

1 **Ileal and total-tract digestibility and nitrogen utilisation in blue foxes (*Vulpes***  
2 ***lagopus*) fed low-protein diets supplemented with DL-methionine and L-**  
3 **histidine**

4

5 Vappu Ylinen<sup>a,\*</sup>, Päivi Pyykkö<sup>b</sup>, Jussi Peura<sup>c</sup>, Jarmo Valaja<sup>a</sup>

6 <sup>a</sup>Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland

7 <sup>b</sup>Kannus Research Farm Luova Oy, Kannus, Finland

8 <sup>c</sup>Finnish Fur Breeders' Association, Vantaa, Finland

9 \* Corresponding author, E-mail address: [vappu.ylinen@helsinki.fi](mailto:vappu.ylinen@helsinki.fi)

10

11

12 **Abstract**

13 A lower dietary protein supply for adult blue foxes has been suggested. To formulate a low-protein  
14 diet with sufficient amounts of amino acids (AAs), AA digestibility and the AA requirement of the  
15 animals are crucial information. Therefore, a digestibility and nitrogen (N) balance trial was  
16 conducted with 20 blue foxes to determine the macronutrient and AA digestibility and N utilisation  
17 in low-protein diets supplemented with DL-methionine (Met) and L-histidine (His). In addition,  
18 plasma urea and plasma AAs were measured. The diets were designated as P24 (control), P20,  
19 P20M, P16M and P16MH and contained digestible crude protein (DCP) amounting to 24%, 20% or  
20 16% of metabolizable energy (ME). The 20% protein level was fed with or without Met and the  
21 16% protein level was fed with Met and with or without His. The apparent total-tract digestibility  
22 (ATTD) of crude protein linearly decreased with decreasing dietary protein level. The ATTD of dry  
23 matter, organic matter and crude carbohydrates increased when wheat starch was added as a  
24 replacement for protein. The apparent ileal digestibility (AID) and ATTD methods were compared  
25 to determine the AA digestibility. The decreasing dietary protein supply decreased the ATTD of  
26 most of the AAs: threonine, tryptophan (Trp), valine, alanine (Ala), aspartic acid (Asp), glutamic  
27 acid, glycine (Gly), proline (Pro), serine (Ser) and total AAs. The AID of the AAs was constant  
28 between diets. Diverging AAs showed higher or lower digestibility when determined in the AID or  
29 ATTD methods. Isoleucine, lysine, Met, Ala and tyrosine showed higher levels of AID. Arginine,  
30 His, cysteine (Cys), Trp, Asp, Gly, Pro and Ser showed higher levels of ATTD, which may reflect  
31 the net loss of these AAs in the large intestine. Met and His supplementation improved the ATTD  
32 and AID of the AAs in question, respectively, but did not affect the other variables examined. N  
33 retention did not differ between diets and renal N excretion decreased with decreasing protein level;  
34 thus N utilisation improved. We concluded that the protein supply and AA composition in low-  
35 protein diets with supplemented Met were adequate for adult blue foxes, since the lower protein

36 supply improved N utilisation and did not affect N retention. However, His supplementation failed  
37 to reach the designed level and therefore showed no clear results.

38

39 key words: amino acid; digestibility; blue fox; carnivore; nitrogen utilisation

40

41

## 1. Introduction

Reduced dietary protein for more efficient nitrogen (N) utilisation, lower ammonia emissions and the feasibility of using low-cost or alternative feed ingredients are targets of both ecological and economical animal production. Feed formulation based on the animal's amino-acid (AA) requirements and digestible AAs is one of the key factors contributing to these targets. Farmed blue fox (*Vulpes lagopus*), as a carnivore species used for fur production, is traditionally fed with high protein diets. As high quality fur is the main product of this fur production, AA content and especially sulphur-containing AAs contributing markedly to fur growth, is one of the main interests when developing feeds for fur animal species. The current recommendations for protein feeding for blue foxes are based on the proportion of digestible crude protein (DCP) of metabolizable energy (ME). The protein evaluation system takes into account the calculated AA composition of the feed, but the protein and AA requirement of blue foxes at the late growing-furring period, when the winter-fur in priming are not completely known. However, taking account the AA requirement of the animal at different life stages might bring both economic and environmental benefits and allow the use of alternative dietary protein sources, as has been shown in other species such as poultry and swine (Williams 1995, Perttilä et al. 2002, Lemme et al. 2004, Aarnink & Verstegen 2007).

The current protein recommendations for fast-growing blue foxes in the late growing-furring period (from early September until pelting) is in Finland min. 22 % of metabolizable ME (Profur 2019). Previous recommendation has been established by Lassén et al. (2012), when it was 24% of ME. However, lower protein levels, even as low as 15 % of ME, has been suggested (Dahlman 2003, Ylinen et al. 2018). Particularly the lower protein levels could be used in the latter part of the late growing-furring period. However, when the dietary protein supply is limited, the AA composition should be balanced to meet the animal's AA requirements, since no excessive protein or AAs are present. Research is needed to increase our understanding of the limiting AAs and AA digestibility

67 in blue foxes. AA digestibility in blue foxes varies, depending on the protein sources (Skrede et al.  
68 1980). Protein sources with low digestibility vary more widely in digestibility between individual  
69 AAs than highly digestible protein sources (Skrede et al. 1980). Use of low-digestible protein  
70 sources, such as meat-and-bone meal or alternative feed ingredients to replace more traditional  
71 ingredients, such as fish, is growing in the fur feed industry. To ensure sufficient AA supply, it is  
72 crucial to determine the AA digestibility coefficients and formulate the feed based on these data.

73

74 In the present study, AA digestibility was determined using both the apparent total-tract  
75 digestibility (ATTD) and apparent ileal digestibility (AID) methods. Reliable evidence suggests that  
76 in other monogastric species the AID rather than the ATTD is considered the preferred method of  
77 determining AA digestibility, because the microbial fermentation in the large intestine affects both  
78 the quantity and composition of faecal protein and AAs (Mosenthin et al. 2000, Sauer et al. 2000).  
79 Most of the data concerning the differences between AID and ATTD have been carried out in  
80 swine, but studies have also been conducted in minks, dogs and blue foxes (Szymeczko 2001,  
81 Hendriks & Sritharan 2002, Vhile et al. 2005, Tjernsbekk et al. 2014). These predominantly  
82 carnivore species have short colons with limited microbial fermentation capacity, and it was  
83 therefore expected that the hindgut effect would not be significant. In dogs, the AID method has  
84 been considered more accurate (Hendriks & Sritharan 2002, Hendriks et al. 2012, Tjernsbekk et al.  
85 2014), while in blue foxes, the ATTD method has been primarily used (Dahlman 2003) and N  
86 disappearance from the large intestine is minimal (Hendriks et al. 2012). However, in blue foxes,  
87 the AID method has sometimes resulted in lower apparent AA digestibility, which may reflect the  
88 net loss of AA in the large intestine and overestimation of AA digestibility carried out in the ATTD  
89 method (Szymeczko 2001, Vhile et al. 2005). We determined AA digestibility using ATTD and  
90 AID to compare results, expecting to see higher digestibility values in ATTD than AID. Our general  
91 aim was to increase knowledge of the true digestible AA requirement of blue foxes. As ATTD is

92 less invasive method than AID and possible to conduct with intact animals, it would be beneficial to  
93 be able to calculate the true AA digestibility from results gained using ATTD method. Therefore,  
94 our objective was to compare AID and ATTD AA digestibility in blue foxes to determine  
95 differences for single AAs that can be applied for estimating AID values from ATTD values.

96

97 In addition to the digestibility trial, an N balance trial was conducted to detect the effect of the  
98 experimental diets on N retention. Our hypothesis was that lowering the protein supply improves N  
99 utilisation and can thus decrease N excretion, as has been shown in various monogastric species,  
100 including blue foxes (Dahlman et al. 2002a, Otto et al. 2003, Belloir et al. 2017). Our aim was to  
101 used N balance to show, that can the dietary protein levels be reduced without affecting N retention  
102 and if dietary supplementation of Met and His to low protein diets will increase N retention.

103

104 We determined the nutrient and AA digestibility and N utilisation in adult blue foxes fed low-  
105 protein diets with or without DL-methionine (Met) and L-histidine (His) supplementation. The  
106 study was conducted in two separate terms in late growing-furring period to define more closely the  
107 protein and AA requirement of the blue fox, and thus elaborate on the results of Dahlman et al.  
108 (2002a) which covered the entire growing-furring period. Met is the first limiting AA in fur animals  
109 and, accordingly, was selected to be supplemented in the low-protein diets in this study. Based on  
110 the Dahlmans (2002a) study, we hypothesised that the protein level 16% of ME needs to be  
111 supplemented with Met but wanted to see the effect of supplementation at protein level 20% of ME.  
112 His was selected as the second experimental supplemental AA as it was the third limiting AA in the  
113 study of ideal protein for blue foxes (Dahlman et al. 2004). In the present study, the AID and ATTD  
114 methods were compared to determine differences for single AAs that can be applied for estimating  
115 AID values from ATTD values. The study aims for improved feed formulation, based on digestible

116 AAs and the animal's AA requirements, which could result in economic and environmental benefits  
117 for the production sector.

118

## 119 **2. Materials and methods**

120

### 121 **2.1 Experimental diets and feeding**

122 The digestibility and N balance trial was conducted at the Kannus Research Farm Luova Oy,  
123 Kannus, Finland. Three dietary protein levels were studied as a part of the performance trial carried  
124 out with the feeds in question (Ylinen et al. 2018). Two lowest protein levels were studied with or  
125 without Met and His supplementation. The control diet and four experimental diets were designated  
126 as P24, P20, P20M, P16M and P16MH. The control diet (P24) contained DCP amounting to 24% of  
127 ME. The experimental diets contained DCP amounting to 20% of ME with and without Met  
128 supplement (P20 and P20M) and 16% of ME with Met and with Met and His supplements (P16M  
129 and P16MH). The Met and His supplementation was calculated to bring the AA in question to the  
130 same level as in group P24. Celite® (SiO<sub>2</sub>), was added at 0.6% as a marker. The feed ingredients  
131 are shown in Table 1. The daily feeding ratio was on a dry matter (DM) basis 298 g DM, fed once  
132 daily at noon. Drinking water was freely available.

