1	Ecometrics: A Trait-based Approach to Paleoclimate and Paleoenvironmental
2	Reconstruction
3	
4	Wesley A. Vermillion ¹ , P. David Polly ² , Jason J. Head ³ , Jussi T. Eronen ^{4,5} , A. Michelle
5	Lawing ^{1*}
6	
7	¹ Ecosystem Science and Management, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX,
8	USA
9	² Geological Sciences, Biology and Anthropology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN,
10	USA
11	³ Zoology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK CB23EJ
12	⁴ Department of Geosciences and Geography, University of Helsinki and BIOS Research
13	Unit, Helsinki, Finland
14	
15	* alawing@tamu.edu
16	
17	Keywords: Functional morphology, Paleoecology, Maximum likelihood, Geographic
18	Distribution, Taxon-free
19	
20	Suggested running head: Ecometrics: a trait-based approach
21	

ABSTRACT

23

24 Ecometrics is a trait-based approach to study ecosystem variability through time. 25 An ecometric value is derived from describing the distribution of functional traits at the 26 community level, which may arise by environmental filtering, extinction, or convergence. 27 An ecometric relationship describes the correspondence between spatially explicit 28 ecometric values and corresponding environmental variation. Transfer functions and 29 maximum likelihood approaches have been developed with modern trait-environment 30 relationships to reconstruct paleotemperature, paleoprecipitation, and paleovegetation 31 cover given the distribution of functional traits within a community. Because the focus 32 for this approach is on the traits and not on species, it is transferable through space and 33 time and can be used to compare no-analog communities. In this paper we review the 34 concepts and history of ecometric analysis and then describe practical methods for 35 implementing an ecometric study.

I. SUMMARY

37 One of the factors that sort species geographically into communities is the 38 filtering of species by their functional morphologies along climate and environmental 39 gradients (e.g., mammalian herbivores with higher molars eat more gritty and abrasive 40 vegetation and therefore more species with higher molars live in places with more gritty 41 and abrasive vegetation), thus, the morphology has a direct relationship with its 42 environmental condition. In this sorting process, the distribution of functional traits 43 within a community moves toward an optimal environmental condition. These functional 44 traits may be described in terms of their distributions within a community and descriptors 45 of the distribution of community level functional traits have been defined as ecometrics 46 (Eronen et al. 2010a; Polly et al. 2011). Thus, ecometrics are traits described at the 47 community level that have a relationship with environmental condition. Ecometrics are 48 spatially variable, because the composition of species and traits in communities vary 49 through geography. If species are perfectly sorted, the trait distributions in communities 50 will parallel variation in the environmental condition to which the traits are functionally 51 linked (i.e. the ecometric correlation equals one). If the ecometric correlation is strong, 52 one can estimate the most likely environmental condition given a specific ecometric 53 value using a transfer function or likelihood estimation. If the ecometric correlation is not 54 strong, one may still be able to estimate the most likely environmental condition, but the 55 likelihood surface would be more flat, which would produce a more ambiguous 56 reconstruction. The power of this approach is that functional trait measurements are 57 transferable through space and through time, regardless of the specific species that make 58 up the community. For this reason, ecometrics is a useful approach to reconstruct

59 paleoclimate and paleoenvironment (Damuth *et al.* 1992).

60

II. TERMS

61	Functional trait – a behavioral, biochemical, morphological, phenological,
62	physiological, or structural characteristic that has a physical or chemical interaction with
63	a specific environmental factor. This characteristic is expressed as a phenotype of
64	individual organisms and it is considered relevant to the response of organisms to the
65	surrounding abiotic conditions. A functional trait is something that can be observed and
66	measured.
67	<i>Geographic sorting</i> – the process by which trait distributions are arranged in geographic
68	space along gradients of environmental conditions.
69	Taxon free – not depending on taxonomic identity (i.e. it is a descriptor based on trait
70	values rather than on presence or absence of particular taxa). For example, using palms as
71	indicators of frost-free conditions is a taxon-based approach, whereas using counts of
72	stomatal density as indicators of carbon dioxide concentration is a taxon-free approach
73	(Beerling <i>et al.</i> , 2011).
74	<i>Ecometric value</i> – a statistic summarizing the distribution of a functional trait within a
75	community at a single geographic location. Means, variances, proportions, and extremes
76	have been used as descriptors in previous studies; however, any parameter that describes
77	a distribution of functional traits may be used.
78	<i>Ecometric patterning</i> – geography, or spatial variation, of ecometric values across
79	communities. Perfect patterning represents optimal distributions of populations and
80	species. The lack of patterning could represent a lack of useable covariation, a mismatch

81 between the trait and the environment, or it could result from the inappropriate choice of

82 environmental correlates.

83	<i>Ecometric correlation</i> – product-moment correlation between ecometric variation and
84	variation in the environmental condition. High correlations evince a close match between
85	the functional requirements of local communities and their abiotic condition.
86	<i>Ecometric likelihood</i> – the probability of finding a particular environmental condition
87	given the ecometric value of a community at any single geographic location.
88	<i>Ecometric load</i> – the amount of mismatch between the distribution of functional traits
89	and the selective optimum for the local environment. High loads may indicate risk to
90	changing environmental conditions. Note that high ecometric loads can be present even
91	with high ecometric correlations if traits are weakly but consistently sorted.
92	<i>Ecometric optimum</i> – the optimal distribution of an ecometric trait in a particular
93	environment. The optimum is more likely to be a distribution rather than a single trait
94	value, especially in locally heterogeneous environments (e.g., a savannah habitat favors
95	both large and small body sizes).
96	<i>Transfer function</i> – a function that represents the relationship between the ecometric trait
97	values and a relevant environmental variable.
98	
99	III. THEORETICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND
100	
101	Functional traits are features that organisms use to interact with their environment
102	and with other organisms (Diaz and Cabido 2001; Violle et al. 2007). Functional traits of
103	species within a community ultimately mediate the relationship between organisms'
104	morphology and their ecology and link organisms to biotic and abiotic conditions present

105 at particular geographic locations at a particular point in time (Ricklefs and Travis 1980; 106 Chapin 1993; Poff 1997). A certain combination of biotic and abiotic conditions will thus 107 favor traits that maximize an organism's performance, either through natural selection or 108 geographic sorting. Natural selection is an evolutionary process that acts on the variation 109 in a population, where individuals that thrive in their environment produce the most 110 offspring and disproportionately share their traits with the next generation (Darwin and 111 Wallace 1858). Species that move geographically in response to environmental change 112 may follow an environment for which they are already adapted and form new 113 assemblages as a result (Jackson and Overpeck 2000). We are not concerned with the 114 trait values of particular species, but with the distribution of traits within communities 115 (e.g., mean, standard deviation, and range; Damuth et al. 1992). Even weak sorting 116 processes can produce trait gradients at the community level, as has been demonstrated in 117 climate gradients in plant leaf traits (Wolfe 1979; Reich et al. 1997; Wilf 1997; Wright et 118 al. 2004; Royer et al. 2005; Wing et al. 2005; Cornwell and Ackerly 2009; Peppe et al. 119 2011), large mammalian herbivores dental traits (Janis and Fortelius 1988; Damuth et al. 120 1992; Fortelius et al. 2002; Mendoza et al. 2005; Damuth and Janis 2011; Eronen et al. 121 2010b, 2012), carnivoran locomotor traits (Polly 2010), snake locomotor traits (Lawing et 122 al. 2012), and mammal life history, physiological, and range traits (Lawing et al. 2016). 123 The study of functional traits that have a relationship with climate or environmental 124 gradients at the community level has been termed ecometrics to distinguish it from 125 ecomorphology of particular species or clades (Eronen et al. 2010a; Polly et al. 2011). 126 Figure 1 shows a few examples of the morphology of ecometric traits.

127

[insert Figure 1 near here]

129

128

130 The relationship between the distribution of functional traits in a community and 131 the corresponding environmental factors in the local environment is likely to be complex. 132 Some trait-environment relationships favor a single ecometric optimum in each local 133 environment such that all species tend to have the same trait value. Stomatal density on 134 plant leaves might be expected to have a single optimal value across all members of a 135 community because carbon dioxide concentrations and evapotranspiration conditions are 136 the same for all. However, locally heterogeneous environments are likely to favor a 137 heterogeneous ecometric optimum. For example, savannah environments tend to favor a 138 combination of large body masses (for animals that can travel long distances to procure 139 food in a comparatively resource poor habitat and defend themselves in the open) and 140 small body masses (for species that can subsist on the resources found in a limited area 141 and can find cover in grasses or tree tops). The nature of the ecometric optimum will 142 dictate how it should be measured. An optimum that favors a single trait value can always 143 be adequately represented with the community mean, but an optimum that favors a 144 complex distribution may be better characterized by variance, skewness, or other 145 measure. Temporal variation in environmental conditions may also shape the distribution 146 of the ecometric optimum. For example, Žliobaitė et al. (2016) recently showed that 147 recurring extreme conditions (like drought) were better predictors of dental traits than 148 average conditions, indicating that recurring limiting conditions may be important drivers 149 of community functional trait distributions.

150

Historical Background

151	Bailey and Sinnott (1915) conducted the first study of community-level functional
152	traits. They showed plant communities that have more leaves with ridges, or non-entire
153	margins, occur in moist temperate climates that experience warm summers and cold
154	winters. Conversely, plant communities that have leaves with no ridges, or entire leaf
155	margins, occur in dry climates including tropic, alpine and arctic regions, moors, steppes,
156	deserts, saline situations, and other physiologically dry climates. They used the
157	proportion of species within a community with a specified phenotype as their metric to
158	describe the community, what we refer to as an ecometric trait value.
159	Since Bailey and Sinnott (1915) demonstrated the variation in community leaf
160	shape across a temperature gradient, researchers have used leaf shape and other traits to
161	reconstruct paleotemperature. Most notably, Wolfe (1979, 1993) quantified the
162	relationship between leaf margins and temperature, which led to many quantitative
163	paleoclimate reconstructions from leaf assemblages, called leaf-margin analysis.
164	Contemporary to and since Wolfe's work, researchers have been interested in identifying
165	the function of leaf teeth (see Royer and Wilf (2006) for a history and discussion).
166	The ecometric approach has grown out of the formalization by Damuth et al.
167	(1992) of "taxon-free" characterizations of animal communities. These authors were
168	interested in understanding long-term evolutionary and ecological processes. They argued
169	that distributions of traits such as body size, dietary type, locomotor specialization, and
170	predator-to-prey ratios serve as descriptive indices that can be used to compare important
171	aspects of community function in the Paleozoic, Mesozoic, and Cenozoic or between
172	faunal and floral realms in a single time period, because they capture information about
173	productivity, resource availability, community physiognomy, and resource partitioning,

174	comparisons that cannot be made if community function is characterized by the species
175	that dominate it (such as grassland communities). They noted that what makes an
176	approach "taxon-free" is the focus on distributions of traits for inferring
177	paleoenvironment (i.e., the ecometric distributions), not the inferential pathways that lead
178	to the understanding of the trait-environment relationship, which may be founded on
179	taxon-based observations. The fact that many traits have a limited phylogenetic
180	distribution (e.g., teeth are limited to vertebrates, leaf stomata are limited to plants)
181	means that taxon-free approaches, including ecometrics, are not completely free of time,
182	place, and taxon, but comparisons between communities that have no species in common
183	are nevertheless possible. Andrews and Hixson (2014) recently reviewed and critiqued
184	taxon-free approaches.

