See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/325303528

## Morphological and molecular identification of three new species of Tomentella from Finland

**Article** *in* Mycologia · May 2018 DOI: 10.1080/00275514.2018.1474683

CITATIONS 2	;	READS 293	
3 autho	3 authors, including:		
	Hai-Sheng Yuan Institute of Applied Ecology Chinese Academy of Sciences <b>71</b> PUBLICATIONS <b>1,105</b> CITATIONS SEE PROFILE		

All content following this page was uploaded by Hai-Sheng Yuan on 23 August 2018.

- 1 Short title: New *Tomentella* from Finland
- 2 Morphological and molecular identification of three new species of *Tomentella* from Finland

3 Xu Lu

- 4 CAS Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Management, Institute of Applied Ecology,
- 5 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110164, P. R. China; University of the Chinese
- 6 Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

7 Kari Steffen

- 8 Department of Environmental Sciences, Section of Environmental Ecology, Niemenkatu 73,
  9 15100 Lahti, Finland
- 10 Hai-Sheng Yuan
- 11 Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Management, Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese
- 12 Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110164, P. R. China

### 13 ABSTRACT

14 Three new species of Tomentella (Thelephorales) from Finland, T. globosa, T. lammiensis and 15 T. longisterigmata, are described and illustrated with morphological characteristics and nuc 16 rDNA ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 sequences (ITS). T. globosa is characterized by mucedinoid, pale to 17 dark brown basidiocarps adherent to the substrate, generative hyphae with clamps and rarely 18 with simple septa and echinulate globose basidiospores (echinuli up to 1.5 µm long). T. 19 lammiensis is characterized by mucedinoid, oxide yellow to golden brown basidiocarps 20 separable from the substrate, generative hyphae with clamps and echinulate ellipsoid, 21 triangular or lobbed basidiospores (echinuli up to 2 µm long). T. longisterigmata is 22 characterized by mucedinoid, dark brown to chestnut basidiocarps separable from the

substrate, generative hyphae clamped and rarely with simple septa, the long basidial
sterigmata (7–11 µm long) and echinulate globose basidiospores (echinuli up to 2 µm long).
An absence of rhizomorphs and cystidia is their common morphological feature. Molecular
analyses by maximum likelihood, maximum parsimony and Bayesian analysis confirm the
phylogenetic position of these three new species. The discriminating characters of these new
species and their closely related species are discussed in this study. A key to the species from
Finland is provided in order to facilitate future studies of the genus.

8 KEY WORDS: ITS, phylogeny, taxonomy, Tomentelloid fungi

### 9 INTRODUCTION

10 The genus Tomentella Pers. ex Pat. belongs to Thelephoraceae, Thelephorales, Basidiomycota. 11 Species of this genus usually grow on fallen wood, leaf litter, soil and other substrates and 12 form a cottony or spider web-like reproductive structure on them (Larsen 1974; K dijalg 1996; 13 Erland and Taylor 1999; Tedersoo et al. 2003). Current evidence suggests that the genus 14 Tomentella is composed by exclusively mycorrhizal species (Erland and Taylor 1999; Jakucs 15 et al. 2005; K õljalg et al. 2000; Geml et al. 2012). Species of Tomentella can form 16 ectomycorrhiza with different plant hosts and receive energy from their host plants and 17 transport nutrients to their hosts (Danielson et al. 1984; Erland and Taylor 1999; Tedersoo et 18 al. 2003; Jakucs and Erős-Honti 2008) and they are of great ecological importance as 19 ectomycorrhiza formers in temperate and tropical forests (Gardes and Bruns 1996; Erland and 20 Taylor 1999; Haug et al. 2005; Jakucs et al. 2015; Kuhar et al. 2016).

The genus *Tomentella* is characterized by an annual habitat, resupinate and arachnoid, mucedinoid, crutose or pelliculose basidiocarps, which are separable from or adherent to the substrate; white, reddish brown, yellow or green hymenophore; smooth, granulose, colliculose or hydnoid hymenophoral surface; the monomitic or dimitic hyphal system with clamped or

simple septate generative hyphae; the monomitic or dimitic hyphal cords present in subiculum
 and margins or absent; cystidia absent or arising from subhymenial hyphae or subicular
 hyphae; thick- or thin-walled, triangular, lobed, ellipsoid, subglobose to globose basidiospores
 with echinulate or bifurcate ornamentation (Larsen 1966; 1968; 1974; K õljalg 1996).

5 Tomentella was reported to be widely distributed in the world in places such as 6 Eurasia (Larsen 1998), North America (Alvarez-Manjarrez et al. 2015), South America (Haug 7 et al. 2005; Kuhar et al. 2016), India (Thind and Rattan 1971), Korea (Jung 1994), West 8 Africa (Yorou and Agerer 2008; Yorou et al. 2012), Australia (Agerer et al. 2001) and so on. 9 The descriptions of the genus were based mainly on morphological characteristics before 10 2000, and mycologists may not distinguish closely related species that have limited 11 characteristic differences. In the recent decades most species of the genus were described on 12 the basis of rDNA sequence analyses combining with morphological characteristics 13 descriptions. Morphological and phylogenetic analyses have provided more accurate 14 identification for distinguishing the closely related species.

15 There are many studies on species diversity and taxonomy of *Tomentella* reported in 16 Europe, while systematic collection, genetic diversity researches and classification of this 17 species is still scarce in Finland at present, and approximately 23 species were recorded 18 (Kotiranta et al. 2009; Kunttu et al. 2012; Kunttu et al. 2015; Kuhar et al. 2016). However, 19 three species, T. crinalis (Fr.) M.J. Larsen, T. ferruginea (Pers.) Pat. and T. fibrosa (Berk. & 20 M.A. Curtis) Kõljalg, were translocated to *Odontia* Pers. according to the recent phylogenetic 21 and the stable isotopes study (Tedersoo et al. 2014). Thus, 20 species of Tomentella were 22 recorded. In 2016, several investigations of wood-inhabiting fungi in Finland were carried out, 23 and dozens of Tomentella specimens were collected from the forests surrounding Lammi 24 Biological Station of Helsinki University. The forests are mainly dominated by coniferous 25 trees such as *Larix* spp., *Picea* spp., *Pinus* spp., and a small number of broad-leaved trees are

scattered in the forests. During the study of these specimens, three undescribed species were
 found using morphological characters and phylogenetic analyses of internal transcribed spacer
 (ITS) sequences. Here we described them as new species.