133

### 134 **2.2 Animals and experimental design**

135 The trial was conducted in autumn 2016 with 20 blue foxes males of 23 weeks of age..The average  
136 body weight was ( $\pm$  standard error of the means SEM) 15.5 ( $\pm$ 0.2) kg. The animals were in the hall  
137 environment housed individually in wire-mesh metabolism cages for controlled feeding and  
138 quantitative collection of faeces and urine. The animals were randomly distributed on five groups  
139 giving four replicates for each diet. Before the experiment, the animals were fed a basic farm diet.  
140 The trial period comprised four days of adjustment and three days of total collection of faeces and

141 urine. The daily feed consumption was recorded and potential residual feeds collected, weighed and  
142 analysed. The chemical composition of the feeds was analysed in pooled samples collected daily  
143 throughout the collecting period. Total faeces were collected daily prior to daily feeding. Total  
144 urine was collected into containers added four ml of 10 N sulphuric acid to prevent ammonia  
145 evaporation. Samples of the feed, faeces and urine were kept frozen ( $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) pending analysis.  
146 Digestibility of DM, organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), crude fat (CF), crude carbohydrates  
147 (CCH) and ash was determined using acid-insoluble ash (AIA) as an inert marker. To determine  
148 AA digestibility, both the ATTD and AID methods were used, using AIA as a marker. To  
149 determine AID, the animals were euthanized after the total collection period. Engine euthanasia was  
150 performed with filtered exhaust gases (combination of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, HC, O<sub>2</sub>); CO concentration in the  
151 euthanasia chamber being 4%. Euthanasia was performed individually, 3.5 h after the last feeding.  
152 After euthanasia, the digestive tract was exposed and the ileum dissected and divided into three  
153 equal segments. The digesta of the terminal third of the ileum were gently squeezed out of the gut  
154 and immediately frozen at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . In addition, urine urea, urine N, plasma urea and plasma AAs  
155 were recorded. For blood samples, the venous blood was collected once on the last total collection  
156 day. Blood was sampled from the cephalic vein 24 h after last feeding.

157

### 158 **2.3 Calculations**

159 The apparent digestibility of the macronutrients and AA was calculated as follows:

160  $\text{ATTD or AID (\%)} = (1 - (\text{AIA in nutrient/AIA in faeces or ileal digesta}) * (\text{nutrient in faeces or}$   
161  $\text{ileal digesta/nutrient in feed})) * 100.$

162

163 The N balance parameters were calculated as follows:

164  $\text{N absorbed} = \text{N intake} - \text{Faecal N},$

165  $\text{N retained} = \text{N intake} - (\text{Faecal N} + \text{Urinary N}),$



166 In addition, the N retained was calculated as the percentage of intake and absorption and as grams  
167 per kilogram live weight.

168

169 The ME (MJ/kg) content of the feeds was calculated, using the chemical composition and  
170 digestibility coefficients carried out with the feed in question and ME (MJ/kg) values: protein (N x  
171 6.25) 18.8; fat 39.8; carbohydrates 17.6 (Lassén et al. 2012). The energy distribution was calculated  
172 according to Lassén et al. (2012).

173

#### 174 **2.4 Chemical analysis**

175 The samples were pooled within diet (feeds) or animal (faeces and urine) or analysed as single  
176 samples (ileal digesta, blood). The faecal samples were homogenized, using a hand-held electric  
177 mixer. The analyses were conducted in the Laboratory of Agricultural Sciences, University of  
178 Helsinki, Finland, except the CF, which was analysed in the Laboratory of Fin Furlab Oy/Ab ,  
179 Vaasa, Finland and plasma urea, which was analysed in the Laboratory of Veterinary Medicine,  
180 University of Helsinki. The chemical composition of the feed and faeces was analysed by standard  
181 methods according to the AOAC International (1995). Dry matter was determined by oven drying at  
182 103°C for 24 h and crude ash was determined at 600°C for 24 h. The feed and faecal samples were  
183 dried using lyophilization to prevent protein breakdown. The hair was sifted, and the dry samples  
184 were ground in a porcelain mortar in preparation for analysis. The CP was determined by the  
185 Kjeldahl method (AOAC International, 1995) with a Tecator Auto Digestion unit and a Kjeltac  
186 Auto 2300 Analyser (Foss A/S, Hillerød, Denmark). The CF was determined by solvent extraction  
187 according to the Weibull-Stoldt technique (BÜCHI Hydrolysis Unit B-411 and BÜCHI Extraction  
188 Unit B-811; BÜCHI Labortechnik AG, Flawil, Switzerland). The CCH were calculated as the  
189 difference obtained by subtracting the ash, CP and CF from the DM. Urinary urea was determined  
190 with a HUMAN Liquicolour Complete Test Kit and spectrophotometer (Shimadzu ultraviolet (UV)

191 mini 1240; Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan). AA were determined by ultraperformance liquid  
192 chromatography (UPLC) method as described in Puhakka et al. (2016) was used. Prior to AA  
193 analysis, the samples were hydrolysed according to Directive 98/64/EC (European Commission,  
194 1998). Venous blood collected in tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) was  
195 centrifuged at 1000–1300 G for 10 min. Following centrifugation, the plasma was removed and  
196 frozen at –20 °C pending analysis. For AA analysis, the plasma samples were precipitated with  
197 10% sulphosalicylic acid and further analysed by UPLC, as described above for the hydrolysed feed  
198 samples. Plasma urea was analysed using a Konelab 60i analyser (Thermo Fisher Scientific,  
199 Waltham, MA, USA).

200

## 201 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

202 Statistical analysis of the data was performed with the general linear model (GLM) procedure of  
203 SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The data were tested for normal distribution, using  
204 the Shapiro-Wilk test and the homogeneity of variance with Levene’s test. The model used in  
205 analysis was:

$$206 \quad Y_{ij} = \mu + d_i + \varepsilon_{ij},$$

207 where  $Y_{ij}$  = the observation,  $\mu$  = the general mean,  $d_i$  = the effect of diet ( $I = 1, \dots, 5$ ) and  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  = a  
208 random effect. The diet effect was tested, using four orthogonal contrasts: C1 tested the effect of the  
209 control diet (P24) against the experimental diets and C2 the effect of the protein level between  
210 groups P20 and P16. C3 tested the effect of Met supplementation between groups P20 and P20M,  
211 and C4 the effect of His supplementation between groups P16M and P16MH. In the analysis of the  
212 method effect, the average ATTD and AID across diets was used. The effect of the method and  
213 interaction between the diet and method were tested, using the GLM-procedure of SAS 9.4.

214

## 215 **3. Results**

216 All animals were healthy, with normal faecal consistency throughout the experiment. The feeds  
217 were eagerly consumed, and no feed residuals were detected. One animal in group P20M and one in  
218 group P16MH showed extremely low protein digestibility values ( $< 2$  SD), and their results were  
219 excluded from the macronutrient digestibility and N balance analysis as outliers. However, the  
220 digestibility values of individual AAs were within the normal range in these two animals, and all  
221 AA results were included in the analysis.

222

### 223 **3.1 Feeds**

224 The experimental diets followed the designed levels, with some differences (Table 2). The total CP  
225 content (g/kg DM) of the diets followed the levels designed, except in group P16MH, where the  
226 total CP was lower than that designed. The DCP as a percentage of ME was lower than that  
227 designed in all groups. On average, the DCP content was 21%, 17% and 15% of the ME in diets  
228 P24, P20 and P16, respectively. Energy supply was 5.4 – 5.8 MJ ME per day per animal. Since the  
229 energy distribution of the macronutrients was calculated, using the digestibility values obtained  
230 from this study, the low protein digestibility may have affected the low DCP content as a  
231 percentage of the ME.

232

233 The CF content (g/kg DM) was lowest in groups P24 and P16MH. The AA content of the diets  
234 (g/kg DM) is presented in Table 3. The low CP content reflected the low AA content of diet  
235 P16MH (total and individual AAs). The Met content in groups P16M and P16MH exceeded that of  
236 group P24. As planned, the lowest Met content was in the diet not supplemented with Met (P20). In  
237 contrast, the His supplemented in group P16MH did not reach the level of the group P24, but was  
238 higher than in the nonsupplemented groups.

239

### 240 **3.2 Apparent macronutrient and amino-acid digestibility**

241 Foxes fed the P24 showed higher ATTD of CP than with all other diets ( $p = 0.01$ , Table 4). In  
242 group P16MH, protein digestibility was especially low. The ATTD of CCH, DM and OM was  
243 higher in groups P16 than in groups P20 ( $p < 0.0001$ ,  $p = 0.0003$  and  $p = 0.005$ , respectively). The  
244 ATTD of CF was high (over 94%) in all groups, but lower in group P24 ( $p = 0.01$ ) than in all other  
245 groups. Met and His supplementation did not affect the macronutrient digestibility coefficients.

246

247 The AID of Met increased by Met supplementation ( $p = 0.0007$ , Table 5) and His supplementation  
248 positively affected the AID of His ( $p = 0.04$ ). The AID of Met was lower in group P24 than in the  
249 Met-supplemented groups ( $p = 0.04$ ) and higher in group P16 than P20 ( $p = 0.003$ ). All other AAs  
250 and total AAs showed no differences in AID among diets. The ATTD of the AAs decreased with  
251 decreasing protein level for the following AAs and total AAs: threonine (Thr), tryptophan (Trp),  
252 valine (Val), alanine (Ala), aspartic acid (Asp), glutamic acid (Glu), glycine (Gly), proline (Pro) and  
253 serine (Ser) ( $p \leq 0.05$ , Table 6). The ATTD of Met increased with Met supplementation ( $p = 0.001$ ).  
254 His supplementation did not affect the ATTD of His between P16M and P16MH, but the ATTDs of  
255 Met and His were higher in group P16 than in group P20 ( $p = 0.001$ ).

