
REVIEW



Andrés Coca-Pelaz () · Antti A. Mäkitie () · Primož Strojan · June Corry · Avraham Eisbruch · Jonathan J. Beitler · Sandra Nuyts · Robert Smee · Johannes A. Langendijk · William M. Mendenhall · Cesare Piazza · Alessandra Rinaldo () · Alfio Ferlito ()

Received: October 6, 2020 / Accepted: October 28, 2020 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}$ The Author(s) 2020

ABSTRACT

Introduction: As a result of the increased use of radiotherapy (RT) and improved long-term overall survival of patients with cancers of the head and neck (HN), the frequency of radiation-induced sarcomas of the head and neck (RISHN) may be increasing. The main objective of this systematic review was to determine the existing

This article was written by members of the International Head and Neck Scientific Group (www.IHNSG.com).

A. Coca-Pelaz (🖂)

Department of Otolaryngology, Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias-University of Oviedo, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Principado de Asturias, IUOPA, CIBERONC, Oviedo, Asturias, Spain e-mail: acocapelaz@yahoo.es

A. A. Mäkitie

Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

P. Strojan

Department of Radiation Oncology, Institute of Oncology, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

J. Corry

Division Radiation Oncology, Department of Medicine, St. Vincent's Hospital/The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia evidence on the frequency, treatment, and outcome of RISHN.

Methods: Using PRISMA guidelines we conducted a systematic review of the literature published from 2000 to 2020.

Results: Our review includes data of 560 patients from 64 articles. The total frequency of RISHNs among the reviewed series was 0.15%. The most frequent location of the primary tumor treated by RT was the nasopharynx. The mean RT dose used was 62 Gy, mean latency interval between irradiation and occurrence of RISHN was 11.1 years, and the most common RISHN location was the sinonasal region.

A. Eisbruch

Department of Radiation Oncology, University of MI Hospitals–Michigan Medicine, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

J. J. Beitler Departments of Radiation Oncology, Otolaryngology and Medical Oncology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

S. Nuyts

Department of Oncology, Radiation-Oncology, KU Leuven, University of Leuven, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

R. Smee Department of Radiation Oncology, The Prince of Wales Cancer Centre, Sydney, NSW, Australia

J. A. Langendijk

Department of Radiation Oncology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands



Osteosarcoma was the principal histology, followed by fibrosarcoma. Surgery was the most frequently applied treatment modality. Of all patients with RISHN, 40.7% died of this disease after a mean interval of 13.9 months.

Conclusions: Notwithstanding the increased use of RT, the number of reported RISHNs has not increased substantially during the past two decades. Surgery with wide margins forms the best therapeutic option for these cases, but the outcome remains poor.

Keywords: Head and neck; Radiation-induced; Radiotherapy; Sarcoma; Surgical treatment

Key Summary Points

Radiation-induced sarcomas of the head and neck (RISHN) are a rare but lethal complication of radiotherapy.

The total frequency among the reviewed series was 0.15%.

The mean latency interval between irradiation and occurrence of RISHN was 11.1 years and the most common RISHN location was the sinonasal region.

Osteosarcoma was the principal histology, followed by fibrosarcoma. Surgery was the most frequently applied treatment modality.

Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL, USA

C. Piazza

A. Rinaldo

University of Udine School of Medicine, Udine, Italy

A. Ferlito

Coordinator of the International Head and Neck Scientific Group, Padua, Italy Despite the increased use of radiotherapy, RISHNs have not increased substantially during the past two decades. Surgery forms the best therapeutic option, but the outcome remains poor.

DIGITAL FEATURES

This article is published with digital features, including a summary slide, to facilitate understanding of the article. To view digital features for this article go to https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13143554.

INTRODUCTION

Radiation-induced sarcomas of the head and neck (RISHNs) pose a challenging entity in head and neck (HN) oncology. This tumor appears in irradiated tissues of the HN without any subsite predilection [1]. The median latency after radiotherapy (RT) is reported to be 10-12 years [2]. The clinical features of RISHNs can be similar to other more common RT complications, like radionecrosis, which makes their diagnosis difficult in early stages, but sometimes symptoms are related to the region where the tumor appears: diplopia, neck mass, jaw numbness, or asymmetry in the HN region [3, 4]. The diagnostic criteria originally proposed by Cahan and Woodard [5] and modified by Murray et al. [6] are still frequently used and include (1) the tumor arises in a field that has been previously irradiated, (2) there is evidence that the first tumor differs histologically from the subsequent one, (3) there was no evidence of the new tumor at the time of RT, and (4) the new tumor developed after a latency period following RT.

RT has become one of the cornerstones in the treatment of HN cancer, paralleling an increase in long-term patient survival [7]. RISHNs are rare tumors, but the population growth, increased access to and use of RT, the increasing survival numbers, and advances in diagnostics have in turn been accompanied by an obvious increase in the frequency of RISHNs. In the study by Wei et al., the incidence of

W. M. Mendenhall

Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Maxillofacial and Thyroid Surgery, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy

RISHN increased from 0.06% to 0.17% from 1960 to 2010 at their institution [8].

The most common histologic subtypes are osteosarcoma, undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma, and fibrosarcoma [9]. They do not show any subsite predilection and can arise in any irradiated tissue of mesenchymal origin within the HN, with most of these tumors being of high grade [6].

Management of RISHNs remains controversial and all treatment modalities have been applied: surgery and chemotherapy, but also re-irradiation and a variety of combination of these modalities [8]. The overall prognosis is still poor with a 5-year overall survival rate varying between 24.2% and 38.2% [4, 10–12].

The main objective of this systematic review was to determine the existing evidence on the frequency, treatment, and outcome of RISHN.

METHODS

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) method was used to analyze the current literature [13]. The search strategy aimed to include articles concerning the development of RISHNs in patients treated with RT. A PubMed search updated to July 24, 2020 was performed for publications in English between 2000 and 2020 using the following search criteria in the title or abstract: "head and neck" coupled with "radiation induced sarcomas", "radiation associated sarcomas", and "radiation induced malignancy". The search results were reviewed for potentially eligible studies. When there was any information in the abstract about the study addressing RISHNs, the full text article was searched. All review articles were also screened to identify reports on these patients. References from any full text articles were cross-checked to ensure inclusion of all relevant publications (Fig. 1). Studies were selected if they met the




Fig. 1 Flowchart showing the study selection process for our systematic review

following inclusion criteria: (a) patients treated with RT to their primary tumor, (b) radiationinduced sarcoma developed in the HN area, and (c) histological confirmation of the sarcoma has been obtained. Studies in which the RISHNs were analyzed together with non-HN radiationinduced sarcomas were excluded.

The statistical analysis was performed with SPSS statistical software (IBM SPSS 19.0 Statistical Software package). The Spearman's rho correlation coefficient was used for comparison between the age of the patients and the latency period to development of the sarcoma. In addition, differences between mean latency times by age group were analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) test. *P* values of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant.