Ecometric Examples

186 One of the best-understood ecometrics is the molar crown height of herbivorous 187 large mammals. Different diets vary in the amount of wear they produce: species that eat 188 abrasive or tough foods, or foods of poor nutritive quality, usually have hypsodont teeth, 189 which have a high crown relative to the root. Hypsodonty is a morphology adaptated to 190 high rates of tooth wear (Janis and Fortelius 1988). Proximal factors including 191 abrasiveness of plant material, such as phytolith content and ambient grit and dust, are 192 therefore likely to play a role in selection for tooth crown height (Janis and Fortelius, 193 1988; Strömberg et al., 2013; Fortelius et al., 2014). Tooth crown height correlates with 194 precipitation in the modern world and geologic past (Fortelius et al. 2002; Eronen et al. 195 2010a,b; Fortelius et al. 2014). Eronen et al. (2010b) used regression trees to show that 196 there is a strong correlation (65.8%) between crown tooth height and regional

precipitation, between diet and precipitation (66.5%), and when diet and tooth crown
height are combined (74.2%). Community hypsodonty levels, therefore, have a strong
correlation with annual precipitation, which affects both plant tissue properties and the
amount of local grit (Fortelius *et al.* 2014) and may be used as a paleoprecipitation proxy
that constrain regional details about vegetation patterns and climate models (Fortelius *et al.* 2002).

203 Together with other dental traits, hyposodonty can additionally be used to 204 estimate more indirect properties like net primary productivity (Liu et al., 2012) or more 205 proximate ones like production of volcanic ash (Strömberg et al., 2013). Such complex 206 combinations of proximal and distal environmental factors are likely to be associated 207 with all ecometric traits (Polly and Head 2015). Žliobaitė et al. (2016) showed that dental 208 traits are closely linked to vegetation greenness (NDVI) in addition to precipitation and 209 temperature. This is reassuring as NPP estimates used in Liu et al. (2012) are computed 210 using precipitation as an input and highly dependent on NPP. NDVI is a direct 211 observation of vegetation greenness and is independent of precipitation and temperature 212 measurements. The NDVI depends on climatic conditions and reflects NPP and thus the 213 availability and quality of herbivores' food. Using data from present-day seasonal 214 environments in Africa, Žliobaitė et al. (2016) demonstrate that the dental traits show 215 strong correlations to non-availability of preferred plant foods (e.g., during dry seasons or 216 longer dry periods), rather than the properties of average foods consumed. This is the 217 main functional link between climate and herbivore teeth and closely follows the 218 suggestion by Owen-Smith (2002) (see also discussion in Liu et al. 2012 and Fortelius et 219 al. 2014).

220	Other dental characteristics (such as dental wear patterns, dental structure, and
221	tooth crown complexity) have also been used as estimates of diet in mammals (Fortelius
222	and Solounias 2000; Evans et al. 2007; Eronen et al. 2010a; Wilson et al. 2012; Evans
223	2013; Saarinen 2014; Saarinen and Karme 2017). Wilson et al. (2012) used the dental
224	shape descriptor of orientation patch count (OPC), which is a measure of dental
225	complexity that correlates well with feeding ecology in extant mammals, to determine the
226	paleodiet of the extinct mammalian clade Multituberculata. They were able to identify a
227	shift from a more carnivorous or omnivorous diet among early multituberculates into a
228	more herbivorous diet around 85 million years ago. This shift coincided with the rise of
229	angiosperms. Since vegetation patterns are driven by climatic conditions, these other
230	dental characteristics could potentially be used as climatic proxies. Evans (2013)
231	reviewed dental shape descriptors, which can be used in ecometric studies and will be
232	useful for describing environmental conditions in the past.
233	Another well-studied trait in both endothermic and ectothermic vertebrates is
234	body size, initiated by the work of Bergmann (1947). Mean body size in non-flying
235	mammals has been shown to increase as temperature decreases, and therefore mean body
236	size increases geographically toward the poles (Rodriquez et al. 2008; Eronen et al.
237	2010a). It has also been documented in ectothermic animals that body size is positively
238	correlated with mean ambient temperature (Makarieva et al. 2005). This has allowed for
239	the use of ectothermic animals, to be used to estimate paleotemperatures (Head et al.
240	2009; Polly et al. 2011; Head et al. 2013). Examples of ecometrics in plants, birds,
241	mammals, and snakes are detailed in Table 1, showing the functional trait and the
242	environmental condition for which it is related.

- Table 1. Examples of ecometrics in plants, birds, mammals, and snakes.
- 245 Environmental conditions are mean annual temperature (MAT), annual
- 246 precipitation (AP), atmospheric carbon dioxide (pCO2), net primary productivity
- 247 (NPP), trophic position (TP), dietary classification (DC), and vegetation cover (VC).

Group	Functional Trait	Environmental	Citation
		Condition	
Plants	Leaf margin	MAT	Wolf 1979
Plants	Leaf shape	MAT	Wolf 1990; Royer et al.
			2005; Peppe et al. 2011
Plants	Stomata counts	pCO2	Beerling et al. 2002
Birds	Body mass	MAT	Meiri and Dayan 2003
Mammals	Body mass	MAT	Damuth et al. 1992
Mammals	Tooth morphology	AP; TP; DC; NPP	Evans 2013
Ungulates	Hypsodonty	AP	Fortelius et al. 2002
Carnivorans	Limb proportion	VC; MAT; AP	Polly 2010
Snakes	Body length	MAT	Head et al. 2009
Snakes	Tail proportion	VC	Lawing et al. 2012

248

249

Ecometric patterning

Geographic sorting of traits along environmental gradients is one of three
processes by which ecometric patterning can emerge, along with evolutionary adaptation
and extinction (Polly *et al.* 2015). Environmental gradients are shown to filter species

253 geographically (Belmaker and Jetz 2012). The filtering effects of environmental gradients 254 may sort species geographically by their traits, resulting in local communities with 255 similar trait values that are correlated with local environmental conditions. The ecometric 256 approach was formalized as a way of synthesizing data from the ecological present and 257 geological past to measure responses of ecosystems to climate change in order to better 258 predict the risks posed by global change over the next millennia (Eronen et al. 2010a; 259 Polly et al. 2011). It has been used to investigate the impacts and risk of extinction 260 (Wilson et al. 2012; Polly and Sarwar 2014; Polly and Head 2015) and to understand 261 non-ecological processes (Lawing et al. 2016). The relative roles of geographic sorting, 262 local adaptation, and extinction have been investigated by process-based simulation 263 (Polly *et al.* 2015). Ecometrics and its paleontological precursors have been used to 264 estimate paleobiotic conditions using traits (Damuth *et al.* 1992). For example, ecotherm 265 body size (Head et al. 2009; Head et al. 2013) and leaf shape (Wolfe 1993; Little et al. 266 2010) have been used as paleothermometers and tooth crown height, hyposodonty, has 267 been used to estimate paleoaridity (Fortelius et al. 2002). 268 The ecometric approach may be used to forecast community responses to 269 anthropogenic climate change (Barnosky et al., 2017). The concept of ecometric load, 270 which is the mismatch between community-level functional trait values and optimal 271 performance, potentially allows us to estimate the vulnerability of a community to 272 climate or environmental change when the optimal performance of the traits along an 273 environmental gradient is known (Polly et al. 2015). If changing conditions increase the 274 ecometric load, communities are more likely to become vulnerable.

275	Methods for using ecometrics to characterize paleoclimate and paleoenvironments
276	have been expanded extensively. For example, Head et al. (2009) made a significant push
277	forward on the construction of ecometric models and the application of the transfer
278	function. Instead of solely using correlative models for inference, they used a mechanistic
279	model from the physiology of poikilothermic metabolism along with modern
280	observations of boid snakes and the temperatures they live in to predict paleotemperature
281	at 58-60 Ma (Paleocene) in the neotropics. They found a minimum mean annual
282	temperature of 30-34°C was needed in the Cerrejon Formation in Colombia during this
283	time in order for the large boid, Titanoboa, to survive. This is several degrees warmer
284	than the mean annual temperature of 26-27°C found in that area of the world.
285	The effects of faunal sampling, extinction, and extirpation on ecometric patterns
286	was investigated by Polly and Sarwar (2014) using resampling and rarefaction methods
287	with calcaneum gear ratios. Gear ratios are related to the locomotor style of an animal
288	and, in turn, related to the animal's habitat. Polly and Sarwar (2014) showed that
289	extinction, extirpation, and range change have minimal effects on ecometric correlations
290	when they affect less than a quarter of the species in North American carnivoran
291	communities. If the correlation between gear ratio and an environmental variable, such as
292	vegetation cover, is either high or low, then extinction of more than 25% of species will
293	alter the correlations, but the strongly and weakly correlated environmental variables will
294	still be distinguished. Local extinctions, extirpation, caused a decline in ecometric
295	correlations; however, up to 75% of species could be lost this way before ecometric
296	patterns were completely lost. This result suggested that even in fossil faunal
297	assemblages with a small proportion of the total fauna, the ecometric patterns may still be

298 recoverable if enough independent assemblages are considered. These authors also 299 showed that global extinction and large-scale extirpation have a less predictable effect on 300 ecometric correlations than do local extinctions. How the ecomtetric pattern is affected 301 by global extinciton depends on which organisms go extinct and what their ecometric 302 correlation was. So, if a species, such as a polar bear, is a large contributor to local 303 ecometric means, and if its range is correlated to an extreme of the environmental 304 variable, such as zero vegetation cover, then its extinction could drive correlation up or 305 down dependent on the circumstance.

306

Ecometric Modeling

307 Polly et al. (2016a) used stochastic modeling to assess the role of population level 308 processes in the formation of ecometric patterns. They systematically varied parameters 309 like local selection intensity, probability of dispersal, probability of extirpation, gene 310 flow, and ancestral trait value to understand the interaction between geographic sorting 311 processes, trait selection, and clade dynamics in producing ecometric patterns. These 312 authors introduced the concept of ecometric load, an analogy with genetic or mutational 313 load, as the mismatch between the distribution of functional traits in a community (or a 314 group of communities) and the selective optimum for those traits in the local environment 315 (Polly *et al.* 2016a). In cases where ecometric load can be measured, it may help predict 316 whether environmental change is likely to make a community more vulnerable to 317 reorganization or extinction. Interestingly, their models frequently produced parallel trait 318 evolution in different clades, rather than the kinds of phylogenetically correlated trait 319 distributions that are observed in many clades. For example, calcaneum gear ratio, which 320 has strong ecometric sorting in the real world, also has a strong phylogenetic correlation

321 with about 60% of its variance being explained by phylogeny (Polly *et al.*, 2017). The 322 lack of phylogenetic correlation in the models suggested that empirically observed 323 examples of trait-based phylogenetic sorting of species into communities in the modern 324 world results from large-scale turnover in environments. 325 Ecometrics and ecogeographical rules 326 Ecogeographical rules often describe patterns that we would classify as ecometric. 327 Ecogeographic rules are hypotheses about how morphological variation changes along 328 environmental or geographic gradients. They may be rules regarding within-species 329 variation or between-species variation. Across species variation has been the most 330 important component of variation to consider for the ecometric approach. 331 The most notable ecogeographic rule is Bergmann's Rule (Bergmann 1847; 332 Blackburn et al. 1999). It states that body mass correlates with temperature and this has 333 been demonstrated both intraspecifically (Ashton 2002; Freckleton et al. 2003) and 334 interspecifically (Blackburn and Hawkins 2004; Diniz-Filho et al. 2007). Other 335 ecogeographical rules include Allen's rule (length of appendages in endotherms 336 positively correlate with temperature; Allen 1877), Gloger's rule (pigmentation is 337 correlated with humidity within endothermic species; Gloger 1833), Fox's rule (among 338 small Australian mammals during community assembly, it is more likely that species 339 entering a community will represent different functional groups; Fox 1987) and Jordan's 340 rule (there is an inverse relationship between meristic characters and water temperature; 341 Lincoln et al. 1982). See Gaston et al. (2008) for a review of ecogeographic rules. 342