### 4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

5 Morphological studies.—The studied specimens were deposited at the herbarium of Institute 6 of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IFP). The microscopic procedures 7 followed He and Dai (2012). Microscopic drawings were made with the aid of a drawing tube. 8 Microscopic measurements were made from slide preparations stained with cotton blue and 9 Melzer's reagent. Cotton blue: 0.1 mg aniline blue dissolved in 60 g pure lactic acid; Melzer's 10 reagent: 1.5 g KI (potassium iodide), 0.5 g I (crystalline iodine), 22 g chloral hydrate, distilled water 20 mL. The following abbreviations were used in the text: KOH = 5% potassium 11 12 hydroxide, IKI = Melzer's reagent, IKI- = neither amyloid nor dextrinoid, CB = cotton blue, CB+ = cyanophilous, CB- = acyanophilous, L = mean spore length (arithmetic average of all 13 14 spores), W = mean spore width (arithmetic average of all spores), O = variation in the ratios 15 of L/W between specimens studied, n = number of spores measured from a given number of 16 specimens. Special color terms follow Kornerup (Kornerup and Wanscher 1981). Sections 17 were studied at magnifications up to ×1000 using a Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope with 18 phase contrast illumination, and dimensions were estimated subjectively with an accuracy of 0.1 µm. Special color terms are cited from Rayner (1970). 19

Molecular procedures and phylogenetic analyses.—DNA was extracted from dried herbarium
specimens with a Thermo Scientific Phire Plant Direct PCR Kit (for USA Thermo Fisher
Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR reactions were performed in 30
µL reaction mixtures containing 15 µL of 2×Phire® Plant PCR buffer, 0.6 µL Phire® Hot
Start II DNA Polymerase, 1.5 µL of each PCR primer (10 µM), 10.5 µL doubly deionized

1	$H_2O,$ and 0.9 $\mu L$ template DNA. The nuc rDNA ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 regions (ITS) was amplified
2	with the primers ITS5 (5' GGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGG 3') and ITS4 (5'
3	TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC 3') (Lang et al. 2011; Tedersoo et al. 2014), SSU1318-Tom
4	(5' CGATAACGAACGAGACCTTAT 3') and LSU-Tom4 (5'
5	GCCCTGTTCCAAGAGACTTA 3') (Taylor and McCormick 2008). The partial 28S regions
6	was amplified with the primers LROR (5' ACCCGCTGAACTTAAGC 3') and LR3 (5'
7	CCGTGTTTCAAGACGGG 3') (Jumpponen et al. 2015).
8	The PCR thermal cycling program condition was set as follows: initial denaturation at
9	98 C for 5 min, followed by 39 cycles at 98 C for 30 s, $\times$ C for 30 s, 72 C for 30 s, and a final
10	extension at 72 C for 1 min. The PCR products were sequenced at Beijing Genomics Institute
11	(BGI), with primer ITS5 and ITS4, SSU1318-Tom and LSU-Tom4. Six ITS rDNA sequences
12	of these three new species were deposited in GenBank NCBI. Additional ITS rDNA
13	sequences in the dataset used to establish phylogenetic relationships were downloaded from
14	GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank) and UNITE (https://unite.ut.ee/index.php)
15	(TABLE 1).
16	The ITS sequences were used to determine the phylogenetic position of the new
17	species. DNA sequences were aligned with MUSCLE in MEGA 7 (Kumar et al. 2016).
18	Alignments were manually adjusted to allow maximum alignment and minimize gaps, and
19	deposited in TreeBASE (study no. 21889). Maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood
20	(ML) and Bayesian analysis were applied to the ITS dataset. All characters were weighted,
21	and gaps were treated as missing data. MP analyses were conducted with PAUP* version
22	4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). Trees were inferred by the heuristic search option with TBR branch

23 swapping and 1,000 random sequence additions. Max-trees were set to 5000 and no-increase,

24 branches of zero length were collapsed and all parsimonious trees were saved. Clade stability

25 was assessed with bootstrap (BT) analyses with 1,000 replicates (Gaget et al. 2017).

1 Descriptive tree statistics tree length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI), 2 rescaled consistency index (RC), and homoplasy index (HI) were calculated for all trees 3 generated under different optimality criteria. Bayesian analysis with MrBayes 3.2.4 4 (Cannatella 2015) implementing the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) technique and 5 parameters predetermined with MRMODELTEST 2.3 (Posada and Crandall 1998; Nylander 6 2004) were performed, and the parameters in MrBayes were set as follows: lset nst=6, rates= 7 invgamma. Four simultaneous Markov chains were run starting from random trees, and 8 keeping one tree every 100th generation until the average standard deviation of split 9 frequencies was below 0.01. The value of burn-in was set to discard 25% of trees when 10 calculating the posterior probabilities. Bayesian posterior probabilities were obtained from the 11 50% majority rule consensus of the trees kept. An ML tree with bootstrap support values was 12 reconstructed with RAxML (implemented in raxmlGUI1.5b1), RAxML is currently among 13 the fastest and most accurate programs for phylogenetic tree inference under the maximum 14 likelihood (ML) criterion (Silvestro and Michalak 2012; Hundsdoerfer and Kitching 2017).

#### 15 **RESULTS**

16 The dataset includes six ITS sequences of the newly described species and 108 sequences of 17 59 other species. *Odontia fibrosa* was used as the outgroup. In the alignment of the 114 18 samples, the data matrix comprised 630 bp with 266 constant characters, 55 parsimony-19 uninformative variable characters and 309 parsimony informative positions. MP and ML analyses produced a similar topology (TL = 1842, CI = 0.318, RI = 0.697, RC = 0.221, HI = 20 21 0.682). The ML tree is shown in FIG. 1. Only bootstrap values superior to 50% are displayed 22 on the tree figures. The same dataset and alignment was analyzed with MrBayes 3.2.4. 23 Bayesian analysis ran 7 million generation and resulted in an average standard deviation of 24 split frequencies = 0.007198. The Bayesian analysis yielded a topology similar to that 25 generated by MP. In the phylogenetic tree, six sampled specimens formed three single clades