This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

RESULTS

Our search criteria identified 3299 papers and, after removal of duplicates and those that did not meet our inclusion criteria. 64 were selected for data review as summarized in Table 1 [1, 3, 4, 8, 10-12, 14-70]. Most papers were excluded because they involved radiation-induced sarcomas outside the HN area. Our review includes 560 patients with 561 RISHNs (one patient had two simultaneous tumors) from 64 articles during a period of 20 years (2000–2020). Some of the parameters addressed were not available in all studies. There were 363 men and 179 women (male-to-female ratio, 2:1). The most frequently reported location of the primarily treated tumor was the nasopharynx (368 cases, or 65.7%), followed by the sinonasal region (21 cases, or 3.7%), central nervous system (19 cases, or 3.4%), eve (14 cases, or 2.5%), and larynx (13 cases, or 2.3%). The mean RT dose prescribed to the primary tumor was 62 Gy (range 36–101). RISHNs most frequently appeared in the sinonasal region (33.7%), followed by the mandible and the neck (15.9% and 13.4%, respectively). The most common histologies were osteosarcoma (35.5%) and fibrosarcoma (23%). The median age at diagnosis of RISHN was 52 years (interquartile range 21.5) and the mean latency between the initial RT treatment and the diagnosis of RISHN was 11.1 years (range 1.3–38). The mean age at the treatment of the primary tumor was 43.1 years (range 2-81), but still only 37.5% of the patients had a mean age of less than 40 years. Most of the patients (62.5%) in our review had a mean age of 40 years or older when they were treated for the primary tumor with RT. This leads us to think that RISHNs are less frequent in young patients who are treated with RT. In order to investigate if age at the time of the primary RT treatment is related to latency time, we analyzed these two groups separately, i.e., patients under 40 years old and patients older than 40 years. In the group of patients under 40 years of age, the mean age was 26 years (range 2–38.6) with a mean latency until diagnosis of the sarcoma of 14.1 years (range 2.3-38). On the other hand, in the group of patients aged older than 40 years, the mean age was 55.1 years (range 40-81) and a mean latency until appearance of the sarcoma of 9.6 years (range 1.3-22).

The Spearman's rho correlation coefficient was used for comparison between these two groups. The result was that there is an inverse correlation ($\rho = -0.423$) between age at the primary RT treatment and latency time, and this result was statistically significant (P = 0.001). So, the older the patient, the shorter the latency period. Differences between mean latency times in these two age groups were analyzed using the ANOVA test, and the result was that the latency time is greater in patients under 40 years of age and the difference is statistically significant (P = 0.01). Therefore, older patients treated with RT develop the sarcoma sooner after treatment than younger patients.

The most frequently used treatment modality was surgery (45.1%), and less commonly multimodal treatment (24.1%). Chemotherapy or RT alone were used less often (8.9% and 5.2%, respectively) and these modalities were mostly applied as palliative treatment. A total number of 228 patients died of the RISHN (40.7%) after a mean time of 13.9 months

Author Year [Ref]	Number of	Primary tumor	Mean RT	RISHN					
	cases (sex)	location	dose (Gy)	Location	Histology	Mean age (years)	Mean latency period (years)	Treatment	Outcome (months)
Amirjamshidi and Abbassioun 2000 [14]	1 (F)	CNS	54	Skull base	CS	15	2.3	MT	DOD (3)
King et al. 2000 [15]	4 (3M/1NA)	4 NP	61	2 Oral	2 AS	47.8	7.8	3 S	4 NA
				Sinonasal	2 NOS			MT	
				Ear/oral	OS			NA	
Lagrange et al. 2000 [16] 16 (10M/6F)	16 (10M/6F)	6 NA	54.1	4 Neck	5 UPS	60	18.2	6 MT	9 A (38.9)
		2 Neck		3 Sinonasal	4 OS			7 S	7 DOD (16.4)
		2 Facial		3 Oral	2 FS			2 ReT	
		2 Sinonasal		2 Scalp	2 AFX			C	
		2 Scalp		Skull	SON				
		CNS		Lip	AS				
		Lip		Mandible	LS				
				Facial					
Galera-Ruiz et al. 2001 [17]	1 (F)	Sinonasal	50.4	Sinonasal	SO	13	8	MT	A (60)
Grady et al. 2002 [18]	1 (F)	Lip	70	Mandible	AS	87	10	ReT	NA
Guney et al. 2002 [19]	1 (M)	Larynx	NA	Larynx	SdD	63	16	S	A (22)
Johns et al. 2002 [20]	3 (1M/2F)	2 Larynx	69	2 Larynx	LS	64.7	4.3	3 S	A (30)
		Facial		Mandible	UPS ∆ S				2 DOD (10)
Malard et al. 2002 [21]	4 (4M)	Tonsil	70.5	2 Oral	4 NOS	49.3	8.3	4 S	4 A (22)
		Hypopharynx		Pharynx					
		CUP		NP					
		Larynx							
Osipov et al. 2002 [22]	1 (M)	CNS	54	Cranium	SO	52	11	MT	DOD (10)
Demirkan et al. 2003	2 (1M/1F)	Eye	49	Sinonasal	2 LS	37.5	14	2 MT	A (36)
[67]		Thyroid		Neck					DOD (19)
Matsuyama et al. 2003	1 (M)	Sinonasal	52.5	Craniofacial	SO	67	3	MT	A (21)

Author Year [Ref]	Number of	Primary tumor		RISHN					
	cases (sex)	location	dose (Gy)	Location	Histology	Mean age (years)	Mean latency period (years)	Treatment	Outcome (months)
Wiesmiller et al. 2003 [25]	1 (M)	Tongue	50	Oral	Squ	62	5.5	S	D (6)
Mohammadianpanah et al. 2004 [26]	1 (F)	Tonsil	50	Sinonasal	CS	73	7	MT	DOD (8)
Sale et al. 2004 [27]	6 (6NA)	2 Ear	58	3 Sinonasal	3 LS	51	12.4	5 S	2 A (54)
		2 Eye		Cranium	SO			NA	2 AD (54)
		Sinonasal		Scalp	RS				DOD (48)
		Lip		Oral	SON				NA
Sedghizadeh et al. 2004 [28]	1 (M)	Eye	NA	Sinonasal	LS	30	28	S	A (36)
Maghami et al. 2005 [29]	1 (M)	Tonsil	NA	Mandible	SO	82	10	S	NA
Valentí et al. 2005 [30]	1 (M)	Larynx	62	Mandible	SO	56	7	S	DOD (15)
Kasthoori and Wastie 2006 [31]	1 (F)	NP	NA	Sinonasal	SO	44	14	C	NA
McHugh et al. 2006 [32] 6 (5M/1F)	6 (5M/1F)	2 Eye	NA	3 Sinonasal	9 OS	45.8	18.2	6 NA	A (48)
		Oral		2 Mandible					2 AD (48)
		Larynx		Oropharynx					3 DOD (21.3)
		Sinonasal							
		Parotid							
Pfeiffer et al. 2006 [33]	1 (M)	Tonsil	101	Oropharynx	LS	51	38	S	A (29)
Seethala et al. 2006 [34]	1 (M)	Neck	36	Mandible	SO	45	6	МТ	DOD (7)
Huber et al. 2007 [35]	9 (7M/2F)	3 Sinonasal	44.1	4 Sinonasal	2 CaS	73	13	8 S	2 A (83)
		2 NP		Oral	4 UPS			$1 \mathrm{MT}$	AD (28)
		Larynx		Larynx	2 DF				4 DOD (12.5)
		2 Ear		Preauricular	FS				2 D (54)
		Preauricular		Parotid					
				Ear					

