

1 **Antibacterial and antioxidative properties of different parts of garden rhubarb, black**
2 **currant, chokeberry and blue honeysuckle.**

3

4 Piret Raudsepp^{a*}, Julia Koskar^{a,b}, Dea Anton^a, Kadrin Meremäe^a, Karmen Kapp^c,
5 Peeter Laurson^{d,e}, Uko Bleive^e, Hedi Kaldmäe^e, Mati Roasto^{aΩ}, Tõnu Püssa^{aΩ}

6

7 ^aChair of Food Hygiene and Veterinary Public Health, Institute of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Sciences,
8 Estonian University of Life Sciences, Kreutzwaldi 56/3, 51014 Tartu, Estonia

9 ^bVeterinary and Food Laboratory, Kreutzwaldi 30, 51006, Tartu, Estonia

10 ^cDivision of Pharmaceutical Biology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 56, FI-00014
11 Helsinki, Finland

12 ^dFaculty of Science and Technology, Institute of Chemistry, University of Tartu, Ravila 14a, 50411, Tartu, Estonia

13 ^ePolli Horticultural Research Centre, Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Estonian University of
14 Life Sciences, Uus 2, Polli, 69108, Viljandimaa, Estonia

15

16 *-Corresponding author: Piret Raudsepp; raudsepp.piret@gmail.com; Kreutzwaldi 56/3, 51014 Tartu, Estonia

17 Ω -these authors contributed equally to the work

18

19 **Abstract**

20 **BACKGROUND:** It is important to find plant materials that can inhibit the growth of *Listeria*
21 *monocytogenes* and other food spoiling bacteria both *in vitro* and *in situ*. The aim of the study
22 was to compare antibacterial and antioxidative activity of selected plant-ethanol infusions:
23 leaves and berries of black currant (*Ribes nigrum* L.), berries of chokeberry (*Aronia*
24 *melanocarpa* (Michx.) Elliott) and blue honeysuckle (*Lonicera caerulea* L. var. *edulis*);
25 petioles and dark and light roots of garden rhubarb (*Rheum rhaponticum* L.), in the perspective
26 to use them further in food matrices as antibacterial and antioxidative additives.

27 **RESULTS:** The strongest bacterial growth inhibition was observed in 96% ethanol infusions of
28 the dark roots of rhubarbs. In 96% ethanol, nine out of ten studied plant infusions had
29 antibacterial effect against *L. monocytogenes*, but in 20% ethanol, only the infusions of dark
30 rhubarb roots had similar effect. Chokeberry and other berries had the highest antioxidative
31 activity, both in 20% and 96% ethanol infusions.

32 **CONCLUSION:** Combination of dark rhubarb roots and berries of black chokeberry or some
33 other anthocyanin-rich berries would have good perspective as both antibacterial and
34 antioxidative additives in food.

35

36 **Keywords:** antibacterial activity, antioxidative activity, *Aronia*, *Lonicera*, *Rheum*, *Ribes*

37

38 INTRODUCTION

39 Due to the raising customer awareness, there is an increasing trend to seek for new natural food
40 additives that can be used as antibacterial (AB) and/or antioxidative (AO) agents in foods. It is
41 particularly important to find plant materials that can inhibit the growth of *Listeria*
42 *monocytogenes*, resistant to many environmental stress factors. In addition, *Campylobacter*
43 *jejuni* is highly prevalent bacterium in broiler chicken meat of Baltic origin and the most often
44 reported bacterial cause of human intestinal infections.¹

45 Synergistic effect of different polyphenolic compounds is mainly responsible for
46 antimicrobial,² antioxidative,^{3,4} health beneficial^{5, 6,7} and plant protective properties⁸ of a plant
47 material. There are studies where polyphenolic composition of rhubarb roots⁹, black currant
48 leaves and berries^{10, 11}, edible honeysuckle berries^{12, 13} and chokeberry berries^{14, 15} have been
49 sufficiently described. Kosikowska et al.¹⁶ and Raudsepp et al.¹⁷ have shown very strong AB
50 effect of garden rhubarb roots. Hasper et al.¹⁸ have established that only minimal toxicity
51 concerns exist regarding the use of garden rhubarb root preparations for human internal
52 consumption.

53 According to Zheng et al.¹⁹ and Vagiri et al.¹¹, polyphenolic composition of a plant product
54 depends on variety, maturity and part of the plant, weather and processing technology.
55 Raudsepp et al.¹⁰ and Vagiri et al.²⁰ have ascertained that European black currant varieties may
56 have two- to three-fold differences in the anthocyanin content, even if grown at the same
57 conditions. Differences in total polyphenolic and total anthocyanin content may result in
58 significantly different AB, AO and other properties of the plant products. Therefore, it is
59 important to conduct the selection among the cultivars and plant parts to choose the ones with
60 the highest beneficial properties²¹.

61 The aim of this study was to gain comparable information about *in vitro* AB and radical
62 scavenging activities of different plant species and their different parts. The more successive

63 aim was to select plant materials for further use as antimicrobials or AO compounds in foods.
64 Results of the preceding studies were reviewed, the plant species and their varieties with
65 multiple beneficial capacities and high horticultural relevance in the Northern Europe were
66 selected. In particular, the highest anthocyanin content of the cultivated berries and high AB or
67 AO activity of other plant parts were taken into account. The 20% and 96% ethanol
68 concentrations in the infusions were chosen to compare the summary effects of hydrophilic and
69 more hydrophobic polyphenol complexes.

70 According to our knowledge, this is the first study, where ethanol infusions of abovementioned
71 plants and their different parts were comparatively analysed for AB and AO activities.

