Veno-Arterial Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation after Surgical Repair of Type A Aortic Dissection

Giovanni Mariscalco MD, PhD, Antonio Fiore MD, Sigurdur Ragnarsson MD, PhD, Zein El-Dean MRCS, LLM, Kristján Jónsson MD, PhD, Magnus Dalén MD, PhD, Thomas Fux MD, Vito G. Ruggieri MD, PhD, Giuseppe Gatti MD, Tatu Juvonen MD, PhD, Svante Zipfel MD, Angelo M. Dell'Aquila MD, Andrea Perrotti MD, PhD, Karl Bounader MD, Nicla Settembre MD, PhD, Antonio Loforte MD, PhD, Ugolino Livi MD, Marek Pol MD, Cristiano Spadaccio MD, Matteo Pettinari MD, Daniel Reichart MD, Khalid Alkhamees MD, Henryk Welp MD, Daniele Maselli MD, Artur Lichtenberg MD, Fausto Biancari MD, PhD, the PC-ECMO group

PII:	S0002-9149(20)30261-7
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2020.03.012
Reference:	AJC 24498

To appear in: The American Journal of Cardiology

Received date:25 January 2020Revised date:18 March 2020Accepted date:20 March 2020

Please cite this article as: Giovanni Mariscalco MD, PhD, Antonio Fiore MD, Sigurdur Ragnarsson MD, PhD, Zein El-Dean MRCS, LLM, Kristján Jónsson MD, PhD, Magnus Dalén MD, PhD, Thomas Fux MD, Vito G. Ruggieri MD, PhD, Giuseppe Gatti MD, Tatu Juvonen MD, PhD, Svante Zipfel MD, Angelo M. Dell'Aquila MD, Andrea Perrotti MD, PhD, Nicla Settembre MD, PhD, Antonio Loforte MD, PhD, Karl Bounader MD, Ugolino Livi MD, Marek Pol MD. Matteo Pettinari MD, Cristiano Spadaccio MD, Daniel Reichart MD, Khalid Alkhamees MD, Daniele Maselli MD, Henryk Welp MD, Artur Lichtenberg MD, Fausto Biancari MD, PhD, the PC-ECMO group, Veno-Arterial Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation after Surgical Repair of Type A Aortic Dissection, The American Journal of Cardiology (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2020.03.012

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc.

The American Journal of Cardiology						
January	1, 2017					
Comparison of Early Seut Coverage Between Johnstons and Secolous/Dutig Serie Using Optical Coherence Turingraphy	Wate-Andysis of the Balation of Bohosonthoproplic Spicerdial Adjuste Teace Trainee and the Merabolic Syndrome 7	9				
Dilactic of Sanuer will no not Pulyanounceated Fuely Acids Bartics on Conservy (Marcoclanuis in Statist Tracked Pytiers) With Conservey Artery Disease &	Development of a Marked to Eak South Patients Web. Heart Fallura for 2000xy Readmanian Using Inglomable Device Degestrics					
Comparison of Three-Peor Octoones After History Percenteness Constrony Intervention in Patients With Self Ventricular Quarter Practice of 2% Ventue 142% (For the	Predicting and Presenting Next Trailow Rehospitulizations: Is There a Role for Inglamable Decker Disposition 8	5				
HORDONGAME Trud: 12 Solary and Efficacy of Exerciting Darks Varians Southery Darks in Witness. 21	Comportant of Harladies in Men Nerus Warner Undergaing Transakeer Aarki Yaha Inglanatan ke Seara Aork: Serasin Bran Italian Makamer CoviValee Ragang 8					
Program: Dallahess of Sanid Citatoline Protein Mesourcewerk in STEarchine Acute Myscandral Infanction	Effect of Local Annabatic Management Will Conscious Sectors in Entrets Undergoing Transatherer Acris Value Profession 9					
Unfolness of Periods and Othersities Posters. Concentrations for Paulicities of Octoores in Acate Concentrations from the Strength Registry of Acate 25 Devices Peter St Devictor. Myccordial Infection	Incidence and Effect of Acote Kishey Injury After Tomosfleev Acote Value Replacement Value Re-New Yahe Acodemic Research Concertum Onterio 10	0				
Processory of Mandrey in Real World Patients	Self-Ventricular Reserve Renoduling in Long-Term (s-12 Pase) Survivon With Ukgenhic Dianed Conferencember 10					
Unfulness of Frankovid Row Reserve to Improve Disprovid Officiency in Enterns With Non-ST Devation Myscentral Influence 45	Role of Serum Nullemind Products Noniurals: Reptide Westweet in Disposit of Cardina Interference in Research Serum Science and Serum Scien					
Christi Condines and Programs Value of Fore Medianed Dilaton in Patients With Non37 Segment Clevelon Acels Coronary Syndromes. 31	Electrocondrographic Spatial OKS/ Angle and Incident Condinational Parliance in HVIInformal Parliane, Boan Re					
Gender Differences in Calls to 911 During or Acute Conversy Synthese 38	(Sealing) Subjection of Antonio or Parago (Sealing) Subjection (Sealing) (Sealing)	•				
Angingraphic Restorm and Cardiovascular Rak Factors in Rumon Innovabilitarity Youthfacted Ratests Wilk Roa Time Asuk Coronary Syndrome 60	Nor the complete Table of Contexts, Ten pages A2, A3, A4					
Analysis of the Nevelance of Cardiovenceho Disease and Associated Rok Factors for European-American-and AlicentAmerican-Repúblicos in the Date of Perceptores 3000 - 3000 - 48	And fact sever spectrum as	•				

