This is the accepted version of the following article:

Ljiljana Šašić Zorić, Gunilla Ståhls, Mihajla Đan (2019) First record of the bacterial endosymbiont *Wolbachia* for phytophagous hoverflies from genus *Merodon* (Diptera, Syrphidae). Entomological Science, 22: 283-296.,

which has been published in final form at [https://doi.org/10.1111/ens.12361]. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with the Wiley Self-Archiving Policy [http://www.wileyauthors.com/self-archiving].

1 First record of the bacterial endosymbiont *Wolbachia* for phytophagous hoverflies from

2 genus *Merodon* (Diptera, Syrphidae)

3 Ljiljana Šašić Zorić¹, Gunilla Ståhls² and Mihajla Đan³

5	¹ BioSense Institute, University of Novi Sad, Dr Zorana Đinđića 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia, ²
6	Zoology Unit, Finnish Museum of Natural History, PO Box 17, 00014 University of
7	Helsinki, Finland, ³ Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Sciences, University of
8	Novi Sad, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 2, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Correspondence: Ljiljana Šašić Zorić, BioSense Institute, University of Novi Sad, Zorana
20	Đinđića 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia
21	Email: ljiljana.sasic@dbe.uns.ac.rs

22	Abstract. Wolbachia is a widespread bacterial endosymbiont among arthropod species. It
23	influences the reproduction of the host species and also mtDNA diversity. Until now there
24	were only a few studies which detected Wolbachia infections in hoverflies (Diptera,
25	Syrphidae), and this is the first broader study with the aim to examine the incidence of
26	Wolbachia in the hoverfly genus Merodon. The obtained results indicate an infection rate of
27	96% and the presence of both Wolbachia supergroup A and B, which are characteristic for
28	most of the infected arthropod species. Additionally, the presence of multiple Wolbachia
29	strains in the <i>M. aureus</i> group species was detected and the mtDNA <i>COI</i> based relationships
30	of the group were discussed in the light of infection. Finally, we discuss plant mediated
31	horizontal transmission of Wolbachia strains among the studied hoverfly species.
32	Keywords: 16S rRNA gene, Drimia maritima, Merodon aureus group, wsp.
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	

43 INTRODUCTION

44

45	The hoverflies (Diptera, Syrphidae) are a widely distributed insect family present almost
46	everywhere in the world except on the Antarctic and remote oceanic islands (Thompson &
47	Rotheray, 1988). The hoverfly genus Merodon Meigen, 1903 (subfamily Eristalinae) is
48	distributed over Palaearctic and Palaeotropical regions (Hurkmans 1993). The immature
49	stages of Merodon species develop in and feed on underground storage organs of geophytes
50	of the families Asparagaceae, Iridaceae and Amaryllidaceae (Andrić et al. 2014; Ricarte et al.
51	2008, 2017; Preradović et al. 2018). Adults morphologically mimic hymenopterans and feed
52	on pollen and nectar (Hurkmans 1993). The genus comprises more than 160 species (Ståhls et
53	al. 2009; Vujić et al. 2012), however, the real number of Merodon species is still unknown
54	considering high level of diversity and detected presence of cryptic species (e.g. Milankov et
55	al. 2008, 2009; Radenković et al. 2011; Vujić et al. 2012; Popović et al. 2015; Ačanski et al.
56	2016; Šašić et al. 2016, 2018; Veselić et al. 2017).
56 57	2016; Šašić <i>et al.</i> 2016, 2018; Veselić <i>et al.</i> 2017). The <i>Merodon aureus</i> hoverfly species group is taxonomically especially challenging, as it
57	The Merodon aureus hoverfly species group is taxonomically especially challenging, as it
57 58	The <i>Merodon aureus</i> hoverfly species group is taxonomically especially challenging, as it comprises a high genetic diversity with minor or lacking differences in morphological traits
57 58 59	The <i>Merodon aureus</i> hoverfly species group is taxonomically especially challenging, as it comprises a high genetic diversity with minor or lacking differences in morphological traits (Šašić <i>et al.</i> 2016). The group comprises species morphologically close to <i>M. aureus</i>
57 58 59 60	The <i>Merodon aureus</i> hoverfly species group is taxonomically especially challenging, as it comprises a high genetic diversity with minor or lacking differences in morphological traits (Šašić <i>et al.</i> 2016). The group comprises species morphologically close to <i>M. aureus</i> Fabricius, 1805. The members of the taxa are small sized (8-13 mm), with a short, rounded
57 58 59 60 61	The <i>Merodon aureus</i> hoverfly species group is taxonomically especially challenging, as it comprises a high genetic diversity with minor or lacking differences in morphological traits (Šašić <i>et al.</i> 2016). The group comprises species morphologically close to <i>M. aureus</i> Fabricius, 1805. The members of the taxa are small sized (8-13 mm), with a short, rounded abdomen, a distinct spike on the metatrochanter in males and a characteristic structure of the
57 58 59 60 61 62	The <i>Merodon aureus</i> hoverfly species group is taxonomically especially challenging, as it comprises a high genetic diversity with minor or lacking differences in morphological traits (Šašić <i>et al.</i> 2016). The group comprises species morphologically close to <i>M. aureus</i> Fabricius, 1805. The members of the taxa are small sized (8-13 mm), with a short, rounded abdomen, a distinct spike on the metatrochanter in males and a characteristic structure of the male genitalia (Vujić <i>et al.</i> 2007; Radenković <i>et al.</i> 2011). Until recently, the group
57 58 59 60 61 62 63	The <i>Merodon aureus</i> hoverfly species group is taxonomically especially challenging, as it comprises a high genetic diversity with minor or lacking differences in morphological traits (Šašić <i>et al.</i> 2016). The group comprises species morphologically close to <i>M. aureus</i> Fabricius, 1805. The members of the taxa are small sized (8-13 mm), with a short, rounded abdomen, a distinct spike on the metatrochanter in males and a characteristic structure of the male genitalia (Vujić <i>et al.</i> 2007; Radenković <i>et al.</i> 2011). Until recently, the group comprised altogether 18 previously-known and newly-discovered taxa from the

67 Radenković *et al.* 2018).

68 The first results about molecular diversity of Merodon aureus group were based on analyses of 3' and 5' fragments of the mtDNA COI gene and suggested the presence of multiple 69 cryptic species complexes within the group. The morphological character states usually used 70 71 in taxonomy of hoverflies mostly failed to discern these potential species (Šašić et al. 2016; 72 Radenković et al. 2017). However, subtle differences in wing and surstylus shape were detected using geometric morphometry (see Ačanski et al. 2016; Šašić et al. 2016; 73 74 Radenković et al. 2017). Maximum likelihood (ML) analysis of COI sequences including representatives of all potential complexes from *M. aureus* species group revealed deep 75 76 divergences between morphologically close species (Fig. 1). The obtained molecular evidence showed clear conflict with the morphologically defined subgroups and/or species 77 complexes (Vujić, personal communication; Šašić et al. 2016; Radenković et al. 2017). 78

79 Figure 1.

80 Over the last few decades, mtDNA has been the most popular marker for quantifying 81 molecular diversity, as the marker contains a combination of technical benefits (ease of 82 amplification), and supposed biological and evolutionary advantages such as clonality, nearneutrality and often clocklike nature of its substitution rate. However, mtDNA is not always 83 84 clonal, not neutrally evolving and not clocklike, which brings into question its use in recovering recent species and population histories (e.g. Galtier et al. 2009). In addition to 85 these limitations of use, the taxonomic utility of the maternally inherited mitochondrial 86 genome could be compromised by the presence of symbiotic bacteria, which pass from a 87 88 female to its offspring (Galtier et al. 2009). The most important of the so-called "reproductive 89 parasites" is Wolbachia pipientis (Alphaproteobacteria: Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae), which is facultative endosymbiont estimated to have infected more than half of arthropod species 90 91 (Weinert et al. 2015). Although other bacterial reproductive parasites are also known 92 (Cardinium, Arsenophonus, Rickettsia, Spiroplasma), Wolbachia is the most abundant

