This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Jenniskens, P., Utas, J., Yin, Q.-Z., Matson, R.D., Fries, M., Howell, J.A., Free, D., Albers, J., Devillepoix, H., Bland, P., Miller, A., Verish, R., Garvie, L.A.J., Zolensky, M.E., Ziegler, K., Sanborn, M.E., Verosub, K.L., Rowland, D.J., Ostrowski, D.R., Bryson, K., Laubenstein, M., Zhou, Q., Li, Q.-L., Li, X.-H., Liu, Y., Tang, G.-Q., Welten, K., Caffee, M.W., Meier, M.M.M., Plant, A.A., Maden, C., Busemann, H., Granvik, M. and (2019), The Creston, California, meteorite fall and the origin of L chondrites. Meteorit Planet Sci, 54: 699-720. https://doi.org/10.1111/maps.13235, which has been published in final form at <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/maps.13235">https://doi.org/10.1111/maps.13235</a>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-

Archived Versions.

# The Creston, California, meteorite fall and the origin of L chondrites

Peter JENNISKENS<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Jason UTAS<sup>3</sup>, Qing-Zhu YIN<sup>4</sup>, Robert D. MATSON<sup>5</sup>, Marc FRIES<sup>6</sup>, J. Andreas HOWELL<sup>7</sup>, Dwayne FREE<sup>7</sup>, Jim ALBERS<sup>1</sup>, Hadrien DEVILLEPOIX<sup>8</sup>, Phil BLAND<sup>8</sup>, Aaron MILLER<sup>9</sup>, Robert VERISH<sup>10</sup>, Laurence A. J. GARVIE<sup>11</sup>, Michael E. ZOLENSKY<sup>6</sup>, Karen ZIEGLER<sup>12</sup>, Matthew E. SANBORN<sup>4</sup>, Kenneth L. VEROSUB<sup>4</sup>, Douglas J. ROWLAND<sup>13</sup>, Daniel R. OSTROWSKI<sup>2,14</sup>, Kathryn BRYSON<sup>2,14</sup>, Matthias LAUBENSTEIN<sup>15</sup>, Qin ZHOU<sup>16</sup>, Qiu-Li LI<sup>17</sup>, Xian-Hua LI<sup>17</sup>, Yu LIU<sup>17</sup>, Guo-Qiang TANG<sup>17</sup>, Kees WELTEN<sup>18</sup>, Marc W. Caffee<sup>19</sup>, Matthias M. M. MEIER<sup>20</sup>, Amy A. PLANT<sup>20</sup>, Colin MADEN<sup>20</sup>, Henner BUSEMANN<sup>20</sup>, and Mikael GRANVIK<sup>21</sup> (The Creston Meteorite Consortium)

<sup>1</sup>SETI Institute, Carl Sagan Center, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA.

<sup>2</sup>NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA.

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California at Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA.

<sup>5</sup> Leidos, La Jolla, San Diego, CA 92657, USA.

<sup>6</sup> Astromaterials Research and Exploration Science, NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058, USA.

<sup>7</sup> SkySentinel Allsky Network, SkySentinel, LLC (SSL), Melbourne, FL 32940, USA.

<sup>8</sup> Faculty of Science & Engineering, Curtin University, Bentley, Perth, WA 6102, Australia.

<sup>9</sup> Ancient Earth Trading Co., Atascadero, CA 93423, USA.

<sup>10</sup> Meteorite Recovery Lab., Escondido, CA 92046, USA.

<sup>11</sup>Center for Meteorite Studies, School of Earth & Space Exploration, Arizona State Univ., Tempe, AZ 85287, USA.

<sup>12</sup> Institute of Meteoritics, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA.

<sup>13</sup> Center for Molecular and Genomic Imaging, Dep. of Biomedical Engineering, UC Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA.

<sup>14</sup> Bay Area Environmental Research Institute, 625 2nd St., Suite 209, Petaluma, CA 94952, USA.

<sup>15</sup> Inst. Naz. di Fiscia Nucleare, Lab. Naz. del Gran Sasso, I-67010 Assergi (AQ), Italy.

<sup>16</sup>National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China.

<sup>17</sup> State Key Laboratory of Lithospheric Evolution, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China.

<sup>18</sup> Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.

<sup>19</sup> PRIME Laboratory, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA.

<sup>20</sup> Institute of Geochemistry and Petrology, ETH Zürich, CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland.

<sup>21</sup> University of Helsinki, Department of Physics, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland.

\*Corresponding author. Email: Petrus.M.Jenniskens@nasa.gov

Submitted to MAPS, 04.11.2018 / Revised 09.26.2018

Abstract – It has been proposed that all L chondrites resulted from an ongoing collisional cascade of fragments that originated from the formation of the ~500 Ma old asteroid family Gefion, located near the 5:2 mean-motion resonance with Jupiter in the middle main belt. If so, L chondrite pre-atmospheric orbits should be distributed as expected for that source region. Here, we present contradictory results from the orbit and collisional history of the October 24, 2015, L6 ordinary chondrite fall at Creston, CA (here re-classified to L5/6). Creston's short  $1.30 \pm 0.02$  AU semi-major axis orbit would imply a long dynamical evolution if it originated from the middle main belt. Indeed, Creston has a high cosmic ray exposure age of 40–50 Ma. However, Creston's small meteoroid size and low  $4.23 \pm 0.07^{\circ}$ inclination indicate a short dynamical lifetime against collisions. Instead, Creston originated most likely in the inner asteroid belt and was delivered via the v6 resonance. The U-Pb systematics of Creston apatite reveals a Pb-Pb age of  $4,497.1 \pm 3.7$  Ma, and an upper intercept U-Pb age of 4,496.7  $\pm$  5.8 Ma (2 $\sigma$ ), circa 70 Ma after formation of CAI, as found for other L chondrites. The K-Ar (age ~4.3 Ga) and U,Th-He (age ~1 Ga) chronometers were not re-set at ~500 Ma, while the lower intercept U-Pb age is poorly defined as  $770 \pm 320$  Ma. So far, the three known L chondrites that impacted on orbits with semi-major axes a < 2.0 AU all have high (> 3 Ga) K-Ar ages. This argues for a source of some of our L chondrites in the inner main belt. Not all L chondrites originate in a continuous population of Gefion family debris stretching across the 3:1 mean-motion resonance.

### **INTRODUCTION**

There is an ongoing effort to identify the source of L chondrites in the asteroid belt. The delivery resonance and inclination of the source region can be identified in a statistical sense from meteorite falls for which an atmospheric impact trajectory and pre-atmospheric orbit are calculated (Jenniskens 2014). So far, five L5 and L6 chondrites have yielded pre-impact orbits: Innisfree, Jesenice, Park Forest, Villalbeto de la Peña, and Novato.

About two thirds of L chondrites have a common  $470 \pm 6$  Ma Ar-Ar and U-Pb resetting age, especially those that show shock blackening (Anders 1964; Haack *et al.* 1996; Alexeev 1998; Scott 2002; Korochantseva *et al.* 2007; Weirich *et al.* 2012, Yin *et al.* 2014, Li & Hsu 2016, Wu and Hsu 2017). In addition, L chondrites falls were much more common 470 Ma ago, where they are found in the fossil record of terrestrial strata dated to  $467.3 \pm 1.6$  Ma (Schmitz *et al.* 2001, 2016).

It has been proposed that the Gefion asteroid family, located near the 5:2 mean-motion resonance, was formed at that time and this is the source of these shocked L chondrites (Nesvorný *et al.* 2009). While delivery was rapid, initially, via the 5:2 mean-motion resonance, the meteorites would now be delivered to Earth more efficiently via the 3:1 mean-motion resonance. Gefion is the only known family with large members of L (as opposed to H and LL) chondrite composition (Vernazza *et al.*, 2014) and some asteroids found in the 3:1 mean-motion resonance, e.g., (355) Gabriella, (14470) Utra, and (1722) Goffin, have L-chondrite like spectra (Fieber-Beyer & Gaffey 2015). In more recent years, however, the reflection spectra of some Gefion family members were found to resemble that of H-chondrites and basaltic achondrites (McGraw *et al.* 2017) and the age of the Gefion family may be older than required,  $1103 \pm 386$  Ma according to Spoto *et al.* (2015), who did not include the initial velocity at ejection, however.

There could be more than one source region of L chondrites in the main asteroid belt. It has been argued that large groups of compositionally similar asteroids are a natural outcome of planetesimal formation (Youdin 2011; Vernazza *et al.* 2014). Also, the current asteroid population is mostly composed of re-assembled matter from large-scale disruptions of an earlier generation of planetesimals (Bottke *et al.* 2005). If so, the L chondrite parent body may have broken into several daughter asteroids during an initial disruption of the L

chondrite parent body long ago, each of which could later have created an asteroid family in a different part of the main belt.

More than one recent collision created the meteoroids that now impact Earth. L5 and L6 chondrites have a broad distribution of cosmic ray exposure ages (CRE). The CRE age identifies the moment in time when a collision caused the meteoroid to no longer be shielded from cosmic rays by a few meters of overlaying burden. The broad distribution implies that multiple disruptive collision or cratering events produced this meteorite type (Marti & Graf 1992; Eugster *et al.* 2006; Wasson 2012). Note that L3 and L4 chondrites appear to have different CRE age distributions than L5 or L6 chondrites, and are not considered here. At this moment, there are no observed L3 or L4 falls with measured pre-atmospheric orbits.

Here, we report on the October 24, 2015 fall of the ordinary chondrite Creston near Paso Robles, California. 218 eyewitnesses reported the fireball (American Meteor Society event number 2635-2015). Fourteen witnesses close to the path heard sonic booms shortly after the fireball. Seismic stations timed tremors when the shock wave coupled to the ground. Based on the visual and seismic sightings, six Doppler radar returns from the United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) next generation weather radar network (NEXRAD) were identified that were likely from falling meteorites (Fries *et al.* 2016). Based on the radar-defined search area, the first stone was located on 27 October, now named CR01 and classified as an L6 ordinary chondrite (Bouvier *et al.* 2017). The stone had shattered when it hit a metal fence post. Five other meteorites were found in the following month, with a total weight of 852.3 g (Table 1).

Two of the meteorites (CR05 and CR06) were made available for non-destructive analysis (Fig. 1), while fragments of meteorites CR01 and CR02 were used for destructive analysis. We determined the pre-atmospheric orbit and the collision history of the meteorites in order to investigate whether Creston originated from the same source as other L chondrites with known pre-atmospheric orbits. We also comprehensively characterized the meteorite mineralogically, petrographically, geochemically, isotopically and magnetically.

# **EXPERIMENTAL METHODS**

Meteoroid trajectory and orbit.

The fireball was recorded by an automated digital camera developed for the Desert Fireball Network (DFN) during testing at the Cameras for Allsky Meteor Surveillance project (CAMS, Jenniskens *et al.* 2011) station in Sunnyvale, California (Fig. 2). The camera consists of a Nikon D810 digital still camera equipped with a liquid crystal shutter that interupts the image 10 times per second, with some breaks kept dark to encode the time during the exposure (Fig. 1, lower left). At the time of the fall, the skies in Sunnyvale were hazy and illuminated by a full Moon. Few stars are visible in the image. Images from the previous night were used to calibrate the background star field with a mean observed-calculated astrometric precision of O-C = 1.0' (Table 2).

A second digital still image, a single exposure, was obtained from the pier at Goleta by Christian M. Rodriguez of Santa Barbara and posted on a social media website. The meteor is captured near the right edge of the image, entering from a corner (Fig. 1, lower right). Upon request, the original image was made available for analysis to reveal a rich star field, including a star on the right side of the meteor trail. Rodriguez saw the fireball and stopped the exposure about 5 seconds after it faded. The field of view is relatively small, resulting in an O-C = 0.24'.

The fireball was also captured in two SkySentinel allsky cameras, one at Riverside, operated by Richard Garcia, and one in El Segundo, operated by Dave Goodyear (Figs. 3). Station locations relative to Sunnyvale and Goleta are shown in Fig. 2. Both cameras are small image format (640 x 480 pixels) low-light video cameras equipped with an allsky lens. In Riverside, there is more obstruction near the horizon than in El Segundo, the latter capturing the same two flares as seen in Goleta. In Riverside, only the first flash is recorded. The Iris software was used to track the meteor's position and brightness in the video frames. No stars are visible in the individual image frames, but after averaging a number of frames, sufficient stars can be found for calibration above 15° elevation. The zenith angles (z) of the meteor as a function of zenith distance described in Borovicka *et al.* (1995). Observed-Calculated rootmean-square precision for 82 stars (above 15° elevation) was O-C = 6'. This is sufficient to align the observed light curve to the trajectory solution, but not enough to help improve the trajectory solution from combining the Sunnyvale and Goleta observations.

