3</sup> Environment Agency, Orchard House, Endeavour Park, London Road, Addington,
17	West Malling, Kent ME19 5SH, UK
18	<sup>4</sup> Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology, School of Anthropology and
19	Conservation, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent CT2 7NR, UK
20	
21	Corresponding Author:
22	Rodrigo Vega, email: rodrigo.vega@canterbury.ac.uk

## 1 Notes on the Great Stour

2 The source of the Kentish Stour lies under the southern escarpment of the North 3 Downs, between the village of Lenham and the hamlet of East Lenham. At Ashford, 4 about 18 km to the south-east, these headwaters known as the Great Stour (or 5 Upper or West Great Stour), are joined by several other brooks, including the East 6 Stour, Ruckinge Dyke, Brook Stream and Whitewater Dyke, to form the main section 7 of the river. Up to this point the waterflows of the Great Stour are somewhat erratic, 8 and flash floods can occur. The Great Stour then continues mainly north-eastwards 9 through Wye, Canterbury and Stodmarsh. At Plucks Gutter, ca 16 km downstream 10 from Canterbury, the Great Stour joins the Little Stour, and below this confluence the 11 river is termed simply The Stour. The river discharges into the English Channel at 12 Pegwell Bay, approximately 50 km east of its source at Lenham.

Amongst the waterways exclusive to Kent, the Kentish Stour, with a length of some 85 km from Lenham to the sea (ignoring the shortening effected by the Stonar Cut, Richborough), is considered to have a catchment area second only to that of the River Medway. The geology of the river system, with special reference to the Upper Cretaceous chalk which underlays the whole area, has been reviewed by Aldiss et al. (2004). This aquifer water has a very low phosphorous content.

19

20 Notes on the Westgate Parks project

In 2013, the UK Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) made a substantial award for a fiveyear 'Parks for People' project. Although most of the funds were earmarked for
infrastructure improvement of the parks, a community-based activity programme,
including volunteering, was an essential element of the programme. At that time, the
UK Environment Agency (EA), with responsibility for water quality of the river and a
desire to see improvements to the ecology of the river as it passed through
Canterbury, were active stakeholders in the Friends of Westgate Parks group.

1 This study originated from the Westgate Parks Project developed in 2011 by the 2 community group Friends of Westgate Parks supported by CCC and the UK Heritage 3 Lottery Fund (HLF) (see Greenslade et al. 2013). The funds were sought to improve 4 four contiguous public open spaces in Canterbury City, now known as Westgate 5 Parks, which run upstream from the medieval city centre Westgate Towers for just 6 under 1 km. Upstream, the Great Stour divides into two branches at the western end 7 of Bingley Island (one of the four spaces). Downstream from this point the branches 8 are separated by up to 300 m. Bingley Island and Tannery Field lie between the two 9 branches, Westgate Gardens is divided by the larger of the two streams, while 10 Toddler's Cove adventure playground is on the north side of this main stream. 11 It was decided that volunteers should be engaged to separate chironomid midge 12 larvae from the regular kick-samples EA were making at the time (together with 13 recent samples that the EA had retained, including some from the 3 km upstream site 14 at Horton), with the prospect of later, in-depth research with respect to water quality 15 as revealed by non-biting midge diversity. In the event, most of the volunteers were 16 undergraduates at Canterbury Christ Church University (CCCU, see 17 acknowledgments). Due to financial cuts, the EA sampling programme was 18 subsequently reduced, and then ended altogether. Volunteers connected with CCCU 19 continued the sampling for a period, including two additional sites (the side stream at 20 Bingley Island, and 1 km downstream at Kingsmead Field). All the samples reported 21 on here were taken within the period 2011-2015.