# Veno-Arterial Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation after Surgical Repair of Type A Aortic Dissection

Running title: VA-ECMO in aortic dissection

Giovanni Mariscalco, MD, PhD,<sup>a</sup> Antonio Fiore, MD,<sup>b</sup> Sigurdur Ragnarsson, MD, PhD,<sup>c</sup> Zein El-Dean, MRCS, LLM,<sup>a</sup> Kristján Jónsson, MD, PhD,<sup>d</sup> Magnus Dalén MD, PhD,<sup>e</sup> Thomas Fux, MD,<sup>e</sup> Vito G. Ruggieri, MD, PhD,<sup>f</sup> Giuseppe Gatti, MD,<sup>g</sup> Tatu Juvonen, MD, PhD,<sup>h</sup> Svante Zipfel, MD,<sup>i</sup> Angelo M. Dell'Aquila, MD,<sup>j</sup> Andrea Perrotti, MD, PhD,<sup>k</sup> Karl Bounader, MD,<sup>1</sup> Nicla Settembre, MD, PhD,<sup>m</sup> Antonio Loforte, MD, PhD,<sup>n</sup> Ugolino Livi, MD,<sup>o</sup> Marek Pol, MD,<sup>p</sup> Cristiano Spadaccio, MD,<sup>q</sup> Matteo Pettinari, MD,<sup>r</sup> Daniel Reichart, MD,<sup>i</sup> Khalid Alkhamees, MD,<sup>s</sup> Henryk Welp, MD,<sup>i</sup> Daniele Maselli, MD,<sup>t</sup> Artur Lichtenberg, MD,<sup>u</sup> Fausto Biancari MD, PhD,<sup>h</sup> and the PC-ECMO group\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Cardiac Surgery, Glenfield Hospital, University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester, United Kingdom; <sup>b</sup>Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Henri Mondor University Hospital, AP-HP, Paris-Est University, Créteil, France; <sup>c</sup>Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden; <sup>d</sup>Department of Cardiac Surgery, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden; <sup>e</sup>Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Department of Cardiac Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>1</sup>Division of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Surgery, Robert Debré University Hospital, Reims, France; <sup>g</sup>Division of Cardiac Surgery, Ospedali Riuniti, Trieste, Italy; <sup>h</sup>Heart and Lung Center, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, and Research Unit of Surgery, Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland, Hamburg University Heart Center, Hamburg, Germany; <sup>j</sup>Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Münster University Hospital, Münster, Germany; <sup>k</sup>Department of Thoracic and Cardio-Vascular Surgery, University Hospital Jean Minjoz, Besancon, France; <sup>1</sup>Division of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Surgery, Pontchaillou University Hospital, Rennes, France; "Department of Vascular Surgery, Nancy University Hospital, University of Lorraine, Nancy, France; <sup>n</sup>Department of Cardiothoracic, Transplantation and Vascular Surgery, S. Orsola Hospital, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; °Cardiothoracic Department, University Hospital of Udine, Udine, Italy; PInstitute of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Prague, Czech Republic; <sup>9</sup>Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Golden Jubilee National Hospital, Glasgow, United Kingdom; Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Ziekenhuis Oost-Limburg, Genk, Belgium, <sup>s</sup>Prince Sultan Cardiac Center, Al Hassa, Saudi Arabia; <sup>t</sup>Cardiac Surgery, S. Anna Hospital, Catanzaro, Italy; <sup>u</sup>Cardiovascular Surgery, University Hospital of Dusseldorf, Dusseldorf, Germany; zHeart Center, Turku University Hospital and Department of Surgery, University of Turku, Finland.