256

### 257 **3.3 Apparent total tract and apparent ileal digestibility amino acid values**

258 The average ATTD and AID of AAs throughout the diets are shown in Table 7. The diverging AAs  
259 showed higher or lower digestibility when determined in the AID or ATTD methods. For arginine  
260 (Arg), cysteine (Cys), His, Trp, Asp, Gly, Pro and Ser, the ATTD method resulted in higher  
261 digestibility coefficients ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). For isoleucine (Ile), lysine (Lys), Met, Ala and tyrosine (Tyr),  
262 the AID method resulted in higher digestibility coefficients ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). No diet method interaction  
263 was found.

264

### 265 **3.4 Nitrogen utilisation and plasma amino acids**

266 N intake, urinary N excretion, urea N (g/d) and absorbed N decreased with decreasing dietary  
267 protein level ( $p < 0.0001$ , Table 8). Urea N as a percentage of total urinary N or daily retention of N  
268 (as grams per day, grams per live weight, percentage of intake or percentage of absorption) did not  
269 differ between groups. No differences between diets were found in plasma urea.

270 The content of the following AAs in plasma decreased with decreasing protein level ( $p \leq 0.05$ ): Ile,  
271 leucine (Leu), phenylalanine (Phe), Thr, Trp, Val, Asp and Tyr (Table 9). The content of plasma  
272 Met and His was constant among the diets.

273

#### 274 **4. Discussion**

275 In general, composition of the diet and related factors, such as feed intake, affect the digestibility of  
276 main nutrients and AAs (McDonald et al. 2011). In developing feeds or optimizing diets, changes in  
277 the diet and therefore in digestibility may markedly affect nutrient availability. In blue foxes,  
278 changes in protein composition are especially important, since the quality of the pelt is dependent  
279 on the protein level and AA composition of the diet (Dahlman et al. 2002a, 2002b). At the same  
280 time, economic and environmental issues compel the reduction in protein use in fur animal feeds.  
281 In the present study, CP ATTD linearly decreased with decreasing CP of the diets. In a previous  
282 digestibility study in adult blue foxes fed low-protein diets, the protein digestibility has  
283 correspondingly decreased with decreasing dietary protein levels, from 83% to 78% and from 86%  
284 to 79% (Dahlman et al. 2002a). The low-protein levels used in that study were 22.5 and 15% of ME  
285 and control protein level 30% of ME (Dahlman et al. 2002a). Opposite to our study, the  
286 supplemented Met improved the digestibility of the DM, ether extract and CCH in the low-protein  
287 diets in the study of Dahlman et al. (2002a).

288

289 In both studies, digestibility was determined, as apparent, and thus endogenous and microbial  
290 protein was not taken into account. Studies in swine have shown that apparent digestibility values

291 are dependent on the dietary protein level, since the relative proportion of basal endogenous and  
292 microbial protein in the digestive tract is higher when the dietary protein supply is lower  
293 (Mosenthin et al. 2000). The effect is quadratic and greater when the protein supply is minimal (Fan  
294 & Sauer 1997). Similarly, it have been obtained in blue foxes and minks that lower dietary protein  
295 decreases apparent protein digestibility, and probably the effect of basal endogenous and microbial  
296 protein has diluting effect on digestibility values (Skrede et al. 1980, Szymeczko & Skrede 1990,  
297 Dahlman et al. 2002a). In our study, the effect of endogenous protein may have been pronounced in  
298 the lowest protein group, where the protein supply was lower than that designed and the  
299 digestibility value was low.

300

301 Higher ATTD of DM, OM and CCH was observed in group P16 than in P20. In P16, the proportion  
302 of cooked wheat starch, the main replacement for the decreased protein, was higher than in P20.  
303 Cooked wheat starch has a high digestibility of carbohydrates (87%) (LUKE 2006). The higher  
304 proportion of starch likely increased the ATTD of CCH and further influenced the ATTD of DM  
305 and OM. In the previous digestibility study in blue foxes (Dahlman et al. 2002a), the ATTD of  
306 CCH, DM and CF decreased with decreasing dietary protein. In their study, the ingredients  
307 replacing the decreasing protein were wheat bran and cooked wheat starch (in 1:2 ratio,  
308 respectively). Fibre is known to decrease the digestibility of almost all nutrients and energy by  
309 increasing passage rate and withholding the nutrient absorption by adsorption of nutrients into fibre  
310 (Sauer et al. 1991, Wenk 2001). In addition, especially fermentable fibres tend to increase microbial  
311 fermentation in the large intestine and thus microbial protein in the faeces, reducing the ATTD  
312 values (Sauer et al. 1991, Silvio et al. 2000). In our study, the crude fibre content was not analysed,  
313 but since the addition of wheat bran was low and the proportion equal between diets, we assumed  
314 that it did not markedly interfere with the digestibility values between diets.

315

316 The ATTD of AAs showed that the digestibility of nonessential AAs (Ala, Asp, Glu, Gly, Pro and  
317 Ser), some essential AAs (Thr, Trp and Val) and total AAs decreased. Met and His supplementation  
318 increased the ATTD of the AAs in question, respectively. The results accorded with previous  
319 studies, in which the supplemented AAs were thoroughly and rapidly digested (Wang & Fuller  
320 1989, Dahlman et al. 2002a). In the study of Dahlman et al. (2002a), decreasing AA digestibility  
321 along with decreasing protein levels were found for all AAs except Cys. In addition, Met and Lys  
322 supplementation increased Met and Lys digestibility, respectively. In both studies, AA digestibility  
323 was determined as apparent in lieu of true digestibility. As in macronutrient digestibility, we  
324 presumed that the faecal endogenous protein affected the decreasing ATTD of the AAs with  
325 decreasing protein level in the ATTD method. However, the diluting effect of endogenous protein  
326 to digestibility values was not seen in the AID values, even though the ileal digesta contains  
327 endogenous proteins such as bile, digestive enzymes and cells sloughed off in the mucus. As shown  
328 in the study of Szymeczko & Skrede (1990) in mink, endogenous secretions already affect the AA  
329 composition of the digesta in the first section of the small intestine.

330

331 The AID of the AAs did not differ between diets, with the exception of Met and His, since the  
332 supplementation of the AAs increased the AID of the AA in question. In addition, Gugolek et al.  
333 (2017) reported improved protein digestibility and N retention with abundant Met supplementation.  
334 In our study, Met supplementation was slightly lower than in the study of Dahlman et al. (2002a)  
335 and considerably lower than in Gugolek et al. (2017). However, in Gugolek et al. (2017) the aim  
336 was to study the effects of extremely high Met supplementation and in our study we pursued the  
337 lowest possible Met supplementation. In our study, the digestible Met was in low protein diets 0.32  
338 g/MJ ME. Dahlman et al. (2003) concluded that adequate digestible Met should be 0.40 g/MJ ME  
339 in blue foxes in late growing-furring season. In the present study, His supplementation was,

340 unfortunately, inadequate, since the His content of the supplemented diet did not reach the level of  
341 the control diet (P24), making it impossible for us to conclude on the effect of His supplementation.

342

343 In our study, the variation in AA digestibility results was greater in the AID than in the ATTD  
344 methods. Muir et al. (1996) and Murray et al. (1997) obtained correspondingly greater variation,  
345 using the AID method in ileal-cannulated (simple T-type cannulas) dogs. Muir et al. (1996)  
346 concluded that a possible source of error could have been the marker (chromic oxide) used in the  
347 AID determination instead of the total collection method used in faecal determination. In our study,  
348 AIA was used as a marker in both methods. The possible source of error and cause of variation in  
349 the AID determination may have been the sampling technique, i.e. manually squeezing the digesta  
350 out of the terminal ileum, which may have sucked in sloughed intestinal epithelial cells and other  
351 endogenous material in varying amounts. In addition, the AID method resulted in only one sample  
352 per animal, whereas in the ATTD method the sample was collected over 3 days, which may have  
353 decreased the accuracy of the AID samples.

354

355 Microbial fermentation in the large intestine converts both quantity and quality of the protein and  
356 AAs in the faeces. However, carnivores are considered to have low microbial fermentation  
357 capacity, because their digestive tract is short, the digesta passage rate is rapid and the colon is  
358 unsacculated (Szymeczko & Skrede 1990, Ahlstrøm & Skrede 1995, Tjernsbekk et al. 2014).

359 Previous studies in carnivores have shown that low fermentation capacity is most pronounced in  
360 mink (Tjernsbekk et al. 2014, Gugolek et al. 2015). Blue foxes and dogs tend to have higher  
361 microbial activity (Hendriks et al. 2013, Tjernsbekk et al. 2014, Gugolek et al. 2015). In our study,  
362 the ATTD and AID methods resulted in diverging digestibility values among AAs. Arg, His, Cys,  
363 Asp, Gly, Pro, Ser and total AAs showed higher ATTD than AID coefficients, while Ile, Lys, Met,  
364 Ala and Tyr showed higher AID than ATTD coefficients. In previous studies, similar patterns of



365 AAs have shown higher or lower digestibility values using ATTD or AID measuring indicating  
366 AAs undergoing similar microbial fermentation in the large intestine of dogs and blue foxes  
367 (Szymeczko 2001, Hendriks & Sritharan 2002, Vhile et al. 2005, Tjernsbekk et al. 2014). In  
368 addition, net synthesis of Met in the large intestine has been demonstrated in swine and dog, which  
369 may lead to underestimation of Met digestibility (Mosenthin et al. 2000, Hendriks et al. 2012).