### Meteorite petrography, bulk chemistry, and isotopes.

Petrographic analysis of CR01 was done initially at the Arizona State University and reported in Bouvier *et al.* (2017). At NASA Johnson Space Center (JSC), electron microprobe analysis (EPMA) of a subsample of CR02 was performed to evaluate metamorphic conditions of the rock and verify the initial classification. These analyses were made using a Cameca SX100 microprobe at the E-beam laboratory of the Astromaterials and Exploration Science (ARES) Division of JSC. Natural mineral standards were used, and analytical errors are at the 0.1 wt.% level for most elements. We used a 1 µm focused beam in all analyses, at 15 kV and 20 nA. The moderately- and highly-shocked lithologies were analyzed separately, for comparison.

Oxygen isotope studies were performed at the University of New Mexico. Three aliquots of 1.20, 1.30 and 0.80 mg, respectively, were acid-treated in order to remove any possible terrestrial contamination. Molecular oxygen was released from the samples by laser-assisted fluorination (20 or 50 W far-infrared CO<sub>2</sub> laser) in a BrF<sub>5</sub>-atmosphere, producing molecular O<sub>2</sub> and solid fluorides, from which excess BrF<sub>5</sub> was removed by reaction with hot NaCl. The oxygen was purified by freezing at -196 °C, followed by elution at ~300 °C into a He-stream. NF<sub>3</sub> is then separated in a gas chromatography column and frozen again at -196°C to remove He. The O<sub>2</sub> is then released directly into a dual inlet mass spectrometer (Thermo Finnigan MAT 253). The San Carlos olivine standards (~1–2 mg) were analyzed daily. Each mass spectroscopic analysis consists of 20 cycles of standard-sample comparisons (e.g., Popova *et al.* 2013).

Chromium isotope and bulk chemical composition measurements were completed at the University of California, Davis (UC Davis). A fusion crust-free fragment (50.8 mg) was crushed into a powder and placed into a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) Parr capsule along with a 3:1 mixture of concentrated HF:HNO<sub>3</sub> acid. The PTFE capsule was placed into a stainless steel jacket and heated in a 190°C oven for 96 hours. After complete dissolution, an aliquot of the solution was used for Cr isotopes and the remaining solution used for major, minor, and trace element concentration determination. Chromium was separated from the bulk sample using a three column chemical separation procedure described previously by Yamakawa *et al.* (2009). After separating Cr from the sample matrix, the isotopic

composition of the purified Cr fraction was analyzed using a Thermo *Triton Plus* thermal ionization mass spectrometer at UC Davis. A total Cr load of 12 micrograms was loaded onto four outgassed W filaments (3 micrograms of Cr per filament). The Cr separated from Creston was bracketed by four filaments loaded with NIST SRM 979 Cr standard solution at the same total Cr load as the Creston fractions. Chromium isotopic compositions are reported as parts per 10,000 deviation from the measured SRM 979 standard (ε-notation).

An aliquot set aside from the same dissolved sample above before Cr separation was used to determine the concentrations for a suite of elements (major, minor, and trace) using Thermo *Element XR* high resolution inductively coupled plamsa mass spectrometer at UC Davis. The analyical methods for this procedure have been described previously (Jenniskens *et al.* 2012; 2014; Popova *et al.* 2013).

## Meteorite Cosmic Ray Exposure, K-Ar and U, Th-He ages.

To determine the cosmic ray exposure age and meteoroid size, cosmogenic radionuclide concentrations were analyzed by means of non-destructive high purity germanium (HPGe) gamma spectroscopy. Two specimens of Creston (CR05 and CR06) were measured in the underground laboratories at the Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (LNGS) (Arpesella 1996) for 14.74 days (CR06, 263 days after the fall) and 15.90 days (CR05, 278 days after the fall) respectively. The counting efficiencies have been calculated using thoroughly tested Monte Carlo codes.

Additional measurements of cosmogenic radionuclides <sup>10</sup>Be and <sup>36</sup>Cl were obtained from a sample of CR02 weighting ~52 mg. At UC Berkeley, the sample was gently crushed in an agate mortar and the powder dissolved in concentrated HF/HNO<sub>3</sub> along with a carrier solution containing approximately 2.8 mg of Be and 3.5 mg of Cl. After complete dissolution of the sample, an aliquot was taken for chemical analysis by ICP-OES and radionuclides were separated and purified for measurement by Accelerator Mass Spectroscopy (AMS) at Purdue University.

At ETH Zürich, the CRE age and K-Ar age were determined from noble gas concentrations. Two samples of CR02 were prepared by breaking apart a ~70 mg piece of Creston. The samples were weighed, wrapped in Al foil and loaded into an in-house built noble gas mass spectrometer. Noble gases were extracted by a furnace heated by electron bombardment to ca. 1700–1800°C in a single heating step, and separated into a He and Ne, and an Ar fraction by temporarily freezing the Ar to a charcoal cooled by liquid nitrogen. Analysis was done according to a protocol most recently described in Meier *et al.* (2017). Helium-4, and all Ne and Ar isotopes were measured, together with the ion species  $H_2O^+$ ,  ${}^{35}Cl^+$ ,  ${}^{37}Cl^+$ ,  ${}^{40}Ar^+$ , and  $CO_2^+$ , which were monitored to potentially correct for interferences on the masses of the noble gas isotopes, but all interferences proved to be negligible. The two samples were analyzed back-to-back, and bracketed with two Al blanks. Blank contributions to total signals were <0.04% on  ${}^{4}$ He, <0.8%, and <4% on all Ne and Ar isotopes, respectively.

### Meteorite U-Pb and Pb-Pb ages.

U-Pb ages for Creston were determined at the National Astronomical Observatories (NAO), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) in Beijing. The detailed analytical procedure for U-Pb dating of phosphate grains in terrestrial rocks can be found in Li *et al.* (2010), which was further refined and applied successfully to meteorites (Popova *et al.* 2013; Zhou *et al.* 2013; Yin *et al.* 2014). Backscattered electron images of phosphate grains in a polished mount of CR01 were imaged with a Carl Zeiss SUPRA-55 field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) equipped with energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS). The probe current was 300 pA at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. This step was essential in selecting suitable analytical spots in the subsequent ion probe session for U-Pb dating to avoid microfractures, inclusions, and other observed physical defects in the individual phosphate grains. The analytical spots are identified in Fig. 4.

In situ isotopic analysis of U-Pb for phosphate grains was performed on the large radius magnetic sector multicollector, secondary ion mass spectrometer (SIMS), a Cameca IMS-1280HR at the Institute of Geology and Geophysics (IGG), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) in Beijing. The O<sup>2-</sup> primary ion beam was accelerated at -13 kV, with an intensity ranging between 8 and 9 nA. The Köhler illumination mode was used with a 200 mm diameter aperture, resulting in an elliptical spot size of  $20 \times 30$  mm<sup>2</sup> on the target. Positive secondary ions were extracted with a 10 kV potential. A monocollector electron multiplier (EM) was used as the detection device to measure secondary ion beam intensities of  $^{204}$ Pb<sup>+</sup>,  $^{206}$ Pb<sup>+</sup>,  $^{207}$ Pb<sup>+</sup>,  $^{208}$ Pb<sup>+</sup>,  $^{232}$ Th<sup>+</sup>,  $^{238}$ U<sup>+</sup>,  $^{232}$ Th<sup>16</sup>O<sup>+</sup>,  $^{238}$ U<sup>16</sup>O<sup>+</sup>,  $^{238}$ U<sup>16</sup>O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> and a matrix reference

peak of  ${}^{40}\text{Ca}_2{}^{31}\text{P}{}^{16}\text{O}_3{}^+$  at a mass resolution of approximately 9000 (defined at 50% height). The  ${}^{40}\text{Ca}_2{}^{31}\text{P}{}^{16}\text{O}_3{}^+$  signal was used as reference peak for tuning the secondary ions, energy, and mass adjustments. Pb/U ratios were calibrated with power law relationship between  ${}^{206}\text{Pb}^{*+/238}\text{U}^+$  and  ${}^{238}\text{U}{}^{16}\text{O}_2{}^{+/238}\text{U}^+$  relative to an apatite standard of NW-1 (1160 Ma) that comes from the same complex at Prairie Lake as that of the Sano *et al.* (1999) apatite standard (PRAP). Uranium concentration is calibrated relative to the Durango apatite, which contains approximately 9 ppm of U (Trotter & Eggins 2006). The detection limit is approximately 4 ppb of U in apatite. The  ${}^{206}\text{Pb}{}^{/238}\text{U}$  standard deviation measured in the standard was propagated to the unknowns. Each measurement consisted of 10 cycles, with the total analytical time of about 23 min. Due to the low uranium concentration, all of the merrillites failed to yield useful Pb-Pb age information. The uncertainties for individual apatite analyses are reported as  $1\sigma$ . The weighted average of  ${}^{206}\text{Pb}{}^{*/238}\text{U}$  and Pb-Pb ages, quoted at the 95% confidence level, were calculated using ISOPLOT 3.0.

The <sup>204</sup>Pb counts are very low in all apatite grains. Most points have zero counts, which indicates the common lead levels are very low. We assumed that the common lead level is mostly surface contamination, and correction using modern terrestrial common lead composition was applied. Given the very low level of <sup>204</sup>Pb and common Pb fraction f<sub>206</sub> (%), using the primordial lead composition (Tatsumoto *et al.* 1973) instead of terrestrial common lead would make no difference in the calculated radiogenic lead composition. To illustrate the point, we plotted the common lead corrected data in both the normal Wetherill-type concordia diagram, inverse Tera-Wasserburg diagram, as well as the 3-D linear regression of the total lead as measured (without correction for initial or common lead) in <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb versus <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>206</sup>Pb plane (Wendt & Carl 1984; Wendt 1989; Ludwig 1998). The similar results (not shown here) among the three panels within errors indicate that the unknown common Pb isotope composition and its correction are insignificant for age calculations.

### RESULTS

### Trajectory and orbit.

The exact time of the onset of the fireball was derived from the CAMS low-light video cameras (Jenniskens *et al.* 2011). GPS-synchronization of the DFN camera was unreliable at the time of the event. The fireball was just below the camera field of view at the CAMS

station at Fremont Peak Observatory. A single-frame flash (<0.05s long) was detected in cameras 62, 71 and 73 at 05:47:48.8  $\pm$  0.2 UTC, and a weaker flash at 05:47:49.1  $\pm$  0.2 UTC, which are interpreted to be the two flares seen in Fig. 1.

Results for the trajectory and orbit derived from triangulation of Sunnyvale with Goleta are given in Table 3 and Fig. 5. The convergence angle between the intersecting planes through meteor and station is only 7.2°. The solution based on DFN/Sunnyvale and Goleta is most sensitive to systematic errors in the DFN camera observations. The (1-sigma) uncertainty in the triangulation was evaluated by a Monte Carlo simulation around the 3 velocity components. Two calibration methods were taken to derive the astrometry from the DFN camera, which resulted in slightly different positions of the trajectory. The first used star positions localized in the region around the meteor trajectory to fit the lens distortion parameters. The second used a global calibration. The localized method resulted in less systematic errors, but higher random errors as fewer stars were involved. The global effort may seem more accurate, but appeared to introduce systematic errors at the position of the meteor. This is clearly shown in Fig. 6, which gives two orbital elements of the fitted preatmospheric orbit for a range of solutions that cover the astrometric uncertainty. The calculated trajectory shifted by 2.3 km SE in the global method compared to the local method. The resulting direction of the radiant was different by 0.8°, which translates into a 0.3° systematic error in inclination. On the other hand, because of the large distance to the meteor, the velocities are not much affected. By comparing the measured trajectory positions to that of the recovered meteorites (Fig. 5), we were able to determine that the local calibration method gave the most reliable results. Those are tabulated in Table 3.