22

## 23 Chironomid larvae mounting technique

Chironomid larvae were collected and stored in 70% IMS. To start the mounting process, the larvae were first passed to 20% IMS in a cavity block and then sorted under a low-power binocular microscope. Up to four larvae (from the same site and sample) were placed in a tube containing 10% KOH and incubated at 70°C using a dry block heater for 25 min. The larvae were then removed using stainless-steel

1 forceps and placed in distilled water for 5 min. In a fume cupboard, the KOH was 2 neutralised with glacial acetic acid for a minimum of 5 min. Up to four chironomids 3 per microscope slide were mounted on the microscope slides (one at a time) by 4 placing a small drop of Hydromount Histology Mounting Media (National Diagnostics) 5 for each specimen. Under the low-power microscope, the head was separated from 6 the rest of the body using mounted needles (or cataract scissors if available). The 7 head of the larva was oriented in the right position, with larval pelt on side, then, 8 using clean forceps, a circular cover slip (10 or 13 mm) was put in place and pressed 9 down carefully with blunt instrument to correctly spread the mandibles. This process 10 was repeated until all positions on the slide were used, leaving standard space for 11 labelling. Slides were stored in suitable slide trays. For a detailed protocol for the 12 preparation of insect larvae, see Smith (1989).

13

14 Original site notation recorded on slide labels

In this paper the notation Sites 1–6 for the six river bed locations investigated is used throughout. During the study, however, a more complex notation based on an original Environment Agency (EA) numbering scheme was employed – and it is this original numbering scheme that appears on the printed slide labels, as given in the following list:

- 20 EA1 Site 1 (Rheims Way) = 21 Site 2 (Westgate Gardens) = EA3 22 Site 3 (Westgate Towers) = EA4 23 Site 4 (Bingley Island) = EAB 24 Site 5 (Horton) = EAH 25 Site 6 (Kingsmead Field) = KO20
- 26

27 Taxonomic notes on the 20 genera of chironomids from the Great Stour

1 The 20 genera of Chironomidae found at the Great Stour sampling sites are listed in 2 Table 1 (see also Supplementary information Table S1). All eight subfamilies 3 represented in the Britain and Ireland non-biting midge fauna are shown - but only 4 four are represented in the data set. The numbers in parentheses after subfamilies 5 indicates the number of genera in the British and Irish fauna, and after genera, the 6 number of species (based on Chandler 1998 and updates). The Chironominae are 7 divided into three tribes (two represented) and the Tanypodinae into seven (two 8 represented).

9

10 Diversity and ecology of the 20 chironomid genera from the Great Stour

11 These brief accounts of chironomid genera from the Great Stour are presented

12 alphabetically:

1) Brillia Kieffer, 1913. Two species in Britain and Ireland. Wing length ca 3-4.5 mm 13 14 (Coe et al. 1950). According to Cranston (1982), in Britain the larvae of both 15 species breed in flowing water – those of *B. longifurca* Kieffer, 1921 [= flavifrons 16 auctt. nec. Johannsen, 1905 - see Chandler (2020)] often being found grazing on 17 the surface of submerged wood. Murray et al. (2018) also list various lentic 18 habitats, such as pools, ponds and the edges of lakes. 19 2) Conchapelopia Fittkau, 1987. Six British and Irish species (Chandler 2020). 20 Wing-length ca 3–5 mm. Found in a variety of habitats including running water 21 (Rufer & Ferrington 2007). C. melanops Meigen, 1818 is widespread in Britain 22 (Langton 1984). 23 3) Cricotopus van der Wulp, 1874. Following Chandler (2020) the British and Irish 24 species are divided into four subgenera - Cricotopus s.s. (22 spp.), Isocladius 25 Kieffer, 1909 (12 spp.), Nostococladius Ashe & Murray, 1980 (1 sp.), and 26 Paratrichocladius Santos Abreu, 1918 (3 spp.). Wing-length ca 1.5-4 mm. Found

27 in still and flowing waters, many species are epiphytic grazers on submerged

28 macrophytes, grazing on diatoms and other algae (Cranston 1982).

4) *Epoicocladius* Sule & Zavřel, 1924. A single species, *E. ephemerae* Kieffer, 1924
 with wing-length of about 2 mm. Apparently always associated with mayfly larvae,
 notably *Ephemera danica* Müller, 1764, grazing on the cuticle (Cranston 1982).
 5) *Eukiefferiella* Thienemann, 1926. Chandler (2020) lists 14 species for Britain and
 Ireland. Wing-length *ca* 1.2–2.5 mm (Coe et al. 1950). Mostly associated with
 running water, but occasionally in springs or slow-flowing streams (Cranston
 1982).