1	with full support (100 % in ML, 100 % in MP and 1.00 BPP), and clustered in the clade that
2	comprised most species of Tomentella. It confirmed the affinity of these new species in
3	Tomentella. T. globosa and T. longisterigmata clustered together with strong support (100 %
4	in ML, 98 % in MP and 1.00 BPP) and formed a clade with T. bresadolae with moderate
5	support (93 % in ML, 87 % in MP and 0.99 BPP); T. lammiensis clustered with T. bryophila
6	with strong support (86 % in ML, 94 % in MP and 0.99 BPP).
7	TAXONOMY
8	<i>Tomentella globosa</i> X. Lu, K. Steffen & H.S. Yuan, sp. nov. FIGS. 2–4
9	MycoBank MB824716
10	Typification: Finland. Lammi Biological Station, on rotten angiosperm wood debris, 14 Sep
11	2016, Yuan 11603 (holotype IFP 19180). UNITE Accession No.
12	Etymology: Globosa: from the latin globosus, in reference to the globose basidiospores.
13	Diagnosis: Differs from Tomentella bresadolae in having mucedinoid basidiocarps adherent
14	to the substrate, farinaceous sterile margin, shorter basidia and smaller basidiospores with
15	shorter echinuli.
16	Basidiocarps annual, resupinate, adherent to the substrate, mucedinoid, without odor or taste
17	when fresh, 0.5–0.8 mm thick, continuous. Hymenophoral surface granulose or smooth, pale
18	to dark brown (6F4-6F7) and turning darker than the subiculum when dry. Sterile margin
19	often indeterminate, farinaceous, lighter than hymenophore, pale brown.

20 Rhizomorphs absent.

Subicular hyphae monomitic, generative hyphae clamped and rarely with simple septa, thickwalled, 3–6 µm diam., without encrustation, pale to dark brown in 2.5% KOH and in distilled

1	water, cyanophilous, inamyloid. Subhymenial hyphae clamped and rarely with simple septa,
2	thin-walled, 4–7 $\mu$ m diam., without encrustation, hyphal cells short and inflated, pale or dark
3	brown in 2.5% KOH and in distilled water, slightly cyanophilous, inamyloid.
4	Cystidia and cystidioles absent.
5	Basidia 20–55 $\mu$ m long and 5–12 $\mu$ m diam. at apex, 3–7 $\mu$ m at base, with a clamp connection
6	at base, utriform, not stalked, sinuous, without transverse septa, pale brown in 2.5% KOH and
7	in distilled water, 4 sterigmata; sterigmata 4–8 $\mu$ m long and 2–3 $\mu$ m diam. at base.
8	Basidiospores slightly thick-walled, (6–)6.5–7.5(–8) × (5.5–)6–7(–7.5) $\mu$ m, L = 7.05 $\mu$ m, W =
9	$6.35 \mu m$ , Q = 1.11–1.15 (n = 60/2), subglobose to globose frontal and lateral face, echinulate,
10	yellow brown in 2.5% KOH and in distilled water, cyanophilous, inamyloid; echinuli usually
11	isolated, up to 1.5 µm long.
12	Additional specimen examined: Finland. Lammi Biological Station, on rotten angiosperm
13	wood debris, 14 Sep 2016, Yuan 11618 (paratype IFP 19180).
14	<i>Tomentella lammiensis</i> X. Lu, K. Steffen & H.S. Yuan, sp. nov. FIGS. 5–7
15	MycoBank MB824717
16	Typification: Finland. Lammi Biological Station, on rotten angiosperm wood debris, 14 Sep
17	2016, Yuan 11617 (holotype IFP 19182). UNITE Accession No.
18	Etymology: Lammiensis: in reference to the Lammi Biological Station, where the specimens
19	were found.
20	Diagnosis: Differs from Tomentella galzinii in having continuous basidiocarps separable from
21	the substrate, oxide yellow to golden brown hymenophore, thick-walled subicular hyphae and

22 an absence of cystidia.

1	Basidiocarps annual, resupinate, separable from the substrate, mucedinoid, without odor or
2	taste when fresh, 0.6–1 mm thick, continuous. Hymenophoral surface granulose or smooth,
3	oxide yellow to golden brown (5C7-5D7) and concolorous with the subiculum when dry.
4	Sterile margin often indeterminate, byssoid, often paler than hymenophore, pale yellowish.
5	Rhizomorphs absent.
6	Subicular hyphae monomitic, generative hyphae clamped, thick-walled, $4-7 \ \mu m$ diam.,
7	without encrustation, pale brown in 2.5% KOH and in distilled water, cyanophilous,
8	inamyloid. Subhymenial hyphae clamped, thin-walled, $5-8 \ \mu m$ diam., hyphal cells short and
9	inflated, yellowish in 2.5% KOH and in distilled water, cyanophilous, inamyloid.
10	Cystidia and cystidioles absent.
11	Basidia 20–60 $\mu$ m long and 5–9 $\mu$ m diam. at apex, 3–6 $\mu$ m at base, with a clamp connection
12	at base, utriform, not stalked, sinuous, without transverse septa, yellowish in 3% KOH and in
13	distilled water, 4 sterigmata; sterigmata 3–6 $\mu$ m long and 2–4 $\mu$ m diam. at base.
14	Basidiospores thick-walled, (8–)8.5–9.5(–10) × (7–)7.5–8.5(–9) $\mu$ m, L = 8.12 $\mu$ m, W = 7.27
15	$\mu$ m, Q = 1.08–1.12 (n = 60/2), ellipsoid, triangular or lobed frontal and ellipsoid lateral face,
16	echinulate, golden yellow in 2.5% KOH and in distilled water, cyanophilous, inamyloid;
17	echinuli usually isolated, sometimes grouped in 2 or more, up to 2 $\mu$ m long.
18	Additional specimen examined: Finland. Lammi Biological Station, on rotten angiosperm
19	wood debris and broad leaf litter, 14 Sep 2016, Yuan 11597 (paratype IFP 19178).
20	<i>Tomentella longisterigmata</i> X. Lu, K. Steffen & H.S. Yuan, sp. nov. FIGS. 8–10
21	MycoBank MB824718

*Typification:* Finland. Lammi Biological Station, on rotten angiosperm wood debris, 14 Sep
 2016, *Yuan 11610* (holotype IFP 19181). UNITE Accession No.

3 *Etymology: longisterigmata*: in reference to the long sterigmata of the basidia.

4 *Diagnosis:* Differs from *Tomentella lapida* in having basidiocarps separable from the

5 substrate, dark brown to chestnut smooth hymenophore and an absence of Rhizomorphs.