Using the wind sonde data from Oakland at 0h and 12h UT (http://weather.uwyo.edu/ upperair/sounding.html), the wind drift of meteorites of different masses were calculated assuming a spherical shape and density of 3.2 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Figure 5 shows the calculated positions relative to the 1st-method trajectory for 1-g, 10-g, 100-g and 1-kg masses falling from an altitude of 29 and 25 km, at the time of the flares. Notice how the recovered meteorite masses were found close to the predicted positions for the trajectory calculated using the local calibration method. Six Doppler radar returns from three separate radars of the NOAA NEXRAD weather radar network were identified that could be from falling meteorites or dust (Bouvier *et al.* 2017). The earliest radar signature ("A", Fig. 5) appears in imagery from the radar with call letters "KVTX" (Los Angeles, California, with location shown in Fig. 2) at an altitude of 16.5 km above sea level (ASL) at 05:49:10.4 UTC – just 80 s after the meteor passed the 29.5-km altitude point (05:47:50.3 UTC), where the first breakup was recorded as a flare in the meteor imaging (Fig. 1). The radar-reported timing (05:47:57.6 UTC) is corrected for the time it takes the radar to adjust to the next-higher elevation level with each sweep. The new time implies that this signature "A" is due to fine material, approximately ~0.06 g in mass. However, it is found above the 1.2-kg point in the predicted strewn field.

Radar "KVBX" (Vandenberg Air Force Base) recorded signatures of what may be falling meteorites from 5.8 km ASL at 05:50:06.5 UTC ("B" and "C", Fig. 5), and from 6.9 km ASL at 05:51:36.3 UTC ("D"). The time lag of 136s and 225s to those altitudes, respectively, would correspond approximately to the fall time of 68-g and 3.5-g meteorites. Instead, these signatures are found above the 700-g and 70-g points, respectively (Fig. 5). Radar "KHNX" (San Joaquin) recorded several returns at 05:51:34.1 at an altitude of 4.0 km, from 14-g meteorites ("E", Fig. 5). These reflections are above the 90-g point.

Finally, "KMUX" (San Francisco) recorded a pair of returns at 16.6-km altitude at 06:00:02.7 ("F", Fig. 5), many minutes after the meteor. They are perhaps due to slow falling fine debris.

All recovered ~100 g meteorites are fully fusion crusted (Fig. 1), which confirms that they originated in a breakup before the end of the luminous trajectory. In contrast, the radar returns are only consistent with the measured trajectory if there was aggressive ongoing fragmentation after the main breakups at 29 and 25 km altitude. In particular, the final detection suggests ongoing fragmentation following the 29-km breakup and small debris settling to lower altitudes. Such ongoing fragmentation was also observed during breakup of the Novato meteoroid (Jenniskens *et al.* 2014). It is not impossible that these small 3–10 g meteorites would consist of broken fragments that are not fully crusted.

The light curve of this event is remarkably flat (Fig. 7). Each break in the DFN camera trace (marked "SV") provided a brightness measurement. The SkySentinel cameras ("RV" and "ES") brightness in each video frame was calibrated using the image of the Moon (Fig. 3), as

well as the images of stars in the integrated image. Finally, the photographic trace is shown as a line marked "GO". Based on the CAMS video, the peak intensity of the very brief first (29-km) flare may be half a magnitude brighter, during a 1/60th second video field, than measured from the integrated intensity of the photometric trace (arrow).

The fall area is not far from the San Andreas Fault and densely populated by seismic stations. Seismic signatures were detected by 9 stations (Table 4). Several traces show two bursts, possibly from the 29- and 25-km breakup events (Fig. 8). If so, the corresponding distances imply a relatively high 334 m/s average sound speed. The strongest signal was measured at station SMM (Simmler) close to the track in the up-range direction, and that one is single-peaked, just like the signal at station MPP (Macpherson Peak) in about that same azimuthal direction. In this direction, the shock waves appear to have overlapped.

### Mineralogical and geochemical properties of the meteorite.

The meteorite's interior was light grey and sprinkled with small (<1 mm) metal and troilte grains, as described by Bouvier *et al.* (2017). The stones exhibit shock melt veins, some to 2 mm thick, which are sometimes broken at places along well-developed shiny black slickenside surfaces. We analyzed the moderately- and highly-shocked lithologies separately, for comparison. We found that olivine in both lithologies had identical compositions (and so are combined here), being an average olivine composition Fa<sub>23.3±1.4</sub> and a maximum CaO content of 0.053 (PMD=2.1%, n = 10), and low-Ca pyroxene with an average composition of Fs<sub>23.5±3.5</sub>Wo<sub>1.2±0.5</sub> (PMD=1.4%, n=8), with Fe/Mn = 28.0 ± 2.2. These results differ slightly from those reported by Bouvier *et al.* (2017). These earlier results were: Fa<sub>24.8±0.4</sub> (n = 11), Fs<sub>21.1±0.2</sub>Wo<sub>1.3±0.2</sub> (n=14), with pyroxene Fe/Mn = 28.1 ± 1.3. Differences may be on account of varying equilibration of olivine and pyroxene grains or chondrules across the sampled stones.

Only two barred olivine chondrules were apparent in the investigated section. Plagioclase grains up to 200  $\mu$ m are abundant, with compositions generally in the range An<sub>26</sub>Ab<sub>61</sub>Or<sub>13</sub> to An<sub>27</sub>Ab<sub>62</sub>Or<sub>11</sub>, with one aberrant grain found with the composition An<sub>18</sub>Ab<sub>70</sub>Or<sub>11</sub>. Chromite and troilite grains measuring up to 300  $\mu$ m, and Fe-Ni metal grains up to 400  $\mu$ m are abundant. As reported by Bouvier *et al.* (2017), a well-developed 1-mm thick shock vein had the typical blebs and spheres of Fe-Ni metal and sulfides. Fine-grained melt pockets were

present but rare. Shock melt veins and pockets are heterogeneously distributed throughout the section, indicating a shock level of S4 (Stöffler *et al.* 1991).

The thin section may not be typical of all meteorites. X-ray CT scans of CR05 (Fig. 9, left) and CR06 (Fig. 9, right), were obtained by methods described in Jenniskens *et al.* (2014), and show only sparse and thin shock veins, without clear interconnected irregular melt veins, which is more typical of shock stage S3 (Stöffler *et al.* 1991). Brecciation is evident from a non-homogenous distribution of metals and chondrules, the bright white spots and dark roundish features in Fig. 9C and 9D, respectively.

The new results appear to be slightly less equilibrated than L6, but are within the compositional field of L5/6, and therefore suggest rather more variable metamorphic heating than had been previously proposed. We classify the meteorite as L5/6 and shock stage S3/4, with weathering stage W0.

The classification of L is confirmed by the magnetic susceptibility  ${}^{10}\log(\chi)$ , measured at U.C. Davis. The value ranged from 4.79 to 4.93, with a mean of 4.86, which is in the middle of the range for unweathered L-type chondrites (Rochette *et al.* 2012). The measurement depended on the orientation of the meteorite in the magnetic susceptibility bridge. Trace element abundances compared to those of standard Orgueil (Table 5) also align better with the average of L chondrites than that of, say, LL chondrites (Fig. 10).

Further confirmation comes from oxygen and chromium isotope analysis of two independent aliquots of Creston. Stable isotope data results for CR01 in % V-SMOW are:  $\delta^{17}O' = 3.537$ , 3.781, and 3.618,  $\delta^{18}O' = 4.582$ , 5.210, and 4.828, and  $\Delta^{17}O' = 1.118$ , 1.030, and 1.069, respectively. The prime symbol refers to values of the ratio  ${}^{17}O'{}^{16}O$  and  ${}^{18}O'{}^{16}O$  being plotted on a natural log scale, so that dependencies are linear:  $\delta^{17}O' = 1000 * \ln [({}^{17}O/{}^{16}O)_{\text{sample}}/({}^{17}O/{}^{16}O)_{\text{standard(VSMOW)}}]$ , and similar for  $\delta^{18}O'$  (Jenniskens *et al.* 2012). These values fall within the range of other known L chondrites:  $\delta^{17}O' = 3.0-3.8$  and  $\delta^{18}O' = 4.2-5.6$ , in the overlap area between L and LL and at the upper end of the  $\delta^{17}O'$  range (Clayton *et al.* 1991). Combined with the olivine composition, the oxygen isotope values place Creston squarely in the L chondrite domain (Fig. 11). The  $\varepsilon^{54}$ Cr isotopic composition of Creston is -0.38 ± 0.11. This isotopic composition is indistinguishable from previously analyzed L chondrites including Knyahinya, Novato, Villalbeto de la Peña, and Lundsgård (Trinquier *et al.* 2007; Jenniskens *et al.* 2014; Schmitz *et al.* 2016). When combining  $\varepsilon^{54}$ Cr and  $\Delta^{17}$ O isotopic compositions, Creston plots directly within the ordinary chondrite field (Fig. 11).

The crack distribution in X-ray CT scans implies a density of 0.5 fractures/cm<sup>2</sup> larger than 1 cm in length and a Weibull coefficient of  $\alpha = 0.22 \pm 0.10$ , which compares to the  $\alpha = 0.185$  measured for L5, S3, Bluff (a) (Bryson & Ostrowski 2017). The bulk density of the meteorite determined with a helium pycnometer is  $3.2933 \pm 0.0005$  g/cm<sup>3</sup> (CR05) and  $3.2486 \pm 0.0006$  g/cm<sup>3</sup> (CR06), compared to  $3.42 \pm 0.05$  g/cm3 for Villalbeto de la Peña (Llorca *et al.*, 2007). The grain density is  $3.597\pm0.010$  and  $3.583\pm0.004$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively (Villalbeto de la Peña:  $3.59 \pm 0.05$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>) for a porosity of  $8.44 \pm 0.02$  and  $9.33 \pm 0.01$  % (Villalbeto: 4.7 %), using methods described in Ostrowski *et al.* (2016).

### Meteorite collision history.

Negligible activity of <sup>60</sup>Co (< 1.7 dpm/kg) suggests that the pre-atmospheric size of the Creston meteoroid was rather small and no significant production of secondary thermal neutrons took place within the meteoroid during its recent cosmic ray exposure in space (Table 6a). Normalized to the composition of an ordinary L chondrite, the measured <sup>26</sup>Al activity is consistent with that expected for a small-size L chondrite (Bhandari *et al.* 1989; Bonino *et al.* 2001; Leya & Masarik 2009).

When we compare the radionuclide concentrations with cosmic ray production estimations for <sup>26</sup>Al (Leya & Masarik 2009), <sup>60</sup>Co (Eberhardt *et al.* 1963; Spergel *et al.* 1986), <sup>54</sup>Mn (Kohman & Bender 1967), and <sup>22</sup>Na (Bhandari *et al.* 1993), the best agreement in the sequence of the given isotopes is obtained for radii of r = 10-20 cm, <20 cm, 8–12 cm and 5– 10 cm, respectively. These are upper limits to the size at the time of fall. Combining all results, we infer a roughly spherical meteoroid with 10–20 cm radius. The <sup>22</sup>Na/<sup>26</sup>Al ratios of the two specimens are 1.42 ± 0.15 and 1.55 ± 0.17, respectively. That makes Creston similar to Jesenice with respect to the radionuclide content (Bischoff *et al.* 2011).

The activity of the short-lived radioisotopes with half-life less than the orbital period represents the production integrated over the last segment of the orbit. The fall of Creston occurred during the solar cycle 24 maximum. The cosmic ray flux was low in the six months

prior to the fall, so that the activities for the very short-lived radionuclides are expected to be low (see Table 6a). The naturally occurring radionuclides (Table 6b) are low also, but in the range of other concentrations measured in ordinary L chondrites (Wasson & Kallemeyn 1988).

The <sup>10</sup>Be concentration of 20.3 dpm/kg is consistent with irradiation near the center of an object with a radius of about 20 cm (Leya & Masarik 2009) or a near-surface irradiation (5-10 cm depth) in a larger object (up to ~75 cm radius). The <sup>36</sup>Cl concentration of 8.6 dpm/kg shows no neutron-capture contribution and therefore favors a relatively small meteoroid. Combining <sup>10</sup>Be with <sup>21</sup>Ne concentration (see below) gives a <sup>21</sup>Ne/<sup>10</sup>Be cosmic ray exposure age of 50–54 Ma.