8 6) Macropelopia Thienemann, 1916. Four species for Britain and Ireland are listed 9 by Chandler (2020), one of them not formally named; Murray et al. (2018) list five 10 species-level taxa. Wing-length 4–6 mm (Coe et al. 1950). Their predaceous 11 larvae occur in streams (Hildrew et al. 1985). According to Murray et al. (2018) 12 the larvae of *Macropelopia* are usually encountered in fine sediments of springs. 13 streams, bog pools, drains and lake margins. The larvae of *M. nebulosa* Meigen, 14 1804 occur in muddy rivers and lakes (Wilson & Ruse 2005) and are widespread 15 in Britain (Langton 1984).

*Micropsectra* Kieffer, 1909. Some 17 species in the Britain and Ireland fauna
 (Chandler 2020). Wing length about 2–3.5 mm. The larvae occur in springs,

rivers, lakes and ponds in soft sediments (Wilson & Ruse 2005). Several species
in the genus are widespread in Britain although some are associated with cool
northern regions (Langton 1984). The larvae are found in lakes or running water
(Säwedal 1982).

Microtendipes Kieffer, 1915. Chandler (2020) lists nine species for Britain and
 Ireland. The adults are relatively large (wingspan 3.5–5 mm: Coe et al. 1950).
 The genus is widespread and common in Britain (Langton 1984). The larvae
 occur in rivers, ponds and lakes often amongst moss (Wilson & Ruse 2005;

26 Murray et al. 2018). According to Rufer & Ferrington (2007), writing about

27 Minnesota, they breed in "littoral to sublittoral sediments of large lentic water

28 bodies" and "in submerged mosses in running water."

1	9)	Orthocladius van der Wulp, 1874. Six subgenera are recognised among the
2		British and Irish species: Eudactylocladius Thienemann, 1935 (3 spp.),
3		Euorthocladius Thienemann, 1935 (5 spp.), Orthocladius s.s. (9 spp.),
4		Pogonocladius Brundin, 1956 (1 sp.), Mesorthocladius Sæther, 2005 (1 sp.), and
5		Symposiocladius Cranston, 1982 (3 spp.). Wing-length about 2–4 mm.
6		Associated in most cases with running water (Cranston 1982), but Orthocladius
7		larvae can also occur in lakes, ponds, marshes, on wet rocks and even in soils
8		(Murray et al. 2018).
9	10)	Paratanytarsus Thienemann & Bause, 1913. The Britain and Ireland list includes
10		14 species. The adults have wing-length of <i>ca</i> 2–3 mm. According to Säwedal
11		(1982) the larvae occur in shallow standing waters – but Rufer & Ferrington
12		(2007) indicate flowing waters as well as lakes. Wilson & Ruse (2005) state the
13		genus can be found in most aquatic habitats. Murray et al. (2018) indicate a
14		variety of lotic and lentic habitats, including bogs, marshes and brackish ponds.
15		The genus is widely distributed in Britain (Langton 1984).
16	11)	Paratendipes Kieffer, 1911. Three species in Britain and Ireland. Adult wing-
17		length less than 2 mm up to 3.5 mm. The genus is widespread in Britain (Langton
18		1984). The larvae occur in rivers, lakes, streams, and ponds where they are
19		associated with sandy and silty substrates (Wilson & Ruse 2005, Murray et al.
20		2018). Rufer & Ferrington (2007) state that they are found in both "standing and
21		flowing waters in soft sediments and sandy bottoms."
22	12)	Phaenopsectra Kieffer, 1921. Two species on the British and Irish list, with adult
23		wing length 3–4.5 mm (Coe et al. 1950). The genus is widespread in Britain
24		(Langton 1984). The larvae occur in stream and ponds bottoms with sandy silt
25		(Wilson & Ruse 2005, Rufer & Ferrington 2007).
26	13)	Polypedilum Kieffer, 1912. The British and Irish fauna is divided into four
27		subgenera: Pentapedilum Kieffer, 1913 (3 spp.), Polypedilum s.s. (6 spp.),
28		Tripodura Townes, 1945 (7 spp.), and Uresipedilum Oyewo & Sæther, 1998 (2

spp.). Wing length about 1.75–4 mm. The genus is widespread in Britain
 (Langton 1984). Larvae occur in almost all types of water bodies, including
 ephemeral habitats (Wilson & Ruse 2005, Rufer & Ferrington 2007, Murray et al.
 2018).