343 IV. IMPLEMENTING AND ECOMETRIC ANALYSIS

345	In order to document the existence of an ecometric pattern, three types of data are
346	required: geographic ranges of species, abiotic conditions, and functional trait
347	measurement of species. The spatial resolution of the data and the density of sampling of
348	traits and environmental variables within local communities will depend on the scale of
349	the functional relationship and on the question being addressed. Here we focus on
350	ecometric patterns that emerge at regional, continental, or global scales, but the same
351	principles could be applied to patterns that emerge on landscape scales. To simplify
352	large-scale analysis, we recommend measuring trait distributions by sampling the trait
353	once for each species making up the local community and making the assumption that
354	each species has the same trait value everywhere it occurs. Finer scale analyses might
355	benefit from sampling the frequencies of traits among the individuals in a local
356	community to account for variation in abundance of the species making up the
357	community, or from measuring the local values of traits within each community. Because
358	of our choice of scale, our analysis requires information about the geographic range
359	where species are known to live or where they have the potential to live. For our
360	purposes, local community composition includes all the species whose ranges overlap at
361	a sampling point, an assumption that is reasonable for coarse scale analysis, but which
362	might be unreasonable for a finer-scale landscape analysis.
363	Geographic Range
364	Geographic range data are often available from field guides and are becoming
365	more readily available online as spatial shapefiles. For example, NatureServe
366	(www.natureserve.org) and International Union for Conservation of Nature host a

367 website that has an option to download spatial data that depict the ranges of species as 368 polygons (www.iucnredlist.org). The ranges are typically drawn by experts and represent 369 the general areas of occupation of a species. Species occurrences may be used to draw 370 range maps, if no range maps are available, so long as the occurrence data are relatively 371 randomly distributed across the entire environmental range of the species. Once the 372 geographic ranges are obtained, a sampling scheme for measuring the trait-environment 373 relationship needs to be determined. Sampling sites may be systematically or randomly 374 scattered across the extent of the study area. A list of species that occur at each sample 375 site should then be compiled. Some sources of data for geographic ranges include the 376 NatureServe and IUCN for mammals, birds, amphibians, coral and some fishes 377 (www.iucnredlist.org). Plant distribution data for North America and Europe are 378 available from USDA PLANTS database (plants.usda.gov) and from the European 379 Environmental Agency (eea.europa.eu). The Global Biodiversity Information Facility 380 contains species occurrences (longitude and latitude) of both plants and animals 381 (www.gbif.org). A geographic information system (GIS) is useful to work with 382 geographic ranges and other geographic information. Open source systems are available, 383 such as QGIS, DIVA, or even R. Proprietary software is also available; the most popular 384 products are developed through ESRI. Researchers should check with their institutions 385 for GIS services and licensing.

386

Environmental Data

Environmental factors can be measured directly in the field at sample sites or collected from maps representing the geographic variability of the environmental condition. For every sample site, the environmental variables that are functionally linked

390	to the trait of interest should be sampled. PRISM Climate Group and WorldClim
391	databases provide relatively high-resolution datasets of climate for the globe
392	(www.prism.oregonstate.edu and www.worldclim.org, respectively). Global potential
393	vegetation is available from UW SAGE (www.washington.edu/research/tools/sage/);
394	global historical vegetation coverage is available from Oak Ridge National Laboratories
395	(dx.doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/419); global digital elevation models and annual
396	productivity are available from NASA (earthobservatory.nasa.gov/) and USGS
397	(nationalmap.gov/elevation.html); global soil distributions are available from
398	International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (www.iiasa.ac.at/); global land cover
399	is available from the European Space Agency (www.esa.int/); global nitrogen deposition
400	is available from Oak Ridge National Laboratory (www.ornl.gov); global freeze and thaw
401	status is available from the National Snow and Ice Data Center (nsidc.org/); global
402	terrestrial ecosystems are available from World Wildlife Fund (www.worldwildlife.org).
403	In addition to all of these data sources, there are numerous others to find online and in the
404	published literature. One may use any reliable map as a reference for the environmental
405	condition at sample localities.

Functional Traits

Functional traits should be measured or categorized for each species that has the functional trait of interest within each community. Ideally, one would measure the traits from all the species that occur at each sampling location; however, a species value may be used for all of the locations in which that species occurs. Species values for traits may be collected from measuring specimens directly or from obtaining measures from the published literature. Typically only one value for each species is necessary; however, if

413 there is strong geographic variation, the analysis can include species values that are 414 geographically explicit. If the functional trait is variable within species to the extent that 415 it causes performance differences, then individuals should be sorted along the 416 environmental gradient, but if that variation does not cause performance differences with 417 respect to the environmental gradient, then it is reasonable to ignore the within species 418 variation. The functional traits may be continuous or categorical in nature.

419 The equipment necessary for collecting the measurements of functional traits 420 greatly varies depending on the trait of interest. One may collect trait data from published 421 literature, and thus, no equipment is necessary. If measurements are taken directly from 422 specimens, the researcher might want to photograph specimens or use calipers to take 423 direct measurements from specimens. If photographs are taken to investigate specimens, 424 the orientation of the camera and the orientation of the specimen should be consistent for 425 all photographs and photos should include a scale. For classifications or counts, no 426 equipment is necessary, unless the researcher requires magnification. For geometric 427 morphometrics, a camera and scale bar, a 3D scanner, or other digitizing equipment is 428 necessary.

429

Data Analysis

For each sample site, a list of the relevant species that co-occur there must be assembled. The distribution of functional traits in the community members can then be measured. For the remaining examples, we will use the trait mean to summarize that distribution, but other distributional statistics such as variation, standard deviation, range, or median may be appropriate depending on the expected relationship between the trait and its associated environmental parameter. It is useful to assemble data from all

436 sampling sites into a table with the mean trait values and the associated environmental 437 values in columns and sites in the rows. If there is more than one environmental variable 438 associated with the trait of interest, then in this table each variable requires its own 439 column. Ecometric correlation can easily be calculated from this table as the Pearson's 440 correlation coefficient (r), or a nonparametric equivalent, of the two columns as a 441 measure of correspondence between the environmental parameter and the ecometric trait. 442 If the performance relationship between trait and environment is known, the ecometric 443 load can be calculated as the mean absolute difference between the observed trait means 444 and the expected values for all rows in the table (i.e. the residual between the observed 445 and expected values). Note that ecometric load is largely independent of the ecometric 446 correlation, except insofar that a weak correlation requires at least some mismatch 447 between performance optimum and realized trait mean and thus cannot have a load of 448 zero (Polly et al. 2016a). Ecometric patterns with strong correlations can also have strong 449 loads. Establish a transfer function to determine the specific relationship between the two 450 variables (i.e. it estimates function coefficients). If there are fossil localities to estimate 451 past abiotic conditions, then apply the transfer function to the mean of the functional 452 traits measured from the fossils at a single fossil site. Calculate confidence limits for the 453 estimated abiotic condition.

Ecometric estimates of paleoenvironment can be made from a regression-based transfer function or from a likelihood distribution of environmental values given an observed ecometric value. If regression-based methods are used, reduced major axis (RMA) regression may be the most appropriate if there is uncertainty in the independent variable. Transfer functions are prediction equations derived from regressing the

459	environmental variable of interest onto the predictive trait value. Different transfer
460	functions are used depending on the type of trait variable and the type of environmental
461	variable. Other considerations include the type of predicted relationship between the trait
462	and environment and the statistical fitting procedures.
463	Transfer functions have been typically calibrated with modern distributions of
464	species and their functional traits. For optimal use of the transfer function, for both
465	reconstructing abiotic condition and tracking trait distributions through time, the
466	functional trait should be either directly fossilizable or able to be estimated from fossil
467	specimens. For example, hypsodonty may be estimated directly from fossil specimens
468	(Fortelius et al. 2002) and body size may be accurately estimated from fragmentary
469	skeletal and dental remains (Alexander 1989; MacFadden 1990).
470	
471	V. STRENGTHS OF APPROACH
472	
472 473	Ecometrics allows us to quantify the dynamic relationships between organisms
472 473 474	Ecometrics allows us to quantify the dynamic relationships between organisms and their environment. Arguably, the primary strength is that ecometric traits are
472 473 474 475	Ecometrics allows us to quantify the dynamic relationships between organisms and their environment. Arguably, the primary strength is that ecometric traits are predictable and transferable through space and time. Since ecometrics uses taxon-free
472 473 474 475 476	Ecometrics allows us to quantify the dynamic relationships between organisms and their environment. Arguably, the primary strength is that ecometric traits are predictable and transferable through space and time. Since ecometrics uses taxon-free descriptors of community characteristics, it allows for the comparison of community
472 473 474 475 476 477	Ecometrics allows us to quantify the dynamic relationships between organisms and their environment. Arguably, the primary strength is that ecometric traits are predictable and transferable through space and time. Since ecometrics uses taxon-free descriptors of community characteristics, it allows for the comparison of community changes in the modern world to those in deep time (Eronen <i>et al.</i> 2010a; Polly <i>et al.</i>
472 473 474 475 476 477 478	Ecometrics allows us to quantify the dynamic relationships between organisms and their environment. Arguably, the primary strength is that ecometric traits are predictable and transferable through space and time. Since ecometrics uses taxon-free descriptors of community characteristics, it allows for the comparison of community changes in the modern world to those in deep time (Eronen <i>et al.</i> 2010a; Polly <i>et al.</i> 2011). The species that make up the community in the modern world do not need to be
472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479	Ecometrics allows us to quantify the dynamic relationships between organisms and their environment. Arguably, the primary strength is that ecometric traits are predictable and transferable through space and time. Since ecometrics uses taxon-free descriptors of community characteristics, it allows for the comparison of community changes in the modern world to those in deep time (Eronen <i>et al.</i> 2010a; Polly <i>et al.</i> 2011). The species that make up the community in the modern world do not need to be the same as those that make up the paleocommunity; the only thing the two communities
472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480	Ecometrics allows us to quantify the dynamic relationships between organisms and their environment. Arguably, the primary strength is that ecometric traits are predictable and transferable through space and time. Since ecometrics uses taxon-free descriptors of community characteristics, it allows for the comparison of community changes in the modern world to those in deep time (Eronen <i>et al.</i> 2010a; Polly <i>et al.</i> 2011). The species that make up the community in the modern world do not need to be the same as those that make up the paleocommunity; the only thing the two communities need to share is the functional trait under study. Because ecometrics focuses on traits that

482	geographic and temporal scales (Wolfe 1994; Wether et al. 1999; Thompson et al. 2001;
483	Fortelius et al. 2002). This quality allows ecometrics to be applied at local community
484	levels up to global community levels. It also allows for the comparison of ecometric
485	patterning across these scales. In addition, many ecometric traits are easily measured on
486	both animal and plant fossils (Alexander 1989; MacFadden 1990; Fortelius et al. 2002;
487	Royer et al. 2005; Head et al. 2009).
488	
489	VI. BIASES AND SHORTCOMINGS
490	
491	The incomplete nature of the fossil record may influence the quality of data in
492	ecometric studies. However, Polly and Sarwar (2014) showed that even if only 25% of
493	the species of a community are found in a fossil locality, the ecometric patterns still
494	appear. Taphonomic issues with the fossil preservation should also be taken into account,
495	because the functional traits used in ecometric studies need to be measurable or inferred
496	from the fossil remains. It has often not been the case where this is an issue (Alexander
497	1989; MacFadden 1990; Fortelius et al. 2002; Royer et al. 2005; Head et al. 2009; Peppe
498	et al. 2011; Wilson et al. 2012) and there are various ways to remove the taphonomic
499	influence from the fossil, thus returning it, or a model of it, to its original state (i.e.,
500	retrodeformation methods; Webster and Hughes 1999; Angielczyk and Sheets 2007).
501	Several important assumptions are made with the ecometric approach, including,
502	that the ecometric relationship doesn't change through time and that the full range of
503	morphologies and environmental conditions are represented (i.e. they are not truncated -
504	there are no biased extinctions and only analogous climate conditions). If these are