Table 1 continued									
Author Year [Ref]	Number of	Primary tumor		RISHN					
	cases (sex)	location	dose (Gy)	Location	Histology	Mean age (years)	Mean latency period (years)	Treatment	Outcome (months)
Makimoto et al. 2007	5 (3M/2F)	Sinonasal	64.8	3 Mandible	CS	65.6	12.6	3 S	2 A (57)
[36]		NP		Sinonasal	NF			2 ReT	3 DOD (11.7)
		2 Oral		Skull base	SON				
		Tongue			OS				
					Squ				
Sadri and Yazdi 2007 [37]	1 (M)	Sinonasal	NA	Sinonasal	NPS	42	17	S	DOD (6)
Chen et al. 2008 [38]	4 (3M/1F)	3 Oral	70.3	4 Oral	RS	62	2.6	4 MT	4 DOD (5.5)
		Tongue			FS				
					LS				
					SON				
Franco Gutiérrez et al.	5 (4M/1F)	3 Larynx	62.6	2 Larynx	3 UPS	54.6	13.2	2 S	2 A (60)
2008 [39]		Sinonasal		2 Sinonasal	OS			3 MT	3 DOD (14.7)
		NP		Parotid	AS				
Alessandrini et al. 2009 [40]	1 (M)	Larynx	45	Larynx	Squ	20	S	S	DOD (8)
Khan et al. 2009 [41]	1 (M)	Eye	40	Sinonasal	SCS	24	21	S	NA
Tovar Martín et al. 2009 [42]	1 (M)	Larynx	20	Larynx	Squ	83	2	S	NA
Karakoca et al. 2010 [43] 1 (M)	1 (M)	Facial	NA	Facial	LS	53	3	S	A (12)
Shao et al. 2010 [44]	7 (7M)	5 NP	71.4	4 Sinonasal	7 OS	38.4	8.6	7 S	6 A (13.2)
		Sinonasal		3 Mandible					NA
		Tonsil							

Author Year [Ref]	Number of	Primary tumor Mean RT	Mean RT	RISHN					
	cases (sex)	location	dose (Gy)	Location	Histology	Mean age (years)	Mean latency period (years)	Treatment Outcome (months)	Outcome (months)
Xi et al. 2010 [10]	53 (40M/ 13F)	53 NP	68	24 Sinonasal 12 Mach	22 FS 12 OS	50	9.3	31 S 9 MT	8 A (21.2) 5 AD
					14 00				
				10 Oral	7 UPS			9 C	33
				3 Mandible	2 RS			4 ReT	DOD
				Ear	4 NF				3 D
				2 Facial	2 AS				4 NA
				Meningeal	CaS				
)	CS				
					2 NOS				
Miyoshi et al. 2011 [45]	1 (M)	Tongue	60	Tongue	LS	63	1.6	MT	A (4)
Patel et al. 2011 [46]	16 (9M/7F)	7 CNS	53.4	9 Skull base	16 OS	35.2	12.5	16 MT	4 A (35.8)
		3 Sinonasal		7 Cranium					12 D (36.8)
		3 Eye							
		2 Skull base							
		Leukemia							
Satomi et al. 2011 [47]	1 (F)	Sinonasal	70	Sinonasal	NPS	62	20	MT	DOD (19)
Adachi et al. 2012 [48]	1 (F)	Mandible	74	Mandible	FS	58	20	C	DOD (3)
Azevedo et al. 2012 [49]	1 (M)	Tonsil	70	Tongue	LS	71	22	S	D (34)
Brusić et al. 2012 [50]	1 (F)	Parotid	54	Mastoid	OS	75	12	ReT	NA
Chan et al. 2012 [11]	25 (24M/1F)	25 NP	66.8	8 Neck	8 OS	50.6	12.4	9 S	25 NA
				6 Mandible	6 RS			14 MT	
				4 Sinonasal	9 NOS			2 RT	
				4 Oral	4 UPS				
				3 NP	LS				