**Corresponding Author:** 

Giovanni Mariscalco, MD, PhD Department of Cardiac Surgery, Glenfield Hospital • University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust LE39QP • Leicester • United Kingdom Email: giovannimariscalco@yahoo.it

\*Collaborators are listed in the supplemental material

#### Abstract

Veno-arterial (VA) extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) support for postcardiotomy cardiogenic shock (PCS) in patients undergoing surgery for acute type A aortic dissection (TAAD) is controversial and the available evidence is confined to limited case series. We aimed to evaluate the impact of this salvage therapy in this patient population. Between January 2010 and March 2018, all TAAD patients receiving VA-ECMO for PCS were retrieved from the PC-ECMO registry. Hospital mortality and other secondary outcomes were compared with PCS patients undergoing surgery for other cardiac pathologies and treated with VA-ECMO. Among the 781 patients in the PC-ECMO registry, 62 (7.9%) underwent TAAD repair and required VA-ECMO support for PCS. In-hospital mortality accounted for 46 (74.2%) patients, while 23 (37.1%) were successfully weaned from VA-ECMO. No significant differences were observed between the TAAD and non-TAAD cohorts with reference to in-hospital mortality (74.2 vs 63.4%, p = 0.089). However, patients in the TAAD group had a higher rate of neurological events (33.9 vs 17.6%, p = 0.002), but similar rates of reoperation for bleeding/tamponade (48.4 vs 41.5%, p = 0.29), transtusion of  $\geq$ 10 red blood cell units (77.4 vs 69.5%, p = 0.19), new-onset dialysis (56.7 vs 53.1%, p = 0.56), and other secondary outcomes.

VA-ECMO provides a valid support for patients affected by PCS after surgery for TAAD.

Trial Registration: Clinicaltrials.gov - NCT03508505

**Keywords:** Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; ECMO, ECLS; Postcardiotomy; Cardiac surgery; Heart failure; Aortic dissection.

#### Introduction

Veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA-ECMO) provides temporary mechanical circulatory support for patients affected by postcardiotomy cardiogenic shock (PCS), allowing for cardiopulmonary recovery.<sup>1,2</sup> However, complications and mortality rates after postcardiotomy VA-ECMO remain high, with reported successful weaning from VA-ECMO and hospital mortality between 40% to 70%, and 50 to 80%, respectively.<sup>2-6</sup> Therefore, selection of patients requiring VA-ECMO is a crucial element for a successful postoperative outcome. In this context, the efficacy of VA-ECMO in patients undergoing surgery for acute type A aortic dissection (TAAD) is controversial, and available data is confined to limited case series.<sup>7-10</sup> We report the outcome of patients with PCS following TAAD repair from the multicentre Postcardiotomy Veno-arterial Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (PC-ECMO) registry.

# Methods

The patient population was collected from the observational, multicenter PC-ECMO registry that enrolled patients undergoing VA-ECMO following adult cardiac surgery at 19 centers from Belgium, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Saudi Araba, Sweden, and the United Kingdom from January 2010 to March 2018. The present study is registered at http://www.clinicaltrials.gov (unique identifier: NCT03508505) and was approved by local or regional Institutional Review Boards, where applicable. The detailed protocol with definition criteria has been published previously.<sup>6</sup> The study complies with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology reporting requirements for observational studies (Table I in the Data Supplement).<sup>11</sup>

Only patients affected by PCS following TAAD repair requiring VA-ECMO support were included in this analysis. Baseline characteristics, demographics, comorbidities, intraoperative factors, postoperative outcomes, and VA-ECMO related data were recorded, and variable definitions have been previously reported.<sup>6</sup> The main outcome measure was in-hospital mortality, while secondary outcomes included death on VA-ECMO, reoperation for bleeding/tamponade, postoperative neurological and renal complications, sternal wound infection, red blood cell transfusion, and the length of stay in hospital and the intensive care unit.