505	reasonable assumptions for the ecometric trait in question, one may use an ecometric
506	relationship to reconstruct paleoclimate and paleoenvironment, given a couple more
507	assumptions about the fossil localities. The community of fossils within the fossil
508	localities being studied should represent the actual community of species that co-occurred
509	with the depositional environment. In addition, the functional traits should be measurable
510	in the preserved fossils. More work is needed to quantify coefficients describing the
511	relationship between functional traits and environmental conditions to understand if and
512	how they change through time and space.
513	More research needs to be done concerning intraspecific variation. Intraspecific
514	variation, at least in regards to carnivoran calcaneum gear ratios, is high, and it does not
515	follow community level ecometric patterning. Models seem to support the idea that this is
516	possible due to the high level of gene flow within populations, but the overall signal at
517	the community level overshadows the intraspecific variation of the populations.
518	
519	VII. ECOMETRICS EXAMPLE
520	
521	In this section we provide a worked example of how mean annual temperature can
522	be estimated from the distribution of body masses in North American mammals using a
523	likelihood approach. In addition to presenting the method, we also discuss the theory for
524	why ecometric distributions are related to local environment and how our methodology is
525	related to that theory.
526	When the relationship between trait performance and environment is known, the
527	likelihood function can, in theory, be determined a priori (Arnold 1983). Performance-

528	environment relationships can sometimes be estimated for traits recovered in fossils using
529	tools such as finite element analysis, hydrodynamic or mechanical principles, or
530	functional morphological experimentation (e.g., Baumiller et al. 1991; Rayfield 2007;
531	Wroe 2008; Shino and Suzuki 2011; Stayton 2011; Polly et al. 2016b). However, in
532	many cases the performance-environment relationship is unknown, even when the trait-
533	function relationship is well understood. For example, the functional role of hind-limb
534	gear ratio of mammals is understood (it is related through physical lever principles to the
535	efficiency of hind limb extension, and thus to the mechanics of walking, springing,
536	climbing, and running), but its distribution in a particular environment is difficult to
537	predict a priori because it is likely to be influenced by a combination of factors such as
538	vegetation physiognomy, terrain ruggedness, substrate, snow cover, and predator-prey
539	interactions (Klein et al. 1987; Polly 2008, 2010; Crête and Lariviere 2003). In such
540	cases, likelihoods can be estimated directly from empirical data by making the
541	assumption that the distribution of traits in modern communities is close enough to
542	equilibrium to be representative. Polly and Sarwar (2014) found this to be a reasonable
543	assumption for carnivore limb ratios, but care should be taken before generalizing that
544	result to other traits.
545	
546	[insert Figure 2 near here]
547	

548 Likelihoods for paleoenvironmental parameters can be estimated empirically by
549 projecting environmental variables into an ecometric space, which is a mathematical
550 space whose axes are statistical descriptors of the distribution of traits in local

551 communities (Figure 2A). Ecometric space is in some ways the conceptual opposite of 552 Hutchinson's (1957) niche space, in which a species' niche is the volume it occupies in a 553 multidimensional space whose axes are environmental variables. Translated into 554 ecometric terms, the niche of a species is circumscribed by the performance of the 555 functional traits that relate it to the environmental factors. An ecometric space inverts that 556 relationship by mapping the distribution of environmental parameters on trait-based axes, 557 except that those axes describe the distribution of traits in community assemblages rather 558 than the traits of a single species. Figure 2A shows mean annual temperature mapped 559 into an ecometric space whose axes are defined by the distribution of log body mass (kg) 560 of mammals.

To create this ecometric space we sampled mammal faunas at 50 km intervals across the entirety of North America. At each sampling point we calculated the mean and standard deviation of the body masses (kg) of the local mammals from the data compiled by Smith *et al.* (2003) and we recorded the local mean annual temperature (MAT) from Hijmans *et al.* (2005).

Estimating the likelihood of MAT from community trait characteristics is then a three-step process. First, the trait data should be binned so that a frequency distribution of the environmental variable can be calculated. The number of bins is arbitrary, but it should be coarse enough to encompass a reasonable number of communities in the densest areas of the trait space, yet fine enough to be biologically meaningful. The ideal bin size would be about as wide as the standard errors of the means and standard deviations in the bin. We divided our ecometric space into 625 bins (25 X 25).

573	Second, the MAT observations in each trait bin were themselves binned to
574	tabulate their frequency distribution. The number of temperature bins is also arbitrary (we
575	used a bin size of 1°C). Once binned, a discrete probability density function (PDF) can be
576	estimated by dividing the bin counts by the total number of observations. A continuous
577	PDF can also be fit to the frequency data. Figure 2B shows a continuous PDF for
578	temperature in communities with a mean ln body mass between 3.05 (21.11 kg) and 3.13
579	(22.87 kg) and a standard deviation between 1.05 and 1.10 (black box in Figure 2A)
580	based on a Gaussian kernel density estimate with bandwidth of 1°C.
581	With these pieces in place, a maximum likelihood estimate of the environmental variable
582	(MAT) can be made by measuring the trait (body mass) mean and standard deviation at a
583	site of interest and then finding the environmental value that maximizes the PDF. The
584	maximum likelihood estimates of MAT for all the sampling locations in North America
585	are shown in Figure 2C, compared with the real MAT values in Figure 2D. This
586	estimate (MAT at sampling locations) is reasonable, but tends to be too low in high
587	elevation areas and too warm at lower elevations (see anomaly map in Figure 2E). On
588	average, the discrepancy between the real MAT and the estimate based on mammal body
589	masses was 3.9°C, which serves as an approximate standard error (but one that is
590	probably underestimated because the likelihood functions were estimated from the same
591	data on which they were tested).
592	One of the primary advantages of using likelihood and ecometric spaces is that the
593	likelihoods of alternative reconstructions can be compared. For example, the maximum
594	likelihood estimate of MAT for the Central American location highlighted by the black
595	circles in Figure 2C-E is 24.95°C with <i>L</i> (24.95)=0.274 derived from the PDF for that

location (Figure 2B). The real MAT is 25.3°C, which has $L(25.3)=0.265$, which is
clearly much more plausible with a likelihood ratio of 0.967 than an MAT of 20°C,
where $L(20.0)=0.024$ produces a likelihood ratio of only 0.088. This approach can be
developed into a formal likelihood ratio test of alternative hypotheses about
paleoenvironment, and it can be combined with prior probabilities from independent
paleoenvironmental proxies into a Bayesian framework (Polly and Head 2015).
The second advantage of the likelihood approach is that incommensurable traits can be
combined. Mammalian body mass distributions were fairly good predictors of MAT, as
might be expected by extrapolating Bergmann's rule, which postulates that subspecies in
colder climates will have larger body mass than their conspecifics elsewhere (Bergmann
1947; Scholander 1955; Mayr 1963), to the level of species and communities. However,
homeothermic mammals are well-insulated from climate and their body size may not be
as good predictors of MAT as that of ectotherms (Head et al. 2009). Because large
ectothermic animals require warm ambient temperatures to sustain their growth rate, the
size range of species in hot climates is expected to be greater than in cold climates
(Makarieva et al. 2005). Using a dataset of ventral scale count as a proxy for size
assembled by Lawing et al. (2012), we repeated the likelihood estimation for MAT in
North America using the range of log scale count and its standard deviation as the
dimensions of the ecometric space (Figure 2F). These two parameters are both measures
of dispersion and are therefore correlated, yet pick out different aspects of the distribution
of body size in local communities. These data yield a similar likelihood function for the
site in Central America, but with a narrower peak of predicted MAT (Figure 2G).
Because the likelihood functions are probabilities, they can be combined by multiplying

619	them and renormalizing so that the area under them is 1.0. The resulting function gives
620	the likelihood of MAT given the distribution of body masses in mammals and the
621	distribution of ventral scale counts in snakes. This combined likelihood function provides
622	a better estimate of temperature than either trait does alone (Figure 2H-J). The mean
623	anomaly between estimated and real temperature based on the combined estimate is only
624	2.4°C, much better than with mammals alone. Sacrificed is the ability to estimate MAT in
625	the northern part of the continent where the climate is too cold for snakes to live.
626	A fully worked ecometrics example and R code is documented in Appendix I.
627	
628	VIII. FUTURE PROSPECTS
629	
630	Several aspects of ecometrics need to be addressed with future research. These
631	areas include-intraspecific variation, abundance, phylogenetics, combining multiple
632	ecometrics, conservation, and ecosystem services. Polly et al. (2016a) took first steps to
633	identify and define a theory of ecogeography- moving from pattern to process with
634	ecometric modeling. They used modeling to simulate the affects of changing
635	microevolutionary processes on the ecometric patterning seen at the community level. As
636	this area of interest progresses, eventually we hope to be able to observe ecometric
637	patterns and determine which microevolutionary and macroevolutionary processes caused
638	the patterns we see. One area of ecometric study that deserves further study is the affects
639	of intraspecific variation in ecometric patterns. Polly et al. (per comm) show that while
640	intraspecific variation, at least in regards to carnivoran calcaneum gear ratios, is high, it
641	does not follow community level ecometric patterning. Models seem to support the idea

642 that this is possible due to the high level of gene flow within populations, but the overall 643 signal at the community level overshadows the intraspecific variation of the populations. 644 Another future development in the field of ecometrics is the prospect of marine 645 ecometrics (Wallin 1991; Yasuhara et al. 2015). Due to the depositional environments 646 found in marine systems, marine fossils are the majority of fossils found (Sepkoski 647 1978). Marine fossils are often used in isotopic studies to reconstruct paleoecology 648 (Bowen 1964; Anderson and Arthur 1983; Krantz et al. 1987; Wefer and Berger 1991; 649 Rodrigues et al. 2014; Huyghe et al. 2015; Reich et al. 2015). The changes in isotopic 650 fractionation may follow geographical gradients similar to an ecometric (Marchais et al. 651 2013; Lynch-Stieglitz et al. 2015; Mackenzie et al. 2014; Beard et al. 2015). However, 652 the isotopic fractionation process is not a morophological trait, it is a metabolic functional 653 trait, and as such, follows different biological, physical, and chemical rules. 654 New advances in remote sensing capabilities could alter the accuracy of our 655 estimates of both species geographic ranges and the environmental condition in which 656 they occur. Remote sensing appears like it will be quite useful when studying changes in 657 plant community structures over large geographic regions. It allows for a level of detail in 658 which single plants are recognizable across regional scales (Jones and Vaughan 2010). 659 Geometric morphometrics will also allow for the study of ecometrics when a 660 particular functional trait changes size across age, but doesn't change shape (or vice 661 versa). New advances will also allow for 3D modeling of functional traits. This may 662 allow for the identification of aspects of the morphology that are better ecometrics than 663 those being used. It can also allow for better ecometrics since we will no longer be using 664 linear or volumetric measurements, but instead use the full 3D shape. Recent work by

665	Polly (2010) and Wilson et al. (2012) has shown that this is a viable avenue of future
666	inquiry.
667	
668	IX. REFERENCES
669	
670	Alexander, R. M. (1989). Optimization and gaits in the locomotion of vertebrates.
671	Physiological Reviews, 69, 1199-1227.
672	Anderson, T.F. & Arthur, M. A. (1983). Stable isotopes of oxygen and carbon and
673	their application to sedimentologic and paleoenvironmental problems. In M.
674	A. Arthur, T. F. Anderson, I. R. Kaplan, J. Veizer, & L. S. Land (Eds.),
675	Stable Isotopes in Sedimentary Geology (pp. 1 - 151), Tulsa, OK: SEPM Short
676	Course.
677	Andrews, P. & Hixson, S. (2014). Taxon-free methods of palaeoecology. Annales
678	Zoologici Fennici, 51, 269-284.
679	Angielczyk, K.D. & Sheets, H.D. (2007). Investigation of simulated tectonic
680	deformation in fossils using geometric morphometrics. Paleobiology, 33, 125-
681	148.
682	Arnold, S. J. (1983). Morphology, performance and fitness. American Zoologist, 23,
683	347-361.
684	Ashton, K. G. (2002). Do amphibians follow Bergmann's rule? Canadian Journal of
685	Zoology, 80, 708-716.
686	Bailey, I. W. & Sinnott, E. W. (1915). A botanical index of Cretaceous and Tertiary
687	climates. Science, 41, 831-834.