93	endosymbiont among arthropod species and with broadest range of host reproductive
94	phenotypes including induction of cytoplasmic incompatibility, feminisation of genetic males,
95	parthenogenesis and male killing (Duron et al. 2008; Zug & Hammerstein, 2012).
96	According to molecular phylogenetic analyses Wolbachia pipientis has been divided into
97	seventeen clades (A-R, except G which is recombinant of A and B supergroups), termed
98	supergroups (Werren et al. 1995; Bandi et al. 1998; Vandekerckhove et al. 1999; Lo et al.
99	2002, 2007; Czarnetzki & Tebbe 2004; Baldo & Werren, 2007; Bordenstein et al. 2009;
100	Haegeman et al. 2009; Ros et al. 2009; Augustinos et al. 2011; Bing et al. 2014; Glowska et
101	al. 2015; Wang et al. 2016). The supergroups taxonomic status was discussed in Ramírez-
102	Puebla et al. (2015) who suggested that Wolbachia supergroups represent separate
103	evolutionary lineages and that they should be designated as species. They also indicated that
104	some of the supergroups could contain more than one Wolbachia species. The proposed
105	nomenclature is criticized by Lindsey et al. (2016) as inadequate and confusing.
106	Wolbachia is probably the most widespread endosymbiont of arthropods and nematodes
107	(Charlat et al. 2003; Werren et al. 2008). Recent studies estimated 19% to 76% infection
108	rates of Wolbachia among arthropod species (Jeyaprakash & Hoy, 2000; Werren & Windsor,
109	2000; Hilgenboecker et al. 2008; Werren et al. 2008; Simões et al. 2011; Weinert et al. 2015;
110	Zug & Hammerstein, 2012). The evolutionary success is achieved through a variety of effects
111	on host biology, ranging from manipulation of reproduction in favor of females to mutualistic
112	interactions with host species. Wolbachia interact with host sex-determination systems and
113	the cell cycle, and its effect on host populations can frame sexual behaviors and species
114	diversity (Charlat et al. 2003). The infection is maternally inherited via infection of
115	developing oocytes or it can be a consequence of horizontal transmission (Werren, 1997). It
116	is most likely to find Wolbachia in ovaries, although it can also occur at high intensities in the

fat body and other tissues (e. g. Werren, 1997; Dobson *et al.* 1999; Albertson *et al.* 2009;
Pietri *et al.* 2016).

The first test for the presence of Wolbachia in hoverfly species (Syrphidae) was the study of 119 Werren and Windsor (2000) who found that the Nearctic species Milesia virginiensis tested 120 negative for the presence of *ftsZ* bacterial cell-cycle gene of *Wolbachia*. In 2006, Sintupachee 121 et al. found Syritta rufifacies negative for the ftsZ, but the species Graptomyza brevirostris 122 (Eristalinae: Volucellini) tested positive for both *ftsZ* and a *Wolbachia* surface protein (*wsp*) 123 genes. Evison et al. (2012) screened pollinator groups in the UK for different groups of 124 parasites including *Wolbachia*, and among the tested species they included four species of 125 126 hoverflies, Rhingia campestris (Eristalinae: Rhingiini), Eristalis arbustorum and E. tenax (Eristalinae: Eristalini) and *Episyrphus balteatus* (Syrphinae: Syrphini), which all were 127 positive for the tested CoxA primers. However, the current molecular taxonomy of hoverflies 128 129 and applying mitochondrial markers neglect the potential bias of Wolbachia on the results and do not contain any Wolbachia screening test. 130

131 In this study, we estimate the incidence of *Wolbachia* in the genus *Merodon* (Diptera, Syrphidae) using samples from recent field collections across South European countries, 132 133 Austria, Romania, Turkey, Iran, Morocco and the South African Republic. We amplified and sequenced bacterial marker genes (16S rRNA gene and wsp gene) with the aim to assign 134 Wolbachia supergroups present in Merodon hoverflies. We particularly focus on the 135 screening of *M. aureus* group species in the light of the observed high mtDNA COI gene 136 137 variability, which is incongruent with morphological invariability in several species 138 complexes, and test for coevolution between M. aureus group and Wolbachia strains infecting the species of the group. Additionally, we performed screening of host plant bulb 139 140 with the aim to prove the presence of Wolbachia in plant tissue and discuss potential 141 horizontal transmission via bulb.

143 MATERIAL AND METHODS

144 Specimens analyzed

- 145 The hoverfly specimens were collected from 2012 to 2016 and identified to the species, or
- 146 subgroup (*Merodon aureus* group specimens) level (by Dr Ante Vujić and according to Šašić
- 147 et al. 2016; Radenković et al. 2017). The bulb of Drimia maritima (syn. Urginea maritima)
- 148 which is a host plant of *M. luteihumerus* larvae was collected in March 2017. All the data
- about collected samples are provided in Table S1.

150

151 **DNA extraction**

DNA extractions of 2 - 3 legs and separately of abdomens of the hoverfly specimens was 152 performed by using the SDS extraction protocol according to Chen et al. (2010). The gDNA 153 154 extracted from legs were used for Wolbachia specific 16SrRNA gene amplification, while the gDNA extracted from abdomens were used for Wolbachia wsp gene amplification. The main 155 reason for repeated gDNA extraction was low amplification success of wsp gene using gDNA 156 extracted from legs, which is probably a consequence of lower amount of bacterial DNA in 157 legs comparing to the abdomen (as previously mentioned, the highest concentration of 158 Wolbachia is in reproductive tissue). 159

160

161 Testing for the presence of *Wolbachia*

162 16S rRNA gene amplification

Primary screening on *Wolbachia* presence was based on the amplification of *Wolbachia*'s
16S rRNA gene fragment. In total, 74 specimens belonging to different *Merodon* species

165 were screened for Wolbachia presence based on amplification and sequencing of bacterial 16S rRNA gene. 45 of these belong to *M. aureus* group, with fewer samples from the 166 following species groups: five from M. avidus group, five from M. nanus group, three from 167 M. geniculatus group, three from M. albifrons group, three from M. constans group, three 168 from *M. natans* group, three from *M. nigritarsis*, three from *M. desuturinus* group, and one 169 from the species *M. luteihumerus*. We tested 1-3 specimens per species (Table 1). 170 16S rRNA gene fragment was amplified using WspecF and WspecR primer pair (Werren & 171 Windsor, 2000). Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) were carried out in 25 µl reaction 172 volumes. The reaction mixture contained 1x Taq Buffer without MgCl₂ (ThermoScientific, 173 Lithuania), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 mM of each nucleotide, 1.25 U Taq polymerase 174 (ThermoScientific, Lithuania), 7 pmol of each primer, and approximately 50-100 ng template 175 DNA. The amplification of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was carried out following the 176 177 protocol described in Werren & Windsor (2000). 178 The PCR products were checked on 1.5% agarose gels and the PCR product from gDNA of Drosophila melanogaster extracted from line 5 from Bloomington stock center 179 http://flystocks.bio.indiana.edu/Reports/5.html (project number: OI 173012) was used as a 180 181 positive control. Additionally, we also used PCR reaction mixture without gDNA as negative control in order to eliminate potential contamination. 182