Creston does not contain solar wind or other trapped noble gases. Hence, it is not a regolith breccia. Indeed, only a few % of all L chondrites are. Based on  ${}^{22}\text{Ne}/{}^{22}\text{Ne} = 1.10 \pm 0.01$  (Table 7) and the model calculations by Leya & Masarik (2009), it derives from a meteoroid with at least 20 cm radius, consistent with the one derived from radionuclides. Creston has a CRE age of about 40–50 Ma based on the empirically calibrated  ${}^{22}\text{Ne}/{}^{21}\text{Ne}-{}^{21}\text{Ne}$  and  ${}^{22}\text{Ne}/{}^{21}\text{Ne}-{}^{38}\text{Ar}$  methods (Dalcher *et al.* 2013).

The U,Th-He age (corrected for cosmogenic <sup>4</sup>He via <sup>21</sup>Ne<sub>cos</sub>) of Creston is ~1 Ga and the K-Ar age is ~4.3 Ga (Table 7). Both are significantly higher than their counterparts in L chondrite Villalbeto de la Peña, which has a similar CRE age as Creston. Both ages assume L chondritic abundances of K, U, and Th listed in Table 4 and 6b (Wasson & Kallemeyn 1988).

The U-Pb systematics of Creston apatite reveals an upper intercept age of 4,497.9  $\pm$  5.8 Ma (Wetherial diagram) and 4,496.7  $\pm$  5.8 Ma (2 $\sigma$ ) (Tera-Wasserburg diagram), respectively. Results for individual apatite grains are given in Table 8. A total of 37 apatite grains give a weighted average <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb age at 4,497.1  $\pm$  3.7 Ma (2 $\sigma$ ) (Fig. 12). Compared to the earliest Solar System solids having formed 4,568.2 Ma ago (Bouvier & Wadhwa 2010), the measured age is 71.1  $\pm$  3.7 Ma after the formation of the solar system. The measurements also show a lower-intercept age at 755  $\pm$  320 Ma (Tera-Wasserburg reserve Concordia) and 771  $\pm$  320 Ma (Wetherial Concordia), respectively.

### DISCUSSION

#### The L-chondrite source region.

Table 9 provides a summary of the various age estimates and compares results to those obtained from other L5 and L6 chondrite falls for which atmospheric trajectories and preatmospheric orbits were derived. Columns are in order of increasing semi-major axis of the orbit.

Note that each past orbit sampled a different range of CRE age (Table 9), suggesting all L chondrites studied so far originated in different collision events (Jenniskens *et al.* 2014). Creston's CRE age and oxygen isotope compositions are similar to those of Villalbeto de la Peña (Llorca *et al.* 2005), but Villalbeto de la Peña has a significantly different olivine Fa and pyroxene Fs mineral composition. This meteorite is otherwise exceptional also because it has a Winonaite-related fragment in a hydrothermally metamorphosed polymict L-chondritic breccia (Bischoff & Schultz 2004; Bischoff *et al.* 2013), suggesting Villalbeto de la Peña came from a different source altogether (Fig. 11). We still may be looking at all different collision events.

Oxygen isotope values for Creston differ significantly from those of Park Forest (Simon *et al.* 2004). While Creston plots closer to a group of both high  $\delta$ 17O' and  $\delta$ 18O' values for L chondrites in Clayton et al. (1991), Park Forrest plots closer to a group of both low values. This may point to different source regions. Novato has similar oxygen isotopes, mineral composition and shock stage. The known ranges of oxygen isotope and olivine and pyroxene composition values (e.g., Rubin 1990, Clayton *et al.* 1991) are reflected in those measured for the six L chondrites with known orbits.

The U-Pb age of Creston is within error identical to, but with better precision than the 4,472  $\pm$  31 Ma measured for L6 chondrite Novato (Yin *et al.* 2014). This is due to the fact the U-Pb data points for the measured phosphates in Creston are concentrated near the upper intercept (Fig. 12), whereas the data points for Novato (greater Pb loss) are spread along the Discordia (c.f. Fig. 5 in Yin *et al.* 2014). Thus the upper intercept age of Creston is better defined than Novato, while the opposite is true for the lower intercept ages. The age of upper intercept is thought to signify the time of blocking temperature for Pb diffusion in phosphate minerals associated with extensive collisional impacts. This shows significant impacts occurred on the L-chondrite parent body until about 70 Ma after formation of the first solids (4,568 Ma ago).

This epoch was earlier linked to the Earth-Moon forming giant impact, suggesting that fragments from that event impacted at relatively high speed the parent body in the asteroid belt (Bottke *et al.* 2015; Yin *et al.* 2014). Most recently, Barboni *et al.* (2017) suggested the Moon impact event occurred earlier than >4.51  $\pm$  0.01 Ga ago, i.e. before 57 Ma after formation of the first solids in the solar system, more in line with the earlier suggestion based on Hf-W ages of the Moon-forming impact (e.g. Yin *et al.* 2014). The two interpretations of the Moon-forming giant impact timing are in apparent conflict, and require resolutions with future work.

Creston experienced additional heating events later in its history. The high K-Ar age and U,Th-He ages (Table 9) suggest that Creston's radiogenic clock was either not fully reset during the 467 Ma collision event that is thought to have formed the Gefion family, or that Creston originated from a source other than the Gefion family. The measured K-Ar age is identical to that of Innisfree (Goswami *et al.* 1978), an L5 (S3) type ordinary chondrite with a similar short semi-major axis orbit (Table 9). However, the lower intercept ages have such high uncertainty that they overlap with both the 1,050 Ma U,Th-He age and the 467 Ma resetting signature detected in Novato (Jenniskens *et al.* 2014; Yin *et al.* 2014) and Park Forest (Meier *et al.* 2017).

The downward trend for U,Th-He ages relative to K-Ar ages is thought to be due to gas loss, with <sup>4</sup>He having a higher diffusivity than <sup>40</sup>Ar (and shorter half-life of <sup>40</sup>K relative to <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th (Wasson 2012). In the overall diagram of U,Th-He ages versus K-Ar ages (Fig. 13), Creston, Novato and Park Forest are among the most displaced.

Solid gray symbols in Fig. 13 show meteorites for which both U,Th-He, K-Ar and CRE ages are available. It is possible that Creston was liberated in the same collision as L5 Tane and L6 Nogata (Takaoka 1989), L6 Mihonoseki (Shima *et al.* 1993), and L6 Kaptal-Aryk (Welten *et al.* 2001).

The orbit of Creston is considerably more evolved than that of previously observed meteorite falls. The semi-major axis is only  $1.30 \pm 0.02$  AU, as opposed to 1.7-2.5 AU for other L chondrite falls observed so far. As with Villalbeto de la Peña, the cosmic ray exposure age is considerably longer than the expected dynamical lifetime of ~9 Ma against collisions in the asteroid belt. The CRE age is inconsistent with the short dynamical lifetime of asteroids

originating in the Gefion family (Jenniskens *et al.* 2014). Only highly-inclined source regions or sources at the inner edge of the inner asteroid belt produce such long dynamical lifetimes.

Based on the measured orbit of Creston, the dynamical lifetimes were calculated for a range of possible source regions (Table 9). A model describing the evolution of small asteroids was developed that started with 92,449 test asteroids, distributed across the main belt (Granvik *et al.* 2016, 2017). 70,708 of these evolved into NEO orbits. Typically thousands of test asteroids originated from each of several source regions. The median lifetime was calculated and is listed in Table 9. The most consistent source for Creston would be in the inner asteroid belt at inclinations above 4°, arriving to Earth via the v6 resonance. All other source regions probed tend to produce shorter CRE ages or no model orbits evolved into a Creston-like orbit.

The three meteorites with high K-Ar ages, Jesenice, Innisfree and Creston, are also the meteorites that approached on short semi-major axis (a < 2 AU) orbits (Fig. 14). This would be consistent in a scenario where these meteorites come to Earth via the v6 resonance from a newly identified source region in the inner main belt, but from three different collision events. The CRE age of Jesenice is uncertain. The nominal  $3.8 \pm 0.3$  Ma CRE age may be underestimated, with signs of prior exposure for ~15 Ma in a larger object prior to breaking gently (i.e., perhaps not a collision) 1.6 Ma ago (Welten *et al.* 2016). The ~15 Ma age would correspond better to the 12 Ma dynamical lifetime expected for a source deep in the inner asteroid belt, arriving via the v6 resonance (Table 9).

The three meteorites have inclinations of 9.6°, 12.3° and 4.2°, suggesting that source has a ~4–13° inclination. Candidate S-class asteroid families include the small families associated with 254 Augusta ( $a_{proper} = 2.19$  AU,  $i_{proper} = 5°$ ) and 12-km 1646 Rosseland ( $a_{proper} = 2.36$  AU,  $i_{proper} = 8°$ ), and the large family associated with 2076 Levin ( $a_{proper} = 2.27$  AU,  $i_{proper} = 5°$ ), which has a formation age 366 ± 125 Ma (Spoto *et al.* 2015). The Flora family was earlier proposed as an L chondrite parent (Nesvorný *et al.* 2002), but is now thought to be the source of LL chondrites (Popova *et al.* 2013, Jenniskens 2014).

The L chondrites with the 470-Ma signature have low 0–6° inclinations, lower than the 8.6–9.6° proper inclination of the Gefion family. It is possible that another source than Gefion is responsible for the shock-blackened L chondrites with the 470-Ma signature.

### CONCLUSIONS

Creston and Novato originated from sites on the same L chondrite parent body that experienced one or more of the first hits after the Moon-forming event. Compositionally they are identical. However, CRE age and approach orbit on impact are different. While Novato arrived from a collision 9 Ma ago on an orbit that might be consistent with a collision cascade from the Gefion family and arriving at Earth via the 3:1 resonance, Creston's short impact orbit and high  $45 \pm 5$  Ma CRE age implies it originated from the inner asteroid belt and came to Earth via the v<sub>6</sub> resonance.

We postulate that the parent body of L chondrites was disrupted during the impact event recorded in U-Pb and Pb-Pb ages  $4,497 \pm 6$  Ma ago, after which two or more re-assembled rubble pile daughter asteroids dispersed over the asteroid belt. The daughter asteroid of Novato may have settled in the middle asteroid belt and disrupted ~470 Ma ago. The daughter asteroid from which Creston originated ended up in the inner asteroid belt, not far from the v<sub>6</sub> resonance.

The L chondrites with small semi-major axis orbits (a < 2 AU), namely Creston, Innisfree and Jesenice, sample three different collision events, perhaps from this same inner belt source. All three have a K-Ar age > 3 Ga and are not shock blackened.

*Acknowledgments* – We would like to thank Dominique Hart at NASA Ames Research Center for the photography of the Creston meteorites. We thank Wendy Guglieri, Nancy Hood, and Kevin Heider for supporting the recovery of meteorites. We thank the referees' careful reading of the manuscript and their helpful comments. SkySentinel is a joint project with the Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida. PJ, DO, KB, JD and DR are supported by the NASA Ames Asteroid Threat Assessment Program. This work was supported by NASA grant NNX14-AR92G (PJ) and NNX14-AM62G and NNX16-AD34G (QZY). QZ acknowledges NSFC grant 41403055. MMMM, AAP, and HB are supported by grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation. MG is supported by grant #299543 from the Academy of Finland.

Editorial handling – Josep M. Trigo-Rodríguez.

### REFERENCES

- Alexeev V. A. 1998. Parent bodies of L and H chondrites: Times of catastrophic events. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 33:145–152.
- Anders E. 1964. Origin, age, and composition of meteorites. Space Science Rev. 3: 583-714.
- Arpesella C. 1996. A low background counting facility at Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso. Appl. *Radiation Isotopes* 47:991–996.
- Barboni M., Boehnke P., Keller B., Kohl I. E., Schoene B., Young E. D., and McKeegan K.D. 2017. Early formation of the Moon 4.51 billion years ago. *Science Advances* 3, e1602365.
- Bhandari N., Bonino G., Callegari E., Cini Castagnoli G., Mathew K. J., Padia J. T., and Queirazza G. 1989. The Torino H6 meteorite shower. *Meteoritics* 24:29–34.
- Bhandari N., Mathew K.J., Rao M.N., Herpers U., Bremer K., Vogt S., Wölfli W., Hofmann H.J., Michel R., Bodemann R., and Lange H.-J. 1993. Depth and size dependence of cosmogenic nuclide production rates in stony meteoroids. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 57:2361–2375.
- Bischoff A., and Schultz L. 2004. Abundance and meaning of regolith breccias among meteorites. 67<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Meteoritical Society, abstr. 5118.
- Bischoff A., Jersek M., Grau T., Mirtic B., Ott U., Kucera J., Horstmann M., Laubenstein M., Herrmann S., Randa Z., Weber M., and Heusser G. 2011. Jesenice – A new meteorite fall from Slovenia. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 46:793–804.
- Bischoff A., Dyl K., Horstmann M., Ziegler K, and Young E. 2013. Reclassification of Villalbeto de la Peña – Occurrence of a Winonaite-related fragment in a hydrothermally metamorphosed polymict L-chondritic breccia. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 48:628–640.
- Bonino G., Bhandari N., Murty S. V. S., Mahajan R. R., Suthar K. M., Shukla A. D., Shukla P. N., Cini Castagnoli G., and Taricco C. 2001. Solar and galactic cosmic ray records of the Fermo (H) chondrite regolith breccia. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 36:831–839.
- Borovicka J., Spurny P., and Keclikova J. 1995. A new positional astrometric method for allsky cameras. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl.* 112:173–178.
- Bottke W. F., Durda D. D., Nesvorny D., Jedicke R., Morbidelli A., Vokrouhlicky D., and

Levison H. F., 2005. Linking the collisional history of the main asteroid belt to its dynamical excitation and depletion. *Icarus* 179:63–94.