6 Basidiocarps annual, resupinate, separable from the substrate, mucedinoid, without odor or

7 taste when fresh, 0.6–1.0 mm thick, continuous. Hymenophoral surface smooth, dark brown

8 to chestnut (6E7-6F7) and turning darker than the subiculum when dry. Sterile margin often

9 indeterminate, byssoid, paler than hymenophore.

10 Rhizomorphs absent.

11 Subicular hyphae monomitic, generative hyphae clamped and rarely with simple septa, thick-

12 walled, 4–7 μm diam., without encrustation, light brown in 2.5% KOH and in distilled water,

13 cyanophilous, inamyloid. Subhymenial hyphae clamped and rarely with simple septa, thin- to

14 slightly thick-walled, 5–8 μm diam.; hyphal cells not short and not inflated, hyaline to light

15 brown in 2.5% KOH and in distilled water, cyanophilous, inamyloid.

16 Cystidia and cystidioles absent.

17 Basidia 20–55  $\mu$ m long and 6–9  $\mu$ m diam. at apex, 5–7  $\mu$ m at base, with a clamp connection

18 at base, utriform, not stalked, sinuous, hyaline in 2.5% KOH and in distilled water, 4

19 sterigmata; sterigmata 7–11  $\mu$ m long and 2–3  $\mu$ m diam. at base.

20 Basidiospores thick-walled,  $(7-)7.5-8.5(-9) \times (6-)7-8(-8.5) \mu m$  in lateral and frontal face, L

 $=8.03 \mu m$ , W =7.39  $\mu m$ , Q = 1.05–1.09 (n = 60/2), subglobose to globose frontal and lateral

1 face, echinulate, light brown in 2.5% KOH and in distilled water, cyanophilous, inamyloid;

- 2 echinuli usually isolated, up to 2  $\mu$ m long.
- *Additional specimen examined*: Finland. Lammi Biological Station, on rotten angiosperm
  wood debris, 14 Sep 2016, *Yuan 11602* (paratype IFP 19179).

#### 5 DISSCUSSION

6 As the tree topologies of the previous studies for *Tomentella* had shown, molecular

7 phylogenetic analyses of the ITS dataset by ML, MP and MrBayes in this study showed a low

8 rate of support in the deeper nodes of the topology, but high support at the species level (De

9 Smet et al. 2015; Jakucs et al. 2015; Kuhar et al. 2016). In our phylogenetic tree, *T*.

10 *albomarginata* and *T. sublilacina* formed a clade with weak support. *T. albomarginata* was

11 treated as the synonym of *T. sublilacina* in previous studies (Kõljalg 1996; Jakucs et al.

12 2005), and share the similar characteristics: mucedinoid basidiocarps, smooth hymenophore

13 and an absence of cystidia. However, *T. albomarginata* differs from *T. sublilacina* by having

14 the dark brown to umber hymenophore and monomitic rhizomorphs (K õljalg 1996; Larsen

15 1970), and we suggest that *T. albomarginata* and *T. sublilacina* should be kept as two

16 independent species. *T. bresadolae* was reported to be a synonym of *T. stuposa* (Kõljalg 1996;

17 Daemmrich 2006; Peintner and Dämmrich 2012), but the previous study has shown that they

18 may represent the different species (Kuhar et al. 2016), and our molecular phylogenetic

19 analyses showed *T. bresadolae* were distantly from *T. stuposa* again. Here we suggested to

20 keep them as different species.

*Tomentella globosa* and *T. bresadolae* reveal a close phylogenetic relationship in the
phylogenetic tree. They have globose basidiospores and some similar morphological
characteristics, such as pale to dark brown, smooth hymenophore and an absence of
rhizomorphs and cystidia. (Larsen 1969; Peintner and D ämmrich 2012). However, *T*.

1	bresadolae can be distinguished by having arachnoid basidiocarps separable from substrate,
2	comparatively long-celled subicular hyphae, thin-walled subhymenial hyphae, longer basidia
3	(55–70 $\mu$ m) and thin-walled larger basidiospores (8.5–11 $\mu$ m) (Larsen 1969; Daemmrich 2006;
4	Peintner and Dämmrich 2012). Besides these, T. globosa also resembles T. cinereoumbrina
5	and T. fuscocinerea mentioned in the previous paragraph from Finland, including
6	basidiocarps adherent to the substrate, pale brown basidiospores and absence of rhizomorphs
7	and cystidia. However, T. cinereoumbrina differs from T. globosa in having crustose
8	basidiocarps, grey to light brown hymenophore, colorless to brown subicular hyphae,
9	colourless to light brown subhymenial hyphae and pale brown basidiospores with triangular
10	frontal and ellipsoid lateral face, also with short echinuli (0.4-1 $\mu$ m) (Kõjalg 1996;
11	Daemmrich 2006). The main difference between T. fuscocinerea and T. globosa is that the
12	former has thin-walled subicular hyphae (K õljalg 1996; Daemmrich 2006).
13	The main feature of <i>Tomentella lammi</i> is the oxide yellow to golden brown
14	hymenophore that is somewhat similar to T. galzinii with pale brown to yellowish
15	hymenophores. In addition, pale brown subicular hyphae and subhymenial hyphae, the
16	absence of rhizomorphs and basidiospores with triangular or lobed frontal and ellipsoid lateral
17	face of <i>T. galzinii</i> are quite similar to <i>T. lammi</i> , and <i>T. galzinii</i> differs from <i>T. lammi</i> by
18	discontinuous basidiocarps, granulose or smooth hymenophore, thin-walled basidiospores and
19	a presence of cystidia (Kõjalg 1996; Daemmrich 2006). Another special characteristic of T.
20	lammi is that its echinuli of basidiospores sometimes grouped in 2 or more; this trait can also
21	be observed in T. radiosa and T. agbassaensis, but T. radiosa exhibits slightly bi- or trifurcate
22	echinuli of basidiospores with short echinuli (< $1\mu$ m) and <i>T. agbassaensis</i> presents isolated
23	echinuli with very short echinuli (0.2–0.5 μm) (Kõljalg 1996; Yorou et al. 2012).
24	Tomentella longisterigmata presents unique characteristics: basidia with long
25	sterigmata and subglobose to globose homogeneous dense basidiospores with long echinuli

coincide with those of T. lapida and T. africana Yorou & Agerer (Melo et al. 2006; Yorou 1 2 and Agerer 2008). T. longisterigmata and T. lapida also have common characteristics 3 including mucedinoid basidiocarps, dark gravish brown smooth hymenophore, pale brown 4 subicular hyphae, thin-walled subhymenial hyphae, the size of basidiospores and an absence 5 of rhizomorphs and cystidia. However, T. lapid is differentiated from T. longisterigmata by 6 having discontinuous basidiocarps adherent to the substrate, bluish green subhymenial hyphae 7 and monomitic rhizomorphs (50-70 µm) (Melo et al. 2006). T. longisterigmata also closely 8 related to *T.africana* in having dark brown to chestnut smooth hymenophore, thick-walled 9 basidiospores and an absence of cystidia. Although they have some morphological deviations, 10 T. africana differs from T. longisterigmata by having light yellow to yellow subicular hyphae, 11 yellowish subhymenial hyphae, monomitic rhizomorphs, colorless to light yellow basidia and 12 light yellow to pale brown, subglobose or triangular to lobed basidiospores with shorter 13 echinuli (up to 1.5 µm) (Yorou and Agerer 2008).