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical software v. 24.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA), and Stata v. 15.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Covariates and outcomes were reported as counts and percentages, and as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range (IQR). The Mann-Whitney U, chi-square, and Fisher's exact tests were used for univariate analysis. The impact of aortic dissection on hospital mortality was adjusted for the PC-ECMO score<sup>6</sup> in the logistic regression analysis. A p<0.05 was set for statistical significance.

#### Results

Among the 781 patients of the PC-ECMO registry, 62 (7.9%) underwent TAAD repair and required VA-ECMO support for PCS. Mean age was  $62.9 \pm 11.0$  years (range: 33-79 years) and 17 (27.4%) were female patients. When compared with patients without TAAD diagnosis, those affected by TAAD did not differ in their demographics or comorbidity profile (Table 1). The two groups exhibited significant differences in reference to cardiac presentation, duration and type of operation, which significantly increased their risk profile (Tables 1 and 2). Moreover, patients undergoing TAAD repair had higher lactate levels before VA-FCMO institution (8.6  $\pm$  4.8 mmol/L vs 6.8  $\pm$  4.7 mmol/L, p=0.002), and ECMO support was more often started immediately after surgery (74.2% vs 59.5%, p=0.023). Outcomes are summarized in Table 3.

Twenty-three (37.1%) patients were successfully weaned from VA-ECMO, and in-hospital mortality accounted for 46 (74.2%) patients, with no statistical difference when VA-ECMO was instituted immediately after surgery or later (76.1% versus 68.8%, P=0.74). Also, no differences were observed between the TAAD and non-TAAD cohorts in reference to in-hospital mortality (74.2% vs 63.4%, p=0.089). Patients in the TAAD group had a higher rate of neurological events (33.9% vs 17.6%, p=0.002), but similar rates of reoperation for bleeding/tamponade (p=0.29), RBC transfusion (p=0.19), renal failure (p=0.56), and other analysed secondary outcomes. In-hospital mortality did not differ even when adjusted for preoperative neurological events (OR 0.39, 95%CI 0.82-2.71) and for the PC-ECMO score (OR 0.892, 95%CI 0.46-1.73). Conversely, the TAAD cohort experienced a lower hospital and intensive care unit stay (11.9  $\pm$  16.9 days vs 17.6  $\pm$  18.3 days, p<0.0001, and 16.6  $\pm$  27.8 days vs 25.8  $\pm$  31.7 days, p<0.0001) (Table 3). However, when patients who survived were

considered alone, , no differences in hospital and intensive care unit stay were observed (28.1  $\pm$  23.6 vs 27.9  $\pm$  21.5 days, p=0.74, and 45.1  $\pm$  41.3 vs 47.5  $\pm$  39.1 days, p=0.32, respectively).

#### Discussion

The present study is the largest analysis on the impact of VA-ECMO on the survival of patients with PCS after TAAD repair. In this cohort of patients, VA-ECMO proved to be a valid rescue option with similar hospital mortality and postoperative outcomes to other PCS patients who underwent surgery for other cardiac pathologies. This finding is of relevance since the use of intraaortic balloon pump is contraindicated in those who underwent TAAD repair who develop PCS due to the coexistence of descending thoracic and abdominal aorta dissection.<sup>7,12,13</sup> Therefore, in this cohort of patients, VA-ECMO is the only temporary circulatory support strategy available.