370

371 In the present study, more than half of the AAs showed lower AID than ATTD of AAs, which  
372 reflects a net loss of these AAs (Arg, Cys, His, Asp, Gly, Pro, Ser and total AAs) in the large  
373 intestine. Similar results were found in the study of Vhile et al. (2005) for Thr, Asp, Gly, Pro and  
374 Ser and for all AAs in the study of Szymeczko (2001). AAs disappearing from the large intestine  
375 are not considered to have nutritional value, since the fate of these AAs is to undergo microbial  
376 fermentation, and the possible absorption of nonprotein N does not contribute markedly to the  
377 protein metabolism of the animal. Thus, overestimation of the ATTD of these AAs is likely and  
378 must be taken into account when applying ATTD method to determine AA digestibility in blue  
379 foxes.

380

381 In dogs, the ATTD method has resulted in both over- and underestimation of digestibility in DM,  
382 protein and AAs (Muir et al. 1996, Murray et al. 1997, Hendriks & Sritharan 2002), and the AID  
383 method has been considered more accurate for the real AA availability, whereas in blue foxes the  
384 findings have been more unresolved. In blue foxes, previous authors have concluded that the  
385 difference between the AID and ATTD methods is numerically small, and the ATTD method may  
386 therefore be acceptable (Szymeczko 2001, Vhile et al. 2005, Tjernsbekk et al. 2014). Results  
387 obtained from our study support this approach. However, overestimation in the digestibility of Arg,  
388 Cys, His, Asp, Gly, Pro, Ser and total AAs and underestimation in digestibility, especially of Met,

389 Ile, Lys, Ala and Tyr must be taken into account. In addition, endogenous and microbial proteins  
390 may affect the ATTD values and show ostensible reduction in the ATTD of AAs.

391

392 N excretion decreased with decreasing protein level, as was expected. The reduction in N excretion  
393 with reduced protein supply has been clearly demonstrated in various species, including blue foxes  
394 (Canh et al. 1998, Noblet et al. 2001, Dahlman et al. 2002a, Otto et al. 2003, Carpenter et al. 2004,  
395 Belloir et al. 2017). In addition, N retention remained constant and, therefore, N utilisation  
396 improved in low-protein diets. In a previous study in blue foxes, a similar effect was found  
397 (Dahlman et al. 2002a). Corresponding to the study by Dahlman et al. (2002a), neither Met nor His  
398 improved N utilisation, indicating that there was no deficiency of these AAs. However, the lowest  
399 protein level was not tested without Met supplementation in our study.

400

401 The plasma urea (or plasma urea nitrogen, PUN) concentration is a rapid method for estimating AA  
402 requirements and is affected by the quality and quantity of dietary protein and AA composition  
403 (Coma 1995, Pedersen & Boisen 2001). The quality of the protein inversely affects the PUN, and  
404 when the AA requirement of the animal is fulfilled, the need for cycling excess N to urea is  
405 minimal, and the PUN reaches a minimum plateau (Coma 1995). However, in addition to quality,  
406 the quantity of the dietary protein affects the PUN values directly. In addition, factors not related to  
407 dietary protein, such as renal function, water intake and body protein catabolism under acute  
408 challenges to the immune system, affect PUN values (Coma 1995, Kiarie et al. 2009). In studies in  
409 swine, N retention and PUN values have shown similar responses in determining the AA  
410 requirement of the animal (Coma et al. 1995, Pedersen et al. 2003). Correspondingly, the absolute  
411 plasma urea in our study was lowest in group P16M, which showed the highest N retention. This  
412 supports the conclusions obtained from the N retention results that excess N is mainly excreted in  
413 the urine. Still, plasma urea did not differ between diets. Varying protein contents of the diets may

414 have affected to plasma urea values. In previous studies, plasma urea reference value for healthy  
415 blue foxes, fed normal diets based on recommendations, has been 6.4 mmol/l, while for breeding  
416 blue fox females, the PUN has ranged from 6.18 to 6.67 mmol/l (Korhonen & Huuki 2014,  
417 Sepponen et al. 2014). Our result for plasma urea from 4.92 to 5.96 mmol/l was lower, probably  
418 due to lower dietary protein level than in normal farm diets. However, PUN was determined in  
419 fasted state, which decreases the effect of

420

421 Despite the fairly constant digestibility coefficients and improved N utilisation results, decreased  
422 plasma AAs of some AAs were measured in low-protein diets. The decreased plasma AAs may  
423 indicate that the low-protein diets did not provide adequate AA to cover to requirement, especially  
424 if the feeding period would have been extended. In dogs, acute protein deficiency results in  
425 decreasing plasma AAs in all AAs. In long-term protein deficiency, however, only essential AAs in  
426 plasma decrease, while nonessential tend to increase (NRC 2006). Our study lasted only 7 days, but  
427 it may be noteworthy that essential AAs in plasma decreased with decreasing protein level. Met and  
428 His supplementation probably influenced the concentration of these AAs in plasma, since the Met  
429 and His plasma concentrations were constant between the control and in the supplemented low-  
430 protein groups. In previous studies in pigs, supplemented AAs have markedly increased the plasma  
431 content of the supplemented AAs in question (e.g. Figueroa et al. 2003). The plasma AA profile of  
432 blue foxes has not been determined. In comparison to the plasma AA content of food-deprived (24  
433 h) dogs, most of the AA concentrations in our study were similar or higher, except Cys and Thr,  
434 which were lower and may reflect the importance of these AAs for blue foxes (Delaney et al. 2001).

435

## 436 **5. Conclusions**

437 In the present study, the ATTD of CP decreased with decreasing dietary protein. The effect of  
438 greater proportions of endogenous protein in low-protein diets supposedly affected the decreasing

439 apparent digestibility. In addition, we concluded that the wheat starch added in the low-protein diets  
440 contributed the increased ATTD of DM, OM and CCH. The supplemented Met and His were well  
441 digested and increased the Met and His digestibility, respectively, but did not improve the overall  
442 digestibility nor affected any of the other variables examined.

443

444 The ATTD method showed the decreasing digestibility of the nonessential and some essential AAs  
445 with decreasing protein level. The AID method varied more between individuals and failed to show  
446 the differences between the diets. However, the ATTD method may have resulted in overestimation  
447 of the digestibility of Arg, Cys, His, Asp, Gly, Pro, Ser and total AAs and underestimation of the  
448 digestibility of Ile, Lys, Met, Ala and Tyr. According to this study, ATTD can be applied in  
449 determining AAs digestibility in blue fox as it is less invasive and more convenient method.

450 However, the differences in single AAs must be taken into account when estimating the true AA  
451 digestibility.

452

453 N retention did not differ among the diets. N excretion decreased and N utilisation improved with  
454 lower dietary protein supplies. Therefore, we concluded that the protein supply and AA  
455 composition in the low-protein diet with supplemented Met was adequate for blue foxes in the late  
456 growing-furring season. According to this study, digestible Met level of 0.32g/MJ ME. However,  
457 His supplementation was inadequate compared with the design, and it is therefore impossible to  
458 draw conclusions of the importance of His supplementation.

459

460 **Acknowledgements**

461 The authors would like to thank the staff at the Kannus Research Farm Luova Oy for technical  
462 assistance and care of the experimental animals, and laboratory personnel at the University of  
463 Helsinki and Fin Furlab for carrying out the feed analyses. Essi Tuomola is acknowledged for  
464 formulating the diets used in the study. The study was supported by the Helve Foundation. The  
465 funding source had no involvement in the study design, conduction of the experiments, analysis and  
466 interpretation of the data, writing of the article or the decision to submit the article for publication.

467

468 **Conflict of interest**

469 Vappu Ylinen received a personal research grant from the Helve Foundation for conducting the  
470 study. At the time the research was done, Päivi Pylkkö worked for Kannus Research Farm Luova  
471 Oy. Jussi Peura is the Research Director in Finnish Fur Breeders' Association. The above-  
472 mentioned authors declare no financial interest or benefit from the direct applications of the  
473 research. Jarmo Valaja declares that he has no conflict of interest, financial interest or benefit from  
474 the direct applications of the research.

475

476 **Use of animals**

477 All institutional and national guidelines for the care and use of experimental animals were followed.  
478 All the experimental procedures were approved by the National Animal Experiment Board in  
479 Finland with the guidelines established by the European Union Directive 2010/63/EU and current  
480 Finnish legislation on animal experimentation (Act on the Protection of Animals Used for Scientific  
481 or Educational Purposes 497/2013).

482

483 **Data availability**

484 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author [V. Y.]  
485 upon reasonable request.  
486

487 Table 1. Composition of the experimental diets (g/kg) and planned chemical composition.

|                                | P24  | P20  | P20M | P16M | P16MH |
|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Baltic herring, autumn         | 170  | 140  | 140  | 108  | 108   |
| Slaughter by-products, pork    | 30   | 25   | 25   | 19   | 19    |
| Slaughter by-products, broiler | 260  | 213  | 213  | 165  | 165   |
| Precooked barley               | 170  | 139  | 139  | 107  | 107   |
| Animal protein, meal           | 21   | 15   | 15   | 11   | 11    |
| Fish meal                      | 36   | 29   | 29   | 23   | 23    |
| Molasses from sugar beet pulp  | 20   | 25   | 25   | 26   | 26    |
| Wheat bran                     | 20   | 25   | 25   | 26   | 26    |
| Lard                           | 56   | 58   | 58   | 75   | 75    |
| Mineral mixture <sup>a</sup>   | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2     |
| Cooked wheat starch            |      | 54   | 54   | 78   | 78    |
| DL-methionine                  |      |      | 0.8  | 1.3  | 1.3   |
| L-histidine                    |      |      |      |      | 0.9   |
| Celite                         | 6    | 6    | 6    | 6    | 6     |
| Water                          | 209  | 269  | 268  | 353  | 352   |
| Planned in DM (g/kg)           |      |      |      |      |       |
| Protein                        | 291  | 248  | 249  | 212  | 214   |
| Fat                            | 277  | 258  | 257  | 285  | 284   |
| Carbohydrates                  | 363  | 453  | 453  | 479  | 478   |
| Ash                            | 68.3 | 58.2 | 58.1 | 49.9 | 49.8  |
| ME (MJ ME/kg DM)               | 18.7 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 19.8 | 19.8  |
| Energy distribution            |      |      |      |      |       |
| Protein                        | 24   | 20   | 20.1 | 16   | 16.2  |
| Fat                            | 55   | 50.7 | 50.7 | 53.3 | 53.1  |
| Carbohydrates                  | 21   | 29.3 | 29.3 | 30.7 | 30.6  |
| F:C                            | 2.6  | 1.7  | 1.7  | 1.7  | 1.7   |

488 P24 = crude protein 24% of ME, P20 = crude protein 20% of ME, P20M =

489 crude protein 20% of ME + Met supplement, P16M = crude protein 16% of ME

490 + Met supplement, P16MH = crude protein 16% of ME + Met and His supplements. ME =  
 491 metabolizable energy, Met = DL-methionine, His = L-histidine.  
 492 <sup>a</sup>Containing 100 g l mixture—Ca: 16 g; P: 11 g; Mg: 4 g; Fe: 650 mg; Zn: 600 mg; Mn: 300 mg;  
 493 Cu: 15 mg; Co: 4 mg; Se: 0.1 mg.