스 Adis

Author Var [kd]Number of locationPrimary tunot dec (cd)Man (kd)Man (kd)Man (kd)Man (kd)Debuan et al. 2012 [4]21 (13M (8F)3 Stannal5438 Skull base15 CS51127C2 D2 bebuan et al. 2012 [4]21 (13M (8F)3 Stannal5438 Skull base15 CS511276 C2 D2 bebuan et al. 2012 [4]2 1 (13M (8F)3 Stannal5438 Skull base15 CS511276 C2 D1 bebuan et al. 2012 [4]2 Coal2 Coal2 Coal2 Coal2 Coal2 Coal2 Coal10 (7 (56))1 p1 p1 p1 p2 Coal2 Coal2 Coal2 Coal2 Coal2 Coal2 Coal2 Coal1 p1 p2 Coal2 Coal1 p2 Coal2 Coal1 p2 Coal2 Coal1 p2 Coal2 p2 Coal2 C	Table 1 continued									
cases (sc) Decicion does (Gy) Location Histology Mean age (parts) Mean latency Teatment 21 (13M/8F) 3 Shomaal 54.3 8 Skull base 5 OS 45.1 12.7 6 C 2 Skull base 5 Amarible 4 SCS 45.1 12.7 6 C 2 Skull base 6 Amarible 4 SCS 45.1 2 NA 7 MT Nep Nep 2 Oral 2 UPS 7 MT 7 MT Nep 2 Oral 2 Oral 2 NA 8.8 4.8 2 NA 1 aynx 2 Coral 2 Na 2 Na 3 CN 2 NA 5 NA 3 CNS NA Meninged FS 36 NA 5 S 1 (M) CNS NA Meninged FS 5 S 1 (M) CNS NA Meninged FS 5 S 1 (M) CNS NA Meninged FS 5 S 1 (M) Sudl base NA FS 5 NA	Author Year [Ref]	Number of	Primary tumor	Mean RT	RISHN					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		cases (sex)	location	dose (Gy)	Location	Histology		Mean latency period (years)	Treatment	Outcome (months)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Debnam et al. 2012 [4]	21 (13M/8F)	3 Sinonasal	54.3	8 Skull base	15 OS	45.1	12.7	6 C	2 D
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2 Skull base		6 Mandible	4 SCS			6 S	9 DOD (12.3)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2 Oral		4 Sinonasal	2 UPS			7 MT	10 A (26.5)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Neck		2 Oral				2 NA	
Lip Laynx Lip Laynx 2 Parotid 2 Parotid 3 Eyc 3 Eyc 3 CNS 3 CNS 3 CNS 3 CNS 3 CNS 3 CNS 3 CNS 1 (N) CNS NA 17 (11M/GF) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 5 S 17 (11M/GF) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 5 S 17 (11M/GF) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 5 S 17 (11M/GF) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 5 S 17 (11M/GF) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 2 NOS 5 NA 17 (11M/GF) 16 NP 76.8 1 UPS 2 NA 2 NA 18 Madible 2 NOS 2 NOS 2 NA 2 NA 20(30M/ 59 NP 66 3 Ons 5 S 5 NA 20(30M/ 59 NP 66 3 Sinonasal 2 NOS 5 NA 20(30M/			NP		Neck					
Layux Layux 2 Parotid 3 Eye 3 Eye 3 CNS 3 CNS 3 CNS 2 Tongue 3 CNS 1 (M) CNS NA Meningeal FS 36 NA S 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonaal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 5 S 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonaal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 5 S 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonaal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 5 S 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonaal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 5 S 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonaal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 5 S 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 2 NOS 2 NOS 2 NOS 2 NOS 2 NOS 10 (M) Shull base 10 UPS 10 UPS 2 S 2 S 5 S 5 S 20F) 5 NOS 9 O 9 9 9 9 5 S 5 NOA 20F)			Lip							
2 Parotid 3 Eyc 3 Eyc 3 CNS 3 CNS 2 Tongue 2 Tongue 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 7 Oral 2 TS 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 7 Npe 2 RS 7 Npe 2 RS 7 Npe 2 RS 7 Npe 2 RS 7 Npe 2 NS 7 Npe 2 NS 9 Oral 2 LS 1 (M) Sull base 1 (M) Sull base 1 (M) Sull base 2 Oral 2 S 2 NOS 9 9 (39W) 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 2 Oral 10 UPS 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 0 10 UPS			Larynx							
3 Fyc 3 CNS 5 Trongue 3 Eyc 1 (M) CNS NA Meningeal FS 36 NA 5 2 Trongue 2 Trongue 6 NA 88 5 5 5 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 88 5 5 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 88 5 5 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 88 5 5 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 88 5 8 3 Oral 2 IS 2 NOS 2 NOS 2 NOS 2 NOS 2 NOS 1 (M) Skull base L3 2 S 59 9 9 5 2075) 59 NP 66 37 Sinonsaal 2 FS 49 9 5 208 39 NP 66 37 Sinonsaal 2 FS 49 9 5 201 10 UPS Coral NF 6a 5 5 5			2 Parotid							
3 CNS 3 CNS 2 Tongue 2 Tongue 2 Tongue 8 Sinonasal 6 Sinonasal 8 Sinonasal 5 Sinonasal 5 Sinonasal 5 Sinonasal 6 Sinonasal 6 Sinonasal 5 Sinonasal			3 Eye							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			3 CNS							
1 (M) CNS NA Meningal FS 36 NA 5 17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 5 S Tongue 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 5 S Tongue 7 3 NP 2 RS 3 9 4 KT Neck 4 UPS 3 Oral 2 LS 2 NA 4 KT Neck 4 UPS 2 NOS 9 9 5 8 1 (M) Skull base NA Skull base LS 9 9 5 59 (39M/ 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 5 5 20F) 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 5 5 20F) Sault base LS 10 UPS 5 5 5 5 20F A NF Sault base 10 UPS 5 5 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>2 Tongue</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			2 Tongue							
17 (11M/6F) 16 NP 76.8 6 Sinonasal 6 OS 46.6 8.8 5 S Tongue 2 3 NP 2 RS 4 Mandible 5 S 4 RT 4 RT Tongue 3 NP 2 RS 3 NP 2 RS 6 MT 3 NP 2 RS 3 Oral 2 LS 2 NA 1 (M) Sull base 4 UPS 2 NOS 2 NA 2 NOS Syll base LS 9 9 5 NA 2 (M) Syll base LS 5 NOS 9 5 NA 2 (M) Syll base LS S 9 9 5 NA 2 (M) Syll base LS S 9 9 5 NA 2 (M) Syll base LS S 9 9 5 NA 2 (M) Syll base LS S 9 9 5 NA 2 (M) Syll base LS S 9 5 NA 2 (M) S S S S 5 NA 2 (M) S S S S S	Kunert et al. 2012 [51]	1 (M)	CNS	NA	Meningeal	FS	36	NA	S	DOD (10)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wei et al. 2012 [8]	17 (11M/6F)	16 NP	76.8	6 Sinonasal	SO 9	46.6	8.8	5 S	7 A (20)
3 NP 2 R5 4 RT 3 Oral 2 L5 2 NA 3 Oral 2 L5 2 NA 1 (M) Sull base N 5 NI 1 (M) Sull base NA 5 NI 2 NOS 2 NOS 2 NOS 2 NOS 2 NOS 2 NOS 59 (39M/ 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 59 (39M/ 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 50 (39M/ 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 0ral 18 Mandible 18 NS NF 59 NA 59 NA 20F) 0ral NF 0ral NF 56 NS 59 NA 20F) 58 NI base CaS 70 NS 50 NS 50 NS 10 NF NF NF NF CaS 50 NS 10 NS NS <			Tongue		4 Mandible	CS			6 MT	8 DOD (14.6)
3 Oral 2 LS 2 NA Neck 4 UPS 2 NO 2 NOS 2 NOS 2 NOS 1 (M) Skull base NA Skull base LS 59 9 59 (39M/ 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 59 (39M/ 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 59 (39M/ 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 67 10 UPS NF 0 50 NA 7 0.ral NF 0 50 NA 7 0.ral NF 5 50 NA 7 0.ral NF 5 5 7 0.ral NF 5 5 8 0.ral 10 UPS 5 5 9 0.ral 0.ral 1 5 10 10 1					3 NP	2 RS			4 RT	2 NA
NickH UPS1 (M)Skull baseNA2 NOS2 NOS59 (39M/59 NP6637 Sinonasal26 FS4920F)6637 Sinonasal20F)6637 Sinonasal20F)6737 Sinonasal20F)6837 Sinonasal20F)6999 (10 UPS20F)0ralNFNF0ralNOSNOS0ralNOS0raNOS <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3 Oral</td> <td>2 LS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2 NA</td> <td></td>					3 Oral	2 LS			2 NA	
1 (M) Skull base NA Skull base LS 59 9 S 59 (39M) 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 7 18 Mandible 18 OS 18 OS 10 UPS 50 NA 20F) 7 7 18 Na 10 UPS 50 NA 50 NA 7 7 18 Na 10 UPS 50 NA 50 NA 50 NA 7 7 10 UPS 5 5 5 5 7 0 10 UPS 5 5 5 5 7 0 0 5 5 5 5 8 0 0 0 5 5 5 7 0 0 0 5 5 5 8 0 0 0 5 5					Neck	4 UPS				
1 (M) Skull base NA Skull base LS 59 9 S 59 (39M/ 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 18 Mandible 18 OS 18 OS 18 OS 18 OS 59 NA 20F) 0 7 18 Mandible 18 OS 59 NA 59 NA 20F) 0 7 18 Mandible 18 OS 50 NA 10 UPS 0 0 0 0 50 NA 0 1 NF 0 0 50 NA 10 UPS 0 0 0 0 0 50 NA 10 UPS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 UPS 0 <						2 NOS				
59 (39M/ 59 NP 66 37 Sinonasal 26 FS 49 9 59 NA 20F) 18 Mandible 18 OS 18 OS 18 OS 18 OS 10 UPS Preauricular 10 UPS 0 18 OS 10 UPS	Bingol et al. 2013 [52]	1 (M)	Skull base	NA	Skull base (free flap)	ΓS	59	6	S	A (24)
18 Mandible Preauricular Oral 2 Skull base	Cai et al. 2013 [53]	59 (39M/	59 NP	66	37 Sinonasal	26 FS	49	9	59 NA	59 NA
ıricular 111 base		20F)			18 Mandible	18 OS				
ıll base					Preauricular	10 UPS				
					Oral	NF				
CS NOS LgMS					2 Skull base	CaS				
SNOS LgMS						CS				
LgMS						SON				
						LgMS				