183

184 Wsp gene amplification

- 185 In addition to 16S rRNA gene, we tested *Merodon aureus* group specimens on bacterial *wsp*
- 186 gene. For this purpose, we extracted additional genomic DNA from the abdomen of
- 187 hoverflies (see above). We used Phire Animal Tissue Direct PCR Master Mix
- 188 (ThermoScientific, Lithuania) to amplify *wsp* gene according to the manufacturer's

189 instructions. The same kit was used for direct wsp gene amplification from the tissue of Drimia maritima bulb and the larvae of M. luteihumerus discovered within the bulb. The 190 191 primers used to amplify the wsp fragment are 136F, 691R, 81F, 522R (Zhou et al. 1998). The 192 PCR was performed with three primer pair combinations: 136F/691R for Wolbachia supergroup A, 81F/ 522R for *Wolbachia* supergroup B, and 81F/691R for both supergroups 193 (Zhou et al. 1998). Initially, we screened all samples with wsp primer combination for 194 195 supergroup A. The samples without products were additionally tested with wsp primer combination for supergroup B or universal combination for both supergroups. Only 196 197 amplification products with a single bend on 1.5% agarose gels were used for sequencing. 198

199 Sequencing

200 The PCR products are enzymatically purified using exonuclease I and shrimp alkaline

201 phosphatase enzymes. Sequencing was done in both directions using the BigDye Terminator

v.3.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) on ABI3730xl DNA

203 Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Ca, USA) at the Sequencing Service Laboratory

of the Finnish Institute for Molecular Medicine (FIMM), Helsinki, Finland.

205

206 *16S rRNA gene and wsp gene sequences analyses*

207 The produced 16S rRNA gene and *wsp* gene sequences were blasted against the nucleotide

208 collection database at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI;

209 <u>www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov</u>) using Megablast optimized for highly similar sequences. *Wsp*

sequences were also checked against the *Wolbachia* MLST database (Baldo *et al.* 2006).

Finally, the screening results were presented in a form of a table with marked specimens

where Wolbachia was identified using 16S rRNA gene, wsp or both genes (Table 1). All

sequences have been submitted in GenBank under accession numbers MK184213 –

214 MK184277 (16S rRNA gene) and MK192943 – MK192981 (*wsp*), while *wsp* sequences are

additionally deposited in MLST database (Table 2, Table S1).

In order to place *Wolbachia* detected in *Merodon* specimens in a particular supergroup, we
constructed ML tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. The sequences were manually

aligned and the tree was constructed using RAxML 8.2.8 (Stamatakis, 2014) through the

219 CIPRES Science Gateway web portal (Miller *et al.* 2010) and by applying the general time-

reversible (GTR) evolutionary model with gamma distribution (Rodriguez et al. 1990), while

the statistical support for the clades was assessed using the rapid bootstrap method with 1000

replicates. The analysis also included 29 sequences belonging to Wolbachia supergroups (A,

B, F, H, I, M, N, O) founded in insect hosts, which were downloaded from GenBank (see

Table S2). As outgroups, we used two species of α-Proteobacteria: *Ehrlichia canis* and

225 Anaplasma marginale (GenBank accession numbers: M73226, M60313), and the tree was

rooted on Anaplasma marginale. In order to test cophylogeny between M. aureus group COI

tree and *Wolbachia* 16S rRNA gene tree, we applied Procrustean Approach to Cophylogeny

228 (PACo) in R environment (R Core Team, 2018) as described in Balbuena et al. (2013). As

input data, we used unrooted ML trees. For 16S rRNA gene we firstly determined sequence
types by using DnaSP 5 software (Librado & Rozas, 2009) which was used for an unrooted
ML tree construction in RAxML 8.2.8 (Stamatakis, 2014).

For *wsp* sequences, alignment was performed using the L-INS-I strategy as implemented in

233 MAFFT (Katoh & Standley, 2013) available on the EMBL-EBI bioinformatics framework

(McWilliam *et al.* 2013). The total number of alleles was determined by using DnaSP 5

software (Librado & Rozas, 2009). The assessments of pairwise differences, uncorrected p

distance values between alleles, were conducted in MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016). This gene is

not used for phylogeny reconstruction as the evolutionary signal is masked by its mosaic

238	nature, however, it can be used for strain typing based on a combination of four hypervariable
239	regions (HVRs) (Baldo et al. 2005, 2006). Thus, for each wsp allele, we determined HVRs
240	profile by checking against the Wolbachia MLST database (Baldo et al. 2006).

242 **RESULTS**

243

The primary screening on Wolbachia using 16S rRNA gene as a marker was performed on 52 244 245 species of the genus Merodon or 74 specimens from which ten specimens and five species tested negative. Within the *M. aureus* group, three specimens belonging to *M. sapphous* sp. n. 246 2, *M. aureus* sp. n. 2 and *M. balkanicus* tested negative, within *M. segetum* (*M. natans* group) 247 248 as well as within M. melanocerus (M. desuturinus group) two specimens tested positive, while one was negative. M. albifrons (M. albifrons group) specimens were all negative, while 249 in *M. nanus* (*M. nanus* group) one specimen was positive and one was negative for 250 Wolbachia infection. The one tested M. luteihumerus specimen were also negative. The 251 252 screening results are summarized in Table 1. Table 1. 253 254 For ML tree construction we used 16S rRNA gene sequences. The aligned sequence set used 255 in the analysis was 415bp long. All Wolbachia 16S rRNA gene sequences produced in this study are resolved as supergroup A, except the Wolbachia sequences from M. neofasciatus 256

which are resolved with supergroup B sequences (Fig. 2).

258 Figure 2.

PACo analysis resulted in a residual sum of squares $m^2xy = 0.355$, under the probability

value P=0.064. Thus, the cophylogeny hypothesis between *M. aureus* group *COI* tree and

261 *Wolbachia* 16S rRNA gene tree was rejected as statistically insignificant (P>0.05). The

relationships between *COI* sequences of *M. aureus* group specimens and corresponding

263 *Wolbachia* endosymbionts (based on 16S rRNA gene sequences) are presented in Figure 3.

264 Figure 3.

In order to achieve better resolution in *Wolbachia* strain determination, we additionally tested 265 Merodon aureus group specimens (41 species or 45 specimens) for the wsp gene product. 266 267 The amplification products were detected in 38 species (42 specimens). M. nisi, M. unicolor and M. balkanicus tested negative. Multiple products of wsp amplification were detected in 268 M. naxius, M. andriotes and M. puniceus, and these amplification products were not further 269 270 processed. In total 39 sequences which correspond to *M. aureus* group specimens were produced, however, four of them were discarded because of poor quality. The wsp gene was 271 also amplified and sequenced for Drimia maritima bulb, and M. luteihumerus larvae (3 272 specimens) from the host plant bulb (Table 1). 273

The final wsp sequence matrix contained 39 sequences. The aligned sequences were 560bp 274 long, and with gap regions (see Fig. S1). We discovered 7 different wsp alleles, from which 275 276 the A1 was most common among Merodon aureus group specimens, but present also in the bulb and *M. luteihumerus* larvae (see Fig. 2). Based on Blast search results the sequences 277 were 99% to 100% identical to previously discovered Wolbachia strains wsp sequences from 278 279 different insect hosts, except A6 which is 97% identical to wsp sequence from Ceutorhynchus obstrictus (cabbage seed pod weevil) (see Table S3). A1 is identical to Wolbachia wsp 280 sequences from Formica sanguinea, Formica exsecta (both ants), Protocalliphora sialia 281 (birdnest blowfly), Conotrachelus nenuphar (plum curculio), and Ceutorhynchus obstrictus 282 (cabbage seed pod weevil). A4 is identical to *Ectemnius continuus* (a wasp species) wsp 283 284 sequence (although the query cover is 96%).