688	Barnosky, A. D., E. A. Hadly, P. Gonzalez, J. J. Head, P. D. Polly, A. M. Lawing, J.
689	T. Eronen, D. D. Ackerly, K. Alex, E. Biber, J. Blois, J. Brashares, G.
690	Ceballos, E. Davis, G. P. Dietl, R. Dirzo, H. Doremus, M. Fortelius, H. W.
691	Greene, J. Hellmann, T. Hickler, S. T. Jackson, M. Kemp, P. L. Koch, C.
692	Kremen, E. L. Lindsey, C. Looy, C. R. Marshall, C. Mendenhall, A.S Mulch,
693	A. M. Mychajliw, C. Nowak, U. Ramakrishnan, J. Schnitzler, K. D. Shrestha,
694	K. Solari, L. Stegner, M. A. Stegner, N. Chr. Stenseth, M. H. Wake. Z. Zhang.
695	2017. Merging paleobiology with conservation biology to guide the future of
696	terrestrial ecosystems. Science, 355 (6325). doi: 10.1126/science.aah4787
697	Baumiller, T. K., LaBarbera, M. & Woodley, J. D. (1991). Ecology and functional
698	morphology of the isocrinid Cenocrinus asterius (Linnaeus) (Echinodermata:
699	Crinoidea): in situ and laboratory experiments and observations. Bulletin of
700	Marine Science, 48, 731-748.
701	Beard, J. A., Ivany, L. C. & Runnegar, B. (2015). Gradients in seasonality and
702	seawater oxygen isotopic composition along the early Permian Gondwanan
703	coast, SE Australia: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 425, 219-231.
704	Beerling, D., Lomax, B., Royer, D., Upchurch, G. & Kump, L. (2002). An
705	atmospheric pCO2 reconstruction across the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary
706	from leaf megafossils. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of
707	the United States of America, 99, 7836–7840.
708	Beerling, D. J., Fox, A., Stevenson, D. S. & Valdes, P. J. (2011). Enhanced
709	chemistry-climate feedbacks in past greenhouse worlds. Proceedings of the
710	National Academy of Sciences, 108, 9770-9775.

711	Belmaker, J. & Jetz, W. (2012). Regional pools and environmental controls of
712	vertebrate assemblages. American Naturalist, 179, 512-523.
713	Bergmann, C. (1847). Ueber die Verhältnisse der Wärmeökonomie der Thiere zu
714	ihrer Grösse. Gottinger studien, 3, 595-708.
715	Blackburn, T. M., Gaston, K. J. & Loder, N. (1999). Geographic gradients in body
716	size: a clarification of Bergmann's rule. Diversity and Distributions, 5, 165-
717	174.
718	Blackburn, T. M. & Hawkins, B. A. (2004). Bergmann's rule and the mammal fauna
719	of northern North America. Ecography, 27, 715-724.
720	Bowen, R. (1964). Oxygen isotope paleotemperature measurements on Mesozoic
721	Belemnoidea and their importance in paleoclimatic studies. In U. Colombo &
722	G. D. Hobson (Eds.) Advances in organic Geochemistry (pp. 271-283). New
723	York, NY: Pergamon.
724	Chapin, F. S. III. (1993). Functional role of growth forms in ecosystem and global
725	processes. In J. R. Ehleringer & C. B. Field (Eds.) Scaling Physiological
726	Processes: Leaf to Globe (pp. 287-312). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
727	Cornwell, W. K. & Ackerly, D. D. (2009). Community assembly and shifts in plant
728	trait distributions across an environmental gradient in coastal California.
729	Ecological Monographs, 79, 109-126.
730	Crête, M. & Lariviere, S. (2003). Estimating the costs of locomotion in snow for
731	coyotes. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 81, 1808-1814.
732	Damuth, J. D., Jablonski, D., Harris, R. M., Potts, R., Stucky, R. K., Sues, H. D. &
733	Weishampel, D. B. (1992). Taxon-free characterization of animal

734	communities. In A. K. Beherensmeyer, J. D. Damuth, W. A. diMichele, R.
735	Potts, H. D. Sues, & S. L. Wing (Eds.) Terrestrial Ecosystems Through Time:
736	Evolutionary Paleoecology of Terrestrial Plants and Animals (pp. 183-203).
737	Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
738	Damuth, J. D. & Janis, C. M. (2011). On the relationship between hyposodonty and
739	feeding ecology in ungulate mammals and its utility in paleaeoecology.
740	Biological Reviews, 86, 733-758.
741	Darwin, C. R. & Wallace, A. R. (1858). On the tendency of species to form varieties;
742	and on the perpetuation of varieties and species by natural means of selection.
743	Journal of the Proceedings of the Linnean Society of London. Zoology, 3, 45-
744	50.
745	Díaz, S. & Cabido, M. (2001). Vive la différence: plant functional diversity matters to
746	ecosystem processes. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 16, 646-655.
747	Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., Bini, L. M., Rodriguez, M. A., Rangel, T. F. L. & Hawkins, B. A.
748	(2007). Seeing the forest for the trees: partitioning ecological and
749	phylogenetic components of Bergmann's rule in European Carnivora.
750	<i>Ecography</i> , 30, 598-608.
751	Eronen, J. T., Polly, P. D., Fred, M., Damuth, J., Frank, D. C., Mosbrugger, V.,
752	Scheidegger, C., Stenseth, N. C. & Fortelius, M. (2010a). Ecometrics: the
753	traits that bind the past and present together. Integrative Zoology, 5, 88-101.
754	Eronen, J., Puolamaki, K., Liu, L., Lintulaakso, K., Damuth, J., Janis, C. & Fortelius,
755	M. (2010b). Precipitation and large herbivorous mammals I: estimates from
756	present-day communities. Evolutionary Ecology Research, 12, 217-233.

757	Eronen, J. T., Fortelius, M., Micheels, A., Portmann, F. T., Puolamäki, K. & Janis, C.
758	M. (2012). Neogene Aridification of the Northern Hemisphere. Geology, 40,
759	823-826.
760	Evans, A. R. (2013). Shape descriptors as ecometrics in dental ecology. Hystrix, the
761	Italian Journal of Mammalogy, 24, 133-140.
762	Fortelius, M., Eronen, J. T., Jernvall, J., Liu, L. P., Pushkina, D., Rinne, J., Tesakov,
763	A. et al. (2002). Fossil mammals resolve regional patterns of Eurasian climate
764	change over 20 million years. Evolutionary Ecology Research, 4, 1005-1016.
765	Fortelius, M., Eronen, J. T., Kaya, F., Tang, H., Raia, P. & Puolamäki, K. (2014).
766	Evolution of Neogene mammals in Eurasia: environmental forcing and biotic
767	interactions. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 42, 579-604.
768	Fox, B. J. (1987). Species assembly and the evolution of community structure.
769	Evolutionary Ecology, 1, 201-213.
770	Freckleton, R. P., Harvey, P. H. & Pagel, M. (2003). Bergmann's rule and body size
771	in mammals. The American Naturalist, 161, 821-825.
772	Gaston, K.J., Chown, S.L. & Evans, K.L. (2008). Ecogeographical rules: elements of
773	a synthesis. Journal of Biogeography, 35, 483-500.
774	Head, J. J., Bloch, J. I., Hastings, A. K., Bourque, J. R., Cadena, E. A., Herrera, F. A.,
775	Polly, P.D. & Jaramillo, C. A. (2009). Giant boid snake from the Palaeocene
776	neotropics reveals hotter past equatorial temperatures. Nature, 457, 715-717.
777	Head, J. J., G. F. Gunnell, P. A. Holroyd, J. H. Hutchison, & R. L. Ciochon. (2013).
778	Giant lizards occupied herbivorous mammalian ecospace during the

779	Paleogene greenhouse in Southeast Asia. Proceedings of the Royal Society of
780	London, Series B., 280, 20130665.
781	Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, J. L., Parra, P. G., Jones, P. G. & Jarvis, A. (2005). Very
782	high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas.
783	International Journal of Climatology, 25, 1965-1978.
784	Huyghe, D., Lartaud, F., Emmanuel, L., Merle, D. & Renard, M. (2015). Palaeogene
785	climate evolution in the Paris Basin from oxygen stable isotope (δ 18O)
786	compositions of marine molluscs. Journal of the Geological Society, 172,
787	576-587.
788	Jackson, S. T. & Overpeck, J. T. (2000). Responses of plant populations and
789	communities to environmental changes of the late Quaternary.
790	Paleobiology, 26, 194-220.
791	Janis, C. M. & Fortelius, M. (1988). On the means whereby mammals achieve
792	increased functional durability of their dentitions, with special reference to
793	limiting factors. Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society,
794	63, 197-230.
795	Jones, H. G. & Vaughan, R. A. (2010). Remote sensing of vegetation: principles,
796	techniques, and applications. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
797	Klein, D. R., Meldgaard, M. & Fancy, S. G. (1987). Factors determining leg length in
798	Rangifer tarandus. Journal of Mammalogy, 68, 642-655.
799	Krantz, D. E., Williams, D. F. & Jones, D. S. (1987). Ecological and
800	paleoenvironmental information using stable isotope profiles from living and
- 801 fossil molluscs. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 58,
 802 249-266.
- 803 Lawing, A. M., Eronen, J. T., Blois, J. L., Graham, C. H. & Polly, P. D. (2016).
- 804 Community functional trait composition at the continental scale: the effects of
- 805 non-ecological processes. *Ecography*, EarlyView DOI: 10.1111/ecog.01986
- Lawing, A. M., Head, J. J. & Polly, P. D. (2012). The ecology of morphology: the
- 807 ecometrics of locomotion and macroenvironment in North American snakes.
- 808 In J. Louys (Eds). *Paleontology in Ecology and Conservation* (pp. 117-146).
 809 Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- 810 Lincoln, R. J. B. & Clark, G. A. (1982). A dictionary of ecology, evolution and
- 811 *systematics*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 812 Little, S. A., Kembel, S. W. & Wilf, P. (2010). Paleotemperature Proxies from Leaf
 813 Fossils Reinterpreted in Light of Evolutionary History. *PLoS One*, 5, e15161.
- 814 Liu, L., Puolamäki, K., Eronen, J.T., Ataabadi, M.M., Hernesniemi, E. & Fortelius,
- 815 M., 2012. Dental functional traits of mammals resolve productivity in
- 816 terrestrial ecosystems past and present. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of*
- 817 *London B: Biological Sciences*, 20120211.
- 818 Lynch-Stieglitz, J., Polissar, P. J., Jacobel, A. W., Hovan, S. A., Pockalny, R. A.,
- 819 Lyle, M., Murray, R. W., Ravelo, A. C., Bova, S. C., Dunlea, A. G., Ford, H.
- 820 L., Hertzberg, J. E., Wertman, C. A., Maloney, A. E., Shackford, J. K.,
- 821 Wejnert, K. & Xie, R. C. (2015). Glacial-interglacial changes in central
- tropical Pacific surface seawater property gradients: *Paleoceanography*, 30,
- 823 423-438.

824	MacFadden, B. J. (1990). Body size in mammalian paleobiology: estimation and
825	biological implications. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
826	Makarieva, A. M., Gorshkov, V. G. & Li, B. L. (2005). Temperature-associated upper
827	limits to body size in terrestrial poikilotherms. Oikos, 111, 425-436.
828	MacKenzie, K. M., Longmore, C., Preece, C., Lucas, C. H., & Trueman, C. N.
829	(2014). Testing the long-term stability of marine isoscapes in shelf seas using
830	jellyfish tissues. Biogeochemistry, 121, 441-454.
831	Marchais, V., Schaal, G., Grall, J., Lorrain, A., Nerot, C., Richard, P. & Chauvaud, L.
832	(2013). Spatial Variability of Stable Isotope Ratios in Oysters (Crassostrea
833	gigas) and Primary Producers Along an Estuarine Gradient (Bay of Brest,
834	France). Estuaries and Coasts, 36, 808-819.
835	Mayr, E. (1963). Animal Species and Evolution. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Belknap
836	Press of Harvard University Press.
837	Mendoza, M., Janis, C. M. & Palmqvist, P. 2005. Ecological patterns in the trophic-
838	size structure of large mammal communities: a 'taxon-free' characterization.
839	Evolutionary Ecology Research, 7, 505-530.
840	Owen-Smith, R. N. (2002). Adaptive herbivore ecology: from resources to
841	populations in variable environments. Cambridge University Press.
842	Peppe, D. J., Royer, D. L., Cariglino, B., Oliver, S. Y., Newman, S., Leight, E.,
843	Enikolopov, G., Fernandez-Burgos, M., Herrera, F., Adams, J. M. & Correa,
844	E. (2011). Sensitivity of leaf size and shape to climate: global patterns and
845	paleoclimatic applications. New Phytologist, 190, pp.724-739.