Author Year [Ref]	Number of	Primary tumor		RISHN					
	cases (sex)	location	dose (Gy)	Location	Histology	Mean age (years)	Mean latency period (years)	Treatment	Outcome (months)
Santos Gorjón et al. 2013 1 (M) [54]	1 (M)	NP	99	Neck	LS	67	20	MT	A (35)
Yeang et al. 2013 [12]	23 (13M/	23 NA	NA	9 Sinonasal	5 OS	50.9	16.7	6 S	23 NA
	10F)			5 NP	NPS			14 MT	
				3 Oral	3 FS			2 C	
				2 Skin	LS			ReT	
				2 Neck	2 SN				
				Hypopharynx	SS				
				NA	10 NOS				
Davies et al. 2014 [55]	2 (1M/1F)	Eye	NA	Sinonasal	2 CS	21.7	18.5	2 MT	DOD (24)
		Skull base		Skull base					NA
Sabater-Marco et al. 2014 1 (M) [56]	1 (M)	Oral	70	Neck	RS	65	6	S	A (18)
Song et al. 2014 [57]	8 (3M/5F)	3 NP	NA	2 Mandible	3 OS	42	7.5	5 MT	8 NA
		2 CNS		2 Neck	4 UPS			3 RT	
		Sinonasal		Cranium	FS				
		Parotid		Sinonasal					
		Tonsil		NP					
				Oral					
Zhang et al. 2014 [58]	1 (F)	CNS	60	Cranium	NPS	51	8	S	A (6)
Chung et al. 2015 [59]	17 (10M/7F)	16 NP	68.8	6 Neck	11 NOS	NA	13.2	6 S	4 A
		Tongue		5 Sinonasal	4 OS			3 C	4 AD
				2 NP	LS			3 RT	9 DOD
				2 Mandible	LgMS			5 MT	
				Oral					
				Skull base					
Wood et al. 2015 [60]	1 (F)	Neck	70	Mandible	OS	72	6	S	AD (7)
Haidar and Rose 2016 [61]	1 (M)	Tongue	68.4	Neck	CaS	60	5	RT	DOD (48)

Author Year [Ref]	Number of	Primary tumor Mean RT	Mean RT	RISHN					
	cases (sex)	location	dose (Gy)	Location	Histology Mean age (years)	Mean age (years)	Mean latency period (years)	Treatment	Outcome (months)
Kim et al. 2016 [62]	4 (2M/2F)	2 NP	63.7	NA	2 OS	53.2	12.2	$4 \mathrm{MT}$	2 AD (17)
		CNS			2 UPS				A (120)
		Parotid							А
Liao et al. 2016 [63]	45 (33M/ 12F)	45 NP	68	33 Sinonasal	45 OS	49	8	30 S	45 DOD
	(171			12 Mandible				9 MT	
								6 C	
Marchitto et al. 2016 [64]	1 (M)	Oral	66.6	Oral	SON	80	1.3	S	A (15)
Zhu et al. 2016 [3]	15 (7M/8F)	14 NP	69	9 Mandible	7 FS	43	10	12 S	5 A (47)
		1 Mandible		6 Sinonasal	7 OS			3 MT	3 NA
					SdD				5 DOD (11)
									AD (8)
									D (11)
Rosko et al. 2017 [65]	25 (10M/	25 NA	NA	25 NA	2 AS	51.5	NA	22 S	4 A
	15F)				CS			3 NA	10 DOD
					6 LS				3 D
					3 OS				8 NA
					RS				
					4 NOS				
					6 UPS				
					2 NA				

Author Year [Ref]	Number of	Primary tumor Mean RT	Mean RT	RISHN					
	cases (sex)	location	dose (Gy)	Location	Histology	Mean age (years)	Mean latency period (years)	Treatment Outcome (months)	Outcome (months)
Yang et al. 2017 [66]	69 (46M/	69 NP	68.7	20 Sinonasal	24 OS	48	10.8	42 S	46 DOD
	23F)			14 Neck	22 FS			10 C	6 A
				11 Mandible	8 UPS			2 RT	17 NA
				24 NA	2 LgMS			6 MT	
					CaS			9 ReT	
					CS				
					SN				
					AS				
					SON 6				
Zhang et al. 2017 [67]	42 (30M/	42 NP	68	18 Neck	42 FS	50	9.6	22 S	21 AD
	12F)			10 Sinonasal				6 MT	21 DOD
				9 Oral				11 C	
				4 Skin				3 RT	
				NP					
Rashidghamat and Calonje 2018 [68]	1 (F)	CNS	58	Cranium	SO	34	£	S	DOD (12)
Williams et al. 2018 [1]	3 (2M/1F)	NP	68	3 Neck	2 UPS	66	14.3	S	3 A (15.6)
		Tonsil			SON			2 MT	
		Thyroid							
Yang et al. 2018 [69]	11 (11NA)	7 NP	68	11 NA	11 NA	NA	7.9	11 RT	11 NA
		4 Skull base							
Arai et al. 2019 [70]	1 (F)	Hypopharynx	40	Parotid	AS	60	8	MT	DOD (5)

Adv Ther

case	CASES (SEV)								
		location	aose (ay)	Location	Histology	Mean age (years)	Mean latency period (years)	Treatment	Outcome (months)
Total 560	560 (363M/	368 NP	62	189 Sinonasal	199 OS	54.2	11.1	253 S	228 DOD
1,	179F	54 NA		89 Mandible	129 FS			135 MT	(13.9)
/18i	/18NA)	21 Sinonasal		75 Neck	73 UPS			74 NA	173 NA
		19 CNS		65 NA	SON 77			50 C	95 A (32.9)
		14 Eye		50 Oral	26 LS			29 RT	39 AD (31.2)
		13 Larynx		24 Skull base	14 RS			20 ReT	25 D (35.3)
		10 Oral		16 NP	13 NA				
		10 Skull base		13 Cranium	12 AS				
		9 Tongue		8 Larynx	10 CS				
		8 Tonsil		6 Skin	6 CaS				
		6 Parotid		4 Facial	6 NF				
		5 Neck		3 Ear	5 SCS				
		4 Ear		3 Parotid	4 LgMS				
		4 Facial		3 Scalp	3 SN				
		4 Lip		2 Meningeal	2 DF				
		2		2	2 AFX				
		Hypopharynx		Oropharynx	1 SS				
		2 Mandible		2 Preauricular					
		2 Thyroid		2 Tongue					
		2 Scalp		1 Cronioficial					
		1 CUP							
		1 Leukemia		I Нуропћагуру					
		1 Preauricular		1 Mastoid					
				1 Pharynx					
				1 Lip					
	1.EV	U 1 1							

1 ,		1	
Author Year [Ref]	N patients	N of RISHN	Frequency (%)
Makimoto et al. 2007 [36]	4194	5	0.12
Xi et al. 2010 [10]	39,118	53	0.13
Kunert et al. 2012 [51]	433	1	0.2
Wei et al. 2012 [8]	16,634	17	0.1
Cai et al. 2013 [53]	22,386	59	0.26
Liao et al. 2016 [63]	53,760	45	0.08
Yang et al. 2017 [66]	27,714	69	0.24
Williams et al. 2018 [1]	3906	3	0.07
Total	168,115	252	0.15

Table 2 Frequency of RISHNs in the published series

(range 3–48) after the diagnosis of RISHN. There was a large number of patients for whom survival data were not available (173 cases, 30.9%). Ninety-five patients (17%) were alive without known disease with a mean survival time of 32.9 months (range 4-120). For patients alive with disease the mean survival was 31.2 months (range 7–54). In total, 4.5% of the patients died from intercurrent disease. In order to know if the results of the treatment of these patients have varied over the years because of the advances that have been experienced both in surgery and in oncological treatments, we divided the reviewed articles into two groups according to the year when they were published: 2000-2010 and 2011-2020. In the 2000-2010 group, the patients died of disease after a mean time period of 13.5 months (range 3-48), and the mean follow-up time for those alive with no evidence of disease was 36.3 months (range 12-83), and for those alive with disease it was 46.4 months (range 28-54). In the 2011–2020 group, the patients died of disease after a mean survival time period of 13.9 months (range 3–48), the mean follow-up time for those alive with no evidence of disease was 29.2 months (range 4–120), and for those alive with disease it was 12.2 months (range 7–17). Therefore, it seems that the mean life expectancy for those patients dying of RISHN has remained practically the same in the articles published during the past two decades. The follow-up times for the patients alive were longer in the earlier group and thus no conclusions can be drawn regarding their results.