72

73 **EXPERIMENTAL**

74 **The plant material**

75 The planting material of rhubarb varieties was obtained from the collection of Pūre
76 Horticultural Research Centre, Latvia. All studied plants were grown in the plantation of Polli
77 Horticultural Research Centre, Estonia (58°06'N°25°32'E). Two dark-rooted rhubarbs
78 ('Victoria' and seedling 303) and one light-rooted ('Ogres') rhubarb were selected among 16
79 different cultivars or seedlings, according to the content of hydroxyanthraquinones. Berries of
80 chokeberry (selected among three seedlings), blue honeysuckle (haskap berry) 'Tomitška'
81 (selected among five cultivars) and black currant 'Ben Alder' (selected among 37 cultivars);
82 leaves of black currant 'Pamyati Vavilova' and petioles of abovementioned garden rhubarbs
83 were freeze-dried with VirTis AdVantage 2.0 EL freeze-dryer (SP Industries, Warminster,
84 USA) and kept at the temperature -40°C until powdering. The roots of garden rhubarb cultivars
85 and seedling were washed, diced and dried at 50°C in a drying oven (Binder FED101, Binder
86 GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany) and kept at room temperature.

87

88 **Sample preparation and chemical analysis**

89 All dried plant materials were powdered with a blender (Stollar/Kinetix® Control) to the
90 particle size diameter ≤ 3 mm, the necessary fraction was obtained with the analytical sieve
91 shaker AS300 control (Retsch GmbH, Germany). For the infusions, 1 g of each powder in
92 duplicate were mixed with 20 mL of 20% and 96% of aqueous ethanol. The mixtures were
93 rotated on Multi RS-60 Multirotator (Biosan, Riga, Latvia) at 40 rpm for 24 h at the room
94 temperature, followed by centrifugation at 2594g for 10 min on Sigma 4-16KS (Sigma
95 Laborzentrifugen GmbH, Germany) centrifuge. The supernatants were collected and further
96 diluted by two, four and eight times for the estimation of AB and AO properties, and for
97 quantitation of total polyphenols content (TPC) and total anthocyanins. In addition, *trans*-
98 rhapontin (Merck), rutin (Sigma), *trans*-resveratrol (Sigma) and emodin (Sigma) as single
99 phenolic compounds were included into the AB and AO studies at four concentrations: 0.125;
100 0.25; 0.5 and 1 g·L⁻¹. TPC and anthocyanin content of plant infusions were estimated by areas
101 under HPLC-UV chromatographic curves at 280 and 520 nm, respectively²², using UHPLC-
102 MS Shimadzu Nexera X2 system (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Kyoto, Japan). For the
103 estimation of TPC and anthocyanin content, chlorogenic acid (Aldrich) and cyanidin 3-*O*-
104 glucoside chloride (kuromanin chloride, Sigma) calibration curves were used, respectively. The
105 qualitative analyse of plant extracts and the content of ascorbic acid (AA) and citric acid (CA)
106 were analysed with 1100 Series LC/MSD Trap-XCT (Agilent Technologies, Santa Cruz, CA,
107 USA) using AA (Sigma) and CA (Sigma) as calibration standards¹⁷. Total acidity and total
108 sugar content were estimated with the FTIR spectrometer Bruker ALPHA ATR Platinum
109 system (Bruker Optics GmbH, Germany). The AO of the infusions were measured using DPPH
110 radical scavenging method²³, AO activities were expressed in rutin equivalents (g·L⁻¹).
111 Additionally, pH values of the 20% ethanol infusions were measured.

112

113 **The bacterial strains**

114 AB effect of plant infusions was determined against Gram-negative *Campylobacter jejuni*
115 ATCC 33291, *Salmonella* Enteritidis ATCC 13076, *Escherichia coli* NCCB 100282, *Yersinia*
116 *ruckeri* NCIM 13282 and Gram-positive *Listeria monocytogenes* ATCC 13929, *Bacillus cereus*
117 ATCC 11778, *Kocuria rhizophila* ATCC 9341, *Bacillus subtilis* BGA and *Bacillus pumilus*
118 CV 607 bacteria, obtained from the collections of the Estonian Veterinary and Food Laboratory
119 and the Chair of Food Hygiene and Veterinary Public Health of Estonian University of Life
120 Sciences.

121

122 **The antibacterial activity (AB) test**

123 AB activity testing was performed by modified agar well-diffusion method as previously
124 described by Raudsepp et al.¹⁷. In case of *C. jejuni*, *L. monocytogenes*, *S. Enteritidis* and *E. coli*,
125 the suspensions with final density of 10^5 – 10^6 per mL were prepared and, using sterile swabs,
126 transferred uniformly onto the agar surface, for *C. jejuni* a sterile spatula was used. In case of
127 *B. cereus*, *Y. ruckeri*, *K. rhizophila*, *B. subtilis* and *B. pumilus*, definite amount of incubated
128 bacterial suspension was mixed with 400 mL of sterilized and thereafter cooled down to 45 °C
129 Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid), to obtain final density of 10^5 – 10^6 cfu·mL⁻¹ and then poured onto
130 Petri dishes for the solidification at the room temperature. Thereafter, the wells (5 mm in
131 diameter) were made into agar gel using sterile tools. Subsequently, the wells were filled with
132 30 µL of plant ethanol infusion in four different dilutions: 1:20 (w/v), 1:40, 1:80 and 1:160.
133 Plates were incubated under conditions described in Table 1, the diameter of inhibition zone in
134 millimetres was measured and the AB effect of a plant ethanol infusion was calculated as a
135 mean of duplicate tests. As negative controls, 20% and 96% ethanol were used, and as a positive
136 control, chloramphenicol (LAB M; 1000 mg·L⁻¹) was used.

137

138 [Insert table 1 here]

139

140 **Statistical analysis**

141 MS Excel 2013 software was used to evaluate the correlations between different chemical
142 properties and AB activities of the infusions. Correlation was considered strong, if r was equal
143 or higher than ± 0.65 , moderate if $r \geq \pm 0.41$ to ± 0.64 or weak if the r value was in the interval 0
144 to ± 0.4 .