Despite the fact that VA-ECMO is a well-established hemodynamic rescue option for cardiogenic shock, acute myocarditis, PCS or periprocedural support in acute decompensated heart failure, its role in postoperative TAAD setting remains controversial, and the available evidence from literature remains undoubtedly limited.<sup>7-10</sup> The evaluation of the outcome of PCS in this patient population is of significant value as TAAD patients have an inherently high operative risk, and surgical repair for TAAD is rather complex and involve a prolonged period of myocardial ischemia, cardiopulmonary bypass and the use of hypothermic circulatory arrest. In addition, TAAD surgical repair is often complicated by severe end-organ injury other than heart failure. Furthermore, fragile tissues and prolonged surgery may result in excessive bleeding, which may further aggravate the recovery of patients affected by TAAD. Sultan et al.<sup>8</sup> retrospectively reviewed TAAD patients undergoing surgical repair and VA-ECMO implantation over a 10-year period. Thirty-five TAAD cases were identified, and the observed in-hospital mortality was 88.6%, leading to questioning the use of VA-ECMO in this cohort of patients.<sup>8</sup>

Conversely, Lin et al.<sup>9</sup> demonstrated that VA-ECMO was a reasonable treatment for TAAD patients with refractory postcardiotomy cardiac failure. They compared TAAD patients requiring postoperative VA-ECMO support to those who did not, and 20 (12.3%) patients among a total of 162 required ECMO support. Although observed mortality was significantly higher in the cohort requiring VA-ECMO support (65% vs. 8.5%), long-term survival was comparable between the study groups.<sup>9</sup>

Finally, Wang et al.<sup>10</sup> analysed 246 consecutive TAAD patients with seven patients supported postoperatively by VA-ECMO for refractory cardiogenic shock. Among those, only one patient died, leading the authors to conclude that ECMO provides good temporary cardiopulmonary support even in this high-risk patient population. Our data corroborate this evidence. TAAD patients who developed PCS and were treated with VA-ECMO had significant operative mortality, which was comparable to that of the second cohort of patients who underwent surgery for other cardiac pathologies and required postcardiotomy VA-ECMO. This proves that the occurrence of PCS rather than the type of surgery has an impact on the outcome.<sup>1,2</sup> In fact, a recent large multicenter study on VA-ECMO that included more than 8000 patients affected by cardiogenic shock, showed a remarkable increase of more than 30-fold in the use of VA-ECMO, but with unaltered in-hospital mortality.<sup>2</sup> Similarly, in the PC-ECMO registry, the year of operation did not have any impact on perioperative outcomes, with an equivalent in-hospital mortality over a 9-year period.<sup>5</sup>

Between the two patient cohorts, no differences were observed in reference to postoperative reoperation for bleeding/tamponade and blood transfusion rates. Although TAAD patients are intrinsically associated with longer cardiopulmonary bypass times and higher blood product transfusion demands, VA-ECMO support did not increase the risk of postoperative bleeding or the related sequelae of multiorgan failure and infections in comparison with other PCS patients undergoing cardiac surgery for other pathologies.<sup>14,15</sup> As expected, neurological events differed between the two groups, where patients in the TAAD group were more often subjected to preoperative neurological insult, and this risk is further increased by the use of circulatory arrest and/or selective cerebral perfusion.<sup>14</sup>

Another interesting finding of the present study is the shorter intensive care unit stay and hospitalization in the TAAD cohort. A plausible explanation is related to the critical status of these patients at presentation, including life-threatening conditions, for instance, organ malperfusion, acute myocardial infarction and cerebrovascular accidents.<sup>14</sup> This can overcome the benefit of the short-term

VA-ECMO support. As a matter of fact, the TAAD cohort required a more immediate VA-ECMO implantation and a shorter duration of the mechanical support. Another possible explanation is the fact that some of TAAD patients presenting with acute cardiac failure and myocardial stunning and promptly supported by VA-ECMO, rapidly return to almost a normal cardiac function after surgery.<sup>9</sup> It

is not a surprise that after this critical early postoperative period, survival rates are similar between TAAD patients supported by VA-ECMO and other non-ECMO surgical patients.<sup>9</sup>

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, inherent bias to the observational nature of the present series cannot be excluded. The PC-ECMO registry includes a consecutive series of patients treated in university hospitals and regional tertiary hospitals in different European countries, possibly leading to the enrolment of a more inclusive patient population undergoing TAAD repair in centers with different referral pathways, preoperative selection criteria, and treatment strategies which makes the present results generalizable in different healthcare systems. Secondly, because the observational nature of this registry, which included only patients who underwent postcardiotomy VA-ECMO, we were not able to compare the outcome of patients with TAAD requiring VA-ECMO with those not requiring VA-ECMO support. Lastly, the sample size of our series remains small for an adequate and detailed sub-group analyses. Despite these limitations, our cohort is currently the largest available series and provides new data on the potential benefits of using VA-ECMO in TAAD patients affected by postoperative PCS.