494

495 Table 2. Analysed chemical composition of the diets.

|                      | P24  | P20  | P20M | P16M | P16MH |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| DM g/kg              | 398  | 371  | 361  | 371  | 371   |
| In DM (g/kg DM)      |      |      |      |      |       |
| Protein              | 278  | 246  | 242  | 229  | 207   |
| Fat                  | 256  | 300  | 321  | 274  | 254   |
| Carbohydrates        | 384  | 375  | 358  | 423  | 469   |
| Ash                  | 82   | 79   | 79   | 74   | 70    |
| ME (MJ/kg DM)        | 18.2 | 18.4 | 19.5 | 19.0 | 18.4  |
| Energy distribution* |      |      |      |      |       |
| Protein              | 21.3 | 17.1 | 16.4 | 15.9 | 14.1  |
| Fat                  | 52.8 | 60.3 | 62.6 | 55.1 | 51.6  |
| Carbohydrates        | 25.9 | 22.5 | 21.0 | 29.0 | 34.3  |
| F:C                  | 2.0  | 2.7  | 3.0  | 1.9  | 1.5   |

496 P24 = crude protein 24% of ME, P20 = crude protein 20% of ME, P20M

497 = crude protein 20% of ME + Met supplement, P16M = crude protein

498 16% of ME + Met supplement, P16MH = crude protein 16% of ME +

499 Met and His supplements. DM = dry matter, ME = metabolizable energy,

500 F:C = fat:carbohydrate ratio, Met = DL-methionine, His = L-histidine.

501 \* Energy distribution as % of ME, calculated using chemical composition

502 and digestibility coefficients carried out with the feed in question.



503

504 Table 3. Analysed amino-acid composition of the diets (g/kg DM).

|                                    | P24  | P20  | P20M | P16M | P16MH |
|------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Essential AA + cysteine            |      |      |      |      |       |
| Arginine                           | 16.9 | 14.6 | 15.1 | 14.2 | 12.6  |
| Cysteine                           | 3.8  | 2.7  | 3.3  | 3.6  | 2.7   |
| Histidine                          | 6.3  | 5.2  | 5.3  | 5.2  | 5.6   |
| Isoleucine                         | 10.2 | 9.5  | 9.8  | 9.0  | 8.2   |
| Leucine                            | 20.6 | 17.8 | 18.0 | 17.4 | 15.1  |
| Lysine                             | 15.3 | 14.2 | 14.1 | 14.0 | 11.8  |
| Methionine                         | 5.1  | 4.0  | 6.4  | 7.1  | 6.9   |
| Phenylalanine                      | 11.9 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 9.7  | 8.7   |
| Threonine                          | 10.8 | 9.7  | 9.8  | 9.4  | 8.3   |
| Tryptophan                         | 1.9  | 1.7  | 1.7  | 1.5  | 1.5   |
| Valine                             | 14.7 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 12.5 | 10.8  |
| Nonessential AA                    |      |      |      |      |       |
| Alanine                            | 16.4 | 14.2 | 14.3 | 14.0 | 11.9  |
| Aspartic acid                      | 22.4 | 20.1 | 20.2 | 19.8 | 16.9  |
| Glutamic acid                      | 38.2 | 34.5 | 34.3 | 32.9 | 28.7  |
| Glycine                            | 20.3 | 16.9 | 17.2 | 16.6 | 14.5  |
| Proline                            | 17.2 | 14.6 | 14.5 | 14.0 | 12.0  |
| Serine                             | 13.4 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 11.4 | 10.0  |
| Tyrosine                           | 7.9  | 6.8  | 7.3  | 6.7  | 6.1   |
| $\Sigma$ Essential <sup>a</sup>    | 117  | 102  | 107  | 104  | 92    |
| $\Sigma$ Nonessential <sup>a</sup> | 136  | 119  | 120  | 115  | 100   |
| $\Sigma$ Total <sup>b</sup>        | 253  | 221  | 226  | 219  | 192   |

505 <sup>a</sup>As listed in the table, <sup>b</sup>Total =  $\Sigma$  Essential +  $\Sigma$  Nonessential.

506 P24 = crude protein 24% of ME, P20 = crude protein 20% of ME, P20M = crude

507 protein 20% of ME + Met supplement, P16M = crude protein 16% of ME

508 + Met supplement, P16MH = crude protein 16% of ME + Met and His supplements. AA = amino

509 acid, ME = metabolizable energy, Met = DL-methionine, His = L-histidine.

510 Table 4. Apparent total-tract digestibility of macronutrients.

|     | P24  | P20  | P20M | P16M | P16MH | SEM <sup>1</sup> | c1  | c2  | c3 | c4 |
|-----|------|------|------|------|-------|------------------|-----|-----|----|----|
| DM  | 72.2 | 70.3 | 71.3 | 73.7 | 74.4  | 0.748            | ns  | **  | ns | ns |
| CP  | 74.0 | 70.1 | 71.5 | 70.8 | 68.1  | 1.136            | *   | ns  | ns | ns |
| OM  | 77.8 | 76.0 | 77.4 | 79.5 | 79.7  | 0.774            | ns  | **  | ns | ns |
| CCH | 69.7 | 64.5 | 65.1 | 73.6 | 76.8  | 1.439            | ns  | *** | ns | ns |
| CF  | 94.1 | 95.3 | 95.6 | 95.8 | 94.3  | 0.360            | *   | ns  | ns | *  |
| Ash | 9.08 | 3.48 | 0.79 | 1.75 | 4.92  | 1.327            | *** | ns  | ns | ns |

511 P24 = crude protein 24% of ME, P20 = crude protein 20% of ME, P20M = crude protein 20% of  
512 ME + Met supplement, P16M = crude protein 16% of ME + Met supplement, P16MH = crude  
513 protein 16% of ME + Met and His supplements. DM = dry matter, CP = crude protein, OM =  
514 organic matter, CCH = crude carbohydrates, CF = crude fat. SEM = standard error of the means.  
515 SEM<sup>1</sup> is for diets P24, P20 and P16M. SEM for diets P20M and P16MH is proportionately 1.154  
516 of the reported value. ns = nonsignificant, \* = significance at p < 0.05, \*\* = significance at p <  
517 0.01, \*\*\* = significance at p < 0.001. ME = metabolizable energy, Met = DL-methionine, His =  
518 L-histidine. Contrasts: C1 = P24 vs. others, C2 = protein level (P20 and P20M vs. P16M and  
519 P16MH), C3 = MET-supplementation (P20 vs. P20M), C4 = HIS-supplementation (P16M vs.  
520 P16MH).

521 Table 5. Apparent ileal digestibility of amino acids.

|                              | P24  | P20  | P20M | P16M | P16MH | SEM   | c1 | c2 | c3  | c4 |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|----|----|-----|----|
| Essential AA + cysteine      |      |      |      |      |       |       |    |    |     |    |
| Arginine                     | 77.7 | 77.1 | 77.7 | 77.9 | 77.6  | 1.096 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Cysteine                     | 37.2 | 14.6 | 32.4 | 42.0 | 23.8  | 4.844 | ns | ns | *   | *  |
| Histidine                    | 77.0 | 77.6 | 78.5 | 77.9 | 82.2  | 1.391 | ns | ns | ns  | *  |
| Isoleucine                   | 75.9 | 75.2 | 75.5 | 73.3 | 75.6  | 1.375 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Leucine                      | 76.1 | 76.4 | 77.0 | 76.0 | 76.7  | 1.278 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Lysine                       | 81.5 | 83.7 | 84.9 | 84.7 | 83.8  | 1.162 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Methionine                   | 86.6 | 84.2 | 90.4 | 90.3 | 91.6  | 1.020 | *  | ** | *** | ns |
| Phenylalanine                | 75.5 | 74.6 | 76.3 | 75.2 | 75.8  | 1.416 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Threonine                    | 67.6 | 65.4 | 66.1 | 63.2 | 63.8  | 2.067 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Tryptophan                   | 63.7 | 60.3 | 61.5 | 54.5 | 57.9  | 2.346 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Valine                       | 72.4 | 72.0 | 72.1 | 71.0 | 71.8  | 1.508 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Nonessential AA <sup>a</sup> |      |      |      |      |       |       |    |    |     |    |
| Alanine                      | 76.8 | 77.5 | 77.4 | 77.4 | 76.0  | 1.103 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Aspartic acid                | 63.8 | 63.9 | 64.9 | 63.1 | 65.3  | 2.212 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Glutamic acid                | 77.7 | 77.3 | 77.1 | 76.7 | 77.2  | 1.355 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Glycine                      | 68.8 | 67.4 | 67.7 | 67.9 | 67.1  | 1.522 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Proline                      | 66.6 | 64.2 | 63.6 | 63.2 | 61.2  | 1.768 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Serine                       | 62.6 | 60.1 | 60.2 | 57.4 | 58.9  | 2.214 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Tyrosine                     | 75.7 | 73.3 | 75.2 | 74.7 | 77.2  | 1.650 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |
| Total <sup>b</sup>           | 73.6 | 73.0 | 73.8 | 73.0 | 73.6  | 1.419 | ns | ns | ns  | ns |