*Tomentella longisterigmata* and *T. globosa* are closely related in the phylogenetic tree
and share similar morphological and anatomical characteristics: dark brown or rust brown
hymenophore, globose basidiospores with isolated echinuli and an absence of rhizomorphs
and cystidia. However, *T. globosa* can be differentiated from *T. longisterigmata* by its
basidiocarps adherent to the substrate, granulose or smooth hymenophore, shorter sterigmata
of basidia and smaller basidiospores with shorter and sparsely distributed echinuli.

The species of *Tomentella* can form ectomycorrhizas with different host tree species
of Pinaceae and Fagaceae, such as *Pinus banksiana*, *Picea glauca*, *Populus alba*, *Quercus cerris*, *Quecus robur* (Danielson et al. 1984; Danielson and Pruden 1989; K õljalg 1996;
Erland and Taylor 1999; Jakucs and Erős-Honti 2008). The specimens involved in this study
were collected from the forests dominated by coniferous trees such as *Larix* spp., *Picea* spp., *Pinus* spp., and also scattered a small number of broad-leaved trees (*Alnus* spp., *Acer* spp.,

Betula spp., Quercus spp. and Populus spp.). Although the specimens were found on the
 substrates of rotten angiosperm wood debris, their hosts maybe the coniferous trees.

## 3 KEY TO SPECIES OF TOMENTELLA FROM FINLAND

4	1. Cystidia present ·······2
5	1. Cystidia absent ······5
6	2. Cystidia acuminate or rarely hyphoid ·······3
7	2. Cystidia clavate or capitate
8	3. Basidiocarps mostly discontinuous; hymenophore greenishT. galzinii
9	3. Basidiocarps mostly continuous; hymenophore brownishT. subtestacea
10	4. Cystidia clavate, without encrustationT. subclavigera
11	4. Cystidia capitate, often encrusted <i>T. viridula</i>
12	5. Rhizomorphs present; sterile margin determinate
13	5. Rhizomorphs absent; sterile margin indeterminate12
14	6. Subicular hyphae and subhymenial hyphae simple-septate <i>T. fuscocinerea</i>
15	6. Subicular hyphae and subhymenial hyphae clamped7
16	7. Subhymenial hyphae cells often or sometimes short and inflated
17	7. Subhymenial hyphae cells more or less uniform10
18	8. Subicular hyphae thin-walled and sometimes inflatedT. ellisii
19	8. Subicular hyphae thin- to thick-walled or thick-walled and without inflated9

1	9. Basidiocarps crustose
2	9. Basidiocarps mucedinoid or arachnoidT. albomarginata
3	10. Basidiospores with ellipsoid or subglobose frontal faceT. cinerascens
4	10. Basidiospores with triangular or lobed frontal face11
5	11. Basidiocarps adhere to the substrate; sterile margin farinaceous or rarely byssoid $\cdots$
6	·····T. coerulea
7	11. Basidiocarps separable from the substrate; sterile margin byssoid to fimbriate
8	T. punicea
9	12. Basidiocarps crustose ·····13
10	12. Basidiocarps mucedinoid or arachnoid
11	13. Subicular hyphae and subhymenial hyphae simple-septate; basidia simple-septate at base
12	T. cinereoumbrina
13	13. Subicular hyphae and subhymenial hyphae clamped; basidia clamped at base
14	
15	14. Subicular hyphae thin- to thick-walled; basidia often with transverse septa
16	T. terrestris
17	14. Subicular hyphae thick-walled; basidia rarely with transverse septa $\cdot T$ . atramentaria
18	15. Basidiospores with triangular or lobed frontal and ellipsoid lateral face16
19	15. Basidiospores with subglobose to globose frontal and lateral face

1	16. Subicular hyphae often inflated; subhymenial hyphae cells short and inflated
2	·····T. sublilacina
3	16. Subicular hyphae without inflated; subhymenial hyphae cells more or less uniform $\cdot$
4	
5	19. Subicular hyphae and subhymenial hyphae simple-septate; basidia simple-septate at base
6	·····T. badia
7	19. Subicular hyphae and subhymenial hyphae clamped or rarely with simple septa; basidia
8	clamped at base ······18
9	18. Basidiocarps adhere to the substrate; hymenophore smooth, oxide yellow to golden brown
10	when dryT. lammiensis
11	18. Basidiocarps separable from the substrate; hymenophore granulose to colliculose, dark red,
12	red, yellowish red, reddish brown when dryT. lateritia
13	19. Subhymenial hyphae cells short and inflated20
14	19. Subhymenial hyphae cells more or less uniform21
15	20. Subicular hyphae encrusted; basidia rarely or often with transverse septa $\cdot T$ . lapida
16	20. Subicular hyphae without encrustation; basidia without transverse septa $\cdot T.$ globosa
17	21. Basidiocarps separable from the substrate; subhymenial hyphae thin- to slightly thick-
18	walledT. longisterigmata
19	21. Basidiocarps adhere to the substrate; subhymenial hyphae thin-walled22

#### 5 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

6 The corresponding author is particularly grateful to Lammi Biological Station (University of

7 Helsinki, Finland) and Academy of Finland for accommodation when he stayed in Finland.

8 This research was financed by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No.

9 31470148), the Special Project of Science and Technology Foundation (Project No.