145

146 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

147 **Chemical composition of plant infusions**

148 The total polyphenol content (TPC) of the plant infusions (1:20, w/v) varied from 0.18 to 5.21
149 $\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ in 20% ethanol infusions and from 0.06 to 7.03 $\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ in 96% ethanol infusions, rhubarb
150 petioles being the lowest and rhubarb 'Victoria' roots the highest in TPC (Fig. 1). The
151 anthocyanin content varied from 0 to 0.83 $\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ and from 0 to 1.87 $\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ in 20% and 96% ethanol
152 infusions respectively, chokeberry having the highest anthocyanin content in 20% ethanol and
153 blue honeysuckle in 96% ethanol. The ascorbic acid content varied from 0.31 to 2.14 $\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ in
154 20% ethanol and from 0.16 to 2.4 $\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ in 96% ethanol, chokeberry having the lowest and blue
155 honeysuckle the highest in 20% ethanol and black currant berries the highest AA content in
156 96% ethanol. The pH of studied infusions varied from 3.15 (black currant berries) to 3.8
157 (petioles of rhubarb 'Victoria'). The infusions of the berries and the rhubarb petioles contained
158 anthocyanins, rhubarb roots and black currant leaves did not (Fig. 1). It was noted that the dark-
159 rooted rhubarb cultivars had more anthocyanins in their petioles than the light-rooted cultivars

160 (Fig. 1). The total acidity was highest in the petioles of rhubarb 'Ogres' ($8.7 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) and the sugar
161 acid ratio was the highest in chokeberry berries (6.3), followed by blue honeysuckle berries
162 (4.4). Blue honeysuckle had the highest content of total sugars ($24.3 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$), which exceeded
163 black currant and chokeberry berries approximately by $6 \text{ g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$. The qualitative analyse of the
164 plant extracts revealed that the polyphenolic composition of the plants differed notably,
165 containing polyphenols with different properties (Table 2, Fig. 2), hence some differences in
166 the AO and AB properties.

167

168 [Insert Figure 1 and 2 and table 2. here]

169

170 **Antibacterial (AB) effect**

171 The *in vitro* AB activities, in the form of the diameters of growth inhibition zones of selected
172 plant infusions were determined against both Gram-positive (Table 3) and Gram-negative
173 (Table 4) bacteria. The results indicated remarkable *in vitro* AB effect of several plant infusions
174 (Fig. 3). In case of 20% ethanol-plant infusions, the Gram-negative bacteria were less
175 susceptible than Gram-positive bacteria. This is in agreement with Goñi et al.²⁴, who reported
176 Gram-negative bacteria being generally less susceptible to different antibacterial agents due to
177 the outer lipopolysaccharide membrane, which restricts the diffusion of hydrophilic compounds
178 into the bacterial cell. However, Taguri et al.²⁵ have concluded that the result of Gram-staining
179 does not correlate with AB effect, and susceptibility of bacteria, growing in Mueller-Hinton
180 medium depends mostly on the particular bacterial species. In the present study it was found
181 that the strongest AB activity of tested plant 96% ethanol infusions were against *C. jejuni*,
182 which is a Gram-negative micro-aerobic bacterium, also against *B. cereus*, which is a Gram-
183 positive aerobic bacterium, with inhibition zones 18 mm and 15.5 mm, respectively.

184

185 [Insert Figure 3 here]

186

187 Against Gram-negative bacteria *C. jejuni*, *S. Enteritidis* and *E. coli*, the most effective at all
188 tested dilutions were 96% ethanol infusions of the roots and petioles of the dark-rooted rhubarb
189 303 and the roots of 'Victoria' with inhibition zone diameters 7–18 mm (Fig. 3). Weaker AB
190 effects of the same plant infusions against the abovementioned bacteria were established in 20%
191 ethanol (Fig. 3). Regarding *Y. ruckeri*, the infusions of the petioles of dark rhubarb no 303 in
192 96% and 20% ethanol were equally effective (Table 4).

193 The most effective against all studied Gram-positive bacteria was 96% ethanol infusion of the
194 roots of dark rhubarb seedling 303, inhibition zone diameters were in the range of 8–15.5 mm
195 at all dilutions. Among Gram-positive bacteria, *B. cereus* was the most susceptible to all ten
196 tested plant infusions in 20% as well as in 96% ethanol (Fig. 2, Table 3). It is notable that
197 *L. monocytogenes*, which is known as a relatively resistant bacteria to different environmental
198 factors, was susceptible to nine out of ten tested 96% ethanol infusions. Generally, more
199 concentrated infusions (w/v) 1:20 or 1:40 had stronger AB or bacteriostatic effects against
200 tested bacteria (Table 3).

201 It has been shown that solubility in water is a significant factor determining the extent to which
202 hydrophobic compounds can be accumulated up to damaging lethal levels in bacterial cell
203 phospholipid membranes^{24,26}. In the current study, plant ethanol infusions were used, therefore,
204 the mode of action of antibacterial agents cannot be explained only by cell membrane damage.
205 *Trans-resveratrol* and *emodin*, both constituents of rhubarb roots, showed AB activity against
206 gram-negative *C. jejuni*, *S. Enteritidis*, and *E. coli*, and against gram-positive *L. monocytogenes*
207 at their highest used concentration (1 g·L⁻¹). Li et al.²⁷ have estimated by cell membrane
208 permeability and flow cytometry assays ability of hydrophobic emodin (the octanol-water

209 partition coefficient $\log K_{ow} +4.01$; ECHA²⁸) to destroy cell membrane integrity and increase
210 membrane permeability; fluorescence spectroscopy assay had indicated ability of emodin to
211 influence conformation of membrane proteins in case of Gram-positive *Haemophilus parasuis*.
212 These mechanisms can possibly be used also for explanation of AB effect against Gram-
213 negative bacteria of rhubarb root 96% ethanol infusion that, in addition to emodin, contains
214 several other relatively hydrophobic hydroxyanthraquinones⁹.