846	Poff, N. L. (1997). Landscape filters and species traits: towards mechanistic
847	understanding and prediction in stream ecology. Journal of the North
848	American Benthological Society, 16, 391-409.
849	Polly, P. D. (2008). Adaptive Zones and the Pinniped Ankle: A 3D Quantitative
850	Analysis of Carnivoran Tarsal Evolution. In E. Sargis & M. Dagosto (Eds.),
851	Mammalian Evolutionary Morphology: A Tribute to Frederick S. Szalay (pp.
852	165-194). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer.
853	Polly, P. D. (2010). Tiptoeing through the trophics: geographic variation in
854	carnivoran locomotor ecomorphology in relation to environment. In A.
855	Goswami & A. Friscia (Eds.), Carnivoran evolution: new views on phylogeny,
856	form, and function (pp. 347-410). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University
857	Press.
858	Polly, P. D., Eronen, J. T., Fred, M., Dietl, G. P., Mosbrugger, V., Scheidegger, C.,
859	Frank, D. C., Damuth, J., Stenseth, N. C. & Fortelius, M. (2011). History
860	matters: ecometrics and integrative climate change biology. Proceedings of
861	the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, rspb20102233.
862	Polly, P. D. & Head, J. J. (2015). Measuring Earth-life transitions: ecometric analysis
863	of functional traits from late Cenozoic vertebrates. In P. D. Polly, J. J. Head,
864	& D. L. Fox (Eds.), Earth-Life Transitions: Paleobiology in the Context of
865	Earth System Evolution (pp. 21-46). The Paleontological Society Papers 21.
866	New Haven, CT: Yale Press.

867	Polly, P. D & Sarwar, S. (2014). Extinction, extirpation, and exotics: effects on the
868	correlation between traits and environment at the continental level. Annales
869	Zoologici Fennici, 51, 209-226.
870	Polly, P. D., Lawing, A. M., Eronen, J. T. & Schnitzler, J. (2016a). Processes of
871	ecometric patterning: modeling functional traits, environments, and clade
872	dynamics in deep time. Biological Journal of the Linnaean Society, 118, 39-
873	63.
874	Polly, P. D., Stayton, C. T., Dumont, E. R., Pierce, S. E., Rayfield, E. J. &
875	Angielczyk, K. (2016b). Combining geometric morphometrics and finite
876	element analysis with evolutionary modeling: towards a synthesis. Journal of
877	Vertebrate Paleontology, e1111225, 1-29.
878	Polly, P. D., Fuentes-Gonzales, J., Lawing, A. M., Bormet, A. K., & Dundas, R. G.
879	2017. Clade sorting has a greater effect than local adaptation on ecometric
880	patterns in Carnivora. Evolutionary Ecology Research, 18, 187-200. Rayfield,
881	E. J. (2007). Finite element analysis and understanding the biomechanics and
882	evolution of living and fossil organisms. Annual Review of Earth and
883	Planetary Sciences, 35, 541–576.
884	Reich, P. B., Walters, M. B. & Ellsworth, D. S. (1997). From tropics to tundra: global
885	convergence in plant functioning. Proceedings of the National Academy of
886	Sciences USA, 94, 13730-13734.
887	Reich, S., Warter, V., Wesselingh, F. P., Zwaan, J. C., Lourens, L. & Renema, W.
888	(2015). Paleoecological significance of stable isotope ratios in Miocene
889	tropical shallow marine habitats (Indonesia): Palaios, 30, 53-65.

890	Ricklefs, R. E. & Travis, J. (1980). A morphological approach to the study of Avian
891	community organization. The Auk, 97, 321-338.
892	Rodrigues, G. B., Fauth, G., Santos, R. V., Koutsoukos, E. A. M. & Colin, JP.,
893	(2014). Tracking paleoecological and isotopic changes through the K-Pg
894	boundary from marine ostracodes: The Poty quarry section, northeastern
895	Brazil. Cretaceous Research, 47, 105-116.
896	Rodríguez, M.Á., Olalla- Tárraga, M.Á. & Hawkins, B.A. (2008). Bergmann's rule
897	and the geography of mammal body size in the Western Hemisphere. Global
898	Ecology and Biogeography, 17, 274-283.
899	Royer, D.L. & Wilf, P. 2006. Why do toothed leaves correlate with cold climates?
900	Gas exchange at leaf margins provides new insights into a classic
901	paleotemperature proxy. International Journal of Plant Science, 167, 11-18.
902	Royer, D.L., Wilf, P., Janesko, D. A., Kowalski, E. A. & Dilcher, D. L. (2005).
903	Correlations of climate and plant ecology to leaf size and shape: potential
904	proxies for the fossil record. American Journal of Botany, 92, 1141-1151.
905	Saarinen, J. (2014). Ecometrics of large herbivorous land mammals in relation to
906	climatic and environmental changes during the Pleistocene. Department of
907	Geosciences and Geography. Uppsala, Sweden: Uppsala University
908	Dissertation.
909	Saarinen, J. & Karme, A. (2017). Tooth wear and diets of extant and fossil
910	xenarthrans (Mammalia, Xenarthra)–Applying a new mesowear approach.
911	Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 476, 42-54.

- 912 Scholander, P. F. (1955). Evolution of climatic adaptation in homeotherms. *Evolution*,
 913 9, 15-26.
- 914 Sepkoski, J.J. (1978). A kinetic model of Phanerozoic taxonomic diversity I. Analysis
 915 of marine orders. *Paleobiology*, 4, 223-251.
- Shino, Y. & Suzuki, Y. (2011). The ideal hydrodynamic form of the concavo-convex
 productide brachiopod shell. *Lethaia*, 44, 329-343.
- 918 Smith, F. A., Lyons, S. K., Ernest, S. K. M., Jones, K. E., Kauffman, D. M., Dayan,
- 919 T., Marquet, P. A., Brown, J. H. & Haskell, J. P. (2003). Body mass of late
 920 Quaternary mammals. *Ecology*, 84, 3403.
- 921 Stayton, C. T. (2011). Biomechanics on the half shell: functional performance
- 922 influences patterns of morphological variation in the emydid turtle carapace.
 923 Zoology, 114, 213–223.
- 924 Strömberg, C. A. E., Dunn, R. E., Madden, R. H., Kohn, M. J. & Carlini, A. A.
- 925 (2013). Decoupling the spread of grasslands from the evolution of grazer-type
 926 herbivores in South America. *Nature Communications*, 4, 1478.
- 927 Tapaltsyan, V., Eronen, J.T., Lawing, A.M., Sharir, A., Janis, C., Jernvall, J. & Klein,
- 928 O.D. (2015). Continuously growing rodent molars result from a predictable
 929 quantitative evolutionary change over 50 million years. *Cell reports*, *11*, 673930 680.
- 931 Violle, C., Navas, M. L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I. & Garnier,
- E. (2007). Let the concept of trait be functional! *Oikos*, 116, 882–892.

933	Wallin, M. (1991). Ecometric analysis of factors regulating eutrophication effects in
934	coastal waters: a case study of marine fish farms. Disciplinary Domain of
935	Science and Technology. Uppsala, Sweden: Uppsala University Dissertation.
936	Webster, M. & Hughes, N.C. (1999). Compaction-related deformation in Cambrian
937	olenelloid trilobites and its implications for fossil morphometry. Journal of
938	Paleontology, 73, 355-371.
939	Wefer, G. & Berger, W. H. (1991). Isotope paleontology: growth and composition of
940	extant calcareous specie. Marine Geology, 100, 207-248.
941	Wilf, P. (1997). When are leaves good thermometers? A new case for leaf margin
942	analysis. Paleobiology, 23, 373-390.
943	Wilson, G. P., Evans, A. R., Corfe, I. J., Smits, P. D., Fortelius, M. & Jernvall, J.
944	(2012). Adaptive radiation of multituberculate mammals before the extinction
945	of dinosaurs. Nature, 483, 457-460.
946	Wing, S. L., Harrington, G. L., Smith, F. A., Bloch, J. I., Boyer, D. M. & Freeman, K.
947	H. (2005). Transient floral change and rapid global warming at the
948	Paleoeocene-Eocene boundary. Science, 310, 993-996.
949	Wolfe, J. A. (1979). Temperature parameters of humid to mesic forests of eastern
950	Asia and relation to forests of other regions of the northern hemisphere and
951	Australasia. Geological Survey Professional Paper, 1106.
952	—. (1993). A method of obtaining climatic parameters from leaf assemblages.
953	Bulletin of the US Geological Survey, 2040.
954	—. (1994). Tertiary climatic changes at middle latitudes of western North America.
955	Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 108, 195-205.

956	Wright, I. J., Reich, P. B., Westoby, M., Ackerly, D. D., Baruch, Z., Bongers, F.,
957	Cavender-Bares, J., et al. (2004). The worldwide leaf economics spectrum.
958	Nature, 428, 821-827.
959	Wroe, S. (2008). Cranial mechanics compared in extinct marsupial and extant African
960	lions using a finite-element approach. Journal of Zoology, 274, 332-339.
961	Yasuhara, M., Tittensor, D. P., Hillebrand, H. & Worm, B. (2015). Combining marine
962	macroecology and palaeoecology in understanding biodiversity: microfossils
963	as a model. Biological Reviews, doi: 10.1111/brv.12223
964	Žliobaitė, I., Rinne, J., Tóth, A.B., Mechenich, M., Liu, L., Behrensmeyer, A.K. &
965	Fortelius, M. 2016. Herbivore teeth predict climatic limits in Kenyan
966	ecosystems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 201609409.

967 Figure 1. A. Example of an entire leaf margin from a live oak leaf modified from an 968 image by Jeremy Stovall. The leaf margin is entire when its margin is not punctuated. An 969 easy way to determine if the margin is entire or to quantify the entirety of the margin is to 970 overlay the image of the leaf with an oval, as is depicted in the figure with the red outline. 971 Entire leaf margins are characteristic of hotter environments. B. Example of a non-entire 972 leaf margin from a dwarf birch modified from an image by Fox Valley. Non-entire leaf 973 margins are characteristic of cooler environments. C. Example of hypsodonty in a rodent 974 modified by Tapaltsyan et al. 2015. Hypsodonty is measured by the crown to root ratio. 975 Hypsodonts are grazers and are characteristic of arid environments with more gritty 976 vegetation. D. Example of brachydonty in a rodent modified from Tapaltsyan et al. 2015. 977 Brachydonts are browsers and are found in more wet environments with mixed 978 vegetation. E. Example of a calcaneum from a raccoon modified from an image on 979 boneid.net. Gear ratio is measured on the calcaneum and is the ratio of the length of the 980 sustentacular facet to the total length of the calcaneum. The gear ratio for the raccoon is 981 typical of a plantigrade animal and is characteristic for animals living in high vegetation 982 cover (e.g., dense woodlands). F. Example of a calcaneum from a panther modified from 983 an image on boneid.net. The gear ratio in the panther is typical of a digitigrade animal 984 and is characteristic of animals that are adapted to run through open habitats.