By checking the *wsp* sequences against *Wolbachia* MLST database, we found that A1 sequence is identical to *wsp* allele 311, while rest of the alleles are detected for the first time in this study and they are submitted in the database as new alleles. Additionally, the DNA sequences of all alleles were translated and HVR peptides are determined. The new HVR peptides are submitted in the aforementioned database. The WSP profiles for each of alleles are presented in Table 2.

291 Table 2.

292 The number of base differences per site between alleles (uncorrected p distances) is shown in

Table 3. The analysis involved 7 nucleotide sequences of *wsp* alleles. All ambiguous

294 positions were removed for each sequence pair. The smallest p distance has been detected

between A1 and A2, while the most divergent are A3 and A7.

296 **Table 3**.

The Wolbachia detection success was similar when comparing PCR amplification between 297 the two applied molecular markers (16S rRNA gene and wsp gene) on Merodon aureus group 298 specimens for which both markers were used. In both cases 42 out of 45 analyzed specimens 299 300 had amplification product, in one there was no product (*M. balkanicus* specimen), while in four we got amplification product for only one of the markers. When comparing sequence 301 quality, 10% of *wsp* sequences had low quality and could not be used for further analysis, 302 303 while all of the 16S rRNA gene sequences were good quality sequences. Low sequence quality could be due to multiple infections by different bacterial strains, but also could be 304 caused by contamination. 305

306

307

309 **DISCUSSION**

310

311 The presented results indicated a markedly high incidence of Wolbachia infection in Merodon hoverflies confirmed either by one or both amplified and sequenced Wolbachia 312 genes, 16S rRNA or wsp. In total 50 out of 52 analyzed Merodon species were positive for 313 314 Wolbachia giving an infection rate of 96%. According to the estimation of Jeyaprakash and Hoy (2000), the infection rate in arthropods reaches up to 76% (48 arthropod species out of 315 63 tested positive) indicating a wide distribution of Wolbachia infection. However, it is 316 317 important to point out that in this research the estimation of infection rate is based on less than third known *Merodon* species and probably deviated from the real infection rate. 318 Despite wide Wolbachia distribution among arthropod species, the study of Bailly-Bechet et 319 al. (2017) conducted on 1100 species showed that most of the species acquired Wolbachia 320 only recently and the most acquisition/loss events of Wolbachia occurred within the last 321 million years. These events are most likely due to imperfect maternal transmission, although 322 323 in some extant because of Wolbachia extinction from the population. However, there are 324 some cases which indicate longterm Wolbachia infection. Taking into account population level events, Bailly-Bechet et al. (2017) estimated that mitochondria typically accumulate 325 326 4.7% substitutions per site during an infected episode, and 7.1% substitutions per site during the uninfected phase, which means that uninfected lineages acquire Wolbachia every 9.3 327 328 million years, while infected lineages lose their infection every 7 million years. Assuming this scenario, it is possible that Wolbachia acquisition/loss dynamic shapes mtDNA 329 330 genealogy of the species.

In the case of *Merodon aureus* group a potential explanation for morphologically close
species splitting into two main clades on *COI* tree could be a consequence of *Wolbachia*

influence on early evolution of different mtDNA lineages within the group. This means that
ancient *Wolbachia* infection shaped *COI* based phylogeny of the group. However, apparently,
there is no obvious pattern of coevolution of *Wolbachia* and *M. aureus* group species when
comparing *Wolbachia* 16S rRNA gene tree and *COI* gene tree of hosts.

337 The species within complexes of *Merodon aureus* group often shared wsp alleles which indicates infection by the same strain. In these cases, Wolbachia could influence speciation if 338 the same strain invaded different populations independently and by coupling and spreading 339 340 different mtDNA haplotypes in populations. There is evidence that wsp detected Wolbachia strains could have different variants as consequence of deeper molecular variability 341 associated with transposable elements, as found in detailed studies of Wolbachia variation in 342 Drosophila, Culex, and Hypolimnas bolina (Duron et al. 2005; 2006; Riegler et al. 2005; 343 Charlat et al. 2009). These small differences can affect the choice of mtDNA haplotypes 344 345 which will be spread together with particular Wolbachia strain (Charlat et al. 2009). The theoretical modelling (Telschow et al. 2007) and experimental studies on many organisms 346 (Bordenstein et al. 2001; Jaenike et al. 2006; Koukou et al. 2006; Miller et al. 2010), 347 including both interspecific and intersemispecific analyses, show that Wolbachia can promote 348 speciation in their hosts by inducing reproductive isolation, through development of either 349 post- and/or premating mechanisms. However, more specimens per species should be tested 350 for Wolbachia before any final conclusion about the influence of infection on speciation in 351 352 complexes of *M. aureus* group. Additionally, cases of potential multiple infections by 353 different Wolbachia strains (indicated in Table 1 as cases where sequence quality was low * or multiple bands on electrophoresis gels were detected **) deserve an in-depth study beyond 354 the present study. 355

356

The sequencing of only one or two Wolbachia genes is unlikely to reveal much about 357 Wolbachia transmission between host species (Stahlhut et al. 2012). The characteristic 358 transmission dynamics and cases of multiple infections of the same host with different 359 360 Wolbachia strains have resulted in a freely recombining intracellular bacterial community and mosaic bacterial genome structure (Klasson et al. 2009). The comparisons of shared 361 polymorphisms between Wolbachia strains confirm a mosaic structure of the wsp gene, 362 363 which is particularly prone to recombination and is under directional selection (Schulenburg et al. 2000; Jiggins et al. 2001; Baldo et al. 2005). The frequent recombination events 364 365 produce a high level of sequence variability which makes *wsp* an excellent single marker for distinguishing among different Wolbachia strains (Stahlhut et al. 2010). On the other hand, 366 frequent recombination disables tracing strain genealogy and makes wsp a bad choice for 367 368 studying Wolbachia horizontal transmission (Stahlhut et al. 2012). However, we found the 369 same wsp alleles present in both the host plant Drimia maritima bulb tissue and in the larval specimens of Merodon luteihumerus acquired from the plant bulb. Although the presence of 370 371 Wolbachia DNA is not confirmation of the presence of living bacteria (see also Kolasa et al. 2017), these findings reveal a potential way of horizontal transmission mediated by plants. 372 All known early stages of Merodon species are found in underground storage organs of 373 geophytes (Ricarte et al. 2017; Preradović et al. 2018). 374

Plant mediated horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* has already been hypothesized by
Sintupachee *et al.* (2006). They showed that four taxonomically diverse insects feeding on
the same host plant contained very closely related *Wolbachia* strains, suggesting the potential
role of host plants in *Wolbachia* horizontal transmission. Yang *et al.* (2013) also showed that
identical strains of *Wolbachia* are shared by two species, the gall wasp *Andricus mukaigawae*and its inquiline wasp *Synergus japonicas*, which larvae feed on modified plant tissue of the
gall. Ahmed *et al.* (2016) found evidence for several new instances of *Wolbachia* horizontal

382 transmissions in Lepidoptera, and their findings suggested that specific shared food sources and shared natural enemies were possible routes of horizontal transmission. The DNA of two 383 different Wolbachia strains including the one present in asparagus beetles, Crioceris 384 quinquepunctata and C. quatuordecimpunctata, are also detected in host plant (Asparagus) 385 tissues (Kolasa et al. 2017) once again indicating the possible route of horizontal 386 transmission mediated by plants. This is strongly confirmed in the study by Li et al. (2017) 387 388 where *Wolbachia* was visualized in plant tissue, both in the phloem vessels and in some spherules along the phloem. At present, however, neither the mechanisms nor processes of 389 390 Wolbachia horizontal transmission are completely understood. For providing more solid support for the role of the host plants in Wolbachia transmissions in Merodon hoverflies, 391 systematic screening for Wolbachia should be undertaken and include both the adult flies and 392 393 their developmental stages, as well as the host plants.