- Bottke W. F., Vokrouhlicky D., Marchi S., Swindle T., Scott E. R. D., Weirich J. R., and Levison H. 2015. Dating the Moon-forming impact event with asteroidal meteorites. *Science* 348:321–323.
- Bouvier A., and Wadhwa M. 2010. The age of the Solar System redefined by the oldest Pb-Pb age of a meteoritic inclusion. *Nature Geoscience* 3:637–641.
- Bouvier A., Gattacceca J., Agee C., Grossman J., and Metzler K. 2017. The Meteoritical Bulletin, No. 104. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* doi: 10.1111/maps.12930.
- Bryson K. L., and Ostrowski D. R. 2017. Meteorite fractures and scaling for asteroid atmospheric entry. 48th LPSC. *LPI Contr.* id.2501.
- Clayton R. N., Mayeda T. K., Olsen E. J., and Goswami J. N. 1991. Oxygen isotope studies of ordinary chondrites. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 55: 2317–2337.
- Crabb J., and Schultz L. 1981. Cosmic-ray exposure ages of the ordinary chondrites and their significance for parent body stratigraphy. *Geochimica et Cosmochim. Acta* 45:2151–2160.
- Dalcher N., Caffee M. W., Nishiizumi K., Welten K. C., Vogel N., Wieler R., and Leya I. 2013. Calibration of cosmogenic noble gas production in ordinary chondrites based on <sup>36</sup>Cl- <sup>36</sup>Ar Ages. Part 1: Refined produced rates for cosmogenic <sup>21</sup> Ne and <sup>38</sup> Ar. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 48:1841–62.
- Eberhardt P., Geiss J., and Lutz H. 1963. Neutrons in meteorites. In: *Earth Science and Meteoritics*, edited by Geiss, J. and Goldberg, E. D. Amsterdam: North Holland Publ. Co., 143–168.
- Eugster O., Herzog G. F., Marti K., and Caffee M. W. 2006. Irradiation records, cosmic-ray exposure ages, and transfer times of meteorites. In: *Meteorites and the Early Solar System II.* Ed., D. S. Lauretta, H. Y. McSween Jr., University of Arizona Press, Tucson, p. 829–851.
- Eugster, O., Lorenzetti S., Krähenbühl U., and Marti K. 2007. Comparison of cosmic-ray exposure age and trapped noble gases in chondrule and matrix samples of ordinary, enstatite, and carbonaceous chondrites. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 42:1351–1371.
- Fieber-Beyer S. K., and Gaffey M. J., 2015. Near-infrared spectroscopy of 3:1 Kirkwood Gap

asteroids III. Icarus 257:113-125.

- Fries M., Fries J., Hankey M., and Matson R. 2016. Meteorite falls observed in U.S. Weather radar data in 2015 and 2016 (to date). 79th Meteoritical Society meeting, Berlin, Germany. Abstract. 1pp.
- Goswami J. N., Lal D., Rao M. N., Sinha N., and Venkatesan T. R. 1978. Particle track and rare gas studies of Innisfree meteorite. *Meteoritics* 13:481–484.
- Granvik M., Morbidelli A., Jedicke R., Bolin B., Bottke W. F., Beshore E., Vokrouhlicky D., Delbo M., and Michel P. 2016. Super-catastrophic disruption of asteroids at small perihelion distances. *Nature* 530:303–305.
- Granvik M., Morbidelli A., Vokrouhlicky D., Bottke W. F., Nesvorny D., and Jedicke R. 2017. Escape of asteroids from the main belt. *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 598:A52.
- Haack H., Farinella P., Scott E. R. D., and Keil K. 1996. Meteoritic, asteroidal, and theoretical constraints on the 500 Ma disruption of the L chondrite parent body. *Icarus* 119:182–191.
- Jenniskens P., Gural P. S., Dynneson L., Grigsby B. J., Newman K. E., Borden M., Koop M., and Holman D. 2011. CAMS: Cameras for Allsky Meteor Surveillance to establish minor meteor showers. *Icarus* 216:40–61.
- Jenniskens P. 2014. Recent documented meteorite falls, a review of meteorite asteroid links. In: *Meteoroids 2013*, Proceedings of the astronomical conference held at A.M. University, Poznan, Poland, Aug 26–30, 2013. T. Jopek, F. J. M. Rietmeijer, J. Watanabe, I. P. Williams (eds), A. M. University Press, Poznan, p. 57–68.
- Jenniskens P., Fries M. C., Yin Q.-Z., Zolensky M., Krot A. N., Sandford S. A., Sears D. Beauford R., Ebel D. S., Friedrich J. M., Nagashima K., Wimpenny J., Yamakawa A., Nishiizumi K., Hamajima Y., Caffee M. W., Welten K. C., Laubenstein M., Davis A. M., Simon S. B., Heck P. R., Young E. D., Kohl I. E., Thiemens M. H., Nunn M. H., Mikouchi T., Hagiya K., Ohsumi K., Cahill T. A., Lawton J. A., Barnes D., Steele A., Burton A. S., Dworkin J. P., Elsila J. E., Pizzarello S., Ogliore R., Smitt-Kopplin P., Harir M., Hertkorn N., Verchovsky A., Grady M., Nagao K., Okazaki R., Takechi H., Hiroi T., Smith K., Silber E. A., Brown P. G., Albers J., Klotz D., Hankey M., Matson R., Fries J. A., Walker R. J., Puchtel I., Lee C. A., Erdman M. E., Eppich G. R., Roeske S., Gabelica Z., Lerche M., Nuevo M., Girten B., Worden S. P. (the Sutter's Mill Meteorite Consortium) 2012. Radar-enabled recovery of the Sutter's Mill

Meteorite, a carbonaceous chondrite regolith breccia. Science 338:1583–1587.

- Jenniskens P., Rubin A. E., Yin Q.–Z., Sears D. W. G., Sandford S. A., Zolensky M. E., Krot A. N., Blair L., Kane D., Utas J., Verish R., Friedrich J. M., Wimpenny J., Eppich G. R., Ziegler K., Verosub K. L., Rowland D. J., Albers J., Gural P. S., Grigsby B., Fries M. D., Matson, R., Johnston M., Silber E., Brown P., Yamakawa A., Sanborn M. E., Laubenstein M., Welten K. C., Nishiizumi K., Meier M. M. M., Busemann H., Clay P., Caffee M. W., Schmitt–Kopplin P., Hertkorn N., Glavin D P. Callahan M. P., Dworkin J. P., Wu Q., Zare R. N., Grady M., Verchovsky S., Emel'yanenko V., Naroenkov S., Clark D. L., Girten B., and Worden P. S. 2014. Fall, recovery and characterization of the Novato L6 Chondrite Breccia. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 49:1388–1425.
- Kita N. T., Welten K. C., Valley J. W., Spicuzza M. J., Nakashima D., Tenner T. J., Ushikubo T., MacPherson G. J., Welzenbach L., Heck P. R., Davis, A., Caffee M. W., Laubenstein M., and Nishiizumi K. 2013. Fall, classification, and exposure history of the Mifflin L5 chondrite. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 48:641–655.
- Kohman T. P., and Bender M. L. 1967. Nuclide production by cosmic rays in meteorites and on the Moon. High-energy nuclear reactions in astrophysics. In: *High-Energy Nuclear Reactions in Astrophysics A collection of articles*. Shen B. S. P. and Benjamin W. A., eds., New York. N.Y. pp. 169–245.
- Korochantseva E. V., Trieloff M., Lorenz C. A., Buykin A. I., Ivanova M. A., Schwarz W. H., Hopp J., and Jessberger E. K. 2007. L-chondrite asteroid breakup tied to Ordovician meteorite shower by multiple isochron <sup>40</sup>Ar-<sup>39</sup>Ar dating. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 42:113–130.
- Leya, I., and Masarik, J. 2009. Cosmogenic Nuclides in Stony Meteorites Revisited. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 44:1061–1086.
- Leya, I. 2015. Data form the Noble Gas Cosmochemistry group at the University of Bern, Switzerland. Website: http://archive.space.unibe.ch/noblegas/data.html (last accessed November 30, 2017).
- Li Q.-L., Li X.-H., Liu Y., Tang G.-Q., Yang J.-H., and Zhu W.-G. 2010. Precise U-Pb and Pb-Pb dating of phanerozoic baddeleyite by SIMS with oxygen flooding technique. *J. Anal. Atom. Spectrom.* 25:1107–1113.
- Li S., and Hsu W. 2016. U-Pb dating of the shock melt veins in two L6 chondrites. 79th

Annual Meeting of the Meteoritical Society, 7–12 Aug, 2016, Berlin, Germany, A6057.

- Li S. J., Leya I., Wang S. J., and Smith T. 2016. Cosmic-ray exposure ages of chondrites collected in Grove Mountains, Antarctica. *79th Annual Meeting of the Meteoritical Society*, 7–12 Aug, 2016, Berlin, Germany, A6356.
- Llorca J., Trigo-Rodríguez J. M., Ortiz J. L., Docobo J. A., García-Guinea J., Castro-Tirado A. J., Rubin A. E., Eugster O., Edwards W., Laubesntein M., Casanova I. 2005. The Villalbeto de La Peña meteorite fall: I. Fireball energy, meteorite recovery, strewn field, and petrography. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 40:795–804.
- Lodders K. 2003. Solar system abundances and condensation temperatures of the elements. *The Astrophysical Journal* 591:1220–1247.
- Lodders K., Palme H., and Gail H. P. 2009. Abundances of the elements in the solar system. In: *Solar system*, Edited by Truemper J. E. Berlin: Springer: pp. 560–630.
- Ludwig K. R. 1998. On the treatment of concordant Uranium-Lead ages. *Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta* 62:665–676.
- Mahajan R. R., Varela M. E., and Joron J. L. 2016. Santa Lucia (2008) (L6) chondrite, a recent fall: Composition, noble gases, nitrogen and cosmic ray exposure age. *Earth, Moon and Planets* 117:65–76.
- Marti K., and Graf T. 1992. Cosmic-ray exposure history of ordinary chondrites. Annual review of Earth and planetary sciences 20, 221–243.
- McGraw A. M., Reddy V., and Sanchez J. A., 2017. Do L-chondrites come from the Gefion asteroid family? 48th LPSC, 20–204 March, 2017, Woodlands, Texas. *LPI Contr. No.* 1964, id. 1778.
- Meier M. M., Welten K. C., Riebe M. E., Riebe I., Caffee M. W., Gritsevich M., Maden C., and Busemann H. 2017. Park Forest (L5) and the asteroidal source of shocked L chondrites. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 52:1561–1576.
- Nesvorný D., Morbidelli A., Vokrouhlický D., Bottke W. F., and Broz M. 2002. The Flora family: A case of the dynamically dispersed collisional swarm? *Icarus* 157, 155–172.
- Nesvorný D., Vokrouhlický D., Morbidelli A., and Bottke W. F. 2009. Asteroidal source of L chondrite meteorites. *Icarus* 200:698–701.
- Ostrowski D. R., and Bryson K. L., 2016. Physical properties comparison of ordinary chondrite classes. 79th Annual Meeting of the Meteoritical Society, 7–12 Aug, 2016,

Berlin, LPI Contribution No. 1921, id.6510.