10 2014FY210400) and the Special Funds for the Young Scholars of Taxonomy of the Chinese

11 Academy of Sciences (Project No. ZSBR-015).

#### 12 LITERATURE CITED

13 Agerer R, Agerer R, Bougher NL, Bougher NL. 2001. Tomentella subamyloidea sp. nov. and 14 T. radiosa (Thelephoraceae, Hymenomycetes, Basidiomycota) from Australia. 15 Australian Systematic Botany 14:607-614.

16

17 Alvarez-Manjarrez J, Villegas-R ós M, Garibay-Orijel R, Contreras-Pacheco M, K õljalg U.

18 2015. Tomentella brunneoincrustata, the first described species of the Pisonieae-

- associated Neotropical Tomentella clade, and phylogenetic analysis of the genus in 19
- 20 Mexico. Mycological Progress 15:10.

21

22 Cannatella D. 2015. Xenopus in Space and Time: Fossils, Node Calibrations, Tip-Dating, and 23 Paleobiogeography. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 145:283–301.

1

2

1	
2	Daemmrich F. 2006. Studien der tomentelloiden Pilze in Deutschland-Unter besonderer
3	Ber ücksichtigung der Zeichnung von Frau Dr. H. Maser aus den Jahren 1988–1994;
4	Teil 1: Die Gattung Tomentella.
5	
6	Danielson RM, Zak JC, Parkinson D. 1984. Mycorrhizal inoculum in a peat deposit formed
7	under a white spruce stand in Alberta. Canadian Journal of Botany 62:2557-2560.
8	
9	Danielson RM, Pruden M. 1989. The Ectomycorrhizal Status of Urban Spruce. Mycologia
10	81:335-341.
11	
12	De Smet Y, Granados Mendoza C, Wanke S, Goetghebeur P, Samain M-S. 2015. Molecular
13	phylogenetics and new (infra)generic classification to alleviate polyphyly in tribe
14	Hydrangeeae (Cornales: Hydrangeaceae). Taxon 64:741–753.
15	
16	Erland S, Taylor AFS. 1999. Resupinate Ectomycorrhizal Fungal Genera. In: Cairney JWG,
17	Chambers SM, eds. Ectomycorrhizal Fungi Key Genera in Profile. Berlin, Heidelberg:
18	Springer Berlin Heidelberg. p. 347–363.
19	
20	Gaget V, Humpage AR, Huang Q, Monis P, Brookes JD. 2017. Benthic cyanobacteria: A
21	source of cylindrospermopsin and microcystin in Australian drinking water reservoirs.
22	Water Research 124:454–464.
23	

1	Gardes M, Bruns TD. 1996 Community structure of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a Pinus
2	muricata forest: above- and below-ground views. Canadian Journal of Botany
3	74:1572-1583.
4	
5	Geml J, Timling I, Robinson CH, Lennon N, Nusbaum HC, Brochmann C, Noordeloos ME,
6	Taylor DL. 2012. An arctic community of symbiotic fungi assembled by long-distance
7	dispersers: phylogenetic diversity of ectomycorrhizal basidiomycetes in Svalbard
8	based on soil and sporocarp DNA. Journal of Biogeography 39:74-88.
9	
10	Haug I, WeißM, Homeier J, Oberwinkler F, Kottke I. 2005. Russulaceae and Thelephoraceae
11	form ectomycorrhizas with members of the Nyctaginaceae (Caryophyllales) in the
12	tropical mountain rain forest of southern Ecuador. New Phytologist 165:923–936.
13	
14	Hundsdoerfer AK, Kitching IJ. 2017. Historic DNA for taxonomy and conservation: A case-
15	study of a century-old Hawaiian hawkmoth type (Lepidoptera: Sphingidae). PLOS
16	ONE 12:e0173255.
17	
18	Jakucs E, Erős-Honti Z. 2008. Morphological-anatomical characterization and identification
19	of Tomentella ectomycorrhizas. Mycorrhiza 18:277-285.
20	
21	Jakucs E, Erős-Honti Z, Seress D, Kov ács GM. 2015. Enhancing our understanding of
22	anatomical diversity in Tomentella ectomycorrhizas: characterization of six new
23	morphotypes. Mycorrhiza 25:419–429.
24	

1	Jakucs E, Kovács GM, Agerer R, Romsics C, Erős-Honti Z. 2005. Morphological-anatomical
2	characterization and molecular identification of Tomentella stuposa ectomycorrhizae
3	and related anatomotypes. Mycorrhiza 15:247-258.
4	
5	
6	Jumpponen A, Brown S, Trappe J, C ázares E, Strömmer R. 2015. Analyses of Sporocarps,
7	Morphotyped Ectomycorrhizae, Environmental ITS and LSU Sequences Identify
8	Common Genera that Occur at a Periglacial Site. Journal of Fungi 1:76-93.
9	
10	Jung H-S. 1994. Floral Studies on Korean Wood-rotting Fungi (II)-on the flora of the
11	Aphyllophorales (Basidiomycotina). The Korean journal of mycology 22:62–99.
12	
13	Kõljalg U. 1996. Tomentella (Basidiomycota) and related genera in Temperate Eurasia. Oslo:
14	Fungiflora.
15	
16	K õljalg U, Dahlberg A, Taylor AFS, Larsson E, Hallenberg N, Stenlid J, Larsson KH,
17	Fransson PM, K år én O, Jonsson L. 2000. Diversity and abundance of resupinate
18	thelephoroid fungi as ectomycorrhizal symbionts in Swedish boreal forests. Molecular
19	Ecology 9:1985-1996.
20	
21	Kornerup A, Wanscher J. 1981. Methuen handbook of colour Fletcher. Fletcher & Son Ltd
22	Norwich.
23	
24	Kotiranta H, Saarenoksa R, Kytövuori I. 2009. Aphyllophoroid fungi of Finland. A check-list
25	with ecology, distribution, and threat categories. Norrlinia 19:1-223

1	
2	Kuhar F, Barroetave ña C, Rajchenberg M. 2016. New species of Tomentella (Thelephorales)
3	from the Patagonian Andes forests. Mycologia 108:780–790.
4	
5	Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis
6	Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Molecular Biology and Evolution 33:1870–1874.
7	
8	Kunttu P, Kulju M, Kotiranta H. 2012. New national and regional biological records for
9	Finland 2. Contributions to the Finnish aphyllophoroid funga (Basidiomycota).
10	Memoranda Societatis pro Fauna et Flora Fennica. 88:61–66
11	
12	Kunttu P, Kulju M, Kotiranta H. (2015) Contributions to the Finnish aphyllophoroid funga
13	(Basidiomycota): new and rare species. Czech Mycology 67:137-156.
14	
15	Lang C, Seven J, Polle A. 2011. Host preferences and differential contributions of deciduous
16	tree species shape mycorrhizal species richness in a mixed Central European forest.
17	Mycorrhiza 21:297–308.
18	
19	Larsen MJ. 1966. Tomentella and Related Genera in North America: II. Studies of
20	Nomenclatural Types of Species Described by Peck. Mycologia 58:597–613.
21	
22	Larsen MJ. 1968. Notes on Tomentelloid Fungi I. Tomentella bourdotii in North America.
23	Mycologia 60:1178–1184.
24	