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that VA-ECMO is a valid treatment strategy for patients undergoing surgery for acute type A aortic dissection and affected by postcardiotomy cardiogenic shock. Mortality and other secondary outcomes, including the risk of postoperative bleeding, are similar to other PCS patients undergoing surgery for other cardiac pathologies. Therefore, the concept whereby TAAD patients undergoing surgical repair and affected by PCS are at prohibitive risk for VA-ECMO should be challenged.

#### **Disclosures**

The investigators have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

#### Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Reference

- van Diepen S, Katz JN, Albert NM, Henry TD, Jacobs AK, Kapur NK, Kilic A, Menon V, Ohman EM, Sweitzer NK, Thiele H, Washam JB, Cohen MG; American Heart Association Council on Clinical Cardiology; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research; and Mission: Lifeline. Contemporary management of cardiogenic shock: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2017;136:e232-e268.
- Becher PM, Twerenbold R, Schrage B, Schmack B, Sinning CR, Fluschnik N, Schwarzl M, Waldeyer C, Seiffert M, Clemmensen P, Neumann JT, Bernhardt AM, Zeymer U, Thiele H, Reichenspurner H, Blankenberg S, Westermann D. Risk prediction of in-hospital mortality in patients with venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for cardiopulmonary support: The ECMO-ACCEPTS score. J Crit Care 2019;56:100-105.
- 3. Ko WJ, Lin CY, Chen RJ, Wang SS, Lin FY, Chen YS. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support for adult postcardiotomy cardiogenic shock. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;73:538-545.
- 4. Lorusso R, Barili F, Mauro MD, Gelsomino S, Parise O, Rycus PT, Maessen J, Mueller T, Muellenbach R, Belohlavek J, Peek G, Combes A, Frenckner B, Pesenti A, Thiagarajan RR. Inhospital neurologic complications in adult patients undergoing venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: Results from the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization Registry. *Crit Care Med* 2016;44:e964-e972.
- 5. Mariscalco G, Salsano A, Fiore A, Dalen M, Ruggieri VG, Saeed D6, Jónsson K, Gatti G, Zipfel S, Dell'Aquila AM, Perrotti A, Loforte A, Livi U, Pol M, Spadaccio C, Pettinari M, Ragnarsson S, Alkhamees K, El-Dean Z, Bounader K, Biancari F; PC-ECMO group. Peripheral versus central extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for postcardiotomy shock: Multicenter registry, systematic review, and meta-analysis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2019. pii: S0022-5223(19)32376-1.
- Biancari F, Dalén M, Fiore A, Ruggieri VG, Saeed D, Jónsson K, Gatti G, Zipfel S, Perrotti A, Bounader K, Loforte A, Lechiancole A, Pol M, Spadaccio C, Pettinari M, Ragnarsson S, Alkhamees K, Mariscalco G, Welp H; PC-ECMO Study Group. Multicenter study on postcardiotomy venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2019. pii: S0022-5223(19)31331-5.
- 7. Doguet F, Vierne C, Leguillou V, Bessou JP. Place of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in acute aortic dissection. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2010;11:708-710.
- Sultan I, Habertheuer A, Wallen T, Siki M, Szeto W, Bavaria JE, Williams M, Vallabhajosyula P. The role of extracorporeal membrane oxygenator therapy in the setting of Type A aortic dissection. *J Card Surg* 2017;32:822-825.
- Lin TW, Tsai MT, Hu YN, Huang M, Zhu Z, Li D, Wang T, Liu K. Postoperative extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support for acute type A aortic dissection. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2017;104:827-833.