394

395 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

396

We kindly thank Dr Ante Vujić (Novi Sad, Serbia) for specimens collecting and help in
species determination. We thank Dr Mihailo Jelić for providing genomic DNA of *Drosophila melanogaster* to use as a positive control. This research was funded by the grants of
Entomological Society of Helsinki and Societas pro Fauna et Flora Fennica, both Helsinki,
Finland, and it was additionally supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and
Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia under Research Project No: OI173002;
and the H2020 project ANTARES (No. 664387).

404

REFERENCES

407	
407	

408	Ačanski J, Vujić A, Đan M, Obreht Vidaković D, Ståhls G, Radenković S (2016) Defining
409	species boundaries in the Merodon avidus complex (Diptera, Syrphidae) using
410	integrative taxonomy, with the description of a new species. European Journal of
411	<i>Taxonomy</i> 237, 1–25.
412	Ahmed MZ, Breinholt JW, Kawahara AY (2016) Evidence for common horizontal
413	transmission of Wolbachia among butterflies and moths. BMC Evolutionary Biology
414	16, 118.
415	Albertson R, Casper-Lindley C, Cao J, Tram U, Sullivan W (2009) Symmetric and
416	asymmetric mitotic segregation patterns influence Wolbachia distribution in host
417	somatic tissue. Journal of Cell Science 122, 4570–4583.
418	Andrić A, Šikoparija B, Obreht D et al. (2014) DNA barcoding applied: identifying the larva
419	of Merodon avidus (Diptera: Syrphidae). Acta Entomologica Musei Nationalis Pragae
420	54, 741–757.
421	Augustinos AA, Santos-Garcia D, Dionyssopoulou E et al. (2011) Detection and
422	characterization of Wolbachia infections in natural populations of aphids: is the
423	hidden diversity fully unraveled?. PloS One 6, e28695.
424	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028695
425	Bailly-Bechet M, Martins-Simões P, Szöllősi GJ, Mialdea G, Sagot MF, Charlat S (2017)
426	How long does Wolbachia remain on board?. Molecular Biology and Evolution 34,
427	1183–1193.
428	Balbuena JA, Míguez-Lozano R, Blasco-Costa I (2013) PACo: a novel procrustes application
429	to cophylogenetic analysis. <i>PloS One</i> 8 , e61048.
430	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061048

- Baldo L, Lo N, Werren JH (2005) Mosaic nature of the *Wolbachia* surface protein. *Journal of Bacteriology* 187, 5406–5418.
- Baldo L, Hotopp JCD, Jolley KA *et al.* (2006) Multilocus sequence typing system for the
 endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipientis*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 72,
- 435 7098–7110. Available from URL: https://pubmlst.org/wolbachia/
- Baldo L, Werren JH (2007) Revisiting *Wolbachia* supergroup typing based on WSP: spurious
 lineages and discordance with MLST. *Current microbiology* 55, 81–87.
- 438 Bandi C, Anderson TJ, Genchi C, Blaxter ML (1998) Phylogeny of Wolbachia in filarial
- 439 nematodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*440 265, 2407–2413.
- 441 Bing XL, Xia WQ, Gui JD, Yan GH, Wang XW, Liu SS (2014) Diversity and evolution of
- the *Wolbachia* endosymbionts of *Bemisia* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) whiteflies. *Ecology and Evolution* 4, 2714–2737.
- Bordenstein SR, O'hara FP, Werren JH (2001) *Wolbachia*-induced incompatibility precedes
 other hybrid incompatibilities in *Nasonia*. *Nature* 409, 707–710.
- 446 Bordenstein SR, Paraskevopoulos C, Dunning Hotopp JC et al. (2009) Parasitism and
- 447 mutualism in *Wolbachia*: what the phylogenomic trees can and cannot say. *Molecular*448 *Biology and Evolution* 26, 231–241.
- Charlat S, Hurst GD, Merçot H (2003) Evolutionary consequences of *Wolbachia* infections. *Trends in Genetics* 19, 217–223.
- 451 Charlat S, Duplouy A, Hornett EA *et al.* (2009) The joint evolutionary histories of *Wolbachia*
- 452 and mitochondria in *Hypolimnas bolina*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **9**, 64.
- 453 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-9-64

454	Chen H, Rangasamy M, Tan SY, Wang H, Siegfried BD (2010) Evaluation of five methods
455	for total DNA extraction from western corn rootworm beetles. PLoS One 5, e11963.
456	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011963

- 457 Czarnetzki AB, Tebbe CC (2004) Detection and phylogenetic analysis of *Wolbachia* in
 458 Collembola. *Environmental Microbiology* 6, 35–44.
- 459 Dobson SL, Bourtzis K, Braig HR *et al.* (1999) *Wolbachia* infections are distributed
- throughout insect somatic and germ line tissues. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 29, 153–160.
- 462 Duron O, Lagnel J, Raymond M, Bourtzis K, Fort P, Weill M (2005) Transposable element

polymorphism of *Wolbachia* in the mosquito *Culex pipiens*: evidence of genetic
diversity, superinfection and recombination. *Molecular Ecology* 14, 1561–1573.

- 465 Duron O, Fort P, Weill M (2006) Hypervariable prophage WO sequences describe an
- 466 unexpected high number of *Wolbachia* variants in the mosquito *Culex pipiens*.

467 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **273**, 495–502.

- 468 Duron O, Bouchon D, Boutin S *et al.* (2008) The diversity of reproductive parasites among
 469 arthropods: *Wolbachia* do not walk alone. *BMC Biology* 6, 27.
- 470 Evison SEF, Roberts KE, Laurenson L *et al.* (2012) Pervasiveness of Parasites in Pollinators.

471 *PLoS One* **7**, e30641. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0030641

- Galtier N, Nabholz B, Glémin S, Hurst GDD (2009) Mitochondrial DNA as a marker of
 molecular diversity: a reappraisal. *Molecular Ecology* 18, 4541–4550.
- 474 Glowska E, Dragun-Damian A, Dabert M, Gerth M (2015) New *Wolbachia* supergroups
- detected in quill mites (Acari: Syringophilidae). *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* 30,
 140–146.

477	Haegeman A, Vanholme B, Jacob J et al. (2009) An endosymbiotic bacterium in a plant-
478	parasitic nematode: member of a new Wolbachia supergroup. International Journal
479	for Parasitology 39, 1045–1054.
480	Hilgenboecker K, Hammerstein P, Schlattmann P, Telschow A, Werren, JH (2008) How
481	many species are infected with Wolbachia?-a statistical analysis of current data.
482	FEMS Microbiology Letters 281, 215–220.
483	Hurkmans W (1993) A monograph of Merodon (Diptera: Syrphidae). Part 1. Tijdschrift voor
484	Entomologie 136 , 147–234.
485	Jaenike J, Dyer KA, Cornish C, Minhas MS (2006) Asymmetrical reinforcement and
486	Wolbachia infection in Drosophila. PLoS Biology 4, e325.
487	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040325
488	Jeyaprakash A, Hoy MA (2000) Long PCR improves Wolbachia DNA amplification: wsp
489	sequences found in 76% of sixty-three arthropod species. Insect Molecular Biology 9,
490	393–405.
491	Jiggins FM, von der Schulenburg JHG, Hurst GD, Majerus ME (2001) Recombination
492	confounds interpretations of Wolbachia evolution. Proceedings of the Royal Society
493	of London. Series B, Biological Sciences 268, 1423–1427.
494	Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT Multiple 723 Sequence Alignment Software Version
495	7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30,
496	772–780.
497	Klasson L, Westberg J, Sapountzis P et al. (2009) The mosaic genome structure of the
498	Wolbachia wRi strain infecting Drosophila simulans. Proceedings of the National
499	Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106, 5725–5730.
500	Kolasa M, Montagna M, Mereghetti V, Kubisz D, Mazur MA, Kajtoch Ł (2017) Preliminary
501	evidence of the horizontal transmission of Wolbachia between Crioceris leaf beetles
	22

- 502 (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) and their *Asparagus* host plants. *European Journal of*503 *Entomology* 114, 446–454.
- Koukou K, Pavlikaki H, Kilias G, Werren JH, Bourtzis K, Alahiotis SN (2006) Influence of
 antibiotic treatment and *Wolbachia* curing on sexual isolation among *Drosophila melanogaster* cage populations. *Evolution* 60, 87–96.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis
 version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 33, 1870–1874.
- version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **33**, 1870–1874.