1	Larsen MJ. 1969. Notes on Tomentelloid Fungi. II. Tomentella stuposa and T. ruttnerii.
2	Mycologia 61:670–679.
3	
4	Larsen MJ. 1970. On Species, Subspecies, Varieties, and Forms of Tomentella Named and
5	Described by Bourdot and Galzin I. Mycologia 62:133-151.
6	
7	Larsen MJ. 1974. A Contribution to the Taxonomy of the Genus Tomentella. Mycologia
8	Memoir 4:1–145.
9	
10	Larsen MJ. 1998. Tomentella (Basidiomycota) and Related Genera in Temperate Eurasia,
11	Synopsis Fungorum 9 by Mycologia 90:738–739.
12	
13	Melo I, Salcedo I, Teller á MT. 2006. Contribution to the knowledge of tomentelloid fungi in
14	the Iberian Peninsula. V. Nova Hedwigia 82:167–187.
15	
16	Nylander J. 2004. MrModeltest v2 Program distributed by the author. Evolutionary Biology
17	Centre, Uppsala University.
18	
19	Peintner U, D ämmrich F. 2012. Tomentella alpina and other tomentelloid taxa fruiting in a
20	glacier valley. Mycological Progress 11:109–119.
21	
22	Posada D, Crandall KA. 1998. MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution.
23	Bioinformatics 14:817–818.
24	

1	Silvestro D, Michalak I. 2012. raxmlGUI: a graphical front-end for RAxML. Organisms
2	Diversity & Evolution 12:335–337.
3	
4	Swofford DL. 2002. PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (* and other methods).
5	Version 4.0b10. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
6	
7	Taylor DL, McCormick MK. 2008. Internal transcribed spacer primers and sequences for
8	improved characterization of basidiomycetous orchid mycorrhizas. New Phytologist
9	177:1020–1033.
10	
11	Tedersoo L, Kõjalg U, Hallenberg N, Larsson KH. 2003. Fine scale distribution of
12	ectomycorrhizal fungi and roots across substrate layers including coarse woody debris
13	in a mixed forest. New Phytologist 159:153–165.
14	
15	Tedersoo L, Harend H, Buegger F, Pritsch K, Saar I, Kõljalg U. 2014. Stable isotope analysis,
16	field observations and synthesis experiments suggest that Odontia is a non-
17	mycorrhizal sister genus of <i>Tomentella</i> and <i>Thelephora</i> . Fungal Ecology 11:80–90.
18	
19	Thind K, Rattan S. 1971. Thelephoraceae of India. IV. The genus Tomentella. Indian
20	phytopathology.
21	
22	Yorou NS, Agerer R. 2008. Tomentella africana, a new species from Benin (West Africa)
23	identified by morphological and molecular data. Mycologia 100:68–80.
24	

1	Yorou NS, Diabate M, Agerer R. 2012. Phylogenetic placement and anatomical
2	characterisation of two new West African Tomentella (Basidiomycota, Fungi) species.
3	Mycological Progress 11:171–180.
4	LEGENDS
5	Figure 1. Maximum likelihood tree illustrating the phylogeny of <i>Tomentella globosa</i> , <i>T</i> .
6	lammiensis, T. longisterigmata and related taxa, based on ITS sequence dataset. Branches are
7	labeled with maximum likelihood bootstrap higher than 50%, parsimony bootstrap
8	proportions higher than 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities more than 0.95.
9	Figure 2. A basidiocarp of <i>Tomentella globosa</i> (holotype Yuan 11603).
10	Figure 3. SEM of basidiospores of <i>Tomentella globosa</i> (holotype Yuan 11603).
11	Figure 4. Microscopic structures of <i>Tomentella globosa</i> (drawn from <i>Yuan 11603</i> ). a: Section
12	through a basidiocarp; b: Basidiospores in lateral face; c: Basidiospores in frontal face.
13	Figure 5. Basidiocarps of Tomentella lammiensis (holotype Yuan 11617).
14	Figure 6. SEM of basidiospores of <i>Tomentella lammiensis</i> (holotype Yuan 11617).
15	Figure 7. Microscopic structures of <i>Tomentella lammiensis</i> (drawn from Yuan 11617). a:
16	Section through a basidiocarp; b: Basidiospores in lateral face; c: Basidiospores in frontal face.
17	Figure 8. A basidiocarp of Tomentella longisterigmata (holotype Yuan 11610).
18	Figure 9. SEM of basidiospores of Tomentella longisterigmata (holotype Yuan 11610).
19	Figure 10. Microscopic structures of Tomentella longisterigmata (drawn from Yuan 11610). a:
20	Section through a: basidiocarp; b: Basidiospores in lateral face; c: Basidiospores in frontal
21	face.

# 1 FOOTNOTES

2 Corresponding author: E-mail: hsyuan@iae.ac.cn; tel. & fax number: +86 -24- 83970347













b 💭  $\bigcirc$ Q < </l>  $\bigcirc$  $\bigcirc$ Õ c 💭 10 µm  $\bigcirc$ 







b Ø 10 µm C Ø • 🔯 Ø Ø





Ø Ö  $\Diamond$ Ø b 10 µm Ö  $\bigcirc$  $\bigcirc$ Ö c

33

Species	GenBank Accession No./UNITE Database	Specimen ID/culture voucher	Location
Odontia fibrosa	UDB018683	TU115714	India
Thelephora americana	TAU83487	JMT17465	USA
T. anthocephala	DQ974771	src614	USA
T. caryophyllea	KM085427	ID PAN 684	Poland
T. caryophyllea	KC152242	GO-2010-163	Mexico
T. terrestris	EU819444	JMP0086	USA
Tomentella afrostuposa	JF520431	SYN 2292(M)	Guinea
T. afrostuposa	NR119954	M:SYN 2292	Guinea
T. agbassaensis	EF507257	SYN 981 (M)	Benin
T. agbassaensis	NR119638	M:SYN 981	Benin
T. agereri	EF538424	RA 13793 (M)	Benin
T. agereri	NR119641	M:RA 13793	Benin
T. albomarginata	UDB011601	TU115353	Finland
T. albomarginata	UDB011599	TU115350	Finland
T. alpina	EF655702	IB20060231	Austria
T. alpina	NR121330	IB:20060231	Austria