The frequency of reported RISHNs in the published series is given in Table 2. In total, there were 252 RISHNs among 168,115 patients who had been treated with RT, with a mean frequency of 0.15%. It should be noted that this frequency in the present systematic review is reported according to the date of publication of the reviewed articles, i.e., between 2000 and 2020. However, the included patient series in these reviews cover much longer period, specifically from 1964 to 2015.

DISCUSSION

RISHNs remain a rare late complication of RT, and typically occur between 10 and 12 years after RT [2]. The effectiveness of RT for treatment of cancers and its increasingly widespread use have led to a parallel surge in adverse effects arising from it. This rise may be also due to the higher long-term survival rate generally observed in HN cancers (owing to early diagnosis, improved oncological and surgical techniques, and the use of systemic therapy), and the use of higher RT doses [3, 8]. In the present review, we found a frequency of 0.15% for RISHNs in the series published during the two past decades. The significance of this finding remains questionable, as only eight series with 252 patients were included, although the whole cohort having received RT in the included reports was rather representative with 168,115 patients.

The exact etiology of RISHNs remains unknown, but radiation likely induces their development by causing damage to DNA within the cells [3]. There is no safe threshold in terms of RT dose, below which there is no risk of

causing a second tumor [2]. The results of some studies indicated that the risk of developing RISHN increases with accumulated radiation dosage [71]. More specifically, in one study a total dose higher than 30 Gy increased the risk of developing RISHN [72]. As we included only patients treated with curative intent, the mean RT dose received for treating the primary tumor was 62 Gy (range 36-101) and thus these patients were considered at risk for developing RISHN. We are aware that sarcomas sometimes appear in areas of medium dose within the primary RT field, so the dose received in the primary tumor may not be as important as the dose delivered in the area where the sarcoma appears. Unfortunately, these data are usually not available in the published articles, and instead only the mean dose is reported.

The age range of patients with RISHNs varied among studies, but usually occurs between the 6th and 7th decade of life [1, 6, 8]. We found that the median age at diagnosis was 52 years (interquartile range 21.5), which is in line with what has been published previously.

The mean latency period in our review was 11.1 years, but with a wide range (1.3–38 years), possibly because there are many single cases published. In the past, the latency time factor was used to differentiate radiation-induced sarcomas from de novo sarcomas, but now most studies do not take that time period into consideration and those that occur with short latency periods are included as radiation-induced sarcomas, since they are impossible to differentiate [12]. There are studies demonstrating a shorter latency period (between 7.7 and 9.3 years) than the one reported in our review (11.1 years), but the mean age in these series was almost 50 years. This is in accordance with the latency period of 9.6 years for the patients over the age of 40 years in the present review [8, 10].

The location of the RISHN depends essentially on the location of the primary tumor. Nasopharyngeal cancer irradiation was typical in the present review and has been reported earlier [66] and consequently the most common site of RISHN being the paranasal sinuses. Sinonasal tract, central nervous system, eye, and larynx were frequent primary tumor sites as well among the present studies.

With regard to the different histological RISHN subtypes that can be observed, the following histologies have been described: fibrosarcoma, osteosarcoma, undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma. chondrosarcoma. angiosarcoma, leiomyosarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, spindle cell sarcoma, and others [3]. Cai et al. [53] found that the most common histologies were fibrosarcoma (44.1%) and osteosarcoma (30.5%). Zhu et al. [3] found osteosarcoma to be the most common histology (34.1%), followed by fibrosarcoma (19.2%) and undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (15.8%). In the study by Thiagarajan and Gopalakrishna Iver [9], undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma was the most frequent histology. In our study, the most frequently encountered histologic types were osteosarcoma (35.5%) and fibrosarcoma (23%), which is in line with some of the previously published studies. Less common were undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (13%) and sarcomas not otherwise specified (10.2%).

Treatment of RISHN varied widely between the studies. In our review, almost half of the patients received surgical treatment (45.1%) when the lesion was resectable. Other modalities, like RT or chemotherapy alone or multimodality treatment, were used less commonly. As RISHNs are rare tumors, with varying histologies at different locations and the available knowledge being based on retrospective studies, it is difficult to define clear recommendations for their treatment. As RISHNs most frequently occur in previously irradiated areas that received a high dose, surgery remains the preferred treatment modality in case RISHN is considered resectable. Adequate surgical margins are difficult to achieve, and this is even more difficult when neighboring vital structures and the previous RT-induced fibrous transformation of tissues with impaired blood flow further complicate surgery and increase complication rates [73]. In unresectable cases, definitive radiotherapy alone or combined with systemic treatment may be considered but is generally associated with a high risk of severe radiation-induced side effects and, furthermore,

there have been no advances in terms of effective systemic therapies. According to some authors, chemotherapy will be less effective in radiation-induced sarcomas compared with de novo sarcomas because of fibrotic changes in the previously irradiated field and, consequently, impaired vascularity thus impeding chemotherapeutic agents from reaching adequate concentrations in the target area [16], but the evidence for this is lacking.

Cha et al. [73] reviewed the results obtained by surgical resection of RISHNs and found that the 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year overall survival rates were 78%, 58%, and 41%, respectively, with a median survival of 48 months. The 3-year overall survival rate reported by Xi et al. [10] was 32.4% and the 5-year overall survival rate reported by Chan et al. [11] was 24.2%. For Yeang et al. [12] the 2-year and 5-year overall survival rates were 57.3% and 38.2%, respectively. Unfortunately, overall survival data were not available in most of the studies in this review, given the large number of included case reports.

CONCLUSION

Our review represents the most comprehensive systematic analysis of RISHNs to date, collecting data from 560 patients. Most of the included studies were case reports or only comprised a few cases. We herein emphasize that RISHNs are a rare but lethal complication of RT. The frequency has remained rather stable. Surgery with free margins remains the treatment option of choice for RISHNs. However, given the location of the tumor, this may be difficult to achieve in some cases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding. No funding or sponsorship was received for this study or publication of this article.

Authorship. All named authors meet the International Committee of Medical Journal

Editors (ICMJE) criteria for authorship for this article, take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, and have given their approval for this version to be published.

Disclosures. Andrés Coca-Pelaz, Antti A. Mäkitie, Primož Strojan, June Corry, Avraham Eisbruch, Jonathan J. Beitler, Sandra Nuyts, Robert Smee, Johannes A. Langendijk, William M. Mendenhall and Cesare Piazza have nothing to disclose. Alessandra Rinaldo and Alfio Ferlito are editorial board members of *Advances in Therapy* but have nothing else relevant to disclose.