5 14) Prodiamesa Kieffer, 1906. Two species on the British and Irish list. Wing-length 6 ca 4–5.5 mm. Known to occur in running waters. The larvae are eurytopic 7 occurring in eutrophic springs, ponds, rivers and the littoral of lakes (Wilson & 8 Ruse 2005), and are said to be "moderately tolerant of pollution" (Murray et al. 9 2018). P. olivacea Meigen, 1818 is widespread in Britain (Langton 1984). 10 15) Rheocricotopus Brundin, 1956. Two subgenera recognised for the British and 11 Irish species: Psilocricotopus Saether, 1985 (4 spp.) and Rheocricotopus s.s. (2 12 spp.). Wing-length about 2.5 mm (Coe et al. 1950). The larvae mainly occur in 13 streams and rivers (Cranston 1982), living on aquatic vegetation; less frequently 14 in lake margins (Murray et al. 2018).

16) *Rheotanytarsus* Thienemann & Bause, 1913. Ten species are listed for Britain
and Ireland (Chandler 2020). Adult wing-length *ca* 2–3 mm. The larvae occur in
springs and streams (Säwedal 1982), rivers (Wilson & Ruse 2005), including the
lower reaches, and the margins of lakes where there are currents (Murray et al.
2018). Species such as *R. photophilus* Goetghebuer, 1921 are widespread in

20 Britain but others are more restricted (Langton 1984).

21 17) Synorthocladius Thienemann, 1935. One species of the British and Irish list, with
a wing-length of 2.2–2.5 mm (Coe et al. 1950). Occurring widely in streams and
rivers, including the River Thames, the larvae can be found on the surface of
submerged stones (Cranston 1982).

18) *Tanytarsus* van der Wulp, 1874. A current total of 42 species on the British and
Irish list. Wing-length *ca* 1.75–3 mm. The larvae of the many species occur in a
wide variety of aquatic habitats, including brackish waters (Wilson & Ruse 2005).

1	19) Thienemanniella Kieffer, 1911. Seven British and Irish species. Small midges, 1-
2	1.8 mm wing-length (Coe et al. 1950). The larvae occur in running waters
3	(Cranston 1982), from fast rivers to slow streams and even ditches (Murray et al.
4	2018).
5	20) Tvetenia Kieffer, 1922. Four species on the British and Irish list. Wing-length
6	about 1.8–2.4 mm. In Britain the larvae occur in flowing waters (Cranston 1982).
7	
8	References
9	Aldiss DT, Bloomfield JR, Buckley DK, Doran SK, Evans DJ, Hopson PM, Royse KR,
10	Woods MA. 2004. A geological model of the chalk of East Kent, Volume 1 of
11	2: Report. Keyworth: British Geological Survey.
12	Chandler PJ. 1998. Checklist of insects of the British Isles (New Series). Part 1:
13	Diptera. Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects. 12:1-234.
14	Chandler PJ. 2020. An update of the 1998 Checklist of Diptera of the British Isles.
15	[updated 15 January 2020]. Available at
16	https://www.dipterists.org.uk/sites/default/files/pdf/BRITISH%20ISLES%20CH
17	ECKLIST%202020_01.pdf
18	Coe RL, Freeman P, Mattingly PF. 1950. Diptera 2. Nematocera: families Tipulidae
19	to Chironomidae. Handbooks for the identification of British Insects. 9:121-
20	206.
21	Cranston PS. 1982. A key to the larvae of the British Orthocladiinae (Chironomidae).
22	Ambleside: Freshwater Biological Association Scientific Publication (45):1–
23	152.
24	Greenslade AFC, Barclay MVL, Hammond PM, Booth RG, Bantock TM, Percy D,
25	Osborne D, Ware C, Shelton J, Weekes J, Ponsonby D, Cameron-Fleming I,
26	Vane-Wright RI. 2013. The beetles (Coleoptera) of Bingley Island: a
27	preliminary checklist, with additional notes on history, ecology, bugs
28	(Hemiptera) and flowering plants. Entomologist's Gazette. 64:146–165.