- Popova O. P., Jenniskens P., Emel'yanenko V., Kartashova A., Biryukov E., Khaibrakhmanov S., Shuvalov V., Rybnov Y., Dudorov A., Grokhovsky V. I., Badyukov D. D., Yin Q.-Z., Gural P. S., Albers J., Granvik M., Evers L. G., Kuiper J., Kharlamov V., Solovyov A., Rusakov Y. S., Korotkiy S., Serdyuk I., Korochantsev A. V., Larionov M. Y., Glazachev D., Mayer A. E., Gisler G., Gladkovsky S. V., Wimpenny J., Sanborn M. E., Yamakawa A., Verosub K., Rowland D. J., Roeske S., Botto N. W., Friedrich J. M., Zolensky M., Le L., Ross D., Ziegler K., Nakamura T., Ahn I., Lee J. I., Zhou Q., Li X.-H., Li Q.-L., Liu Y., Tang G.-Q., Hiroi T., Sears D., Weinstein I. A., Vokhmintsev A. S., Ishchenko A. V., Schmitt-Kopplin P., Hertkorn N., Nagao K., Haba M. K., Komatsu M., and Mikouchi T. 2013. Chelyabinsk airburst, damage assessment, meteorite recovery, and characterization. *Science* 342:1069–1073.
- Rochette P., Gattacceca J., and Lewandrowski M. 2012. Magnetic classification of meteorites and application to the Soltmany fall. *Meteorites* 2:67–71.
- Rotenberg E. D. 2009. *The decay constant of 87Rb and a combined U-Pb, Rb-Sr chronology of ordinary chondrites.* PhD Thesis, University of Toronto, 203 pp.
- Rubin A. E. 1990. Kamacite and olivine in ordinary chondrites: Intergroup and intragroup relationships. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 54:1217–1232.
- Sano Y., Oyama T., Terada K., and Hidaka H. 1999. Ion microprobe U-Pb dating of apatite. *Chem Geol.* 153:249–258.
- Schmitz B., Tassinari M., and Peucker-Ehrenbrink B. 2001. A rain of ordinary chondritic meteorites in the early Ordovician. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 194:1–15.
- Schmitz B., Yin Q.-Z., Sanborn M. E., Tassinari M., Caplan C. E., and Huss G. R. 2016. A new type of solar system material recovered from Ordovician marine limestone. *Nature Communications* 7:11851–11858.
- Scott, E. R. D. 2002. Meteorite evidence for the accretion and collisional evolution of asteroids. In: Asteroids III, W. Bottke, A. Cellino, P. Paolicchoi, and R. Binzel (eds.), University of Arizona Press, p. 697–709.
- Shima M., Okada A., and Nagao K. 1993. The chondrite Mihonoseki: New observed fall. LPSC 24:1297–1298.
- Shukolyukov A., and Lugmair G. W. 2006. The Mn-Cr isotope systematics in the ureilites Kenna and LEW 85440. *Lunar and Planetary Science Conference* 37, Abstract #1478.

- Simon S. B., Grossman L., Clayton R. N., Mayeda T. K., Schwade J. R., Sipiera P. P., Wacker J. F., and Wadhwa M. 2004. The fall, recovery, and classification of the Park Forest meteorite. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 39:625–634.
- Spergel M. S., Reedy R. C., Lazareth O. W., Levy P. W., and Slatest L. A. 1986. Cosmogenic neutron-capture-produced nucleides in stony meteorites. 16<sup>th</sup> Proceedings of the Lunar & Planetary Science Conference. *Journal of Geophysical Research Supplement* 91:D483–D494.
- Spoto F., Milani A., and Knezevic Z. 2015. Asteroid family ages. Icarus 257, 275–289.
- Stacey J. S., and Kramers J. D. 1975. Approximation of terrestrial lead isotope evolution by a two-stage model. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 26:207–221.
- Stöffler D., Keil K., Scott E. R. D. 1991. Shock metamorphism of ordinary chondrites. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 55:3845–3867.
- Takaoka N., Wakabayashi F., Shima M., and Wakabayashi F., 1989. Noble gas record of Japanese chondrites. Z. Naturforshung 44a:935–944.
- Tatsumoto M., Knight R. J., and Allegre C. J. 1973. Time differences in the formation of meteorites as determined from the ratio of Lead-207 to Lead-206. *Science* 180:1279– 1283.
- Trigo-Rodriquez J. M., Llorca J., Weyrauch M., Bischoff A., Moyano-Cambero C. E., Keil K., Laubenstein M., Pack A., Madiedo J. M., Alonso-Azcárate J., Riebe M., Wieler R., Ott U., Tapia M., and Mestres N. 2014. Ardón: A long hidden L6 chondrite fall. LPI Contr. No. 1800, id.5068.
- Trinquier A., Birck J.-L., and Allègre C. J. 2007. Widespread <sup>54</sup>Cr heterogeneity in the inner solar system. *Astrophys. J.* 655:1179–1185.
- Troiano J., Rumble D. III., Rivers M. L., and Friedrich J. M. 2011. Compositions of three low-FeO ordinary chondrites: Indications of a common origin with the H chondrites. *Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta* 75:6511–6519.
- Trotter J. A., and Eggins S. M. 2006. Chemical systematics of conodont apatite determined by laserablation ICPMS. *Chem. Geol.* 223:196–216.
- Vernazza P., Zanda B., Binzel R. P., Hiroi T., DeMeo F. E., Birlan M., Hewins R., Ricci L., Barge P., and Lockhart M., 2014. Multiple and fast: The accretion of ordinary chondrite parent bodies. *Astrophysical Journal* 791:120–142.
- Wasson J. T., and Kallemeyn G. W. 1988. Compositions of Chondrites. Philosophical

Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences 325:535–44.

- Wasson J. T. 2012. *Meteorites: Classification and properties*. 2nd ed., Springer, London, pp 205.
- Weirich J. R., Swindle T. D. Isachsen C. E., Sharp T. G., Li C., and Downs R. T. 2012. Source of potassium in shocked ordinary chondrites. *Geochmicia et Cosmochimica Acta* 98:125–139.
- Wendt I., and Carl C. 1984. U/Pb dating of discordant 0.1 Ma old secondary U minerals. *Earth and Plan. Sci.* Lett. 73:278–284.
- Wendt I. 1989. Geometric considerations of the three dimensional U/Pb data presentation. *Earth and Plan. Sci. Lett.* 94:231–235.
- Welten K. C., Nishiizumi K., and Caffee M. W. 2001. The search for meteorites with complex exposure histories among ordinary chondrites with low 3He/21Ne ratios. *LPSC* 32, Abstract id 2148.
- Welten K. C., Caffee M. W., and Nishiizumi K. 2016. The complex cosmic ray exposure history of Jesenice (L6): Possible evidence for ejection from parent body by tidal disruption or YORP related effects. 47th *LPSC* Abstract id.2924.
- Wu Y, and Hsu W. 2017. Petrology, mineralogy and in situ U-Pb dating of Northwest Africa 11042. 80th Annual Meeting of the Meteoritical Society (LPI Contrib. No. 1987) A6190.
- Yamakawa A., Yamashita K., Makishima A., and Nakamura E. 2009. Chemical separation and mass spectrometry of Cr, Fe, Ni, Zn, and Cu in terrestrial and extraterrestrial materials using thermal ionization mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 81:9787–9794.
- Yin Q.-Z., Zhou Q., Li Q.-L., Li X-H., Liu Y., Tan G.-Q., Krot A. N., and Jenniskens P. 2014. Records of the Moon-forming impact and the 470 Ma disruption of the L chondrite parent body in the asteroid belt from U-Pb apatite ages of Novato (L6). *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 49:1426–1439.
- Youdin A. N. 2011. On the formation of planetesimals via secular gravitational instabilities with turbulent stirring. *Astrophysical Journal* 731:99–117.
- Zhou Q., Ying Q-Z., Edward D. Y., Li X.-H., Wu F.-Y., Li Q.-L., Liu Y., and Tang G.-Q. 2013. SIMS Pb-Pb and U-Pb age determination of eucrite zircons at < 5 micron scale and the first 50Ma of the thermal history of Vesta. *Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta*

110:152-175.

CR#	Date of find	Mass (g)	Lat. (N)	Long. (W)	Alt. (m)	Finder
01*	2015 Oct. 27	~396†	35.57508	120.49847	397	Robert & Ann Marie Ward
02*	2015 Oct. 28	69.2	~35.568	~120.481	~499	Terry Scott
03	2015 Oct. 31	102.2	~35.568	~120.481	~499	Terry Scott
04	2015 Nov. 16	108	~35.568	~120.481	~499	Michael Farmer
05*	2015 Nov. 19	72.681	~35.565	~120.467	~477	(local finder / via Sony Clary)
06*	2015 Nov. 21	95.549	35.56547	120.46747	477	Aaron Miller

 Table 1. Creston meteorite masses and find locations.

Notes: †) Broken on impact; \*) Meteorites studied here.

#	Station	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Alt. (m)	Туре	Frame rate (Hz)	O-C (')	Range (km)
1	Sunnyvale	37.34781	122.03896	60	Digital Still w. shutter	10.00	$1.0 \pm 0.7$	264
2	Goleta	34.41508	119.82893	11	Digital Still		$0.24\pm0.14$	146
3	Riverside	33.91367	117.34020	471	Allsky Video	29.97	$4.5\pm3.1$	331
4	El Segundo	33.92745	118.41215	46	Allsky Video	29.97	$11 \pm 3$	250

 Table 2. Camera station locations, sampling rate, and astrometric precision.

Trajectory (apparent):		Orbit (geocentric):	
Time Begin (UT)	$05{:}47{:}44.3\pm0.1$	Solar Longitude (°)	$210.2828 \pm 0.0001$
Right Ascension (°, app.)	$25.90\pm0.07$	Right Ascension (°, geoc.)	$28.50\pm0.10$
Declination (°, apparent)	$+4.83\pm0.10$	Declination (°, geocentric)	$\textbf{-0.70} \pm 0.18$
Entry Speed (km/s, app.)	$16.00\pm0.26$	Entry Speed (km/s, geoc.)	$11.26\pm0.34$
Latitude Begin (°, N)	$35.347\pm0.028$	Perihelion Distance (AU)	$0.7670 \pm 0.0053$
Longitude Begin (°, W)	$120.226 \pm 0.022$	Semi-major Axis (AU)	$1.300\pm0.019$
Altitude Begin (km)	~70 km	Eccentricity	$0.410\pm0.013$
Latitude End (°, N)	$35.557\pm0.014$	Inclination (°, J2000)	$4.228\pm0.070$
Longitude End (°, W)	$120.487 \pm 0.080$	Argument of Perihelion	$79.20\pm 0.13$
Altitude End (km)	$21.0\pm0.5$	() Node (°)	$30.458\pm0.006$
Altitude Maximum (km)	$29.5\pm0.5$	True anomaly (°)	$280.49\pm 0.11$
Azimuth radiant (S, °)	$314.5\pm0.8$	Epoch (UT)	2015-10-24.24148
Entry Angle (°)	$50.6\pm0.8$	Mass (kg)	10–100
Convergence Angle (°)	$7.2\pm0.2$	Diameter (m)	0.20-0.40

**Table 3.** Trajectory and orbit, 2015 October 24.

Station ID	Station Name	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Altitude (m)	Arrival Time (UT)	Relative Time (s)	Range (km) #	Az (S, °)
CI.PHL	Park Hill	35.40773	120.54556	355	05:49:30.0	101.2	33	46
PB.B072	Parkfield	35.83100	120.34500	398	05:50:12.5	143.7	47	190
PB.B078	Parkfield	35.83770	120.34520	387	05:50:12.5	143.7	48	190
PB.B079	Parkfield	35.71570	120.20570	437	05:49:58.0	129.2	42	220
PB.B901	Parkfield	35.68970	120.14200	275	05:50:05.5	136.7	44	231
PB.B900	Parkfield	35.68600	120.00300	220	05:50:33.5	164.7	52	242
CI.VES	Vestal	35.84089	119.08469	154	05:54:25.0	396.2	130	256
CI.SMM	Simmler	35.31420	119.99581	599	05:50:41.5	172.7	53	299
CI.MPP	McPherson Peak	34.88848	119.81362	1739	05:52:19.0	270.2	93	321

Table 4. Seismic detections of the sonic boom.

<sup>†</sup> Time relative to 05:47:48.8 UT. # From 29.5 km altitude fragmentation event.