Compliance with Ethics Guidelines. This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Data Availability. Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync/4.0/.

REFERENCES

- 1. Williams L, Tmanova L, Mydlarz WK, et al. Radiation-associated sarcoma of the neck: case series and systematic review. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 2018;127:735–40.
- 2. Giannini L, Incandela F, Fiore M, et al. Radiationinduced sarcoma of the head and neck: a review of the literature. Front Oncol. 2018;8:449.
- 3. Zhu W, Hu F, Zhao T, Wang C, Tao Q. Clinical characteristics of radiation-induced sarcoma of the head and neck: review of 15 cases and 323 cases in the literature. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2016;74: 283–91.
- 4. Debnam JM, Guha-Thakurta N, Mahfouz YMM, et al. Radiation-associated head and neck sarcomas: spectrum of imaging findings. Oral Oncol. 2012;48: 155–61.
- Cahan WG, Woodard HQ. Sarcoma arising in irradiated bone; report of 11 cases. Cancer. 1948;1: 3–29.
- Murray EM, Werner D, Greeff EA, Taylor DA. Postradiation sarcomas: 20 cases and a literature review. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1999;45: 951–61.
- Bjerkehagen B, Småstuen MC, Hall KS, Skjeldal S, Smeland S, Fosså SD. Why do patients with radiation-induced sarcomas have a poor sarcoma-related survival? Br J Cancer. 2012;106:297–306.
- 8. Wei Z, Xie Y, Xu J, et al. Radiation-induced sarcoma of head and neck: 50 years of experience at a single institution in an endemic area of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in China. Med Oncol. 2012;29:670–6.
- 9. Thiagarajan A, Gopalakrishna Iyer N. Radiation-induced sarcomas of the head and neck. World J Clin Oncol. 2014;5:973–81.
- Xi M, Liu M-Z, Wang H-X, et al. Radiation-induced sarcoma in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a single-institution study. Cancer. 2010;116: 5479–86.
- 11. Chan JYW, Wong STS, Lau GISK, Wei WI. Postradiation sarcoma after radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Laryngoscope. 2012;122: 2695–9.
- 12. Yeang MS, Tay K, Ong WS, et al. Outcomes and prognostic factors of post-irradiation and de novo sarcomas of the head and neck: a histologically matched case-control study. Ann Surg Oncol. 2013;20:3066–75.

- 13. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and metaanalysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015;350:g7647.
- 14. Amirjamshidi A, Abbassioun K. Radiation-induced tumors of the central nervous system occurring in childhood and adolescence. Childs Nerv Syst. 2000;16:390–7.
- 15. King AD, Ahuja AT, Teo P, Tse GMK, Kew J. Radiation induced sarcomas of the head and neck following radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Clin Radiol. 2000;55:684–9.
- 16. Lagrange J-L, Ramaioli A, Chateau M-C, et al. Sarcoma after radiation therapy: retrospective multiinstitutional study of 80 histologically confirmed cases. Radiology. 2000;216:197–205.
- 17. Galera-Ruiz H, Sanchez-Calzado JA, Rios-Martin JJ, DeMingo-Fernandez EJ, Muñoz BF. Sinonasal radiation-associated osteosarcoma after combined therapy for rhabdomyosarcoma of the nose. Auris Nasus Larynx. 2001;28:261–4.
- 18. Grady AM, Krishnan V, Cohen L. Postirradiation angiosarcoma of the head and neck: report of a case. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2002;60:828–31.
- Guney E, Yigitbasi OG, Balkanli S, Canoz OM. Postirradiation malignant fibrous histiocytoma of the larynx: a case report. Am J Otolaryngol. 2002;23:293–6.
- 20. Johns MM, Concus AP, Beals TF, Teknos TN. Earlyonset postirradiation sarcoma of the head and neck: report of three cases. Ear Nose Throat J. 2002;81: 402–6.
- 21. Malard O, Toquet C, Gayet-Delacroix M, Bordure P, De Beauvillain MC, Bardet E. Radiation-induced cancers of the pharynx and larynx: a study of five clinical cases. Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci. 2002;27: 68–74.
- 22. Osipov V, Ho K-C, Krouwer HG, Meyer G, Shidham VB. Post-radiation dedifferentiation of meningioma into osteosarcoma. BMC Cancer. 2002;2:34.
- 23. Demirkan F, Unal S, Cenetoğlu S, Cinel L. Radiation-induced leiomyosarcomas as second primary tumors in the head and neck region: report of 2 cases. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2003;61:259–63.
- 24. Matsuyama A, Yonemitsu N, Hayashida S, Watanabe K, Sugihara H, Inokuchi A. Case of postradiation osteosarcoma with a short latency period of 3 years. Pathol Int. 2003;53:46–50.

- 25. Wiesmiller K, Barth TFE, Gronau S. Early radiationinduced malignant fibrous histiocytoma of the oral cavity. J Laryngol Otol. 2003;117:224–6.
- 26. Mohammadianpanah M, Gramizadeh B, Omidvari S, Mosalaei A. Radiation-induced chondrosarcoma of the maxilla 7-year after combined chemoradiation for tonsillar lymphoma. J Postgrad Med. 2004;50:200–1.
- 27. Sale KA, Wallace DI, Girod DA, Tsue TT. Radiationinduced malignancy of the head and neck. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2004;131:643–5.
- 28. Sedghizadeh PP, Angiero F, Allen CM, Kalmar JR, Rawal Y, Albright EA. Post-irradiation leiomyosarcoma of the maxilla: report of a case in a patient with prior radiation treatment for retinoblastoma. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2004;97:726–31.
- 29. Maghami EG, St-John M, Bhuta S, Abemayor E. Postirradiation sarcoma: a case report and current review. Am J Otolaryngol. 2005;26:71–4.
- Valentí V, López-Pousa A, Gonzalez Y, Farré N. Radiation-induced mandibular osteogenic sarcoma: report of a case and review of the literature. J Craniofac Surg. 2005;16:452–6.
- 31. Kasthoori JJ, Wastie ML. Radiation-induced osteosarcoma of the maxilla. Singap Med J. 2006;47:907–9.
- 32. McHugh JB, Thomas DG, Herman JM, et al. Primary versus radiation-associated craniofacial osteosarcoma: biologic and clinicopathologic comparisons. Cancer. 2006;107:554–62.
- 33. Pfeiffer J, Boedeker CC, Ridder GJ, Maier W, Kayser G. Radiation-induced leiomyosarcoma of the oropharynx. Diagn Pathol. 2006;1:22.
- 34. Seethala RR, Sturgis EM, Raymond AK, Deavers MT. Postirradiation osteosarcoma of the mandible with heterologous differentiation. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2006;130:385–8.
- 35. Huber GF, Matthews TW, Dort JC. Radiation-induced soft tissue sarcomas of the head and neck. J Otolaryngol. 2007;36:93–7.
- 36. Makimoto Y, Yamamoto S, Takano H, et al. Imaging findings of radiation-induced sarcoma of the head and neck. Br J Radiol. 2007;80:790–7.
- 37. Sadri D, Yazdi I. Postradiation malignant fibrous histiocytoma of the maxillary sinus. Arch Iran Med. 2007;10:393–6.
- 38. Chen Y-W, Tu H-F, Wu T-H, et al. Sarcomas and sarcomatoid tumor after radiotherapy of oral

squamous cell carcinoma: analysis of 4 cases. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2008;105:65–71.