1	Hildrew AG, Townsend CR, Hasham A. 1985. The predatory Chironomidae of an
2	iron-rich stream: feeding ecology and food web structure. Ecological
3	Entomology. 10:403–413.
4	Langton PH. 1984. A key to pupal exuviae of British Chironomidae. Published
5	privately by PH Langton.
6	Murray DA, O'Connor JP, Ashe PJ. 2018. Chironomidae (Diptera) of Ireland – a
7	review, checklist and their distribution. Occ Publ Irish Biogeog Soc. (12): x-
8	404.
9	Rufer MM, Ferrington LC. 2007. Key to the Chironomidae pupal exuviae in the twin
10	cities metro area lentic waters. Unpublished Master's thesis, University of
11	Minnesota, St. Paul, USA. https://www.rmbel.info/wp-
12	content/uploads/2013/07/Rufer_pupalkey.pdf [accessed 19/08/2020]
13	Säwedal L. 1982. Taxonomy, morphology, phylogenetic relationships and distribution
14	of Micropsectra Kieffer, 1909 (Diptera: Chironomidae). Insect Systematics
15	and Evolution. 13:371–400.
16	Smith KGV. 1989. An introduction to the immature stages of British flies; Diptera
17	larvae, with notes on eggs, puparia and pupae. In: Dolling WR, Askew RR,
18	editors. Handbooks for the identification of British insects, Vol. 10, Part 4.
19	London: Royal Entomological Society of London.
20	Wilson RS, Ruse LP. 2005. A guide to the identification of genera of chironomid
21	pupal exuviae occurring in Britain and Ireland (including common genera from
22	northern Europe) and their use in monitoring lotic and lentic fresh waters.
23	Ambleside: Freshwater Biological Association.
24	
25	

**Table S1**. The 20 genera and species morphotypes of Chironomidae found at one or more of the six sampling sites in the Great Stour in Kent, UK, listed by subfamily and tribe (where applicable), and arranged alphabetically within these groups. Values in parentheses indicate total number of genera within subfamilies, and species within genera, in Britain and Ireland. Tolerance ratings and trophic guild from Wilson and Ruse (2005): A = intolerant of organic stress, B/C = intermediate, D = tolerant, Comm = commensal, Detr = detritivore, Filt = filter-feeder, Graz = grazer, Pred = predator. For original authors and dates for morphotype names see Chandler (1998, 2020).

Subfamily Tribe		Genera in study	Morphotype	Tolerance rating and trophic guild
Buchonomyiinae (1)	[No tribal division]	None		
Chironominae (47)	Chironomini	Microtendipes (9)	pedellus	A Detr
			rydalensis	A Detr
		Paratendipes (3)	albimanus	A Detr
		Phaenopsectra (2)	flavipes	A Detr
		Polypedilum (18)	nubeculosum	A Detr
	Tanytarsini	Micropsectra (17)	Undetermined	B/D Detr
			contracta	B Detr
			pallidula	B Detr
		Paratanytarsus (14)	austriacus	A Graz
			penicillatus	A Graz
		Rheotanytarsus (10)	Undetermined	B Filt
		Tanytarsus (42)	chinyensis	A Detr
			mendax	A Detr
Diamesinae (7)		None		
Orthocladiinae (53)	[No tribal division]	Brillia (2)	longifurca	D Graz
			bifida	C Graz
		Cricotopus (38)	Undetermined	C/D Graz

			sg. <i>Isocladiu</i> s	C/D Graz
			bicinctus	D Graz
			fuscus	C Graz
			pulchripes	C Graz
			tremulus	C Graz
			triannulatus	C Graz
			trifascia	C Graz
		Epoicocladius (1)	ephemerae	A Comm
		Eukiefferiella (14)	Undetermined	A/C Graz
			claripennis	C Graz
			devonica	A Graz
			ilklyensis	A Graz
		Orthocladius (22)	Undetermined	A/B Graz
		Rheocricotopus (6)	chalybeatus	B Graz
			fuscipes	B Graz
		Synorthocladius (1)	semivirens	C Graz
		Thienemanniella (7)	sp. B	C Graz
		Tvetenia (4)	calvescens	B Graz
Podonominae (3)	[No tribal division]	None		
Prodiamesinae (3)	[No tribal division]	Prodiamesa (2)	Undetermined	D Detr
Tanypodinae (26)	Macropelopiini	Macropelopia (5)	Undetermined	A/D Pred
	Pentaneurini	Conchapelopia (6)	Undetermined	C/D Pred
Telmatogetoninae (2)	[No tribal division]	None		