		Orgueil (this)	Orgueil ( <sup>a</sup> )	Creston			Orgueil (this)	Orgueil ( <sup>a</sup> )	Creston
Li	ppm	1.52	1.47	1.02	Cd	ppm	0.691	0.674	0.028
Be	ppm	0.022	0.03	0.028	Sb	ppm	0.14	0.13	0.057
Na	Wt%	0.49	0.5	0.63	Cs	ppb	0.187	0.189	0.008
Mg	Wt%	9.53	9.58	12.28	Ba	ppm	2.3	2.46	2.311
Al	Wt%	0.81	0.85	0.94	La	ppm	0.242	0.246	0.401
Р	Wt%	0.11	0.1	0.15	Ce	ppm	0.63	0.6	0.898
Κ	Wt%	0.057	0.054	0.065	Pr	ppm	0.088	0.091	0.133
Ca	Wt%	0.88	0.92	1.19	Nd	ppm	0.478	0.464	0.628
Sc	ppm	5.85	5.9	7.43	Sm	ppm	0.149	0.152	0.199
Ti	Wt%	0.055	0.05	0.071	Eu	ppm	0.061	0.058	0.081
V	ppm	60.2	54.3	71.9	Gd	ppm	0.203	0.205	0.311
Cr	ppm	2705	2650	1903	Tb	ppm	0.042	0.038	0.055
Mn	Wt%	0.2	0.19	0.24	Dy	ppm	0.248	0.255	0.419
Co	ppm	510	506	320.9	Но	ppm	0.59	0.057	0.083
Fe	Wt%	19.33	18.5	19.01	Er	ppm	0.159	0.163	0.25
Ni	Wt%	1.01	1.08	0.101	Tm	ppm	0.027	0.026	0.038
Cu	ppm	127	131	74.8	Yb	ppm	0.161	0.169	0.263
Zn	ppm	318	312	36.6	Lu	ppm	0.028	0.025	0.039
Ga	ppm	8.98	9.8	2.72	Hf	ppm	0.101	0.106	0.175
Rb	ppm	2.27	2.31	2.07	Та	ppm	0.018	0.015	0.021
Sr	ppm	7.8	7.81	7.81	Tl	ppm	0.127	0.142	0.003
Y	ppm	1.6	1.53	1.89	Pb	ppm	2.61	2.63	0.051
Zr	ppm	3.9	3.62	5.11	Th	ppm	0.033	0.031	0.049
Nb	ppm	0.288	0.279	0.351	U	ppm	0.007	0.008	0.016

**Table 5.** Major, minor, and trace element composition in Creston.

<sup>a</sup> Reference values for Orgueil taken from Lodders (2003, 2009).

**Table 6a.** Massic activities of cosmogenic radionuclides (in dpm kg<sup>-1</sup>) corrected to the time of fall of the meteorite October 24<sup>rd</sup>, 2015. Errors in gamma-ray activities include a  $1\sigma$  uncertainty of 10% in the detector efficiency calibration, those in AMS activities are dominated by counting statistics.

Nuclide	Half-life	CR02	CR05	CR06
		(dpm kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(dpm kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(dpm kg <sup>-1</sup> )
Method		AMS	γ-ray	γ-ray
<sup>58</sup> Co	70.83 d		$9\pm4$	<14
<sup>56</sup> Co	77.236 d		<18	$8\pm3$
<sup>46</sup> Sc	83.787 d		$14\pm3$	<12
<sup>57</sup> Co	271.8 d		$6 \pm 1$	$8 \pm 1$
<sup>54</sup> Mn	312.3 d		$58.0\pm5.9$	$52.7\pm5.4$
<sup>22</sup> Na	2.60 y		$68.4\pm5.0$	$72.6\pm5.4$
<sup>60</sup> Co	5.27 y		<1.7	<1.7
<sup>44</sup> Ti	60 y		<2.8	<2.7
<sup>36</sup> Cl	3.01x10 <sup>5</sup> y	$8.6\pm0.1$		
<sup>26</sup> Al	7.17x10 <sup>5</sup> y		$48.2\pm3.6$	$46.7\pm3.7$
<sup>10</sup> Be	1.39x10 <sup>6</sup> y	$20.3\pm0.2$		

**Table 6b.** Concentration of primordial radionuclides (ng  $g^{-1}$  for U and Th chains and mg  $g^{-1}$  for  ${}^{40}$ K) in the specimens of the Creston stone measured by non-destructive gamma-ray spectroscopy. Errors include a 1 $\sigma$  uncertainty of 10% in the detector efficiency calibration.

Nuclide	Half-life	CR05	CR06
<sup>232</sup> Th	$1.405 \mathrm{x} 10^{10} \mathrm{y}$	$35.5\pm2.5$	$34.2 \pm 2.4$
<sup>238</sup> U	4.468x10 <sup>9</sup> y	$9.8\pm0.8$	$9.2\pm0.8$
<sup>40</sup> K	1.251x10 <sup>9</sup> y	$810\pm80$	$720\pm70$

		CR01-NG-1	CR01-NG-2	Total
Mass (mg)		32.6	37.0	69.6
<sup>3</sup> He		n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
<sup>4</sup> He		742(3)	711(2)	725(2)
<sup>20</sup> Ne/ <sup>22</sup> Ne		0.838(7)	0.839(6)	0.838(5)
<sup>21</sup> Ne/ <sup>22</sup> Ne		0.913(1)	0.902(2)	0.907(1)
<sup>20</sup> Ne		12.7(1)	12.9(1)	12.8(1)
<sup>36</sup> Ar/ <sup>38</sup> Ar		0.736(23)	0.755(19)	0.746(15)
<sup>40</sup> Ar/ <sup>36</sup> Ar		3230(100)	3200(80)	3210(60)
<sup>36</sup> Ar		1.76(6)	1.78(4)	1.77(4)
<sup>22</sup> Ne <sub>cos</sub> / <sup>21</sup> Ne <sub>cos</sub>		1.09(1)	1.11(1)	1.10(1)
<sup>21</sup> Ne <sub>cos</sub>		15.17(4)	15.40(4)	15.30(3)
<sup>38</sup> Ar <sub>cos</sub>		2.35(2)	2.30(2)	2.32(1)
<sup>4</sup> He <sub>rad (=non-cos)</sub>		336(31)	295(32)	314(31)
CRE- <sup>21</sup> Ne	$(\mathbf{M})$	39(5)	42(6)	41(6)
CRE- <sup>38</sup> Ar	(Ma)	50(2)	51(2)	50(2)
U,Th-He	$(\mathbf{C})$	1.11	0.99	1.05
K–Ar	(Ga)	4.32	4.31	4.31

**Table 7.** Noble gas concentrations of He, Ne, and Ar in Creston.

<sup>†</sup> All concentrations are given in  $10^{-8}$  cm<sup>3</sup> STP/g (1 cm<sup>3</sup> STP =  $2.687 \times 10^{19}$  atoms). Values in brackets indicate uncertainties on the last digit. Uncertainties of concentrations (and thus, CRE ages) do not include the uncertainty of the standard amounts, which is about 3%. T = CRE ages, R = radiogenic gas retention ages.

Smit	U	Th	Th/I⊺	<sup>204</sup> Pb	<sup>204</sup> Pb	£206	<sup>207</sup> Pb*	$\pm l\sigma$	<sup>207</sup> Pb*	$\pm l\sigma$	<sup>206</sup> Pb*	$\pm l\sigma$	<b>t</b> 207/206	±	t207/235	±	<b>t</b> 206238	±
Spor	(ppm)	(ppm)	mo	(cps)	/206Pb	(%)	/ <sup>206</sup> Pb*	(%)	/ <sup>235</sup> U	(%)	/ <sup>238</sup> U	(%)	(Ma)	lσ	(Ma)	lσ	(Ma)	1σ
1-1@1	5.0	7.4	1.48	n.d.		—	0.5989	0.63	81.9	2.9	0.992	2.83	4505	9	4485	30	4441	92
1-1@2	5.6	8.3	1.48	n.d.			0.5900	0.69	84.7	3.9	1.042	3.81	4484	10	4520	40	4601	126
1-2@1	5.2	7.4	1.43	0.051	0.0003	0.57	0.5890	0.76	82.5	2.7	1.015	2.54	4481	11	4493	27	4518	83
1-2@2	5.9	9.1	1.54	n.d.			0.5917	0.92	80.9	3.2	0.992	3.04	4488	13	4473	32	4441	98
1-3@1	5.1	7.3	1.43	0.019	0.0001	0.21	0.5897	0.82	87.1	3.3	1.071	3.24	4483	12	4547	34	4693	109
2-1@2	5.3	8.8	1.67	n.d.			0.6074	0.48	81.3	3.6	0.971	3.54	4526	7	4479	36	4375	113
2-1@3	6.2	9.6	1.54	0.034	0.0003	0.55	0.5957	1.18	75.8	4.0	0.923	3.87	4497	17	4408	41	4215	121
2-1@4	5.2	8.0	1.52	0.034	0.0002	0.37	0.5957	1.14	84.0	3.2	1.023	2.94	4498	17	4511	32	4542	97
2-2@1	6.3	10.6	1.68	0.034	0.0002	0.35	0.5937	0.63	80.0	2.8	0.977	2.71	4493	9	4462	28	4393	87
2-2@2	5.9	10.3	1.73	n.d.			0.5963	0.33	83.0	4.1	1.009	4.07	4499	5	4499	42	4498	133
2-2@3	5.7	9.3	1.64	n.d.			0.5965	0.95	80.3	3.5	0.977	3.42	4500	14	4466	36	4392	110
2-2@4	7.5	13.0	1.74	0.085	0.0007	1.40	0.5904	0.82	81.9	3.3	1.007	3.15	4484	12	4486	33	4490	103
2-3@1	6.3	9.8	1.55	n.d.			0.5907	0.52	71.1	3.5	0.873	3.47	4485	8	4344	36	4046	105
2-3@2	7.0	10.9	1.57	0.102	0.0009	1.63	0.5791	0.65	69.2	3.0	0.867	2.93	4456	10	4317	31	4023	88
3-1@1	5.8	8.4	1.47	0.038	0.0003	0.50	0.5884	1.21	77.7	3.2	0.958	2.98	4480	18	4433	33	4333	95
3-1@2	7.2	10.5	1.47	n.d.			0.5944	0.76	78.6	2.7	0.959	2.58	4494	11	4444	27	4335	82
3-1@3	7.6	11.2	1.47	0.019	0.0002	0.29	0.5922	0.50	77.1	3.0	0.945	3.00	4489	7	4426	31	4288	95
4-1@1	5.6	8.0	1.43	n.d.			0.5980	0.29	82.5	3.3	1.000	3.34	4503	4	4493	34	4469	108
4-1@2	6.0	9.3	1.55	n.d.			0.5970	0.58	84.4	2.7	1.026	2.65	4501	8	4516	28	4551	87
4-1@3	7.5	10.9	1.45	n.d.			0.5986	1.10	78.0	4.6	0.945	4.47	4505	16	4437	47	4289	142
4-1@4	6.5	10.0	1.53	0.034	0.0003	0.55	0.5838	0.88	77.1	3.5	0.958	3.34	4468	13	4425	35	4332	106
4-1@5	6.1	10.3	1.69	n.d.			0.5926	0.59	78.1	3.8	0.956	3.72	4490	9	4439	39	4326	118
4-1@6	5.8	8.8	1.53	n.d.			0.5848	1.04	80.0	4.2	0.992	4.02	4471	15	4462	43	4443	130
4-1@7	5.5	8.2	1.49	n.d.			0.5978	0.69	84.5	2.9	1.025	2.84	4503	10	4517	30	4549	93
5-1@1	5.4	8.7	1.60	0.034	0.0002	0.42	0.5974	0.62	83.0	2.9	1.007	2.83	4502	9	4499	29	4492	92
5-1@2	5.6	10.0	1.77	0.057	0.0004	0.77	0.5961	0.74	89.1	3.4	1.084	3.32	4499	11	4570	35	4734	112
6-1@1	5.4	8.6	1.60	n.d.		—	0.6074	0.59	86.2	2.8	1.029	2.75	4526	9	4536	29	4561	91
6-1@2	5.1	8.0	1.58	n.d.		—	0.5903	0.93	90.7	3.6	1.114	3.49	4484	14	4588	37	4826	120
6-1@3	5.0	7.6	1.53	n.d.			0.6004	0.67	85.1	4.3	1.028	4.28	4509	10	4524	44	4559	141
7-1@1	4.4	5.9	1.34	n.d.		—	0.5994	0.47	91.2	2.8	1.103	2.80	4507	7	4593	29	4793	95
7-1@2	4.8	6.9	1.44	0.051	0.0003	0.63	0.5788	0.91	84.2	3.1	1.056	2.97	4456	13	4514	32	4646	99
14-1@1	4.6	7.3	1.59	n.d.			0.5950	0.80	89.4	2.8	1.090	2.72	4496	12	4574	29	4753	92
14-1@2	4.8	7.8	1.63	n.d.	—		0.5933	0.91	87.9	3.2	1.075	3.07	4492	13	4557	33	4706	103
16-1@1	5.1	7.8	1.55	n.d.			0.6091	0.95	96.3	3.3	1.146	3.13	4530	14	4648	33	4924	109
16-1@2	5.6	8.6	1.54	n.d.			0.6026	0.88	88.7	3.3	1.067	3.20	4514	13	4565	34	4681	107
16-1@3	5.8	9.2	1.58	n.d.			0.6011	0.82	95.2	2.8	1.149	2.71	4511	12	4637	29	4931	94
17-3@1	7.4	11.5	1.54	0.034	0.0001	0.22	0.5969	0.97	97.5	8.7	1.185	8.69	4500	14	4661	92	5038	311

**Table 8.** SIMS U-Pb isotopic data of apatite from Creston. Spot positions are identified inFig. 4.

\* Denotes radiogenic, using the modern terrestrial Pb as common-lead compositions  ${}^{206}Pb/{}^{204}Pb = 18.703$  and  ${}^{207}Pb/{}^{206}Pb = 0.836$  (Stacey and Kramers 1975); n.d.: not detected.