- Franco Gutiérrez V, Llorente Pendás JL, Coca Pelaz A, Cabanillas Farpón R, Suárez NC. Radiation-induced sarcomas of the head and neck. J Craniofac Surg. 2008;19:1287–91.
- 40. Alessandrini M, De Padova A, Saccoccio A, et al. Post-irradiation malignant fibrous histiocytoma of the larynx: a case report with an unusual metastatic spread pattern. Auris Nasus Larynx. 2009;36: 609–13.
- 41. Khan M, Chandramala R, Sharma R, Vijayalakshmi KR. Radiation-induced spindle cell sarcoma: a rare case report. Indian J Dent Res. 2009;20:380–4.
- 42. Tovar Martín MI, Martínez Carrillo M, Chamorro Santos CE. Early radiation-induced laryngeal malignant fibrous histiocytoma (MFH). Clin Transl Oncol. 2009;11:701–3.
- 43. Karakoca S, Aydin C, Yilmaz H, Bal BT. Radiationinduced leiomyosarcoma of the maxillofacial region: facial reconstruction with implant-retained prosthesis. J Craniofac Surg. 2010;21:262–6.
- 44. Shao Z, He Y, Wang L, Hu H, Shi H. Computed tomography findings in radiation-induced osteosarcoma of the jaws. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2010;109:e88-94.
- 45. Miyoshi T, Takebayashi S, Suzuki C, et al. Earlyonset postirradiation sarcoma of the tongue after pseudotumor phase. ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec. 2011;73:201–5.
- 46. Patel AJ, Rao VY, Fox BD, et al. Radiation-induced osteosarcomas of the calvarium and skull base. Cancer. 2011;117:2120–6.
- 47. Satomi T, Watanabe M, Kaneko T, Matsubayashi J, Nagao T, Chiba H. Radiation-induced malignant fibrous histiocytoma of the maxilla. Odontology. 2011;99:203–8.
- 48. Adachi M, Iwai T, Hirota M, Tohnai I. Radiationinduced fibrosarcoma after radiotherapy for osteosarcoma in the mandibular condyle. J Craniofac Surg. 2012;23:e619–21.
- 49. Azevedo RS, Pires FR, Gouvêa AF, Lopes MA, Jorge J. Leiomyosarcomas of the oral cavity: report of a radiation-associated and a metastatic case. Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2012;16:227–32.
- 50. Brusić SK, Pusić M, Cvjetković N, et al. Osteosarcoma of the mastoid process following radiation therapy of mucoepidermoid carcinoma of the

parotid gland-a case report. Coll Antropol. 2012;36(Suppl 2):223–5.

- 51. Kunert P, Matyja E, Prokopienko M, Marchel A. Radiation-induced tumours of meninges. Report on eight cases and review of the literature. Neurol Neurochir Pol. 2012;46:542–52.
- 52. Bingol UA, Arslan H, Cinar C. Postradiation sarcoma from a free flap. J Craniofac Surg. 2013;24: 2160–2.
- 53. Cai P, Wu Y, Li L, et al. CT and MRI of radiationinduced sarcomas of the head and neck following radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Clin Radiol. 2013;68:683–9.
- 54. Santos Gorjón P, Gil Melcón M, Muñoz Herrera AM, Franco CF. Radiation-induced leiomyosarcoma of the posterior neck region. Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp. 2013;64:233–6.
- 55. Davies BW, Prescott CR, Said SA, et al. Radiationinduced dedifferentiated chondrosarcoma with orbital invasion. Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg. 2014;30:205–8.
- 56. Sabater-Marco V, Zapater Latorre E, Martorell CM. Postradiation cutaneous pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma with extracellular collagen deposits reminiscent of so-called amianthoid fibers. J Cutan Pathol. 2014;41:316–21.
- 57. Song MJ, Song JS, Roh J-L, et al. Mdm2 and p53 expression in radiation-induced sarcomas of the head and neck: comparison with de novo sarcomas. Korean J Pathol. 2014;48:346–50.
- 58. Zhang G-B, Li J, Zhang P-F, Han L-J, Zhang J-T. Radiation-induced malignant fibrous histiocytoma of the occipital: a case report. World J Surg Oncol. 2014;12:98.
- 59. Chung J, Lee V, Tsang R, et al. Treatment outcomes of postradiation second head and neck malignancies managed by a multidisciplinary approach. Head Neck. 2015;37:815–22.
- 60. Wood J, Ver Halen J, Samant S, Florendo N. Radiation-induced sarcoma masquerading as osteoradionecrosis: case report and literature review. J Laryngol Otol. 2015;129:279–82.
- 61. Haidar YM, Rose MA. Radiation-induced carcinosarcoma of the submandibular gland: case report and review of literature. B-ENT. 2016;12:339–43.
- 62. Kim KS, Chang JH, Choi N, et al. Radiation-induced sarcoma: a 15-year experience in a single large

tertiary referral center. Cancer Res Treat. 2016;48: 650–7.

- 63. Liao L-Q, Yan H-H, Mai J-H, et al. Radiation-induced osteosarcoma of the maxilla and mandible after radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Chin J Cancer. 2016;35:89.
- 64. Marchitto G, Marci V, Berrone M, Pentenero M. Early arising sarcoma after adjuvant radiotherapy for oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2016;74(862):e1-8.
- 65. Rosko AJ, Birkeland AC, Chinn SB, et al. Survival and margin status in head and neck radiation-induced sarcomas and de novo sarcomas. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;157:252–9.
- 66. Yang Q, Mo Y, Zhao Q, et al. Radiation-induced sarcomas of the head and neck in post-radiation nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Radiol Med. 2017;122: 53–60.
- 67. Zhang P, Zhao L, Zhu Y-J, et al. Prognosis of fibrosarcoma in patients with and without a history of radiation for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol. 2017;24:434–40.
- 68. Rashidghamat E, Calonje JE. A case of radiationinduced osteosarcoma of the skull presenting as a cutaneous epidermotropic tumor with a short latent period. J Cutan Pathol. 2018;45:352–4.
- 69. Yang J, Gao J, Wu X, et al. Salvage carbon ion radiation therapy for locally recurrent or radiation-induced second primary sarcoma of the head and neck. J Cancer. 2018;9:2215–23.
- 70. Arai A, Ozawa S, Kinoshita S, et al. Radiation-induced angiosarcoma of the parotid gland after postoperative radiotherapy for hypopharyngeal carcinoma. Auris Nasus Larynx. 2019;46:940–5.
- 71. Kalra S, Grimer RJ, Spooner D, Carter SR, Tillman RM, Abudu A. Radiation-induced sarcomas of bone. J Bone Jt Surg Br. 2007;89:808–13.
- 72. Wu LC, Kleinerman RA, Curtis RE, Savage SA, de González AB. Patterns of bone sarcomas as a second malignancy in relation to radiotherapy in adulthood and histologic type. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2012;21:1993–9.
- Cha C, Antonescu CR, Quan ML, Maru S, Brennan MF. Long-term results with resection of radiationinduced soft tissue sarcomas. Ann Surg. 2004;239: 903–9.