 509
 Available from URL: https://www.megasoftware.net/download_form

- Li SJ, Ahmed MZ, Lv N *et al.* (2017) Plant-mediated horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia*between whiteflies. *The ISME Journal* 11, 1019–1028.
- 512 Librado P, Rozas J (2009) DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA
- 513 polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1451–1452. Available from URL:
- 514 http://www.ub.edu/dnasp/index_v5.html
- Lindsey AR, Bordenstein SR, Newton IL, Rasgon JL (2016) Wolbachia pipientis should not
- 516 be split into multiple species: A response to Ramírez-Puebla *et al.* "Species in
- 517 *Wolbachia*? Proposal for the designation of '*Candidatus* Wolbachia bourtzisii',
- 518 'Candidatus Wolbachia onchocercicola', 'Candidatus Wolbachia blaxteri',
- 519 *Candidatus* Wolbachia brugii', *Candidatus* Wolbachia taylori', *Candidatus*
- 520 Wolbachia collembolicola'and '*Candidatus* Wolbachia multihospitum' for the
- 521 different species within *Wolbachia* supergroups". *Systematic and Applied*
- 522 *Microbiology* **39**, 220–222.
- Lo, N., Casiraghi M, Salati E, Bazzocchi C, Bandi C (2002) How many *Wolbachia*supergroups exist? *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 19, 341–346.

525	Lo N, Paraskevopoulos C, Bourtzis K et al. (2007) Taxonomic status of the intracellular
526	bacterium Wolbachia pipientis. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary
527	<i>Microbiology</i> 57, 654–657.
528	Marcos-García MA, Vujić A, Mengual X (2007) Revision of Iberian species of the genus
529	Merodon (Diptera: Syrphidae). European journal of entomology 104, 531-572.
530	McWilliam H, Li W, Uludag M et al. (2013) Analysis Tool Web Services from the EMBL-
531	EBI. Nucleic Acids Research 41, W597–W600. Available from URL:
532	https://www.ebi.ac.uk/
533	Milankov V, Ståhls G, Stamenković J, Vujić A (2008) Genetic diversity of populations of
534	Merodon aureus and M. cinereus species complexes (Diptera, Syrphidae): integrative
535	taxonomy and implications for conservation priorities on the Balkan Peninsula.
536	Conservation Genetics 9, 1125–1137.
537	Milankov V, Ludoški J, Ståhls G, Stamenković J, Vujić A (2009) High molecular and
538	phenotypic diversity in the Merodon avidus complex (Diptera, Syrphidae): cryptic
539	speciation in a diverse insect taxon. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 155,
540	819–833.
541	Miller WJ, Ehrman L, Schneider D (2010) Infectious speciation revisited: impact of
542	symbiont-depletion on female fitness and mating behavior of Drosophila paulistorum.
543	PLoS Pathogens 6, e1001214. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001214
544	Pietri JE, DeBruhl H, Sullivan W (2016) The rich somatic life of Wolbachia.
545	MicrobiologyOpen 5, 923–936.
546	Popović D, Ačanski J, Djan M, Obreht D, Vujić A, Radenković S (2015) Sibling species
547	delimitation and nomenclature of the Merodon avidus complex (Diptera: Syrphidae).
548	European Journal of Entomology 112, 790–809.

549	Preradović J, Andrić A, Radenković S et al. (2018) Pupal stages of three species of the
550	phytophagous genus Merodon Meigen (Diptera: Syrphidae). Zootaxa 4420, 229–242.
551	Radenković S, Vujić A, Ståhls G, Pérez-Bañón C, Petanidou T, Šimić S (2011) Three new
552	cryptic species of the genus Merodon Meigen (Diptera: Syrphidae) from the island of
553	Lesvos (Greece). Zootaxa 2735, 35–56.
554	R Core Team (2018) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
555	for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available from URL: https://www.R-
556	project.org/.
557	Radenković S, Šašić Zorić L, Djan M et al. (2018) Cryptic speciation in the Merodon
558	luteomaculatus complex (Diptera: Syrphidae) from the eastern Mediterranean.
559	Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research 56, 170–191.
560	Ramirez-Puebla ST, Servín-Garcidueñas LE, Ormeno-Orrillo E et al. (2015) Species in
561	Wolbachia? Proposal for the designation of 'Candidatus Wolbachia bourtzisii',
562	'Candidatus Wolbachia onchocercicola', 'Candidatus Wolbachia blaxteri',
563	'Candidatus Wolbachia brugii', 'Candidatus Wolbachia taylori', 'Candidatus
564	Wolbachia collembolicola' and 'Candidatus Wolbachia multihospitum' for the
565	different species within Wolbachia supergroups. Systematic and Applied
566	<i>Microbiology</i> 38, 390–399.
567	Ricarte A, Marcos-García MA, Rotheray GE (2008) The early stages and life histories of
568	three Eumerus and two Merodon species (Diptera: Syrphidae) from the Mediterranean
569	region. Entomologica Fennica 19, 129–141.
570	Ricarte A, Souba-Dols GJ, Hauser M, Marcos-García MÁ (2017) A review of the early
571	stages and host plants of the genera Eumerus and Merodon (Diptera: Syrphidae), with
572	new data on four species. PloS One 12, e0189852.
573	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189852

574	Riegler M, Sidhu M, Miller WJ, O'Neill SL (2005) Evidence for a global Wolbachia
575	replacement in Drosophila melanogaster. Current Biology 15, 1428–1433.
576	Rodriguez FJ, Oliver JL, Marin A, Medina JR (1990) The general stochastic model of

- 577 nucleotide substitution. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **142**, 485–501.
- 578 Ros VI, Fleming VM, Feil, EJ, Breeuwer JA (2009) How diverse is the genus *Wolbachia*?
- 579 Multiple-gene sequencing reveals a putatively new *Wolbachia* supergroup recovered
 580 from spider mites (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*581 **75**, 1036–1043.
- Rowley SM, Raven RJ, McGraw EA (2004) *Wolbachia pipientis* in Australian spiders.
 Current Microbiology 49, 208–214.
- Šašić L, Ačanski J, Vujić A *et al.* (2016) Molecular and morphological inference of three
 cryptic species within the *Merodon aureus* species group (Diptera: Syrphidae). *PLoS One* 11, e0160001. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160001
- Šašić Zorić L, Ačanski J, Đan M *et al.* (2018) Integrative taxonomy of *Merodon caerulescens* complex (Diptera: Syrphidae) evidence of cryptic speciation. *Matica Srpska Journal for Natural Sciences*, 103-118.
- 590 Schulenburg JHGV, Hurst GD, Huigens TM, van Meer MM, Jiggins FM, Majerus ME
- 591 (2000) Molecular evolution and phylogenetic utility of *Wolbachia ftsZ* and *wsp* gene

sequences with special reference to the origin of male-killing. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 17, 584–600.