**Table 9.** L chondrites with known orbits: classification criteria, collision history, and mean dynamical lifetimes for ejection from different source regions. The dynamical lifetimes in bold (1-sigma) and italic bold (2-sigma) are in agreement with the measured CRE age.

	Creston	Jesenice	Innisfree	Novato	Villalbeto	Park Forest
	(this study)	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
Classification:	L5/6, S3/4	L6, S3	L5, S3	L6, S4	L6, S4	L5, S5
			breccia	breccia	breccia	breccia
Fa	$23.3\pm1.4$	$25.1\pm0.4$	$25.3\pm0.2$	$24.1\pm0.4$	$24.2\pm0.2$	24.7
Fs	$23.3\pm3.5$	$21.1\pm0.4$		$20.7\pm0.5$	$20.3\pm0.2$	20.7
Wo	$1.2 \pm 0.5$	1.5		$1.5 \pm 0.2$	$1.6\pm0.2$	1.6
δ17Ο'	$3.65\pm0.12$			$3.70\pm0.10$	$3.60\pm0.26$	$3.44\pm0.02$
δ18Ο'	$4.87\pm0.32$			$4.83\pm0.19$	$5.61\pm0.50$	$4.68\pm0.07$
Δ17Ο'	$1.072\pm0.044$			$1.149\pm0.022$	1.1	
K-Ar age (Ma)	$4310\pm100$	$3275\pm205$	$4100\pm\!\!300$	550,	700	$490\pm70$
40 + 39 + (3 5 )		1200		$1520 \pm 250$		
<sup>40</sup> Ar- <sup>39</sup> Ar age (Ma)		~4300				
U-Pb lower intercept	$(755 \pm 320)$			$4/3 \pm 38$		
age (Ma)	1050 + 60	2200 + 500		1(0 + 220		120 00
U, I h-He age (Ma)	$1050 \pm 60$	$2300 \pm 500$		$460 \pm 220$		$430 \pm 90$
0-Pb upper intercept	$4496.7 \pm 5.8$			$44/2 \pm 31$		
CRE age (Ma)	$45 \pm 5$	~15	$28 \pm 3$	9 ± 1†	$48 \pm 5$	$14 \pm 2$
Collisional lifetime in	8.9	6.3	4.3	5.9	8.9	12.9
main belt (Ma)§	017	0.0		0.13	0.0	
Semi-major axis (AU)	$1.30 \pm 0.02$	$1.75 \pm 0.07$	1.872	$2.09 \pm 0.08$	$2.3 \pm 0.2$	$2.53 \pm 0.19$
Inclination (°)	$4.23\pm0.07$	$9.6 \pm 0.5$	12.28	$5.51 \pm 0.04$	$0.0 \pm 0.2$	$3.2 \pm 0.3$
Dynamical age for						
ejection from inner						
belt:						
Hungaria (i ~ 23°)	¶	$52.4\pm1.8$	$58.1\pm2.2$	$19.8\pm3.2$	$31.6\pm3.9$	$29.9\pm2.4$
v6 inner	$22.2 \pm 5.1$	$12.2 \pm 1.0$	$20.2 \pm 2.3$	$9.4 \pm 1.0$	$11.9\pm2.5$	$13.7 \pm 1.3$
$(a < 2.5; i < 4^{\circ})$						
v6 inner	$33.4 \pm 11.0$	<i>11.3</i> ± 0.8	$11.2\pm1.0$	$6.1\pm0.4$	$13.8\pm1.6$	$12.2 \pm 0.7$
(a < 2.5; i >4°)						
4:1	$9.2\pm2.6$	$5.1\pm0.6$	$12.9\pm2.2$	$0.9 \pm 0.7$	$2.5\pm0.3$	$3.8\pm 0.4$
7:2 (a < 2.5 AU)	$21.3\pm5.9$	$21.5 \pm 2.3$	$18.9\pm2.1$	28.1 ± 8.9	$15.3 \pm 1.2$	$16.3 \pm 0.6$
3:1 (a < 2.5 AU)	$12.9\pm3.4$	$7.0 \pm 1.1$	$11.1\pm2.6$	$3.5 \pm 1.8$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.9\pm0.2$
From central belt:						
v6 inner		$27.8 \pm 22.8$		$15.8 \pm 14.8$	~1.8	$4.5 \pm 2.0$
(a > 2.5 AU)						
7:2 (a > 2.5 AU)		$19.5 \pm 5.8$	$5.0 \pm 2.4$	$9.4 \pm 5.1$	$7.1 \pm 3.9$	$14.9 \pm 4.6$
n6 outer ( $i \le 18^{\circ}$ )		$7.1 \pm 3.0$	$8.7 \pm 1.1$		$4.4 \pm 1.4$	$6.8 \pm 1.2$
3:1 (a > 2.5, i > 6°,		$48.1 \pm 39.2$	$21.1 \pm 16.8$	$2.0 \pm 0.6$	$6.8 \pm 3.5$	$1.8 \pm 0.4$
incl. Gefion family)						
3:1	$10.4 \pm 8.3$	$11.1 \pm 5.9$	<i>13.9</i> ± <i>7.3</i>	$2.7 \pm 0.9$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$
$(a > 2.5 \text{ AU}, i < 6^{\circ})$		24.4	10 7 1 1 0			10 1 1 5
v6 outer ( $i > 18^\circ$ )		~24.4	$13.7 \pm 4.2$			$4.2 \pm 1.5$
Phocaea $(i \sim 22^{\circ})$		$83.2 \pm 14.6$	$78.6 \pm 12.7$	$36.9 \pm 15.8$	82.8 ± 38.2	$37.2 \pm 8.2$
Teutonia	$4.9 \pm 1.0$	$7.5 \pm 1.3$	$12.0 \pm 2.4$	$3.7 \pm 0.4$	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$

8:3 5:2 (incl. Gefion)	  $17.7 \pm 7.3$ $7.3 \pm 3.4$	$12.5 \pm 6.4$ $9.3 \pm 7.7$	$0.9 \pm 0.5$ 6.3 $\pm$ 3.7	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\pm0.3\\ 0.5\pm0.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.4\pm0.7\\ 0.4\pm0.1 \end{array}$
<i>From outer belt:</i> 2:1	 ~10.7	~10.6			$0.7\pm0.5$

† With one collision in last 3–5 Ma. §) Collisional lifetime is based on size and is about  $1.4 \sqrt{r}$ , with r the radius in cm. In main belt, based only on size: possibly underestimated by factor of 3– 5 (see text). ¶) No model orbits evolved into a Creston-like orbit. Data from: (a) Bischoff *et al.* (2011), Welten *et al.* (2016); (b) Halliday *et al.* (1977), Goswami *et al.* (1978), Rubin (1990); (c) Jenniskens *et al.* 2014, Yin *et al.* (2014); (d) Bischoff *et al.* (2013), Llorca *et al.* (2005); (e) Simon *et al.* (2004), and Meier *et al.* (2017).

**Fig. 1.** Top: Optical photographs of Creston meteorites #5 (left) and #6. Notice how each meteorite has one side that is more reddish colored, the irregular surface in the case of C05 and the fresher flatter surface in the case of C06, respectively. Bottom: Creston bolide from Sunnyvale (cropped image) and Goleta.



**Fig. 2.** California map with location of camera stations (open circles), radar stations (black squares) and seismic stations (black dots). White area is enlarged in Fig. 5 below.



**Fig. 3.** Creston bolide from (left) El Segundo and (right) Riverside in SkySentinel video. Below each allsky image summary is a sequence of video frames showing the meteor in detail (moving from left to right towards the horizon).





**Fig. 4.** Apatite grains in Creston CR01. Ap = Apatite, Chrom = Chromite, Merr = Merrillite.

**Fig. 5.** Creston meteorite strewn field map (inset to Fig. 2). The ground-projected meteor trajectory (arrow) has 25- and 29-km altitude points marked. The calculated fall locations for meteorites of different masses (1g - 10 kg) are shown by a tick line. Radar reflections from falling meteorites are labeled A–F. White dots show the actual find location of meteorites.



**Fig. 6.** Error range in the derived semi-major axis and inclination for local and global astrometry of the DFN all-sky image.







**Fig. 8.** Airburst generated seismic signatures as seen in different azimuth directions relative to the meteor trajectory (arrow).



**Fig. 9.** X-ray CT scans of Crestion meteorite CR05 (A and C) and CR06 (B and D). Top diagrams (A and B) visualize the 3-D internal metal grain distribution. Metal grains have highest density for X-rays. Bottom panels (C and D) show CT slices through the meteorites. The diffuse grey corresponds to the fine grain matrix, while the bright white spots correspond to the metal grains and metal-filled shock veins.





Fig. 10. Trace element abundances relative to Orgueil.

Fig. 11. Meteorite classification. (A)  $\Delta^{17}$ O versus Fa mol% in olivine in Creston compared with other ordinary chondrites, based on data from Troiano *et al.* (2011) and references therein, Popova *et al.* (2013), and Jenniskens *et al.* (2014). (B) Comparison of the  $\Delta^{17}$ O- $\epsilon^{54}$ Cr isotopic composition of Creston with achondrite and non-carbonaceous chondrite groups. Adapted after Schmitz *et al.* (2016), also plotting the winonaite clast of Villalbeto de la Peña (Bischoff *et al.* 2013).



Fig. 12. Summary of Pb-Pb measurements on Creston. (A) A mean Pb-Pb age is  $4,497.1 \pm 3.7$  (0.081%), with 95% confidence. (B) Intercepts for Pb-U age are at  $771 \pm 320$  Ma, and  $4497.9 \pm 5.8$  [ $\pm 11$ ] Ma. MSWD =1.9. (C) Intercepts for Pb-Pb age are at  $755 \pm 320$  Ma and  $4496.7 \pm 5.8$  [ $\pm 11$ ] Ma. MSWD = 1.9. (D) Detail of Fig. 12C.



**Fig. 13.** U,Th-He and CRE age versus K-Ar age for L chondrites. Solid circles are data from Crab & Schultz (1981), Takoaka (1989) Marti & Graf (1992), Welten *et al.* (2001, 2004), Eugster *et al.* (2007), Kita *et al.* (2013), Trigo-Rodriguez *et al.* (2014), Leya (2015), Mahajan *et al.* (2016), and Li *et al.* (2016). Open circles are U,Th-He and K-Ar age data from Wasson (2012), for which no CRE age information is available. Inset shows CRE histogram (range N = 0-20) from Marti & Graf (1992) and more recent data, including data with no K-Ar age available.



**Fig. 14.** The meteorite's K-Ar age compared to the semi-major axis (a) of the impact orbit, showing that all meteorites with high K-Ar ages impacted Earth on a short a < 2 AU orbit.