<b>Table S2.</b> Pairwise Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix among sites in the Great									
Stour in Kent, UK based on (relative) abundance data of Chironomidae genera.									
	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4	Site 5	Site 6			
Site 1									
Site 2	0.5002								
Site 3	0.3245	0.5609							
Site 4	0.4362	0.2231	0.6443						
Site 5	0.5203	0.4807	0.5957	0.6244					
Site 6	0.7248	0.8188	0.6458	0.7650	0.7756				
Site 1 = Rheims Way, Site 2 = Westgate Gardens, Site 3 = Westgate Towers,									
Site 4 = Bingley Island (a side stream of the Great Stour), Site 5 = Horton (a									
site 3 km upstream from Westgate Parks), Site 6 = Kingsmead Field (a site 1									
km downstream from Westgate Parks).									

<b>Table S3.</b> Absolute pairwise distances in the 1 <sup>st</sup> Dimension (below diagonal) and in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Dimension (above diagonal) among sites in the Great Staur in Kent UK										
Site 1         Site 2         Site 3         Site 4         Site 5         Site 6										
Site 1		0.12	0.3	0.52	0.99	0.06				
Site 2	0.53		0.18	0.4	1.11	0.18				
Site 3	1.09	0.56		0.22	1.29	0.36				
Site 4	0.07	0.46	1.02		1.51	0.58				
Site 5	Site 5         0.36         0.17         0.73         0.29         0.93									
Site 6 2.57 2.04 1.48 2.5 0.93										
Site 1 = Rheims Way, Site 2 = Westgate Gardens, Site 3 =										
Westgate Towers, Site 4 = Bingley Island (a side stream of the										

Westgate Towers, Site 4 = Bingley Island (a side stream of the Great Stour), Site 5 = Horton (a site 3 km upstream from Westgate Parks), Site 6 = Kingsmead Field (a site 1 km downstream from Westgate Parks).

**Table S4.** Two-way indicator species analysis (TWINSPAN) used to construct a classification of the sites and order the chironomid genera according to their site of preference. Two site divisions (0/1) and four genera divisions were found for Chironomidae genera in the Great Stour in Kent, UK.

Site							
Genus	Site	Site	Site	Site	Site	Site	Genera
	1	2	3	4	5	6	divisions
Orthocladius	1	-	-	-	-	-	000
Phaenopsectra	1	1	-	-	-	-	000
Cricotopus	2	3	1	5	3	-	001
Eukiefferiella	3	2	2	2	3	-	001
Rheotanytarsus	3	1	1	4	2	1	001
Micropsectra	1	1	1	1	1	1	010
Paratanytarsus	1	1	-	-	1	-	010
Paratendipes	-	1	1	-	-	1	010
Conchapelopia	1	1	-	1	1	1	011
Polypedilum	-	1	1	1	1	1	011
Prodiamesa	-	-	1	-	-	1	10
Tanytarsus	1	-	-	-	1	1	110
Brillia	-	-	-	-	1	1	111
Epoicocladius	-	-	-	-	1	-	111
Microtendipes	-	-	-	-	1	1	111
Rheocricotopus	-	-	-	-	1	-	111
Synorthocladius	-	-	-	-	1	-	111
Thienemanniella	-	-	-	-	1	-	111
Tvetenia	-	-	-	-	4	1	111
Macropelopia	-	-	-	-	-	1	111
Site divisions	Site divisions         0         0         0         0         1         1						
Site 1 = Rheims Way, Site 2 = Westgate Gardens, Site 3 = Westgate Towers, Site 4 = Bingley Island (a side stream of the Great Stour), Site 5 = Horton (a site 3 km upstream from Westgate Parks), Site 6 = Kingsmead Field (a site 1 km downstream from Westgate Parks)							







**Figure S1.** Genus accumulation curve of chironomid larvae found in six sites in the Great Stour in Kent, UK, showing the cumulative genus count against sample number, where sample order was permuted (999 maximum permutations) to obtain the mean observed genus counts, G(observations), per sample. The Chao1 and Jackknife1 estimators were used to calculate the genus accumulation curve and genus richness. The Michaelis-Menten asymptotic curve was fitted to the observed genus curve and it was used to estimate the total number of genera (Gmax).