- Simões PM, Mialdea G, Reiss D, Sagot M-F, Charlat S (2011) *Wolbachia* detection: an
 assessment of standard PCR protocols. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 11, 567–572.
- 596 Sintupachee S, Milne JR, Poonchaisri S, Baimai V, Kittayapong P (2006) Closely related
- 597 *Wolbachia* strains within the pumpkin arthropod community and the potential for
 598 horizontal transmission via the plant. *Microbial Ecology* 51, 294–301.

599	Speight MCD (2014) Species accounts of European Syrphidae (Diptera), 2014. In: Speight
600	MCD, Castella E, Sarthou JP, Vanappelghem C (eds) Syrph the Net, the database of
601	European Syrphidae. Vol 78, Syrph the Net publications, Dublin.
602	Stahlhut JK, Desjardins CA, Clark ME et al. (2010) The mushroom habitat as an ecological
603	arena for global exchange of Wolbachia. Molecular Ecology 19, 1940–1952.
604	Stahlhut JK, Gibbs J, Sheffield CS, Smith MA, Packer L (2012) Wolbachia (Rickettsiales)
605	infections and bee (Apoidea) barcoding: a response to Gerth et al. Systematics and
606	<i>Biodiversity</i> 10, 395–401.
607	Ståhls G, Vujic A, Pérez-Bañon C, Radenkovic S, Rojo S, Petanidou T (2009) COI barcodes
608	for identification of Merodon hoverflies (Diptera, Syrphidae) of Lesvos Island,
609	Greece. Molecular Ecology Resources 9, 1431–1438.
610	Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
611	large phylogenies. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 30 , 1312–1313. Available from URL:
612	http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2014/01/21/bioinformatics.btu0
613	33.abstract.
614	Telschow A, Flor M, Kobayashi Y, Hammerstein P, Werren JH (2007) Wolbachia-induced
615	unidirectional cytoplasmic incompatibility and speciation: mainland-island model.
616	PLoS One 2, e701. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000701
617	Thompson FC, Rotheray GE (1998) Family Syrphidae. In: Papp L, Darvas B (eds)
618	Contributions to a manual of Palaearctic Diptera (with special reference to flies of
619	economic importance), pp 81–139. Vol. 3, Science Herald, Budapest.
620	Vandekerckhove, TT, Watteyne S, Willems A, Swings JG, Mertens J, Gillis M (1999)
621	Phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rDNA of the cytoplasmic bacterium Wolbachia from
622	the novel host Folsomia candida (Hexapoda, Collembola) and its implications for
623	wolbachial taxonomy. FEMS Microbiology Letters 180, 279–286.

624	Veselić S, Vujić A, Radenković S (2017) Three new Eastern-Mediterranean endemic species
625	of the Merodon aureus group (Diptera: Syrphidae). Zootaxa 4254, 401–434.
626	Vujić A, Pérez-Bañón C, Radenković S et al. (2007) Two new species of genus Merodon
627	Meigen, 1803 (Syrphidae, Diptera) from the island of Lesvos (Greece), in the eastern
628	Mediterranean. Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 43, 319–326.
629	Vujić A, Radenković S, Ståhls G et al. (2012) Systematics and taxonomy of the ruficornis
630	group of genus Merodon Meigen (Diptera: Syrphidae). Systematic Entomology 37,
631	578–602.
632	Wang GH, Jia LY, Xiao JH, Huang DW (2016) Discovery of a new Wolbachia supergroup in
633	cave spider species and the lateral transfer of phage WO among distant hosts.
634	Infection, Genetics and Evolution 41, 1–7.
635	Weinert LA, Araujo-Jnr EV, Ahmed MZ, Welch JJ (2015) The incidence of bacterial
636	endosymbionts in terrestrial arthropods. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London.
637	Series B, Biological Sciences 282, 20150249
638	Werren JH (1997) Biology of Wolbachia. Annual review of entomology 42, 587–609.
639	Werren JH, Windsor DM (2000) Wolbachia infection frequencies in insects: evidence of a
640	global equilibrium?. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological
641	Sciences 267, 1277–1285.
642	Werren JH, Zhang W, Guo LR (1995) Evolution and phylogeny of Wolbachia: reproductive
643	parasites of arthropods. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B,
644	Biological Sciences 261, 55–63.
645	Werren JH, Baldo L, Clark, ME (2008) Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate
646	biology. Nature Reviews Microbiology 6: 741–751.

647 Zhou W, Rousset F, O'Neill S. (1998) Phylogeny and PCR-based classification of *Wolbachia*648 strains using *wsp* gene sequences. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series*

649 *B*, *Biological Sciences* **265**, 509–515.

- 650 Zug R, Hammerstein P (2012) Still a host of hosts for Wolbachia: Analysis of recent data
- suggests that 40% of terrestrial Arthropod species are infected. *PLoS One* **7**, e38544.
- 652 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038544
- 453 Yang XH, Zhu DH, Liu Z, Zhao L, Su CY (2013) High levels of multiple infections,
- recombination and horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* in the *Andricus mukaigawae*
- 655 (Hymenoptera; Cynipidae) communities. *PloS One* **8**, e78970.
- 656 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078970
- 657

658 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- 659
- 660 Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information
- 661 section at the end of the article.
- **Figure S1.** The sequence alignment of *wsp* alleles.
- 663 **Table S1.** Data on specimens screened for *Wolbachia* infection.
- 664 **Table S2.** The list of *Wolbachia* 16S rRNA gene sequences representing bacterial
- 665 supergroups present in insect hosts.
- 666 Table S3. Blast search results for Wolbachia endosymbionts of Merodon species and Drimia
- 667 *maritima* bulb *wsp* gene sequences.
- 668
- 669

- 670 Figure legends and table captions
- Figure 1. Maximum likelihood tree of *Merodon aureus* group based on combined 3' and 5'
- 672 *COI* sequences (Šašić *et al.* 2016; Radenković *et al.* 2017; Šašić *et al.* unpublished data).
- 673 Bootstrap values ≥ 50 are presented near nodes.
- Figure 2. Maximum likelihood tree based on 16S rRNA gene sequences of *Wolbachia* strains
- 675 present in genus *Merodon*. Bootstrap values \geq 50 are presented near nodes. The specimens are
- 676 marked with DNA IDs and the host species names or GenBank accession numbers.
- 677 Figure 3. Phylogeny comparison between *COI* Maximum likelihood tree of *Merodon aureus*
- 678 group (left) and 16S rRNA gene Maximum likelihood tree of corresponding Wolbachia
- endosymbionts (right). Bootstrap values \geq 50 are presented near nodes.
- 680 Table 1. List of specimens tested on *Wolbachia* infection.
- Table 2. The list of *wsp* alleles from *Merodon aureus* group host species including *Drimia*
- 682 *maritima* bulb and *Merodon luteihumerus*.
- Table 3. Uncorrected p distance values (%) between *wsp* alleles.