1 TABLE 1. Specimens, strains, and sequences used in this study.

T. amyloapiculata	EF507263	SYN 893 (M)	Benin
T. amyloapiculata	NR119639	M:SYN 893	Benin
T. asperula	UDB018469	TU108147	Estonia
T. asperula	KF498576	—	Germany
T. atramentaria	UDB000236	TU123491	Germany
T. atramentaria	UDB000955	TU108866	Estonia
T. atroarenicolor	UDB000243	TAAM149946	Russia
T. atroarenicolor	UDB018480	TU100676	Estonia
T. badia	JX630868	—	Canada
T. badia	Jx030293	—	USA
T. beaverae	UDB015002	TU105060	Seychelles
T. beaverae	UDB017787	TU103595	Seychelles
T. botryoides	UDB000255	KHL8453	Sweden
T. botryoides	UDB000257	TAAM149614	Russia
T. bresadolae	UDB020335	TU115616	Slovenia
T. bresadolae	UDB016311	TU115447	Estonia
T. brunneocystidia	DQ848613	SYN 839 (M)	Benin
T. brunneocystidia	DQ848610	RA 13779	Benin
T. brunneorufa	UDB000274	TAAM159857	Australia

T. bryophila	UDB014252	TU116131	Estonia
T. bryophila	UDB028250	TU124259	Estonia
T. capitata	DQ848611	RA13785	Benin
T. capitata	DQ848612	SYN 860 (M)	Benin
T. castanea	UDB005597	_	Iran
T. castanea	UDB000120	TL-6886	Denmark
T. cinerascens	UDB016193	TU108037	Estonia
T. cinerascens	UDB016498	019AS	Italy
T. cinereoumbrina	UDB011602	_	Finland
T. cinereoumbrina	UDB016491	012AS	Italy
T. clavigera	UDB016389	UDB016389	Estonia
T. coerulea	UDB016469	TU115602	Estonia
T. coerulea	UDB000266	TAAM153804	Estonia
T. ellisii	UDB011603	_	Finland
T. ellisii	UDB000226	5b-A.Heller	Germany
T. fuscocinerea	DQ974776	src813	USA
T. fuscocinerea	UDB003357	LISU 178262	Portugal
T. galzinii	UDB000264	RS27093	Finland
T. galzinii	HQ204743	_	France

T. globosa	MG136838 ª	Yuan11618	Finland
T. globosa	MG136839ª	Yuan11603	Finland
T. guineensis	JF520432	SYN 2331(M)	Guinea
T. guineensis	NR119955	M:SYN 2331	Guinea
T. hjortstamiana	AM412303	TU103641	Seychelles
T. hjortstamiana	KC222770	_	Australia
T. intsiae	AM412296	—	Seychelles
T. intsiae	UDB017784	TU105130	Seychelles
T. lammi	MG136840 ª	Yuan11617	Finland
T. lammi	MG136841 ª	Yuan11597	Finland
T. lapida	UDB016370	_	Estonia
T. lapida	UDB003322	TU100884	France
T. larssoniana	UDB017785	TU103690	Seychelles
T. larssoniana	UDB017790	TU105082	Seychelles
T. lateritia	UDB000963	NF.S045	Norway
T. lateritia	UDB000954	TU108551	Estonia
T. lilacinogrisea	UDB028225	TU124232	Estonia
T. lilacinogrisea	UDB016500	023 AS	Italy
T. longisterigmata	MG136836ª	Yuan11610	Finland

T. longisterigmata	MG136837 ª	Yuan11602	Finland
T. maroana	EF507250	SYN 878 (M)	Benin
T. muricata	UDB003303	_	Estonia
T. muricata	UDB003310	TU100729	Finland
T. nitellina	EF411085	_	USA
Т. рариае	AB259144	_	Japan
T. parmastoana	UDB016713	_	Seychelles
T. parmastoana	UDB017782	TU103691	Seychelles
T. patagonica	KT032091	BAFC52373	Argentina
T. patagonica	KT032090	BAFC52372	Argentina
T. pileocystidiata	UDB015029	TU105068	Seychelles
T. pileocystidiata	UDB017789	TU105054	Seychelles
T. pilosa	UDB028059	TU124067	Estonia
T. pilosa	UDB028227	TU124234	Estonia
T. pisoniae	UDB002643	TU103671	Seychelles
T. pisoniae	UDB017778	TU103655	Seychelles
T. pulvinulata	KT032089	BAFC52371	Argentina
T. pulvinulata	KT032088	BAFC52370	Argentina
T. punicea	UDB008231	TU110254	Estonia

T. punicea	UDB000959	KHL11908	Sweden
T. pyrolae	UDB000262	TAAM005998	Switzerland
T. radiosa	UDB014068	TU110022	Ecuador
T. radiosa	UDB000964	NF.S010	Norway
T. stuposa	UDB000246	TAAM159816	Finland
T. stuposa	UDB000965	NF.S051	Norway
T. subclavigera	UDB000259	TU115207	Norway
T. subclavigera	UDB003320	TU100877	France
T. sublilacina	UDB000229	TU115204	Norway
T. sublilacina	UDB000777	RK03-04	Denmark
T. subtestacea	UDB016180	TU115374	Ukraine
T. subtestacea	UDB003306	TU100715	Estonia
T. tedersooi	UDB017781	TU103673	Seychelles
T. tedersooi	UDB002644	TU103664	Seychelles
T. tenuissima	KT032083	CIEFAP FK15011	Argentina
T. tenuissima	KT032082	BAFC52369	Argentina
T. terrestris	UDB000222	EL9897	USA
T. terrestris	UDB003315	TU100886	France
T. umbrinospora	UDB017844	TU111410	Italy

T. umbrinospora	UDB016499	TU111379	Italy
T. viridula	UDB016192	TU108038	Estonia
T. viridula	UDB000261	TU108165	Sweden

<sup>a</sup> Sequences newly generated in this study.