522 <sup>a</sup>As listed in the table, <sup>b</sup>Total =  $\Sigma$  Essential +  $\Sigma$  Nonessential. P24 = crude protein 24% of ME, P20  
523 = crude protein 20% of ME, P20M = crude protein 20% of ME + Met supplement, P16M = crude  
524 protein 16% of ME + Met supplement, P16MH = crude protein 16% of ME + Met and His  
525 supplements. SEM = standard error of the means. ns = nonsignificant, \* = significance at  $p < 0.05$ ,  
526 \*\* = significance at  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* = significance at  $p < 0.001$ . AA = amino acid, ME =  
527 metabolizable energy, Met = DL-methionine, His = L-histidine. Contrasts: C1 = P24 vs. others, C2

528 = protein level (P20 and P20M vs. P16M and P16MH), C3 = MET-supplementation (P20 vs.  
529 P20M), C4 = HIS-supplementation (P16M vs. P16MH).  
530

531 Table 6. Apparent total-tract digestibility of amino acids.

|                              | P24  | P20  | P20M | P16M | P16MH | SEM   | c1  | c2 | c3 | c4  |
|------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-----|----|----|-----|
| Essential AA + cysteine      |      |      |      |      |       |       |     |    |    |     |
| Arginine                     | 81.7 | 79.5 | 80.1 | 82.5 | 79.8  | 0.789 | ns  | ns | ns | *   |
| Cysteine                     | 50.8 | 32.0 | 48.7 | 52.1 | 43.2  | 4.113 | ns  | ns | *  | ns  |
| Histidine                    | 81.8 | 79.5 | 81.2 | 83.3 | 84.3  | 0.853 | ns  | ** | ns | ns  |
| Isoleucine                   | 73.2 | 70.5 | 72.9 | 73.4 | 70.7  | 0.991 | ns  | ns | ns | ns  |
| Leucine                      | 76.3 | 74.0 | 75.7 | 77.5 | 74.0  | 0.766 | ns  | ns | ns | **  |
| Lysine                       | 81.2 | 81.3 | 81.9 | 84.6 | 79.5  | 0.988 | ns  | ns | ns | **  |
| Methionine                   | 78.1 | 73.7 | 84.8 | 86.5 | 87.3  | 1.964 | *   | ** | ** | ns  |
| Phenylalanine                | 75.7 | 72.0 | 74.1 | 76.8 | 73.2  | 1.114 | ns  | ns | ns | *   |
| Threonine                    | 70.8 | 65.0 | 67.6 | 68.6 | 64.4  | 1.180 | **  | ns | ns | *   |
| Tryptophan                   | 68.2 | 61.8 | 60.7 | 60.6 | 60.9  | 1.943 | **  | ns | ns | ns  |
| Valine                       | 72.6 | 69.2 | 71.0 | 73.0 | 68.9  | 0.875 | *   | ns | ns | **  |
| Nonessential AA <sup>a</sup> |      |      |      |      |       |       |     |    |    |     |
| Alanine                      | 75.7 | 71.7 | 72.7 | 74.1 | 69.5  | 1.035 | **  | ns | ns | **  |
| Aspartic acid                | 72.3 | 68.8 | 68.7 | 69.0 | 64.0  | 0.826 | *** | *  | ns | *** |
| Glutamic acid                | 79.3 | 76.4 | 76.4 | 76.6 | 73.3  | 0.639 | *** | *  | ns | **  |
| Glycine                      | 79.4 | 75.0 | 75.6 | 78.9 | 76.0  | 1.141 | *   | ns | ns | ns  |
| Proline                      | 75.3 | 70.7 | 70.8 | 74.2 | 69.9  | 1.125 | **  | ns | ns | *   |
| Serine                       | 69.3 | 63.7 | 64.8 | 68.1 | 63.3  | 1.158 | **  | ns | ns | *   |
| Tyrosine                     | 71.9 | 67.6 | 70.9 | 72.5 | 68.3  | 1.432 | ns  | ns | ns | ns  |
| Total <sup>b</sup>           | 77.2 | 73.9 | 75.2 | 77.0 | 73.5  | 0.751 | *   | ns | ns | **  |

532 <sup>a</sup>As listed in the table, <sup>b</sup>Total =  $\Sigma$  Essential +  $\Sigma$  Nonessential. P24 = crude protein 24% of ME, P20  
533 = crude protein 20% of ME, P20M = crude protein 20% of ME + Met supplement, P16M = crude  
534 protein 16% of ME + Met supplement, P16MH = crude protein 16% of ME + Met and His  
535 supplements. SEM = standard error of the means. ns = nonsignificant, \* = significance at  $p < 0.05$ ,  
536 \*\* = significance at  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* = significance at  $p < 0.001$ . AA = amino acid, ME =  
537 metabolizable energy, Met = DL-methionine, His = L-histidine. Contrasts: C1 = P24 vs. others, C2

538 = protein level (P20 and P20M vs. P16M and P16MH), C3 = MET-supplementation (P20 vs.  
539 P20M), C4 = HIS-supplementation (P16M vs. P16MH).

540

541 Table 7. Average apparent ileal and total-tract digestibility of amino acids

|                              | Ileal | Total-tract | SEM   | Method | Diet*method |
|------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------|--------|-------------|
| Essential AA + cystine       |       |             |       |        |             |
| Arginine                     | 77.6  | 80.7        | 0.426 | ***    | ns          |
| Cysteine                     | 30.0  | 45.4        | 2.666 | ***    | ns          |
| Histidine                    | 78.6  | 82.0        | 0.613 | ***    | ns          |
| Isoleucine                   | 75.1  | 72.2        | 0.540 | ***    | ns          |
| Leucine                      | 76.4  | 75.5        | 0.479 | ns     | ns          |
| Lysine                       | 83.7  | 81.7        | 0.542 | *      | ns          |
| Methionine                   | 88.6  | 82.1        | 1.150 | ***    | ns          |
| Phenylalanine                | 75.5  | 74.4        | 0.585 | ns     | ns          |
| Threonine                    | 65.2  | 67.3        | 0.812 | ns     | ns          |
| Tryptophan                   | 59.6  | 62.4        | 1.105 | ns     | ns          |
| Valine                       | 71.8  | 70.9        | 0.567 | ns     | ns          |
| Nonessential AA <sup>a</sup> |       |             |       |        |             |
| Alanine                      | 77.0  | 72.7        | 0.554 | ***    | ns          |
| Aspartic acid                | 64.2  | 68.6        | 0.800 | ***    | ns          |
| Glutamic acid                | 77.2  | 76.4        | 0.526 | ns     | ns          |
| Glycine                      | 67.8  | 77.0        | 0.619 | ***    | ns          |
| Proline                      | 63.8  | 72.2        | 0.741 | ***    | ns          |
| Serine                       | 59.8  | 65.9        | 0.850 | ***    | ns          |
| Tyrosine                     | 75.2  | 70.2        | 0.722 | ***    | ns          |
| Total <sup>b</sup>           | 73.4  | 75.4        | 0.517 | *      | ns          |

542 <sup>a</sup>As listed in the table, <sup>b</sup>Total =  $\Sigma$  Essential +  $\Sigma$  Nonessential.

543 ns = nonsignificant, \* = significance at  $p < 0.05$ , \*\* = significance at  $p < 0.01$ ,

544 \*\*\* = significance at  $p < 0.001$ . SEM = standard error of the means, AA =

545 amino acid.

546

547

548

549 Table 8. Nitrogen (N) metabolism.

|                                | P24  | P20  | P20M | P16M | P16MH | SEM <sup>1</sup> | c1  | c2  | c3 | c4 |
|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|------------------|-----|-----|----|----|
| N intake                       | 13.2 | 11.7 | 11.5 | 10.9 | 9.8   |                  |     |     |    |    |
| Faecal N g/d                   | 3.2  | 3.1  | 3.0  | 3.1  | 2.9   | 0.137            | ns  | ns  | ns | ns |
| UrinaryN g/d                   | 8.4  | 7.4  | 7.0  | 6.2  | 5.6   | 0.291            | *** | *** | ns | ns |
| Urea g/d                       | 16.1 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 10.5  | 0.564            | *** | *   | ns | ns |
| Urea N g/d                     | 7.5  | 6.0  | 6.4  | 5.6  | 4.9   | 0.263            | *** | *   | ns | ns |
| Urea N as % of total urinary N | 89.0 | 81.6 | 86.4 | 91.2 | 87.4  | 2.464            | ns  | ns  | ns | ns |
| N absorbed g/d                 | 10.0 | 8.6  | 8.5  | 7.8  | 6.9   | 0.137            | *** | *** | ns | ** |
| N retained                     |      |      |      |      |       |                  |     |     |    |    |
| g per day/animal               | 1.5  | 1.2  | 1.3  | 1.6  | 1.3   | 0.313            | ns  | ns  | ns | ns |
| % of intake                    | 11.5 | 10.5 | 11.7 | 14.7 | 13.7  | 2.977            | ns  | ns  | ns | ns |
| g kg live weight               | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1   | 0.020            | ns  | ns  | ns | ns |
| % of absorption                | 15.2 | 14.4 | 15.8 | 20.3 | 19.0  | 4.100            | ns  | ns  | ns | ns |
| Plasma urea mmol/l             | 5.96 | 5.52 | 4.98 | 4.92 | 5.54  | 0.424            | ns  | ns  | ns | ns |

550 P24 = crude protein 24% of ME, P20 = crude protein 20% of ME, P20M = crude protein 20% of  
551 ME + Met supplement, P16M = crude protein 16% of ME + Met supplement, P16MH = crude  
552 protein 16% of ME + Met and His supplements. PUN = plasma urea nitrogen. SEM = standard  
553 error of the means. SEM<sup>1</sup> is for diets P24, P20 and P16M. SEM for diets P20M and P16MH is  
554 proportionately 1.154 of the reported value. ns = nonsignificant, \* = significance at p < 0.05, \*\* =  
555 significance at p < 0.01, \*\*\* = significance at p < 0.001. ME = metabolizable energy, Met = DL-  
556 methionine, His = L-histidine. Contrasts: C1 = P24 vs. others, C2 = protein level (P20 and P20M  
557 vs. P16M and P16MH), C3 = MET-supplementation (P20 vs. P20M), C4 = HIS-supplementation  
558 (P16M vs. P16MH).