215 Important finding of the present study was that Gram-positive foodborne pathogenic bacteria
216 *L. monocytogenes* and *B. cereus* as well as Gram-negative pathogens *C. jejuni*, *S. Enteritidis*
217 and *E. coli* were inhibited by the ethanol infusions of the roots and petioles of rhubarb (both
218 seedling 303 and 'Victoria'), which makes rhubarb a promising candidate for the use as the
219 source of natural antibacterials in food. In rhubarb, presumably hydroxyanthraquinones are the
220 major active components having many biological and pharmacological properties including AB
221 activity^{29, 30}. In the study of Lu et al.², the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of crude
222 extracts of rhubarb was positively related to the hydroxyanthraquinones' content, and similarly
223 to the results of the current study it was found that rhubarb may have the potential use as an
224 antibacterial agent for control of some pathogenic bacteria.

225

226 [Insert Table 3 and Table 4 here]

227

228 **The free radical scavenging ability**

229 The highest antioxidativity (AO), expressed by the DPPH free radical scavenging activity, had
230 chokeberry berries with the highest content and variability of anthocyanins, both in 20% and
231 96% aqueous ethanol infusions, that is in correspondence with the results of Tian et al.²¹
232 Chokeberry was followed by 20% aqueous ethanol infusion of black currant berries with the

233 lowest total polyphenols and total anthocyanins among the berries. Obviously, AO properties
234 of black currant berries are primarily dependent on the hydrophilic compounds such as ascorbic
235 acid²⁰, and subsequently on semi-polar anthocyanins^{3, 13} and flavon-3-ols, particularly rutin³¹,
236 all of which are good antioxidants and better extractable with a more hydrophilic solvent (20%
237 ethanol). Honeysuckle with the highest total polyphenol content among berries and dark
238 rhubarb roots (Fig. 1, b) with the absolutely highest TPC, were also efficient. The AO properties
239 of chokeberry, black currant berries and blue honeysuckle berries were however very similar
240 (Fig 1). In the case of rhubarb roots, AO is obviously more dependent on relatively hydrophobic
241 constituents like hydroxyanthraquinones emodin, aloe emodin, and chrysophanol as well as
242 resveratrol di- and trimers^{9, 32}, which are extractable from the plant matrix with a more nonpolar
243 solvent such as 96% ethanol. The content of anthocyanins was positively correlated with the
244 AO of both 20% ($r=0.65$) and 96% ($r=0.47$) ethanol infusions of the plants (Fig. 4), that is in
245 the correspondence with the results of Heinonen et al.³ and Shih et al.³³ Also, content of citric
246 and ascorbic acids, both outstanding transition metal chelators, had moderate positive
247 correlation with free radical scavenging activities of the plant infusions (Fig. 4). It has been
248 stated that organic acids, including citric acid, generally enhance the DPPH radical scavenging
249 activity of ascorbic acid at the steady rate, whereas citric acid slows it down during the first
250 minute of the reaction³⁴. In the current study, the TPC in the plant infusions was weakly
251 positively correlated with AO properties (Fig. 4) that may be caused by the high content of
252 hydroxyanthraquinones and stilbenes in rhubarb root that have very strong AB^{2,16}, but weaker
253 radical scavenging capacity³². In berries the bulk of the TPC were anthocyanins - strongly
254 antioxidative molecules^{3, 33}, but not equally good antibacterial compounds.

255 The AO properties of the studied polyphenol standard compounds were in the descending order
256 rutin > *trans*-resveratrol > *trans*-rhapontin > emodin, which is in agreement with Villaño et
257 al.³⁵.

258

259 [Insert Figure 4 here]

260

261 **CONCLUSIONS**

262 The roots and petioles of rhubarb showed the highest AB activity against studied bacteria that
263 highlights rhubarb as a promising candidate for the use as a source of natural antibacterials in
264 food, if possible contamination by the soil microflora has been reduced to minimum. The
265 highest *in vitro* AB activities were measured for dark roots of rhubarb infusions in 96% ethanol.
266 Also, *trans*-resveratrol and emodin, as single compounds, both present in rhubarb roots,
267 revealed remarkable AB activity against studied bacteria.

268 AB activity was more strongly correlated with total polyphenolic content of the plant infusions
269 than with the total content of anthocyanins On the other hand, the highest AO activity was
270 determined for plant materials containing anthocyanins The AB and AO of the studied plant
271 infusions were not unambiguously correlated indicating that different compounds may be
272 involved in antioxidative properties compared to antibacterial properties.

273 Combination of powders of dark rhubarb roots and petioles with berries of black chokeberry,
274 black currant or some other anthocyanin-rich berries would have outstanding perspective to use
275 as functional ingredients in food matrices.

276 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

277 The research was financially supported by EU ERA-NET SUSFOOD project "Sustainable plant
278 ingredients for healthier meat products –proof of concepts" (SUSMEATPRO), and basic
279 financing project "Metabolomics, antioxidative and antibacterial activity, and related
280 mechanisms of food plants "of Estonian University of Life Sciences P170054VLTH.

281 The authors thank Liga Lepse from Latvian University of Agriculture; Hans Neppo, Liina Arus
282 and Kersti Kahu from Polli Horticultural Research Centre, Estonian University of Life
283 Sciences, for technical help with plant material.

284

285 REFERENCES

286 1. Kovalenko K, Roasto M, Liepinš E, Mäesaar M and Hörman A, High occurrence of
287 *Campylobacter* spp. in Latvian broiler chicken production. *Food Control* **29**: 188–191 (2013).

288 2. Lu C, Wang H, Lv W, Xu P, Zhu J, Xie J, Liu B and Lou Z, Antibacterial properties of
289 anthraquinones extracted from rhubarb against *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Fish Sci* **77**: 375–384
290 (2011).

291 3. Heinonen M, Antioxidant activity and antimicrobial effect of berry phenolics – a Finnish
292 perspective. *Mol Nutr Food Res* **51**: 684–691 (2007).

293 4. Skrovankova S, Sumczynski D, Mlcek J, Jurikova T and Sochor J, Bioactive compounds and
294 antioxidant activity in different types of berries. *Int J Mol Sci* **16**: 24673–24706 (2015).

295 5. He Z-H, He M-F, Ma S-C and But PP-H, Anti-angiogenic effects of rhubarb and its
296 anthraquinone derivatives. *J Ethnopharmacol* **121**: 313–317 (2009).