985

Figure 2. A. Ecometric space for community-level mammalian body mass showing the
maximum likelihood estimation of mean annual temperature (MAT) for each bin. B.
Likelihood function for MAT based on mammal body mass at the point in Central

989 America highlighted by the black circles in C-E. C. MAT estimated from mammalian

- 990 body mass. D. Observed MAT. E. anomaly between estimated and observed MAT. F.
- 991 Ecometric space for snake body size showing the maximum likelihood estimate for MAT
- 992 in each bin. G. Likelihood function for MAT at the same point in Central America based
- 993 on snake size. H. MAT estimated from combined mammalian body mass and snake size.
- 994 I. Observed MAT. E. Anomaly between combined estimate and observed MAT.



1000 Figure 2



 $\begin{array}{c} 1001 \\ 1002 \end{array}$

1006	This section demonstrates an ecometric modeling workflow using the R Statistical
1007	Programing Language. To demonstrate these models in R, we will work with spatial data.
1008	There are special functions in two packages, <i>raster</i> and <i>sp</i> , that allow for relatively quick
1009	processing of spatial information (Bivand et al. 2015, Hijmans 2015, Pebesma and
1010	Bivand 2005). We will use climate data from the worldclim database (Hijmans et al.
1011	2005) and we will use trait data body mass and hypsodonty, from the PanTHERIA
1012	database (Jones et al. 2009) and from Eronen et al. (2010b). The code below in the gray
1013	boxes can be typed directly into an R console or can be entered into an R script file. The
1014	blue color indicates that the word is a function. The green color indicates that the text is a
1015	character string and the bright blue indicates that the text is recognized as a number. To
1016	start the analysis, load the two required libraries. If they are not installed yet on your
1017	computer, install them with the function install.packages().
1018	library(raster)
1019	## Loading required package: sp
1020	library(sp)
1021	
1022	Load the sampling locations and look at the first six rows of data with the functions
1023	read.csv() and head(). The first function read.csv() is a wrapper for another function

- 1024 called read.table(), which can be used in place of read.csv(), if the data are in tab
- 1025 delimited format. Use the help() function to see the documentation associated with each
- 1026 function.

1027 points <- read.csv("data/SamplingPoints.csv")

1028 **head**(points)

- 1029 ## GLOBALID Longitude Latitude
- 1030 ## 1 103148 -42.1727 83.26264
- 1031 ## 2 103149 -38.3442 83.26264
- 1032 ## 3 103150 -34.5156 83.26264
- 1033 ## 4 103151 -30.6871 83.26264
- 1034 ## 5 103152 -26.8586 83.26264
- 1035 ## 6 103235 -79.4690 82.81348
- 1036
- 1037 Plot the sampling locations with the function plot() to visualize the geographic
- 1038 distribution of the sampling locations. In this example, we use 50 km equidistant points
- sampled across North America. These are the same points used in Polly (2010).

1040 **plot**(points[,2:3], col = "gray", pch = 16)

1041



1043	Download raster climate data from the worldclim database using the getData() function
1044	from the package raster that we loaded with the library() function (Hijmans et al. 2005,
1045	Hijmans 2015). In this example, we download the 10 minute resolution, but if you would
1046	like to try a higher resolution data set, then change the argument named res to 2.5 or 0.5.
1047	Extract the temperature and precipitation for each sampling location using the extract()
1048	function.
1049	bioclim <- getData("worldclim", download = T, path = "data", var = "bio", res = 10)
1050	
1051	Extract the temperature for each sampling location.
1052	<pre>temperature <- extract(bioclim[[1]], points[,2:3])</pre>
1053	
1054	Calculate the temperature range for all the sampling localities to make a plot of the
1055	temperature. We add one to the range to make the range equal to index values that we can
1056	use to subset the color function. The R language starts the subset of data at an index value
1057	of 1. Calculate the color value associated with each temperature value and the
1058	temperature values associated with even breaks to assign legend values.
1059	temp_range <- 1 + max(temperature, na.rm = T) - min(temperature, na.rm = T)
1060	colfunc_temp <- colorRampPalette(c("darkblue", "blue", "gray", "yellow",
1061	"red"))(temp_range)[1 + temperature - min(temperature, na.rm = T)]
1062	h <- hist(temperature, main = "", xlab = "Mean Annual Temperature", col = "gray",
1063	breaks $= 5$)





Mean Annual Tempeature (C)



- 1070 Extract the precipitation for each sampling locality.
- 1071 precipitation <- extract(bioclim[[12]], points[,2:3])
- 1072
- 1073 Calculate the precipitation range for all the sampling localities to make a plot of the
- 1074 precipitation. Also, calculate color value associated with each precipitation value and the
- 1075 precipitation values associated with even breaks to assign legend values.

```
1076 precip_range <- 1 + max(log(precipitation), na.rm = T) - min(log(precipitation), na.rm =
```

- 1077 T)
- 1078 colfunc_pr <- colorRampPalette(c("brown", "green"))(precip_range)[1 +
- 1079 **log**(precipitation) **min**(**log**(precipitation), na.rm = T)]
- 1080 h <- hist(log(precipitation), breaks = 5, col = "gray")
- 1081

Histogram of log(precipitation)



- **plot**(points[,2:3], col = colfunc_pr, pch = 16, main = "Precipitation (mm)")
- **legend**(-36.25, 60.5, legend = **round**(**exp**(h\$breaks)), pch = 16, col =
- **colorRampPalette**(c("brown", "green"))(length(h\$breaks)))





1088 Compile the climate variables into a new data.frame called climate. Remove the variables

- 1089 that are taking up memory with the rm() function if your memory is getting sluggish.
- 1090 climate <- cbind(points, temperature, precipitation)
- *#rm(bioclim, temperature, precipitation, points)*
- 1093 Visually check the climate variables for normality and if they are not mostly normally
- 1094 distributed, transform them for normality.
- **head**(climate)

1096	## C	GLOBAL	ID Longit	ude Latitude t	emperature	precipitation
1097	## 1	103148	-42.1727	83.26264	-169	139
1098	## 2	103149	-38.3442	83.26264	-170	141
1099	## 3	103150	-34.5156	83.26264	-175	149
1100	## 4	103151	-30.6871	83.26264	-185	166
1101	## 5	103152	-26.8586	83.26264	-180	139
1102	## 6	103235	-79.4690	82.81348	-207	90
1103						
1104	hist(c	limate[,4], main =	"", xlab = "M	ean Annual	Temperature", col = "gray")



1108 Temperature appears to be mostly normally distributed, so now we check precipitation.



1111

1112 Precipitation appears to be log distributed. We log transform this variable to get it closer

- 1113 to normality.
- 1114 climate[,5] <- log(climate[,5])
- 1115 **hist**(climate[,5], main = "", xlab = "Annual Precipitation", col = "gray")



1117	Next, we read in the trait data from a folder called data. We assign the row names of the				
1118	new data frame to the names of the taxon within the dataset. We look at the first six rows				
1119	of the trait data frame with the head() function. The two traits that we use in this example				
1120	are body mass and hypsodonty index. Body mass is reported in grams and is the mass of				
1121	any adult reported in the PanTHERIA database (Jones et al. 2009) from live or freshly-				
1122	killed specimens. These include captive, wild, provisioned, or unspecified populations				
1123	and include male, female, and sex unspecified individuals. The mean for each species is				
1124	reported for each species. The second trait that we use is and index for hypsodonty from				
1125	Eronen <i>et al.</i> (2010b).				
1126	traits <- read.csv("data/NAmammalTraits.csv")				
1127	rownames(traits) <- traits\$TaxonName				
1128	head(traits)				
1129	## TaxonName BodyMass hypsodonty_index				
1130	## Didelphis virginiana Didelphis virginiana 3.387760 1				
1131	## Aplodontia rufa Aplodontia rufa 2.906448 3				
1132	## Sciurus carolinensis Sciurus carolinensis 2.736715 1				
1133	## Sciurus griseus Sciurus griseus 2.847480 1				
1134	## Sciurus niger NA 1				
1135	## Tamiasciurus douglasii Tamiasciurus douglasii 2.352183 1				
1136					
1137	Now we read in shapefiles containing polygons that represent the geographic ranges for				

all of the species of interest. These specific shape files were obtained from IUCN Redlist

- using their spatial data download option (www.iucnredlist.org). If you are dealing with
- 1140 large shapefiles, then this step will take a reasonable amount of processing time.
- 1141 geography <-
- **1142 shapefile**("data/TERRESTRIAL_MAMMALS/TERRESTRIAL_MAMMALS.shp")
- 1143
- 1144 Next we create a list of species at each sampling locality by first turning the sampling
- points into spatial points with the function SpatialPoints(). We assign the coordinate
- 1146 reference system of our spatial points to a proj4string to match the coordinate reference
- 1147 system of the spatial polygons representing the geographic ranges. We then create a list
- 1148 with the function over(). If you are dealing with large shapefiles, keep in mind that the
- 1149 over() function will take a reasonable amount of time to process.
- 1150 sp <- **SpatialPoints**(climate[,2:3], proj4string = **CRS**(**proj4string**(geography)))
- 1151 o <- over(sp, geography, returnList = T)
- 1152
- 1153 The sample size at each site is calculated by determining the length of the vector returned
- 1154 for each site. The ecometric for body mass and hypsodonty index are summarized for the
- 1155 community level distribution. Here, we summarize with the mean.
- 1156 richness <- unlist(lapply(o, function(x) length(traits[x\$binomial,"hypsodonty_index"])))
- 1157 ecometric_bodymass <- unlist(lapply(o, function(x))
- 1158 **mean**(traits[x\$binomial,"BodyMass"], na.rm = T)))
- 1159 ecometric_hypsodonty <- unlist(lapply(o, function(x))
- 1160 **mean**(traits[x\$binomial,"hypsodonty_index"], na.rm = T)))
- 1161

1162 FIRST APPROXIMATION WITH TRANSFER FUNCTION

1164 consider the relationship between hypsodonty and precipitation. We build a simple linear

Now we create a model describing the relationship between traits and climate. First we

- model to describe the variation in precipitation due to the variation in hypsodonty using
- the function lm(). We only use sites that we have data for more than five species. We
- 1167 look at a summary of the model using the function summary(). Both the intercept and the
- 1168 coefficient (here the coefficient represents the slope of the linear relationship) are not
- 1169 zero (p < 0.001). The amount of explained variation (R^2) is 30%. We then make a
- scatterplot of those variables to look at the general spread of data and add the linear
- 1171 model with the function abline().
- 1172 model_hyp <- lm(climate[richness > 5,5] ~ ecometric_hypsodonty[richness > 5])
- 1173 **summary**(model_hyp)
- 1174 ## Call:

1163

- 1175 ## $lm(formula = climate[richness > 5, 5] \sim ecometric hypsodonty[richness > 5])$
- 1176 *##* Residuals:
- 1177 ## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
- 1178 ## -1.9984 -0.3348 -0.0163 0.3821 4.3388
- 1179 ## Coefficients:
- 1180##Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
- 1181 ## (Intercept) 9.99886 0.06160 162.3 <2e-16
- 1182 ## ecometric_hypsodonty[richness > 5] -2.35602 0.03869 -60.9 <2e-16

- 1183 ## (Intercept)
- 1184 ## ecometric hypsodonty[richness > 5] ***

1185 ## ----

- 1186 ## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
- 1187 ## Residual standard error: 0.5834 on 8651 degrees of freedom
- 1188 *##* (15 observations deleted due to missingness)
- 1189 ## Multiple R-squared: 0.3001, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3
- 1190 ## F-statistic: 3709 on 1 and 8651 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
- 1191 **plot**(ecometric_hypsodonty[richness > 5], climate[richness > 5,5], xlab = "HYP", ylab =
- 1192 "Annual Precipitation", pch = 16, col = "gray")
- 1193 **abline**(model_hyp, col = "red", lwd = 4)
- 1194



From this model, we can see there is some predictive power in this transfer function, but the linear model does not capture the relationship well. In the next section we will show how to estimate annual precipitation from hypsodonty with a maximum likelihood approach that better captures the relationship between annual precipitation and hypsodonty.