559

560



561 Table 9. Plasma amino acids  $\mu\text{M/l}$ .

|                        | P24   | P20   | P20M  | P16M  | P16MH | SEM    | c1 | c2 | c3 | c4 |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|----|----|----|----|
| Essential AA + cystine |       |       |       |       |       |        |    |    |    |    |
| Arginine               | 148.0 | 148.7 | 153.8 | 148.6 | 157.0 | 12.329 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Cysteine               | 34.63 | 36.03 | 37.20 | 37.88 | 39.95 | 3.5803 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Histidine              | 76.14 | 70.33 | 72.06 | 76.65 | 71.42 | 2.6257 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Isoleucine             | 79.92 | 72.69 | 75.63 | 65.54 | 65.43 | 4.0402 | *  | *  | ns | ns |
| Leucine                | 161.1 | 150.1 | 150.5 | 134.8 | 135.1 | 6.4887 | *  | *  | ns | ns |
| Lysine                 | 185.4 | 175.2 | 190.1 | 190.1 | 168.8 | 11.386 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Methionine             | 44.06 | 42.57 | 42.35 | 39.75 | 42.64 | 2.4933 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Phenylalanine          | 94.54 | 85.09 | 87.47 | 85.90 | 79.72 | 3.8300 | *  | ns | ns | ns |
| Threonine              | 120.6 | 109.5 | 104.4 | 95.28 | 90.39 | 5.3046 | ** | *  | ns | ns |
| Tryptophan             | 51.71 | 45.36 | 39.84 | 41.35 | 39.35 | 2.6993 | ** | ns | ns | ns |
| Valine                 | 196.0 | 181.2 | 182.7 | 168.0 | 165.4 | 7.8989 | *  | ns | ns | ns |
| Nonessential AA        |       |       |       |       |       |        |    |    |    |    |
| Alanine                | 415.3 | 449.1 | 469.2 | 535.7 | 471.2 | 38.956 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Aspartic acid          | 73.04 | 64.90 | 67.44 | 62.25 | 60.67 | 2.6611 | ** | ns | ns | ns |
| Glutamic acid          | 850.0 | 733.8 | 703.1 | 606.2 | 667.3 | 78.765 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Glycine                | 305.3 | 261.6 | 294.3 | 282.2 | 284.7 | 13.553 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Proline                | 135.8 | 133.7 | 135.0 | 142.9 | 139.1 | 8.1806 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Serine                 | 234.0 | 241.5 | 232.6 | 212.9 | 208.4 | 12.809 | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| Tyrosine               | 60.74 | 54.32 | 53.37 | 52.51 | 47.11 | 3.6975 | *  | ns | ns | ns |

562 P24 = crude protein 24% of ME, P20 = crude protein 20% of ME, P20M = crude protein 20% of

563 ME + Met supplement, P16M = crude protein 16% of ME + Met supplement, P16MH = crude

564 protein 16% of ME + Met and His supplements, SEM = standard error of the means.

565 ns = nonsignificant, \* = significance at  $p < 0.05$ , \*\* = significance at  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* = significance at

566  $p < 0.001$ . AA = amino acid, ME = metabolizable energy, Met = DL-methionine, His = L-histidine.

567 Contrasts: C1 = P24 vs. others, C2 = protein level (P20 and P20M vs. P16M and P16MH), C3 =

568 MET-supplementation (P20 vs. P20M), C4 = HIS-supplementation (P16M vs. P16MH).

569 **References**

570 Aarnink, A. J. A., & Verstegen, M. W. A. (2007). Nutrition, key factor to reduce environmental  
571 load from pig production. *Livestock Science*, 109, 194–203.

572 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2007.01.112>

573 Adeola, O., Xue, P. C., Cowieson, A. J., & Ajuwon, K. M. (2016). Basal endogenous losses of  
574 amino acids in protein nutrition research for swine and poultry. *Animal Feed Science and*

575 *Technology*, 221, 274–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.06.004>

576 Ahlstrøm, Ø., & Skrede, A. (1995). Comparative nutrient digestibility in blue foxes (*Alopex*  
577 *lagopus*) and mink (*Mustela vison*) fed diets with diverging fat: Carbohydrate ratios. *Acta*

578 *Agriculturae Scandinavica A: Animal Sciences*, 45, 74–80.

579 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09064709509410916>

580 Belloir, P., Méda, B., Lambert, W., Corrent, E., Juin, H., Lessire, M., & Tesseraud, S. (2017).

581 Reducing the CP content in broiler feeds: impact on animal performance, meat quality and nitrogen  
582 utilisation. *Animal*, 11, 1881–1889. doi:10.1017/S1751731117000660

583 Canh, T. T., Aarnink, A. J. A., Schutte, J. B., Sutton, A., Langhout, D. J., & Verstegen, M. W. A.

584 (1998). Dietary protein affects nitrogen excretion and ammonia emission from slurry of growing-

585 finishing pigs. *Livestock Production Science*, 56, 181–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301->

586 [6226\(98\)00156-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(98)00156-0)

587 Carpenter, D. A., O'Mara, F. P., & O'Doherty, J. V. (2004). The effect of dietary crude protein

588 concentration on growth performance, carcass composition and nitrogen excretion in entire grower-

589 finisher pigs. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*, 43, 227–236.

590 Coma, J. (1995). "Use of plasma urea nitrogen as a rapid response criterion to estimate the lysine  
591 requirements of growing and lactating pigs ". Retrospective Theses and Dissertations 10892.  
592 <https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd/10892>

593 Coma, J., Carrion, D., & Zimmerman, D. R. (1995). Use of plasma urea nitrogen as a rapid  
594 response criterion to determine the lysine requirement of pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 73, 472–  
595 481.

596 Dahlman, T., Kiiskinen, T., Mäkelä, J., Niemelä, P., Syrjälä-Qvist, L., Valaja, J., & Jalava, T.  
597 (2002a). Digestibility and nitrogen utilisation of diets containing protein at different levels and  
598 supplemented with DL-methionine, L-methionine and L-lysine in blue fox (*Alopex lagopus*).  
599 *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 98, 219–235.

600 Dahlman, T., Mäntysalo, M., Rasmussen P.V., & Skovlørkke, L. L. (2002b). Influence of dietary  
601 protein level and the amino acids methionine and lysine on leather properties of blue fox (*Alopex*  
602 *lagopus*) pelts. *Archives of Animal Nutrition*, 56, 443–454.

603 Dahlman, T. (2003). *Protein and Amino Acids in the Nutrition of the Growing-Furring Blue Fox*.  
604 University of Helsinki. Department of Animal Science Publications. Helsinki. 33 p.

605 Dahlman, T., Valaja, J., Venäläinen, E., Jalava, T., & Pölönen, I. (2004). Optimum dietary amino  
606 acid pattern and limiting order of some essential amino acids for growing-furring blue foxes  
607 (*Alopex lagopus*). *Animal Science*, 78, 77–86.

608 Delaney, S. J., Hill, A. S., Backus, R. C., Czarnecki-Maulden, G. L. & Rogers, Q. R. (2001).  
609 Dietary crude protein concentration does not affect the leucine requirement of growing dogs.  
610 *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 85, 88–100.

611 European Commission. 1998. Commission Directive 98/64/EC. Community methods of analysis  
612 for the determination of amino acids, crude oils and fats, and olaquinox in feedingstuffs and  
613 amending directive 71/393/EEC. Official Journal of European Communities, L 257, 4–23.

614 Fan, M. Z., & Sauer, W. C. (1997). Determination of true ileal amino acid digestibility in feedstuffs  
615 for pigs with the linear relationships between distal ileal outputs and dietary inputs of amino acids.  
616 Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 73(2), 189–199.  
617 [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0010\(199702\)73:2<189::AID-JSFA702>3.0.CO;2-N](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(199702)73:2<189::AID-JSFA702>3.0.CO;2-N)

618 Figueroa, J. L., Lewis, A. J., Miller, P. S., Fischer, R. L., & Diedrichsen, R. M. (2003). Growth,  
619 carcass traits, and plasma amino acid concentrations of gilts fed low-protein diets supplemented  
620 with amino acids including histidine, isoleucine and valine. Journal of Animal Science, 81, 1529–  
621 1537.

622 Gugolek, A., Juśkiewicz, J., Strychalski, J., Konstantynowicz, M., & Zwoliński, C. (2015). Nutrient  
623 digestibility and colonic fermentation processes in species of the families Mustelidae and Canidae  
624 fed the same diet. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological Genetics and Physiology,  
625 323, 637–644. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1954>

626 Gugolek, A., Wyczling, T., Strychalski, J., Kowalska, D., Konstantynowicz, M., & Zwoliński, C.  
627 (2017). Effect of diets with high methionine levels on growth performance, health status, nutrient  
628 digestibility and nitrogen retention in arctic foxes. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences, 27, 1743–  
629 1749.