297 6. Neyrinck AM, Etxeberria U, Taminiou B, Daube G, Van Hul M, Everard A, Cani PD, Bindels
298 LB and Delzenne NM, Rhubarb extract prevents hepatic inflammation induced by acute alcohol
299 intake, an effect related to the modulation of the gut microbiota. *Mol Nutr Food Res* **61**: 1–12
300 (2016).

301 7. Celli GB, Ghanem A and Brooks MS-L, A theoretical physiologically based pharmacokinetic
302 approach for modeling the fate of anthocyanins *in vivo*. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **57**: 3197–3207
303 (2017).

- 304 8. Stephan D, Schmitt A, Martins Carvalho S, Seddon B and Koch E, Evaluation of biocontrol
305 preparations and plant extracts for the control of *Phytophthora infestans* on potato leaves. *Eur*
306 *J Plant Pathol* **112**: 235–246 (2005).
- 307 9. Püssa T, Raudsepp P, Kuzina K and Raal A, Polyphenolic composition of roots and petioles
308 of *Rheum rhaponticum* L. *Phytochem Anal* **20**: 98–103 (2009).
- 309 10. Raudsepp P, Kaldmäe H, Kikas A, Libek A-V and Püssa T, Nutritional quality of berries
310 and bioactive compounds in the leaves of black currant (*Ribes nigrum* L.) cultivars evaluated
311 in Estonia. *J Berry Res* **1**: 53–59 (2010).
- 312 11. Vagiri M, Conner S, Stewart D, Andersson SC, Verrall S, Johansson E and Rumpunen K,
313 Phenolic compounds in blackcurrant (*Ribes nigrum* L.) leaves relative to leaf position and
314 harvest date. *Food Chem* **172**: 135–142 (2015).
- 315 12. Chaovanalikit A, Thompson MM and Wrolstad RE, Characterization and quantification of
316 anthocyanins and polyphenolics in blue honeysuckle (*Lonicera caerulea* L.). *J Agric Food*
317 *Chem* **52**: 848–852 (2004).
- 318 13. Caprioli G, Iannarelli R, Innocenti M, Bellumori M, Fiorini D, Sagratini G, Vittori S,
319 Buccioni M, Santinelli C, Bramucci M, Quassinti L, Lupidi G, Vitali LA, Petrelli D, Beghelli
320 D, Cavallucci C, Bistoni O, Trivisonno A and Maggi F, Blue honeysuckle fruit (*Lonicera*
321 *caerulea* L.) from eastern Russia: phenolic composition, nutritional value and biological
322 activities of its polar extracts. *Food Funct* **7**: 1892–1903 (2016).
- 323 14. Rop O, Mlcek J, Jurikova T, Valsikova M, Sochor J, Reznicek V and Kramarova D,
324 Phenolic content, antioxidant capacity, radical oxygen species scavenging and lipid
325 peroxidation inhibiting activities of extracts of five black chokeberry (*Aronia melanocarpa*
326 (Michx.) Elliot) cultivars. *J Med Plants Res* **4**: 2431–2437 (2010).

- 327 15. Tian Y, Liimatainen J, Alanne A-L, Lindstedt A, Liu P, Sinkkonen J, Kallio H and Yang B,
328 Phenolic compounds extracted by acidic aqueous ethanol from berries and leaves of different
329 berry plants. *Food Chem* **220**: 266–281 (2017).
- 330 16. Kosikowska U, Smolarz HD and Malm A, Antimicrobial activity and total content of
331 polyphenols of *Rheum* L. species growing in Poland. *Cent Eur J Biol* **5**: 814–820 (2010).
- 332 17. Raudsepp P, Anton D, Roasto M, Meremäe K, Pedastsaar P, Mäesaar M, Raal A, Laikoja
333 K and Püssa T, The antioxidative and antimicrobial properties of the blue honeysuckle
334 (*Lonicera caerulea* L.), Siberian rhubarb (*Rheum rhaponticum* L.) and some other plants,
335 compared to ascorbic acid and sodium nitrite. *Food Control* **31**: 129–135 (2013).
- 336 18. Hasper I, Ventskovskiy BM, Rettenberger R, Heger PW, Riley DS and Kaszkin-Bettag M,
337 Long-term efficacy and safety of the special extract ERr 731 of *Rheum rhaponticum* in
338 perimenopausal women with menopausal symptoms. *Menopause* **16**: 117–31 (2009).
- 339 19. Zheng J, Yang B, Ruusunen V, Laaksonen O, Tahvonen R, Hellsten J and Kallio H,
340 Compositional Differences of Phenolic Compounds between Black Currant (*Ribes nigrum* L.)
341 Cultivars and Their Response to Latitude and Weather Conditions. *J Agric Food Chem* **60**:
342 6581–6593 (2012).
- 343 20. Vagiri M, Ekholm A, Öberg E, Johansson E, Andersson SC and Rumpunen K, Phenols and
344 ascorbic acid in black currant (*Ribes nigrum* L.): variation due to genotype, location and year.
345 *J Agric Food Chem* **61**: 9298–9306 (2013).
- 346 21. Tian Y, Pukanen A, Alakomi H-L, Uusitupa A, Saarela M and Yang B, Antioxidative and
347 antibacterial activities of aqueous ethanol extracts of berries, leaves, and branches of berry
348 plants. *Food Res Int* **106**: 291–303 (2018)