DNA	List of specimens tested on <i>Wolb</i>	Group						
ID	Species	Species Complex Subgroup				wsp		
AU402	M. naxius Vujić & Šašić, 2018						+	*
AU497	<i>M. erymanthius</i> Vujić, Ačanski & Šašić, 2018				+	А		
AU812	<i>M. luteomaculatus</i> Vujić, Ačanski & Šašić, 2018	luteomaculatus			+	А		
AU27	M. euri Vujić & Radenković, 2018	inconacatatas				+	Α	
AU396	<i>M. peloponnesius</i> Vujić, Radenković, Ačanski & Šašić, 2018				+	А		
AU504	<i>M. andriotes</i> Vujić, Radenković & Šašić, 2018		~	bessa	+	*		
AU443	<i>M. sapphous</i> Vujić, Perez-Banon & Radenković, 2007	T	bessarabicus		+	AB		
AU99	M. saphous sp. n. 1	sapphous ab		rab		Α		
AU141	M. saphous sp. n. 2		icu		-	Α		
AU454	M. bessarabicus Paramonov, 1924	bessarabicus	S		+	AB		
AU82	M. bessarabicus sp. n. 1	Dessarabicus			+	Α		
AU53	M. ambiguus Bradescu, 1986	amhianna			+	Α		
AU474	M. ambiguus sp. n. 1	ambiguus			+	Α		
AU1435	<i>M. quercetorum</i> Marcos-García, Vujić & Mengual, 2007				+	А		
AU1442	<i>M. legionensis</i> Marcos-García, Vujić & Mengual, 2007					+	А	
AU1432	<i>M. nisi</i> Veselić, Vujić & Radenković 2017			au	+	-		
AU321	M. unicolor Strobl, 1909	unicolor	reus	+	AB			
AU796	M. unicolor sp. n. 1	unicolor	icolor aureus aureus	gI	+	-		
AU710	M. aureus Fabricius, 1805			dnc	+	AB		
AU723	M. aureus sp. n. 1	aurous			+	Α		
AU701	M. aureus sp. n. 1	uneus			+	Α		
AU485	M. aureus sp. n. 2				-	Α		
AU360	M. cinereus (Fabricius, 1794)				+	**		
AU1371	M. aff. cinereus				+	AB		
AU530	M. cinereus sp. n. 1	cinereus			+	Α		
AU1362	M. cinereus sp. n. 2	cincreus			+	Α		
AU236	M. cinereus sp. n. 3				+	Α		
AU1443	M. cinereus sp. n. 4				+	A		
AU517	M. atratus (Oldenberg, 1919)		cinereus		+	A		
AU151	<i>M. balkanicus</i> Šašić, Ačanski & Vujić, 2016	atratus			-	-		
AU144	<i>M. virgatus</i> Vujić & Radenković, 2016	uraius	ratus		+	А		
AU550	M. virgatus				+	Α		
AU874	M. aerarius Rondani, 1857				+	**		
AU311	M. minutus Strobl, 1893	chabybaus	chalybeus		+	Α		
AU752	M. chalybeus Wiedemann, 1822	chalybeus chalybeus			+	Α		
AU36	M. dobrogensis Bradescu, 1982				+	Α		
AU632	M. dobrogensis	dobrogensis			+	Α		
AU413	<i>M. puniceus</i> Vujić, Radenković & Péres-Bañón, 2011	dobrogensis dobrogensis			+	*		
AU47	M. dobrogensis sp. n. 1				+	Α		

Table 1. List of specimens tested on Wolbachia infection.

AU107	M. caerulescens Loew, 1869			+	Α
AU176	<i>M. atricapillatus</i> Šašić, Ačanski & Vujić, 2018	caerulescens		+	А
AU742	M. pumilus Macquart, 1849			+	**
AU253	M. pumilus			+	**
AU115	<i>M. robustus</i> Veselić, Vujić & Radenković 2017			+	А
AU326	M. unguicornis Strobl, 1909			+	AB
AU272	M. neofasciatus Ståhls & Vujić, 2018			+	nt
AU273	M. neofasciatus	genicul	atus group	+	nt
AU288	M. neofasciatus	C		+	nt
AU606	M. albifrons Meigen, 1822			-	nt
AU611	M. albifrons	albifra	ons group	-	nt
AU617	M. albifrons	0	0	-	nt
AU620	M. constans (Rossi, 1794)			+	nt
AU621	AU621 M. constans constans group			+	nt
AU622	M. constans		8 1	+	nt
AU772	<i>M. segetum</i> (Fabricius, 1794)				nt
AU773	M. segetum	natans group			nt
AU775	M. segetum				nt
AU1146	M. avidus Rossi, 1790				nt
AU1164	M. avidus	avidus group			nt
KR1	<i>M. moenium</i> (Wiedemann in Meigen, 1822)				nt
KR2	M. moenium				nt
KR3	M. moenium				nt
N19	M. nanus Sack 1931				nt
TS213	M. nanus			-	nt
TS219	M. telmateia Hurkmans, 1987	nanu	s group	+	nt
TS221	M. telmateia			+	nt
TS222	M. telmateia	1		+	nt
NG15	M. nigritarsis Rondani, 1845			+	nt
NG16	M. nigritarsis	nigrita	rsis group	+	nt
NG17	M. nigritarsis			+	nt
AF55	M. melanocerus Bezzi, 1915			+	nt
AF57	M. melanocerus	desuturinus group		+	nt
AF58	M. melanocerus			-	nt
Y2367	<i>M. luteihumerus</i> Marcos-García, Vujić & Mengual, 2007			-	А
Y2368	M. luteihumerus			nt	Α
Y2369	M. luteihumerus			nt	Α
BULB	Drimia maritima (L.) Stearn; bulb	host plant of	M. luteihumerus	-	А

A - *wsp* amplified using 136F/691R primer pair specific for supergroup A; B - *wsp* amplified using 81F/522R primer pair specific for supergroup B; AB - *wsp* amplified using 81F/522R universal primer pair; * - multiple products detected using electrophoresis; ** - poor sequence quality; nt - not tested.

Alleles	WSP profile*	Sequence ID (host species)	
A1 311, 53, 145, 39, 18		AU497(M. erymanthius), AU812(M. luteomaculatus), AU27(M. euri), AU396(M. peloponnesius), AU82(M. bessarabicus sp. n. 1), AU99(M. sapphous sp. n. 1), AU141(M. sapphous sp. n. 2), AU53(M. ambiguus), AU723(M. aureus sp. n. 2), AU53(M. aureus sp. n. 1), AU236(M. cinereus sp. n. 3), AU144(M. virgatus), AU550(M. virgatus), AU752(M. chalybeus); AU36(M. dobrogensis), AU632(M. dobrogensis), AU47(M. dobrogensis sp. n. 1), AU107(M. caerulescens), AU176(M. atricapillatus); AU115(M. robustus), 16060(Drimia maritima plant bulb), Y2367(M. luteihumerus), Y2369(M. luteihumerus), Y2368(M. luteihumerus)	
A2	731, 53, 145, 39, 18	AU311(M. minutus), AU1442(M. legionensis), AU1435(M. quercetorum)	
A3	735, 28, 294, 39, 18	AU474(M. ambiguus sp. n. 1), AU443(M. sapphous)	
A4	734, 261, 9, 271, 18	AU321(M. unicolor), AU710(M. aureus)	
A5	(incomplete sequence)	AU454 (M. bessarabicus)	
A6	733, 262, 115, 292, 62	AU326(M. unguicornis), AU485(M. aureus sp. n. 2)	
A7	732, 263, 28, 31, 30	AU530(<i>M. cinereus</i> sp. n. 1), AU1362(<i>M. cinereus</i> sp. n. 2), AU517(<i>M. atratus</i>), AU1443(<i>M. cinereus</i> sp. n. 4), AU1371(<i>M. aff. cinereus</i>)	

Table 2. The list of *wsp* alleles from *Merodon aureus* group host species including *Drimia maritima* bulb and *Merodon luteihumerus*.

*WSP profile: *wsp*, HVR1, HVR2, HVR3, HVR4 IDs in *Wolbachia* MLST database (Baldo et al. 2006).

	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6
A1						
A2	0.198					
A3	10.474	10.672				
A4	9.486	9.684	12.548			
A5	14.500	14.250	15.777	8.252		
A6	11.858	12.055	17.984	16.206	12.069	
A7	12.253	12.055	18.379	17.391	14.778	13.477

Table 3. Uncorrected p distance values (%) between *wsp* alleles.