- 10. Wang Y, Piao H, Li B, Wang W, Huang M, Zhu Z, Li D, Wang T, Liu K. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in Stanford type A aortic dissection. *Int Heart J* 2019;60:845-848.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP; STROBE Initiative. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007;370:1453-1457.
- 12. Mariani S, Mariscalco G. Thoracic aortic surgery in Europe: does volume mean necessarily quality? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2015;149:945-946.
- 13. Weaver H, Farid S, Nashef S, Catarino P. Use of intraaortic balloon pumps in acute type A aortic dissection. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2017;104:e321-e322.
- 14. Hagan PG, Nienaber CA, Isselbacher EM, Bruckman D, Karavite DJ, Russman PL, Evangelista A, Fattori R, Suzuki T, Oh JK, Moore AG, Malouf JF, Pape LA, Gaca C, Sechtem U, Lenferink S, Deutsch HJ, Diedrichs H, Marcos y Robles J, Llovet A, Gilon D, Das SK, Armstrong WF, Deeb GM, Eagle KA. The International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD): new insights into an old disease. *JAMA* 2000;283:897-903.
- 15. Biancari F, Tauriainen T, Perrotti A, Dalén M, Faggian G, Franzese I, Chocron S, Ruggieri VG, Bounader K, Gulbins H, Reichart D, Svenarud P, Santarpino G, Fischlein T, Puski T, Maselli D, Dominici C, Nardella S, Mariscalco G, Gherli R, Musumeci F, Rubino AS, Mignosa C, De Feo M, Bancone C, Gatti G, Maschietto L, Santini F, Salsano A, Nicolini F, Gherli T, Zanobini M, Saccocci M, D'Errigo P, Kinnunen EM, Onorati F. Bleeding, transfusion and the risk of stroke after coronary surgery: A prospective cohort study of 2357 patients. *Int J Surg* 2016;32:50-57.

# **CRediT Auhtor Statement**

Biancari and Mariscalco, had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Study concept and design: Biancari, Mariscalco, Dalen, Fiore, Loforte, Spadaccio.

Acquisition of data: Alkhamees, Biancari, Bounaderm, Dalén, Dell'Aquila, El-Dean, Fiore, Gatti, Lichtenberg, Jónsson, Livi, Loforte, Mariscalco, Perrotti, Pettinari, Pol, Ragnarsson, Reichart, Ruggieri, Saeed, Settembre, Spadaccio, Welp.

Analysis and interpretation of data: Biancari, Mariscalco, Maselli.

Drafting of the manuscript: Biancari, Mariscalco, Maselli.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Alkhamees, Biancari, Bounaderm Dalén, Dell'Aquila, Fiore, Gatti, Lichtenberg, Jónsson, Livi, Loforte, Mariscalco, Maselli, Perrotti, Pettinari, Pol, Ragnarsson, Reichart, Ruggieri, Saeed, Settembre, Spadaccio, Welp.

Paper supervision: Biancari, Mariscalco, Juvonen.

Statistical analysis: Biancari, Mariscalco.

Language revision: El-Dean.

oundereig

# Table 1 Baseline characteristics

Variable <sup>†</sup>	TAAD (n=62)	No TAAD (n=719)	p value
Age (years)	62.9 ± 11.0	63.1 ± 13.1	0.58
Women	17 (27.4%)	232 (32.3%)	0.43
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	26.8 ± 5.0	27.2 ± 5.1	0.66
Presentation and cardiac status			
Urgent/emergent	55 (88.7%)	398 (55.4%)	<0.0001
Critical preoperative state	25 (40.3%)	251 (34.9%)	0.39
Preoperative stroke/unconsciousness	8 (12.9%)	19 (2.6%)	<0.0001
Prior cardiac surgery	14 (22.6%)	172 (23.9%)	0.81
Prior myocardial infarction	8 (12.9%)	191 (26.6%)	0.018
Comorbidities			
Diabetes mellitus	7 (11.3%)	193 (26.8%)	0.007
Haemoglobin (g/L)	123.1 ± 23.9	125.4 ± 21.8	0.89
Estimated glomerular filtration rate (mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	66.3 ± 24.9	68.1 ± 30.7	0.79
Dialysis	3 (4.8%)	29 (4.0%)	0.74
Stroke	5 (8.1%)	55 (7.6%)	0. 91
Extracardiac arteriopathy	8 (12.9%)	110 (15.3%)	0.61
Pulmonary disease	10 (16.1%)	100 (13.1%)	0.63
Atrial fibrillation	13 (21.0%)	179 (24.9%)	0.49
PC-ECMO <sup>†</sup> , score	6.1 ± 3.2	3.7 ± 2.3	<0.0001
EuroSCORE 2, score (%)	15.3 ± 17.3	18.9 ± 15.6	0.003

TAAD, type A aortic dissection.