- 349 22. Kapp K, Hakala E, Orav A, Pohjala L, Vuorela P, Püssa T, Vuorela H and Raal A,
350 Commercial peppermint (*Mentha × piperita* L.) teas: Antichlamydial effect and polyphenolic
351 composition. *Food Res Int* **53**: 758–766 (2013).
- 352 23. Helmja K, Vaher M, Püssa T, Raudsepp P and Kaljurand M, Evaluation of antioxidative
353 capability of the tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) skin constituents by capillary electrophoresis
354 and high-performance liquid chromatography. *Electrophoresis* **29**: 3980–3988 (2008).
- 355 24. Goñi P, Lopez P, Sanchez C, Gomez-Lus R, Becerril R and Nerin C, Antimicrobial activity
356 in the vapour phase of a combination of cinnamon and clove essential oils. *Food Chem* **116**:
357 982–989 (2009).
- 358 25. Taguri T, Tanaka T and Kouno I, Antibacterial spectrum of plant polyphenols and extracts
359 depending upon hydroxyphenyl structure. *Biol Pharm Bull* **29**: 2226–2235 (2006).
- 360 26. Cox SD, Mann CM and Markham JL, Interactions between components of the essential oil
361 of *Melaleuca alternifolia*. *J Appl Microbiol* **91**: 492–497 (2001).
- 362 27. Li L, Song X, Yin Z, Jia R, Li Z, Zhou X, Zou Y, Li L, Yin L, Yue G, Ye G, Lv C, Shi W
363 and Fu Y, The antibacterial activity and action mechanism of emodin from *Polygonum*
364 *cuspidatum* against *Haemophilus parasuis* *in vitro*, *Microbiol Res* **186–187**: 139–145 (2016).
- 365 28. ECHA, European Chemicals Agency, Registration dossier. Anthraquinone. Toxicity to soil
366 macroorganisms except arthropods. (2011) [https://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-](https://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/5769/6/4/2/)
367 [/registered-dossier/5769/6/4/2/](https://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/5769/6/4/2/) [24 October 2017].
- 368 29. Iizuka A, Iijima OT, Kondo K, Itakura H, Yoshie F, Miyamoto H, Kubo M, Higuchi M,
369 Takeda H and Matsumiya T, Evaluation of rhubarb using antioxidative activity as an index of
370 pharmacological usefulness. *J Ethnopharmacol* **91**: 89–94 (2004).

- 371 30. Wang J, Zhao H, Kong W, Jin C, Zhao Y, Qu Y and Xiao X, Micro calorimetric assay on
372 the antimicrobial property of five hydroxyanthraquinone derivatives in rhubarb (*Rheum*
373 *palmatum* L.) to *Bifidobacterium adolescentis*. *Phytomedicine* **17**: 684–689 (2010).
- 374 31. Afanas'ev IB, Dcrozsko AI, Brodskii AV, Kostyuk VA, and Potapovitch AI, Chelating and
375 free radical scavenging mechanisms of inhibitory action of rutin and quercetin in lipid
376 peroxidation. *Biochem Pharmacol* **38**: 1763–1769 (1989).
- 377 32. Yen G-C, Duh P-D and Chuang D-Y, Antioxidant activity of anthraquinones and anthrone.
378 *Food Chem* **70**: 437–441 (2000).
- 379 33. Shih P-H, Yeh C-T and Yen GC, Anthocyanins induce the activation of phase II enzymes
380 through the antioxidant response element pathway against oxidative stress-induced apoptosis.
381 *J Agric Food Chem* **55**: 9427–9435 (2007).
- 382 34. Lo Scalzo R, Organic acids influence on DPPH• scavenging by ascorbic acid. *Food Chem*
383 **107**: 40–43. (2008).
- 384 35. Villaño D, Fernández-Pachón MS, Moyá ML, Troncoso AM and García-Parrilla MC,
385 Radical scavenging ability of polyphenolic compounds towards DPPH free radical. *Talanta* **71**:
386 230–235 (2007).
- 387

388 **Figure 1.** Chemical content and properties ($\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) of the plant infusions (1:20, w/v): total
389 polyphenols (TPC), total anthocyanins (Anth.), ascorbic acid (AA), and antioxidativity (AO) in
390 rutin equivalents ($\text{g}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$) of 20% ethanol infusions (a) and of 96% ethanol infusions (b). Bars
391 are listed in the descending order of AO.

392

393 **Figure 2.** The base peak chromatograms of the studied plant extracts in 20% ethanol. The
394 peak numbers are described in the table 2.

395

396 **Figure 3.** The bacterial growth inhibition zone diameters (mm) of the plant infusions (1:20,
397 w/v) in 20% and 96% ethanol, against each bacteria, listed in the descending order of
398 summarized AB activities.

399

400 **Figure 4.** Correlations between characteristics of plant infusions in 20% and 96% ethanol
401 solutions. TPC-Anth.-Total polyphenol content minus anthocyanins' content, AB-antibacterial
402 activity ●-strong positive correlation, $r \geq 0.65$; ○-strong negative correlation, $r \leq -0.65$; ◐-
403 weak correlation, $r \sim 0$ to ± 0.4 ; ◑- moderate positive correlation, $r = 0.41$ to 0.64 ; ◒-moderate
404 negative correlation, $r = -0.64$ to -0.41

405

406

407 **Table 1.** Used media and incubation conditions for different bacteria

Bacterial culture	Agar-media	Incubation conditions
Gram negative		
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i> ATCC 33291	Columbia blood agar (Oxoid) + 5% lysed horse blood (Oxoid)	42 °C, 48 h, micro aerobic
<i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis ATCC 13076	Mueller Hinton agar (Oxoid)	37 °C, 48 h, aerobic
<i>Escherichia coli</i> NCCB 100282	Mueller Hinton agar (Oxoid)	37 °C, 48 h, aerobic
<i>Yersinia ruckeri</i> NCIM 13282	Plate-count agar (Difco), pH 6.5	30 °C, 24-26 h, aerobic
Gram positive		
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> ATCC 13929	Mueller Hinton agar (Oxoid)	37 °C, 48 h, aerobic
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> ATCC 11778	Iso-Sensitest Agar (Oxoid), pH 6 + 625 µg/l CAP	30 °C, 24-26 h, aerobic
<i>Kocuria rhizophila</i>	Iso-Sensitest Agar (Oxoid), pH 8	37 °C, 24-26 h, aerobic
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> BGA	Plate-count agar (Difco), pH 8	37 °C, 24-26 h, aerobic
<i>Bacillus pumilus</i> CV 607	DST-agar (Oxoid), pH 7	37 °C, 24-26 h, aerobic

408

409 Table 2. The qualitative composition of the studied plants' 20% ethanol extracts, analysed
 410 with negative and/or positive ion mode. The most potent antioxidative compounds
 411 (unpublished data) are marked in **bold**. The peak numbers are referring to the Fig. 2. *-the
 412 compound is better extractable with 96% ethanol compared to 20% ethanol.