- 1201 Now we create a model describing the relationship between the body mass and
- temperature. We build a linear model to describe the variation in body mass due to the
- 1203 variation in temperature using the function lm(). We look at a summary of the model
- using the function summary(). Both the intercept and all the coefficients are significantly
- 1205 different from zero (p < 0.001). The amount of explained variation (R2) is approximately
- 1206 34%. We then make a scatterplot of those variables to look at the general spread of data
- and add the model with the function curve().
- 1208 model_mass <- lm(climate[richness > 5,4] ~ ecometric_bodymass[richness > 5])
- 1209 **summary**(model_mass)
- 1210 ## Call:
- 1211 ## lm(formula = climate[richness > 5, 4] ~ ecometric_bodymass[richness > 5])
- 1212 ## Residuals:
- 1213 ## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
- 1214 ## -170.72 -65.22 -24.73 43.71 375.19
- 1215 *##* Coefficients:
- 1216 ## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
- 1217 ## (Intercept) 471.512 6.571 71.76 <2e-16 ***
- 1218 ## ecometric_bodymass[richness > 5] -153.559 2.304 -66.64 <2e-16 ***
- 1219 ## ----
- 1220 ## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
- 1221 ## Residual standard error: 92.6 on 8651 degrees of freedom
- 1222 ## (15 observations deleted due to missingness)

- 1223 ## Multiple R-squared: 0.3392, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3391
- 1224 ## F-statistic: 4440 on 1 and 8651 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
- 1225 **plot**(ecometric_bodymass[richness > 5], climate[richness > 5,4], ylab = "MAT", xlab =
- 1226 "Body Mass", pch = 16, col = "gray")
- 1227 **curve**(model_mass\$coefficients[1] + model_mass\$coefficients[2] * x, col = "red", lwd =
- 1228 4, add = T)
- 1229



1230

1231 From this model, we can see that, again, there is some predictive power in this transfer

1232 function, but the linear model does not capture the relationship well. In the next section

1233 we will show how to estimate mean annual temperature from body mass with a maximum

1234 likelihood approach that better captures the relationship between the two.

1235 The coefficients that were estimated in both of these models can be used to estimate

- 1236 paleotemperature and precipitation. Confidence limits can also be calculated given the
- 1237 input dataset. It is important to note that the size of the confidence limits will vary with

1238 climate. For example, between 5 C and 28 C, there is a stronger relationship with body

1239 size than below or above those temperatures. Hypsodonty has high variability throughout

1240 the precipitation range present in North America; however, there is a central tendency

about the average relationship between precipitation and hypsodonty index that is useful

1242 in reconstructing paleoprecipitation with confidence limits.

1243

1244 MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION

1245 Although transfer functions, while easy to apply and adequate for first approximations,

assume a fairly simple relationship one-to-one relationship between environment and trait

1247 means. Combining different traits that have functional relationships with the same

1248 environmental factor is also awkward with conventional regression-based transfer

1249 functions, especially if the traits are fundamentally different in kind or scale (e.g., body

1250 mass measured in kg and humerus shape measured in Procrustes units).

1251 An alternative strategy is to estimate the likelihood of environmental parameters given

the distribution of traits in a community (Lawing *et al.* 2012; Polly and Head 2015). This

approach, like many likelihood or Bayesian methods, requires far fewer assumptions

about the statistical distributions of variables and it allows otherwise incommensurable

1255 data to be combined into the same estimate.

1256 To begin, we need to create another variable at the community level, namely the standard

- deviation, to use in the maximum likelihood estimate of temperature.
- 1258 sd_ecometric_bodymass <- unlist(lapply(o, function(x))
- 1259 **sd**(traits[x\$binomial,"BodyMass"], na.rm = T)))

- 1261 We create bins using the body mass variable and extract the break points for each bin.
- 1262 *#bin the community level trait distribution into 25X25*
- 1263 *#first take the range of each*
- 1264 mtemp <- range(ecometric_bodymass, na.rm = T)
- 1265 sdtemp <- range(sd_ecometric_bodymass, na.rm = T)
- 1266 *#get the break points for the mean and sd*
- 1267 mbrks <- **seq**(mtemp[1], mtemp[2], **diff**(mtemp)/25)
- 1268 sdbrks <- seq(sdtemp[1], sdtemp[2], diff(sdtemp)/25)
- 1269 *#assign bin codes for each*
- 1270 mbc <- .bincode(ecometric_bodymass, breaks = mbrks)
- 1271 sdbc <- .bincode(sd_ecometric_bodymass, breaks = sdbrks)
- 1272
- 1273 We calculate the temperature for each bin.
- 1274 *#calculate the data for the raster*
- 1275 obj <- **array**(**NA**,dim = **c**(25,25))
- 1276 for(i in 1:25){
- 1277 for(j in 1:25){

}

}

- 1278 dat <- round(temperature[which(mbc==i & sdbc==j)]/10)
- 1279 $obj[26 j,i] \le mean(dat, na.rm = T)$
- 1280
- 1281
- 1282
- 1283 Next, we create a raster to store the body mass and temperature data for bins.

1284 *#make a raster* 1285 r <- **raster(extent(**0,25,0,25), resolution = 1) 1286 *#set the values to the obj* 1287 r <- **setValues**(r,obj) 1288 1289 Plot the raster and highlight the bin that we will use to extract data to show an example of 1290 that maximum likelihood estimate. 1291 *#make an empty plot* 1292 plot(1:25, 1:25, type = "n", xlim = c(1,25), ylim = c(1,25),xaxs = "i", yaxs = "i", asp = 1, axes = F, xlab ="", ylab="") 1293 1294 *#add the rectangle/box* 1295 rect(0, 1, 25, 25, lwd = 3)1296 *#add the raster data* 1297 plot(r, col = colorRampPalette(c("darkblue", "blue", 1298 "grey", "yellow", "red"))(round(maxValue(r) minValue(r))), add = T) 1299

- **1300** #this is mean = 3.1, 12, and sd = 1.08, 10
- 1301 **rect**(11, 9, 12, 10, lwd = 4)



1303 The colors in this raster plot show the Mean Annual Temperature maximum likelihood

1304 estimate given the associated mean and standard deviation of each bin.

- 1305 We extract the data for the highlighted bin and plot the kernel density with a Gaussian
- 1306 kernel. This shows the distribution of the likelihood surface.
- 1307 *#grab all the data for that box*
- 1308 dat <- round(temperature[which(mbc==12 & sdbc==10)]/10)
- 1309 *#plot the kernel density with gaussian kernel, bandwidth* = 1
- 1310 mod <- **density**(dat, bw = 1)
- 1311 **plot**(mod, ylim = c(0,1), col = "darkblue", lwd = 2)
- 1312 **polygon**(mod\$x, mod\$y, col = "skyblue")



1314 This likelihood surface shows a bimodal distribution of the most likely temperature.

1315 Although it is bimodal, it is much more likely that the temperature falls on the warm end

1316 of the spectrum, as opposed to the cold end.

1317 Next, we calculate the maximum likelihood for all bins.

1318 modmax <- array(NA, dim = length(points[,1]))

1319 mod <- **list**()

- 1320 for(i in 1:length(points[,1])){
- 1321 if(!(is.na(mbc[i]) | is.na(sdbc[i]))){
- 1322 dat <- round(temperature[which(mbc==mbc[i] & sdbc==sdbc[i])]/10)
- 1323 mod[[i]] <- density(dat, bw = 1)
- 1324 $modmax[i] \le mod[[i]] x[which.max(mod[[i]]y)]$
- 1325 }}
- 1326 modmax <- round(modmax*10)

- 1327 We only use bins with more than the number of species specified as the cutoff. Here we
- 1328 use seven. This means that there needs to be at least seven species recorded at each
- 1329 location to be included in the estimate.
- 1330 cutoff <- 7
- 1331
- 1332 To plot the maximum likelihood temperature estimate from the ecometric values, we
- 1333 create a color palette for the temperature estimates. In addition, we save the histogram
- 1334 with five break points to a variable to use in plotting.
- 1335 colfunc_eco <- colorRampPalette(c("darkblue", "blue", "gray", "yellow",
- 1336 "red"))(temp_range)[1 + modmax min(modmax, na.rm = T)]
- 1337 h <- hist(temperature, main = "", xlab = "Mean Annual Temperature", col = "gray",
- 1338 breaks = 5)
- 1339



- 1342 We plot the maximum likelihood temperature estimate from body mass.
- 1343 **plot**(points[,2:3], col = "gray", pch = 16)
- 1344 **points**(points[richness > cutoff, 2:3], col = colfunc_eco[richness > cutoff], pch = 16)
- 1345 legend(-31.5, 61, legend = h\$breaks/10, pch = 16, col = colorRampPalette(c("darkblue",
- 1346 "blue", "gray", "yellow", "red"))(length(h\$breaks)))
- 1347



1349 Next we plot the actual temperature to compare with the estimated temperature.

1350 **plot**(points[,2:3], col = "gray", pch = 16, main = "Mean Annual Tempeature (C)")

- 1351 **points**(points[richness > cutoff,2:3], col = colfunc_temp[richness > cutoff], pch = 16)
- 1352 legend(-31.5, 61, legend = h\$breaks/10, pch = 16, col = colorRampPalette(c("darkblue",
- 1353 "blue", "gray", "yellow", "red"))(length(h\$breaks)))
- 1354

Mean Annual Tempeature (C)





- annual Temperature.
- 1358 **plot**(points[,2:3], col = "gray", pch = 16)
- anom <- temperature modmax
- 1360 colfunc_anom <- colorRampPalette(c("purple", "grey", "green"))(max(anom, na.rm =
- 1361 T) **min**(anom, na.rm = T))[1 + anom **min**(anom, na.rm = T)]
- 1362 **points**(points[richness > cutoff, 2:3], col = colfunc_anom[richness > cutoff], pch = 16)
- 1363 legend(-31.5, 61, legend = h\$breaks/10, pch = 16, col = colorRampPalette(c("purple",
- 1364 "grey", "green"))(length(h\$breaks)))
- 1365





1367 The anomaly between the estimated Mean Annual Temperature and the actual Mean1368 Annual Temperature shows that most of the temperature estimates are less that one1369 degree C.

- Bivand, R. S., Pebesma, E. & Gomez-Rubio, V. (2013). *Applied spatial data analysis with R,* Second edition. New York, NY: Springer.
 Eronen, J., Puolamaki, K., Liu, L., Lintulaakso, K., Damuth, J., Janis, C. & Fortelius,
- 1375 M. (2010). Precipitation and large herbivorous mammals I: estimates from
- 1376 present-day communities. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, 12, 217-233.
- Hijmans, R. J. (2015). raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling. *R package version 2.3-40*.

1379	Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, J. L., Parra, P. G., Jones, P. G. & Jarvis, A. (2005). Very
1380	high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas.
1381	International Journal of Climatology, 25, 1965-1978.
1382	Jones, K. E., Bielby, J., Cardillo, M., Fritz, S. A., O'Dell, J., Orme, C. D. L., Safi, K., <i>et al.</i>
1383	(2009). PanTHERIA: a species-level database of life history, ecology, and
1384	geography of extant and recently extinct mammals. <i>Ecology</i> , 90, 2648-
1385	2648.
1386	Lawing, A. M., Head, J. J. & Polly, P. D. (2012). The ecology of morphology: the
1387	ecometrics of locomotion and macroenvironment in North American snakes.
1388	In J. Louys (Eds). Paleontology in Ecology and Conservation (pp. 117-146).
1389	Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
1390	Pebesma, E. J. & Bivand, R. S. (2005). Classes and methods for spatial data in R. R
1391	News, 5, 2.
1392	Polly, P. D. & Head, J. J. (2015). Measuring Earth-life transitions: ecometric analysis
1393	of functional traits from late Cenozoic vertebrates. In P. D. Polly, J. J. Head,
1394	& D. L. Fox (Eds.), Earth-Life Transitions: Paleobiology in the Context of
1395	Earth System Evolution (pp. 21-46). The Paleontological Society Papers 21.
1396	New Haven, CT: Yale Press.