\*Continuous data are presented as mean ± standard deviation; categorical variables as number (percent).

<sup>+</sup>PC-ECMO score is based on the following rik factors: age (60-69 tears,  $\geq$ 70 years – points 2 or 4, respectively), female gender (points 1), prior cardiac surgery (points 1), arterial lactate  $\geq$ 6 mmol/L (points 2), aortic arch surgery (points 4), and preoperative stroke/cuncounsciouness (points 5)<sup>6</sup>.

Table 2
<b>Operative Data</b>

Variable*	TAAD (n=62)	No TAAD (n=719)	p value
ACC time (minutes)	181± 238	122 ± 78	0.001
Median	139.5 (85)	106 (94)	
CPB time (minutes)	295 ± 117	218 ± 121	< 0.0001
Median	218 (160)	277 (117)	
Surgical procedures			
Aortic procedures	62 (100%)	93 (12.9%)	< 0.0001
Aortic root surgery	20 (32.3%)	67 (9.3%)	<0.0001
Isolated ascending aorta repair	33 (53.2%)	21 (2.9%)	<0.0001
Aortic arch repair	26 (41.9%)	13 (1.8%)	<0.0001
Aortic valve replacement/repair	9 (14.5%)	211 (29.3%)	0.008
Mitral valve surgery	2 (3.2%)	266 (37.0%)	< 0.0001
Tricuspid valve surgery	-	100 (13.9%)	<0.0001
Coronary surgery	16 (25.8%)	374 (52.0%)	<0.0001
Other procedures	1 (1.6%)	74 (10.3%)	0.026
Timing of ECMO insertion			
VA-ECMO inserted immediately after surgery	46 (74.2%)	428 (59.5%)	0.023
Duration of ECMO support, days	5.1 ± 5.2	7.1 ± 6.3	0.003
Median	3.0 (7.0)	6.0 (7.0)	
Central arterial cannulation	19 (30.6%)	226 (31.4%)	0.99
Arterial lactate before VA-ECMO, mmol/L	6.8 ± 4.7	8.6 ± 4.8	0.002
Median	7.2 (4.8)	5.6 (6.3)	

ACC, aortic cross clamp; CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; VA-ECMO, veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

\*Continuous data are presented as mean (standard deviation) and median (interquartile range); categorical variables as number (percent).

V

# Table 3 In-hospital Outcomes

Variable*	TAAD	No TAAD	
	62 pts	719 pts	p value
Primary end-point			
In-hospital mortality	46 (74.2%)	456 (63.4%)	0.089
Secondary end-points			
In-hospital mortality on VA-ECMO	41 (66.1%)	329 (45.8%)	0.002
Reoperation for bleeding/tamponade	30 (48.4%)	298 (41.5%)	0.29
Stroke/Global brain ischemia	21 (33.9%)	126 (17.6%)	0.002
Dialysis (new-onset)	34 (56.7%)	375 (53.1%)	0.59
Liver failure	19 (30.6%)	246 (34.3%)	0.56
Deep sternal wound infection	1 (1.6%)	28 (3.9%)	0.72
Blood stream infection	12 (19.4%)	167 (23.2%)	0.47
RBC > 9 units	48 (77.4%)	499 (69.5%)	0.19
RBC units transfused (units)	29.9 ± 21.0	23.1 ± 22.0	0.060
Median	20.0 (30)	15.0 (23)	
Pneumonia	17 (27.4%)	268 (37.3%)	0.12
Length of stay			
Intensive care unit stay (days)	11.9 ± 16.9	17.6 ± 18.3	<0.0001
Median	6.0 (14.0)	12 (19)	
Hospital stay (days)	16.6 ± 27.8	25.8 ± 31.7	<0.0001
Median	6.5 (15.0)	17.0 (27.0)	

VA-ECMO, veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

\*Continuous data are presented as mean (standard deviation) or median [interquartile range]; categorical variables as number (percent)