Peak no.	The most abundant compounds in <i>Ribes nigrum</i> leaves	[M-H] ⁻ /fragments
1	Catechin gallate	305/179;219;261;137
2	Chlorogenic acid I	353/191;179
3	Dihydro ferulic acid rhamnoside	341/195;163;129
4	Chlorogenic acid II	353/191
5	Ferulic acid derivative	399/193;301
6	Coumaryl quinic acid	337/191
7	Coumaroylquinic acid pentoside	675/337;191
8	Myricetin-glucoside	479/317;179;151
9	Quercetin-3-rutinoside syn. Rutin	609/301
10	Quercetin glucoside	463/301
11	Quercetin acetylglucoside	505/301
12	Kaempferol rutinoside	593/285
13	Kaempferol-3-O-glucoside	447/285
14	Kaempferol acetylglucoside	489/285
15	Isorhamnetin acetylglucoside	519/315
16	Chrysophanol glucoside	415/373;355
17	Oxylipin	327/311;211;171
18	Oxylipin 9S,12S,13S-trihydroxy-10E-octadecenoic acid (9,12,13-TriHOME)	329/311;211;171
Peak no.	The most abundant compounds in <i>Rheum rhaponticum</i> roots	[M-H] ⁻ /fragments
1	Procyanidin B1	577/407;289
2	Catechin	289/245
3	Epicatechin	289/245
4	Piceatannol-O-glucoside 1	405/243
5	Resveratrol-O-glucoside	389/227
6	Piceatannol-O-glucoside 2	405/243
7	Piceid	389/227
8	Piceatannol	243/225
9	Rhapontigenin-O-glucoside 1	419/257
10	Rhapontigenin-O-glucoside 2	419/257
11	Rhapontigenin-O-glucoside 3	419/257
12*	Aloe-emodin-O-glucoside	431/269
13	Rhapontigenin	257/241
14*	Torachryson-O-glucoside	407/245
15*	Emodin-O-glucoside	431/269
16	Deoxyrhapontigenin-O-galloylglucoside	555/313;169
17*	Torachryson-O-acetylglucoside	449/245
18*	Chrysophanol-O-glucoside	415/253
19*	Rhein-O-glucoside	445/283
20*	Chrysophanol-O-acetylglucoside	457/253
21	Deoxyrhapontigenin	241/226
22	Resveratrol dimer	453/453

413

414

415 Table 2. continued.

Peak no.	The most abundant compounds in <i>Rheum rhaponticum</i> petioles	[M-H] ⁻ /fragments	[M+H] ⁺ /fragments
1	Citric acid	191/111;173	
2	Gallic acid	331/169	
3	Catechin	289/245	
4	Paracoumaric acid-glucoside	325/145	
5	Ferulic acid glucoside	355/193	
6	Epicatechin	289/245	
7	Myricetin glucuronide	493/317;179	
8	Cyanidin-3-O-glucoside		449/287
9	Cyanidin-3-O-rutinoside		595/287
10	Myricetin rutinoside	625/317	
11	Taxifolin glucoside	465/303;151	
12	Epigallocatechin gallate or gallocatechin gallate	441/289	
13	Myricetin-rhamnoside	463/317	
14	Rutin	609/301	
15	Quercetin glucuronide	477/301	
16	Quercetin rhamnoside	447/301	
17	Kaempferol rutinoside	593/285	
18	Phloridzin	435/273	
19	Myricetin glucoside glucuronide	479/316	
20	Deoxyrhapontin	403/241	
21	Quercetin glucoside	463/301	
22	9S,12S,13S-trihydroxy-10E-octadecenoic acid (9,12,13-TriHOME)	329/171;229	
Peak no.	The most abundant compounds in <i>Ribes nigrum</i> berries	[M-H] ⁻ /fragments	[M+H] ⁺ /fragments
1	Chlorogenic acid	353/191;179	
2	Caffeic acid-O-glucoside	345	
3	Coumaryl quinic acid	(341)/179;161	
4	Delphinidin-3-O-glucoside	337/191	465/303
5	Delphinidin-3-O-rutinoside		611/465;303
6	Cyanidin-3-O-glucoside		449/287
7	Cyanidin-3-O-rutinoside		595/287
8	Isorhamnetin-3-O-rutinoside		625/317
9	Myricetin-O-glucoside		481/319
10	Rutin	609/301	611/303
Peak no.	The most abundant compounds in <i>Aronia melanocarpa</i> berries	[M-H] ⁻ /fragments	[M+H] ⁺ /fragments
1	Chlorogenic acid I	353/191;179	355/163
2	Cyanidin3,5-di-O-glucoside		611/287
3	Chlorogenic acid II	353/191;179	355/163
4	Cyanidin-3-O-glucoside		449/287
5	Cyanidin-3-O- α -arabinopyranoside		419/287
6	Cyanidin-3-O- α -arabinopyranoside		419/287
7	Delphinidin-3-O-(2"-O- β -xylopyranosyl)- β -glycopyranoside		596/303
8	Eriodictyol-7-O- β -glucuronide		465/289
9	Rutin	609/301	611/303
10	Delphinidin-3-O-glucopyranoside		465/303
Peak	The most abundant compounds in <i>Lonicera caerulea</i> berries	[M-H] ⁻ /fragments	[M+H] ⁺ /fragments
1	Cyanidin3,5-di-O-glucoside		611/449;287
2	Cyanidin3,5-di-O-glucoside isomer		611/449;287
3	Chlorogenic acid	353/191;179	355/163
4	Cyanidin-3-O-glucoside		449/287
5	Cyanidin 3-O-rutinoside		595/287
6	Peonidin 3-O-glucoside		463/301
7	Quercetin O-rhamnoside-O-glucoside		609/463