630 Hansen, N.E., Finne, L. Skrede, A. & Tauson, A.-H. 1991. Energiforsyningen hos mink og ræv.  
631 Nordic Association of Agricultural Scientist, Nordic Association of Agricultural Scientists.  
632 Subsection for Fur Animals. Report No. 63. 59 p.

633 Hendriks, W. H., & Sritharan, K. (2002). Apparent ileal and fecal digestibility of dietary protein is  
634 different in dogs. The Journal of Nutrition, 132, 1692S–1694S.

635 Hendriks, W. H., Van Baal, J., & Bosch, G. (2012). Ileal and faecal protein digestibility  
636 measurement in humans and other non-ruminants - A comparative species view. *British Journal of*  
637 *Nutrition*, 108, S247–S257. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114512002395>.

638 Hendriks, W. H., Thomas, D. G., Bosch, G., & Fahey, G. C. (2013). Comparison of ileal and total  
639 tract nutrient digestibility of dry dog foods. *Journal of Animal Science*, 91, 3807–3814.  
640 <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2012-5864>

641 Kiarie, E., Slominski, B. A., Krause, D. O., & Nyachoti C. M. (2009). Acute phase response of  
642 piglets fed diets containing non-starch polysaccharide hydrolysis products and egg yolk antibodies  
643 following an oral challenge with *Escherichia coli* (k88). *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 89,  
644 353–360. <https://doi.org/10.4141/CJAS09008>

645 Korhonen, H. T., & Huuki, H. (2014). Serum Biochemistry and Hematology in Blue Fox (*Vulpes*  
646 *lagopus*). *Open Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 04, 255–260.  
647 <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojvm.2014.411030>

648 de Lange, C.F.M., Sauer, W. C., Mosenthin, R. & Souffrant, W. B. (1989). The Effect of Feeding  
649 Different Protein-Free Diets on the Recovery and Amino Acid Composition of Endogenous Protein  
650 Collected from the Distal Ileum and Feces in Pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 67, 746–754.

651 Lemme, A., Ravindran, V., & Bryden, W. L. (2004). Ileal digestibility of amino acids in feed  
652 ingredients for broilers. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 60, 423–438.  
653 [doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/WPS200426](http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/WPS200426)

654 Low, A. G. (1980). Nutrient Absorption in Pigs. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 31,  
655 1087–1130.

656 LUKE (2006). The Feed Tables and Feeding Recommendations 2006. MTT:n Selvityksiä 106.  
657 MTT, Jokioinen.  
658 [https://portal.mtt.fi/portal/page/portal/Rehutaulukot/feed\\_tables\\_english/feed\\_tables/fur\\_animals](https://portal.mtt.fi/portal/page/portal/Rehutaulukot/feed_tables_english/feed_tables/fur_animals)  
659 McDonald, P., Edwards, R.A., Greenhalgh, J.F.D., Morgan, C.A., Sinclair, L.A. and Wilkinson,  
660 R.G. (2011) *Animal Nutrition*. 7th Edition. Pearson Education Limited, Essex, England.  
661 Mosenthin, R., Sauer, W. C., Blank, R., Huisman, J., & Fan, M. Z. (2000). The concept of  
662 digestible amino acids in diet formulation for pigs. *Livestock Production Science*, 64, 265–280.  
663 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226\(99\)00139-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(99)00139-6)  
664 Muir, H. E., Murray, S. M., Fahey, Jr., G. C., Merchen, N. R., & Reinhart, G. A. (1996). Nutrient  
665 Digestion by Ileal Cannulated Dogs as Affected by Dietary Fibers with Various Fermentation  
666 Characteristics. *Journal of Animal Sciences*, 74, 1641–1648.  
667 Murray, S. M., Patil, A. R., Fahey, Jr., G. C., Merchen, N. R., & Hughes, D. M. (1997). Raw and  
668 Rendered Animal By-Products as Ingredients in Dog Diets. *Journal of Animals Sciences*, 75, 2497–  
669 2505.  
670 NRC (2006). *Nutrient Requirements of Dogs and Cats*. National Research Council. Washington,  
671 DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/10668>.  
672 Noblet, J., Le Bellego, L., Van Milgen, J., & Dubois, S. (2001). Effects of reduced dietary protein  
673 level and fat addition on heat production and nitrogen and energy balance in growing pigs. *Animal*  
674 *Research*, 50, 227–238. <https://doi.org/10.1051/animres:2001129>  
675 Otto, E. R., Yokoyama, M., Ku, P. K., Ames, N. K., & Trottier, N. L. (2003). Nitrogen balance and  
676 ileal amino acid digestibility in growing pigs fed diets reduced in protein concentration. *Journal of*  
677 *Animal Sciences*, 81, 1743–1753. <https://doi.org/10.2527/2003.8171743x>

678 Pedersen, C., & Boisen, S. (2001). Studies on the Response Time for Plasma Urea Nitrogen as a  
679 Rapid Measure for Dietary Protein Quality in Pigs, *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A —*  
680 *Animal Science*, 51, 209–216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09064700152717182>

681 Pedersen, C., Lindberg, J. E., & Boisen, S. (2003). Determination of the optimal dietary  
682 threonine:lysine ratio for finishing pigs using three different methods. *Livestock Production*  
683 *Science*, 82, 233–243. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226\(03\)00011-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(03)00011-3).

684 Perttilä, S., Valaja, J., Partanen, K., Jalava, T., & Venäläinen, E. (2002). Apparent ileal digestibility  
685 of amino acids in protein feedstuffs and diet formulation based on total vs digestible lysine for  
686 poultry. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 98, 203–218. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401(02)00031-7)  
687 [8401\(02\)00031-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401(02)00031-7)

688 Profur 2019. Toimintakäsikirja 2018 – 2019 (in Finnish). Suomen Turkuiseläin Kasvattajain Liitto  
689 ry. Vantaa.

690 Puhakka, L., Jaakkola, S., Simpura, I., Kokkonen, T., & Vanhatalo, A. (2016). Effects of replacing  
691 rapeseed meal with fava bean at 2 concentrate crude protein levels on feed intake, nutrient  
692 digestion, and milk production in cows fed grass silage–based diets. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99,  
693 7993–8006.

694 Sauer, W. C., & Ozimek, L. (1986). Digestibility of amino acids in swine: results and their practical  
695 applications. A review. *Livestock Production Science*, 15, 367–388.

696 Sauer, W. C., Mosenthin, R., & Hartog, L. a Den. (1991). The effect of source of fiber on ileal and  
697 fecal amino acid digestibility and bacterial nitrogen excretion in growing pigs. *Journal of Animal*  
698 *Science*, 69, 4070–4077.

699 Sauer WC, Fan MZ, Mosenthin R & Drochner W. (2000). Methods for measuring ileal amino acid  
700 digestibility in pigs. In: D’Mello JPF (ed.): Farm Animal Metabolism and Nutrition. CABI  
701 Publishing, pp. 279–306.

702 Sepponen, J., Korhonen, H. T., Eskeli, P., & Koskinen, N. (2014). Tuotanto- ja siitoskauden  
703 ruokinnan vaikutus siniketun rasva-aineenvaihduntaan sekä siitoskuntoon. (In Finnish.) MTT  
704 Loppuraportti. 13 p.

705 Silvio, J., Harmon, D. L., Gross, K. L., & McLeod, K. R. (2000). Influence of fiber fermentability  
706 on nutrient digestion in the dog. *Nutrition*, 16, 289–295. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-9007(99)00298-1)  
707 [9007\(99\)00298-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-9007(99)00298-1)

708 Skrede, A., Krogdahl, Å., & Austreng, E. (1980). Digestibility of amino acids in raw fish flesh and  
709 meat- and- bone meal for the chicken, fox, mink and rainbow trout. *Zeitschrift Für Tierphysiologie*  
710 *Tierernährung Und Futtermittelkunde*, 43, 92–101. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0396.1980.tb00611.x)  
711 [0396.1980.tb00611.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0396.1980.tb00611.x)

712 Szymeczko, R., & Skrede, A. (1990). Protein digestion in mink, *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica*,  
713 40, 189-200, DOI: 10.1080/00015129009438018

714 Szymeczko, R. (2001). Ileal and total digestibility of amino acids in feeds used in mink and polar  
715 fox nutrition. *Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences*, 10, 211–222.

716 Tjernsbekk, M. T., Tauson A-H., & Ahlstrøm, Ø. (2014) Ileal, colonic and total tract nutrient  
717 digestibility in dogs (*Canis familiaris*) compared with total tract digestibility in mink (*Neovison*  
718 *vison*), *Archives of Animal Nutrition*, 68, 245-261, DOI: 10.1080/1745039X.2014.915137

719 Vhile, S. G., Skrede, A., Ahlstrom, O., Szymeczko, R., & Hove, K. (2005). Ileal and total tract  
720 nutrient digestibility in blue foxes (*Alopex lagopus*) fed extruded diets containing different protein  
721 sources. *Archives of Animal Nutrition*, 59, 61–72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17450390512331342395>



722 Wang, T. C., & Fuller, M. F. (1989). The optimum dietary amino acid pattern for growing pigs.  
723 British Journal of Nutrition, 62, 17-89.

724 Wenk C. (2001). The role of dietary fibre in the digestive physiology of the pig. Animal Feed  
725 Science and Technology, 90, 21–33. doi: 10.1016/S0377-8401(01)00194-8.

726 Williams, P. E. V. (1995). Digestible amino acids for non-ruminant animals: theory and recent  
727 challenges. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 53, 173–187.

728 Ylinen, V., Pylkkö, P., Tuomola, E., Peura, J., & Valaja, J. (2018). Performance in blue fox (*Vulpes*  
729 *lagopus*) fed low-protein diets supplemented with DL-methionine and L-histidine. Agricultural and  
730 Food Science, 27, 168–178. <https://doi.org/10.23986/afsci.73149>

731

732