416

Table 3. Antibacterial activity of plant infusions against Gram-positive bacteria (inhibition zones (mm) ± standard deviation)

Plant infusions	Conc. (w/v)	<i>B. cereus</i>		<i>B. pumilus</i>		<i>B. subtilis</i>		<i>K. rhizophila</i>		<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	
		A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B
The dark roots of rhubarb 303	1:20	16	15.5±2.1	11*	13.5±0.7	11	14	11	13.5±0.7	9	11.5±0.7
	1:40	15	12.5±0.7	8*	11.5±0.7	-	12±3	10	11±2	7	10
	1:80	11	11.5±0.7	8*	11.5±2.1	-	9±1.4	-	9±2	-	8.5±0.7
	1:160	10	9	-	9	-	8	-	9*	-	8
Petioles of rhubarb 303	1:20	11.5±0.71	12	-	9*	-	10	9	10	-	12
	1:40	10	9.5±0.7	-	8*	-	10*	-	9*	-	10
	1:80	10	10	-	7*	-	-	-	-	-	8.5±0.7
	1:160	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
The pale roots of rhubarb 'Ogres'	1:20	10	10	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	12
	1:40	8	9	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	10
	1:80	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
	1:160	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Petioles of light rhubarb 'Ogres'	1:20	10	11	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	8
	1:40	8.5±0.7	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
	1:80	7.5±0.7	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
	1:160	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Roots of rhubarb 'Victoria'	1:20	13	14	9	11	9	13*	10*	12*	-	12
	1:40	12	12	8	9	9*	11*	8*	9*±2	-	8
	1:80	8	11	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	7
	1:160	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
Petioles of rhubarb 'Victoria'	1:20	12	10	-	11*	-	-	-	-	-	11±2
	1:40	9	9	-	11*	-	-	-	-	-	9
	1:80	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
	1:160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
Berries of black currant 'Ben Alder'	1:20	12	10*	-	10±2	-	9	8±2*	9*	-	11±2
	1:40	10	9*	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	7
	1:80	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1:160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Leaves of black currant 'Pamjati Vavilova'	1:20	14	10.5±0.7	8*	-	-	8*	-	-	-	10
	1:40	13	9	7*	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
	1:80	10	8	6*	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
	1:160	8	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
Berries of black chokeberry	1:20	10	12	-	10*	-	-	-	12*	-	10.5±0.7
	1:40	8	10	-	10*	-	-	-	11*	-	8
	1:80	7	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
	1:160	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
Berries of blue honeysuckle 'Tomitška'	1:20	10*	10	-	9*	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1:40	-	8	-	8*	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1:80	-	7	-	7*	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1:160	-	-	-	7*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Control (-)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Control (+)		29±2		28.5±3		33±2		37.5±0.7		26.5±2	

- No visible growth detected; *bacteriostatic effect was detected; A –20% ethanol-plant infusion; B –96% ethanol-plant infusion

Table 4. Antibacterial activity of plant infusions against Gram-negative bacteria (inhibition zones (mm) ± standard deviation)

Plant infusions	Conc. (w/v)	<i>C. jejuni</i>		<i>S. Enteritidis</i>		<i>E. coli</i>		<i>Y. ruckeri</i>	
		A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B
The dark roots of rhubarb 303	1:20	-	18±3	10*	11.5±0.7	10*	11±1.4	8	9*
	1:40	-	13.5±0.7	-	10	-	9	7	8*
	1:80	-	12	-	8	-	8	-	-
	1:160	-	10	-	7	-	7	-	-
Petioles of rhubarb 303	1:20	-	12	-	11±2	-	11.5±0.7	17	16±2
	1:40	-	11	-	9.5±0.7	-	9	14	12
	1:80	-	10	-	9	-	8	9	9
	1:160	-	8	-	8	-	7.5±0.7	-	-
The pale roots of rhubarb 'Ogres'	1:20	-	16	-	12	-	12	-	12
	1:40	-	15	-	10	-	10	-	10
	1:80	-	14	-	-	-	-	-	8
	1:160	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-
Petioles of rhubarb 'Ogres'	1:20	-	12	-	12*	-	10	10*	14
	1:40	-	11	-	9*	-	9	8*	9
	1:80	-	-	-	8*	-	7	-	-
	1:160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Roots of rhubarb 'Victoria'	1:20	-	18±2	8	11.5±0.7	8	11.5±0.7	14	9
	1:40	-	15	6	10	-	10±2	10	8*
	1:80	-	13	-	9	-	9	-	-
	1:160	-	10	-	8	-	8	-	-
Petioles of rhubarb 'Victoria'	1:20	-	8	-	9	-	9	13±3	8
	1:40	-	7	-	8	-	8	-	-
	1:80	-	-	-	7	-	7	-	-
	1:160	-	-	-	7	-	7	-	-
Berries of black currant 'Ben Alder'	1:20	-	15±2	-	10	-	10	10	12±2
	1:40	-	13±2	-	9	-	9	8*	9
	1:80	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1:160	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
Leaves of black currant 'Pamjati Vavilova'	1:20	-	13	-	9.5±0.7	-	11	12*	10*
	1:40	-	11	-	9	-	10	8*	8*
	1:80	-	10	-	8	-	9	-	-
	1:160	-	9	-	8	-	8	-	-
Berries of black chokeberry	1:20	10	15	-	9±2	-	11	-	8*
	1:40	8	12	-	7	-	11	-	-
	1:80	-	10	-	7	-	11	-	-
	1:160	-	8	-	6	-	8	-	-
Berries of blue honeysuckle 'Tomitška'	1:20	15	14±2	-	9	-	8.5±0.5	9*	10
	1:40	10	13	-	7	-	7	7*	7
	1:80	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1:160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Control (-)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Control (+)			40±2		31.5±2.1		26±2		34±4

-No visible growth detected; *bacteriostatic effect was detected; A –20% ethanol-plant infusion; B –96% ethanol-plant infusion